KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

King County
Department of Natural Resources and Parks

July 23, 2021

Amended November 14, 2024

King County Executive[1]
Dow Constantine

Metropolitan King County Council[2]
Rod Dembowski, District 1
Girmay Zahilay, District 2
Kathy Lambert, District 3
Jeanne Kohl-Welles, District 4
Dave Upthegrove, District 5
Claudia Balducci, District 6
Pete von Reichbauer, District 7
Joe McDermott, District 8
Reagan Dunn, District 9

Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting)
Jim Chan, Director
Mark Rowe, Deputy Director
Christine Jensen, Legislative Policy Analyst

Department of Natural Resources and Parks (DNRP)
Christie True, Director
Maurin McBroom, Deputy Director

Water and Land Resources Division (WLRD)
Josh Baldi, Division Director
Megan Smith, Deputy Director

Primary Contributing Staff (WLRD)
Mark Wilgus, P.E., Engineer IV
David Batts, Engineer III, Senior WQ Specialist

Primary Contributing Staff (DLS-Permitting)
Scott Smith, P.E., Principal Engineer

Primary Supporting Staff (WLRD)
Wendy Gable Collins, Communications Specialist IV
Fred Bentler, Master Webstite Developer

Other Contributing Staff (WLRD)
Andrew McDonald, Senior Engineer
Doug Navetski, Environmental Programs Managing Supervisor
Curt Crawford, P.E, Stormwater Services Sec. Manager
Dylan Seitz, Administrative Specialist III
Kris Nielson, Executive Secretary/Assistant 1

Other Contributing Staff (Previous Editions)
Jeff Pray, P.E., Former Senior Engineer
Jeff Burkey, Hydrologist
Ken Krank, P.E., Former Supervising Engineer
Molly Johnson, Former P.E., Former Development Engineer
Dale Nelson, Former Engineer
Steve Foley, PE, Former Senior Engineer
Kate Rhoads, Former Senior WQ Engineer
Mary Lear, P.E., Former Engineer
Sue Clarke, Former Senior WQ Engineer
Kelly Whiting, P.E., Former Senior Engineer
Louise Kulzer, Former Senior Water Quality Specialist
Jeff Stern, Senior Water Quality Planner
Thor Tyson, Former Geologist
Bruce Johnson, P.E., Former Senior Engineer
Linda Holden, P.E., Former Senior Engineer
Rhett Jackson, P.E., Former Senior Hydrologist
David Hartley, P.E., Former Senior Hydrologist
Randall Parsons, P.E., Former Senior Engineer
Dave Hancock, Senior Engineer
John Koon, Engineer
Jeff Jacobson, P.E., Former Engineer
Amy Carlson, Former Engineer
Don Althauser, P.E., Managing Engineer
Jennifer Gaus, Former Engineer
Randy Brake, Former Engineer
Zahid Khan, P.E., Supervising Engineer
Jeff O'Neill, P.E., Former Supervising Engineer
Ann Bethel, Former Engineer
Richard Lowe, P.E., Senior Engineer
Rebecca Marcy, Project Program Manager

Consultants (Previous Editions)
Rick Schaefer, P.E., formerly RW Beck & Associates
Malcom Leytham, PhD, P.E., Northwest Hydraulic Consultants
Gary Minton, PhD, P.E.
Carlos Herrera, P.E., Herrera Environmental Consultants
Beth Schmoyer, P.E., formerly Herrera Environmental
Mark Ewbank, P.E., Herrera Environmental Consultants
Mike Giseburt, P.E., R.W. Beck & Associates
Sarah Spear Cooke, Ph.D., Cooke Scientific Services
SvR Design Company

[1] http://www.kingcounty.gov/elected/executive/constantine.aspx
[2] http://www.kingcounty.gov/council/councilmembers/find_district.aspx

INTRODUCTION

TABLE OF CONTENTS AND OVERVIEW

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1
DRAINAGE REVIEW AND REQUIREMENTS

Section 1.1	**Drainage Review**	**1-11**
Section 1.1.1	Projects Requiring Drainage Review	1-12
Section 1.1.2	Drainage Review Types and Requirements	1-13
Section 1.1.3	Drainage Review Required By Other Agencies	1-24
Section 1.1.4	Drainage Design Beyond Minimum Compliance	1-24
Section 1.2	**Core Requirements**	**1-25**
Section 1.2.1	Core Reqmt #1: Discharge at the Natural Location	1-25
Section 1.2.2	Core Reqmt #2: Offsite Analysis	1-27
Section 1.2.3	Core Reqmt #3: Flow Control	1-38
Section 1.2.4	Core Reqmt #4: Conveyance System	1-55
Section 1.2.5	Core Reqmt #5: Erosion and Sediment Control	1-60
Section 1.2.6	Core Reqmt #6: Maintenance and Operations	1-65
Section 1.2.7	Core Reqmt #7: Financial Guarantees and Liability	1-66
Section 1.2.8	Core Reqmt #8: Water Quality	1-68
Section 1.2.9	Core Reqmt #9: Flow Control BMPs	1-82
Section 1.3	**Special Requirements**	**1-99**
Section 1.3.1	Special Reqmt #1: Other Adopted Area-Specific Requirements	1-99
Section 1.3.2	Special Reqmt #2: Flood Hazard Area Delineation	1-101
Section 1.3.3	Special Reqmt #3: Flood Protection Facilities	1-102
Section 1.3.4	Special Reqmt #4: Source Control	1-103
Section 1.3.5	Special Reqmt #5: Oil Control	1-106
Section 1.4	**Adjustment Process**	**1-109**
Section 1.4.1	Adjustment Authority	1-110
Section 1.4.2	Criteria for Granting Adjustments	1-110
Section 1.4.3	Adjustment Application Process	1-112
Section 1.4.4	Adjustment Review Process	1-113
Section 1.4.5	Request for Reconsideration Procedure	1-114

CHAPTER 2
DRAINAGE PLAN SUBMITTAL

Section 2.1	**Plans for Permits and Drainage Review**	**2-3**
Section 2.1.1	Plans Required for Permit Submittal	2-3
Section 2.1.2	Plans Required for Drainage Review	2-3
Section 2.2	**Plans Required with Initial Permit Application**	**2-7**
Section 2.2.1	Subdivision, UPD, and Binding Site Plans	2-7
Section 2.2.2	Short Subdivisions	2-8
Section 2.2.3	Commercial Site Development	2-8
Section 2.2.4	Single family Residential	2-8
Section 2.2.5	Other Permits	2-8
Section 2.3	**Drainage Review Plan Specifications**	**2-9**
Section 2.3.1	Engineering Plan Specifications	2-10
Section 2.3.2	Projects in Targeted Drainage Review	2-39
Section 2.4	**Plans Required After Drainage Review**	**2-41**
Section 2.4.1	Plan Changes After Permit Issuance	2-41
Section 2.4.2	Final Corrected Plan Submittal	2-41
Section 2.4.3	Final Plat, Short Plat, and Binding Site Plan Submittals	2-42

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 3
HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS & DESIGN

Section 3.1	**Hydrologic Design Standards and Principles**	**3-3**
Section 3.1.1	Hydrologic Impacts and Mitigation	3-3
Section 3.1.2	Flow Control Standards	3-5
Section 3.1.3	Hydrologic Analysis Using Continuous Models	3-7
Section 3.2	**Runoff Computation and Analysis Methods**	**3-11**
Section 3.2.1	Rational Method	3-13
Section 3.2.2	Continuous Models and the Runoff Files Method	3-21
Section 3.2.3	The Approved Model	3-34
Section 3.2.4	The HSPF Model	3-34
Section 3.3	**Hydrologic Design Procedures and Considerations**	**3-37**
Section 3.3.1	General Hydrologic Design Process	3-37
Section 3.3.2	Flow Control Design Using the Runoff Files Method	3-39
Section 3.3.3	Conveyance System Design with the Runoff Files Method	3-42
Section 3.3.4	Safety Factors in Hydrologic Design	3-42
Section 3.3.5	Design Options for Addressing Downstream Drainage Problems	3-44
Section 3.3.6	Point of Compliance Analysis	3-47
Section 3.3.7	Onsite Closed Depressions and Ponding Areas	3-50

CHAPTER 4
CONVEYANCE SYSTEM ANALYSIS & DESIGN

Section 4.1	**Route Design and Easement Requirements**	**4-3**
Section 4.1.1	Route Design	4-3
Section 4.1.2	Easement and Setback Requirements	4-3
Section 4.2	**Pipes, Outfalls, and Pumps**	**4-7**
Section 4.2.1	Pipe Systems	4-7
Section 4.2.2	Outfall Systems	4-29
Section 4.2.3	Pump Systems	4-36
Section 4.3	**Culverts and Bridges**	**4-37**
Section 4.3.1	Culverts	4-37
Section 4.3.2	Culverts Providing for Fish Passage/Migration	4-51
Section 4.3.3	Bridges	4-53
Section 4.4	**Open Channels, Floodplains, and Floodways**	**4-55**
Section 4.4.1	Open Channels	4-55
Section 4.4.2	Floodplain/Floodway Analysis	4-71

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 5
FLOW CONTROL DESIGN

Section 5.1	**Detention Facilities**	**5-3**
Section 5.1.1	Detention Ponds	5-3
Section 5.1.2	Detention Tanks	5-18
Section 5.1.3	Detention Vaults	5-22
Section 5.1.4	Control Structures	5-26
Section 5.1.5	Parking Lot Detention	5-36
Section 5.1.6	Roof Detention	5-36
Section 5.1.7	Simple Detention Pond for Cleared Areas	5-37
Section 5.2	**Infiltration Facilities**	**5-45**
Section 5.2.1	General Requirements for Infiltration Facilities	5-46
Section 5.2.2	Infiltration Ponds	5-57
Section 5.2.3	Infiltration Tanks	5-60
Section 5.2.4	Infiltration Vaults	5-63
Section 5.2.5	Infiltration Trenches	5-65
Section 5.2.6	Alternative Infiltration Systems	5-67
Section 5.2.7	Small Infiltration Basins	5-69

CHAPTER 6
WATER QUALITY DESIGN

Section 6.1	**Water Quality Menus**	**6-3**
Section 6.1.1	Basic Water Quality Menu	6-4
Section 6.1.2	Enhanced Basic Water Quality Menu	6-7
Section 6.1.3	Sensitive Lake Protection Menu	6-9
Section 6.1.4	Sphagnum Bog Protection Menu	6-13
Section 6.1.5	High-Use Menu	6-15
Section 6.2	**General Requirements for WQ Facilities**	**6-17**
Section 6.2.1	Water Quality Design Flows and Treatment Volumes	6-17
Section 6.2.2	Sequence of Facilities	6-20
Section 6.2.3	Setbacks, Slopes, and Embankments	6-23
Section 6.2.4	Facility Liners	6-27
Section 6.2.5	Flow Splitter Designs	6-32
Section 6.2.6	Flow Spreading Options	6-36
Section 6.3	**Vegetated Flowpath Facility Designs**	**6-41**
Section 6.3.1	Basic Bioswales	6-41
Section 6.3.2	Wet Bioswales	6-57
Section 6.3.3	Lateral Inflow Bioswales	6-60
Section 6.3.4	Standard Filter Strips	6-61
Section 6.3.5	Narrow Area Filter Strips	6-69
Section 6.4	**Wetpool Facility Designs**	**6-71**
Section 6.4.1	Wetponds — Basic and Large	6-71
Section 6.4.2	Wetvaults	6-86
Section 6.4.3	Stormwater Wetlands	6-92
Section 6.4.4	Combined Detention and Wetpool Facilities	6-98
Section 6.5	**Filtration Facility Designs**	**6-105**
Section 6.5.1	General Requirements For Filtration Facilities	6-105
Section 6.5.2	Sand Filters — Basic and Large	6-106
Section 6.5.3	Sand Filter Vaults	6-123
Section 6.5.4	Linear Sand Filters	6-128
Section 6.6	**Oil Control Facility Designs**	**6-133**
Section 6.6.1	Catch Basin Inserts	6-133
Section 6.6.2	Oil/Water Separators	6-140
Section 6.7	**Proprietary Facility Designs**	**6-154**
Section 6.7.1	Ecology Requirements	6-154
Section 6.7.2	King County Requirements	6-155

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEFINITIONS

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A
Maintenance Requirements for Flow Control, Conveyance, and WQ Facilities

APPENDIX B
Master Drainage Plan Objective, Criteria, Components and Review Process

APPENDIX C (detached)
Simplified Drainage Requirements

APPENDIX D (detached)
Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Standards

REFERENCE

1. **KCC 9.04 – Surface Water Runoff Policy**
2. **Adopted Critical Drainage Areas**
3. **Other Adopted Area Specific Drainage Requirements**
   - A RA Zone Clearing Restrictions
4. **Other Drainage Related Regulations and Guidelines**
   - A Grading Code Soil Amendment Standard
   - B Clearing & Grading Seasonal Limitations
   - C Landscape Management Plan Guidelines
   - D Shared Facility Maintenance Responsibility Guidance
5. **Wetland Hydrology Protection Guidelines**
6. **Hydrologic/Hydraulic Design Methods**
   - A Infiltration Rate Test Methods
   - B Pond Geometry Equations
   - C Introduction to Level Pool Routing
   - D Supplemental Modeling Guidelines

REFERENCE (continued)

7. **Engineering Plan Support**
   - A King County Standard Map Symbols
   - B Standard Plan Notes and Example Construction Sequence
   - C Stormfilter Facility Access and Cartridge Configuration
8. **Forms and Worksheets**
   - A Technical Information Report (TIR) Worksheet
   - B Offsite Analysis Drainage System Table
   - C Water Quality Facility Sizing Worksheets
   - D Flow Control and Water Quality Facility Summary Sheet and Sketch
   - E CSWPP Worksheet Forms
   - F Adjustment Application Form and Process Guidelines
   - G Dedication and Indemnification Clause - Final Recording
   - H Bond Quantities Worksheet
   - I Maintenance and Defect Agreement
   - J Drainage Facility Covenant
   - K Drainage Release Covenant
   - L Drainage Easement
   - M Flow Control BMP Covenant and BMP Maintenance Instructions (Recordable format)
   - N Impervious Surface Limit Covenant
   - O Clearing Limit Covenant
   - P River Protection Easement
   - Q Leachable Metals Covenant
9. **Interim Changes to Requirements**
   - A Blanket Adjustments
   - B Administrative Changes
10. **King County-Identified Water Quality Problems**
11. **Materials**
    - A (VACANT)
    - B (VACANT)
    - C Media Standards for Bioretention and other Public Domain Facilities and BMPs
    - D (VACANT)
    - E Roofing Erodible or Leachable Materials
12. **(VACANT)**
13. **(VACANT)**
14. **Supplemental Approved Facilities**
    - A Approved Proprietary Facilities
    - B Approved Public Domain Facilities

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

OVERVIEW

King County's surface water features -- the rivers, lakes, wetlands, streams, and Puget Sound -- are a significant part of our natural beauty and rich heritage. Spawning salmon, meandering rivers, and clean water are important natural resources which must be managed wisely to protect their values.

As development of the County's landscape occurs and changes the quantity and quality of surface and storm water runoff, great care must taken to minimize the impacts of these changes to natural resources, public safety, and property. This necessitates the provision of surface and storm water management systems that not only mitigate such impacts but must comply with the County's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Municipal Stormwater Permit issued by the Washington State Department of Ecology pursuant to the Clean Water Act.

This manual contains the requirements and standards for designing such surface and storm water management systems in King County. As part of the permit approval process for certain types of permits for proposed development projects, King County requires the construction of surface and storm water management systems to mitigate the impacts of new development and redevelopment on natural and existing man-made drainage systems.

This manual regulates proposed projects through a mixture of requirements, performance standards, and design standards. These requirements and standards are primarily enforced by the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting), which is responsible for the drainage review and approval of engineering plans and inspection of development projects during construction. This responsibility and how it is carried out is governed not only by King County Code but to some extent by the County's NPDES municipal stormwater permit, which contains specific requirements for drainage review and inspection of development projects. In addition to the Surface Water Design Manual, DLS-Permitting is also responsible for enforcement of all other King County regulations governing development.

The Water and Land Resources (WLR) Division of the King County Department of Natural Resources is responsible for developing the requirements and standards, which includes publishing, updating and providing the technical support for the Surface Water Design Manual. The WLR Division also reviews requests for experimental design adjustments and blanket adjustments as described in Chapter 1, Section 1.4.

The chapters of this manual are organized as follows:

Chapter 1 - DRAINAGE REVIEW AND REQUIREMENTS

Describes the basic drainage requirements that implement King County adopted surface water runoff policies and explains how these requirements are applied to proposed projects through the drainage review process.

Chapter 2 - DRAINAGE PLAN SUBMITTAL

Describes the requirements and specifications for submittal of design plans for drainage review, including report and plan formats, and scopes.

Chapter 3 - HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

Presents the acceptable methods of hydrologic analysis used to estimate runoff and design flow control, conveyance, and water quality facilities.

Chapter 4 - CONVEYANCE SYSTEM ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

Presents the acceptable methods, details, and criteria for analysis and design of conveyance systems.

Chapter 5 - FLOW CONTROL DESIGN

Presents the acceptable methods, details, and criteria for analysis and design of flow control facilities.

Chapter 6 - WATER QUALITY DESIGN

Presents the acceptable methods, details, and criteria for analysis and design of water quality facilities.

DEFINITIONS - A comprehensive list of the words, terms, and abbreviations accompanied by their meaning as applied in this manual.

APPENDICES:

- APPENDIX A - MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR FLOW CONTROL, CONVEYANCE, AND WQ FACILITIES

  Contains the thresholds and standards for maintenance of all flow control facilities and BMPs, conveyance systems, and water quality facilities required in this manual.

- APPENDIX B - MASTER DRAINAGE PLAN OBJECTIVES, CRITERIA AND COMPONENTS AND REVIEW PROCESS

  Describes in a general outline, the objectives, criteria, components and review process for Master Drainage Plans prepared for Urban Planned Developments and very large projects.

- APPENDIX C - SIMPLIFIED DRAINAGE REQUIREMENTS (Separate Detached Publication)

  Describes, the simplified drainage requirements for smaller projects that qualify for Simplified Drainage Review.

- APPENDIX D - CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION STANDARDS (Separate Detached Publication)

  Describes, the required measures to be implemented during construction to prevent discharges of sediment-laden runoff from the project site. It also describes effective management practices for spill control and chemical pollutants used during construction that may be needed to supplement the required erosion and sedimentation control measures,.

REFERENCE - Includes materials that are strictly **for reference only** and have not been adopted by the public rule adopting this manual. The applicant is responsible to insure that the most current materials are used in preparing a permit application.

CHAPTER 1
DRAINAGE REVIEW

AND REQUIREMENTS
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

Section 1.1	**Drainage Review**	**1-11**
Section 1.1.1	Projects Requiring Drainage Review	1-12
Section 1.1.2	Drainage Review Types and Requirements	1-13
Section 1.1.3	Drainage Review Required By Other Agencies	1-24
Section 1.1.4	Drainage Design Beyond Minimum Compliance	1-24
Section 1.2	**Core Requirements**	**1-25**
Section 1.2.1	Core Reqmt #1: Discharge at the Natural Location	1-25
Section 1.2.2	Core Reqmt #2: Offsite Analysis	1-27
Section 1.2.3	Core Reqmt #3: Flow Control	1-38
Section 1.2.4	Core Reqmt #4: Conveyance System	1-55
Section 1.2.5	Core Reqmt #5: Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention	1-60
Section 1.2.6	Core Reqmt #6: Maintenance and Operations	1-65
Section 1.2.7	Core Reqmt #7: Financial Guarantees and Liability	1-66
Section 1.2.8	Core Reqmt #8: Water Quality	1-68
Section 1.2.9	Core Reqmt #9: Flow Control BMPs	1-82
Section 1.3	**Special Requirements**	**1-99**
Section 1.3.1	Special Reqmt #1: Other Adopted Area-Specific Requirements	1-99
Section 1.3.2	Special Reqmt #2: Flood Hazard Area Delineation	1-101
Section 1.3.3	Special Reqmt #3: Flood Protection Facilities	1-102
Section 1.3.4	Special Reqmt #4: Source Control	1-103
Section 1.3.5	Special Reqmt #5: Oil Control	1-106
Section 1.4	**Adjustment Process**	**1-109**
Section 1.4.1	Adjustment Authority	1-110
Section 1.4.2	Criteria for Granting Adjustments	1-110
Section 1.4.3	Adjustment Application Process	1-112
Section 1.4.4	Adjustment Review Process	1-113
Section 1.4.5	Request for Reconsideration Procedure	1-114

CHAPTER 1
DRAINAGE REVIEW AND REQUIREMENTS

This chapter describes the drainage review procedures and types, the drainage requirements, and the adjustment procedures necessary to implement surface water runoff policies codified in Chapter 9.04 of the King County Code (KCC). It also provides direction for implementing the more detailed procedures and design criteria found in subsequent chapters of this manual.

Chapter Organization

The information presented in Chapter 1 is organized into four main sections as follows:

- Section 1.1, "Drainage Review" (p. 1-11)
- Section 1.2, "Core Requirements" (p. 1-25)
- Section 1.3, "Special Requirements" (p. 1-99)
- Section 1.4, "Adjustment Process" (p. 1-109).

Each of these sections begins on an odd page so the user can insert tabs if desired for quicker reference.

Formatting of Chapter Text

The text of Chapter 1 and subsequent chapters has been formatted using the following conventions to aid the user in finding, understanding, and properly applying the thresholds, requirements, and procedures contained in this manual:

- **Italic** is used to highlight the following: (a) terms when they are first introduced and defined within the same paragraph; (b) special notes that supplement or clarify thresholds, requirements, and procedures; (c) sentences considered important for purposes of understanding thresholds, requirements, and procedures; and (d) titles of publications.
- **Bold italic** is used to highlight terms considered key to understanding and applying drainage review thresholds, requirements, and procedures. These are called "key terms" and are defined below. This convention applies after the key term is defined and does not necessarily apply to tables and figures.
- **Bold** is used to highlight words and phrases that are not key terms but are considered important to emphasize for purposes of finding and properly applying thresholds, requirements, and procedures.

Key Terms and Definitions *(a complete list of definitions follows Chapter 6)*

Proper application of the drainage review and requirements in this chapter requires an understanding of the following key terms and their definitions. Other key terms may be defined in subsequent chapters. All such key terms are highlighted in **bold italic** throughout the manual. Other important terms that are not key terms are defined in the text when they are first introduced. These are highlighted in italic when they

are first introduced but are not highlighted throughout the manual. All terms defined in this chapter are also found in the "Definitions" section of this manual as are other important terms defined throughout the Manual.

Agricultural project means any project located on, and proposing improvements consistent with, the permitted uses of land zoned for Agriculture (A zoned lands) as defined in KCC 21A.08.

Arterial – A high traffic-volume road or street primarily for through traffic. The term generally includes roads or streets considered collectors. It does not include local access roads which are generally limited to providing access to abutting property.

Bioretention – A flow control best management practice consisting of a shallow landscaped depression designed to temporarily store and promote infiltration of stormwater runoff. Standards for bioretention design, including soil mix, plants, storage volume and feasibility criteria, are specified in Appendix C of the King County Surface Water Design Manual.

Bioretention Water Quality Facility- means a vegetated cell, swale, or planter with the bottom composed of one or more layers of specified media for pollutant mitigation. Stormwater is treated by percolation through the bioretention media. Treated stormwater is infiltrated to native soil or is collected and discharged via an underdrain system. Standards for bioretention water quality facility design are detailed in Chapter 6 and Reference 14-B of the King County Surface Water Design Manual. Media specifications are found in Reference 11-C.

Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead (CESCL) means an individual who has current certification through an approved erosion and sediment control training program that meets the minimum training standards established by the Washington Department of Ecology Department (Ecology). A CESCL is knowledgeable in the principles and practices of erosion and sediment control. The CESCL must have the skills to assess ***site*** conditions and construction activities that could impact the quality of stormwater and, the effectiveness of erosion and sediment control measures used to control the quality of stormwater discharges. Certification is obtained through an Ecology approved erosion and sediment control course.

Construct or modify means to install a new drainage pipe or ditch or make improvements to an existing drainage pipe or ditch, for purposes other than maintenance, that either serves to concentrate previously unconcentrated surface water or stormwater runoff or serves to increase, decrease or redirect the conveyance of surface water or stormwater runoff. "Construct or modify" does not include installation or maintenance of a driveway culvert installed as part of a single-family residential building permit.

Civil engineer means a person licensed by the state of Washington as a professional engineer in civil engineering.

Conveyance system nuisance problem means a flooding or erosion problem that does not constitute a ***severe flooding problem*** or ***severe erosion problem*** and that results from the overflow of a constructed conveyance system for runoff events less than or equal to a 10-year event. Examples include inundation of a shoulder or lane of a roadway, overflows collecting in yards or pastures, shallow flows across driveways, minor flooding of crawl spaces or unheated garages/outbuildings, and minor erosion.

Critical aquifer recharge area is the critical area designation, defined and regulated in KCC 21A, that is applied to areas where extra protection of groundwater quantity and quality is needed because of known susceptibility to contamination and importance to drinking water supply. Such areas are delineated on the *King County Critical Aquifer Recharge Area Map* available at DLS-Permitting or on the County's Geographic Information System (GIS). See the "Definitions" section for more details.

Critical Drainage Area means an area where the Department of Natural Resources and Parks (DNRP) has determined that additional drainage controls (beyond those in this manual) are needed to address a severe flooding, drainage, and/or erosion condition that poses an imminent likelihood of harm to the welfare and safety of the surrounding community. Critical Drainage Areas (CDAs) are formally

adopted by administrative rule under the procedures specified in KCC 2.98. When CDAs are adopted, they are inserted in Reference Section 2 of this manual and their requirements are implemented through Special Requirement #1, Section 1.3.1.

Development means any activity that requires a permit or approval, including, but not limited to, a building permit, grading permit, shoreline substantial development permit, conditional use permit, special use permit, zoning variance or reclassification, subdivision, short subdivision, urban planned development, binding site plan, site development permit, or right-of-way use permit. "Development" does not include a Class I, II, III, or IV-S forest practice conducted in accordance with Chapter 76.09 RCW and Title 222 WAC or a class IV-G non-conversion forest practice, as defined in KCC 21A.06, conducted in accordance with Chapter 76.09 RCW and Title 222 WAC and a county approved forest management plan.

Development review engineer-The Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) employee responsible for the conditioning, review, inspection, and approval of right-of-way use permits, and road and drainage improvements constructed as part of development permits administered by the Permitting Division of the Department of Local Services.

Effective Impervious surface – Those impervious surfaces that are connected via sheet flow or discrete conveyance to a drainage system. Impervious surfaces are considered ineffective if: 1) the runoff is fully dispersed as described in Appendix C of this manual; 2) residential roof runoff is infiltrated in accordance with the full infiltration BMP described in Appendix C of this manual; or 3) approved continuous runoff modeling methods indicate that the entire runoff file is infiltrated.

Erodible or leachable materials, wastes, or chemicals are those materials or substances that, when exposed to rainfall, measurably alter the physical or chemical characteristics of the rainfall runoff (Examples include but are not limited to erodible soil, uncovered process wastes, manure, fertilizers, oily substances, ashes, kiln dust, garbage dumpster leakage, commercial-scale vehicle and animal wash waste, galvanized structural, architectural, cabinet, and utility steel, architectural copper, bronze, brass, and lead, treated lumber, etc.).

Erosion hazard area is the critical area designation, defined and regulated in KCC 21A, that is applied to areas underlain by soils that are subject to severe erosion when disturbed. See the "Definitions" section for more details.

Exposed means subject to direct or blown-in precipitation and/or direct or blown in runoff. Not ***fully covered***.

Exposed area or ***exposed material*** means not covered sufficiently to shield from rainfall and stormwater runoff. At a minimum, full coverage to not be considered ***exposed*** requires a roof with enough overhang in conjunction with walls of sufficient height to prevent rainfall blow-in; and the walls must extend into the ground or to a berm or footing to prevent runoff from being blown in or from running onto the covered area.

Existing site conditions means those that existed prior to May 1979 (when King County first required flow control facilities) as determined from aerial photographs and, if necessary, knowledge of individuals familiar with the area, unless a drainage plan for land cover changes has been approved by the County since May 1979 as part of a ***development*** permit or approval. If so, *existing site conditions* are those created by the ***site*** improvements and drainage facilities constructed per the approved drainage plan.

Flood hazard area is the critical area designation, defined and regulated in KCC 21A, that is applied to areas subject to inundation by a 100-year flood event or areas at risk from channel migration. Flood hazard areas generally include, but are not limited to, aquatic areas (e.g., streams or lakes), wetlands, or closed depressions. See the "Definitions" section for more details.

Flow control BMP means a small scale drainage facility or feature that is part of a development site strategy to use processes such as infiltration, dispersion, storage, evaporation, transpiration, forest

retention, and reduced impervious surface footprint to mimic pre-developed hydrology and minimize stormwater runoff.

Fully covered means covered sufficiently to shield from rainfall and stormwater runoff. At a minimum, full coverage requires a roof with enough overhang in conjunction with walls of sufficient height to prevent rainfall blow-in; and the walls must extend into the ground or to a berm or footing to prevent runoff from being blown in or from running onto the covered area. Not ***exposed***.

Fully dispersed means the runoff from an impervious surface or non-native pervious surface has dispersed per the criteria for fully dispersed surface in Section 1.2.3.2.

Groundwater protection areas include ***critical aquifer recharge areas*** as defined in KCC 21A, sole source aquifer areas as designated by the federal Environmental Protection Agency, and wellhead protection areas as mapped by the Washington State Department of Health.

High-use site means that area within a commercial or industrial site that typically generates or is subject to runoff containing high concentrations of oil due to high traffic turnover, on-site vehicle or heavy or stationary equipment use, or the frequent transfer of liquid petroleum or coal derivative products. High-use sites include:

1. That area of a commercial or industrial site that:
    a. has an expected average daily traffic (ADT) count equal to or greater than 100 vehicles per 1,000 square feet of gross building area; or
    b. is subject to petroleum storage or transfer in excess of 1,500 gallons per year, not including delivered heating oil at the end-user point of delivery; or
    c. is subject to use, storage, or maintenance of a fleet of 25 or more diesel or jet fuel (aviation turbine fuel) vehicles that are over 10 tons net weight (trucks, buses, trains, airplanes, tugs, mobile and fuel-driven or hydraulic stationary heavy equipment, etc.); or
2. The interior of any road intersection and that portion of lanes leading into the intersection subject to braking, turning, or stopping, with a measured ADT count of 25,000 vehicles or more on the main roadway and 15,000 vehicles or more on any intersecting roadway. Projects proposing primarily pedestrian or bicycle use improvements are excluded.

Historic site conditions means those that existed on the ***site*** prior to any development in the Puget Sound region. For lands not currently submerged (i.e., outside the ordinary high water mark of a lake, wetland, or stream), historic site conditions shall be assumed to be forest cover unless reasonable, historic, ***site***-specific information is provided to demonstrate a different vegetation cover. In some stream basins, as allowed per Section 1.2.3.1.B, historic site conditions for lands not currently submerged may be assumed to be 75% forest, 15% grass, and 10% impervious surface.

Impaired waterbody or impaired receiving water means where the receiving waterbody is:

(1) listed as impaired according to Ecology's Water Quality Assessment categories 2, 4, or 5 for water or sediment, as documented in the state's Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA Ecology's electronic database and map viewer of these waterbodies, or

(2) is currently designated by the County as polluted based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard as documented in the latest published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021, or

(3) where subject to any other local, state, or federal cleanup plan or contaminated site designation.

Impervious surface means a hard surface area that either prevents or retards the entry of water into the soil mantle as under natural conditions before development; or that causes water to run off the surface in greater quantities or at an increased rate of flow compared to the flow present under natural conditions prior to development (see also "new impervious surface"). Common impervious surfaces include, but are not limited to, roof, walkways, patios, driveways, parking lots, or storage areas, areas that are

paved, graveled or made of packed or oiled earthen materials or other surfaces that similarly impede the natural infiltration of surface water or stormwater. For the purposes of applying the impervious surface thresholds and exemptions contained in this manual, permeable pavement, vegetated roofs, and pervious surfaces with underdrains designed to collect stormwater runoff are considered impervious surface while an open uncovered flow control or water quality facility is not. However, for the purposes of computing runoff, uncovered flow control or water quality facilities shall be modeled as impervious surfaces as specified in Chapter 3.

Land disturbing activity means any activity that results in a change in the existing soil cover, both vegetative and non-vegetative, or the existing soil topography. Land disturbing activities include, but are not limited to demolition, construction, clearing, grading, filling, excavation, and compaction. Land disturbing activity does not include tilling conducted as part of agricultural practices, landscape maintenance, or gardening.

Landscape management plan means a King County approved plan for defining the layout and long-term maintenance of landscaping features to minimize the use of pesticides and fertilizers to reduce their discharge, and to reduce the discharge of suspended solids and other pollutants.

Landslide hazard area is the critical area designation, defined and regulated in KCC 21A, that is applied to areas subject to severe risk of landslide due to topography, soil conditions, and geology. See the "Definitions" section for more details.

Landslide hazard drainage area means an area mapped by the County where it has been determined that overland flows from a project will pose a significant threat to health and safety because of its close proximity to a ***landslide hazard area*** that is on a slope steeper than 15%. Such areas are delineated on the Landslide Hazard Drainage Areas map adopted with this manual and found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap.

Low Impact Development (LID) – A stormwater and land use management strategy that strives to mimic pre-disturbance hydrologic processes of infiltration, filtration, storage, evaporation and transpiration by emphasizing conservation, use of on-site natural features, site planning, and distributed stormwater management practices that are integrated into a project design.

LID Best Management Practices – Distributed stormwater management practices, integrated into a project design, that emphasize pre-disturbance hydrologic processes of infiltration, filtration, storage, evaporation and transpiration. LID BMPs are referred to as flow control BMPs in this manual and include, but are not limited to, bioretention, permeable pavements, limited infiltration systems, roof downspout controls, dispersion, soil quality and depth, and minimal excavation foundations.

LID Principles – Land use management strategies that emphasize conservation, use of on-site natural features, and site planning to minimize impervious surfaces, native vegetation loss, and stormwater runoff.

Maintenance means those usual activities taken to prevent a decline, lapse, or cessation in the use of currently serviceable structures, facilities, BMPs, equipment, or systems if there is no expansion of any of these, and there are no significant hydrologic impacts. Maintenance includes the repair or replacement of non-functional facilities and BMPs, and the replacement of existing structures with different types of structures, if the repair or replacement is required to meet current engineering standards or is required by one or more environmental permits and the functioning characteristics of the original facility or structure are not changed. For the purposes of applying this definition to the thresholds and requirements of this manual, DLS-Permitting will determine whether the functioning characteristics of the original facility, structure, or BMP will remain sufficiently unchanged to consider replacement as maintenance. Drainage review is not required for projects proposing only maintenance.

Note*: The following pavement maintenance practices are not categorically exempt from drainage review: removing and replacing a paved surface to base course or lower (ie. "replaced impervious*

surfaces"), extending the edge of pavement or paving graveled shoulders, or resurfacing that meets the definition of "new impervious surface" in this manual.

Major receiving water means a large receiving water that has been determined by King County to be safe for the direct discharge of increased runoff from a proposed project without a flow control facility, subject to the restrictions on such discharges set forth in Core Requirement #3, Section 1.2.3. A list of major receiving waters is provided in Table 1.2.3.B (p. 1-41). Major receiving waters are also considered safe for application of Basic WQ treatment in place of otherwise required Enhanced Basic WQ treatment (see Section 1.2.8.1), except where the ***receiving water*** meets the definition of **impaired waterbody** or **impaired receiving water**, specifically with regard to heavy metals.

Native vegetated surface means a surface in which the soil conditions, ground cover, and species of vegetation are like those of the original native condition for the ***site***. More specifically, this means (1) the soil is either undisturbed or has been treated according to the "native vegetated landscape" specifications in Appendix C, Section C.2.1.8; (2) the ground is either naturally covered with vegetation litter or has been top-dressed between plants with 4 inches of mulch consistent with the native vegetated landscape specifications in Appendix C; and (3) the vegetation is either (a) comprised predominantly of plant species, other than noxious weeds, that are indigenous to the coastal region of the Pacific Northwest and that reasonably could have been expected to occur naturally on the ***site*** or (b) comprised of plant species specified for a native vegetated landscape in Appendix C. Examples of these plant species include trees such as Douglas fir, western hemlock, western red cedar, alder, big-leaf maple and vine maple; shrubs such as willow, elderberry, salmonberry and salal; and herbaceous plants such as sword fern, foam flower, and fireweed.

Natural discharge area means an onsite area tributary to a single ***natural discharge location***.

Natural discharge location means the location where surface and storm water runoff leaves (or would leave if not infiltrated or retained) the ***site*** or ***project site*** under ***existing site conditions***.

New impervious surface means the addition of a hard or compacted surface like roofs, pavement, gravel, or dirt; or the addition of a more compacted surface, like paving over pre-existing dirt or gravel. Permeable pavement and vegetated roofs are considered new impervious surface for purposes of determining whether the thresholds for application of minimum requirements are exceeded, as are lawns, landscaping, sports fields, golf courses, and other areas that have modified runoff characteristics resulting from the addition of underdrains designed to collect stormwater runoff. Open, uncovered retention/detention facilities shall not be considered impervious surfaces for purposes of determining whether the thresholds for application of minimum requirements are exceeded. Open, uncovered retention/detention facilities shall be considered impervious surfaces for purposes of runoff modeling.

New pervious surface means the conversion of a ***native vegetated surface*** or other native surface to a non-native pervious surface (e.g., conversion of forest or meadow to pasture land, grass land, cultivated land, lawn, landscaping, bare soil, etc.), or any alteration of existing non-native pervious surface that significantly increases surface and storm water runoff (e.g., conversion of pasture land, grass land, or cultivated land to lawn, landscaping, or bare soil; or alteration of soil characteristics).

New PGIS means ***new impervious surface*** that is ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** or any alteration of existing pollution-generating impervious surface that changes the type of pollutants or results in increased pollution loads and/or concentrations.

New PGPS means ***new pervious surface*** that is ***pollution-generating pervious surface*** or any alteration of existing pollution-generating pervious surface that changes the type of pollutants or results in increased pollution loads and/or concentrations.

Permeable pavement – Pervious concrete, porous asphalt, permeable pavers or other forms of pervious or porous paving material intended to allow passage of water through the pavement section. It often includes an aggregate base that provides structural support and acts as a stormwater reservoir.

Pervious Surface – Any surface material that allows stormwater to infiltrate into the ground. Examples include lawn, landscape, pasture, and native vegetation areas. Note for purposes of threshold determination and runoff volume modeling for detention and treatment, vegetated roofs and permeable pavements are to be considered impervious surfaces along with lawns, landscaping, sports fields, golf courses, and other areas that have modified runoff characteristics resulting from the addition of underdrains.

Pollution-generating impervious surface* (*PGIS*)** means an impervious surface considered to be a significant source of pollutants in stormwater runoff. Such surfaces include those that are ***subject to vehicular use, industrial activities, or storage of ***erodible or leachable materials, wastes, or chemicals***, and that receive direct rainfall or the run-on or blow-in of rainfall. A covered parking area would be included if runoff from uphill could regularly run through it or if rainfall could regularly blow in and wet the pavement surface. PGIS includes metal roofs unless they are coated with an inert, non-leachable material (see Reference 11-E); or roofs that are exposed to the venting of significant amounts of dusts, mists, or fumes from manufacturing, commercial , or other indoor activities. PGIS includes vegetated roofs exposed to pesticides, fertilizers, or loss of soil. Other roofing types that may pose risk but are not currently regulated are listed in Reference 11-E. Lawns, landscaping, sports fields, golf courses, and other areas that have modified runoff characteristics resulting from the addition of underdrains that have the pollution generating characteristics described under the "pollution-generating pervious surface" definition are also considered ***PGIS***.

Pollution-generating pervious surface* (*PGPS*)** means a non-impervious surface considered to be a significant source of pollutants in surface and storm water runoff. Such surfaces include those that are ***subject to vehicular use, industrial activities, storage of ***erodible or leachable materials, wastes, or chemicals***, and that receive direct rainfall or the run-on or blow-in of rainfall; or subject to use of pesticides and fertilizers, or loss of soil. Such surfaces include, but are not limited to, the lawn and landscaped areas of residential, commercial, and industrial sites or land uses, golf courses, parks, sports fields (natural and artificial turf), cemeteries, and County-standard grassed modular grid pavement.

Project site means that portion of a ***site*** and any offsite areas subject to proposed project activities, alterations, and improvements including those required by this manual.

Rain Garden – A shallow, landscaped depression with compost-amended native soils and adapted plants. The depression is designed to pond and temporarily store stormwater runoff from adjacent areas, and to allow stormwater to pass through the amended soil profile.

Receiving waters means bodies of water, surface water systems, or groundwater receiving water from upstream man-made or natural systems.

Redevelopment project means a project that proposes to add, replace, or modify impervious surfaces for purposes other than a residential subdivision or maintenance on a ***site*** that is already substantially developed in a manner consistent with its current zoning or with a legal non-conforming use, or has an existing impervious surface coverage of 35% or more. The following examples illustrate the application of this definition.

A Redevelopment Project that Adds New Impervious Surface



A Redevelopment Project that Replaces Impervious Surface



A Redev Project that Adds and Replaces Impervious Surface



Replaced impervious surface means any existing impervious surface on the ***project site*** that is proposed to be removed and re-established as impervious surface, excluding impervious surface removed for the sole purpose of installing utilities or performing maintenance on underground infrastructure. For structures, *removed* means the removal of buildings down to the foundation. For other impervious surfaces, *removed* means the removal down to base course or bare soil. For purposes of this definition, base course is the layer of crushed rock that typically underlies an asphalt or concrete pavement. It does not include the removal of pavement material through grinding or other surface modification unless the entire layer of PCC or AC is removed. Replaced impervious surface also includes impervious surface that is moved from one location to another on the project site where the following two conditions are met: (A) the area from which the impervious surface is moved from will be restored to the same or better runoff discharge characteristics as the area being covered by the moved impervious surface, and (B) impervious surface at the new location is either designated as non-pollution generating or the pollution generating characteristics remain unchanged compared to that of the original location.

Replaced PGIS means ***replaced impervious surface*** that is ***pollution-generating impervious surface***.

Sensitive lake means a designation applied by the County to lakes that are particularly prone to eutrophication from development-induced increases in phosphorus loading. Such lakes are identified on the Water Quality Applications Map adopted with this manual and found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap.

Severe building flooding problem means there is flooding of the *finished floor area*[1] of a *habitable building*,[2] or the electrical/heating system of a habitable building for runoff events less than or equal to a 100-year event. Examples include flooding of finished floors of homes and commercial or industrial buildings, or flooding of electrical/heating system components in the crawl space or garage of a home.

Severe erosion problem means there is an open drainage feature with evidence of or potential for erosion/incision sufficient to pose a sedimentation hazard to downstream conveyance systems or pose a landslide hazard by undercutting adjacent slopes. Severe erosion problems do not include roadway shoulder rilling or minor ditch erosion.

[1] *Finished floor area*, for the purposes of defining ***severe building flooding problem***, means any enclosed area of a building that is designed to be served by the building's permanent heating or cooling system.

[2] *Habitable building* means any residential, commercial, or industrial building that is equipped with a permanent heating or cooling system and an electrical system.

Severe flooding problem means a ***severe building flooding problem*** or a ***severe roadway flooding problem***.

Severe roadway flooding problem means there is flooding over all lanes of a *roadway*,[3] or a *sole access driveway*[4] is severely impacted, for runoff events less than or equal to the 100-year event. A severely impacted sole access driveway is one in which flooding overtops a culverted section of the driveway, posing a threat of washout or unsafe access conditions due to indiscernible driveway edges, or flooding is deeper than 6 inches on the driveway, posing a severe impediment to emergency access.

Single family residential project means any project that (a) constructs or modifies a single family dwelling unit, (b) makes improvements (e.g., driveways, roads, outbuildings, play courts, etc.) or clears native vegetation on a lot that contains or will contain a single family dwelling unit, or (c) is a plat, short plat, or boundary line adjustment that creates or adjusts lots that will contain single family dwelling units.

Site means a single parcel; or, two or more contiguous parcels that are under common ownership or documented legal control; or a portion of a single parcel under documented legal control separate from the remaining parcel, used as a single parcel for a proposed project for purposes of applying for authority from King County to carry out a proposed project. For projects located primarily within dedicated rights-of-way, the length of the project site and the right-of-way boundaries define the site.

Steep slope hazard area is the critical area designation, defined and regulated in KCC 21A, that is applied to areas on a slope of 40% or more within a vertical elevation change of at least 10 feet. See the "Definitions" section for more details.

Subject to vehicular use means the surface is regularly used by motor vehicles including but not limited to motorcycles, cars, trucks, busses, aircraft, tractors, and heavy equipment. The following surfaces are considered regularly used by motor vehicles: roads, un-vegetated road shoulders, bike lanes within the traveled lane of a roadway, driveways, parking lots, unrestricted access fire lanes, vehicular equipment storage yards, and airport taxiways and runways. The following surfaces are not considered regularly used by motor vehicles: paved bicycle pathways separated from and not subject to drainage from roads for motor vehicles, fenced or restricted access fire lanes, and maintenance access roads with a recurring use of no more than one routine vehicle access per week.

Threshold discharge area means an onsite area draining to a single ***natural discharge location***, or multiple ***natural discharge locations*** that combine within one-quarter-mile downstream (as determined by the shortest flowpath). The examples below illustrate this definition. This term is used

[3] *Roadway*, for the purposes of this definition, means the traveled portion of any public or private road or street classified as such in the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards*.

[4] *Sole access driveway* means there is no other unobstructed, flood-free route for emergency access to a habitable building.

to clarify how the thresholds, exemptions, and exceptions of this manual are applied to ***sites*** with multiple discharge locations.



Transportation redevelopment project means a stand-alone transportation improvement project that proposes to add, replace, or modify impervious surface, for purposes other than maintenance, within a length of dedicated public or private road right-of-way that has an existing impervious surface coverage of thirty-five percent or more. Road right-of-way improvements required as part of a subdivision, commercial, industrial or multifamily project may not be defined as a separate transportation redevelopment project.

1.1 DRAINAGE REVIEW

Drainage review is the evaluation by King County staff of a proposed project's compliance with the drainage requirements of this manual. The King County department responsible for drainage review is the Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) unless otherwise specified in KCC 9.04. Drainage review by DLS-Permitting is an integral part of its permit review process for development projects. This section describes when and what type of drainage review is required for a proposed project and how to determine which drainage requirements apply.

The section covers the following topics related to drainage review:

- "Projects Requiring Drainage Review," Section 1.1.1 (p. 1-12)
- "Drainage Review Types and Requirements," Section 1.1.2 (p. 1-13)
- "Drainage Review Required By Other Agencies," Section 1.1.3 (p. 1-24)
- "Drainage Design Beyond Minimum Compliance," Section 1.1.4 (p. 1-24)

Guide to Using Section 1.1

The following steps are recommended for efficient use of Section 1.1:

1. Determine whether your proposed project is subject to the requirements of this manual by seeing if it meets any of the **thresholds for drainage review** specified in Section 1.1.1 (p. 1-12). Making this determination requires an understanding of the key terms defined at the beginning of this chapter.
2. If drainage review is required per Section 1.1.1, use the flow chart in Figure 1.1.2.A (p. 1-14) to determine what **type of drainage review** will be conducted by DLS-Permitting. The type of drainage review defines the scope of drainage requirements that will apply to your project as summarized in Table 1.1.2.A (p. 1-15).
3. Check the more detailed threshold information in Section 1.1.2 (beginning on p. 1-13) to verify that you have determined the correct type of drainage review.
4. After verifying the type of drainage review, use the information in Section 1.1.2 to determine which **core requirements** (found in Section 1.2) and which **special requirements** (found in Section 1.3) must be evaluated for compliance by your project. To determine how to comply with each applicable core and special requirement, see the more detailed information on these requirements contained in Sections 1.2 and 1.3 of this chapter.

Note: For Steps 2 through 4, it is recommended that you arrange a predesign meeting with DLS-Permitting permit review staff to confirm the type of drainage review and scope of drainage requirements that apply to your proposed project.

1.1.1 PROJECTS REQUIRING DRAINAGE REVIEW

Drainage review is required for any proposed project (except those proposing only **maintenance**) that is subject to a King County **development** permit or approval, including but not limited to those listed at right, AND that meets any one of the following conditions:

1. The project adds or will result in 2,000 square feet[5] or more of ***new impervious surface***, ***replaced impervious surface***, or ***new*** plus ***replaced impervious surface***, OR
2. The project proposes 7,000 square feet[5] or more of ***land disturbing activity***, OR
3. The project proposes to ***construct or modify*** a drainage pipe/ditch that is 12 inches or more in size/depth, or receives storm water runoff or surface water from a drainage pipe/ditch that is 12 inches or more in size/depth, OR
4. The project contains or is adjacent to a ***flood hazard area*** as defined in KCC 21A.06, OR
5. The project is located within a ***Critical Drainage Area.***[6] OR
6. The project is a ***redevelopment project*** proposing $100,000[7] or more of improvements to an existing ***high-use site***.

If drainage review is required for the proposed project, the type of drainage review must be determined based on project and site characteristics as described in Section 1.1.2. The type of drainage review defines the scope of drainage requirements that must be evaluated for compliance with this manual.

King County Permits and Approvals

Administrative Subdivision (Short Plat)
Binding Site Plan
Boundary Line Adjustment
Conditional Use*
Clearing
Commercial Building
Experimental Design Adjustment*
Formal Subdivision (plat)
Franchise Utility Right-of-Way Use
Grading
Right-of-Way Use
Shoreline Substantial Development*
Single Family Residential Building
Special Use*
Unclassified Use*
Urban Planned Development
Zoning Reclassification*
Zoning Variance*

**Note: If the proposed project will require subsequent permits subject to drainage review, then DLS-Permitting may allow the drainage review to be deferred until application for the later permits.*

[5] The thresholds for ***new impervious surface, replaced impervious surface,*** and ***land disturbing activity*** shall be applied by ***project site*** and in accordance with the definitions of these surfaces and activities.

[6] See Reference Section 2 for a list of ***Critical Drainage Areas***.

[7] This is the "project valuation" as declared on the permit application submitted to DLS-Permitting. The dollar amount of this threshold is considered to be as of January 8, 2001 and may be adjusted on an annual basis using the local consumer price index (CPI). *Note: January 8, 2001 is the effective date of the ESA 4(d) Rule for Puget Sound Chinook salmon.*

1.1.2 DRAINAGE REVIEW TYPES AND REQUIREMENTS

For most projects resulting in 2,000 square feet or more of ***new*** plus ***replaced impervious surface***, the full range of core and special requirements contained in Sections 1.2 and 1.3 must be evaluated for compliance through the drainage review process. However, for some types of projects, the scope of requirements applied is narrowed to allow more efficient, customized review. Each of the following four drainage review types tailors the review process and application of drainage requirements to a project's size, location, type of development, and anticipated impacts to the local and regional surface water system:

- Simplified Drainage Review, Section 1.1.2.1 (p. 1-16)
- Targeted Drainage Review, Section 1.1.2.2 (p. 1-18)
- Directed Drainage Review, Section 1.1.2.3 (p. 1-21)
- Full Drainage Review, Section 1.1.2.4 (p. 1-22)
- Large Project Drainage Review, Section 1.1.2.5 (p. 1-23).

Each project requires only one of the above drainage review types, with the single exception that a project that qualifies for Simplified Drainage Review may also require Targeted Drainage Review. Figure 1.1.2.A (next page) can be used to determine which drainage review type is required. However, this may entail consulting the more detailed thresholds for each review type specified in the above-referenced sections.

Table 1.1.2.A (p. 1-15) can be used to quickly identify which requirements are applied in each type of drainage review. The applicant must evaluate the requirements "checked" for a particular drainage review type to determine what is necessary for compliance.

FIGURE 1.1.2.A FLOW CHART FOR DETERMINING TYPE OF DRAINAGE REVIEW REQUIRED



TABLE 1.1.2.A REQUIREMENTS APPLIED UNDER EACH DRAINAGE REVIEW TYPE

Simplified	***Single family residential projects*** and ***agricultural projects*** that result in ≥2,000 sf of ***new*** plus ***replaced impervious surface*** or ≥7,000 sf of ***land disturbing activity*** but do not exceed the new plus replaced PGIS, new PGPS, and ***new pervious surface*** thresholds specified in Sec. 1.1.2.1; OR is an agricultural project that qualifies for the "Impervious Surface Percentage Exemption For Agricultural Projects".
Directed	***Single family residential projects*** and ***agricultural projects*** that result in ≥2,000 sf of ***new*** plus ***replaced impervious surface*** or ≥7,000 sf of ***land disturbing activity*** that are not subject to Simplified Drainage Review or Large Project Drainage Review
Targeted	Projects that **are not** subject to Directed, Full or Large Project Drainage Review, AND have characteristics of **one or more** of the following categories of projects: 1. Projects containing or adjacent to a ***flood***, ***erosion***, or ***steep slope hazard area***; projects within a ***Critical Drainage Area*** or Landslide Hazard Drainage Area. 2. Projects that ***construct or modify*** a drainage pipe/ditch that is 12" or larger or receive runoff from a 12" or larger drainage pipe/ditch. 3. ***Redevelopment projects*** with ≥$100,000 in improvements to a ***high-use site.***(1)
Full	All projects that result in ≥2,000 sf of ***new*** plus ***replaced impervious surface*** or ≥7,000 sf of ***land disturbing activity*** but are not subject to Simplified Drainage Review, Directed Drainage Review , OR Large Project Drainage Review.
Large Project	UPDs, OR projects that result in ≥50 acres of ***new impervious*** within a sub-basin or multiple sub- basins that are hydraulically connected, OR ***project sites*** ≥50 acres within a ***critical aquifer recharge area***.

	DRAINAGE REVIEW TYPE						
	Simplified	Directed	Targeted			Full	Large Project
			Categ 1	Categ 2	Categ 3		
SIMPLIFIED DRAINAGE REQUIREMENTS	**SEE NOTE 4**						
CORE REQUIREMENT #1 Discharge at Natural Location	✓(4)	✓(2,3)	*(2)	✓		✓	✓
CORE REQUIREMENT #2 Offsite Analysis	✓(4)	✓(2,3)	*(2)	✓(3)		✓(3)	✓(3)
CORE REQUIREMENT #3 Flow Control	✓(4)	✓(2,3)	*(2)			✓(3)	✓(3)
CORE REQUIREMENT #4 Conveyance System	✓(4)	✓(2,3)	*(2)	✓		✓	✓
CORE REQUIREMENT #5 Erosion & Sediment Control	✓(4)	✓(2,3)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
CORE REQUIREMENT #6 Maintenance & Operations	✓(4)	✓(2,3)	*(2)	✓	✓	✓	✓
CORE REQUIREMENT #7 Financial Guarantees & Liability	✓(4)	✓(2,3)	*(2)	✓(3)	✓(3)	✓(3)	✓(3)
CORE REQUIREMENT #8 Water Quality	✓(4)	✓(2,3)	*(2)			✓(3)	✓(3)
CORE REQUIREMENT #9 Flow Control BMPs	✓(4)	✓				✓	✓
SPECIAL REQUIREMENT #1 Other Adopted Requirements	✓(4)	✓(2,3)	✓(3)			✓(3)	✓(3)
SPECIAL REQUIREMENT #2 Flood Hazard Area Delineation	✓(4)	✓(2,3)	✓(3)			✓(3)	✓(3)
SPECIAL REQUIREMENT #3 Flood Protection Facilities	✓(4)	✓(2,3)	✓(3)			✓(3)	✓(3)
SPECIAL REQUIREMENT #4 Source Control	✓(4)	✓(2,3)	✓(3)	✓(3)	✓(3)	✓(3)	✓(3)
SPECIAL REQUIREMENT #5 Oil Control	✓(4)	✓(2,3)			✓(3)	✓(3)	✓(3)

(1) Category 3 projects installing oil controls that construct or modify a 12-inch pipe/ditch are also Category 2 projects.

(2) May be applied by DLS-Permitting based on project or ***site***-specific conditions. Documentation of compliance required.

(3) These requirements have exemptions or thresholds that may preclude or limit their application to a specific project.

(4) A proposed project subject to Simplified Drainage Review that complies with the Simplified drainage requirements detailed in Appendix C is presumed to comply with all the core and special requirements in Sections 1.2 and 1.3 except those requirements that would apply to the project if it is subject to Targeted Drainage Review as specified in Section 1.1.2.2.

1.1.2.1 SIMPLIFIED DRAINAGE REVIEW

Simplified Drainage Review is for small residential building projects, clearing projects or small ***agricultural project*s** that meet the threshold requirements below. The core and special requirements applied under Full Drainage Review are replaced with simplified drainage requirements that can be applied by a non-engineer. These requirements include simple stormwater dispersion, infiltration, and ***site*** design techniques called flow control Best Management Practices (BMPs), which provide the necessary mitigation of flow and water quality impacts for small projects. Also included are simple measures for erosion and sediment control (ESC). This simplified form of drainage review acknowledges that drainage impacts for many small project proposals can be effectively mitigated without construction of costly flow control and water quality facilities.

The Simplified Drainage Review process minimizes the time and effort required to design, submit, review, and approve drainage facilities for these proposals. In most cases, the requirements can be met with submittals prepared by contractors, architects, or homeowners without the involvement of a ***civil engineer***.

Note: some projects subject to Simplified Drainage Review may also require Targeted Drainage Review if they meet any of the threshold criteria in Section 1.1.2.2.

THRESHOLD

Threshold

Simplified Drainage Review is required for any ***single family residential project*** or ***agricultural project*** that will result in 2,000 square feet[8] or more of ***new impervious surface***, ***replaced impervious surface***, or ***new*** plus ***replaced impervious surface***, or 7,000 square feet[8] or more of ***land disturbing activity***, AND that meets the following criteria:

The project will result in less than 5,000 square feet of new plus replaced pollution generating impervious surface, will result in less than ¾ acre of new pollution generating pervious surfaces, AND meets one of the following six additional criteria:

1. **The project meets the Basic Exemption from flow control in Core Requirement #3.** *Note the Basic Exemption thresholds are applied by project site.*
2. **For projects inside the Urban Growth Area on predominately till soils:**
   The project results in no more than 7,947 square feet of target impervious surfaces as defined below AND proposed pervious area is equal to or less than 14,941 – 1.88 x (total target impervious surfaces).
3. **For projects inside the Urban Growth Area on predominately outwash soils:**
   The project results in no more than 6,872 square feet of target impervious surfaces as defined below AND proposed pervious area is equal to or less than 20,343 – 2.96 x (total target impervious surfaces).
4. **For outside the Urban Growth Area on predominately till soils:**
   The project results in no more than 5,074 square feet of target impervious surfaces as defined below AND proposed pervious area is equal to or less than 11,570 – 2.28 x (total target impervious surfaces).
5. **For outside the Urban Growth Area on predominately outwash soils:**
   The project results in no more than 4,000 square feet of target impervious surfaces as defined below AND proposed pervious area is equal to or less than 10,720 – 2.68 x (total target impervious surfaces).
6. **For Agricultural Projects**:
   The project is an agricultural project that qualifies for "Impervious Surface Percentage Exemption For Agricultural Projects" as cited in Core Requirement 3 (Flow Control Facilities).

Determination of target impervious surface

- If the project is a New Development project,
  then target impervious surfaces include new ***plus proposed replaced impervious surface*** plus existing impervious surface added on or after January 8, 2001.
- If the project is a Redevelopment project ***where***
  - New impervious surface is less than 5,000 square feet ***or***

[8] The thresholds of 2,000 and 7,000 square feet shall be applied by project site. All other thresholds specified in terms of square feet of impervious or pervious surface shall be applied by ***threshold discharge area*** and in accordance with the definitions of these surfaces in Section 1.1. *Note: the calculation of total impervious surface added on after January 8, 2001 may exclude any such added impervious surface that is confirmed by DLS-Permitting engineering staff to be already mitigated by a County approved and inspected flow control facility or BMP.*

- Valuation of improvements is less than 50% of the **assessed value** of the existing site improvements,

then target impervious surfaces include new impervious surface plus existing impervious added on or after January 8, 2001.

- If the project is a Redevelopment project ***where***
  - New impervious surface is greater than or equal to 5,000 square feet ***and***
  - Valuation of improvements is greater than or equal to 50% of the **assessed value** of the existing site improvements,

then target impervious surfaces include new ***plus proposed replaced impervious surface*** plus existing impervious surface added on or after January 8, 2001.

*Note: for the purposes applying this threshold to a proposed single family residential subdivision (i.e., plat or short plat project), the impervious surface coverage assumed on each created lot shall be 4,000 square feet (8,000 square feet if the **site** is zoned as RA) or the maximum allowed by KCC 21A.12.030, whichever is less. A lower impervious surface coverage may be assumed for any lot in which the lower impervious surface coverage is set as the maximum through a declaration of covenant recorded for the lot. Also, the **new pervious surface** assumed on each created lot shall be the entire lot area, except the assumed impervious portion and any portion in which native conditions are preserved by a clearing limit per KCC 16.82, a covenant or easement recorded for the lot, or a tract dedicated by the proposed subdivision.*

Scope of Requirements

REQMTS

IF Simplified Drainage Review is required, THEN the proposed project must comply with the simplified project submittal and drainage design requirements detailed in *Simplified Drainage Requirements* adopted as Appendix C to this manual. These requirements include simplified BMPs/measures for flow control and erosion and sediment control.

Presumption of Compliance with Core and Special Requirements

The simplified drainage requirements applied under Simplified Drainage Review are considered sufficient to meet the overall intent of the core and special requirements in Sections 1.2 and 1.3, except under certain conditions when a proposed project has characteristics that trigger Targeted Drainage Review (see the threshold for Targeted Drainage Review in Section 1.1.2.2, p. 1-18) and may require the involvement of a ***civil engineer***. Therefore, any proposed project that is subject to Simplified Drainage Review as determined above and complies with the Simplified drainage requirements detailed in Appendix C is presumed to comply with all the core and special requirements in Sections 1.2 and 1.3 **except** those requirements that would apply to the project if it is subject to Targeted Drainage Review as specified in Section 1.1.2.2 (p. 1-18).

1.1.2.2 TARGETED DRAINAGE REVIEW

Targeted Drainage Review (TDR) is an abbreviated evaluation by DLS-Permitting permit review staff of a proposed project's compliance with selected core and special requirements. Projects subject to this type of drainage review are typically Simplified Drainage Review proposals or other small projects that have ***site***-specific or project-specific drainage concerns that must be addressed by a ***civil engineer*** or DLS-Permitting engineering review staff. Under Targeted Drainage Review, engineering costs associated with drainage design and review are kept to a minimum because the review includes only those requirements that would apply to the particular project.

Threshold

THRESHOLD

Targeted Drainage Review is required for any proposed project that is subject to drainage review as determined in Section 1.1.1, but is not subject to Directed, Full or Large Project Drainage Review as determined in Sections 1.1.2.3, 1.1.2.4 and 1.1.2.5, AND that has the characteristics of one or more of the following project categories:

- **TDR Project Category #1:** Projects that contain or are adjacent to a ***flood hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***steep slope hazard area*** as defined in KCC 21A.06; OR projects located within a ***Critical Drainage Area*** or ***Landslide Hazard Drainage Area***. *Note: at the discretion of DLS-Permitting, this category may also include any project in Simplified Drainage Review that has a design or* ***site****-specific issue that must be addressed by a* ***civil engineer****.*
- **TDR Project Category #2:** Projects that propose to ***construct or modify*** a drainage pipe/ditch that is 12 inches or more in size/depth or receives surface and storm water runoff from a drainage pipe/ditch that is 12 inches or more in size/depth.
- **TDR Project Category #3:** ***Redevelopment projects*** that propose $100,000 or more of improvements to an existing ***high-use site***.

Scope of Requirements

REQMTS

IF Targeted Drainage Review is required, THEN the applicant must demonstrate that the proposed project complies with the selected core and special requirements corresponding to the project category or categories that best match the proposed project. The project categories and applicable requirements for each are described below and summarized in Table 1.1.2.A (p. 1-15).

Note: If the proposed project has the characteristics of more than one project category, the requirements of each applicable category shall apply.

Compliance with these requirements requires the submittal of engineering plans and calculations stamped by a ***civil engineer***, unless deemed unnecessary by DLS-Permitting. The engineer need only demonstrate compliance with those core and special requirements that have been predetermined to be applicable based on specific project characteristics as detailed below. The procedures and requirements for submitting engineering plans and calculations can be found in Section 2.3.

TDR Project Category #1

This category includes projects that are too small to trigger application of most core requirements, but may be subject to ***site***-specific floodplain or drainage requirements related to certain critical areas, or other area-specific drainage requirements adopted by the County. Such projects primarily include ***single family residential projects*** and ***agricultural projects*** in Simplified Drainage Review.

REQUIREMENTS

IF the proposed project meets the characteristics of TDR Project Category #1, THEN the applicant must demonstrate that the project complies with the following five requirements:

- Core Requirement #5: Erosion and Sediment Control, Section 1.2.5 (p. 1-60)
- Special Requirement #1: Other Adopted Area-Specific Requirements, Section 1.3.1 (p. 1-99)
- Special Requirement #2: Floodplain/Floodway Analysis, Section 1.3.2 (p. 1-101)
- Special Requirement #3: Flood Protection Facilities, Section 1.3.3 (p. 1-102)
- Special Requirement #4: Source Control, Section 1.3.4 (p. 1-103)

In addition, **DLS-Permitting may require** the applicant to demonstrate compliance with any one or more of the **remaining seven core requirements** in Section 1.2 based on project or ***site***-specific conditions. For example, if the proposed project discharges to an ***erosion*** or ***steep slope hazard area*** as defined in KCC 21A.06, DLS-Permitting may require compliance with "Core Requirement #1: Discharge at the Natural Location" (Section 1.2.1, p. 1-25). This may in turn require compliance with "Core Requirement #2: Offsite Analysis" (Section 1.2.2, p. 1-27) if a tightline is required by Core Requirement #1. If a tightline is found to be infeasible, DLS-Permitting may instead require a flow control facility per "Core Requirement #3: Flow Control" (Section 1.2.3, p. 1-38). If a tightline is feasible, "Core Requirement #4: Conveyance System" (Section 1.2.4, p. 1-55) would be required to ensure proper size and design. Any required flow control facility or tightline system may also trigger compliance with "Core Requirement #6: Maintenance and Operations" (Section 1.2.6, p. 1-65), "Core Requirement #7: Financial Guarantees and Liability" (Section 1.2.7, p. 1-66), and possibly "Core Requirement #8, Water Quality" (Section 1.2.8, p. 1-68) if runoff from ***pollution-generating impervious surfaces*** is collected.

The applicant may also need to address compliance with any applicable critical areas requirements in KCC 21A as determined by DLS-Permitting.

TDR Project Category #2

This category is intended to apply selected core and special requirements to those projects that propose to ***construct or modify*** a drainage system of specified size, but are not adding sufficient impervious surface to trigger Full Drainage Review or Large Project Drainage Review.

REQUIREMENTS

IF the proposed project meets the characteristics of TDR Project Category #2, THEN the applicant must demonstrate that the proposed project complies with the following requirements:

- Core Requirement #1: Discharge at the Natural Location, Section 1.2.1 (p. 1-25)
- Core Requirement #2: Offsite Analysis, Section 1.2.2 (p. 1-27)
- Core Requirement #4: Conveyance System, Section 1.2.4 (p. 1-55)
- Core Requirement #5: Erosion and Sediment Control, Section 1.2.5 (p. 1-60)
- Core Requirement #6: Maintenance and Operations, Section 1.2.6 (p. 1-65)
- Core Requirement #7: Financial Guarantees and Liability, Section 1.2.7 (p. 1-66)
- Special Requirement #4: Source Control, Section 1.3.4 (p. 1-103).

TDR Project Category #3

This category is intended to improve water quality by applying source control and oil control requirements to ***redevelopment projects*** located on the most intensively used ***sites*** developed prior to current water quality requirements. These are referred to as ***high-use sites***.

REQUIREMENTS

IF the proposed project meets the characteristics of TDR Project Category #3, THEN the applicant must demonstrate that the proposed project complies with the following requirements:

- Core Requirement #5: Erosion and Sediment Control, Section 1.2.5 (p. 1-60)
- Core Requirement #6: Maintenance and Operations, Section 1.2.6 (p. 1-65)
- Core Requirement #7: Financial Guarantees and Liability, Section 1.2.7 (p. 1-66)
- Special Requirement #4: Source Control, Section 1.3.4 (p. 1-103)
- Special Requirement #5: Oil Control, Section 1.3.5 (p. 1-106).

*Note: In some cases, DLS-Permitting may determine that application of these requirements does not require submittal of engineering plans and calculations stamped by a **civil engineer**. For example, if catch basin inserts are proposed to meet oil control requirements, engineered plans and calculations may not be necessary. A plot plan showing catch basin locations may suffice.*

1.1.2.3 DIRECTED DRAINAGE REVIEW

Directed Drainage Review (DDR) is an evaluation of a proposed agricultural or single family residential project by DLS-Permitting permit review staff to determine a specialized list of submittal (plans, technical reports, etc.) and engineering requirements that ensures compliance with all core and special requirements of the KCSWDM. Projects subject to this type of drainage review are agricultural and single family residential projects that do not qualify for Simplified Drainage Review.

DLS-Permitting staff will review proposals and determine the following: whether the project is exempt from a given core or special requirement based on exemptions and exceptions listed in the KCSDM; whether a pre-engineered solution is available and feasible for meeting a given core or special requirement; whether a licensed civil engineer is required to comply with a given core or special requirement; and the type of technical report and plan submittal required to document compliance with the core and special requirements. Depending upon a project's site specific conditions, DDR may result in requirements for engineering or documentation that range from following the requirements of Appendix C to those required for full drainage review. DLS-Permitting will provide and/or require documentation of the DDR process and decision making to be included in the project file that demonstrates how compliance with all core and special requirements in the KCSWDM is achieved.

Under Directed Drainage Review, engineering costs associated with drainage design and review are minimized because the review is tailored to the particular project.

THRESHOLD

Threshold

Directed Drainage Review is required for any proposed single family residential or agricultural project that is subject to drainage review as determined in Section 1.1.1 (p. 1-12) but is not subject to Simplified Drainage Review or Large Project Drainage Review as determined in Sections 1.1.2.1 and Section 1.1.2.5.

Scope of Requirements

REQMTS

IF Directed Review is required, THEN the proposed project must comply with the following requirements:
All nine core requirements in Section 1.2
All five special requirements in Section 1.3
Engineering plans and calculations stamped by a ***civil engineer*** may be required to be submitted to demonstrate compliance with these requirements. The procedures and requirements for submittal of engineering plans and calculations are as directed by DLS-Permitting in the DDR process.

1.1.2.4 FULL DRAINAGE REVIEW

Full Drainage Review is the evaluation by King County staff (DLS-Permitting unless otherwise specified in KCC 9.04) of a proposed project's compliance with the full range of core and special requirements in this chapter. This review addresses the impacts associated with changing land cover on typical ***sites***.

Threshold

THRESHOLD

Full Drainage Review is required for any proposed project, including a ***redevelopment project***, that is subject to drainage review as determined in Section 1.1.1 (p. 1-12), OR that meets one or more of the following criteria:

- The project will result in 2,000 square feet[9] or more of ***new impervious surface***, ***replaced impervious surface***, or ***new*** plus ***replaced impervious surface*** but is not subject to Simplified Drainage Review or Directed Drainage Review as determined in Sections 1.1.2.1 (p. 1-16) and 1.1.2.3 (p. 1-21), OR
- The project will result in 7,000 square feet[9] or more of ***land disturbing activity*** but is not subject to Simplified Drainage Review or Directed Drainage Review as determined in Sections 1.1.2.1 and 1.1.2.3.

Scope of Requirements

REQMTS

IF Full Drainage Review is required, THEN the applicant must demonstrate that the proposed project complies with the following requirements:

- All nine core requirements in Section 1.2
- All five special requirements in Section 1.3

Engineering plans and calculations stamped by a ***civil engineer*** must be submitted to demonstrate compliance with these requirements. The procedures and requirements for submittal of engineering plans and calculations are found in Section 2.3.

[9] The thresholds of 2,000, 5,000, and 7,000 square feet shall be applied by ***project site***.

1.1.2.5 LARGE PROJECT DRAINAGE REVIEW

Large Project Drainage Review is applied to development proposals that are large and/or involve resources or problems of special sensitivity or complexity. Because of the large size and complexities involved, there is usually a greater risk of significant impact or irreparable damage to sensitive resources. Such proposals often require a more definitive approach to drainage requirements than that prescribed by the core and special requirements in Sections 1.2 and 1.3; it may be appropriate to collect additional information about ***site*** resources, use more sophisticated models, and prepare special studies not specified in this manual. Large Project Drainage Review entails preparation of a **master drainage plan (MDP)** or limited scope MDP that is reviewed and approved by DLS-Permitting.

Threshold

THRESHOLD

Large Project Drainage Review is required for any proposed project that is subject to drainage review as determined in Section 1.1.1 (p. 1-12), AND that meets any one of the following criteria:

- The project is designated for an **Urban Planned Development (UPD)** on the King County Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map, OR
- The project would, at full buildout, result in 50 acres or more of ***new impervious surface*** within a single subbasin or multiple subbasins that are *hydraulically connected*[10] across subbasin boundaries, OR
- The ***project site*** is 50 acres or more (including growth reserve areas) within a ***critical aquifer recharge area*** as defined in KCC 21A.06.

Scope of Requirements

REQUIREMENTS

IF Large Project Drainage Review is required, THEN the applicant must do the following:

1. Prepare a **MDP**, limited scope MDP, or special study in accordance with the process and requirements described in the MDP guidelines, *Master Drainage Planning for Large or Complex Site Developments,* available from DNRP or DLS-Permitting. The MDP or special study shall be completed, or a schedule for completion identified and agreed to by DLS-Permitting, prior to permit approval. *Note: Generally, it is most efficient for the MDP process to parallel the state Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) process.*
2. Demonstrate that the proposed project complies with all the core and special requirements in Sections 1.2 and 1.3, with some **potential modifications as follows:**
   - Core Requirement #2, Offsite Analysis, is typically modified during MDP scoping.
   - Core Requirement #3, Flow Control, may be modified to require more sophisticated hydrologic modeling.
   - Core Requirement #5, ESC, may be modified to require enhanced construction monitoring.
   - Core Requirement #7, Financial Guarantees and Liability, may be modified to implement a monitoring fund.
   - Special pre- and post-development monitoring may also be required if deemed necessary by DLS-Permitting to adequately characterize sensitive ***site*** and downstream resources, and to ensure that onsite drainage controls and mitigation measures are effective in protecting sensitive or critical resources. Detailed guidelines for monitoring are appended to the MDP guidelines referenced above.

[10] *Hydraulically connected* means connected through surface flow or water features such as wetlands or lakes.

1.1.3 DRAINAGE REVIEW REQUIRED BY OTHER AGENCIES

Drainage review for a proposed project's impact on surface and storm waters may be addressed by processes or requirements apart from King County's. Agencies such as those listed below may require some form of drainage review and impose drainage requirements that are separate from and in addition to King County's drainage requirements. The applicant is responsible for coordinating with these agencies and resolving any conflicts in drainage requirements. *Note: King County is required to advise the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe of development proposals affecting certain critical areas or water bodies bearing anadromous fish.*

Agency	Permit/Approval
Seattle/King County Department of Public Health	Onsite Sewage Disposal and Well permits
Washington State	
Department of Transportation	Developer/Local Agency Agreement
Department of Fish and Wildlife	Hydraulic Project Approval
Department of Ecology	Short Term Water Quality Modification Approval Dam Safety permit UIC Well Registration NPDES Stormwater permit
Department of Natural Resources	Forest Practices Class IV permit
United States Army Corps of Engineers	Sections 10, 401, and 404 permits

1.1.4 DRAINAGE DESIGN BEYOND MINIMUM COMPLIANCE

This manual presents King County's minimum standards for engineering and design of drainage facilities. While the County believes these standards are appropriate for a wide range of development proposals, compliance solely with these requirements does not relieve the professional engineer submitting designs of his or her responsibility to ensure drainage facilities are engineered to provide adequate protection for natural resources and public and private property.

Compliance with the standards in this manual does not necessarily mitigate all probable and significant environmental impacts to aquatic biota. Fishery resources and other living components of aquatic systems are affected by a complex set of factors. While employing a specific flow control standard may prevent stream channel erosion or instability, other factors affecting fish and other biotic resources (e.g., increases in stream flow velocities) are not directly addressed by this manual. Likewise, some wetlands, including bogs, are adapted to a very constant hydrologic regime. Even the most stringent flow control standard employed by this manual does not prevent increases in runoff volume, which can adversely affect wetland plant communities by increasing the duration and magnitude of water level fluctuations. Thus, compliance with this manual should not be construed as mitigating all probable and significant stormwater impacts to aquatic biota in streams and wetlands; additional mitigation may be required.

In addition, the requirements in this manual target the types of impacts associated with the most typical land development projects occurring in the lowland areas of the County. Applying these requirements to vastly different types of projects, such as rock quarries or dairy farms, or in different climatic situations, such as ski areas, may result in poorer mitigation of impacts. Therefore, different mitigation may be required.

Additional mitigation may also be required to compensate for loss of critical area habitat functions associated with reducing standard buffer widths and clearing restrictions as allowed through the approval of Rural Stewardship Plans and Farm Management Plans per KCC 21A.24 and KCC 16.82.

1.2 CORE REQUIREMENTS

This section details the following nine core requirements:

- "Core Requirement #1: Discharge at the Natural Location," Section 1.2.1
- "Core Requirement #2: Offsite Analysis," Section 1.2.2 (p. 1-27)
- "Core Requirement #3: Flow Control," Section 1.2.3 (p. 1-38)
- "Core Requirement #4: Conveyance System," Section 1.2.4 (p. 1-55)
- "Core Requirement #5: Erosion and Sediment Control," Section 1.2.5 (p. 1-60)
- "Core Requirement #6: Maintenance and Operations," Section 1.2.6 (p. 1-65)
- "Core Requirement #7: Financial Guarantees and Liability," Section 1.2.7 (p. 1-66)
- "Core Requirement #8: Water Quality," Section 1.2.8 (p. 1-68)
- "Core Requirement #9: Flow Control BMPs", Section 1.2.9 (p. 1-83)

1.2.1 CORE REQUIREMENT #1: DISCHARGE AT THE NATURAL LOCATION

REQUIREMENT

All storm water runoff and surface water from a project must be discharged at the natural location so as not to be diverted onto or away from downstream properties. The manner in which stormwater runoff and surface water are discharged from the ***project site*** must not create a significant adverse impact to downhill properties or drainage facilities (see "Discharge Requirements" below). Drainage facilities as described above means a constructed or engineered feature that collects, conveys, stores, treats, or otherwise manages surface water or stormwater runoff. "Drainage facility" includes, but is not limited to, a constructed or engineered stream, lake, wetland, or closed depression, or a pipe, channel, ditch, gutter, flow control facility, flow control BMP, water quality facility, erosion and sediment control facility, and any other structure and appurtenance that provides for drainage. *Note: Projects that do not discharge all **project site** runoff at the natural location will require an approved adjustment of this requirement (see Section 1.4). DLS-Permitting may waive this adjustment, however, for projects in which only a small portion of the **project site** does not discharge runoff at the natural location and the runoff from that portion is unconcentrated and poses no significant adverse impact to downstream properties*.

Intent: To prevent adverse impacts to downstream properties caused by diversion of flow from one flowpath to another, and to discharge in a manner that does not significantly impact downhill properties or drainage systems. Diversions can cause greater impacts (from greater runoff volumes) than would otherwise occur from new development discharging runoff at the natural location. Diversions can also impact properties that rely on runoff water to replenish wells and ornamental or fish ponds.

❑ DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS

Proposed projects must comply with the following discharge requirements (1, 2, and 3) as applicable:

1. Where no conveyance system exists at the abutting downstream property line and the natural (existing) discharge is unconcentrated, any runoff concentrated by the proposed project must be discharged as follows:

    a) IF the 100-year peak discharge[11] is less than or equal to 0.2 cfs under existing conditions and will remain less than or equal to 0.2 cfs under developed conditions, THEN the concentrated runoff may be discharged onto a rock pad or to any other system that serves to disperse flows.

[11] Peak discharges for applying this requirement are determined using the approved runoff model with 15-minute time steps as detailed in Chapter 3.

b) IF the 100-year peak discharge is less than or equal to 0.5 cfs under existing conditions and will remain less than or equal to 0.5 cfs under developed conditions, THEN the concentrated runoff may be discharged through a dispersal trench or other dispersal system provided the applicant can demonstrate that there will be no significant adverse impact to downhill properties or drainage systems.

c) IF the 100-year peak discharge is greater than 0.5 cfs for either existing or developed conditions, or if a significant adverse impact to downhill properties or drainage systems is likely, THEN a conveyance system must be provided to convey the concentrated runoff across the downstream properties to an acceptable discharge point.[12] Drainage easements for this conveyance system must be secured from downstream property owners and recorded prior to engineering plan approval.

2. IF a proposed project, or any ***natural discharge area*** within a project, is located within a ***Landslide Hazard Drainage Area*** and drains over the erodible soils of a ***landslide hazard area*** with slopes steeper than 15%, THEN a **tightline system must be provided** through the ***landslide hazard area*** to an acceptable discharge point unless one of the following exceptions applies. The tightline system must comply with the design requirements in Core Requirement #4 and in Section 4.2.2 unless otherwise approved by DLS-Permitting. Drainage easements for this system must be secured from downstream property owners and recorded prior to engineering plan approval.

   Exceptions: A tightline is not required for any ***natural discharge location*** where DLS-Permitting approves an alternative system based on a geotechnical evaluation/recommendation from a licensed geotechnical engineer that considers cumulative impacts on the hazard area under built out conditions AND one of the following conditions can be met:

   a) Less than 2,000 square feet of ***new impervious surface*** will be added within the ***natural discharge area***, OR

   b) The developed conditions runoff from the ***natural discharge area*** is less than 0.1 cfs for the 100-year runoff event and will be infiltrated for runoff events up to and including the 100-year event, OR

   c) The *developed conditions runoff volume*[13] from the ***natural discharge area*** is less than 50% of the existing conditions runoff volume from other areas draining to the location where runoff from the ***natural discharge area*** enters the ***landslide hazard area*** onto slopes steeper than 15%, AND the provisions of Discharge Requirement 1 are met, OR

   d) DLS-Permitting determines that a tightline system is not physically feasible or will create a significant adverse impact based on a soils report by a geotechnical engineer.

3. For projects adjacent to or containing a ***landslide***, ***steep slope***, or ***erosion hazard area*** as defined in KCC 21A.06, the applicant must demonstrate that onsite drainage facilities and/or flow control BMPs will not create a significant adverse impact to downhill properties or drainage systems.

[12] *Acceptable discharge point* means an enclosed drainage system (i.e., pipe system, culvert, or tightline) or open drainage feature (e.g., ditch, channel, swale, stream, river, pond, lake, or wetland) where concentrated runoff can be discharged without creating a significant adverse impact.

[13] For the purposes of applying this exception, the *developed conditions runoff volume* is the average annual runoff volume as computed per Chapter 3. The analysis is performed using the entire period of record. The total volume is divided by the number of full water years being analyzed to determine the annual average runoff volume. Any areas assumed not to be cleared when computing the developed conditions runoff volume must be set aside in an open space tract or covenant in order for the proposed project to qualify for this exception. Preservation of existing forested areas in Landslide Hazard Drainage Areas is encouraged.

1.2.2 CORE REQUIREMENT #2: OFFSITE ANALYSIS

REQMT

All proposed projects must submit an offsite analysis report that assesses potential offsite drainage and water quality impacts associated with development of the ***project site,*** and that proposes appropriate mitigation of those impacts. The initial permit submittal shall include, at minimum, a **Level 1 downstream analysis** as described in Section 1.2.2.1 below. If impacts are identified, the proposed projects shall meet any applicable problem-specific requirements specified in Section 1.2.2.2 (p. 1-32) for mitigation of impacts to drainage problems and Section 1.2.2.3 (p. 1-35) for mitigation of impacts to water quality problems.

Intent: To identify and evaluate offsite flooding, erosion, and water quality problems that may be created or aggravated by the proposed project, and to ensure appropriate measures are provided for preventing creation or aggravation of those problems. In addition, this requirement is intended to ensure appropriate provisions are made, as needed, to mitigate other identified impacts associated with the quantity and quality of surface and storm water runoff from the ***project site*** (e.g., impacts to the hydrology of a wetland as may be identified by a "critical area report" per KCC 21A.24.110).

The primary component of an offsite analysis report is the **downstream analysis**, which examines the drainage system within one-quarter mile downstream of the ***project site*** or farther as described in Section 1.2.2.1 below. It is intended to identify existing or potential/predictable downstream flooding, erosion, and water quality problems so that appropriate mitigation, as specified in Sections 1.2.2.2 and 1.2.2.3, can be provided to prevent aggravation of these problems. A secondary component of the offsite analysis report is an **evaluation of the upstream drainage system** to verify and document that significant flooding and erosion impacts will not occur as a result of the proposed project. The evaluation must extend upstream to a point where any backwater effects created by the project cease.

❑ EXEMPTION FROM CORE REQUIREMENT #2

With the exception of:

- Projects that trigger Core Requirement #3 (Flow Control Facilities) which must at minimum perform offsite analysis sufficient to identify and address “Downstream Drainage Problems Requiring Special Attention (Section 1.2.2.1.1), Problem Type 4 (Potential Impacts to Wetland Hydrology problem)”, and
- Projects that trigger Core Requirement # 8 (Water Quality Facilities) which must at minimum perform offsite analysis sufficient to identify and address “Downstream Water Quality Problems Requiring Special Attention (Section 1.2.2.1.2)”,

a proposed project is exempt from Core Requirement #2 if any one of the following is true:

1. DLS-Permitting determines there is sufficient information for them to conclude that the project will not have a significant adverse impact on the downstream and/or upstream drainage system, OR
2. The project adds less than 2,000 square feet of ***new impervious surface***, AND less than ¾ acre of ***new pervious surface***, AND does not ***construct or modify*** a drainage pipe/ditch that is 12 inches or more in size/depth or that receives runoff from a drainage pipe/ditch that is 12 inches or more in size/depth, AND does not contain or lie adjacent to a ***landslide***, ***steep slope***, or ***erosion hazard area*** as defined in KCC 21A.06, OR
3. The project does not change the rate, volume, duration, or location of discharges to and from the ***project site*** (e.g., where existing impervious surface is replaced with other impervious surface having similar runoff-generating characteristics, or where pipe/ditch modifications do not change existing discharge characteristics).

a)

1.2.2.1 DOWNSTREAM ANALYSIS

The level of downstream analysis required depends on specific ***site*** and downstream conditions. Each project submittal must include at least a Level 1 downstream analysis. Upon review of the Level 1 analysis, DLS-Permitting may require a Level 2 or Level 3 analysis. If conditions warrant, additional, more detailed analysis may be required.

The **Level 1 downstream analysis** is a qualitative survey of each downstream system and is the first step in identifying flooding problems, erosion problems, or potential impacts to wetland hydrology problems as described below under "Downstream Drainage Problems Requiring Special Attention." The Level 1 analysis also identifies water quality problems as described below under "Downstream Water Quality Problems Requiring Special Attention." Each Level 1 analysis is composed of four tasks at a minimum:

- **Task 1**: Define and map the study area
- **Task 2**: Review all available information on the study area
- **Task 3**: Field inspect the study area
- **Task 4**: Describe the drainage system, and its existing and predicted drainage and water quality problems.

Upon review of the Level 1 analysis, DLS-Permitting may require a Level 2 or 3 downstream analysis, depending on the presence of existing or predicted flooding, erosion, or nuisance problems identified in the Level 1 analysis.

Levels 2 and 3 downstream analysis quantify downstream flooding, erosion, or nuisance problems by providing information on the severity and frequency of an existing problem or the likelihood of creating a new problem. A Level 2 analysis is a rough quantitative analysis (non-survey field data, uniform flow analysis). Level 3 is a more precise analysis (e.g., survey field data, backwater analysis) of significant problems. If conditions warrant, additional, more detailed analysis may be required beyond Level 3. For Levels 2 and 3 downstream analyses, an additional **Task 5**, addressing mitigation of existing and potential flooding, erosion, or nuisance problems, will be required.

Extent of Downstream Analysis

The downstream analysis must consider the existing conveyance system(s) for a **minimum flowpath distance downstream** of one-quarter mile and beyond that, as needed, to reach a point where the ***project site*** area constitutes less than 15% of the tributary area. This minimum distance **may be increased** as follows:

- **Task 2** of a Level 1 downstream analysis (described in detail in Section 2.3.1.1) is a review of all available information on the downstream area and is intended to identify existing drainage and water quality problems. *In all cases, this information review shall extend one mile downstream of the* ***project site***. The existence of flooding or erosion problems further downstream may extend the one-quarter-mile/15% minimum distance for other tasks to allow evaluation of impacts from the proposed development upon the identified flooding or erosion problems. The existence of documented water quality problems beyond the one-quarter-mile/15% distance may in some cases require additional mitigation of impacts as determined necessary by DLS-Permitting based on the type and severity of problem.
- If a project's impacts to flooding or erosion problems are mitigated by improvements to the downstream conveyance system, the downstream analysis will extend a minimum of one-quarter mile beyond the improvement. This is necessary because many such improvements result in a reduction of stormwater storage or an increase in peak flows from the problem location.
- At their discretion, DLS-Permitting may extend the downstream analysis beyond the minimum distance specified above on the reasonable expectation of drainage or water quality impacts.

A detailed description of the scope of offsite analysis and submittal requirements is provided in Section 2.3.1.1. Hydrologic analysis methods and requirements for Levels 2 and 3 downstream analyses are contained in Chapter 3; hydraulic analysis methods are contained in Chapter 4.

1.2.2.1.1 DOWNSTREAM DRAINAGE PROBLEMS REQUIRING SPECIAL ATTENTION

While the area-specific flow control facility requirement in Core Requirement #3 (Section 1.2.3.1) serves to minimize the creation and aggravation of many types of downstream drainage problems, there are some types that are more sensitive to creation/aggravation than others depending on the nature or severity of the problem and which flow control facility standard is being applied. In particular, there are four types of downstream drainage problems for which the County has determined that the nature and/or severity of the problem warrants additional attention through the downstream analysis and possibly additional mitigation to ensure no creation/aggravation:

1. ***Conveyance system nuisance problem***
2. ***Severe erosion problem***
3. ***Severe flooding problem***.
4. ***Potential Impacts to Wetland Hydrology problem***.

These four types of downstream drainage problem are further described below and precisely defined at the beginning of Chapter 1.

Conveyance System Nuisance Problem (Type 1)

Conveyance system nuisance problems are minor but chronic flooding or erosion problems that result from the overflow of a constructed conveyance system that is substandard or has become too small as a result of upstream development (see p. 1-2 for a precise definition). Such problems warrant additional attention because of their chronic nature and because they result from the failure of a conveyance system to provide a minimum acceptable level of protection.

If a ***conveyance system nuisance problem*** is identified or predicted downstream, the need for additional mitigation must be evaluated as specified in Section 1.2.2.2 under "Drainage Problem-Specific Mitigation Requirements" (p. 1-34). This may entail additional onsite flow control or other measures as needed to prevent creation or significant aggravation of the problem.

For any other nuisance problem that may be identified downstream, this manual does not require mitigation beyond the area-specific flow control facility requirement applied in Core Requirement #3 (Section 1.2.3.1) because preventing aggravation of such problems (e.g., those caused by the elevated water surfaces of ponds, lakes, wetlands, and closed depressions or those involving downstream erosion) can require two to three times as much onsite detention volume, which is considered unwarranted for nuisance problems. However, if under some unusual circumstance, the aggravation of such a nuisance problem is determined by DLS-Permitting to be a significant adverse impact, additional mitigation may be required.

Severe Erosion Problem (Type 2)

Severe erosion problems can be caused by conveyance system overflows or the concentration of runoff into erosion-sensitive open drainage features (see p. 1-8 for a precise definition). ***Severe erosion problems*** warrant additional attention because they pose a significant threat either to health and safety or to public or private property.

If a ***severe erosion problem*** is identified or predicted downstream, additional mitigation must be considered as specified in Section 1.2.2.2 under "Drainage Problem-Specific Mitigation Requirements" (p. 1-34). This may entail additional onsite flow control or other measures as needed to prevent creation or aggravation of the problem.

Severe Flooding Problem (Type 3)

Severe flooding problems (i.e., a ***severe building flooding problem*** or ***severe roadway flooding problem***) can be caused by conveyance system overflows or the elevated water surfaces of ponds, lakes, wetlands, or closed depressions (see p. 1-9 for precise definitions). ***Severe flooding problems*** warrant additional attention because they pose a significant threat either to health and safety or to public or private property.

If a ***severe flooding problem*** is identified or predicted downstream, the need for additional mitigation must be evaluated as specified in Section 1.2.2.2 under "Drainage Problem-Specific Mitigation Requirements" (p. 1-34). This may entail consideration of additional onsite flow control or other measures as needed to prevent creation or significant aggravation of the problem.

Potential Impacts to Wetlands Hydrology Problem (Type 4)

Potential impacts to wetlands hydrology can be caused by changes in the rate, duration, and quantity of stormwater discharged from the project site to a wetland.

Where wetlands are identified on the site, the applicant shall submit a critical area report at a level determined by DLS-Permitting to adequately evaluate the proposal and probable impacts.

Where wetlands are identified off the site AND the project is not exempt from Core Requirement 3, the applicant shall submit a critical area report at a level determined by DLS-Permitting to adequately evaluate the proposal and probable impacts.

Projects or threshold discharge areas within projects discharging to wetlands, unless exempt from providing a flow control facility per Core Requirement 3, must demonstrate that the existing wetland hydroperiod is maintained in accordance with the wetland hydrology protection guidelines in Reference Section 5.

Based upon the critical area report and, if applicable, the analysis of project compliance with the wetland hydrology protection guidelines in Reference Section 5, DLS-Permitting will determine if changes in the rate, duration, and/or quantity of surface and storm water runoff from a proposed project or ***threshold discharge area*** within a proposed project could significantly alter the hydrology of a wetland-- in which case, DLS-Permitting will require (as described in Section 1.2.2.2 under “Drainage Problem-Specific Mitigation Requirements”), implementation of additional flow control or other measures to mitigate the adverse impacts of this alteration in accordance with the wetland hydrology protection guidelines in Reference Section 5.

1.2.2.1.2 DOWNSTREAM WATER QUALITY PROBLEMS REQUIRING SPECIAL ATTENTION

A *water quality problem*, for the purposes of impact mitigation in this manual, is a situation in which a waterbody of the State is documented by the Federal Government, State, or County to be exceeding or at concern of exceeding the State's numeric water quality standards, or is subject to a federal, state, or county cleanup program or action. Water quality problems and associated water quality standards encompass surface water, groundwater, and sediment quality. The goal of this manual is to prevent creation or significant aggravation of such problems to the maximum extent practicable. While the area-specific water quality facility requirement in Section 1.2.8.1, the source controls required in Section 1.3.4, and the oil controls required in Section 1.3.5 all serve to minimize the creation and aggravation of many types of downstream water quality problems, there are some types that are either not addressed by these requirements (e.g., temperature problems) or warrant additional measures/considerations to minimize the proposed project's impacts to the maximum extent practicable. In particular, there are currently 7 types of downstream water quality problems for which the County has determined that additional attention needs to be given to preventing or minimizing increases in the pollutant or pollutants of concern discharging from the ***site***. These are as follows:

1. Bacteria Problem
2. Dissolved Oxygen Problem
3. Temperature Problem

4. Metals Problem
5. Phosphorus Problem
6. Turbidity Problem
7. High pH Problem

These problems are defined below and the mitigation of impacts to them is addressed in Section 1.2.2.3.

Bacteria Problem (Type 1)

A *bacteria problem* is defined as a stream reach, lake, or other waterbody of the state that is either (1) currently **designated by the state as a Category 5, 4, or 2 Waterbody** due to exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for **fecal coliform** as documented in the state's Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA Ecology's electronic database and map viewer[14] of these waterbodies, or (2) is currently **designated by the County as a bacteria problem** based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for **fecal coliform** as documented in the latest published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web page[15].

Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Problem (Type 2)

A *dissolved oxygen problem* is defined as a stream reach, lake, or other waterbody of the state that is either (1) currently **designated by the state as a Category 5, 4, or 2 Waterbody** due to exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for dissolved oxygen as documented in the state's Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA Ecology's electronic database and map viewer[14] of these waterbodies, or (2) is currently **designated by the County as a DO problem** based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for dissolved oxygen as documented in the latest published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web page[15].

Temperature Problem (Type 3)

A *temperature problem* is defined as a stream reach, lake, or other waterbody of the state that is either (1) currently **designated by the state as a Category 5, 4, or 2 Waterbody** due to exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for temperature as documented in the state's Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA Ecology's electronic database and map viewer[14] of these waterbodies, or (2) is currently **designated by the County as a temperature problem** based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for temperature as documented in the latest published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web page[15].[15]

Metals Problem (Type 4)

A *metals problem* is defined as a stream reach, lake, or other waterbody of the state that is either (1) currently **designated by the state as a Category 5, 4, or 2 Waterbody** due to exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standards for metals (**e.g., copper, zinc, lead, mercury, etc.**) as documented in the state's Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA Ecology's electronic database and map viewer[14] of these waterbodies, or (2) is currently **designated by the County as a metals problem** based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern

[14] The link to the Query Tool is https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/ApprovedWQA/ApprovedPages/ApprovedSearch.aspxThe Map Tool is at https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/waterqualityatlas/wqa/startpage

[15] kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021

for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standards for metals (**e.g., copper, zinc, lead, mercury, etc.**) as documented in the latest published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web page[15] , or (3) where subject to any other local, state, or federal cleanup plan or contaminated site designation

Phosphorus Problem (Type 5)

A *phosphorus problem* is defined as a stream reach, lake, or other waterbody of the state that is either (1) currently **designated by the state as a Category 5, 4, or 2 Waterbody** due to exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric action standard for **total phosphorus** as documented in the state's Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA Ecology's electronic database and map viewer[16][14] of these waterbodies, or (2) is currently **designated by the County as a nutrient problem** based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric action standard for **total phosphorus** as documented in the latest published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web page[17].

Turbidity Problem (Type 6)

A *turbidity problem* is defined as a stream reach, lake, or other waterbody of the state that is either (1) currently **designated by the state as a Category 5, 4, or 2 Waterbody** due to exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for turbidity as documented in the state's Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA Ecology's electronic database and map viewer[16] of these waterbodies, or (2) is currently **designated by the County as a turbidity problem** based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for turbidity as documented in the latest published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web page[17].

High pH Problem (Type 7)

A *High pH problem* is defined as a stream reach, lake, or other waterbody of the state that is either (1) currently **designated by the state as a Category 5, 4, or 2 Waterbody** due to exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for high pH as documented in the state's Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA Ecology's electronic database and map viewer[16] of these waterbodies, or (2) is currently **designated by the County as a pH problem** based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for pH as documented in the latest published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web page[17].

1.2.2.2 DRAINAGE PROBLEM IMPACT MITIGATION

*A proposed project must not significantly aggravate existing downstream drainage problems or create new problems as a result of developing the **site**.* This manual does not require development proposals to fix or otherwise reduce the severity of existing downstream drainage problems, although doing so may be an acceptable mitigation.

Principles of Impact Mitigation for Drainage Problems

Aggravation of an existing downstream drainage problem means increasing the frequency of occurrence and/or severity of the problem. Increasing peak flows at the location of a problem caused by conveyance system overflows can increase the frequency of the problem's occurrence. Increasing durations of flows at

[16] The link to the Query Tool is https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/ApprovedWQA/ApprovedPages/ApprovedSearch.aspx; select all appropriate mediums.
The Map Tool is at https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/waterqualityatlas/wqa/startpage

[17] kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021

or above the overflow return frequency can increase the severity of the problem by increasing the depth and duration of flooding. Controlling peaks and durations through onsite detention can prevent aggravation of such problems by releasing the increased volumes from development at return frequencies below the conveyance overflow return frequency, which limits their effect to just causing the conveyance system to flow full for a longer period of time.

When a problem is caused by high water-surface elevations of a volume-sensitive water body, such as a lake, wetland, or closed depression, aggravation is the same as for problems caused by conveyance overflows. Increasing the volume of flows to a volume-sensitive water body can increase the frequency of the problem's occurrence. Increasing the duration of flows for a range of return frequencies both above and below the problem return frequency can increase the severity of the problem; mitigating these impacts requires control of flow durations for a range of return frequencies both above and below the problem return frequency. The net effect of this duration control is to release the increased volumes from development only at water surface elevations below that causing the problem, which in turn can cause an increase in these lower, but more frequently occurring, water surface elevations. This underscores an unavoidable impact of development upstream of volume-sensitive water bodies: the increased volumes generated by the development will cause some range of increase in water surface elevations, no matter what detention standard is applied.

Creating a new drainage problem means increasing peak flows and/or volumes so that after development, the frequency of conveyance overflows or water surface elevations exceeds the thresholds for the various problem types discussed in Section 1.2.2.1. For example, application of the Level 1 flow control standard requires matching the ***existing site conditions*** 2- and 10-year peak flows. The 100-year peak flow is only partially attenuated, and the flow increase may be enough to cause a ***severe flooding problem*** as described on page 1-30. The potential for causing a new problem is often identified during the Level 1 downstream analysis, where the observation of a reduction in downstream pipe sizes, for example, may be enough to predict creation of a new problem. A Level 2 or 3 analysis will typically be required to verify the capacity of the system and determine whether 100-year flows can be safely conveyed.

Significance of Impacts to Existing Drainage Problems

The determination of whether additional onsite mitigation or other measures are needed to address an existing downstream drainage problem depends on the significance of the proposed project's predicted impact on that problem. For some identified problems, DLS-Permitting will make the determination as to whether the project's impact is significant enough to require additional mitigation. For Type 1, 2, and 3 downstream drainage problems described in Section 1.2.2.1.1, this threshold of significant impact or aggravation is defined below. For a Type 4, "***Potential Impacts to Wetland Hydrology problem***", DLS-Permitting will make this determination based on required critical area report findings, whether the project is in compliance with the wetland hydrology protection guidelines found in Reference Section 5, the project's relative contribution to the identified wetland's hydrology, and the mitigation proposed in meeting other requirements (e.g. flow control facilities and flow control BMPs).

For ***conveyance system nuisance problems***, the problem is considered significantly aggravated if there is any increase in the project's contribution to the frequency of occurrence and/or severity of the problem for runoff events less than or equal to the 10-year event. *Note: Increases in the project's contribution to this type of problem are considered to be prevented if sufficient onsite flow control and/or offsite improvements are provided as specified in Table 1.2.3.A (p. 1-40).*

For ***severe erosion problems***, the problem is considered significantly aggravated if there is any increase in the project's existing contribution to the *flow duration*[18] of peak flows ranging from 50% of the 2-year peak flow up to the full 50-year peak flow at the eroded area. *Note: Increases in the project's contribution*

[18] *Flow duration* means the aggregate time that peak flows are at or above a particular flow rate (e.g., the amount of time over the last 50 years that peak flows were at or above the 2-year flow rate). *Note: flow duration is not considered to be increased if it is within the tolerances specified in Chapter 3.*

to this type of problem are considered to be prevented if Level 2 flow control or offsite improvements are provided as specified in Table 1.2.3.A (p. 1-40).

For ***severe building flooding problems***, the problem is considered significantly aggravated if there is any increase in the project's existing contribution[19] to the frequency, depth, or duration of the problem for runoff events less than or equal to the 100-year event.

For ***severe roadway flooding problems***, the problem is considered significantly aggravated if any of the following thresholds are exceeded and there is any increase in the project's existing contribution[19] to the frequency, depth, or duration of the problem for runoff events less than or equal to the 100-year event:

- The *existing flooding*[20] over all lanes of a **roadway** or overtopping the culverted section of a **sole access driveway** is predicted to increase in depth more than a quarter-inch or 10% (whichever is greater) for the 100-year runoff event.
- The existing flooding over all lanes of a **roadway** or severely impacting a **sole access driveway** is more than 6 inches deep or faster than 5 feet per second for runoff events less than or equal to the 100-year event. A **severely impacted sole access driveway** is one in which flooding overtops a culverted section of the driveway, posing a threat of washout or unsafe access conditions due to indiscernible driveway edges, or flooding is deeper than 6 inches on the driveway, posing a severe impediment to emergency access.
- The existing flooding over all lanes of a *sole access roadway*[21] is more than 3 inches deep or faster than 5 feet per second for runoff events less than or equal to the 100-year event, or is at any depth for runoff events less than or equal to the 10-year event.

❑ DRAINAGE PROBLEM-SPECIFIC MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS

1. IF a proposed project or ***threshold discharge area*** within a project drains to one or more of Type 1, Type 2, or Type 3 downstream drainage problems described in Section 1.2.2.1 (pages 1-29 and 1-30) as identified through a downstream analysis, THEN the applicant must do one of the following:

   a) Submit a Level 2 or Level 3 downstream analysis per Section 2.3.1 demonstrating that the proposed project will not create or significantly aggravate the identified downstream drainage problem(s), OR

   b) Show that the ***natural discharge area*** or ***threshold discharge area*** draining to the identified problem(s) qualifies for an exemption from Core Requirement #3: Flow Control (Section 1.2.3, p. 1-38) or an exception from the applicable area-specific flow control facility requirement per Section 1.2.3.1 (p. 1-39), OR

   c) Document that the applicable area-specific flow control facility requirement specified in Core Requirement #3 is adequate to prevent creation or significant aggravation of the identified downstream drainage problem(s) as indicated in Table 1.2.3.A (p. 1-40) with the phrase, "No additional flow control needed," OR

   d) Provide additional onsite flow control necessary to prevent creation or significant aggravation of the downstream drainage problem(s) as specified in Table 1.2.3.A (p. 1-40) and further detailed in Section 3.3.5, OR

[19] Increases in the project's contribution are considered to be prevented if sufficient onsite flow control and/or offsite improvements are provided as specified for ***severe flooding problems*** in Table 1.2.3.A (p. 1-49). For ***severe flooding problems*** located within the mapped 100-year floodplain of a ***major receiving water*** (see Table 1.2.3.B, p. 1-51) or the mapped 100-year floodplain of a major stream for which there is an adopted basin plan, increases in the project's contribution are considered negligible (zero) regardless of the flow control standard being applied, unless DLS-Permitting determines there is a potential for increased flooding separate from that associated with the existing 100-year floodplain.

[20] *Existing flooding*, for the purposes of this definition, means flooding over all lanes of the roadway or driveway has occurred in the past and can be verified by County records, County personnel, photographs, or other physical evidence.

[21] *Sole access roadway* means there is no other flood-free route for emergency access to one or more dwelling units.

e) Provide offsite improvements necessary to prevent creation or significant aggravation of the identified downstream drainage problem(s) as detailed in Chapter 3 unless identified as not necessary in Table 1.2.3.A (p. 1-40), OR

f) Provide a combination of additional onsite flow control and offsite improvements sufficient to prevent creation or significant aggravation of the downstream drainage problem(s) as demonstrated by a Level 2 or Level 3 downstream analysis.

2. IF it is identified that the manner of discharge from a proposed project may create a significant adverse impact as described in Core Requirement #1, THEN DLS-Permitting may require the applicant to implement additional measures or demonstrate that the impact will not occur.

3. IF it is identified through a critical area review as described under "**Potential Impacts to Wetlands Hydrology Problem (Type 4)"**, that changes in the rate, duration, and/or the quantity of surface and storm water runoff from a proposed project or ***threshold discharge area*** within a proposed project could significantly alter the hydrology of a wetland (Type 4 problem), THEN DLS-Permitting shall require the applicant to implement additional flow control or other measures to mitigate the adverse impacts of this alteration in accordance with the wetland hydrology protection guidelines in Reference Section 5.

Intent: To ensure provisions are made (if necessary) to prevent creation or significant aggravation of the four types of downstream drainage problems requiring special attention by this manual, and to ensure compliance with the discharge requirements of Core Requirement #1.

In addressing downstream drainage problems per Problem-Specific Mitigation Requirement 1 above, additional onsite flow control will often be the easiest provision to implement. This involves designing the required onsite flow control facility to meet an additional set of performance criteria targeted to prevent significant aggravation of specific downstream drainage problems. To save time and analysis, a set of predetermined flow control performance criteria corresponding to each of the three types of downstream drainage problems is provided in Table 1.2.3.A (p. 1-40) and described in more detail in Chapter 3.

Note that in some cases the area-specific flow control facility requirement applicable to the proposed project per Section 1.2.3.1 (p. 1-39) is already sufficient to prevent significant aggravation of many of the defined downstream drainage problem types. Such situations are noted in Table 1.2.3.A (p. 1-40) as not needing additional onsite flow control or offsite improvements. For example, if the project is located within a Conservation Flow Control Area subject to the Level 2 flow control standard per Section 1.2.3.1.B (p. 1-44), and a ***conveyance system nuisance problem*** is identified through offsite analysis per Core Requirement #2, no additional onsite flow control is needed, and no offsite improvements are necessary.

1.2.2.3 WATER QUALITY PROBLEM IMPACT MITIGATION

As stated in Section 1.2.2.1, the goal of this manual is to prevent creation and/or significant aggravation of water quality problems to the maximum extent practicable. This is accomplished through a number of mitigation requirements, including (1) the area-specific water quality facility requirement in Section 1.2.8.1, (2) any mitigation required by other adopted area-specific requirements per Special Requirement #1, Section 1.3.1, (3) the source controls required in Special Requirement #4, Section 1.3.4, (4) the oil control required in Special Requirement #5, Section 1.3.5, and (5) the water quality problem-specific mitigation requirements presented in this section. *Note that this manual does not require development proposals to fix or otherwise reduce the severity of existing downstream water quality problems, although doing so may be an acceptable mitigation.*

❑ WATER QUALITY PROBLEM-SPECIFIC MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS

IF a proposed project drains to one or more of the 7 types of downstream water quality problems defined in Section 1.2.2.1 as identified through a downstream analysis, THEN the applicant must comply with the following problem-specific mitigation requirements that apply. *Note that DLS-Permitting may require*

additional measures if the opportunity exists to further mitigate the pollutants of concern associated with these types of problems.

Bacteria Problem (Type 1)

IF the proposed project drains to a bacteria problem located within the quarter mile/15% distance downstream (or beyond as deemed necessary by DLS-Permitting), THEN the following requirements must be met as applicable:

1. IF a water quality facility is required per Core Requirement #8, THEN a **sand filter** or **stormwater wetland** shall be used to meet the area-specific water quality facility requirement. Sand filters are the preferred option. Other treatment options for meeting the area-specific facility requirement may be used in lieu of a sand filter or stormwater wetland only if combined with an **emerging technology treatment method** that provides equivalent removal of fecal coliform as demonstrated through an experimental design adjustment per Section 1.4.
2. IF the proposed project is a residential subdivision, THEN **signage** shall be provided in the subdivision's public areas (i.e., recreation/open space areas and right-of-way) requesting that pet waste be picked up in order to protect downstream water quality. The extent and location of this signage shall be reviewed and approved by DLS-Permitting.
3. IF the proposed project is a multifamily development with a recreation/open area or is a park improvement, THEN **signage** shall be provided requesting that pet waste be picked up in order to protect downstream water quality. The extent and location of this signage shall be reviewed and approved by DLS-Permitting.

Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Problem (Type 2)

IF the proposed project drains to a DO problem located within the quarter mile/15% distance downstream (or beyond as deemed necessary by DLS-Permitting), THEN the following requirements must be met as applicable:

1. IF the proposed project includes a wetpond or wetvault, THEN the **wetpool depth** shall not exceed 6 feet, AND the outflow system shall include a measure designed to **promote aeration** of the facility's discharges for 2-year runoff events and smaller. One way to do this is to create a drop in flow elevation within a manhole by placing the outlet invert of the incoming pipe a minimum of 12 inches above the 2-year headwater elevation of the outgoing pipe. Alternatively, if the outflow system discharges to an open channel, the same drop in flow elevation could be achieved by placing the outlet invert a minimum of 12 inches above the 2-year tailwater elevation created by the channel. Other equivalent approaches may be used as approved by DLS-Permitting.
2. IF the proposed project includes a wetvault, THEN the required **ventilation area** specified in Chapter 6 shall be doubled.
3. IF the DO problem is documented to be caused by **excessive phosphorus** and a water quality facility is required per Core Requirement #8, THEN a water quality facility option from the **Sensitive Lake Protection menu** shall be a component of the required treatment system.

Temperature Problem (Type 3)

IF the proposed project drains to a temperature problem located within the quarter mile/15% distance downstream (or beyond as deemed necessary by DLS-Permitting), THEN the following requirements must be met as applicable:

1. IF a water quality facility is required per Core Requirement #8, THEN use of a **wetpond is prohibited** unless it will be at least 50% shaded at midday in the summer or its discharges will flow through 200 feet or more of open channel that is at least 50% shaded at midday in the summer. DLS-Permitting shall review and approve the extent and location of this shading.

2. IF the proposed project includes open drainage features, THEN vegetation or other means shall be used where practicable to **maximize shading** of the drainage features, except bioswales and filter strips. The extent and location of this shading shall be reviewed and approved by DLS-Permitting.

Metals Problem (Type 4)

IF the proposed project drains to a metals problem located within the quarter mile/15% distance downstream (or beyond as deemed necessary by DLS-Permitting), THEN the following requirements must be met as applicable:

1. IF a water quality facility is required per Core Requirement #8, THEN a water quality facility option from the **Enhanced Basic WQ menu** shall be a component of the project's required treatment system.
2. IF the proposed project is a residential subdivision, THEN a **covenant** shall be recorded for each lot and common area tract prohibiting use of **leachable heavy metals** (e.g., galvanized metals) that will be exposed to the weather (use the covenant in Reference Section 8-Q).
3. IF the proposed project includes road right-of-way improvements, THEN use of **leachable heavy metals** (e.g., galvanized metals) that will be exposed to the weather (e.g., **guard rails, street lights**, etc.) shall be avoided.

Phosphorus Problem (Type 5)

IF the proposed project drains to a phosphorus problem located within the quarter mile/15% distance downstream (or beyond as deemed necessary by DLS-Permitting), THEN the following requirements must be met as applicable:

1. IF a water quality facility is required per Core Requirement #8, THEN the project shall be assumed to be located within a designated Sensitive Lake WQ Treatment Area for the purposes of applying the area-specific water quality treatment requirement in Section 1.2.8.1.
2. For the purposes of applying the Erosion and Sediment Control Standards in Appendix D, the project shall be assumed to be located within a designated Sensitive Lake WQ Treatment Area.

Turbidity Problem (Type 6)

IF the proposed project drains to a turbidity problem located within the quarter mile/15% distance downstream (or beyond as deemed necessary by DLS-Permitting) AND the downstream flow path from the ***project site*** to the turbidity problem is through a landslide hazard area, steep slope hazard area, erosion hazard area or any actively eroding area, THEN the project shall provide a tightline system through the area in accordance with the same criteria and exceptions specified in Core Requirement #1, **Discharge Requirement 2** for projects located within a designated Landslide Hazard Drainage Area. Other means for safely conveying ***project site*** discharges through the area of concern for erosion may be proposed subject to approval by DLS-Permitting.

High pH Problem (Type 7)

IF the proposed project drains to a pH problem located within the quarter mile/15% distance downstream (or beyond as deemed necessary by DLS-Permitting) AND the proposed project includes a concrete vault structure for stormwater control purposes, THEN the vault's submerged surfaces shall be coated or otherwise treated to prevent alteration of pH.

1.2.3 CORE REQUIREMENT #3: FLOW CONTROL FACILITIES

REQUIREMENT

All proposed projects, including ***redevelopment projects***, must provide onsite flow control facilities to mitigate the impacts of storm and surface water runoff generated by ***new impervious surface***, ***new pervious surface***, and ***replaced impervious surface*** targeted for flow mitigation as specified in the following sections. **Flow control facilities** must be provided and designed to perform as specified by the area-specific flow control facility requirement in Section 1.2.3.1 (p. 1-39) and in accordance with the applicable flow control facility implementation requirements in Section 1.2.3.2 (p. 1-50).

Intent: To ensure the minimum level of control needed to protect downstream properties and resources from increases in peak, duration, and volume of runoff generated by new development. The level of control varies depending on location and downstream conditions identified under Core Requirement #2.

❑ EXEMPTION FROM CORE REQUIREMENT #3

There is a single exemption from the flow control provisions of Core Requirement #3:

Basic Exemption

A proposed project is exempt if it meets the following criteria:

a) Less than 5,000 square feet of ***new*** plus ***replaced impervious surface*** will be created, AND

b) Less than ¾ acres of ***new pervious surface*** will be added.

1.2.3.1 AREA-SPECIFIC FLOW CONTROL FACILITY REQUIREMENT

REQMT

Projects subject to Core Requirement #3 must provide flow control facilities as specified by the area-specific facility requirements and exceptions for the **designated flow control area** in which the proposed project or ***threshold discharge area*** of the proposed project is located as described in Subsections A, B, and C below.

Guide to Applying the Area-Specific Flow Control Facility Requirement

The flow control facility requirement varies across the county landscape according to the *flow control area* within which the project or a ***threshold discharge area*** of the project is located. Flow control areas are designated by the county to target the level of flow control performance to the broad protection needs of specific basins or subbasins. There are currently three such flow control areas, which are depicted on the Flow Control Applications Map adopted with this manual and found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap.

These are the **Basic Flow Control Areas**, **Conservation Flow Control Areas**, and **Flood Problem Flow Control Areas**. Each flow control area has an area-specific set of minimum flow control facility performance criteria, design assumptions, surfaces that must be mitigated, and exceptions. These provisions all comprise what is referred to as the "area-specific flow control facility requirement."

Note that the minimum required performance of the facility as specified by this requirement may need to be increased to ensure that downstream drainage problems are not created or significantly aggravated as set forth in Section 1.2.2.2, "Drainage Problem-Specific Mitigation Requirements" (p. 1-34). Table 1.2.3.A (p. 1-40) provides a quick guide for selecting the flow control performance criteria necessary to meet both the area-specific flow control facility requirement and the problem-specific mitigation requirement. This is further explained in Step 4 below.

For efficient application of the flow control facility requirement, the following steps are recommended:

1. Check the Direct Discharge Exemption on Page 1-41 to determine if and/or which portions of your project are exempt from the flow control facility requirement. If exempt from the flow control facility requirement, proceed to Step 6.
2. Use the Flow Control Applications Map to determine the flow control area in which your project is located.
3. Consult the detailed requirement and exception language for the identified flow control area to determine if and how the flow control facility requirement applies to your project. This requirement and exception language is detailed on subsequent pages for each of the three flow control areas depicted on the Flow Control Applications Map. If a flow control facility is not applicable per the area-specific exceptions, proceed to Step 6.
4. If downstream drainage problems were identified through offsite analysis per Core Requirement #2 and are proposed to be addressed through onsite flow control, use Table 1.2.3.A (p. 1-40) to determine if and what additional flow control performance is necessary to mitigate impacts (i.e., to prevent creation or aggravation of the identified problems).
5. Use Section 1.2.3.2 (p. 1-50) to identify the applicable requirements for implementing the flow control facility requirement. These requirements cover facility siting, analysis and design, unusual situations, and other site-specific considerations.
6. Use Core Requirement 9 to identify the flow control BMPs that must be applied to your ***project site*** regardless of whether a flow control facility is required.

TABLE 1.2.3.A
SUMMARY OF FLOW CONTROL PERFORMANCE CRITERIA ACCEPTABLE FOR IMPACT MITIGATION[1]

IDENTIFIED PROBLEM DOWNSTREAM	AREA-SPECIFIC FLOW CONTROL FACILITY REQUIREMENT		
	Basic Flow Control (FC) Areas	**Conservation FC Areas**	**Flood Problem FC Areas**
No Problem Identified Apply the minimum area-specific flow control performance criteria.	Apply the Level 1 flow control standard, which matches ***existing site conditions*** 2- and 10-year peaks	Apply the ***historic site conditions*** Level 2 flow control standard, which matches historic durations for 50% of 2-yr through 50-year peaks AND matches historic 2- and 10-year peaks	Apply the ***existing*** or ***historic site conditions*** Level 2 flow control standard (whichever is appropriate based on downstream flow control area) AND match ***existing site conditions*** 100-year peaks
Type 1 Drainage Problem ***Conveyance System Nuisance Problem***	Additional Flow Control Hold 10-year peak to overflow T_r peak[2][3]	*No additional flow control or other mitigation is needed*	*No additional flow control or other mitigation is needed*
Type 2 Drainage Problem ***Severe Erosion Problem***	Additional Flow Control Apply the ***existing site conditions*** Level 2 flow control standard[3][4]	*No additional flow control is needed, but other mitigation may be required*[4]	*No additional flow control is needed, but other mitigation may be required*[4]
Type 3 Drainage Problem ***Severe Flooding Problem***	Additional Flow Control Apply the ***existing site conditions*** Level 3 flow control standard to peak flows above the overflow T_r peak. If flooding is from a closed depression, make design adjustments as needed to meet the "special provision for closed depressions"[3][5]	Additional Flow Control Apply the ***historic site conditions*** Level 3 flow control standard. If flooding is from a closed depression, make design adjustments as needed to meet the "special provision for closed depressions"[3][5]	Additional Flow Control If flooding is from a closed depression, make design adjustments as needed to meet the "special provision for closed depressions" [3][5]
Type 4 Potential Impact to Wetland Hydrology as Determined through a Critical Area Review per KCC 21A.24.100 or Offsite Analysis	Additional Flow Control DLS-Permitting may require design adjustments based on the wetland hydrology protection guidelines in Reference Section 5	Additional Flow Control DLS-Permitting may require design adjustments based on the wetland hydrology protection guidelines in Reference Section 5	Additional Flow Control DLS-Permitting may require design adjustments based on the wetland hydrology protection guide-lines in Reference Section 5

Notes:

(1) More than one set of problem-specific performance criteria may apply if two or more downstream drainage problems are identified through offsite analysis per Core Requirement #2. If this happens, the performance goals of each applicable problem-specific criterion must be met. This can require extensive, time-consuming analysis to implement multiple sets of outflow performance criteria if additional onsite flow control is the only viable option for mitigating impacts to these problems. In these cases, it may be easier and more prudent to implement the ***historic site conditions*** Level 3 flow control standard in place of the otherwise required area-specific standard. Use of the historic Level 3 flow control standard satisfies the specified performance criteria for all the area-specific and problem-specific requirements except if adjustments are required per the special provision for closed depressions described below in Note 5.

(2) Overflow T_r is the return period of conveyance system overflow. To determine T_r requires a minimum Level 2 downstream analysis as detailed in Section 2.3.1.1. To avoid this analysis, a T_r of 2 years may be assumed.

(3) Offsite improvements may be implemented in lieu of or in combination with additional flow control as allowed in Section 1.2.2.2 (p. 1-32) and detailed in Section 3.3.5.

(4) A tightline system may be required regardless of the flow control standard being applied if needed to meet the discharge requirements of Core Requirement #1 (p. 1-25) or the outfall requirements of Core Requirement #4 (p. 1-58), or if deemed necessary by DLS-Permitting where the risk of severe damage is high.

(5) **Special Provision for Closed Depressions with a Severe Flooding Problem:**
IF the proposed project discharges by overland flow or conveyance system to a closed depression experiencing a ***severe flooding problem*** AND the amount of ***new impervious surface*** area proposed by the project is greater than or equal to 10% of the 100-year water surface area of the closed depression, THEN use the "point of compliance analysis technique" described in Section 3.3.6 to verify that water surface levels are not increasing for the return frequencies at which flooding occurs, up to and including the 100-year frequency. If necessary, iteratively adjust onsite flow control performance to prevent increases. *Note: The point of compliance analysis relies on certain field measurements taken directly at the closed depression (e.g., soils tests, topography, etc.). If permission to enter private property for such measurements is denied, DLS-Permitting may waive this provision and apply the* ***existing site conditions*** *Level 3 flow control standard with a mandatory 20% safety factor on the storage volume.*

❑ DIRECT DISCHARGE EXEMPTION

Any onsite **natural drainage area** is exempt from the flow control facility requirement if the area drains to one of the ***major receiving waters*** listed in Table 1.2.3.B at right, AND meets the following criteria for *direct discharge*[23] to that receiving water:

a) The **flowpath** from the ***project site*** discharge point to the edge of the 100-year floodplain of the major receiving water will be **no longer than a quarter mile**, except for discharges to Lake Sammamish, Lake Washington, and Puget Sound, AND

b) The conveyance system between the ***project site*** and the ***major receiving water*** will extend to the ordinary high water mark, and will be **comprised of manmade conveyance elements** (pipes, ditches, etc.) and will be within public right-of-way or a public or private drainage easement, AND

c) The conveyance system will have **adequate capacity**[24] per Core Requirement #4, Conveyance System, for the entire contributing drainage area, assuming **build-out conditions** to current zoning for the *equivalent area* portion (defined in Figure 1.2.3.A, below) and existing conditions for the remaining area, AND

d) The conveyance system will be adequately **stabilized to prevent erosion**, assuming the same basin conditions as assumed in Criteria (c) above, AND

e) The direct discharge proposal will not **divert flows** from or increase flows to an **existing wetland or stream** sufficient to cause a significant adverse impact.

TABLE 1.2.3.B MAJOR RECEIVING WATERS

- Cedar River downstream of Taylor Creek confluence
- Green/Duwamish River below River Mile 6 (S. Boeing Access Road)
- Snoqualmie River downstream of Middle Fork confluence
- Middle Fork Snoqualmie River downstream of Rainy Creek confluence
- Sammamish River[22]
- White/Stuck River downstream of Huckleberry Creek confluence
- South Fork Skykomish River downstream of Tye and Foss River confluences
- Lake Sammamish
- Lake Washington
- Puget Sound

*Note: The **major receiving waters** listed above do not include side adjacent or associated channels, spring- or groundwater-fed streams, or wetlands.*

FIGURE 1.2.3.A EQUIVALENT AREA DEFINITION AND ILLUSTRATION

Equivalent area: The area tributary to a direct discharge conveyance system that is contained within an arc formed by the shortest, straight line distance from the conveyance system discharge point to the furthermost point of the proposed project.



[22] *Projects discharging directly to the Sammamish River must infiltrate runoff to the extent feasible before discharge to the River.*

[23] *Direct discharge* means undetained discharge from a proposed project to a ***major receiving water***.

[24] *Note: If the conveyance system is an existing King County-owned system, the County may charge a special use fee.*

❑ IMPERVIOUS SURFACE PERCENTAGE EXEMPTION FOR AGRICULTURAL PROJECTS

For agricultural projects located within an Agricultural Production District (APD), Farmland Preservation Program (FPP), or site zoned A, any onsite threshold discharge area is exempt from the flow control facility requirement if it meets all of the following conditions:

a) The total (new, replaced, and existing) amount of impervious surface that is not fully dispersed per the criteria on page 1-46 must be no more than 4% of the threshold discharge area, AND
b) New impervious surfaces and new pervious surfaces must not disturb, impact, or replace native vegetation, AND
c) Flow control BMPs must be applied to new impervious surfaces as specified in Core Requirement 9, AND
d) All impervious surface area, except 10,000 square feet of it, must be set back from its natural location of discharge from the site at least 100 feet for every 10,000 square feet of total impervious surface and its runoff must be discharged in an unconcentrated manner that promotes infiltration and evapotranspiration, AND
e) Increased runoff from the new impervious surface and new pervious surface must not significantly impact a critical area, severe flooding problem, or severe erosion problem, AND
f) The manner in which runoff is discharged from the project site must not create a significant adverse impact per core requirement #1.

a)

A. BASIC FLOW CONTROL AREAS

Basic Flow Control Areas are designated in two ways. Basic Flow Control Areas refer to areas that discharge to a closed conveyance system, which discharges eventually to water bodies that are designated as ***major receiving waters***. Basic Flow Control Areas are also designated by King County, with approval from the state Department of Ecology, where the County has determined that maintaining peak flows is sufficient to protect natural and constructed conveyance systems. The latter method is usually based on the findings of a plan or study that has determined that such conveyance systems are not sensitive to development-induced increases in runoff volume and durations. Basic Flow Control Areas are delineated on the Flow Control Applications Map adopted with this manual and found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap.

Note: For projects located at or near the delineated boundary of the Basic Flow Control Area, **site**-specific topography or drainage information may be needed to determine whether a project or any **threshold discharge area** of a project is indeed within the flow control area. Any **threshold discharge area** is considered to be within the Basic Flow Control Area if the **threshold discharge area** drains to a waterbody or drainage system that is clearly within the mapped Basic Flow Control Area.

REQMT

Within Basic Flow Control Areas, required flow control facilities must comply with the following minimum requirements for facility performance and mitigation of targeted surfaces, except where such requirements or the facility requirement itself is waived or reduced by the area-specific exceptions at the end of this subsection.

Minimum Required Performance

Facilities **in Basic Flow Control Areas** must comply with the following flow control performance standards and assumptions unless modified by offsite analysis per Core Requirement #2 (see Table 1.2.3.A, p. 1-40):

Level 1 Flow Control: Match the developed peak discharge rates to ***existing site conditions*** peak discharge rates for 2- and 10-year return periods.

Reduced Level 1 Flow Control: A modified version of this standard, controlling only the 10-year frequency peak flow rate, is allowed if the applicant demonstrates both of the following:

- The proposed ***project site*** discharges to a conveyance system not subject to erosion that extends from the project discharge point to one of the ***major receiving waters*** listed on Page 1-41, AND
- There is no evidence of capacity problems along this conveyance system as determined by offsite analysis per Core Requirement #2, or such problems will be resolved prior to project construction.

Intent

The Level 1 flow control standard is intended to protect flow-carrying capacity and limit increased erosion within the downstream conveyance system for runoff events less than or equal to the 10-year event. Matching the 2- and 10-year peak flows is intended to prevent increases in return-frequency peak flows less than or equal to the 10-year peak flow down to the 2-year peak flow. This level of control is also intended to prevent creation of new ***conveyance system nuisance problems*** as described in Section1.2.2.1.

Effectiveness in Addressing Downstream Drainage Problems

While the Level 1 flow control standard provides reasonable protection from many development-induced conveyance problems (up to the 10-year event), it does not prevent increases in runoff volumes or flow durations that tend to aggravate the three types of downstream drainage problems described in Section 1.2.2.1. Consequently, if one or more of these problems are identified through offsite analysis per Core Requirement #2, additional onsite flow control and/or offsite improvements will likely be required (see "Drainage Problem-Specific Mitigation Requirements" in Section 1.2.2.2, p. 1-34).

Target Surfaces

Facilities **in Basic Flow Control Areas** must mitigate (either directly or in effect) the runoff from the following target surfaces within the ***threshold discharge area*** for which the facility is required:

1. ***New impervious surface*** that is **not** ***fully dispersed*** per the criteria on Page 1-51 or not farmland dispersed as specified in Appendix C. For individual lots within residential subdivision projects, the extent of ***new impervious surface*** shall be assumed as specified in Chapter 3. *Note, any* ***new impervious surface*** *such as a bridge or boardwalk that spans the ordinary high water of a stream, pond, or lake may be excluded as a target surface if the runoff from such span is conveyed to the ordinary high water area in accordance with Criteria (b), (c), (d), and (e) of the "Direct Discharge Exemption" (p 1-41).*
2. ***New pervious surface*** that is **not** ***fully dispersed*** or not farmland dispersed as specified in Appendix C. For individual lots within residential subdivision projects, the extent of ***new pervious surface*** shall be assumed to be the entire lot area, except the assumed impervious portion and any portion in which native conditions are preserved by covenant, tract, or easement. In addition, the ***new pervious surface*** on individual lots shall be assumed to be 100% grass if located within the Urban Growth Area (UGA) and 50% grass/50% pasture if located outside the UGA.

Exceptions

The following exceptions apply only **in Basic Flow Control Areas**:

1. The facility requirement in Basic Flow Control Areas is waived for any ***threshold discharge area*** in which the target surfaces subject to this requirement will generate **no more than a 0.15-cfs increase** (when modeled using 15 minute time steps) or **no more than a 0.1-cfs increase** (when modeled using 1 hour time steps) in the ***existing site conditions*** 100-year peak flow (modeled using same time step unit (e.g. hourly or 15 minute) used to calculate the developed flow). *Note: for the purposes of this calculation, target surfaces served by flow control BMPs per Appendix C may be modeled in accordance with the flow control BMP facility sizing credits in Core Requirement 9, Table 1.2.9.A.*

2. The facility requirement in Basic Flow Control Areas may be waived for any ***threshold discharge area*** of a ***redevelopment project*** in which all of the following criteria are met:

   a) The target surfaces subject to the Basic Flow Control Areas facility requirement will generate no more than a **0.15-cfs increase** (when modeled using 15 minute time steps) or **no more than a 0.1-cfs increase** (when modeled using 1 hour time steps) in the ***existing site conditions*** 100-year peak flow (modeled using same time step unit (e.g. hourly or 15 minute) used to calculate the developed flow) at any ***natural discharge location*** from the ***project site*** (*note: for the purposes of this calculation, target surfaces served by flow control BMPs per Appendix C may be modeled in accordance with the flow control BMP facility sizing credits in Core Requirement 9, Table 1.2.9.A*, AND

   b) The increased runoff from target surfaces will not significantly impact a critical area, ***severe flooding problem***, or ***severe erosion problem***.

   c)

B. CONSERVATION FLOW CONTROL AREAS

Conservation Flow Control Areas cover all of unincorporated King County except where the County has determined that control of flow durations and peaks to ***historic site conditions*** is not necessary to protect or allow for the restoration of water quality or habitat functions essential to salmonids. Conservation Flow Control Areas are the default designation until a County-approved plan or study has determined that natural and manmade conveyance systems within the area designated are not sensitive to development-induced increases in runoff volume and durations. Most Conservation Flow Control Areas are delineated on the Flow Control Applications Map adopted with this manual and found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap.

Any unincorporated areas of King County not shown on this map shall be assumed to be Conservation Flow Control Areas unless they drain entirely by non-erodible manmade conveyance to a ***major receiving water*** (listed on page 1-41), in which case they will be assumed to be Basic Flow Control Areas.

*Note: For projects located at or near the delineated boundary of the Conservation Flow Control Area, **site**-specific topography or drainage information may be needed to verify that a project or any **threshold discharge area** of a project is within the flow control area. Any **threshold discharge area** is considered to be within the Conservation Flow Control Area if the **threshold discharge area** drains to a waterbody or drainage system that is clearly within the mapped Conservation Flow Control Area. However, any **threshold discharge area** that drains entirely by non-erodible manmade conveyance to a **major receiving water** (listed on page 1-41) may be assumed to be located within and subject to the facility requirements and exceptions of a Basic Flow Control Area.*

REQMT

Within Conservation Flow Control Areas, required flow control facilities must comply with the following minimum requirements for facility performance and mitigation of targeted surfaces, except where such requirements or the facility requirement itself is waived or reduced by the area-specific exceptions at the end of this subsection.

Minimum Required Performance

Facilities **in Conservation Flow Control Areas** must comply with the following flow control performance standard and assumptions unless modified by offsite analysis per Core Requirement #2 (see Table 1.2.3.A, p. 1-40):

Level 2 Flow Control: Match developed discharge durations to predeveloped durations for the range of predeveloped discharge rates from 50% of the 2-year peak flow up to the full 50-year peak flow. Also match developed peak discharge rates to predeveloped peak discharge rates for the 2- and 10-year return periods. Assume ***historic site conditions*** as the predeveloped condition.

Intent

The Level 2 flow control standard assuming ***historic site conditions*** is intended to limit the amount of time that erosive flows are at work generating erosion and sedimentation within natural and constructed drainage systems. Such control is effective in preventing development-induced increases in natural erosion rates and reducing existing erosion rates where they may have been increased by past development of the ***site***. This is accomplished by maintaining at historic predevelopment levels the aggregate time that developed flows exceed an erosion-causing threshold (i.e., 50% of the historic 2-year peak flow). Maintaining natural erosion rates within streams and their tributary areas is important for preventing increases in stream channel erosion and sediment loading that are detrimental to salmonid habitat and production.

Effectiveness in Addressing Downstream Drainage Problems

While the Level 2 flow control standard assuming ***historic site conditions*** provides a reasonable level of protection for preventing most development-induced problems, it does not necessarily prevent increases in ***existing site conditions*** 100-year peak flows that can aggravate ***severe flooding problems*** as described in Core Requirement #2, nor does it necessarily prevent aggravation of all ***severe erosion problems***. Consequently, if one or more of these problems are identified through offsite analysis per Core Requirement #2, additional onsite flow control and/or offsite improvements will likely be required (see "Drainage Problem-Specific Mitigation Requirements" in Section 1.2.2.2, p. 1-34).

Target Surfaces

Facilities **in Conservation Flow Control Areas**[25] must mitigate (either directly or in effect) the runoff from the following target developed surfaces within the ***threshold discharge area*** for which the facility is required:

1. ***New impervious surface*** that is **not** ***fully dispersed*** per the criteria on Page 1-51 or not farmland dispersed as specified in Appendix C. For individual lots within residential subdivision projects, the extent of ***new impervious surface*** shall be assumed as specified in Chapter 3. *Note, any* ***new impervious surface*** *such as a bridge or boardwalk that spans the ordinary high water of a stream, pond, or lake may be excluded as a target surface if the runoff from such span is conveyed to the ordinary high water area in accordance with Criteria (b), (c), (d), and (e) of the "Direct Discharge Exemption" (p 1-41).*
2. ***New pervious surface*** that is **not** ***fully dispersed*** or not farmland dispersed as specified in Appendix C. For individual lots within residential subdivision projects, the extent of ***new pervious surface*** shall be assumed to be the entire lot area, except the assumed impervious portion and any portion in which native conditions are preserved by covenant, tract, or easement. In addition, the ***new pervious surface*** on individual lots shall be assumed to be 100% grass if located within the Urban Growth Area (UGA) and 50% grass/50% pasture if located outside the UGA.
3. **Existing impervious surface** added since January 8, 2001 that is **not** ***fully dispersed*** or not farmland dispersed as specified in Appendix C, and not yet mitigated with a County-approved flow control facility or flow control BMP. *Note: January 8, 2001 is the effective date of the ESA 4(d) Rule for Puget Sound Chinook salmon.*
4. ***Replaced impervious surface*** that is **not** ***fully dispersed*** or not farmland dispersed as specified in Appendix C on a non-redevelopment project in which the total of new plus replaced impervious surface is 5,000 square feet or more, OR new pervious surface is ¾ acre or more.

[25] *Note: Any* ***threshold discharge area*** *that appears to be located within a Conservation Flow Control Area according to the Flow Control Applications Map but drains entirely by non-erodible manmade conveyance to a* ***major receiving water*** *(listed on page 1-51) is considered to be located within a Basic Flow Control Area.*

5. ***Replaced impervious surface*** that is **not *fully dispersed*** on a ***transportation redevelopment project*** in which ***new impervious surface*** is 5,000 square feet or more and totals 50% or more of the existing impervious surface within the project limits.

6. ***Replaced impervious surface*** that is **not *fully dispersed*** or not farmland dispersed as specified in Appendix C, on a **parcel *redevelopment project*** in which the total of new plus ***replaced impervious surface*** is 5,000 square feet or more and whose valuation of proposed improvements (including interior improvements and excluding required mitigation improvements) exceeds 50% of the assessed value of: (a) the existing **project site** improvements on commercial or industrial projects, or (b) the existing **site** improvements on other projects.

Exceptions

The following exceptions apply only **in Conservation Flow Control Areas**25:

1. The ***historic site conditions*** **assumption** for application of Level 2 flow control may be reduced through a basin plan or study approved by King County DNRP and the Washington State Department of Ecology. One possible reduction is to an assumption of 75% forest, 15% grass, and 10% impervious surface (75/15/10 conditions) or ***existing site conditions***, whichever generates the lowest 100-year peak flow. Another possible change that could be made through a County and Ecology approved basin plan or study is to the lowest peak flow (50% of the 2-year peak flow) above which discharge durations must be matched. This peak flow, known as the geomorphic threshold of bed load movement, may be changed based on the actual channel conditions necessary to protect or allow for restoration of water body beneficial uses and habitat functions essential to salmonids.

2. The facility requirement in Conservation Flow Control Areas is waived for any ***threshold discharge area*** in which there is **no more than a 0.15-cfs difference** (when modeled using 15 minute time steps) or **no more than a 0.1-cfs difference** (when modeled using 1 hour time steps) in the sum of developed 100-year peak flows for those target surfaces subject to this requirement and the sum of ***historic site conditions*** 100-year peak flows (modeled using same time step unit (e.g. hourly or 15 minute) used to calculate the developed flow) for the same surface areas. Agricultural zoned projects in current agricultural use may use ***existing site conditions*** as the predeveloped condition for purposes of this exception calculation. *Note: for the purposes of this calculation, target surfaces served by flow control BMPs per Appendix C may be modeled in accordance with the flow control BMP facility sizing credits in Core Requirement 9, Table 1.2.9.A.*

3. The facility requirement in Conservation Flow Control Areas may be reduced or waived for any ***threshold discharge area*** where a **plan or study** approved by the County and Ecology shows that a lower standard (e.g., Level 1 flow control) is sufficient or no facility is necessary to protect or allow for restoration of water body beneficial uses and habitat functions essential to salmonids.

4. The facility requirement in Conservation Flow Control Areas as applied to ***replaced impervious surface*** may be waived if the County has adopted a plan and implementation schedule approved by state Department of Ecology for fulfilling this requirement in **regional facilities**.

5. The facility requirement in Conservation Flow Control Areas as applied to ***replaced impervious surface*** may be reduced by DLS-Permitting using the procedures detailed in Sections 1.4.3 and 1.4.4 of the adjustment process, if the **cost of flow control facilities** to mitigate all target surfaces exceeds that necessary to mitigate only for ***new impervious surface*** plus ***new pervious surface*** and also exceeds $^1/_3$ of the valuation of proposed improvements (including interior improvements) or twice the cost of a facility to mitigate equivalent surfaces on a new ***development site***, whichever is less. The amount of reduction shall be limited such that the **cost of flow control facilities** is at least equal to that necessary to mitigate only for ***new impervious surface*** plus ***new pervious surface***, and beyond this amount, is no greater than $^1/_3$ of the valuation of proposed improvements (including interior improvements) or twice the cost of a facility to mitigate equivalent surfaces on a new ***development site***, whichever is less.

6.

C. FLOOD PROBLEM FLOW CONTROL AREAS

Flood Problem Flow Control Areas are designated by King County where the County has determined that a higher average level of flow control is needed to prevent aggravation of existing documented flooding problems. Such areas are delineated on the Flow Control Applications Map, and are listed on the map by name of lake, wetland code number (from the *King County Wetlands Inventory*), or approximate address. The map is found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap.

Note: For projects located at or near the delineated boundary of the Flood Problem Flow Control Area, **site**-specific topography or drainage information may be needed to verify that a project or any **threshold discharge area** of a project is within the flow control area. Any **threshold discharge area** is considered to be within the Flood Problem Flow Control Area if the **threshold discharge area** drains to a waterbody or drainage system that is clearly within the mapped Flood Problem Flow Control Area.

REQMT

Within Flood Problem Flow Control Areas, required flow control facilities must comply with the following minimum requirements for facility performance and mitigation of targeted surfaces, except where such requirements or the facility requirement itself is waived or reduced by the area-specific exceptions at the end of this subsection.

Minimum Required Performance

Facilities **in Flood Problem Flow Control Areas** must comply with the following flow control performance standard and assumptions unless modified by offsite analysis per Core Requirement #2 (see Table 1.2.3.A, p. 1-40):

Level 3 Flow Control: Apply the Level 2 flow control standard, AND match the developed 100-year peak discharge rate to the predeveloped 100-year peak discharge rate. If the Flood Problem Flow Control Area is located within a Conservation Flow Control Area and does not drain entirely by non-erodible manmade conveyance to a ***major receiving water*** (listed on page 1-41), then ***historic site conditions*** shall be assumed as the predeveloped condition except for the purposes of matching 100-year peak discharge rates. For all other situations and for the purposes of matching 100-year peak discharge rates, ***existing site conditions*** may be assumed.

Intent

The Level 3 flow control standard is intended to prevent significant increases in existing water surface levels for 2-year through 100-year return frequencies. Such increases are expected to occur as the volume of runoff discharging to the water body is increased by upstream development. Because inflow rates to these water bodies are typically much higher than the outflow rates, increased runoff volumes from upstream development are, in effect, stacked on top of existing volumes in the water body, resulting in higher water surface levels. The duration-matching and 100-year peak-matching criteria of the Level 3 flow control standard counteract this stacking effect by slowing the arrival of additional runoff volumes. Because it can prevent significant aggravation of existing flooding, the Level 3 standard is also applicable to other flow control areas where ***severe flooding problems*** have been identified per Core Requirement #2.

Effectiveness in Addressing Downstream Drainage Problems

If the Level 3 flow control standard is implemented onsite, no additional measures are required to prevent aggravation of the three types of downstream drainage problems described in Core Requirement #2. The one exception is for a wetland or lake that is a closed depression with a ***severe flooding problem***, and the proposed project is adding impervious surface area amounting to more than 10% of the 100-year water surface area of the closed depression. In this case, additional onsite flow control or offsite improvements may be necessary as determined by a "point of compliance analysis" (see "Special Provision for Closed Depressions" in Table 1.2.3.A (p. 1-40), and see Section 3.3.6, "Point of Compliance Analysis").

Target Surfaces

Facilities **in Flood Problem Flow Control Areas** must mitigate (either directly or in effect) the runoff from the following target developed surfaces within the ***threshold discharge area*** for which the facility is required:

1. If the Flood Problem Flow Control Area is **located within a Conservation Flow Control Area**, then the target surfaces are the same as those required for facilities in Conservation Flow Control Areas (see p. 1-44) unless otherwise allowed by the area-specific exceptions for Conservation Flow Control Areas. *Note: Any Flood Problem Flow Control Area that appears to be located within a Conservation Flow Control Area identified on the Flow Control Applications Map, but drains entirely by non-erodible manmade conveyance to a **major receiving water** (listed on page 1-41), is considered to be located within a Basic Flow Control Area*.
2. If the Flood Problem Flow Control Area is **located within a Basic Flow Control Area** or drains entirely by non-erodible manmade conveyance to a ***major receiving water***, then the target surfaces are the same as those required for facilities in Basic Flow Control Areas (see p. 1-42).

Exceptions

The following exceptions apply only **in Flood Problem Flow Control Areas**:

1. If the Flood Problem Flow Control Area **is located within a Conservation Flow Control Area**, then the facility requirement is waived for any ***threshold discharge area*** in which there is **no more than a 0.15-cfs difference** (when modeled using 15 minute time steps) or **no more than a 0.1-cfs difference** (when modeled using 1 hour time steps) in the sum of developed 100-year peak flows for the target surfaces subject to this requirement and the sum of ***historic site conditions*** 100-year peak flows (modeled using same time step unit (e.g. hourly or 15 minute) used to calculate the developed flow) for the same surface areas. Agricultural zoned projects in current agricultural use may use ***existing site conditions*** as the predeveloped condition for purposes of this exception calculation.

   1. *Note: for the purposes of this calculation, target surfaces served by flow control BMPs per Appendix C may be modeled in accordance with the flow control BMP facility sizing credits in Core Requirement 9, Table 1.2.9.A. Also, any Flood Problem Flow Control Area that appears to be located within a Conservation Flow Control Area identified on the Flow Control Applications Map, but drains entirely by non-erodible manmade conveyance to a **major receiving water** (listed on page 1-41), is considered to be located within a Basic Flow Control Area*.

2. If the Flood Problem Flow Control Area **is located within a Basic Flow Control Area**, then the facility requirement is waived for any ***threshold discharge area*** in which the target surfaces subject to this requirement will generate **no more than a 0.15-cfs increase** (when modeled using 15 minute time steps) or **no more than a 0.1-cfs increase** (when modeled using 1 hour time steps) in the ***existing site conditions*** 100-year peak flow (modeled using same time step unit (e.g. hourly or 15 minute) used to calculate the developed flow.

   1. *Note: for the purposes of this calculation, target surfaces served by flow control BMPs per Appendix C may be modeled in accordance with the flow control BMP facility sizing credits in Core Requirement 9, Table 1.2.9.A.*

3. Any required application of the Flood Problem Flow Control Areas facility requirement to ***replaced impervious surface*** may be waived if the County has adopted a plan and implementation schedule approved by the state Department of Ecology for fulfilling this requirement with regional facilities.
4. Any required application of the Flood Problem Flow Control Areas facility requirement to ***replaced impervious surface*** may be reduced by DLS-Permitting using the procedures detailed in Sections 1.4.3 and 1.4.4 of the adjustment process, if the **cost of flow control facilities** to mitigate all target surfaces exceeds that necessary to mitigate only for ***new impervious surface*** plus ***new pervious surface*** and also exceeds $^1/_3$ of the valuation of proposed improvements (including interior improvements) or twice the cost of a facility to mitigate the same surfaces on a new ***development site***, whichever is less. The amount of reduction allowed by this exception shall be limited such that

the **cost of flow control facilities** is at least equal to that necessary to mitigate only for ***new impervious surface*** plus ***new pervious surface***, and beyond this amount, is no greater than $^1/_3$ of the valuation of proposed improvements (including interior improvements) or twice the cost of a facility to mitigate equivalent surfaces on a new ***development site***, whichever is less.

1.

1.2.3.2 FLOW CONTROL FACILITY IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

Flow control facilities shall be designed and implemented in accordance with the following requirements, allowances, and flexible compliance provisions:

A. ONSITE VS. OFFSITE IMPLEMENTATION

All required flow control facilities must be implemented onsite except where the requirements below can be met by direct discharge to a regional or shared facility constructed to provide flow control for the proposed project. Regional facilities are typically constructed as part of a County-approved plan or study (e.g., basin plan, stormwater compliance plan, or master drainage plan). Shared facilities may be constructed under a County-developed shared facility drainage plan or under an agreement between two or more private developers.

1. The regional or shared facility must be of adequate size and design to meet the **current** flow control requirements for the proposed project. *Note: the current flow control requirements are those specified by Core Requirement #3 of this manual unless superseded by other adopted area-specific flow control requirements per Special Requirement #1 (see Section 1.3.1).* In some cases where the current flow control requirements differ from those used to originally design the regional or shared facility, additional analysis and possible retrofitting of the facility may be required to ensure adequate size and design. In other cases where the current flow control requirements are not significantly different or are less stringent, adequate size and design may already be documented by an adopted King County basin plan or master drainage plan, an approved shared facility drainage plan, or a detailed drainage analysis approved by the County for a separate permitted development.
2. The regional or shared facility must be fully operational at the time of construction of the proposed project. In the case of a shared facility, the proposed project must comply with the terms and conditions of all contracts, agreements, and permits associated with the shared facility. If the offsite facility is an existing King County-owned facility, the County may charge a special use fee equal to or based on the property value of the detention capacity being used.
3. The conveyance system between the ***project site*** and the regional facility must meet the same criteria specified for direct discharge to a ***major receiving water*** except for Criterion (a) (see "Direct Discharge Exemption" on page 1-41). In the case of a shared facility, the criteria are the same, except the conveyance system need only have adequate capacity and erosion protection for buildout of the *participating portion*[26] of the contributing drainage area.

B. METHODS OF ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

Flow control facilities must be analyzed and designed using a continuous flow simulation method such as HSPF (Hydrologic Simulation Program FORTRAN) or the simplified HSPF-based runoff files method. An overview of the runoff files method is found in Chapter 3. Specifications for use of the approved modeling software is provided in the software documentation and augmented with limited SWDM-specific guidance in Reference 6-D. Detailed design specifications for flow control facilities are found in Chapter 5.

C. SIZING CREDITS FOR FULLY DISPERSED SURFACES

A ***fully dispersed*** surface (either impervious or non-native pervious) is one that conforms to the BMP strategy for "full dispersion" detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.1. This strategy calls for minimizing the area of onsite developed surface relative to ***native vegetated surface***, together with the application of dispersion techniques that utilize the natural retention/detention capacity of the ***native vegetated surface*** to mitigate the runoff effects of the developed surfaces. Developed surfaces conforming to this strategy are considered to have a negligible impact downstream, and therefore, may be modeled as forest and are not subject to the area-specific flow control facility requirement (Section 1.2.3.1) or the area-specific water

[26] The *participating portion* includes those properties that have agreements for use of the shared facility.

quality facility requirement (Section 1.2.8.1). In order for developed surfaces to qualify as ***fully dispersed***, they must meet the basic criteria listed below and further detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.1.

Criteria for Fully Dispersed Surfaces

1. The **total area of impervious surface** being ***fully dispersed*** must be no more than 15% of the total area of ***native vegetated surface*** being preserved by a clearing limit per KCC 16.82 or by recorded tract, easement, or covenant within the same ***threshold discharge area***. The total area of impervious surface plus *non-native pervious surface*[27] being ***fully dispersed*** must be no more than 35% of a ***threshold discharge area***.
2. The runoff from a ***fully dispersed*** surface must be discharged using one of the following **dispersion devices** in accordance with the design specifications and maximum area of ***fully dispersed*** surface for each device set forth in Appendix C, Section C.2.1:
   a) **Splash blocks**
   b) **Rock pads**
   c) **Gravel filled trenches**
   d) **Sheet flow**

   Note: The dispersion device must be situated so as to discharge within the same ***threshold discharge area*** *of the surface it serves.*
3. A **native vegetated flowpath segment** of at least 100 feet in length (25 feet for sheet flow from a non-native pervious surface) must be available along the flowpath that runoff would follow upon discharge from a dispersion device listed in Minimum Requirement 2 above. The native vegetated flowpath segment **must meet all of the following criteria:**
   a) The flowpath segment must be over ***native vegetated surface***.
   b) The flowpath segment must be **onsite or an offsite tract or easement area** reserved for such dispersion.
   c) The **slope** of the flowpath segment must be **no steeper than 15%** for any 20-foot reach of the flowpath segment.
   d) The flowpath segment must be located **between the dispersion device and any downstream drainage feature** such as a pipe, ditch, stream, river, pond, lake, or wetland.
   e) The flowpath segments for adjacent dispersion devices must comply with the **minimum spacing requirements** in Appendix C, Section C.2.1. These requirements do not allow overlap of flowpath segments, except in the case where **sheet flow from a non-native pervious surface** overlaps with the flowpath of any dispersion device listed in Minimum Requirement 2 above. In this case, the longer of the two overlapping flowpath segments must be extended at least 1 foot for every 3 feet of distance along the most representative path that runoff would travel from the upstream end to the discharge end of the non-native pervious surface.
4. On ***sites*** **with septic systems**, the discharge of runoff from dispersion devices must not be upgradient of the drainfield. This requirement may be waived by DLS-Permitting if ***site*** topography clearly prohibits flows from intersecting the drainfield.
5. The dispersion of runoff must not create **flooding or erosion impacts** as determined by DLS-Permitting. If runoff is proposed to be discharged toward a ***landslide hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, ***or steep slope hazard area*** (i.e., slopes steeper than 20%), DPER may require the applicant to have the proposal evaluated by a geotechnical engineer, engineering geologist, or the DLS-Permitting staff geologist.

[27] *Non-native pervious surface* means a pervious surface that does not meet the definition of a ***native vegetated surface***.

D. SIZING CREDITS FOR USE OF FLOW CONTROL BMPS

Projects that implement flow control BMPs as detailed in Core Requirement 9 and Appendix C, whether required or optional, may use the flow control BMP modeling credits as described and allowed in Section 1.2.9.4 and Table 1.2.9.A.

E. MITIGATION OF TARGET SURFACES THAT BYPASS FACILITY

On some ***sites***, topography may make it difficult or costly to collect all target surface runoff for discharge to the onsite flow control facility. Therefore, some project runoff subject to flow control may bypass required onsite flow control facilities provided that all of the following conditions are met:

1. The **point of convergence** for runoff discharged from the bypassed target surfaces and from the project's flow control facility must be within **a quarter-mile downstream**[28] of the facility's ***project site*** discharge point, AND
2. The increase in the ***existing site conditions*** **100-year peak discharge** from the area of bypassed target surfaces must not exceed 0.4 cfs, AND
3. Runoff from the bypassed target surfaces **must not create a significant adverse impact** to downstream drainage systems, salmonid habitat, or properties as determined by DLS-Permitting, AND
4. **Water quality requirements** applicable to the bypassed target surfaces must be met, AND
5. **Compensatory mitigation by a flow control facility** must be provided so that the net effect at the point of convergence downstream is the same with or without the bypass. This mitigation may be waived if the ***existing site conditions*** 100-year peak discharge from the area of bypassed target surfaces is increased by no more than 0.1 cfs (modeled using 1 hour time steps) or no more than 0.15 cfs (modeled using 15 minute time steps) and **flow control BMPs** as detailed in Appendix C are applied to all impervious surfaces within the area of bypassed target surfaces. One or combination of the following methods may be used to provide compensatory mitigation by a flow control facility subject to permission/approvals from other parties as deemed necessary by DLS-Permitting:
   a) Design the project's flow control facility or retrofit an existing offsite flow control facility as needed to achieve the desired effect at the point of convergence, OR
   b) Design the project's flow control facility or provide/retrofit an offsite flow control facility to mitigate an existing developed area (either onsite or offsite) that has runoff characteristics (i.e., peak flow and volume) equivalent to those of the bypassed target surfaces but is currently not mitigated or required to be mitigated to the same flow control performance requirement as the bypassed target surfaces.

F. BYPASS OF RUNOFF FROM NON-TARGET SURFACES

The performance of flow control facilities can be compromised if the contributing area, beyond that which must be mitigated by the facility, is too large. Therefore, IF the existing 100-year peak flow rate from any upstream area (not targeted for mitigation) is greater than 50% of the 100-year developed peak flow rate (undetained) for the area that must be mitigated, THEN the runoff from the upstream area must bypass the facility. The bypass of upstream runoff must be designed so that all of the following conditions are met:

1. Any existing contribution of flows to an **onsite wetland** must be maintained, AND
2. Upstream flows that are **naturally attenuated** by natural detention on the ***project site*** under predeveloped conditions must remain attenuated, either by natural means or by providing additional onsite detention so that peak flows do not increase, AND

[28] Note: DLS-Permitting may allow this distance to be extended beyond a quarter mile to the point where the ***project site*** area constitutes less than 15% of the tributary area.

3. Upstream flows that are **dispersed or unconcentrated** on the ***project site*** under predeveloped conditions must be discharged in a safe manner as described in Core Requirement #1 under "Discharge Requirements" (p. 1-25).

G. MITIGATION TRADES

A project's flow control facility may be designed to mitigate an existing developed non-target surface area (either onsite or offsite) in trade for not mitigating part or all of the project's target surface area, provided that all of the following conditions are met:

1. The **existing developed non-target surface area** (i.e., an area of existing impervious surface and/or non-native pervious surface) must have runoff discharge characteristics (i.e., peak flow and volume) equivalent to those of the target surface area for which mitigation is being traded and must not be currently mitigated to the same flow control performance requirement as the target surface area, AND
2. Runoff from both the target surface area being traded and the flow control facility **must converge prior to discharge** of the runoff from the target surface area being traded onto private property without an easement or through any area subject to erosion, AND
3. The **net effect** in terms of flow control at the point of convergence downstream must be the same with or without the mitigation trade, AND
4. The undetained runoff from the target surface area being traded **must not create a significant adverse impact** to downstream drainage systems, salmonid habitat, or properties prior to convergence with runoff from the flow control facility.
5. The existing non-targeted surface area that is mitigated for purposes of required flow control must be documented and tracked by DLS-Permitting. Documentation should clarify that future redevelopment of this existing non-targeted area used for the mitigation trade will incur additional flow control mitigation requirements if the redevelopment exceeds Core Requirement #3 thresholds. This additional flow control mitigation would need to be met in addition to that previously required and provided for the mitigation trade. Applicants may want to consider sizing flow control facilities sufficient for both the mitigation trade area and future development of the existing non-targeted area if feasible.

H. MANIFOLD DETENTION FACILITIES

A *manifold detention facility* is a single detention facility designed to take the place of two or more otherwise required detention facilities. It combines the runoff from two or more onsite drainage areas having separate ***natural discharge locations***, and redistributes the runoff back to the ***natural discharge locations*** following detention. Because manifold detention facilities divert flows from one ***natural discharge location*** to another and then back, they are not allowed except by an approved adjustment (see Section 1.4).

I. FACILITY REQUIREMENT IN LANDSLIDE HAZARD DRAINAGE AREAS

Proposed projects subject to Discharge Requirement 2 in Core Requirement #1 (see p. 1-26) must provide a tightline system except where DLS-Permitting approves an alternative system based on a geotechnical analysis that considers cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions, AND one of the following conditions can be met:

a) Less than 2,000 square feet of ***new impervious surface*** will be added within the ***natural discharge area***, OR

b) The developed conditions runoff from the ***natural discharge area*** is less than 0.1 cfs for the 100-year runoff event and will be infiltrated for runoff events up to and including the 100-year event, OR

c) The *developed conditions runoff volume*[29] from the ***natural discharge area*** is less than 50% of the existing conditions runoff volume from other areas draining to the location where runoff from the ***natural discharge area*** enters the ***landslide hazard area*** onto slopes steeper than 15%, AND the provisions of Discharge Requirement 1 are met, OR

d) DLS-Permitting determines that a tightline system is not physically feasible or will create a significant adverse impact based on a soils report by a geotechnical engineer.

Systems proposed as an alternative to the required tightline must meet all of the following requirements:

1. Approval by DLS-Permitting shall be based on a geotechnical analysis that considers cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
2. Proposed facilities, FCBMPs, and dispersal systems must meet all applicable feasibility and setback requirements contained in the SWDM.
3. Facility outflows must meet the discharge dispersal requirements specified in Discharge Requirement 1 of Core Requirement #1 (p. 1-25).
4. The geotechnical analysis and proposed system design must address facility overflows and recommend additional measures, factors of safety in facility design, etc. based on an evaluation of risk of slope instability or failure and potential impacts to life, structures, and property.
5. For projects adjacent to or containing a ***landslide***, ***steep slope***, or ***erosion hazard area*** as defined in KCC 21A.06, the applicant must demonstrate that onsite drainage facilities and/or flow control BMPs will not create a significant adverse impact to downhill properties or drainage systems.

[29] For the purposes of applying this exception, the *developed conditions runoff volume* is the average annual runoff volume as computed with the approved model per Chapter 3. The total volume is divided by the number of full water years being analyzed to determine the annual average runoff volume. Any areas assumed not to be cleared when computing the developed conditions runoff volume must be set aside in an open space tract or covenant in order for the proposed project to qualify for this exception. Preservation of existing forested areas in Landslide Hazard Drainage Areas is encouraged.

1.2.4 CORE REQUIREMENT #4: CONVEYANCE SYSTEM

REQUIREMENT

All engineered conveyance system elements for proposed projects must be analyzed, designed, and constructed to provide a minimum level of protection against overtopping, flooding, erosion, and structural failure as specified in the following groups of requirements:

- "Conveyance Requirements for New Systems," Section 1.2.4.1 (below)
- "Conveyance Requirements for Existing Systems," Section 1.2.4.2 (p. 1-56)
- "Conveyance System Implementation Requirements," Section 1.2.4.3 (p. 1-57)

Intent: To ensure proper design and construction of engineered conveyance system elements. *Conveyance systems* are natural and engineered drainage facilities that provide for the collection and transport of surface water or stormwater runoff. This core requirement applies to the engineered elements of conveyance systems (primarily pipes, culverts, and ditches/channels).

1.2.4.1 CONVEYANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR NEW SYSTEMS

All *new conveyance system elements*,[30] both onsite and offsite, shall be analyzed, designed, and constructed according to the following requirements. Also see Section 4.1 for route design and easement requirements.

Pipe Systems

1. New pipe systems shall be designed with sufficient capacity to convey and contain (at minimum) the 25-year peak flow, assuming developed conditions for onsite tributary areas and existing conditions for any offsite tributary areas.
2. Pipe system structures may overtop for runoff events that exceed the 25-year design capacity, provided the overflow from a 100-year runoff event does not create or aggravate a ***severe flooding problem*** or ***severe erosion problem*** as described in Core Requirement #2, Section 1.2.2 (p. 1-27). Any overflow occurring onsite for runoff events up to and including the 100-year event must discharge at the natural location for the ***project site***. In residential subdivisions, this overflow must be contained within an onsite drainage easement, tract, covenant, or public right-of-way.
3. The upstream end of a pipe system that receives runoff from an open drainage feature (pond, ditch, etc.) shall be analyzed and sized as a culvert as described below.

Culverts

1. New culverts shall be designed with sufficient capacity to meet the headwater requirements in Section 4.3.1 and convey (at minimum) the 25-year peak flow, assuming developed conditions for onsite tributary areas and existing conditions for any offsite tributary areas.
2. New culverts must also convey as much of the 100-year peak flow as is necessary to preclude creating or aggravating a ***severe flooding problem*** or ***severe erosion problem*** as described in Core Requirement #2, Section 1.2.2 (p. 1-27). Any overflow occurring onsite for runoff events up to and including the 100-year event must discharge at the natural location for the ***project site***. In residential subdivisions, this overflow must be contained within an onsite drainage easement, tract, covenant, or public right-of-way.
3. New culverts proposed in streams with salmonids shall be designed to provide for fish passage as detailed in Section 4.3.2. *Note: The County's critical areas regulations (KCC 21A.24) or the state Department of Fish and Wildlife may require a bridge to facilitate fish passage.*

[30] *New conveyance system elements* are those that are proposed to be constructed where there are no existing constructed conveyance elements.

Ditches/Channels

1. New ditches/channels shall be designed with sufficient capacity to convey and contain, at minimum, the 25-year peak flow, assuming developed conditions for onsite tributary areas and existing conditions for any offsite tributary areas.
2. New ditches/channels must also convey as much of the 100-year peak flow as is necessary to preclude creating or aggravating a ***severe flooding problem*** or ***severe erosion problem*** as described in Core Requirement #2, Section 1.2.2 (p. 1-27). Any overflow occurring onsite for runoff events up to and including the 100-year event must discharge at the natural location for the ***project site***. In residential subdivisions, such overflow must be contained within an onsite drainage easement, tract, covenant, or public right-of-way.

Tightline Systems Traversing Steep Slopes

New tightline conveyance systems traversing slopes that are steeper than 15% and greater than 20 feet in height, or are within a ***steep slope hazard area*** as defined in KCC 21A.06, shall be designed with sufficient capacity to convey and contain (at minimum) the 100-year peak flow, assuming *full build-out conditions*[31] for all tributary areas, both onsite and offsite. Tightline systems shall be designed as detailed in Section 4.2.2.

Bridges

New bridges shall be designed to accommodate the 100-year peak flow as specified in Section 4.3.3 and in accordance with the floodplain development standards in KCC 21A.24.

1.2.4.2 CONVEYANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR EXISTING SYSTEMS

The following conveyance requirements for existing systems are less rigorous than those for new systems to allow some salvaging of existing systems that are in useable condition. Existing systems may be utilized if they are capable of providing a minimum level of protection as-is or with minor modifications.

Existing Onsite Conveyance Systems

No Change in Flow Characteristics: Existing onsite conveyance systems that will not experience a change in flow characteristics (e.g., peak flows or volume of flows) as a result of the proposed project need not be analyzed for conveyance capacity.

Change in Flow Characteristics: Existing onsite conveyance systems that will experience a change in flow characteristics as a result of the proposed project must comply with the following conveyance requirements:

1. The existing system must be analyzed and shown to have sufficient capacity to convey and contain (at minimum) the 10-year peak flow assuming developed conditions for onsite tributary areas and existing conditions for any offsite tributary areas.
2. The applicant must demonstrate that the 100-year peak flow to the existing system will not create or aggravate a ***severe flooding problem*** or ***severe erosion problem*** as described in Core Requirement #2, Section 1.2.2 (p. 1-27).
3. Minor modifications may be made to the conveyance system to achieve the required capacity stated above. Examples of minor modifications include raising a catch-basin rim, replacing or relaying a section of pipe to match the capacity of other pipes in the system, improving a pipe inlet, or enlarging a short, constricted reach of ditch or channel.

[31] *Full build-out conditions* means the tributary area is developed to its full zoning potential except where there are existing sensitive areas, open space tracts, and/or native growth protection easements/covenants.

4. Modifications to an existing conveyance system or element that acts to attenuate peak flows, due to the presence of detention storage upstream, shall be made in a manner that does not significantly increase peak flows downstream. For example, if water is detained in a pond upstream of a restrictive road culvert, then installing an overflow system for the culvert should prevent overtopping of the road without significantly reducing existing detention storage.

Existing Offsite Conveyance Systems

1. Existing offsite conveyance systems need not be analyzed for conveyance capacity except as required by Core Requirement #2, or if offsite improvements or direct discharge are proposed per Core Requirement #3.
2. Improvements made to existing offsite conveyance systems to address the drainage problem-specific mitigation requirements in Section 1.2.2.2 (p. 1-34) need only change existing conveyance capacity sufficient to prevent aggravation of the drainage problem(s) being addressed.
3. Existing offsite conveyance systems proposed to be used for direct discharge to a ***major receiving water*** per Core Requirement #3 (p. 1-41) shall meet the same conveyance requirements specified in Section 1.2.4.1 (p. 1-55) for new systems.

1.2.4.3 CONVEYANCE SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

Conveyance systems shall be designed and implemented in accordance with the following requirements, allowances, and flexible compliance provisions:

A. METHODS OF ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

Properly sized conveyance elements provide sufficient hydraulic capacity to convey peak flows of the return frequencies indicated in Sections 1.2.4.1 and 1.2.4.2. Conveyance capacity shall be demonstrated using the methods of analysis detailed in Chapter 4. Design flows for sizing conveyance systems shall be determined using the appropriate runoff computation method specified in Section 3.2.

B. COMPOSITION

Where feasible, conveyance systems shall be constructed of vegetation-lined channels, as opposed to pipe systems. Vegetative channels shall generally be considered feasible if all of the following conditions are present:

1. The channel gradient generally does not exceed 5 percent, AND
2. No modifications to currently adopted standard roadway cross sections in the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards* are necessitated by the channel, AND
3. The channel will be accessible for maintenance (see Section 1.2.6), AND
4. The channel will not be subject to erosion.

Exceptions: The following are exceptions to the requirement for vegetative channels*:*

- Conveyance systems proposed under roadways, driveways, or parking areas
- Conveyance systems proposed between houses in urban-zoned plats and short plats
- Conveyance systems conveying roof runoff only.

C. INTERFLOW AND INTERCEPTION

Interflow is near-surface groundwater that moves laterally through the soil horizon following the hydraulic gradient of underlying relatively impermeable soils. When interflow is expressed on the surface, it is termed a *spring* or *seepage*. Any significant springs or seepage areas that impact a roadway or structure

proposed by the project must be intercepted and directed into a conveyance system. Where roadways may impede the passage of interflow to downstream wetlands or streams, provision for passage of unconcentrated flows must be made.

D. PROVISION FOR LOT DRAINAGE WITHIN SUBDIVISIONS

Within *subdivision projects*,[32] provision must be made for the safe conveyance of runoff from the discharge location of each lot to the subdivision's main conveyance system or road drainage system. This may include, but is not limited to, **provisional stub-outs** from an enclosed roadway drainage system to the edge of the road right-of-way at each created lot, or lot-line pipes or ditches that collect lot drainage and convey it to the subdivision's main conveyance system or road drainage system.

E. OUTFALLS

An *outfall* is defined as a point where collected and concentrated surface and storm water runoff is discharged from a pipe system or culvert.

Energy Dissipation: At a minimum, rock erosion protection is required at outfalls from all drainage systems and elements except where DLS-Permitting determines that erosion protection is being provided by other means or is not needed. Details on outfall structures are included in Section 4.2.2.

New Point Discharges Over Steep Slopes: Proposed outfalls that will discharge runoff in a location where the natural (existing) discharge is unconcentrated over a slope steeper than 15% and greater than 20 feet in height, or over a ***steep slope hazard area*** (as defined in KCC 21A.06), must meet the following criteria:

- A tightline conveyance system must be constructed to convey the runoff to the bottom of the slope unless other measures are approved by DLS-Permitting based on an evaluation/report by a licensed geotechnical engineer.
- The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
- Tightline systems must be designed so that existing baseflow conditions are not significantly changed and adequate energy dissipation is provided at the bottom of the slope.
- Where alternative measures (e.g. dispersal trench) to the tightline system are approved upstream of a ***landslide hazard area*** or ***steep slope hazard area***, they may be placed no closer than 50 feet from the top of the hazard area slope based on an evaluation/report by a licensed geotechnical engineer.

F. OUTFALLS TO THE GREEN RIVER

New stormwater outfalls or modifications to existing stormwater outfalls discharging to the Green River between River Mile 6 (South Boeing Access Road) and SR 18 are **allowed only through the adjustment process**. These outfalls must comply with requirements of the *Green River Pump Operations Procedure Plan*, which establishes storage volumes and release rate criteria for developments proposing to ***construct or modify*** outfalls. Copies of the plan are available from DNRP.

G. SPILL CONTROL

Projects proposing to construct or replace onsite conveyance system elements that receive runoff from non-roof-top ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** must provide a spill control device as detailed in Section 4.2.1.1 prior to discharge from the ***site*** or into a *natural onsite drainage feature.*[33] More specifically, this requirement applies whenever a proposed project does either of the following:

[32] For purposes of this requirement, the term *subdivision project* refers to any project that creates a short plat, plat, or binding site plan.

[33] *Natural onsite drainage feature* means a natural swale, channel, stream, closed depression, wetland, or lake.

- Constructs a new onsite conveyance system that receives runoff from non-roof-top ***pollution-generating impervious surface***, OR
- Removes and replaces an existing onsite conveyance system element that receives runoff from 5,000 square feet or more of non-roof-top ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** onsite.

The intent of this device is to temporarily detain oil or other floatable pollutants before they enter the downstream drainage system in the event of an accidental spill or illegal dumping. It may consist of a tee section in a manhole or catch basin, or an equivalent alternative as specified in Section 4.2.1.1. *Note that in addition to this spill control requirement to protect offsite and natural drainage systems, there are other spill control requirements in this manual for discharges to certain water quality facilities and all infiltration facilities (see the design criteria for water quality facilities in Chapter 6 and the general requirements for infiltration facilities in Section 5.2). The application of these requirements must be such that all stated intents are satisfied.*

H. GROUNDWATER PROTECTION

Any reach of new ditch or channel proposed by a project in which the untreated runoff from 5,000 square feet or more of ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** or ¾ acre or more of pollution-generating pervious surface comes into direct contact with an outwash soil must be **lined** with either:

a) a **low permeability liner** or a **treatment liner** consistent with the specifications for such liners in Section 6.2.4, OR

b) an imported soil **compacted till liner** meeting the following specifications:

- Liner thickness shall be 18 inches after compaction.
- Imported soils must meet the gradation listed for compacted till liner in Section 6.2.4.
- Soil should be placed in 6-inch lifts.
- Soil shall be compacted to no less than 95% minimum dry density, modified proctor method (ASTM D-1557).

The intent of this requirement is to reduce the likelihood that pollutants will be discharged to groundwater when untreated runoff is conveyed in ditches or channels constructed in soils with high infiltration rates.

I. PUMP SYSTEMS

Pump systems may be used to convey water from one location or elevation to another within the ***project site*** provided they meet the design criteria specified for such systems in Section 4.2.3 and will be privately owned and maintained.

Pump systems discharging flows from the ***project site*** that would not have discharged by gravity flow under ***existing site conditions*** will require an approved adjustment to Core Requirement #1 (see Section 1.4, "Adjustment Process"). These pump systems will be considered only when they are necessary to prevent creation or aggravation of a flooding or erosion problem as specified in Section 1.2.2. Pump systems discharging to the Green River between River Mile 6 (South Boeing Access Road) and SR 18 must also comply with the *Green River Pump Operations Procedure Plan*.

1.2.5 CORE REQUIREMENT #5: CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION

REQUIREMENT

All proposed projects that will clear, grade, or otherwise disturb the ***site*** must provide erosion and sediment controls to prevent, to the maximum extent practicable, the transport of sediment from the ***project site*** to downstream drainage facilities, water resources, and adjacent properties. All proposed projects that will conduct construction activities onsite or offsite must provide stormwater pollution prevention and spill controls to prevent, reduce, or eliminate the discharge of pollutants to onsite or adjacent stormwater systems or watercourses. To prevent sediment transport and pollutant discharges as well as other impacts related to land-disturbing and construction activities, **Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC)** measures and **Stormwater Pollution Prevention and Spill Control (SWPPS)** measures that are appropriate to the ***project site*** must be applied through a comprehensive **Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention (CSWPP) plan** as described in Sections 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.3 and shall perform as described in Section 1.2.5.2. In addition, these measures, both temporary and permanent, shall be implemented consistent with the requirements in Section 1.2.5.3 that apply to the proposed project.

Intent:

- To prevent the transport of sediment and other impacts, like increased runoff, related to land disturbing activities. Erosion of disturbed areas on construction sites can result in excessive sediment transport to adjacent properties and to surface waters. This sediment can result in major adverse impacts, such as flooding from obstructed drainage ways, smothering of salmonid spawning beds, algal blooms in lakes, and exceedances of state water quality standards for turbidity. These impacts can also result from the increased runoff generated by land disturbing activities on construction sites.
- To prevent, reduce, or eliminate the discharge of pollutants to onsite or adjacent stormwater systems or watercourses from construction-related activities such as materials delivery and storage, onsite equipment fueling and maintenance, demolition of existing buildings and disposition of demolition materials and other waste, and concrete handling, washout and disposal.

1.2.5.1 CSWPP MEASURES

Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention (CSWPP) measures include **Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC)** measures and **Stormwater Pollution Prevention and Spill (SWPPS)** measures.

ESC MEASURES

Each of the following categories of ESC measures must be considered for application to the ***project site*** as detailed in the *Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Standards*. The ESC standards are located in the King County *Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Standards* adopted as Appendix D of this manual:

1. Clearing Limits
2. Cover Measures
3. Perimeter Protection
4. Traffic Area Stabilization
5. Sediment Retention
6. Surface Water Collection
7. Dewatering Control
8. Dust Control
9. Flow Control
10. Control Pollutants (also see SWPPS Measures below)

11. Protect Existing and Proposed Flow Control BMPs
12. Maintain BMPs
13. Manage the Project

SWPPS MEASURES

Each of the following categories of SWPPS measures must be considered for application to the ***project site*** as detailed in the *Stormwater Pollution Prevention and Spill Control (SWPPS) Standards*. The SWPPS standards are located in the King County *Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Standards* adopted as Appendix D of this manual:

- Follow effective pollutant handling and disposal procedures.
- Provide cover and containment for materials, fuel and other pollutants.
- Manage the project site to maximize pollutant control and minimize pollutant sources.
- Protect from spills and drips of petroleum products and other pollutants.
- Avoid overapplication or untimely application of chemicals and fertilizers.
- Prevent or treat contamination of stormwater runoff by pH modifying sources.

1.2.5.2 CSWPP PERFORMANCE AND COMPLIANCE PROVISIONS

The changing conditions typical of construction sites call for frequent field adjustments of existing ESC and SWPPS measures or additional ESC and SWPPS measures in order to meet required performance. In some cases, strict adherence to specified measures may not be necessary or practicable based on ***site*** conditions or project type. In other cases, immediate action may be needed to avoid severe impacts. Therefore, careful attention must be paid to ESC and SWPPS performance and compliance in accordance with the following provisions:

A. CSWPP SUPERVISOR

For projects in Targeted, Full or Large Project Drainage Review, or projects in Directed Drainage Review as determined by the DLS-Permitting permit reviewer, the **applicant must designate a CSWPP supervisor** who shall be responsible for the performance, maintenance, and review of ESC and SWPPS measures and for compliance with all permit conditions relating to CSWPP as described in the *CSWPP Standards*. The applicant's selection of a CSWPP supervisor must be approved by King County. This approval may be rescinded for non-compliance, requiring the applicant to select another CSWPP supervisor and obtain County approval prior to continuing work on the project site. For projects that disturb one acre or more of land, the CSWPP supervisor must be a **Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control** (see www.cpesc.net for more information) or a **Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead** whose certification is recognized by King County.[34] King County may also require a certified ESC professional for sites smaller than one acre of disturbance if DDES determines that onsite ESC measures are inadequately installed, located, or maintained.

For larger, more sensitive sites, King County may require a certified ESC professional with several years of experience in construction supervision/inspection and a background in geology, soil science, or agronomy (See Appendix D, Section D.2.3.1 for more information).

B. MONITORING OF DISCHARGES

The CSWPP supervisor shall have a turbidity meter onsite and shall use it to monitor surface and storm water discharges from the ***project site*** and into onsite wetlands, streams, or lakes whenever runoff occurs

[34] King County recognition of certification means that the individual has taken a King County-approved third party training program and has passed the King County-approved test for that training program.

from onsite activities and during storm events. If the ***project site*** is subject to a NPDES general permit for construction issued by the Washington State Department of Ecology (*Ecology*), then the project must comply with the monitoring requirements of that permit.

The CSWPP supervisor shall also use the specific SWPPS control BMP procedures for monitoring surface and stormwater discharge for pollutants and acceptable discharge levels. The CSWPP supervisor shall keep logs as required by the procedures of all measurements taken onsite and make them available to DLS-Permitting on request.

C. ESC PERFORMANCE

ESC measures shall be applied/installed and maintained to prevent, to the maximum extent practicable, the transport of sediment from the ***project site*** to downstream drainage systems or surface waters or into onsite wetlands, streams, or lakes or onto adjacent properties. This performance is intended to be achieved through proper selection, installation, and operation of the above ESC measures as detailed in the *CSWPP Standards* (detached Appendix D) and approved by the County. However, the CSWPP supervisor or the County may determine at any time during construction that the approved measures are not sufficient and that additional action is required based on one of the following criteria:

1. IF a turbidity test of surface and storm water discharges leaving the ***project site*** is greater than the benchmark value of 25 NTU (nephelometric turbidity units) set by the Washington State Department of Ecology, but less than 250 NTU, the CSWPP Supervisor shall do all of the following:
    a) Review the ESC plan for compliance and make appropriate revisions within 7 days of the discharge that exceeded the benchmark of 25 NTU, AND
    b) Fully implement and maintain appropriate ESC measures as soon as possible but no later than 10 days after the discharge that exceeded the benchmark, AND
    c) Document ESC implementation and maintenance in the ***site*** log book.
2. IF a turbidity test of surface or storm water entering onsite wetlands, streams, or lakes indicates a turbidity level greater than 5 NTU above background when the background turbidity is 50 NTU or less, or 10% above background when the background turbidity is greater than 50 NTU, then corrective actions and/or additional measures beyond those specified in Section 1.2.5.1 shall be implemented as deemed necessary by the County inspector or onsite CSWPP supervisor.
3. IF discharge turbidity is 250 NTU or greater, the CSWPP Supervisor shall do all of the following:
    a) Notify the County by telephone, AND
    b) Review the ESC plan for compliance and make appropriate revisions within 7 days of the discharge that exceeded the benchmark of 25 NTU, AND
    c) Fully implement and maintain appropriate ESC measures as soon as possible but no later than 10 days after the discharge that exceeded the benchmark, AND
    d) Document ESC implementation and maintenance in the ***site*** log book. AND
    e) Continue to sample discharges until turbidity is 25 NTU or lower, or the turbidity is no more than 10% over background turbidity.
4. IF the County determines that the condition of the construction site poses a **hazard to adjacent property** or may **adversely impact drainage facilities or water resources**, THEN additional measures beyond those specified in Section 1.2.5.1 may be required by the County.

D. SWPPS PERFORMANCE

SWPPS measures shall be applied/installed and maintained so as to prevent, reduce, or eliminate the discharge of pollutants to onsite or adjacent stormwater systems or watercourses or onto adjacent properties. This performance is intended to be achieved through proper selection, installation, and operation of the above SWPPS measures as detailed in the *CSWPP Standards* (detached Appendix D) and

approved by the County. However, the CSWPP supervisor designated per Section 1.2.5.2.A or the County may determine at any time during construction that such approved measures are not sufficient and additional action is required based on the criteria described in the specific SWPPS BMP standard and/or conditions of an approved adjustment:

E. FLEXIBLE COMPLIANCE

Some projects may meet the intent of Core Requirement #5 while varying from specific CSWPP requirements contained here and in the *CSWPP Standards*. If a project is designed and constructed to meet the intent of this core requirement, the County may determine that strict adherence to a specific ESC requirement is unnecessary; an approved adjustment (see Section 1.4) is not required in these circumstances. Certain types of projects are particularly suited to this greater level of flexibility, for instance, projects on relatively flat, well drained soils, projects that are constructed in closed depressions, or projects that only disturb a small percentage of a forested site may meet the intent of this requirement with very few ESC measures. However, SWPPS requirements may actually be emphasized on well-drained soils, particularly in groundwater or well-protection areas, or in close proximity to water bodies. More information on intent and general ESC and SWPPS principles is contained in the *CSWPP Standards* in Appendix D.

F. ROADS AND UTILITIES

Road and utility projects often pose difficult erosion control challenges because they frequently cross surface waters and are long and narrow with limited area available to treat and store sediment-laden water. Because of these factors, road and utility projects are allowed greater flexibility in meeting the intent of Core Requirement #5 as described in the *CSWPP Standards*.

G. ALTERNATIVE AND EXPERIMENTAL MEASURES

All measures proposed for erosion and sediment control shall conform to the details and specifications in the *CSWPP Standards* unless an alternative is approved by King County, and if the alternative is a new technology, it must also be approved through Ecology's CTAPE program (see "Alternative and Experimental Measures" in the *CSWPP Standards*, detached Appendix D).

1.2.5.3 CSWPP IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

Proposed projects must identify, install, and maintain required erosion and sediment control and stormwater pollution prevention and spill control measures consistent with the following requirements:

A. CSWPP PLAN

As specified in Chapter 2, all proposed projects must submit a **CSWPP plan** for implementing CSWPP measures. The CSWPP plan is comprised of the **ESC plan and the SWPPS plan**. The **ESC plan** must show the location and details of all ESC measures as specified in Chapter 2 and the *CSWPP Standards* and shall include a **CSWPP report**, which contains additional directions and supporting information like a detailed construction sequence as proposed by the design engineer and any calculations or information necessary to size ESC measures and demonstrate compliance with Core Requirement #5. The CSWPP plan shall also contain plan notes that outline specific permit conditions as outlined in Appendix D Section D.4.2 Standard ESC and SWPPS Plan Notes. The County may require large, complex projects to phase construction and to submit multiple ESC plans for the different stages of construction. New CSWPP plans are not required for changes that are necessary during construction, unless required by the County inspector.

B. WET SEASON CONSTRUCTION

During the wet season (October 1 to April 30) any ***site*** with exposed soils shall be subject to the "Wet Season Requirements" contained in the *ESC Standards*. In addition to the ESC cover measures, these provisions include covering any newly-seeded areas with mulch and seeding as much disturbed area as possible during the first week of October to provide grass cover for the wet season. Other ESC measures such as baker tanks and portable sand filters may be required for use during the wet season. A separate "Wet Season" ESC plan shall be submitted and approved by the County before continuing work on any ***site*** during the wet season.

C. CONSTRUCTION WITHIN CRITICAL AREAS AND BUFFERS

Any construction that will result in disturbed areas on or within a stream or associated buffer, within a wetland or associated buffer, or within 50 feet of a lake shall be subject to the "Critical Area Restrictions" contained in the *CSWPP Standards*. These provisions include phasing the project whenever possible so that construction in these areas is limited to the dry season.

D. MAINTENANCE

All ESC and SWPPS measures shall be maintained and reviewed on a regular basis as prescribed in the *CSWPP Standards*.

E. FINAL STABILIZATION

Prior to obtaining final construction approval, the ***site*** shall be stabilized, structural ESC and SWPPS measures (such as silt fences, sediment traps and concrete waste collection pits) shall be removed, and drainage facilities shall be cleaned as specified in the *CSWPP Standards*. A separate ESC plan describing final stabilization may be required by the County prior to implementation.

F. CONSIDERATION OF OTHER REQUIRED PERMITS

Consideration should be given to the requirements and conditions that may be applied by other agencies as part of other permits required for land-disturbing activities. In particular, the following permits may be required and should be considered when implementing CSWPP measures:

- A **Class IV Special Forest Practices Permit** is required by the Washington State Department of Natural Resources for projects that will clear more than two acres of forest or 5,000 board feet of timber. All such clearing is also subject to the State Environmental Policy Act (RCW 43.21C) and will require SEPA review. King County assumes lead agency status for Class IV permits, and the application may be consolidated with the associated King County development permit or approval.
- A **NPDES General Permit for Construction** (pursuant to the Washington State Department of Ecology's Construction Stormwater General Permit) is required for projects that will disturb one or more acres for purposes of constructing or allowing for construction of a development, or projects disturbing less than one acre that are part of a *larger common plan of sale*[35] that will ultimately disturb one or more acres.

[35] ***Common plan of development or sale*** means a site where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may take place at different times or on different schedules, but still under a single plan. Examples include: 1) phased projects and projects with multiple filings or lots, even if the separate phases or filings/lots will be constructed under separate contract or by separate owners (e.g. a development where lots are sold to separate builders); 2) a development plan that may be phased over multiple years, but is still under a consistent plan for long-term development; and 3) projects in a contiguous area that may be unrelated but still under the same contract, such as construction of a building extension and a new parking lot at the same facility.

1.2.6 CORE REQUIREMENT #6: MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS

REQMT

Maintenance and operation of all drainage facilities is the responsibility of the applicant or property owner, except those facilities for which King County assumes maintenance and operation as described below and in KCC 9.04.115 and KCC 9.04.120. Drainage facilities must be maintained and operated in accordance with the maintenance standards in Appendix A of this manual, or other maintenance standards as approved by King County.

Intent: To ensure that the maintenance responsibility for drainage facilities is clearly assigned and that these facilities will be properly maintained and operated in perpetuity.

Drainage Facilities to be Maintained by King County

King County will assume maintenance and operation of the following drainage facilities[36] for any residential subdivision with two or more lots, and any similar development where at least two-thirds of the developed contributing area is from single family or townhouse residential structures on individual lots, except where King County grants an adjustment per Section 1.4, allowing the facilities to be maintained by the homeowners association:

- Flow control and water quality facilities within a tract or right-of-way dedicated to King County.
- Flow control BMP devices within a tract or right-of-way dedicated to King County.
- Where serving public improvements, flow control BMP vegetated flow paths for full dispersion within an easement that includes provisions for access and maintenance. King County maintenance of these vegetated flow paths will be limited to their FCBMP functionality. All other maintenance shall remain the responsibility of the owner(s).
- The conveyance system within improved public road right-of-way.

Note: King County may assume maintenance of facilities serving any mix of developments as part of a shared facilities plan. See Reference Section 4-D for further guidance regarding the County's assumption of maintenance responsibility for shared facilities.

King County **will assume maintenance** and operation of these facilities **two years after final construction approval** by DLS-Permitting and an inspection by the County to ensure the facilities have been properly maintained and are operating as designed.

Flow control and water quality facilities and **flow control BMP devices** to be maintained and operated by King County must be located in a tract or right-of-way dedicated to King County. Required vegetated flow paths for full dispersion and basic dispersion BMPs require a recorded declaration of covenant that stipulates restrictions on use AND shall be located in an easement that includes provisions for access and maintenance. King County maintenance of these vegetated flow paths will be limited to their FCBMP functionality. All other maintenance shall remain the responsibility of the owner(s). Access roads serving these facilities must also be located in the tract or right-of-way and must be connected to an improved public road right-of-way. Underground flow control or water quality facilities (tanks or vaults) may be allowed in private rights-of-way or roads if the easement includes provisions for facility access and maintenance.

Conveyance systems to be maintained and operated by King County must be located in a drainage easement, tract, or right-of-way granted to King County. *Note: King County does not normally assume maintenance responsibility for conveyance systems that are outside of improved public road right-of-way.*

Drainage Facilities to be Maintained by Private Parties

All drainage facilities maintained privately or by other public agencies, except flow control BMPs, must

[36] *Note: King County does not assume maintenance of individual lot drainage systems or drainage stub-outs serving single family residential lot downspout, footing, or yard drains.*

be maintained as specified in **Appendix A**, "Maintenance Requirements for Flow Control, Conveyance, and WQ Facilities," and as further prescribed in **Chapter 6** for water quality facilities, unless otherwise approved by King County DNRP. A copy of the **Operation and Maintenance Manual** submitted as part of the permit application (see Section 2.3.1) shall be retained on ***site*** and shall be transferred with the property to the new owner. A log of maintenance activity indicating when cleaning occurred and where waste was disposed of shall also be kept by the owner and be available for inspection by the County.

All privately maintained **flow control BMPs** must be maintained as specified in the ***site*/lot's** declaration of covenant and grant of easement per Section 1.2.9.

King County may inspect all privately maintained drainage facilities for compliance with these requirements. If the property owner(s) fails to maintain their facilities to the acceptable standards, the County may issue a written notice specifying the required remedial actions and requiring a schedule for timely completion of the actions. If these actions are not performed in a timely manner, the County may enter the property to perform the actions needed and bill the property owner(s) for the cost of the actions. If a hazard to public safety exists, the County may perform remedial actions without written notice.

If the proposed project is a commercial, industrial, or multifamily development or redevelopment, or a single family residential building permit, a **drainage facility declaration of covenant and grant of easement** (see Reference Section 8-J) must be recorded at the King County Office of Records and Elections prior to engineering plan approval. Whenever a flow control or water quality facility or flow control BMP is proposed to be located on a parcel separate from the parcel or parcels containing the target surfaces mitigated by the facility or BMP, provisions must be made to ensure that the owner or owners of the target surfaces have a perpetual right to operate and maintain the facility. This may be done either by recording an easement granting this right to the owner(s) of the target surfaces, or by conveying the land on which the facility sits (or an interest therein) to the owner(s) of target surfaces.

If the proposed project is a **residential subdivision development**, all privately maintained conveyance systems or other drainage facilities that convey flows through private property must be located in a **drainage easement dedicated to convey surface and storm water**. Individual owners of the properties containing these easements must maintain the drainage facilities through their property. The legal instrument creating drainage easements on private property must contain language that requires a private property owner to obtain written approval from King County prior to removing vegetation (except by routine mowing) from any drainage easement containing open, vegetated drainage facilities (such as swales, channels, ditches, ponds, etc.). See Reference Section 8-L, "Drainage Easement," for guidance.

1.2.7 CORE REQUIREMENT #7: FINANCIAL GUARANTEES AND LIABILITY

REQUIREMENT

All drainage facilities constructed or modified for projects must comply with the financial guarantee requirements in King County Ordinance 12020 and the liability requirements of King County Code 9.04.100, excepting those privately maintained flow control BMPs not serving a private road designed for 2 or more lots. There are **two types of financial guarantees** for projects constructing or modifying drainage facilities. These are as follows:

- The *drainage facilities restoration and site stabilization guarantee*
- The *drainage defect and maintenance guarantee*.

Intent: To ensure financial guarantees are posted to sufficiently cover the cost of correcting, if necessary, incomplete or substandard drainage facility construction work, and to warrant for two years the satisfactory performance and maintenance of those newly-constructed drainage facilities to be assumed by King County for maintenance and operation. Core Requirement #7 is also intended to ensure that a liability policy is provided that protects the proponent and the County from any damages relating to the construction or maintenance of required drainage facilities by private parties.

Drainage Facilities Restoration and Site Stabilization Financial Guarantee

Before starting construction, the applicant who must construct drainage facilities, pursuant to the drainage requirements in this manual and KCC 9.04.050, must post a drainage facilities restoration and site stabilization financial guarantee. This guarantee must be an amount sufficient to cover the cost of corrective work performed specifically for the given project on or off the ***site***. *Note: DLS-Permitting may waive this guarantee on projects proposing only minor modifications or improvements to the drainage system (e.g., catch basin inserts, spill control devices, pipe replacements, etc.). In addition, this guarantee may be combined with other required guarantees as allowed in Ordinance 12020.*

Before King County will release the project's drainage facilities restoration and site stabilization financial guarantee, the applicant must do the following:

1. Construct the drainage facilities.
2. Receive final construction approval from DLS-Permitting.
3. Pay all required fees.

Drainage Defect and Maintenance Financial Guarantee

For any constructed or modified drainage facilities to be maintained and operated by King County, the applicant must do the following:

1. Post a drainage defect and maintenance financial guarantee for a period of two years (see Reference Section 8-I, "Maintenance and Defect Agreement").
2. Maintain the drainage facilities (per the maintenance standards in Appendix A) during the two-year period following posting of the guarantee.

Before King County will release the drainage defect and maintenance financial guarantee and assume maintenance and operation of drainage facilities, the applicant must do the following:

1. For plats, record the final plat.
2. For tracts containing drainage facilities to be maintained by King County and not located within the final plat, deed the tract to King County and set property corners in conformance with state surveying standards.
3. For easements containing drainage facilities to be maintained by King County and not located within the final plat, provide easement documents and set temporary survey markers to delineate the easement location.
4. Receive a final County inspection to ensure the drainage facilities have been properly maintained and are operating as designed.
5. Correct any defects noted in the final inspection.

1.2.8 CORE REQUIREMENT #8: WATER QUALITY FACILITIES

REQMT

All proposed projects, including ***redevelopment projects***, must provide water quality (WQ) facilities to treat the runoff from those new and replaced ***pollution-generating impervious surfaces*** and new ***pollution-generating pervious surfaces*** targeted for treatment as specified in the following sections. These facilities shall be selected from a menu of water quality facility options specified by the area-specific facility requirements in Section 1.2.8.1 (p. 1-71) and implemented according to the applicable WQ implementation requirements in Section 1.2.8.2 (p. 1-80).

Intent: To require an efficient, cost-effective level of water quality treatment tailored to the sensitivities and resource protection needs of the downstream receiving water to which the ***project site*** drains, or, in the case of infiltration, protection of the receiving groundwater system.

Guide to Applying Core Requirement #8

Core Requirement #8 requires that WQ facilities be provided to remove pollutants from runoff discharging from a ***project site*** in accordance with one of the three area-specific WQ facility requirements found in Section 1.2.8.1 (p. 1-71). At a minimum, basic treatment is required for all PGIS and PGPS as specified once given threshold areas are reached or exceeded. Each area-specific facility requirement applies to one of three geographic areas of unincorporated King County, called "WQ treatment areas." Such areas are designated by King County to tailor the levels of treatment to the protection needs of specific waterbodies and resources. The three areas are **Basic WQ Treatment Areas**, **Sensitive Lake WQ Treatment Areas**, and **Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Areas**. Sensitive Lake and Sphagnum Bog treatment requirements are above and beyond Basic. The areas are depicted on the WQ Applications Map adopted with this manual and found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap.

The facility requirement for each WQ treatment area includes an area-specific menu of water quality facility options, the types of surfaces from which runoff must be treated ("target surfaces"), and any exceptions to the menu and surfaces requirements.

Within each WQ treatment area, certain land uses require ***Enhanced Basic*** treatment to address the likelihood of elevated heavy metals levels, or ***Enhanced Basic*** treatment may also be required under certain circumstances it would not otherwise be required, but where receiving waters are impaired.

For efficient application of Core Requirement #8, the following steps are recommended:

1. Check the exemption language on page 1-69 to determine if or which ***threshold discharge areas*** of the ***project site*** must provide WQ facilities per Core Requirement #8.
2. Use the WQ Applications Map and any necessary ***site***-specific information to determine the WQ treatment area in which your project is located. Because the basin boundaries of Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Areas are not delineated on the WQ Applications Map, you may find that your project is located in one of these as well as another WQ treatment area. If this happens, the requirements of the Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Area take precedence.
3. Comply with the requirements specified in Section 1.2.8.1 (p. 1-71) for the WQ treatment area you identified above.
4. Consult Section 1.2.8.2 (p. 1-80) for other design requirements, allowances, and flexible compliance provisions related to implementing water quality treatment.
5. Consult Sections 1.2.2, Core Requirement #2: Offsite Analysis, 1.2.2.1, Downstream Analysis, and 1.2.2.1.2, Downstream Water Quality Problems Requiring Special Attention.

Other Important Information about Core Requirement #8

Core Requirement #8 is the primary component of an overall water quality protection strategy required by this manual. Other requirements include the following:

- Core Requirement #4: **Conveyance System, Spill Control Provisions**, Section 1.2.4 (p. 1-58) — This provision generally applies whenever a project constructs or replaces onsite conveyance system elements that receive runoff from ***pollution-generating impervious surfaces***. The provision requires that runoff from such impervious surfaces be routed through a spill control device prior to discharge from the ***project site*** or into a natural onsite drainage feature.
- Core Requirement #4: **Conveyance System, Groundwater Protection**, Section 1.2.4 (p. 1-59) — This provision requires that ditches/channels be lined as needed to reduce the risk of groundwater contamination when they convey runoff from ***pollution-generating impervious surfaces*** that comes into direct contact with an outwash soil.
- Special Requirement #4: **Source Control**, Section 1.3.4 (p. 1-103) — This requirement applies water quality source controls from the *King County Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual* to commercial, industrial, and multifamily projects.
- Special Requirement #5: **Oil Control**, Section 1.3.5 (p. 1-106) — This requirement applies special oil controls to those projects proposing to develop or redevelop a ***high-use site***.

❑ EXEMPTIONS FROM CORE REQUIREMENT #8

There are four possible exemptions from the requirement to provide **a water quality facility** per Core Requirement #8:

1. Surface Area Exemption

A proposed project or any ***threshold discharge area*** within the ***site*** of a project is exempt if it meets all of the following criteria:

a) Less than 5,000 square feet of ***new*** **plus** ***replaced PGIS*** will be created, AND

b) Less than ¾ acre of ***new PGPS*** will be added.

2. Surface Exemption for Transportation Redevelopment Projects

A proposed ***transportation redevelopment project*** or any ***threshold discharge area*** within the ***site*** of such a project is exempt if it meets all of the following criteria:

a) The **total** ***new impervious surface*** within the project limits is less than 50% of the existing impervious surface, AND

b) Less than 5,000 square feet of ***new PGIS*** will be added, AND

c) Less than ¾ acre of ***new PGPS*** will be added.

3. Cost Exemption for Parcel Redevelopment Projects

A proposed ***redevelopment project*** on a single or multiple parcel ***site*** or any ***threshold discharge area*** within the ***site*** of such a project is exempt if it meets all of the following criteria:

a) The **total valuation** of the project's proposed improvements (including interior improvements and excluding required mitigation improvements) is less than 50% of the assessed value of: (a) the existing **project site** improvements on commercial or industrial projects; or (b) the existing **site** improvements on other projects, AND

b) Less than 5,000 square feet of ***new PGIS*** will be added, AND

c) Less than ¾ acre of ***new PGPS*** will be added.

4. Soil Treatment Exemption

A proposed project or any drainage area within a project is exempt:

- If the runoff from ***pollution-generating impervious and pollution generating pervious surfaces*** is infiltrated in a facility per Section 5.2.1 in soils that meet the groundwater protection soil quality, depth, and infiltration rate criteria given in Section 5.2.1. This exemption is not allowed for areas that are infiltrated (1) within one quarter mile of a sensitive lake[37], or (2) within one quarter mile of fresh water with existing or designated aquatic life use whose land use would otherwise trigger application of a facility from the enhanced basic treatment menu, or (3) within one quarter mile of a phosphorus or metals problem as described in section 1.2.2.1.2.

[37] See Sensitive Lake in **Key Terms and Definitions**. Sensitive Lake is a designation applied by the County to lakes that are particularly prone to eutrophication from development-induced increases in phosphorus loading. Such lakes are identified on the Water Quality Applications Map adopted with this manual and found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap

1.2.8.1 AREA-SPECIFIC WATER QUALITY FACILITY REQUIREMENT

REQUIREMENT

Projects subject to Core Requirement #8 must provide a water quality facility selected from a menu of water quality facility options identified in the area-specific facility requirements and exceptions for the WQ treatment area in which the proposed project or ***threshold discharge area*** of the proposed project is located. These WQ treatment areas are listed below and their requirements and exceptions are detailed in the following subsections:

A. Basic WQ Treatment Areas

B. Sensitive Lake WQ Treatment Areas

C. Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Areas.

Intent: To apply an appropriate level of water quality treatment based on the sensitivities of receiving waters for the drainage area in which the project lies. These drainage areas are identified as WQ treatment areas on the WQ Applications Map adopted with this manual. In addition to a minimum basic standard, which applies broadly to most geographic areas, special menus are provided for land uses that generate the highest concentrations of metals in stormwater and for ***sites*** within the watersheds of sensitive lakes, and sphagnum bog wetlands.

A. BASIC WQ TREATMENT AREAS

Basic WQ Treatment Areas are designated by King County where a general, cost-effective level of treatment is sufficient for most land uses. Some land uses, however, will need an increased level of treatment because they generate high concentrations of metals in stormwater runoff and acute concentrations of metals in streams are toxic to fish. The water quality facility requirements for Basic WQ Treatment Areas provide for this increase in treatment. Basic WQ Treatment Areas are delineated on the WQ Applications Map adopted with this manual and found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap. Any unincorporated areas of King County not shown on this map shall be assumed to be Basic WQ Treatment Areas.

Note: For projects located at or near the delineated boundary of the Basic WQ Treatment Area, ***site****-specific topography or drainage information may be needed to verify that the project or any* ***threshold discharge area*** *of the project is within the WQ treatment area. Any* ***threshold discharge area*** *is considered to be within the Basic WQ Treatment Area if the* ***threshold discharge area*** *drains to a waterbody or drainage system that is clearly within the mapped Basic WQ Treatment Area. The only* ***exception*** *to this is if the* ***threshold discharge area*** *also drains to a sphagnum bog wetland larger than 0.25 acres in size as described in Subsection C, "Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Areas" (p. 1-78). In this case, the* ***threshold discharge area*** *is considered to be located within a Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Area and is subject to the facility requirement of that area only (i.e., required treatment menu, target surfaces, and exceptions).*

Required Treatment Menu

REQUIREMENT

Within Basic WQ Treatment Areas, a water quality facility option from the **Basic WQ menu** shall be used to treat runoff from the surfaces listed under "Target Surfaces" below, except where such treatment is waived or reduced by the area-specific exceptions at the end of this subsection and except where the Enhanced Basic WQ menu is applicable as follows.

If 50% or more of the runoff that drains to any proposed water quality facility is from one or more of the **following land uses**, then the **Enhanced Basic WQ menu** shall be used in place of the Basic WQ menu for the design of this facility, except if such treatment is waived or reduced by the area-specific exceptions at the end of this subsection:

1. Residential subdivision development in which the actual density of single family units is equal to or greater than 8 units per acre of developed area.

REQUIREMENT

2. Commercial, industrial, or multifamily land use.
3. A road with an expected average daily traffic (ADT) count of 2,000 or more vehicles or expected to serve 200 or more homes. *Note: those roads defined in the King County Road Design and Construction Standards as urban subaccess streets, rural subaccess streets, urban minor access streets – residential, rural minor access streets – residential, urban subcollectors, and rural subcollectors all serve less than 100 homes by definition.*

Treatment Goal and Options

The treatment goal for facility options in the **Basic WQ menu** is 80% removal of total suspended solids (TSS) for flows or volumes up to and including the WQ design flow or volume for a typical rainfall year, assuming typical pollutant concentrations in urban runoff[38]. TSS is the general performance indicator for basic water quality protection because it is the most obvious pollutant of concern. TSS is not a single pollutant —it is a general term for a highly variable mixture of solid pollutants with variable particle size and particle density distributions, and to one degree or another containing a variety of sorbed dissolvable pollutants. The Basic WQ menu includes facilities such as wetponds, combined detention/wetponds, bioswales, vegetated filter strips, and sand filters. See Chapter 6 for specific facility choices and design details. Additional facility designs may appear in Reference 14 in the future.

The treatment goal for facility options in the **Enhanced Basic WQ menu** is to accomplish better removal of heavy metals and potentially other toxic materials than can be achieved by basic treatment, while still meeting the basic treatment goal of 80% TSS removal,. The specific target performance is > 30% reduction of dissolved copper and > 60% removal of dissolved zinc. Dissolved copper and zinc are indicators of a wider range of metals typically found in urban runoff that are potentially toxic to fish and other aquatic life. The Enhanced Basic WQ menu includes options for use of a basic-sized stormwater wetland, a large sand filter, or a combination of two facilities in series. See Chapter 6 for specific facility options and designs. Additional facility designs may appear in Reference 14 in the future.

Intent

The **Basic WQ menu** is intended to be applied to both stormwater discharges draining to surface waters and those infiltrating into soils that do not provide adequate groundwater protection (see Exemption 4 from Core Requirement #8). Overall, the 80% TSS removal objective, in conjunction with special requirements for source control and ***high-use site*** controls, should result in good stormwater quality for all but the most sensitive water bodies. Increased water quality treatment is necessary for developments that generate the highest concentrations of metals and for developments that drain to sensitive lakes and sphagnum bog wetlands.

Facility options in the **Enhanced Basic WQ menu** are intended to remove more metals than expected from those in the Basic WQ menu. Lower metal concentrations reduce the risk to fish from exposure to both chronic and acute toxic concentrations of metals such as copper and zinc, and very low concentration copper deleterious olfactory effects. As the toxicity of metals depends on their concentration, this standard is most effective for ***project sites*** with a larger proportion of ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** like roadways and medium to high density subdivisions. The Enhanced Basic WQ menu is intended to apply to all such ***project sites*** that drain by surface flows to a fish-bearing stream. However, projects that drain entirely by pipe to the ***major receiving waters*** listed on page 1-41 may be excused from the increased treatment and may revert to the Basic WQ menu because concentration effects are of less concern as the overall flow volume increases; however, this exception is not applicable for metals impaired waterbody segments per Section 1.2.2.1: Downstream Analysis, and 1.2.2.1.2: Downstream Water Quality Problems Requiring Special Attention, Metals Problem (Type 4).

[38] The influent concentration range for demonstrated pollutant removal is 100 to 200 mg/L. For influent concentrations lower than 100mg/l the effluent goal is equal to or less than 20 mg/l.. For influent concentrations greater than 200 mg/l, the goal is greater than 80% TSS removal.

Target Surfaces

Facilities **in Basic WQ Treatment Areas** must treat (either directly or in effect) the runoff from the following target surfaces within the ***threshold discharge area*** for which the facility is required:

1. ***New PGIS*** that is **not *fully dispersed*** per the Criteria for Fully Dispersed Surfaces (p. 1-51) in Core Requirement #3, or **not *farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C. For individual lots within residential subdivision projects, the extent of ***new PGIS*** shall be assumed based on expected driveway size as approved by DLS-Permitting.
2. ***New PGPS*** that is **not *fully dispersed*** and from which there will be a concentrated surface discharge in a natural channel or man-made conveyance system from the ***site,*** or **not *farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C. For individual lots within residential subdivision projects, the extent of ***new pervious surface*** shall be assumed to be the entire lot area, except the assumed impervious portion as specified in Chapter 3 and any portion in which native conditions are preserved by covenant, tract, or easement.
3. **Existing impervious surface** added since January 8, 2001 that is **not *fully dispersed,*** or **not *farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C, and not yet mitigated with a County-approved water quality facility or flow control BMP. *Note: January 8, 2001 is the effective date of the ESA 4(d) Rule for Puget Sound Chinook salmon.*
4. ***Replaced PGIS*** that is **not *fully dispersed*** or **not *farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C on a non-redevelopment project.
5. ***Replaced PGIS*** that is **not *fully dispersed*** on a ***transportation redevelopment project*** in which ***new impervious surface*** is 5,000 square feet or more and totals 50% or more of the existing impervious surface within the project limits.
6. ***Replaced PGIS*** that is **not *fully dispersed,*** or **not *farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C, on a **parcel *redevelopment project*** in which the total of new plus ***replaced impervious surface*** is 5,000 square feet or more and whose valuation of proposed improvements (including interior improvements and excluding required mitigation improvements) exceeds 50% of the assessed value of: (a) the existing **project site** improvements on commercial or industrial projects, or (b) the existing **site** improvements on other projects.

Exceptions

The following exceptions apply only **in Basic WQ Treatment Areas**:

1. The facility requirement **in Basic WQ Treatment Areas** as applied to **target *PGPS*** may be waived for:
   - an ***agricultural project*** if there is a good faith agreement with the King Conservation District to implement a farm management plan for agricultural uses per KCC 21A.24 and KCC 16.82, developed with King Conservation District; or
   - for other land uses, if DLS-Permitting approves a ***landscape management plan*** (LMP) that controls solids, pesticides, fertilizers, and other erodible or leachable materials leaving the ***site***.

     1. LMP requirements can be found in Reference Section 4-C. LMP submittal requirements are given in Section 2.3.1.5.
2. The **Enhanced Basic WQ menu** as specified above for certain land uses may be reduced to the **Basic WQ menu** for treatment of any runoff that is infiltrated per the standards of Section 5.2. <u>This exception is not allowed</u> where infiltrating into soils that do not meet the groundwater protection standards described in Section 5.2.1, if within one-quarter-mile of a fresh water designated for aquatic life use or that has an existing aquatic life use.
3. The **Enhanced Basic WQ menu** as specified above for certain land uses may be reduced to the **Basic WQ menu** for treatment of any runoff that is discharged directly, via a non-fish-bearing conveyance

system, all the way to the ordinary high water mark of a stream with a mean annual flow of 1,000 cfs or more (at the discharge point of the conveyance system), to a lake that is 300 acres or larger, or to a waterbody listed as a major receiving water per table 1.2.3B. This exception does not apply where the receiving water is **impaired for metals** per Section 1.2.2.1: Downstream Analysis, and 1.2.2.1.2: Downstream Water Quality Problems Requiring Special Attention, Metals Problem (Type 4).

4. The **Enhanced Basic WQ menu** as specified above for treating runoff from a commercial land use may be reduced to the **Basic WQ menu** if all of the following criteria are met:

   a) A facility from the Enhanced Basic WQ menu is not feasible, AND

   b) No leachable heavy metals are currently used or proposed to be used in areas of the ***site***, exposed to the weather, AND

   c) A covenant is recorded that prohibits future such use of leachable , heavy metals on the ***site*** (use the covenant in Reference Section 8-Q), AND

   d) Less than 50% of the runoff draining to the proposed water quality facility is from any area of the ***site*** comprised of one or both of the following land uses:

      - Commercial land use with an expected ADT of 100 or more vehicles per 1,000 square feet of gross building area.
      - Commercial land use involved with vehicle repair, maintenance, or sales.

5. The facility requirement as applied to ***replaced PGIS*** may be waived if the County has adopted a plan and implementation schedule for fulfilling this requirement using **regional facilities**.

B. SENSITIVE LAKE WQ TREATMENT AREAS

Sensitive Lake WQ Treatment Areas are designated by King County in the watersheds of lakes that have a combination of water quality characteristics and watershed development potential that makes them particularly prone to eutrophication induced by development. Such areas are delineated on the WQ Applications Map adopted with this manual and found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap.

Note: For projects located at or near the delineated boundary of the Sensitive Lake WQ Treatment Area, **site**-specific topography or drainage information may be needed to verify that the project or any **threshold discharge area** of the project is within the WQ treatment area. Any **threshold discharge area** is considered to be within the Sensitive Lake WQ Treatment Area if the **threshold discharge area** drains to the sensitive lake itself or to any waterbody or drainage system that is clearly within the mapped Sensitive Lake WQ Treatment Area. The only **exception** to this is if the **threshold discharge area** also drains to a sphagnum bog wetland larger than 0.25 acres in size as described in Subsection D, "Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Areas" (p. 1-78). In this case, the requirements of Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Areas (i.e., required treatment menu, target surfaces, and exceptions) shall apply to the **threshold discharge area**.

Required Treatment Menu

REQUIREMENT

Within Sensitive Lake WQ Treatment Areas, a water quality facility option from the **Sensitive Lake Protection menu** shall be used to treat runoff from the surfaces listed under "Target Surfaces" below, except where such treatment is waived or reduced by the area-specific exceptions at the end of this subsection and except where the Enhanced Basic WQ menu is applicable as follows. If 50% or more of the runoff that drains to any proposed water quality facility is from one or more of the **following land uses**, then a water quality facility option common to both the **Sensitive Lake Protection menu and Enhanced Basic WQ menu** shall be used for the design of this facility, except if such treatment is waived or reduced by the area-specific exceptions at the end of this subsection:

REQUIREMENT

1. Residential subdivision development in which the actual density of single family units is equal to or greater than 8 units per acre of developed area.
2. Commercial, industrial, or multifamily land use.

3. A road with an expected average daily traffic (ADT) count of 2,000 or more vehicles or expected to serve 200 or more homes. *Note: those roads defined in the King County Road Design and Construction Standards as urban subaccess streets, rural subaccess streets, urban minor access streets – residential, rural minor access streets – residential, urban subcollectors, and rural subcollectors all serve less than 100 homes by definition.*

Treatment Goal and Options

The treatment goal for facility options in the Sensitive Lake Protection menu is 50% annual average total phosphorus (TP) removal assuming typical pollutant concentrations in urban runoff.[39] This goal was chosen as a realistic and cost-effective level of phosphorus removal. The Sensitive Lake Protection menu includes options for using either Basic WQ facilities of larger size, combinations of two facilities in series,[40] or a single facility in combination with land use planning elements that reduce phosphorus. See Chapter 6 for specific facility options and design details.

On some developments or portions thereof that have surface uses that generate the highest concentrations of metals in stormwater runoff, the treatment goal is expanded to include > 30% reduction of dissolved copper and > 60% removal of dissolved zinc. This expanded goal requires use of a water quality facility option that is common to both the Sensitive Lake Protection menu and the Enhanced Basic menu.

Intent

A project discharging runoff via surface flow contributes phosphorus loading to a sensitive lake regardless of distance from the lake. If discharge is via infiltration through coarse soils, it is also possible that phosphorus would be transported through the ground for some distance without attenuation. This groundwater transport distance is considered to be typically no more than one-quarter mile. Therefore, onsite treatment using the **Sensitive Lake Protection menu** is required prior to infiltration within one-quarter mile of a sensitive lake. Infiltration through finer soils is expected to provide significant attenuation of TP, so the general groundwater protection criteria specified in Section 5.2.1 are considered sufficient to reduce the facility requirement from the Sensitive Lake Protection menu to the Basic WQ menu for infiltration through qualifying soils.

Where the treatment goal is expanded to include > 30% reduction of dissolved copper and > 60% removal of dissolved zinc, the facility options common to both the Sensitive Lake Protection menu and the Enhanced Basic WQ menu should meet this goal as well as the lake protection goal of 50% removal of annual average total phosphorous. The intent behind the enhanced heavy metals removal goal and why it is applied is described on Page 1-72.

Target Surfaces

Facilities **in Sensitive Lake WQ Treatment Areas** must mitigate (either directly or in effect) the runoff from the following target surfaces within the ***threshold discharge area*** for which the facility is required:

1. ***New PGIS*** that is **not** ***fully dispersed*** per the Criteria for Fully Dispersed Surfaces (p. 1-51) in Core Requirement #3, or **not** ***farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C. For individual lots within residential subdivision projects, the extent of ***new PGIS*** shall be assumed based on expected driveway size as approved by DLS-Permitting.
2. ***New PGPS*** that is **not** ***fully dispersed*** and from which there will be a concentrated surface discharge in a natural channel or man-made conveyance system from the ***site,*** or **not** ***farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C. For individual lots within residential subdivision projects, the extent of ***new pervious surface*** shall be assumed to be the entire lot area, except the assumed impervious portion as specified in Chapter 3 and any portion in which native conditions are preserved by covenant, tract, or

[39] Phosphorus concentrations of between 0.10 and 0.50 mg/L are considered typical of Seattle area runoff (Table 1, "Water Quality Thresholds Decision paper," King County Surface Water Management Division, April 1994).

[40] *In series* means that the entire treatment water volume flows from one facility to the other in turn.

easement. *Note: where the runoff from **target PGPS** is separated from the runoff from **target PGIS**, the Basic WQ menu may be used in place of the Sensitive Lake Protection menu for treatment of runoff from the **target PGPS** (see the area-specific exceptions at the end of this subsection).*

3. **Existing impervious surface** added since January 8, 2001 that is **not *fully dispersed,*** or **not *farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C, and not yet mitigated with a County-approved water quality facility or flow control BMP. *Note: January 8, 2001 is the effective date of the ESA 4(d) Rule for Puget Sound Chinook salmon.*
4. ***Replaced PGIS*** that is **not *fully dispersed,*** or **not *farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C, on a non-redevelopment project.
5. ***Replaced PGIS*** that is **not *fully dispersed*** on a ***transportation redevelopment project*** in which ***new impervious surface*** is 5,000 square feet or more and totals 50% or more of the existing impervious surface within the project limits.
6. ***Replaced PGIS*** that is **not *fully dispersed,*** or **not *farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C, on a **parcel *redevelopment project*** in which the total of new plus ***replaced impervious surface*** is 5,000 square feet or more and whose valuation of proposed improvements (including interior improvements and excluding required mitigation improvements) exceeds 50% of the assessed value of: (a) the existing **project site** improvements on commercial or industrial projects, or (b) the existing **site** improvements on other projects.

Exceptions

The following exceptions apply only **in Sensitive Lake WQ Treatment Areas**:

1. The **Basic WQ menu** may be used in place of the **Sensitive Lake Protection menu** for treatment of **runoff that is infiltrated** according to the standards in Section 5.2. This exception is not allowed where infiltrating into soils that do not meet the groundwater protection standards described in Section 5.2.1, if within one-quarter-mile of a phosphorous sensitive receiving water or a tributary to that receiving water.
2. Application of the **Enhanced Basic WQ menu** as specified above for certain land uses may be waived for treatment of any runoff that is infiltrated according to the standards in Section 5.2 (*A facility from the Sensitive Lake Protection menu is still required unless that requirement has been reduced to the Basic WQ menu by another exception*). This exception is not allowed where infiltrating into soils that do not meet the groundwater protection standards described in Section 5.2.1, if within one-quarter-mile of a fresh water designated for aquatic life use or that has an existing aquatic life use.
3. Application of the **Enhanced Basic WQ menu** as specified above for certain land uses may be waived for treatment of any runoff that is discharged, via a non-fish-bearing conveyance system, all the way to the ordinary high water mark of a stream with a mean annual flow of 1,000 cfs or more (at the discharge point of the conveyance system), to a lake that is 300 acres or larger, or to a waterbody listed as a major receiving water per table 1.2.3B (*A facility from the Sensitive Lake Protection menu is still required unless that requirement has been reduced to the Basic WQ menu by another exception*). This exception is not applicable where the receiving water is impaired for metals per Section 1.2.2.1: Downstream Analysis, and 1.2.2.1.2: Downstream Water Quality Problems Requiring Special Attention, Metals Problem (Type 4).
4. The **Enhanced Basic WQ menu** as specified above for treating runoff from a commercial land use may be waived *(A facility from the Sensitive Lake Protection menu is still required unless that requirement has been reduced to the Basic WQ menu by another exception*) if the all of the following criteria are met:
    a) No leachable metals (e.g., galvanized metals) are currently used or proposed to be used in areas of the ***site***, exposed to the weather, AND
    b) A covenant is recorded that prohibits future such use of leachable metals on the ***site***, exposed to the weather (use the covenant in Reference Section 8-Q), AND

c) Less than 50% of the runoff draining to the proposed water quality facility is from any area of the ***site*** comprised of one or both of the following land uses:

- Commercial land use with an expected ADT of 100 or more vehicles per 1,000 square feet of gross building area.
- Commercial land use involved with vehicle repair, maintenance, or sales.

5. The **Basic WQ menu** may be used for treatment of any runoff from **target *PGPS*** that is treated separately from the runoff from **target *PGIS***.
6. The facility requirement as applied to **target *PGPS*** may be waived for an ***agricultural project*** if there is a **farm management plan** for agricultural uses per KCC 21A.24 and KCC 16.82, or for other land uses DLS-Permitting approves a ***landscape management plan*** (LMP) that controls solids, pesticides, fertilizers, and other erodible or leachable materials leaving the ***site*** .
7. The facility requirement as applied to ***replaced PGIS*** may be waived if the County has adopted a plan and implementation schedule for fulfilling this requirement using **regional facilities**.

Note: If a lake management plan has been prepared and adopted by King County, additional treatment and/or other water quality measures may be required as specified in the plan and pursuant to Special Requirement #1, Section 1.3.1 (p. 1-99).

C. SPHAGNUM BOG WQ TREATMENT AREAS

Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Areas are areas of King County from which runoff drains to or otherwise comes into contact with the vegetation of a ***sphagnum bog wetland***[41] **larger than 0.25 acres in *size***.[42] These wetlands support unique vegetation communities, and they tend to develop in areas where water movement is minimized. Although sphagnum bog wetlands are typically isolated from significant sources of surface and ground water and receive their main water supply from rainfall, there are instances where they are components of larger wetlands and may be subject to inundation by those wetlands during high intensity or long duration runoff events. Sphagnum bog wetlands are generally uncommon in the Puget Sound area; of all the inventoried wetlands in King County, only a small percentage have sphagnum bog wetland components.[43]

Only a portion of all sphagnum bog wetlands have been identified and mapped by King County. Consequently, many of these wetlands and their contributing drainage areas must be identified during the wetland identification and delineation for a ***project site*** and during offsite analysis as required in Core Requirement #2. A list of identified sphagnum bog wetlands is included on the WQ Applications Map and in the 1997 King County Bog Inventory, updated November 2002, available at http://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/2002/kcr249-2002.pdf; however, if a wetland that meets the definition of a sphagnum bog wetland is found downstream of a ***project site*** and runoff from the ***project site*** drains to or otherwise comes into contact with the wetland's vegetation, the ***project site*** is considered to be within a Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Area whether the wetland is listed or not.

*Note: Any **threshold discharge area** from which runoff drains to or comes into contact with the vegetation of a sphagnum bog wetland larger than 0.25 acres in size is considered to be within a Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Area regardless of the WQ treatment area indicated by the WQ Applications Map.*

[41] A *sphagnum bog wetland* is defined as a wetland dominated by sphagnum moss and which has an associated acid-loving plant community. See the "Definitions" section for more details on how King County defines a sphagnum bog wetland.

[42] The *size* of a sphagnum bog wetland is defined by the boundaries of the sphagnum bog plant community.

[43] Approximately 3% of wetlands in the 1990 sensitive areas inventory are either sphagnum bog wetlands or include portions of a lake or wetland with sphagnum bog wetland characteristics.

Required Treatment Menu

REQMT

A treatment option from the **Sphagnum Bog Protection menu** shall be used to treat runoff from the target surfaces specified below, except where this mitigation is waived or reduced by the area-specific exceptions at the end of this subsection.

Treatment Goals and Options

The treatment goals for protection of sphagnum bog wetlands include the control of nutrients, alkalinity, and pH. Although these goals may change as additional information about these wetlands becomes available, target pollutant removals for sphagnum bog protection are currently as follows:

- Total phosphorus reduction of 50%
- Nitrate + nitrite reduction of 40%
- pH below 6.5
- Alkalinity below 10 mg $CaCO_3$/L.

Facility options to meet these goals are limited; therefore, the County discourages developments from discharging runoff to sphagnum bog wetlands. Where infiltration of developed area runoff is not feasible or applicable per Section 5.2, water quality facility options include a *treatment train*[44] of two or three facilities in series. One of the facilities in the train must be a sand filter. The order of facilities in the treatment train is important; see Chapter 6 for specific facility options and design details.

Intent

Sphagnum bog wetlands support unique vegetation communities that are extremely sensitive to changes in alkalinity and nutrients from surface water inputs. The most effective way to prevent these changes is to infiltrate or redirect developed area runoff so it does not come into contact with the vegetation of a sphagnum bog wetland. However, this is not practicable for most development projects due to soil constraints precluding infiltration (see Section 5.2) and the onerous nature of bypassing runoff around a wetland. Therefore, where runoff contact with sphagnum bog vegetation cannot be avoided, the bog protection menu seeks to minimize certain changes in the chemistry of developed area runoff to protect this unique vegetation. This menu applies not only to runoff that drains directly to a sphagnum bog wetland but to runoff that otherwise comes into contact with the bog's vegetation, such as through inundation of the bog by an adjacent water body during high intensity or long duration runoff events.

While water quality facility options emphasize reduction of mineral elements (alkalinity) and nutrients in the runoff, little is known about their ability to reduce alkalinity or to actually protect sphagnum-based plant communities. In addition, the effect of frequent water level changes on the sphagnum plant community is also unknown but could be damaging. Hence, it is best to avoid discharge to sphagnum bog wetlands whenever possible. Permeable pavements that are tributary to sphagnum bog wetlands should be types other than Portland cement (PCC) permeable pavement, if feasible.

Target Surfaces

Facilities **in Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Areas** must mitigate (either directly or in effect) the runoff from the following target surfaces within the ***threshold discharge area*** for which the facility is required:

1. ***New PGIS*** that is **not** ***fully dispersed*** per the Criteria for Fully Dispersed Surfaces (p. 1-51) in Core Requirement #3, or **not** ***farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C . For individual lots within residential subdivision projects, the extent of ***new PGIS*** shall be assumed based on expected driveway size as approved by DLS-Permitting.

[44] A *treatment train* is a combination of two or more treatment BMPs connected in series (i.e., the design water volume passes through each facility in turn).

2. ***New PGPS*** that is **not *fully dispersed*** and from which there will be a concentrated surface discharge in a natural channel or man-made conveyance system from the ***site,*** or **not *farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C. For individual lots within residential subdivision projects, the extent of ***new pervious surface*** shall be assumed to be the entire lot area, except the assumed impervious portion as specified in Chapter 3 and any portion in which native conditions are preserved by covenant, tract, or easement.
3. **Existing impervious surface** added since January 8, 2001 that is **not *fully dispersed*** or **not *farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C and not yet mitigated with a County-approved water quality facility or flow control BMP. *Note: January 8, 2001 is the effective date of the ESA 4(d) Rule for Puget Sound Chinook salmon.*
4. Replaced PGIS that is **not *fully dispersed,*** or **not *farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C, on a non-redevelopment project.
5. ***Replaced PGIS*** that is **not *fully dispersed*** on a ***transportation redevelopment project*** in which ***new impervious surface*** is 5,000 square feet or more and totals 50% or more of the existing impervious surface within the project limits.
6. ***Replaced PGIS*** that is **not *fully dispersed*** or **not *farmland dispersed*** as specified in Appendix C on a **parcel *redevelopment project*** in which the total of new plus ***replaced impervious surface*** is 5,000 square feet or more and whose valuation of proposed improvements (including interior improvements and excluding required mitigation improvements) exceeds 50% of the assessed value of: (a) the existing **project site** improvements on commercial or industrial projects, or (b) the existing **site** improvements on other projects.

Exceptions

The following exceptions apply only **in Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Areas**:

1. The **Basic WQ menu** may be used in place of the Sphagnum Bog Protection menu for treatment of any **runoff that is infiltrated** in a facility per Section 5.2. This exception is not allowed where infiltrating within one-quarter-mile of a phosphorous sensitive receiving water or a tributary to that receiving water into soils that do not meet the groundwater protection standards described in Section 5.2.1. If the infiltration facility is located in soils not meeting the groundwater protection standards described in Section 5.2.1, and within the prescribed distance of a sensitive lake, then the Sensitive Lake Protection menu shall be used.
2. The facility requirement for Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Areas may be reduced to that of the surrounding WQ treatment area (i.e., either the Basic WQ Treatment Area or Sensitive Lake Treatment Area, whichever contains the Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Area) for treatment of any ***replaced PGIS*** **runoff**.

*Note: Unlike other WQ treatment areas, the facility requirement for Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Areas as applied to **target PGPS** may **not** be waived through a **farm management plan** or **landscape management plan**.*

1.2.8.2 WATER QUALITY IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

Water quality facilities shall be designed and implemented in accordance with the following requirements, allowances, and flexible compliance provisions:

A. METHODS OF ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

Water quality facilities shall be analyzed and designed as detailed in Chapter 6.

B. SITING OF WATER QUALITY FACILITIES

Required water quality facilities shall be located so as to treat the runoff from all target surfaces, except as allowed below under "Treatment Trades" and "Untreated Discharges."

Any other onsite or offsite runoff draining to a proposed water quality facility must be treated whether it is from a **target pollution-generating surface** or not and regardless of whether the runoff has already been treated by another facility. The facility must be sized for all flows/volumes entering the facility. This is because treatment effectiveness is determined in part by the total volume of runoff entering the facility.

C. TREATMENT TRADES

The runoff from **target pollution-generating surfaces** may be released untreated if an existing non-targeted pollution-generating surface of equivalent size and pollutant characteristics lying within the same watershed or stream reach tributary area is treated on the ***project site***. Such substitution is subject to all of the following restrictions:

1. The existing non-targeted pollution-generating surface is not currently being treated, is not required to be treated by any phase of the proposed project, is not subject to NPDES or other permit requirements, and is not under a compliance order or other regulatory action.
2. The existing non-targeted pollution- generating surface that is treated for purposes of the treatment trade must be documented and tracked by DLS-Permitting. Documentation should clarify that future redevelopment of the existing non-targeted, treated area used for the treatment trade will incur additional water quality treatment requirements if the redevelopment exceeds Core Requirement 8 thresholds. Any additional water quality treatment triggered by redevelopment of the non-targeted, treated area must be achieved by implementing an additional treatment trade.
3. The proposal is reviewed and approved by DLS-Permitting.

D. UNTREATED DISCHARGES

If ***site*** topographic constraints are such that runoff from a **target pollution-generating surface** must be pumped to be treated by the required water quality facility, then DLS-Permitting may allow the area's runoff to be released untreated (except for those ***project sites*** draining to a sphagnum bog wetland) provided that all of the following conditions are met:

1. Treatment of the constrained area by filter strip, bioswale, or a linear sand filter is not feasible, and a **treatment trade** as described above is not possible.
2. The untreated target surface is less than 5,000 square feet of ***new plus replaced PGIS***.
3. Any **target *PGPS*** within the area to be released untreated shall be addressed with a ***landscape management plan*** (LMP), which must be submitted to and approved by DLS-Permitting. The LMP applies to the entire site and all drainage area tributary to the site within one or more contiguous parcels under the same ownership or documented legal control.

E. USE OF EXPERIMENTAL WATER QUALITY FACILITIES

Water quality facilities other than those identified in Chapter 6, Reference 14-A, or Reference 14-B may be allowed on an experimental basis if it can be demonstrated that they are likely to meet the pollutant removal

goal for the applicable receiving water. Use of such facilities requires an experimental design adjustment, which requires approval by King County according to Section 1.4, "Adjustment Process". Any new treatment technologies must be approved through the state Department of Ecology's *TAPE*[45] program before the technology can be considered by King County. Monitoring will be required, the nature of which will depend on the pre-existing Ecology use-level designation, the number of existing facilities of this design for which monitoring data already exists, and review of the monitoring results from those facilities. Criteria may be set, which if not met, may require replacement of the facility with a standard facility from SWDM Chapter 6.

F. OWNER RESPONSIBILITY FOR WATER QUALITY

Regardless of the means by which a property owner chooses to meet the water quality requirements of this manual – whether a water quality facility, a train of facilities, a treatment trade or an experimental water quality facility – it is the responsibility of the property owner to ensure that runoff from their ***site*** does not create water quality problems or degrade beneficial uses downstream. It is also the responsibility of the property owner to ensure that the discharge from their property is not in violation of state and federal laws.

[45] Ecology W, 2018. Technical Guidance Manual for Evaluating Emerging Stormwater Treatment Technologies: Technology Assessment Protocol – Ecology (TAPE), Publication No. 18-10-038, 2018 ed. Washington State Department of Ecology, Lacey, WA, 105 pp. https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/1810038.html ; also see summary update guidance at https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/Guidance-technical-assistance/Stormwater-permittee-guidance-resources/Emerging-stormwater-treatment-technologies#update

1.2.9 CORE REQUIREMENT #9: FLOW CONTROL BMPS

REQUIREMENT

All proposed projects, including ***redevelopment projects***, must provide onsite flow control BMPs to mitigate the impacts of storm and surface water runoff generated by ***new impervious surface***, ***new pervious surface***, existing impervious surfaces, and ***replaced impervious surface*** targeted for mitigation as specified in the following sections. Flow control BMPs must be selected and applied according to the basic requirements, procedures, and provisions detailed in this section and the design specifications for each BMP in Appendix C, Section C.2.

Flow control BMPs are methods and designs for dispersing, infiltrating, or otherwise reducing or preventing development-related increases in runoff at or near the sources of those increases. Flow control BMPs include, but are not limited to, preservation and use of ***native vegetated surfaces*** to fully disperse runoff; use of other pervious surfaces to disperse runoff; roof downspout infiltration; permeable pavements; bioretention; limited infiltration systems; and reduction of development footprint.

Intent: To provide mitigation of hydrologic impacts that are not possible/practical to mitigate with a flow control facility. Such impacts include increases in runoff volumes and flashiness and decreases in groundwater recharge. Increased runoff volume and flashiness leads to higher and more variable stream velocities at low flows and more frequent water level fluctuations in streams and wetlands. This causes wash-out and stranding of aquatic species, algal scour and washout of organic matter, loss of vegetation diversity and habitat quality, and disruption of cues for spawning, egg hatching, and migration. Decreased groundwater recharge reduces water supply for human use and summer base flows in streams, which is critical to water temperature, salmonid use of smaller streams, and the habitat quality of mainstem side channels and wetlands used for spawning, rearing, and flood refuge. Flow control BMPs seek to reduce runoff volumes and flashiness and increase groundwater recharge by reducing imperviousness and making use of the pervious portions of ***development sites*** to maximize infiltration and retention of stormwater onsite. Thus, the goal is to apply flow control BMPs to ***new*** impervious surfaces, new pervious surfaces, ***replaced impervious surfaces, and*** existing impervious surfaces added since January 8, 2001 (*effective date of the ESA 4(d) Rule for Puget Sound Chinook salmon)* to the maximum extent feasible without causing flooding or erosion impacts.

❑ EXEMPTION FROM CORE REQUIREMENT #9

There is a single exemption from the flow control BMP provisions of Core Requirement #9:

1. Basic Exemption

A proposed project is exempt if it meets the following criteria:

a) a) Less than 2,000 square feet of ***new*** plus ***replaced impervious surface*** will be created, AND

b) Less than 7,000 square feet of land disturbing activity will occur.

1.2.9.1 FLOW CONTROL BMP REQUIREMENTS OVERVIEW

Projects that are subject to Core Requirement #9 must apply flow control BMPs to either supplement the flow mitigation provided by required flow control facilities or provide flow mitigation where flow control facilities are not required. All such flow control BMPs are detailed in Appendix C of this manual. Flow control BMPs must be implemented per the requirements and approach detailed in Sections 1.2.9.2 and 1.2.9.3 below for individual lots and subdivisions or road improvement projects, respectively. As described within Sections 1.2.9.2 and 1.2.9.3, there are two methods of satisfying the FCBMP requirement: (1) application of BMPs to the maximum extent feasible using lists specific to the project location, size, and impervious coverage; or (2) using a continuous runoff model to demonstrate compliance with the Low Impact Development (LID) Performance Standard, described below. Demonstrating compliance with the LID Performance Standard using modeling is the required method for

projects located outside the Urban Growth Area (UGA) boundary that are on sites 5 acres or larger in size, and is an optional method for all other projects.

A. Target surfaces

Target surfaces for application of Core Requirement #9 (FCBMPs) include new impervious surfaces, new pervious surfaces, replaced impervious surfaces, and any existing impervious surfaces added on or after January 8, 2001 (the effective date of the Endangered Species Act "take prohibition" issued by the federal government to protect Puget Sound Chinook salmon) not already mitigated with an approved FCBMP or flow control facility.

Projects that trigger Core Requirement #9 by disturbing 7,000 square feet or more of land, but where new plus replaced impervious is less than 2,000 square feet, may consider basic dispersion as an equal choice for treating the target impervious surfaces alongside full infiltration, limited infiltration, bioretention, and permeable pavement FCBMPs. These projects are not required to meet the minimum BMP implementation requirements described in "Small Lot BMP Requirements" and "Large Lot BMP Requirements," (Requirement #5 on both lists), and are not required to comply with Core Requirement #6.

Any impervious surface served by an infiltration facility designed in accordance with the flow control facility requirement (Section 1.2.3.1), the facility implementation requirements (Section 1.2.3.2), and the design criteria for infiltration facilities (Section 5.2) is exempt from the flow control BMPs requirement.

Any impervious or pervious surface served by the farmland dispersion BMP detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.5, is exempt from the flow control BMPs requirement. Note that new pervious areas that are farmland dispersed are still required to comply with KCC 16.82.100 (F) and (G) as required to protect the soil moisture holding capacity.

Projects or threshold discharge areas of projects qualifying as exempt from the flow control facility requirement using the Direct Discharge Exemption in accordance with Section 1.2.3.1 do not have to achieve the Low Impact Development (LID) performance standard (described below), nor consider bioretention, permeable pavement, and full dispersion. However, the soil moisture holding capacity of **new pervious surfaces** on those projects (or portions of projects) must be protected in accordance with KCC 16.82.100 (F) and (G); full infiltration as detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.2, Basic Dispersion per Appendix C, Section C.2.4, and perforated pipe connection as detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.11 must be implemented for roofs, if feasible; and Basic Dispersion per Appendix C, Section C.2.4 must be implemented for other impervious surfaces, if feasible.

B. Low Impact Development Performance Standard

The LID Performance Standard is defined as follows:

For the target surfaces subject to Core Requirement #9, *Stormwater discharges shall match developed discharge durations to pre-developed durations for the range of pre-developed discharge rates from 8% of the 2-year peak flow to 50% of the 2-year peak flow. Assume* ***historic site conditions*** *as the predeveloped condition.*

Projects that are either required or opt to demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard using a continuous runoff model must protect the soil moisture capacity of new pervious in accordance with KCC16.82.100 (F) and (G).

Projects that are required or opt to model compliance with the LID Performance Standard are still subject to meeting applicable area specific flow control requirements as determined in Core Requirement #3 (Section 1.2.3).

Note that when demonstrating compliance with the LID Performance Standard, flow control BMPs are modeled explicitly, utilizing design infiltration rates as determined and selected per Section 5.2.1. However, when modeling flow control facility sizing, water quality facility sizing, and the peak flow exceptions from the area-specific flow control facility requirement in Sections 1.2.3.1.A, B, and C, these BMPs are not modeled explicitly , but may use modeling credits as allowed and subject to the limitations described in Section 1.2.9.4 and Table 1.2.9.A. FCBMPs used to demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard must meet the implementation requirements described in Section 1.2.9.4.

C. Where demonstrating compliance with the LID Performance Standard is Required

Subdivision and road improvement projects on sites/lots 5 acres or larger that are **located outside the UGA** are required to demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard -- the only exception being that single family residential projects (excluding subdivisions creating 10 lots or more) may opt to fully comply with requirements described in Section 1.2.9.3.3, "Large Rural Subdivision and Large Rural Road Improvement Projects BMP Requirements".

Non-subdivision projects making improvements on an individual site/lot **5 acres or larger** that are **located outside the UGA** are required to either demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard or fully comply with requirements described in Section 1.2.9.2.3, "Large Rural Lot BMP Requirements".

Other project types that are not subject to this modeling requirement may opt to use it in lieu of the BMP selection and application requirements described in Sections 1.2.9.2 and 1.2.9.3 below.

D. Implementation

Four kinds of implementation for the FCBMP requirement are described in this section as follows:

1. For **non-subdivision projects making improvements on an individual site/lot**, implementation of this requirement shall be in accordance with the "Individual Lot BMP Requirements" in Section 1.2.9.2, which specify the selection of BMPs and the extent of their application on the site/lot. This required implementation of flow control BMPs must occur as part of the proposed project and provisions must be made for their future maintenance as specified in Section 1.2.9.2. As allowed in Sections 1.2.3 and 1.2.8, credits for the application of flow control BMPs per Table 1.2.9.A may be used to reduce the size of a required flow control facility, reduce the size of a water quality facility, qualify for a flow control facility exception or bypass of target surfaces, or reduce the target surfaces subject to flow control or water quality facility requirements.
2. **Subdivision projects and road improvement projects on sites that are 5 acres or larger AND located outside the Urban Growth Area (UGA)** are required to demonstrate using an approved continuous runoff model compliance with the LID Performance Standard described above—the only exception being that single family residential projects (excluding subdivisions creating 10 lots or more) may opt to fully comply with requirements described in Section 1.2.9.3.3, "Large Rural Subdivision and Large Rural Road Improvement Projects BMP Requirements". For subdivision projects subject to this requirement, flow control BMPs for associated plat infrastructure improvements (roads, sidewalks, etc.) and for the individual lots must be carefully planned in order to achieve the aforementioned standard. Flow control BMPs associated with plat infrastructure improvements on these projects must be installed concurrent with the construction of those improvements, while BMPs associated with the individual lot improvements may be delayed until construction on the lots as long as provisions are made to assure their implementation as specified in Section 1.2.9.4. For road improvement projects subject to this requirement, implementation of flow control BMPs must occur as part of the proposed project. As allowed in Sections 1.2.3 and 1.2.8, credits for the application of flow control BMPs per Table 1.2.9.A may be used to reduce the size of a required flow control facility, reduce the size of a water quality facility, qualify for a flow control facility exception or bypass of target surfaces, or reduce the target surfaces subject to flow control or water quality facility requirements. To use these credits, flow control BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project and provisions must be made for their future maintenance as specified in Section 1.2.9.4. For subdivision projects proposing to take credit for future implementation of BMPs on individual lots, provisions must be made to assure their implementation as specified in Section 1.2.9.4.
3. **For subdivision projects on sites less than 5 acres in size OR within the UGA**, implementation of flow control BMPs for associated plat infrastructure improvements (e.g. roads, sidewalks) shall be done per Section 1.2.9.4 and must occur concurrently and as part of the proposed project, while BMPs associated with the individual lot improvements may be delayed until construction on the lots. As allowed in Sections 1.2.3 and 1.2.8, credits for the application of flow control BMPs per Table 1.2.9.A. may be used to reduce the size of a required flow control facility, reduce the size of a water quality facility, qualify for a flow control facility exception or bypass of target surfaces, or reduce the target surfaces subject to flow control or water quality facility requirements. To use these credits,

flow control BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project and provisions must be made for their future maintenance as specified in Section 1.2.9.4. For subdivision projects proposing to take credit for future implementation of BMPs on individual lots, provisions must be made to assure their implementation as specified in Section 1.2.9.4.

4. **For road improvement projects on sites less than 5 acres in size OR within the UGA**, implementation of flow control BMPs must occur as part of the proposed project. As allowed in Sections 1.2.3 and 1.2.8, credits for the application of flow control BMPs per Table 1.2.9.A may be used to reduce the size of a required flow control facility, reduce the size of a water quality facility, qualify for a flow control facility exception or bypass of target surfaces, or reduce the target surfaces subject to flow control or water quality facility requirements. To use these credits, flow control BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project and provisions must be made for their future maintenance as specified in Section 1.2.9.4.

The information presented in this section is organized as follows:

- Section 1.2.9.2, "Individual Lot BMP Requirements"

  "Small Lot BMP Requirements," Section 1.2.9.2.1

  "Large Lot BMP Requirements," Section 1.2.9.2.2

  "Large Rural Lot BMP Requirements," Section 1.2.9.2.3

  "Implementation Requirements for Individual Lot BMPs," Section 1.2.9.2.4

- Section 1.2.9.3, "Subdivision and Road Improvement Projects BMP Requirements"

  "Small Subdivision and Urban Subdivision Projects BMP Requirements ," Section 1.2.9.3.1

  "Small Road Improvement and Urban Road Improvement Projects BMP Requirements," Section 1.2.9.3.2

  "Large Rural Subdivision and Large Rural Road Improvement Projects BMP Requirements," Section 1.2.9.3.3

- Section 1.2.9.4, "Requirements for Use of BMP Credits "

  "Use of Credits by Subdivision Projects," Section 1.2.9.4.1

  "Use of Credits by Projects within Rights-of-Way," Section 1.2.9.4.2

1.2.9.2 INDIVIDUAL LOT BMP REQUIREMENTS

For projects on individual ***sites*/lots**, flow control BMPs must be selected and applied according to the individual lot BMP requirements in this section. For purposes of applying flow control BMPs to individual ***sites*/lots**, three categories of requirements have been established based on the size of ***site*/lot** subject to improvements by the project, the extent of impervious surface coverage resulting from the project on the ***site*/lot, and the location of the project relative to Urban Growth Area boundaries**. These categories of requirements are as follows:

- Small Lot BMP Requirements (for ***sites*/lots** <22,000 square feet)
- Large Lot BMP Requirements (for ***sites*/lots** ≥22,000 square feet and **either** less than 5 acres or inside the UGA)
- Large Rural Lot BMP Requirements (for ***sites*/lots** ≥ 5 acres and located outside the UGA)

Flow control BMPs must be applied in the order of preference and to the extent specified for the category of individual lot requirements applicable to the proposed project as described in the following subsections. *Note: for lots created by a previous subdivision, some or all of these requirements may have been addressed by flow control BMPs installed on the lots or within common areas, tracts, or road right-of-way. In some cases, the type of BMPs required for a subdivision lot have already been established by a recorded covenant on the lot. See Section 1.2.9.4 for more information on pre-installed or pre-determined BMPs in subdivisions.*

1.2.9.2.1 SMALL LOT BMP REQUIREMENTS

IF the proposed project is on a ***site*/lot smaller than 22,000 square feet**, THEN flow control BMPs must be applied as specified in the requirements below OR the project must demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard (described in Section 1.2.9.1.B, p. 1-83) using an approved continuous runoff model. Projects on small lots are typically single family residential improvements (e.g., homes, outbuildings, etc.) but could be a small commercial development.

1. The feasibility and applicability of full dispersion as detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.1 must be evaluated for all target impervious surfaces. If feasible and applicable, **full dispersion** must be implemented as part of the proposed project. Typically, small lot full dispersion will be applicable only in subdivisions where enough forest was preserved by tract, easement, or covenant to meet the minimum requirements for full dispersion in Appendix C, Section C.2.1.1
2. Where full dispersion of target impervious roof areas is not feasible or applicable, or will cause flooding or erosion impacts, the feasibility and applicability of full infiltration as detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.2 must be evaluated (*note, this will require a soils report for the **site/lot***). If feasible and applicable, **full infiltration of roof runoff** must be implemented as part of the proposed project.
3. All target impervious surfaces not mitigated by Requirements 1 and 2 above, must be mitigated to the maximum extent feasible using one or more BMPs from the following list. Use of a given BMP is subject to evaluation of its feasibility and applicability as detailed in Appendix C. Feasible BMPs are required to be implemented. The BMPs listed below may be located anywhere on the ***site*/lot** subject to the limitations and design specifications for each BMP. These BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project.
    - **Full Infiltration** per Appendix C, Section C.2.2, or per Section 5.2, whichever is applicable
    - **Limited Infiltration** per Appendix C, Section C.2.3,
    - **Bioretention** per Appendix C, Section C.2.6, sized as follows:
        - Inside the UGA (Rainfall region SeaTac 1.0 and less): In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.6 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils provide bioretention volume based on 0.1 inches of equivalent storage depth,
        - Inside the UGA (Rainfall regions greater than SeaTac 1.0): In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.8 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.4 inches of equivalent storage depth,
        - Outside the UGA: In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 1.9 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils provide bioretention volume based on 1.0 inches of equivalent storage depth,
    - **Permeable Pavement** per Appendix C, Section C.2.7,

4. All target impervious surfaces not mitigated by Requirements 1,2 and 3 above, must be mitigated to the maximum extent feasible using the Basic Dispersion BMP described below. Use of Basic Dispersion is subject to evaluation of its feasibility and applicability as detailed in Appendix C. Feasible BMPs are required to be implemented. Basic Dispersion BMPs may be located anywhere on the ***site*/lot** subject to the limitations and design specifications cited in Appendix C. The BMP must be implemented as part of the proposed project.
    - **Basic Dispersion** per Appendix C, Section C.2.4,
5. BMPs must be implemented, at minimum, for an impervious area equal to at least 10% of the ***site*/lot** for ***site*/lot sizes up to 11,000 square feet** and at least 20% of the ***site*/lot** for ***site*/lot sizes between 11,000 and 22,000 square feet**. If these minimum areas are not mitigated using feasible BMPs from Requirements 1, 2, 3, and 4 above, either a fee in lieu of the required minimum BMPs must be paid (requires that King County Water and Land Resources Division has established a program for determining and utilizing the fees for stormwater focused retrofit projects) OR one or more BMPs from the following list are required to be implemented to achieve compliance. These BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project.
    - **Reduced Impervious Surface Credit** per Appendix C, Section C.2.9,
    - **Native Growth Retention Credit** per Appendix C, Section C.2.10.
6. The soil moisture holding capacity of **new pervious surfaces** must be protected in accordance with KCC 16.82.100 (F) and (G). KCC 16.82.100(F) requires that the duff layer or native topsoil be retained to the maximum extent practicable. KCC 16.82.100(G) requires soil amendment to mitigate for lost moisture holding capacity where compaction or removal of some or all of the duff layer or underlying topsoil has occurred. The amendment must be such that the replaced topsoil is a minimum of 8 inches thick, unless the applicant demonstrates that a different thickness will provide conditions equivalent to the soil moisture holding capacity native to the site. The replaced topsoil must have an organic content of 5-10% dry weight and a pH suitable for the proposed surface vegetation (for most soils in King County, 4 inches of well-rotted compost tilled into the top 8 inches of soil is sufficient to achieve the organic content standard.) The amendment must take place between May 1 and October 1. The specifications for compost for soil amendment can be found in Reference 11-C.
7. Any proposed connection of roof downspouts to the *local drainage system* must be via a **perforated pipe connection** as detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.11.

1.2.9.2.2 LARGE LOT BMP REQUIREMENTS

IF the proposed project is on a ***site*/lot** that is **22,000 square feet or larger, but is not a Large Rural Lot as defined in Section 1.2.9.2.3,** THEN flow control BMPs must be applied as specified in the requirements below OR the project must demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard (described in Section 1.2.9.1.B, p. 1-83) using an approved continuous runoff model.

1. The feasibility and applicability of **full dispersion** as detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.1 must be evaluated for all ***target impervious surfaces***. If feasible and applicable for any such surface, then full dispersion must be applied to that surface and implemented as part of the proposed project. Typically, full dispersion will be applicable only on the largest ***sites*/lots** where there may be enough forest area available within a ***threshold discharge area*** to meet the 15% ratio of ***fully dispersed*** impervious area to ***native vegetated surface***.
2. Where full dispersion of target impervious roof areas is not feasible or applicable, or will cause flooding or erosion impacts, the feasibility and applicability of **full infiltration of roof runoff** must be evaluated in accordance with Appendix C, Section C.2.2, or Section 5.2, whichever is applicable based on the type of project.[46] If feasible and applicable, full infiltration of roof runoff must be implemented as part of the proposed project.
3. All target impervious surfaces not mitigated by Requirements 1 and 2 above, must be mitigated to the maximum extent feasible using one or more BMPs from the following list. Use of a given BMP is

[46] For projects subject to Simplified Drainage Review, and for any ***single family residential project*** subject to Full or Large Project Drainage Review, the design requirements and specifications in Appendix C, Section C.2.2 may be used for evaluation and design of full infiltration on individual lots. For all other projects, full infiltration must be evaluated and designed in accordance with the infiltration facility standards in Section 5.2.

subject to evaluation of its feasibility and applicability as detailed in Appendix C. Feasible BMPs are required to be implemented. The BMPs listed below may be located anywhere on the site/lot subject to the limitations and design specifications for each BMP. These BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project.

- **Full Infiltration** per Section C.2.2, or per Section 5.2, whichever is applicable
- **Limited Infiltration** per Appendix C, Section C.2.3,
- **Bioretention** per Appendix C, Section C.2.6, sized as follows:
  - Inside the UGA (Rainfall region SeaTac 1.0 and less): In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.6 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils provide bioretention volume based on 0.1 inches of equivalent storage depth
  - Inside the UGA (Rainfall regions greater than SeaTac 1.0): In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.8 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.4 inches of equivalent storage depth,
  - Outside the UGA: In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 1.9 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils provide bioretention volume based on 1.0 inches of equivalent storage depth,
- **Permeable Pavement** per Appendix C, Section C.2.7,

4. All target impervious surfaces not mitigated by Requirements 1,2 and 3 above, must be mitigated to the maximum extent feasible using the Basic Dispersion BMP described below. Use of Basic Dispersion is subject to evaluation of its feasibility and applicability as detailed in Appendix C. Feasible BMPs are required to be implemented. Basic Dispersion BMPs may be located anywhere on the ***site*/lot** subject the limitations and design specifications cited in Appendix C. The BMP must be implemented as part of the proposed project.
   - **Basic Dispersion** per Appendix C, Section C.2.4,
5. BMPs must be implemented, at minimum, for impervious area amounts defined as follows. For projects that will result in an impervious surface coverage on the buildable portion of the site/lot of less than 45%, flow control BMPs must be applied to 50% of target impervious surfaces. For projects that will result in an impervious surface coverage 45-65% on the buildable portion of the site/lot, flow control BMPs must be applied to 50% of target impervious surfaces reduced by 1.5% for each 1% of impervious surface coverage above 45% (e.g. impervious coverage of 55% results in a requirement of FCBMPs applied to 35% of target impervious surfaces). For projects that will result in an impervious surface coverage greater than 65% on the buildable portion of the site/lot, flow control BMPs must be applied to 20% of the target impervious surfaces or to an impervious area equal to at least 10% of the site/lot, whichever is less. The buildable portion of the site/lot is the total area of the site/lot minus any critical areas and minus 200 ft. buffer areas from **a steep slope hazard**, **landslide hazard area**, or **erosion hazard area**. If these minimum areas are not mitigated using feasible BMPs from Requirements 1, 2, 3, and 4 above, either a fee in lieu of the required minimum BMPs must be paid (requires that King County Water and Land Resources Division has established a program for determining and utilizing the fees for stormwater focused retrofit projects) OR one or more BMPs from the following list are required to be implemented to achieve compliance. These BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project.
   - **Reduced Impervious Surface Credit** per Appendix C, Section C.2.9,
   - **Native Growth Retention Credit** per Appendix C, Section C.2.10.
6. The soil moisture holding capacity of **new pervious surfaces** must be protected in accordance with KCC 16.82.100 (F) and (G). KCC 16.82.100(F) requires that the duff layer or native topsoil be retained to the maximum extent practicable. KCC 16.82.100(G) requires soil amendment to mitigate for lost moisture holding capacity where compaction or removal of some or all of the duff layer or underlying topsoil has occurred. The amendment must be such that the replaced topsoil is a minimum of 8 inches thick, unless the applicant demonstrates that a different thickness will provide conditions equivalent to the soil moisture holding capacity native to the site. The replaced topsoil must have an organic content of 5-10% dry weight and a pH suitable for the proposed surface vegetation (for most soils in King County, 4 inches of well-rotted compost tilled into the top 8 inches of soil is sufficient to

achieve the organic content standard.) The amendment must take place between May 1 and October 1. The specifications for compost for soil amendment can be found in Reference 11-C.

7. Any proposed connection of roof downspouts to the drainage system must be via a **perforated pipe connection** as detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.11.

1.2.9.2.3 LARGE RURAL LOT BMP REQUIREMENTS

IF the proposed project is on a ***site*/lot** that is **5 acres or larger and is located outside the Urban Growth Area (UGA),** THEN the project must demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard (described in Section 1.2.9.1.B, p. 1-83) using an approved continuous runoff model.
As an alternative to demonstrating compliance with the LID Performance Standard via modeling as described above, agricultural projects and single family residential projects (excluding subdivisions creating 10 lots or more) are given the option to apply flow control BMPs as specified in the requirements below.

1. The feasibility and applicability of full dispersion as detailed in Section C.2.1 must be evaluated for all target impervious surfaces. If feasible and applicable, **full dispersion** must be implemented as part of the proposed project. Typically, full dispersion will be applicable only to ***sites*/lots** or portions of ***sites*/lots** where enough forest is preserved by a clearing limit per KCC 16.82 or by recorded tract, easement, or covenant to meet the minimum requirements for full dispersion in Section C.2.1.1.
2. Where full dispersion of target impervious roof areas is not feasible or applicable, or will cause flooding or erosion impacts, the feasibility and applicability of **full infiltration of roof runoff** must be evaluated in accordance with Section C.2.2, or Section 5.2, whichever is applicable based on the type of project.[47] If feasible and applicable, full infiltration of roof runoff must be implemented as part of the proposed project.
3. All target impervious surfaces not mitigated by Requirements 1 and 2 above, must be mitigated using one or more BMPs from the following list. Use of a given BMP is subject to evaluation of its feasibility and applicability as detailed in Appendix C. The BMPs listed below may be located anywhere on the site/lot subject to the limitations and design specifications for each BMP. These BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project.
    - **Full Infiltration** per Section C.2.2, or per Section 5.2, whichever is applicable,
    - **Limited Infiltration** per Section C.2.3,
    - **Bioretention** per Section C.2.6, sized as follows: In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 1.9 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils, provide bioretention volume based on 1.0 inches of equivalent storage depth,
    - **Basic Dispersion** per Section C.2.4 followed by **Bioretention** per Section C.2.6, with bioretention sized as follows: In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.9 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.2 inches of equivalent storage depth,
    - **Permeable Pavement** per Section C.2.7,
4. The soil moisture holding capacity of **new pervious surfaces** must be protected in accordance with KCC 16.82.100 (F) and (G). KCC 16.82.100(F) requires that the duff layer or native topsoil be retained to the maximum extent practicable. KCC 16.82.100(G) requires soil amendment to mitigate for lost moisture holding capacity where compaction or removal of some or all of the duff layer or underlying topsoil has occurred. The amendment must be such that the replaced topsoil is a minimum of 8 inches thick, unless the applicant demonstrates that a different thickness will provide conditions equivalent to the soil moisture holding capacity native to the site. The replaced topsoil must have an organic content of 5-10% dry weight and a pH suitable for the proposed surface vegetation (for most soils in King County, 4 inches of well-rotted compost tilled into the top 8 inches of soil is sufficient to

[47] For projects subject to Simplified Drainage Review, and for any ***single family residential project*** subject to Full, Directed, or Large Project Drainage Review, the design requirements and specifications in Appendix C, Section C.2.2 may be used for evaluation and design of full infiltration on individual lots. For all other projects and any project proposing a full infiltration system serving more than one lot, full infiltration must be evaluated and designed in accordance with the infiltration facility standards in Section 5.2.

achieve the organic content standard.) The amendment must take place between May 1 and October 1. The specifications for compost for soil amendment can be found in Reference 11-C.

5. BMPs must be applied to all new pervious surfaces according to the order of preference and extent of application specified in the following requirements:
   A. The feasibility and applicability of full dispersion as detailed in Section C.2.1 (p. C-26) must be evaluated for all new pervious surface. If feasible and applicable, full dispersion must be implemented as part of the proposed project. Typically, full dispersion will be applicable only to sites/lots or portions of sites/lots where enough forest is preserved by a clearing limit per KCC 16.82 or by recorded tract, easement, or covenant to meet the minimum requirements for full dispersion in Section C.2.1.1 (p. C-26).
   B. For that portion of new pervious surface not addressed in Requirement A above, one or more of the following BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project.

      - **Basic Dispersion** per Section C.2.4, onto native vegetated surfaces only
      - **Bioretention** per Appendix C, Section C.2.6, sized as follows: In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.7 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.006 inches of equivalent storage depth
      - **Limited Infiltration** per Section C.2.3

1.2.9.2.4 IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS FOR INDIVIDUAL LOT BMPS

The flow control BMPs required in Sections 1.2.9.2 above must be implemented in accordance with the following requirements:

1. **Implementation Responsibility.** All flow control BMPs required for the ***site*/lot** must be implemented (installed) by the applicant as part of the proposed project unless they have already implemented as part of a subdivision project that created the lot per Section 1.2.9.4.
2. **Maintenance Responsibility.** Maintenance of all required flow control BMPs is the responsibility of the owner of the ***site*/lot** served by these BMPs. The responsibility for such maintenance must be clearly assigned to the current and future owners of the ***site*/lot** through a "declaration of covenant and grant of easement" as described in Requirement 3 below.
3. **Declaration of Covenant and Grant of Easement.** To ensure future maintenance of flow control BMPs and allow for County inspection of BMPs, a declaration of covenant and grant of easement must be recorded for each ***site*/lot** that contains flow control BMPs. A draft of the proposed covenant must be reviewed and approved by DLS-Permitting prior to recording. All required covenants must be recorded prior to final construction approval for the proposed project. If the individual ***site*/lot** contains or will contain flow control or water quality facilities, then the drainage facility covenant in Reference Section 8-J (or equivalent) must be used. Otherwise, the flow control BMP covenant in Reference Section 8-M (or equivalent) must be used, and is designed to achieve the following:
   a) Provide **notice** to future owners of the presence of flow control BMPs on the lot and the responsibility of the owner to retain, uphold, and protect the flow control BMP devices, features, pathways, limits, and restrictions.
   b) Include as an **exhibit**, a *recordable version*[48] of the following drainage plan information:
      - The **flow control BMP site plan** showing all developed surfaces (impervious and pervious) and the location and dimensions of flow control BMP devices, features, flowpaths (if applicable), and limits of native growth retention areas (if applicable). This plan(s) must be to scale and include ***site*** topography in accordance with the specifications for such plans in Appendix C, Section C.4.2. Also indicate any areas where County access is excluded (see paragraph 3.d below). *Note: DLS-Permitting may waive this element if, for example, the only flow control BMP proposed is a limit on impervious surface (reduced footprint).*

[48] *Recordable version* means one that meets King County's "Standard Formatting Requirements for Recording Documents" pursuant to RCW 36.18.010 and 65.04.045, available online at https://kingcounty.gov/business/Recorders/~/media/business/Recorders/docs/Formatting_requirements.ashx or from the King County Recorder's Office. These requirements include specifications for such things as page size ($8^1/_2$" x 14" or smaller), font size (at least 8-point), and margin width (1" on all sides of every page if there is a standard cover sheet).

- The **flow control BMP design and maintenance details** for each flow control BMP per Appendix C, Section C.4.3. This includes a diagram (if applicable) of each flow control BMP device or feature and written maintenance and operation instructions and restrictions for each device, feature, flowpath (if applicable), native growth retention area (if applicable) and impervious surface coverage (if applicable). See Reference M for prepared 8-1/2"x11" maintenance instruction sheets. See kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 for downloadable BMP details.

Assure the exhibits are correctly cross-referenced in the declaration of covenant (the site plan is typically Exhibit A and the design/maintenance details are typically Exhibit B).

c) Require that each flow control BMP be operated and **maintained at the owner's expense** in accordance with the above exhibit.

d) Grant King County or its successor the **right to enter** the property at reasonable times for purposes of inspecting the flow control BMPs and to perform any corrective maintenance, repair, restoration, or mitigation work on the flow control BMPs that has not been performed by the property owner within a reasonable time set by DNRP, and to charge the property owner for the cost of any maintenance, repair, restoration, or mitigation work performed by King County. The right to enter typically applies to the entire property, but occasionally excepts areas on the property agreed upon by the County to be excluded from access. Such areas are to be shown on the site plan described above.

e) Prohibit any **modification or removal** of flow control BMPs without written approval from King County. In cases where the modification or removal is done under a King County development permit, the approval must be obtained from DLS-Permitting (or its successor) and a covenant must be recorded to reflect the changes. In all other cases, the approval must be obtained from DNRP (or its successor) and a covenant must be recorded to reflect the changes. Approval will be granted only if equivalent protection in terms of hydrologic performance is provided by other means.

4. **Timing of Implementation.** All required flow control BMPs must be installed prior to final inspection approval of constructed improvements. For BMPs that rely on vegetation, the vegetation must be planted and starting to grow prior to final construction approval.
5. **Acceptance standards.** Flow control BMPs may be inspected during and/or following construction. Approval of the constructed BMPs will be based on verification that the materials and placement appear to meet the specifications and that the BMPs appear to function as designed. Onsite observations may be used to verify that materials are as specified and material receipts checked. Performance may be evaluated by a ***site*** visit while it is raining or by testing with a bucket of water or garden hose to check pavement permeability or proper connection to BMP devices/features, etc.
6. **Drainage concerns.** If DLS-Permitting determines that there is a potential for drainage impacts to a neighboring property, then additional measures may be required. Some flow control BMPs may not be appropriate in certain situations, and will not be allowed by DLS-Permitting where they may cause drainage problems.
7. **Geotechnical concerns.** A geotechnical engineer, engineering geologist, or DLS-Permitting staff geologist must evaluate and approve flow control BMPs that are proposed: (A) on slopes steeper than 15%; (B) within a setback from the top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15%; or (C) within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area.*** In addition, DLS-Permitting may require review by a geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist of any proposed BMP that infiltrates, disperses, or directs overflow adjacent to or towards a steep ***slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area.*** DLS-Permitting may also require some projects to route flows down or around such slopes using non-perforated pipes. Some flow control BMPs may not be appropriate for these locations, and will not be allowed by DLS-Permitting where flows may cause erosion problems.
8. **Sewage system concerns.** If DLS-Permitting determines that there is a potential conflict between onsite sewage systems and flow control BMPs, additional measures may be required. Some projects may need to route flows past onsite sewage systems using non-perforated pipes. Also, some flow control BMPs may not be appropriate for these ***sites***, and will not be allowed where sewage systems may be impacted.

1.2.9.3 SUBDIVISION AND ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS BMP REQUIREMENTS

For subdivision and road improvement projects, flow control BMPs must be selected and applied according to the subdivision and road improvement projects BMP requirements in this section. For purposes of applying flow control BMPs to these projects, three categories of requirements have been established based on the size of ***site*/lot** subject to improvements by the project **and the location of the project relative to Urban Growth Area boundaries**. These categories of requirements are as follows:

- **Small Subdivision and Urban Subdivision Projects BMP Requirements** (Inside UGA OR on sites/lots less than 5 acres)
- **Small Road Improvement and Urban Road Improvement Projects BMP Requirements** (Inside UGA OR on sites/lots less than 5 acres)
- **Large Rural Subdivision and Large Rural Road Improvement Projects BMP Requirements** (Outside UGA AND on sites/lots 5 acres or larger)

Flow control BMPs must be applied in the order of preference and to the extent specified for the category of requirements applicable to the proposed project as described in the following subsections.

1.2.9.3.1 SMALL SUBDIVISION AND URBAN SUBDIVISION PROJECTS BMP REQUIREMENTS

IF the proposed project is a **subdivision project** that is **within the UGA OR is on a site/parcel less than 5 acres in size**, THEN Flow control BMPs for plat infrastructure improvements (e.g. road and sidewalk etc.) of these projects shall meet the requirements described in Section 1.2.9.3.2 below for "Small Road Improvement and Urban Road Improvement Project BMP Requirements". Implementation of flow control BMPs required for/on the individual lots of the subdivision may be deferred until a permit is obtained for construction on each lot and is therefore optional. However, if the applicant wishes to implement or make provision for implementation of BMPs for the lot improvements as part of the subdivision project for purposes of receiving BMP modeling credits, the individual lot BMP requirements described in Section 1.2.9.2 and implementation requirements for subdivision projects described Section 1.2.9.4.1 must be met.

1.2.9.3.2 SMALL ROAD IMPROVEMENT AND URBAN ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS BMP REQUIREMENTS

IF the proposed project is a **road improvement project** that is **within the UGA or is on a site/parcel less than 5 acres in size**, THEN flow control BMPs must be applied as specified in the requirements below.

1. The feasibility and applicability of full dispersion as detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.1 must be evaluated for all target impervious surfaces. If feasible and applicable, **full dispersion** must be implemented as part of the proposed project. Typically, small lot full dispersion will be applicable only in subdivisions where enough forest was preserved by tract, easement, or covenant to meet the minimum requirements for full dispersion in Appendix C, Section C.2.1.1
2. All target impervious surfaces not mitigated by Requirement 1 above, must be mitigated to the maximum extent feasible using one or more BMPs from the following list. Use of a given BMP is subject to evaluation of its feasibility and applicability as detailed in Appendix C. Infeasible BMPs are not required to be implemented. The BMPs listed below may be located anywhere on the ***site*/lot** subject to the limitations and design specifications for each BMP. These BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project.
    - **Full Infiltration** per Section C.2.2, or per Section 5.2, whichever is applicable
    - **Limited Infiltration** per Appendix C, Section C.2.3,
    - **Bioretention** per Appendix C, Section C.2.6, sized as follows:
        - Inside the UGA (Rainfall region SeaTac 1.0 and less): In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.6 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils provide bioretention volume based on 0.1 inches of equivalent storage depth,

- Inside the UGA (Rainfall regions greater than SeaTac 1.0): In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.8 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.4 inches of equivalent storage depth,
- Outside the UGA: In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 1.9 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils provide bioretention volume based on 1.0 inches of equivalent storage depth,

- **Permeable Pavement** per Appendix C, Section C.2.7,

3. All target impervious surfaces not mitigated by Requirements 1 and 2 above, must be mitigated to the maximum extent feasible using the Basic Dispersion BMP described below. Use of Basic Dispersion is subject to evaluation of its feasibility and applicability as detailed in Appendix C. Infeasible BMPs are not required to be implemented. Basic Dispersion BMPs may be located anywhere on the ***site*/lot** subject to the limitations and design specifications cited in Appendix C. The BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project.
    - **Basic Dispersion** per Appendix C, Section C.2.4,
4. The soil moisture holding capacity of **new pervious surfaces** must be protected in accordance with KCC 16.82.100 (F) and (G). KCC 16.82.100(F) requires that the duff layer or native topsoil be retained to the maximum extent practicable. KCC 16.82.100(G) requires soil amendment to mitigate for lost moisture holding capacity where compaction or removal of some or all of the duff layer or underlying topsoil has occurred. The amendment must be such that the replaced topsoil is a minimum of 8 inches thick, unless the applicant demonstrates that a different thickness will provide conditions equivalent to the soil moisture holding capacity native to the site. The replaced topsoil must have an organic content of 5-10% dry weight and a pH suitable for the proposed surface vegetation (for most soils in King County, 4 inches of well-rotted compost tilled into the top 8 inches of soil is sufficient to achieve the organic content standard.) The amendment must take place between May 1 and October 1. The specifications for compost for soil amendment can be found in Reference 11-C.

1.2.9.3.3 LARGE RURAL SUBDIVISION AND LARGE RURAL ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS BMP REQUIREMENTS

IF the proposed project is a **subdivision or road improvement project** that is **outside the UGA and is on a site/parcel 5 acres or greater in size**, THEN flow control BMPs must be implemented in order to achieve compliance with the LID Performance Standard and demonstrated using an approved continuous runoff model. As an alternative to the modeling requirement, single family residential projects (excluding subdivisions creating 10 lots or more) can opt to fully comply with the following BMP requirements:

- Requirements for BMPs described under Section 1.2.9.2.3, "Large Rural Lots" (p.1-89) must be met for each of the individual lots of the subdivision. Implementation is required per Section 1.2.9.4 either concurrent with the subdivision project or deferred as long as provisions made for implementation as described in Section 1.2.9.4.
- Requirements 3, 4, and 5 described under Section 1.2.9.2.3, "Large Rural Lots" (p.1-89) must be met for the subdivision plat infrastructure improvements (e.g. road, sidewalks). Implementation must be concurrent with the project.

1.2.9.4 REQUIREMENTS FOR USE OF BMP CREDITS

Projects that implement flow control BMPs, whether required or optional, may use the flow control BMP credits described in this section subject to the implementation requirements in Section 1.2.9.2.4 (p.1-90) (for Individual Lots), Section 1.2.9.4.1 below (for Subdivision Projects), Section 1.2.9.4.2 below (for Right of Way Projects), and any restrictions noted in this section or Table 1.2.9.A. For all project types, modeling credits cannot to be used for flow control BMPs that will be privately maintained, with the exception of the full dispersion, farmland dispersion, and full infiltration BMPs.

Two kinds of credits are available. First, any impervious surface served by a flow control BMP that meets the design specifications for that BMP in Appendix C may be modeled as indicated and allowed in Table 1.2.9.A (below). Such credits may be used in the following situations:

1. To compute post-development runoff time series when sizing required flow control facilities.
2. To compute post-development 100-year peak flows when assessing any of the peak flow exceptions from the area-specific flow control facility requirement in Sections 1.2.3.1.A, B, and C.

3. To compute post-development runoff time series when sizing required flow rate based water quality facilities (e.g. bioswales) and to re-characterize post developed land types when sizing volume based water quality facilities (e.g. wetponds, wetvaults).

Use of credits for water quality facility sizing as described above is limited to BMPs that are treating flows downstream from the BMP and tributary to a required water quality facility.

Second, any impervious or non-native pervious surface that is ***fully dispersed*** per the full dispersion criteria in Section 1.2.3.2.C is **not** considered a target surface of the area-specific flow control facility requirement (Section 1.2.3.1) or the area-specific water quality facility requirement (Section 1.2.8.1).

TABLE 1.2.9.A FLOW CONTROL BMP FACILITY SIZING CREDITS(1)

Flow Control BMP Type	Facility Sizing Credit
Full dispersion	Model fully dispersed surface as forest(2)
Full infiltration(3)	Subtract impervious area that is fully infiltrated
Limited infiltration	Model tributary impervious surface as 90% impervious, 10% grass
Basic dispersion	Model dispersed impervious surface as 90% impervious, 10% grass
Farmland dispersion	Dispersed areas are considered non-targeted for flow control. Dispersed areas on sites with farm management plans are considered non-targeted for water quality treatment
Bioretention	Model tributary impervious surface as 90% impervious, 10% grass
Permeable pavement (unlined with no underdrain)	Model permeable pavement area as 50% impervious, 50% grass
Grassed modular grid pavement	Model modular grid pavement as all grass
Rainwater harvesting	Credit only allowed via and as specified in an approved drainage adjustment that details conditions of use.
Restricted footprint	Model footprint as restricted
Wheel strip driveways	Model credited area as 50% impervious, 50% grass
Minimum disturbance foundation	Model foundation area as 50% impervious, 50% grass
Open grid decking over pervious area	Model deck area as 50% impervious, 50% grass
Native growth retention credit	Model mitigated impervious area as 50% impervious, 50% grass
Perforated pipe connection	None

Notes:

(1) These credits do not apply when determining eligibility for exemptions from Core Requirement #3, Core Requirement #8, or exceptions from the flow control or water quality facility requirements unless otherwise noted in the exemption or exception. Modeling credits cannot be used for flow control BMPs that will be privately maintained, with the exception of the full dispersion, farmland dispersion, and full infiltration BMPs. Explicit modeling of BMP infiltration for facility sizing is not allowed. When applying modeling credits for flow control facility sizing, infiltrative BMPs tributary to the facility that are included in the modeling scenario (including the permeable pavement element with area reduced to 50% impervious area fraction, or other BMPs (e.g., bioretention, trenches, drywells) treating upstream runoff) must have the infiltration option *turned off* during the flow routing analysis for facility sizing to avoid double-counting the BMP infiltration benefit. Alternatively, the permeable pavement BMP with infiltration turned off may be represented by an impervious area land use element of equivalent area.

(2) Surface shall be modeled using the soil type found at that location on the site.

(3) For any project subject to Simplified Drainage Review, and for any single family residential project subject to Directed, Full or Large Project Drainage Review, the design requirements and specifications in Appendix C, Section C.2.2 may be used for design of full infiltration on individual lots. For all other projects, including any project where full infiltration is proposed to serve more than one lot, full infiltration must be designed in accordance with infiltration facility standards in Section 5.2.

1.2.9.4.1 USE OF CREDITS BY SUBDIVISION PROJECTS

If a proposed project is a *subdivision project*,[49] implementation of flow control BMPs for plat infrastructure improvements (e.g. road, sidewalk, or other non-lot improvements) is required concurrent with the subdivision improvements. Implementation of flow control BMPs on the individual lots of the subdivision may be deferred until a permit is obtained for construction on each lot and is therefore optional as part of the subdivision project.

In order to receive the modeling credits (noted above) for flow control BMPs required for plat infrastructure improvements (e.g. road, sidewalk, or other non-lot improvements), and/or for individual lot BMPs where the applicant elects to implement or make provision for implementation of individual lot BMPs as part of the subdivision project, the following requirements must be met depending on where the BMPs are located on the ***site***. These requirements are in addition to any restrictions for use of modeling credits noted in Section 1.2.9.4 and/or Table 1.2.9.A.

A. Subdivision Implementation of BMPs within Road Right-of-Way

These are flow control BMPs installed within public or private road right-of-way as part of the construction of street and drainage improvements for the subdivision. To receive credit for these BMPs, the subdivision project must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The BMPs must serve impervious surface located only within the road right-of-way.
2. The BMPs must be shown on the **site improvement plans** submitted with the engineering plans for the proposed project as specified in Section 2.3.1.2.
3. If the road right-of-way will be maintained by King County, the BMPs must be approved by the King County Department of Transportation through a **road variance** prior to engineering plan approval.
4. If the road right-of-way will be privately maintained, provision must be made for future **maintenance** of the BMPs in accordance with Core Requirement #6, Section 1.2.6. As specified in Core Requirement #6, King County will assume maintenance of such BMPs in certain cases.
5. If King County will be assuming maintenance of the BMPs, the BMPs must comply with the drainage facility **financial guarantee and liability requirements** in Core Requirement #7, Section 1.2.7.

B. Subdivision Implementation of BMPs within Dedicated Tracts

These are flow control BMPs installed on or associated with the features (e.g., forest) of common area tracts dedicated by the subdivision. Such BMPs may serve future improvements on lots, common area improvements, or road right-of-way improvements. To receive credit for these BMPs, the subdivision project must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The BMPs must be shown on the **site improvement plans** submitted with the engineering plans for the proposed project as specified in Section 2.3.1.2.
2. Provision must be made for future **maintenance** of the BMPs in accordance with Core Requirement #6, Section 1.2.6. When maintenance by King County is specified by Core Requirement #6, King County will assume maintenance of BMP devices (e.g. dispersion trenches) that are within a tract dedicated to King County for drainage purposes. King County will not assume maintenance of BMP devices located in common areas dedicated for purposes other than just drainage (e.g., play areas, parks, etc.). Where King County maintenance is specified by Core 6, the County will assume maintenance for FCBMP vegetated flow paths that are within an easement that allows for inspection and maintenance by the County. King County maintenance of these vegetated flow paths will be limited to their FCBMP functionality. All other maintenance shall remain the responsibility of the owner(s).
3. BMPs to be maintained by King County in accordance with Core Requirement #6 must comply with the drainage facility **financial guarantee and liability requirements** in Core Requirement #7, Section 1.2.7.
4. If the BMPs installed within a dedicated tract satisfy some or all of the BMP requirements for individual lots per Section 1.2.9.2, then a **note** must be placed on the **recorded documents** for the subdivision indicating those lots for which BMPs have been provided.

[49] For purposes of applying flow control BMPs, the term *subdivision* or *subdivision project* refers to any project that is a short plat, plat, or binding site plan.

C. Subdivision Implementation of BMPs on Individual Lots

These are flow control BMPs installed on a subdivision's proposed lots as part of the subdivision project. For example, the subdivision developer may elect to pre-install some or all of the flow control BMPs required by the individual lot BMP requirements in Section 1.2.9.2. To receive credits for these BMPs, the subdivision project must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The flow control BMPs must be installed and implemented in accordance with the individual lot BMP requirements in Section 1.2.9.2. This includes recording a **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** for each lot with BMPs as specified in Implementation Requirement 3 of Section 1.2.9.2.4. If not all of the required BMPs are installed on a lot as part of the subdivision project, language must be included in the covenant notifying the future lot owner of additional required BMPs.
2. BMPs to be installed on individual lots as part of the subdivision project must be shown on the **site improvement plans** submitted with the engineering plans for the proposed project as specified in Section 2.3.1.2.

D. Subdivision Future Implementation of BMPs on Individual Lots

These are flow control BMPs stipulated to be installed on some or all of a subdivision's proposed lots by a declaration of covenant recorded for each such lot. To receive credits for these BMPs, the subdivision project must meet all of the following requirements:

1. Demonstrate through a lot-specific assessment that the flow control BMPs stipulated for each lot are **feasible and applicable** according to the individual lot BMP requirements in Section 1.2.9.2 and the BMP design specifications in Appendix C. This lot-specific assessment must be included in the TIR submitted with engineering plans for the subdivision. The assessment shall include any soils reports, calculations, or other information necessary to select and properly apply BMPs.
2. Record a **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** for each lot stipulating the type or types of BMP being proposed for credit. This covenant must be as specified in Implementation Requirement 3 of Section 1.2.9.2.4, except as follows:
    a) The **FCBMP site plan**(s) may be waived depending on the BMPs proposed or may be conceptual, showing only the information necessary to stipulate the type or types of BMP being proposed for credit. For example, if the BMP is full dispersion, the approximate location of future impervious surface and the limits of the "native vegetated flowpath segment" (see Appendix C, Section C.2.1) must be shown. If the BMP is full infiltration, the approximate location of future impervious surface, septic drain field (if applicable), and infiltration devices must be shown. For all other BMPs, the "design and maintenance details" (see Item b below) for each proposed BMP per Appendix C may be sufficient as determined by DLS-Permitting.
    b) The **FCBMP design and maintenance details** must include the dimensions of all proposed devices, features, and flowpaths, expressed as unit amounts per square foot of impervious surface served or as a percentage of the lot size or impervious surface created.
    c) The **notice** to future lot owners must indicate that they are responsible to install the flow control BMP or BMPs stipulated for the lot prior to final inspection approval of constructed lot improvements. Alternative BMPs that provide equivalent performance may be proposed at the time of permit application for proposed lot improvements. In any case, a revised covenant will need to be recorded to reflect the final approved BMPs and site improvement plan(s).
3. If **single family residential lots** are being created, a **note** must be placed on the **recorded documents** for the subdivision indicating the following:

    "Single family residences and other improvements constructed on the lots created by this subdivision must implement the flow control best management practices (BMPs) stipulated in the drainage plan declaration of covenant and grant of easement recorded for each lot. Compliance with this stipulation must be addressed in the small project drainage plan submitted for drainage review when application is made for a single family residential building permit for the lot."
4. If **commercial lots** are being created, a **note** must be placed on the **recorded documents** for the subdivision indicating the following:

    "Improvements constructed on the lots created by this subdivision must implement the flow control best management practices (BMPs) stipulated in the drainage plan declaration of covenant and grant of easement recorded for each lot. Compliance with this stipulation must be addressed

in the engineering plans submitted for drainage review when application is made for a permit to make improvements to the lot."

5. If a **binding site plan** is being created, a **note** must be placed on the **recorded documents** for the subdivision indicating the following:

   "Improvements constructed on the lots created by this binding site plan must implement the flow control best management practices (BMPs) stipulated in the drainage plan declaration of covenant and grant of easement recorded for each lot. Compliance with this stipulation must be addressed in the engineering plans submitted for drainage review when application is made for a permit to make improvements to the lot."

1.2.9.4.2 USE OF CREDITS BY PROJECTS WITHIN RIGHTS-OF-WAY

If a proposed project is located primarily within an established public or private right-of-way, implementation of flow control BMPs is as required per Section 1.2.9.3. To receive credit for these BMPs, the project must meet all of the following requirements in addition to any restrictions noted in Section 1.2.9.4 and/or Table 1.2.9.A.

1. The BMPs must serve **impervious surface** located only within the right-of-way.
2. If the right-of-way is **road right-of-way** that will be maintained by King County, the BMPs must be approved by the King County Department of Transportation through a **road variance** prior to engineering plan approval.
3. If the right-of-way will be privately maintained, provision must be made for future **maintenance** of the BMPs in accordance with Core Requirement #6, Section 1.2.6. As specified in Core Requirement #6, King County will assume maintenance of such BMPs in certain cases.
4. If King County will be assuming maintenance of the BMPs, the BMPs must comply with the drainage facility **financial guarantee and liability requirements** in Core Requirement #7, Section 1.2.7.

1.3 SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

This section details the following five special drainage requirements that may apply to the proposed project depending on its location or ***site***-specific characteristics:

- "Special Requirement #1: Other Adopted Area-Specific Requirements," Section 1.3.1
- "Special Requirement #2: Flood Hazard Area Delineation," Section 1.3.2 (p. 1-101)
- "Special Requirement #3: Flood Protection Facilities," Section 1.3.3 (p. 1-102)
- "Special Requirement #4: Source Control," Section 1.3.4 (p. 1-103)
- "Special Requirement #5: Oil Control," Section 1.3.5 (p. 1-106).

1.3.1 SPECIAL REQUIREMENT #1: OTHER ADOPTED AREA-SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS

This manual is one of several adopted regulations in King County that apply requirements for controlling drainage on an area-specific basis. Other adopted area-specific regulations with requirements that have a direct bearing on the drainage design of a proposed project include the following:

- **Critical Drainage Areas (CDAs):** DNRP establishes CDAs in areas where flooding and/or erosion conditions present an imminent likelihood of harm to the welfare and safety of the surrounding community. The special requirements in CDAs typically include more restrictive flow control and clearing standards. Maps showing CDA boundaries are available from DNRP or DLS-Permitting.
- **Master Drainage Plans (MDPs):** MDPs are comprehensive drainage plans prepared for urban planned developments (UPDs) or other large, complex projects (described in Section 1.1.2.5). Projects covered by a MDP must meet any adopted requirements specific to that plan.
- **Basin Plans (BPs):** The King County Council adopts basin plans to provide for the comprehensive assessment of resources and to accommodate growth while controlling adverse impacts to the environment. A basin plan may recommend specific land uses, regional capital projects, and special drainage requirements for future development within the basin area it covers.
- **Salmon Conservation Plans (SCPs):** Salmon conservation plans are comprehensive, ecosystem-based plans intended to identify and assess the means to protect and restore salmon habitat through mechanisms such as habitat improvements, regulations, incentives, BMPs, land acquisition, and public education activities. These plans are developed in collaboration with other jurisdictions within a water resource inventory area (WRIA) designated by the state under WAC 173-500-040 and spanning several basins or subbasins.
- **Stormwater Compliance Plans (SWCPs):** Stormwater compliance plans are a subbasin or outfall specific assessment of the quantity and/or quality of King County's municipal separate storm sewer system discharges to determine actions necessary for compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Municipal Stormwater Permit issued by the state Department of Ecology pursuant to the federal Clean Water Act. These plans/studies may recommend capital projects, flow control standards, water quality controls, public education activities, or other actions deemed necessary for compliance with the Clean Water Act and RCW 90.48, Water Pollution Control.
- **Lake Management Plans (LMPs):** The King County Council adopts lake management plans to provide for comprehensive assessment of resources and to accommodate growth while controlling adverse impacts from nutrient loading to selected lakes. A lake management plan may recommend nutrient control through special drainage and source control requirements for proposed projects within the area it covers.

- **Flood Hazard Management Plan (FHMPs):** The King County Flood Hazard Management Plan and related updates is a regional plan prepared in accordance with RCW 86.12.200 and is a functional element of the King County Comprehensive Plan for the purpose of reducing flood risks. It includes (1) policies to guide floodplain land use and flood risk reduction activities; (2) geographically based descriptions of hazards and associated strategic vision; (3) program and project recommendations, including capital improvement projects, maintenance, relocation and elevation of homes, flood warning improvements, and river planning activities; and (4) implementation priorities for program and project recommendations. The FHMP is updated every 5 years.
- **Shared Facility Drainage Plans (SFDPs):** SFDPs are approved by King County to allow two or more projects to share drainage facilities required by this manual. Projects covered by a SFDP must meet any specific requirements of that plan.

Threshold	Requirement
IF a proposed project is in a designated ***Critical Drainage Area*** or in an area included in an adopted master drainage plan, basin plan, salmon conservation plan, stormwater compliance plan, flood hazard management plan, lake management plan, or shared facility drainage plan . . .	THEN the proposed project shall comply with the drainage requirements of the ***Critical Drainage Area***, master drainage plan, basin plan, salmon conservation plan, stormwater compliance plan, flood hazard management plan, lake management plan, or shared facility drainage plan, respectively.

Application of this Requirement

The drainage requirements of adopted CDAs, MDPs, BPs, SCPs, SWCPs, FHMPs, LMPs, and SFDPs shall be applied in addition to the drainage requirements of this manual unless otherwise specified in the adopted regulation. Where conflicts occur between the two, the drainage requirements of the adopted area-specific regulation shall supersede those in this manual.

Examples of drainage requirements found in other adopted area-specific regulations include the following:

- More or less stringent flow control
- More extensive water quality controls
- Forest retention requirements
- Infiltration restrictions
- Groundwater recharge provisions
- Discharge to a constructed regional flow control or conveyance facility.

Adjustments to vary from the specific drainage requirements mandated by CDAs, BPs, SCPs, SWCPs, FHMPs, and LMPs may be pursued through the adjustment process described in Section 1.4 of this manual. Copies of all adopted CDAs, basin plans, SCPs, SWCPs, FHMPs, and lake management plans are available from DNRP or DLS-Permitting.

Projects covered by SFDPs shall demonstrate that the shared facility will be available by the time the project is constructed and that all onsite requirements are met. Projects covered by a SFDP are still required to provide any onsite controls necessary to comply with drainage requirements not addressed by the shared facility.

1.3.2 SPECIAL REQUIREMENT #2: FLOOD HAZARD AREA DELINEATION

Flood hazard areas are composed of the 100-year floodplain, zero-rise flood fringe, zero-rise floodway, FEMA floodway, and channel migration zones as described in KCC 21A.24. If a proposed project contains or is adjacent to a ***flood hazard area*** as determined by DLS-Permitting, this special requirement requires the project to determine those components that are applicable and delineate them on the project's site improvement plans and recorded maps.

Floodplains are subject to inundation during extreme events. The 100-year floodplain, and floodway if applicable, is delineated in order to minimize flooding impacts to new development and to prevent aggravation of existing flooding problems by new development. Regulations and restrictions concerning development within a 100-year floodplain are found in the critical areas code, KCC 21A.24 and in the shorelines code, KCC 21A.25.

Channel migration zones are areas within the lateral extent of likely stream channel movement that are subject to risk due to stream bank destabilization, rapid stream incision, stream bank erosion and shifts in the location of stream channels, as shown on King County's Channel Migration Zone maps. The channel migration zone includes two additional components, the *severe channel migration hazard area*, which includes the present channel width plus the area at greatest risk of lateral movement, and the *moderate channel migration hazard area*, which is the remaining portion of the channel migration zone. Regulations and restrictions concerning development within channel migration zones and their hazard area components are found in the critical areas code, KCC 21A.24.

Threshold	Requirement
IF a proposed project contains or is adjacent to a ***flood hazard area*** for a river, stream, lake, wetland, closed depression, marine shoreline, or a King County-mapped channel migration zone, or if other King County regulations require study of flood hazards related to the proposed project . . .	THEN the 100-year floodplain, and applicable floodway, shall be determined and their boundaries, together with the boundaries of the severe and moderate channel migration hazard area (if applicable), shall be delineated on the site improvement plans and profiles, and on any final subdivision maps prepared for the proposed project.

Application of this Requirement

The applicant is required to use the best available floodplain/floodway data when delineating the 100-year floodplain and floodway boundaries on site improvement plans and profiles, and on any final subdivision maps. The **floodplain/floodway delineation** used by the applicant shall be in accordance with KCC 21A.24, KCC 21A.25, and associated public rules. If floodplain/floodway data and delineation does not exist, then a floodplain/floodway analysis shall be prepared by the applicant as described in Section 4.4.2, "Floodplain/Floodway Analysis."

Projects or related flood studies that are expected to result in a change to base flood elevations published in FEMA Flood Insurance Studies and Rate Maps, must also comply with FEMA regulations 44CFR, part 65 and the Analysis and Mapping Procedures for Non-accredited Levee Systems, including requirements for providing letters of map revisions.

If the ***site*** is located within a **channel migration zone** mapped by King County, the proposed development must comply with KCC 21A.24 and associated public rules.

1.3.3 SPECIAL REQUIREMENT #3: FLOOD PROTECTION FACILITIES

Flood protection facilities, such as **levees** and **revetments** require a high level of confidence in their structural integrity and performance. Proper analysis, design, and construction are necessary to protect against the potentially catastrophic consequences if such facilities should fail.

Threshold	Requirement
IF a proposed project will: • rely on an existing flood protection facility (such as a levee or revetment) for protection against hazards posed by erosion or inundation, OR • modify or construct a new flood protection facility . . .	THEN the applicant shall demonstrate that the flood protection facility, as determined by a licensed professional engineer, conforms with siting, structural stability, environmental, and all other relevant standards cited in the following regulations and documents: • Washington State *Integrated Streambank Protection Guidelines*, • Corps of Engineers *Manual for Design and Construction of Levees* (EM 1110-2-1913), • KCC 21A.24, KCC 21A.25, KCC16.85 and • Special Requirement #1 (specifically the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan) AND, flood containment levees shall meet or exceed the professional engineering standards summarized in FEMA National Flood Insurance mapping regulations (44 CFR, subsection 65.10) or FEMA's Analysis and Mapping Procedures for non-Accredited Levee Systems.

Application of this Requirement

Conformance with the requirements listed above shall be addressed in the Technical Information Report submitted with the project's engineering plans (see Section 2.3.1.1).

Conformance also requires that certain **easement requirements** (outlined in Section 4.1) be met in order to allow County access to the facility. If the proposed project contains an existing King County flood protection facility or proposes to rely on a King County flood protection facility, the applicant shall provide an easement to King County consistent with the river protection easement requirements outlined in Section 4.1.

1.3.4 SPECIAL REQUIREMENT #4: SOURCE CONTROLS

Water quality source controls prevent rainfall and runoff water from coming into contact with pollutants, thereby reducing the likelihood that pollutants will enter public waterways and violate water quality standards or County stormwater discharge permit limits. A *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual* was prepared for citizens, businesses, and industries to identify and implement source controls for activities that often pollute water bodies. King County provides advice about source control implementation upon request. The County may, however, require mandatory source controls at any time through formal code enforcement if complaints or studies reveal water quality violations or problems.

Threshold	Requirement
IF a proposed project requires a commercial building or commercial ***site*** development permit . . .	THEN water quality source controls applicable to the proposed project shall be applied as described below in accordance with the *King County Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual* and King County Code 9.12.

Application of this Requirement

When applicable per the *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual*, **structural source control measures**, such as car wash pads or dumpster area roofing, shall be applied to the entire ***site*** containing the proposed project, not just the ***project site***. If the applicant is a tenant or lessee for only a portion of the ***site***, DLS-Permitting may limit the entire ***site*** application of structural source controls to only that portion of the ***site*** occupied or leased by the applicant. All applicable structural source control measures shall be shown on the site improvement plans submitted for engineering review and approval. Other, **nonstructural source control measures**, such as covering storage piles with plastic or isolating areas where pollutants are used or stored, are to be implemented after occupancy and need not be addressed during the plan review process. All commercial, industrial, and multifamily projects (irrespective of size) undergoing drainage review are required to implement applicable source controls.

Activities That May Result In Structural Improvements

There are a number of activities that may require structures and/or specific drainage configurations in order to protect stormwater and maintain compliance with county code. Roof structures, wheel washes, cement pads, shutoff valves, containment berms and indoor mop sinks are all examples of things that need to be in place prior to commencing the activity. These may require building permits and other approvals prior to construction.

Below are some highlighted activities and the numbered BMP activity sheets in the *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual* that provide more detail:

Commercial Composting

Structural improvements: paved composting and storage pads, leachate collection system, lined collection ponds, wheel wash system

- A-4 Outdoor Storage of Soil, Sand, and Other Erodible Materials
- A-24 Commercial Composting

Food and Beverage Manufacturing and Storage

Structural improvements: roofed enclosures, containment, wastewater collection, storage, and disposal system

- A-7 Food and Beverage Manufacturing and Storage

Fueling of equipment and vehicles
Structural improvements: Portland cement pads, roofs, spill control devices, trench drains, oil/water separators

- A-17 Stationary Fueling Operations
- A-47 Older Stationary Fueling Operations

Greenhouses and Plant Nurseries

Structural improvements: berms, covering, and erosion control measures

- A-4 Outdoor Storage of Soil, Sand, and Other Erodible Materials

Horse stables
Structural improvements: Wash racks connected to sanitary sewer or separate infiltration area, manure containment areas

- A- 35 Keeping Livestock in Stables, Pens, Pastures, or Fields

Mining of sand or gravel
Structural improvements: Wheel wash system and track-out control, catch basin inserts

- A-41 Wheel Wash and Tire Bath Track Out Control

Painting, Finishing, & Coating of Vehicles & Equipment
Structural improvements: Permitted, enclosed paint booths

- A-22 Painting, Finishing, and Coating of Vehicles, Products, and Equipment

Restaurants and food trucks
Structural improvements: Indoor sinks for mat and rack washing and mop and wastewater disposal.

- A-8 Storage of Solid and Food Wastes (Including Cooking Grease)
- A-12 Cleaning or Washing of Food Service Areas and Equipment

Outdoor storage of erodible materials, e.g. compost, bark, sand, etc.
Structural improvements: Wheel wash system and track-out control, berms, containment areas, covering, catchbasin inserts

- A-41 Wheel Wash and Tire Bath Track Out Control

Outdoor storage or processing of galvanized materials
Structural improvements: Roofs or other covering, stormwater collection and treatment system

- A-21 Manufacturing and Post-Processing of Metal Products

Storage of liquid materials
Structural improvements: Secondary containment, roofed structures, spill control devices

- A-2 Outdoor Storage of Liquid Materials in Stationary Tanks
- A-3 Storage of Liquid Materials in Portable Containers

Utility Corridor Maintenance
Structural improvements: Road stabilization

- A-45 Maintenance of Public and Private Utility Corridors and Facilities

Washing of cars, trucks and equipment (not just commercial car washes)

Structural improvements: Dedicated wash pads, sewer connection, holding tanks, catch basin inserts

- A-13 Vehicle Washing and Steam Cleaning

Wood Treatment & Preserving

Structural improvements: Paved, contained and covered storage and processing areas

- A-4 Outdoor Storage of Soil, Sand, and Other Erodible Materials
- A-23 Wood Treatment & Preserving

1.3.5 SPECIAL REQUIREMENT #5: OIL CONTROL

Projects proposing to develop or redevelop a ***high-use site*** must provide oil controls in addition to any other water quality controls required by this manual. Such ***sites*** typically generate high concentrations of oil due to high traffic turnover, on-site vehicle or heavy or stationary equipment use, some business operations, e.g. automotive recycling, or the frequent transfer of liquid petroleum or coal derivative products.

The traffic threshold in the definition above focuses on vehicle turnover per square foot of building area (trip generation) rather than ADT alone because oil leakage is greatest when engines are idling or cooling. In general, all-day parking areas are not intended to be captured by these thresholds except those for diesel vehicles, which tend to leak oil more than non-diesel vehicles. The petroleum storage and transfer stipulation is intended to address regular transfer operations like service stations, not occasional filling of heating oil tanks.

Threshold	Requirement
IF a proposed project: • develops a ***site*** that will have ***high-use site*** characteristics, OR • is a ***redevelopment project*** proposing $100,000 or more of improvements to an existing ***high-use site,*** OR • is a ***redevelopment project*** that results in new plus replaced pollution generating impervious surfaces of 5,000 square feet or more or new pollution generating pervious surface of ¾ acre or more improvements to an existing ***high-use site*** …	THEN the project must treat runoff from the high-use portion of the ***site*** using oil control treatment options from the High-Use menu (described below and detailed in Chapter 6).

High-Use Menu

High-use oil control options are selected to capture and detain oil and associated pollutants. The goal of this treatment is no visible sheen on runoff leaving the facility, or less than 10 mg/L total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) in the runoff, depending on the facility option used. Oil control options include facilities that are small, handle only a limited tributary area, and require frequent maintenance, as well as facilities that treat larger areas and generally have less frequent maintenance needs. Facility choices include catch basin inserts, linear sand filters, and oil/water separators. See Chapter 6 for specific facility choices and design details.

Application of this Requirement

For ***high-use sites*** located within a larger commercial center, only the impervious surface associated with the high-use portion of the ***site*** is subject to treatment requirements. If common parking for multiple businesses is provided, treatment shall be applied to the number of parking stalls required for the high-use business only. However, if the treatment collection area also receives runoff from other areas, the water quality facility must be sized to treat all water passing through it.

High-use roadway intersections shall treat the intersection itself, plus lanes where vehicles accumulate during the signal cycle, including all lanes, from the beginning of the left turn pocket (see Figure 1.3.5.A below). If no left turn pocket exists, the treatable area shall begin at a distance of 75 feet from the stop line. If runoff from the intersection drains to more than two collection areas that do not combine within the intersection, treatment may be limited to any two of the collection areas. Oil control facilities shall be

designed for all flows tributary to the oil control facility including flow from otherwise exempt areas that are not bypassed around the facility.

Note: For oil control facilities to be located in public road right-of-way and maintained by King County, only coalescing plate or baffle oil/water separators shall be used unless otherwise approved through an adjustment. Catch basin inserts are not allowed for oil control.

Methods of Analysis

The traffic threshold for the High-Use menu shall be estimated using information from *Trip Generation*, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers, from a traffic study prepared by a professional engineer or transportation specialist with experience in traffic estimation, or from documented data from the King County Department of Transportation.

FIGURE 1.3.5.A TREATABLE AREAS FOR HIGH-USE ROAD INTERSECTIONS



1.4 ADJUSTMENT PROCESS

For proposed projects subject to drainage review by the Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting), this process is provided for the occasions when a project proponent desires to vary from one of the core or special requirements, or any other specific requirement or standard contained in this manual. Proposed adjustments should be approved prior to final permit approval, but they may be accepted up to the time King County approves final construction or accepts drainage facilities for maintenance.

Types of Adjustments

To facilitate the adjustment process and timely review of adjustment proposals, the following types of adjustments are provided:

- **Standard Adjustments:** These are adjustments of the standards and requirements contained in the following chapters and sections of this manual:
  * Chapter 1, "Drainage Review and Requirements"
  * Chapter 2, "Drainage Plan Submittal"
  * Chapter 3, "Hydrologic Analysis and Design"
  * Chapter 4, "Conveyance System Analysis and Design"
  * Chapter 5, "Flow Control Design"
  * Chapter 6, "Water Quality Design"
  * Appendix A, "Maintenance Requirements for Flow Control, Conveyance, and WQ Facilities"
  * Appendix B, "Master Drainage Plans."
  * Appendix C, “Simplified Drainage Requirements” (detached)
  * Appendix D, “Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Standards” (detached).

  Requests for standard adjustments will be accepted only for permits pending approval or approved permits that have not yet expired.
- **Experimental Design Adjustments:** This type of adjustment is used for proposing new designs or methods that are not covered in this manual, that are not uniquely ***site*** specific, and that require additional information to establish functional equivalence.
- **Blanket Adjustments:** This type of adjustment may be established by the County based on approval of any of the above-mentioned adjustments. Blanket adjustments are usually based on previously approved adjustments that can be applied routinely or globally to all projects where appropriate. Blanket adjustments are also used to effect minor changes or corrections to manual design requirements or to add new designs and methodologies to this manual. There is no application process for establishing blanket adjustments because they are initiated solely by the County.

1.4.1 ADJUSTMENT AUTHORITY

The Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) shall have full authority to determine if and what type of adjustment is required for any proposed project subject to drainage review by DLS-Permitting. The authority to grant adjustments for such projects is distributed as follows:

- DLS-Permitting shall have full authority to approve or deny standard adjustments, except those involving outfalls or pump discharges to the Green River between River Mile 6 and SR 18 per Section 1.2.4.2.F and 1.2.4.2.I. DLS-Permitting decisions on those adjustments are subject to approval by the King County Flood Control District.
- DNRP shall have full authority to approve or deny experimental design adjustments.
- Both DLS-Permitting and DNRP must approve blanket adjustments.

At any time, this adjustment authority may be transferred between DLS-Permitting and DNRP through a memorandum or an amendment to this manual. This memorandum or amendment must include specific guidelines for deferral of adjustment authority.

1.4.2 CRITERIA FOR GRANTING ADJUSTMENTS

Adjustments to the requirements in this manual may be granted provided that granting the adjustment will achieve the following:

1. Produce a compensating or comparable result that is in the public interest, AND
2. Meet the objectives of safety, function, appearance, environmental protection, and maintainability based on sound engineering judgment.

Also, the granting of any adjustment that would be in conflict with the requirements of any other King County department will require review and concurrence with that department.

Criteria Exception

If it can be demonstrated that meeting the above criteria for producing a compensating or comparable result will deny reasonable use of a property, approval of the adjustment will require an adjustment **criteria exception** to be approved by the director of DLS-Permitting or DNRP (whoever is approving the adjustment). An adjustment that requires a criteria exception may be granted following legal public notice of the adjustment request, the director's proposed decision on the request, and a written finding of fact that documents the following:

1. There are special physical circumstances or conditions affecting the property such that strict application of the criteria for producing a compensating or comparable result would deprive the applicant of all reasonable use of the parcel of land in question, and every effort has been made to find creative ways to meet the intent of the requirement for which the adjustment is sought, AND
2. Granting the adjustment for the individual property in question will not create a significant adverse impact to public health, welfare, water quality, and properties downstream or nearby, AND
3. The adjustment requires the best practicable alternative for achieving the spirit and intent of the requirement in question.

In addition, the written finding of fact must include the following information:

- The current (pre-project) use of the ***site***.
- How application of the requirement for which an adjustment is being requested denies reasonable use of the ***site*** compared to the restrictions that existed under the 2009 *Surface Water Design Manual*.
- The possible remaining uses of the ***site*** if the criteria exception were not granted.
- The uses of the ***site*** that would have been allowed under the 2009 *Surface Water Design Manual*.

- A comparison of the estimated amount and percentage of value loss as a result of the requirements of this manual versus the estimated amount and percentage of value loss as a result of requirements that existed under the 2009 *Surface Water Design Manual*.
- The feasibility for the owner to alter the project to apply the requirements of this manual.

Experimental Design Adjustments

Experimental design adjustments may be required for departures from design specifications in flow control facilities (Chapter 5); may be required for alternatives to erosion and sediment control (ESC) facilities in Appendix D; and are required for alternatives to water quality facilities listed in Chapter 6 and Reference 14. Required water quality monitoring is in addition to any required by Ecology for their approval. King County does not pay for this monitoring. Monitoring costs are covered by the applicant and/or the facility vendor or manufacturer according to their agreement.

Flow Control Facilities

In most cases, a standard adjustment applies to alternative flow control designs. However, DLS-Permitting and DNRP/WLRD may require an experimental design adjustment that includes monitoring when deemed warranted.

Erosion and Sediment Control Facilities

Erosion and sediment control (ESC) facilities that have Ecology CTAPE approval do not require an experimental design adjustment. King County will consider an experimental design adjustment for an ESC without CTAPE approval, but only for those that Ecology has approved as equivalent.

Water Quality Facilities

Experimental design adjustments for water quality facilities may be approved by DNRP on a limited basis if, upon evaluation, DNRP agrees the following criteria are met:

- The facility has already been approved by Ecology's TAPE[50] program and has General Use Level Designation (GULD). Facilities with conditional approval (CULD) may also be considered if the manufacturer has applied to Ecology for GULD determination and will be monitoring under the TAPE program. Approval by Ecology does not by itself constitute or ensure approval by King County. For both GULD and CULD designations, Ecology's approval documentation must indicate that maintenance is required no more frequently than annually for flow control and water quality facilities excluding temporary construction ESC facilities.
- King County reserves the right to not grant an experimental design adjustment for a facility for which it will be responsible for maintenance, where it finds the cost of maintenance will be greater than for a conventional facility.
- DNRP believes that technical reports and data suggest facility performance could be replicated. DNRP must have access to the full technical report(s) submitted to Ecology for TAPE, and may require any other reports or data referred to but not provided.
- The Applicant agrees to monitoring as described in Reference 8-F; a monitoring quality assurance project plan has been submitted to DNRP for review and has been approved by DNRP; and the Consultant providing the monitoring has provided the Applicant and DNRP with a cost analysis of the monitoring program.
- For Presettling and Basic treatment water quality facilities only, if the facility has already been approved by Ecology through Ecology's TAPE program, and has GULD, additional TSS percent removal monitoring will not be required, but DNRP may require other monitoring, e.g. constructability, durability, and/or maintenance monitoring. DNRP may limit the number of installations until it is satisfied that the facility type is viable.

[50] Technology Assessment Protocol – Ecology

Additional Notes

- If review of Ecology's basis for granting GULD is found to be flawed and DNRP then finds GULD should not have been issued, DNRP may rescind its approval for new installations of the facility in King County.
- An experimental adjustment for ESC does not absolve the Applicant from meeting the requirements of 1.2.5.2.C, ESC Performance.
- Conditions for approval of experimental design adjustments may include a requirement for setting aside an extra area and posting a financial guarantee for construction of a conventional facility should the experimental facility fail to perform adequately. Once satisfactory durability, operation, and performance of the experimental facility are verified, the set aside area could be developed and the financial guarantee released.
- The number of experimental installations of any one kind will normally be limited to two, until King County has obtained sufficient evidence indicating performance meets criteria.

1.4.3 ADJUSTMENT APPLICATION PROCESS

Adjustment Application Form

The adjustment application form for standard and experimental design adjustments is posted at DLS-Permitting's Forms website, under alphabetical tab {S} and listing: Surface Water Design Manual Requirements/Standards Adjustment Request: kingcounty.gov/depts/local-services/permits/infosheets-forms/permit-application-forms-title.#S.

Standard Adjustments

The application process for standard adjustments is as follows:

- Requests for standard adjustments will be accepted only for permits pending approval or approved permits that have not yet expired.
- The completed adjustment request application forms must be submitted to DLS-Permitting along with sufficient engineering information (described in Chapter 2) to evaluate the request. The application shall note the specific requirement for which the adjustment is sought.
- If the adjustment request involves use of a previously unapproved construction material or construction practice, the applicant should submit documentation that includes, but is not limited to, a record of successful use by other agencies and/or evidence of meeting criteria for quality and performance, such as that for the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM).
- If the adjustment requires a criteria exception, additional engineering or other information may be required by DLS-Permitting to document that denial of reasonable use would occur, that every effort was made to achieve compliance, and that the best practicable alternative will not cause significant adverse impact.
- A fee reduction may be requested if it is demonstrated that the adjustment request requires little or no engineering review.

Experimental Design Adjustments

The application process is the same as for standard adjustments except that requests will be accepted prior to permit application. Justification supporting comparable performance of the proposed system to a standard design is required.

Blanket Adjustments

There is no application process for establishing blanket adjustments because they are initiated and issued solely by the County.

Applicants may apply to use SWDM web-posted blanket adjustments by submitting the adjustment application form noted above to the DLS-Permitting engineering plan reviewer assigned to the specific project proposal.

1.4.4 ADJUSTMENT REVIEW PROCESS

All adjustments (a.k.a., variances from KCC 9.04) are classified as Type 1 land use decisions in King County Code, Title 20.20, and as such, are governed by the review procedures and time lines set forth in KCC 20.20. Consistent with these procedures, the general steps of the review process for specific types of adjustments are presented as follows.

Standard Adjustments

- DLS-Permitting staff will review the adjustment request application forms and documentation for completeness and inform the applicant in writing as to whether additional information is required from the applicant in order to complete the review. The applicant will also be informed if DLS-Permitting determines that special technical support is required from DNRP in cases where the adjustment involves a major policy issue or potentially impacts a DNRP drainage facility.
- The Development Review Engineer of DLS-Permitting will review and either approve or deny the adjustment request following DLS-Permitting's determination that all necessary information has been received from the applicant.
- If a criteria exception is required for the adjustment, DLS-Permitting will issue a legal public notice of the adjustment request that indicates the director's proposed decision on the request, including the written finding of fact specified in Section 1.4.2 (p. 1-110). The public notice will include a 15-working-day public comment period within which a request for reconsideration may be made to the DLS-Permitting director as described in Section 1.4.5. Absent a request for reconsideration, the director's decision becomes final after the two week public comment period.
- Approvals of standard adjustments will expire upon expiration of the permit to which they apply.

Experimental Design Adjustments

- The County will consider any flow control adjustment request, but will only consider experimental design adjustments for water quality facilities that have been approved by Ecology's TAPE program, and erosion and sediment control facilities that have been deemed by Ecology to be equivalent to facilities they have approved through their CTAPE program.
- DLS-Permitting staff will refer requests for experimental design adjustments to DNRP staff, along with any recommendations.
- All information including but not limited to reports and data submitted to Ecology for their TAPE approvals must be submitted to WLRD. This may require coordination between the manufacturer and the applicant.
- DNRP staff will review the submitted material and any DLS-Permitting staff recommendations, and inform the applicant as to whether additional information is required in order to complete the review. DNRP will also give the applicant an estimate of the time needed to complete the review. There is no guarantee that an experimental adjustment will be granted.
- If a criteria exception is required for the adjustment, DLS-Permitting will issue a legal public notice of the adjustment request that indicates the DNRP's proposed decision on the request, including the written finding of fact specified in Section 1.4.2 (p. 1-110). The public notice will include a 15-working-day public comment period within which a request for reconsideration may be made to the

DNRP director as described in Section 1.4.5. Absent a request for reconsideration, the director's decision becomes final after the 15-working-day public comment period.

- The DNRP director or designee will review and either approve or deny the adjustment request in writing, and this will in turn be communicated to the Applicant by DLS-Permitting in writing.

Blanket Adjustments

Blanket adjustments may be established at the discretion of DLS-Permitting and DNRP. Blanket adjustments are established by memorandum between DLS-Permitting and DNRP based on:

1. Previously approved standard or experimental design adjustments and supporting documentation, AND
2. Experimental adjustment monitoring results in conjunction with any TAPE or CTAPE results AND
3. Information presenting the need for the blanket adjustment. Typically, blanket adjustments should apply globally to design or procedural requirements and be independent of ***site*** conditions.

Both DLS-Permitting and DNRP must approve creation of a blanket adjustment.

Applicants may apply to use SWDM web-posted blanket adjustments by submitting the adjustment application form noted in Section 1.4.3 to the DLS-Permitting engineering plan reviewer assigned to the specific project proposal.

1.4.5 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION PROCEDURE

Although adjustment decisions, classified as Type 1 land use decisions, are not appealable per KCC 20.20, the applicant may request reconsideration of the denial or conditions of approval of an adjustment request by submitting a formal letter to the director of the department in which the decision was made within 15 working days of the decision. This letter must include justification for reconsideration of the decision, along with a copy of the adjustment request with the conditions (if applicable) and a list of all previously submitted material. The department director shall respond to the applicant in writing within 15 working days. The director's decision on the reconsideration request shall be final. A review fee will be charged to the applicant for County review of a reconsideration request.

Criteria Exceptions

A criteria exception decision for an adjustment is also a Type 1 land use decision and thus, is not appealable per KCC 20.20. However, because the public is given an opportunity to comment on a criteria exception decision, they may request reconsideration of the decision by submitting a formal letter to the director of the department in which the decision was made within 15 working days of the legal public notice. This letter must include justification for reconsideration of the decision, along with any supporting information/documentation. The department director shall respond to the letter in writing within 15 working days. The director's decision on the reconsideration request shall be final.

CHAPTER 2
DRAINAGE PLAN

SUBMITTAL
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

Section 2.1	**Plans for Permits and Drainage Review**	**2-3**
Section 2.1.1	Plans Required for Permit Submittal	2-3
Section 2.1.2	Plans Required for Drainage Review	2-3
Section 2.2	**Plans Required with Initial Permit Application**	**2-7**
Section 2.2.1	Subdivision, UPD, and Binding Site Plans	2-7
Section 2.2.2	Short Subdivisions	2-8
Section 2.2.3	Commercial Site Development	2-8
Section 2.2.4	Single Family Residential	2-8
Section 2.2.5	Other Permits	2-8
Section 2.3	**Drainage Review Plan Specifications**	**2-9**
Section 2.3.1	Engineering Plan Specifications	2-10
Section 2.3.2	Projects in Targeted Drainage Review	2-39
Section 2.4	**Plans Required After Drainage Review**	**2-41**
Section 2.4.1	Plan Changes After Permit Issuance	2-41
Section 2.4.2	Final Corrected Plan Submittal	2-41
Section 2.4.3	Final Plat, Short Plat, and Binding Site Plan Submittals	2-42

CHAPTER 2
DRAINAGE PLAN SUBMITTAL

This chapter details the drainage related submittal requirements for engineering design plans as part of a permit application to the Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting). The intent of these requirements is to present consistent formats for design plans and the technical support data required to develop the plans. These conventions are necessary to review engineering designs for compliance with King County ordinances and regulations, and to ensure the intent of the plan is easily understood and implemented in the field. Properly drafted design plans and supporting information also facilitate the construction, operation, and maintenance of the proposed system long after its review and approval. When plans comply with the formats and specifications contained herein, they facilitate review and approval with a minimum of time-consuming corrections and resubmittals.

Note that this chapter primarily describes how to submit drainage plans for review—what must be submitted, in what formats, at what times and to what offices. The basic drainage requirements that these plans must address are contained in Chapter 1, "Drainage Review and Requirements." The specific design methods and criteria to be used are contained in Chapters 3, 4, 5, and 6.

Several key forms used in the plan review process are reproduced in Reference Section 8, "Forms and Worksheets." The drainage submittal requirements for different types of developments are contained in this chapter with the exception of Master Drainage Plans, which are contained in a separate publication titled *Master Drainage Planning for Large or Complex Site Developments*, available from the King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks (DNRP) or DLS-Permitting. For information on general requirements for any permit type and on the appropriate submittal location, refer to the **customer information bulletins** prepared by DLS-Permitting for this purpose.

Chapter Organization

The information presented in this chapter is organized into four main sections as follows:

- Section 2.1, "Plans for Permits and Drainage Review" (p. 2-3)
- Section 2.2, "Plans Required with Initial Permit Application" (p. 2-7)
- Section 2.3, "Drainage Review Plan Specifications" (p. 2-9)
- Section 2.4, "Plans Required After Drainage Review" (p. 2-41).

These sections begin on odd pages so the user can insert tabs if desired for quicker reference.

(This page intentionally left blank)

2.1 PLANS FOR PERMITS AND DRAINAGE REVIEW

DLS-Permitting is responsible for the review of all engineering aspects of private development proposals. Drainage review is a primary concern of engineering design. This section describes the **types of engineered drainage plans** required for engineering review at various permit review stages. *Refer to the DLS-Permitting customer information bulletins for other details or requirements, such as the submittal and expiration periods set for each type of permit application, review fees, right-of-way use requirements, and other code requirements.*

2.1.1 PLANS REQUIRED FOR PERMIT SUBMITTAL

Most projects require some degree of drainage plans or analysis to be submitted with the initial permit application (see Table 2.1.2.A, p. 2-5). Subdivisions, urban plan developments (UPDs), and binding site plans require engineered **preliminary plans** be submitted with the initial permit application. Short plats require **site plans** (may be engineered or non-engineered) to be submitted with the initial permit application. Preliminary plans and site plans provide general information on the proposal, including location of critical areas, road alignments and right-of-way, ***site*** topography, building locations, land use information, and lot dimensions. They are used to determine the appropriate drainage conditions and requirements to be applied to the proposal during the drainage review process.

Single family residential building permits and short plats with one undeveloped lot require only a **site plan** with the initial permit application. Commercial permits require full **engineering plans** (see below). Other permits may have project specific drainage requirements determined by DLS-Permitting or described in DLS-Permitting customer information bulletins.

2.1.2 PLANS REQUIRED FOR DRAINAGE REVIEW

For drainage review purposes, **engineering plans** consist of the following:

1. **Site improvement plans** (see Section 2.3.1.2, p. 2-22), which include all plans, profiles, details, notes, and specifications necessary to construct road, drainage, and off-street parking improvements.
2. A **construction stormwater pollution prevention (CSWPP) plan**, which identifies the measures and BMPs required to prevent the discharge of sediment-laden water and other pollutants associated with construction/***land disturbing activities***. The CSWPP plan includes two component plans: an **erosion and sediment control (ESC) plan** (see Section 2.3.1.3, p. 2-30), which addresses prevention of sediment-laden discharges; and a **stormwater pollution prevention and spill (SWPPS) plan** (see Section 2.3.1.4, p.2-34), which addresses prevention of other pollutant discharges.
3. A **technical information report (TIR)** (see Section 2.3.1.1, p. 2-10), which contains all the technical information and analysis necessary to develop the site improvement plan and CSWPP plan.

Note: A landscape management plan is also included if applicable (see Section 2.3.1.5, p. 2-38).

Projects under Targeted Drainage Review usually require engineering plans, except that only certain sections of the technical information report are required to be completed and the site improvement plan may have a limited scope depending upon the characteristics of the proposed project. The scope of these plans should be confirmed during the **project predesign meeting** with DLS-Permitting. For other permits, such as single family residential permits, the scope of the targeted engineering analysis is usually determined during DLS-Permitting engineering review.

Projects without major drainage improvements may be approved to submit *a modified site improvement plan*. Major drainage improvements usually include water quality or flow control facilities, conveyance systems, bridges, and road right-of-way improvements. For projects requiring engineering plans for road construction, a **modified site improvement plan** is not allowed. See Section 2.3.1.2,

(p. 2-22) for further information.

Plans Required for Simplified Drainage Review

Simplified drainage plans are a simplified form of site improvement and CSWPP plans (without a TIR or a separate SWPPS plan) that may be prepared by a non-engineer from a set of pre-engineered design details. Simplified drainage plans are only allowed for single family or agricultural projects in Simplified Drainage Review but may be required for individual lots created by a subdivision project to show how required flow control BMPs and ESC and SWPPS measures will be applied to future lot construction.

For single family residential permits, the level and scope of drainage plan requirements are determined by DLS-Permitting during drainage review. Some projects subject to Simplified Drainage Review may also require Targeted Drainage Review.

TABLE 2.1.2.A DRAINAGE PLAN SUBMITTALS

Type of Permit or Project	Plans Required with Initial Permit Application	Type of Drainage Review	Plans Required for Drainage Review
SUBDIVISIONS, UPDs, AND BINDING SITE PLANS	Plat Map(5) Preliminary Plans Level 1 Downstream Analysis	Full or Targeted Drainage Review(2)	• Preliminary Plans(5) • Engineering Plans(1)
		Large Project Drainage Review	• Preliminary Plans(5) • Master Drainage Plan(4) or Special Study • Engineering Plans(1)
SHORT PLATS	Site Plan(5)	Simplified Drainage Review	Simplified Drainage Plans(3)
	Site Plan(5) Level 1 Downstream Analysis	Simplified Drainage Review AND Targeted Drainage Review(2)	• Simplified Drainage Plans(3) • Engineering Plans(1)
		Full or Targeted Drainage Review(2)	Engineering Plans(1)
COMMERCIAL PERMITS	Engineering Plans(1),(2)	Full or Targeted Drainage Review	Engineering Plans(1)
SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL BUILDING PERMITS OR PERMITS FOR AGRICULTURAL PROJECTS	Site Plan(5) for Single Family Residential Building Permits Site Plan(5) or other project-specific plan as specified by DLS-Permitting for ***agricultural projects***	Simplified Drainage Review	Simplified Drainage Plans(3)
		Simplified Drainage Review AND Targeted Drainage Review(2) AND Directed Drainage Review(6)	• Simplified Drainage Plans(3) • Engineering Plans(1)(6)
		Full or Targeted Drainage Review(2)	Engineering Plans(1)
OTHER PROJECTS OR PERMITS	Project-specific (contact DLS-Permitting or use DLS-Permitting customer information bulletins)	Full or Targeted Drainage Review(2)	Engineering Plans(1)

Notes:

(1) Submittal specifications for **engineering plans** are detailed in Section 2.3.1 (p. 2-10).

(2) Submittal specifications for **Targeted Drainage Review** are found in Section 2.3.2 (p. 2-39).

(3) Specifications for submittal of **Simplified drainage plans** are found in Appendix C, *Simplified Drainage Requirements* (detached).

(4) Specifications for submittal of **master drainage plans or special studies** are found in the King County publication titled *Master Drainage Planning for Large or Complex Site Developments.*

(5) Submittal specifications for these plans are found in the application packages and in DLS-Permitting Customer information Bulletins.

(6) Scope of submittals for **Directed Drainage Review** is determined by DLS-Permitting review staff in a preapplication meeting with the applicant. Submittal specifications per Notes 1, 2, and 3.

2.2 PLANS REQUIRED WITH INITIAL PERMIT APPLICATION

This section describes the submittal requirements for initial permit applications at DLS-Permitting. The **timing for submittal** of engineering plans will vary depending on permit type. For subdivisions and short plats, this submittal usually follows the County's approval of preliminary plans. For commercial building permits, engineering plans must be submitted as part of the initial permit application. For other permit types the drainage plan requirements are determined during the permit review process.

Note: If engineering plans are required to be submitted with the initial permit application, they must be accompanied by the appropriate supporting documents (e.g., required application forms, an environmental checklist, etc.). For more details, see DLS-Permitting's customer information bulletins.

Design Plan Certification

All preliminary plans and engineering plans must be stamped by a ***civil engineer***.

All land boundary surveys and legal descriptions used for preliminary and engineering plans must be stamped by a **land surveyor** licensed in the State of Washington. Topographic survey data and mapping prepared specifically for a proposed project may be performed by the ***civil engineer*** stamping the engineering plans as allowed by the Washington State Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors.

2.2.1 SUBDIVISION, UPD, AND BINDING SITE PLANS

Applications for proposed subdivision, UPD, and binding site plan projects must include engineered **preliminary plans**, which are used to help determine engineering plan requirements to recommend to the Hearing Examiner. Preliminary plans shall include the following:

1. **A conceptual drainage plan** prepared, stamped, and signed by a ***civil engineer***. This plan must show the location and type of the following:

   a) Existing and proposed flow control facilities

   b) Existing and proposed water quality facilities

   c) Existing and proposed conveyance systems.

   The level of detail of the plan should correspond to the complexity of the project.

2. **A Level 1 Downstream Analysis** as required in Core Requirement #2 and outlined under "TIR Section 3, Offsite Analysis" (p. 2-12). This offsite analysis shall be submitted in order to assess potential offsite drainage and water quality impacts associated with development of the project, and to help propose appropriate mitigation of those impacts. A higher level of offsite analysis may be requested by DPER prior to preliminary approval, or as a condition of engineering plan submittal. The offsite analysis must be prepared, stamped, and signed by a ***civil engineer***.

3. **Survey/topographic information.** The submitted ***site*** plan and conceptual drainage plan shall include the following:

   a) Field topographic base map to accompany application (aerial topography allowed with DLS-Permitting permission)

   b) Name and address of surveyor and surveyor's seal and signature

   c) Notation for field or aerial survey

   d) Datum and benchmark/location and basis of elevation

e) Location of all critical areas (include the King County designation number, or identify as undesignated)

f) Contour intervals per the following chart:

Zoning Designation	Contour Intervals
Densities of developed area of over 2 DU per acre	2 feet at less than 15% slope 5 feet at 15% slope or more
Densities of developed area of 2 DU or less per acre	5 feet

2.2.2 SHORT SUBDIVISIONS

Applications for proposed short plats[1] require a proposed **site plan** drawn to scale showing geographic features such as adjacent streets, existing buildings, and critical areas if any are known to be present; and a **Level 1 Downstream Analysis**. Site plans are usually engineered, except for projects exempt from drainage review or projects subject to Simplified Drainage Review for the entire project. The specifications for submittal of site plans are outlined in DLS-Permitting customer information bulletins.

The Level 1 Downstream Analysis is required for all short plats except those meeting the exemptions outlined in Section 1.2.2 or those subject to Simplified Drainage Review for the entire project. A higher level of offsite analysis may be requested by DLS-Permitting prior to preliminary approval, or as a condition of engineering plan submittal.

2.2.3 COMMERCIAL SITE DEVELOPMENT

Applications for commercial permits require that **engineering plans** be submitted as part of the initial permit application. Most commercial projects will go through Full Drainage Review and require complete engineering plans. Projects that qualify for limited scope engineering design should request Targeted Drainage Review during the preapplication meeting with DLS-Permitting.

2.2.4 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL

Applications for single family residential permits[1] require a non-engineered **site plan** to be submitted. The specifications for site plans are outlined in DLS-Permitting customer information bulletins.

2.2.5 OTHER PERMITS

Other permit applications[1] will require project-specific information. Initial submittal requirements can be obtained by contacting DLS-Permitting or consulting the DLS-Permitting customer information bulletins.

[1] The specific level of required drainage analysis and design is usually determined during the preliminary drainage review of the plans submitted with the application. The overall plan review process may be expedited if the project is submitted with the appropriate level of detail.

2.3 DRAINAGE REVIEW PLAN SPECIFICATIONS

This section presents the specifications and contents required of plans to facilitate drainage review. Most projects subject to **Full Drainage Review** will require engineering plans that include a "technical information report (TIR)," "site improvement plans," and a "construction stormwater pollution prevention (CSWPP) plan," which includes an "erosion and sediment control (ESC) plan" and a "stormwater pollution prevention and spill (SWPPS) plan." In addition, a "landscape management plan" may also be required to comply with Core Requirement #8 (see Section 1.2.8). For more information on the types of projects subject to Full Drainage Review, see Section 1.1.2.4.

Small projects with specific drainage concerns that are subject to **Targeted Drainage Review** also require engineering plans that include the same elements, except that the TIR may be of limited scope. The site improvement plans, ESC and SWPPS plans may also be of limited scope, but must meet all applicable specifications. For more information on the types of projects subject to Targeted Drainage Review, see Section 1.1.2.2.

Projects subject to **Simplified Drainage Review** may be required to submit "Simplified drainage plans." These are simplified drainage and erosion control plans that may be prepared by a non-engineer from a set of pre-engineered design details, and which do not require a TIR or a separate SWPPS plan. The *Simplified Drainage Requirements* booklet available at DLS-Permitting and appended to this manual (detached Appendix C) contains the specifications for Simplified drainage plans and details on the Simplified Drainage Review process.

Note: Projects in Simplified Drainage Review may be required to submit engineering plans if they are also subject to Targeted Drainage Review as determined in Section 1.1.2.2 and Appendix C. Also, short plats in Simplified Drainage Review will be required to submit engineering plans if roadway construction is a condition of preliminary approval.

Agricultural and single family residential projects that do not qualify for Simplified Drainage Review may qualify for **Directed Drainage Review**, which requires a specialized list of submittals (plans, technical reports, etc.) and engineering requirements determined by DLS-Permitting permit review staff that ensures compliance with all core and special requirements of the SWDM. The scope of the submittal requirement is determined in a preapplication meeting with the applicant and DLS-Permitting review staff. Specifications for the plans and TIR generally follow those described for the other review types but may be reduced in scope or complexity in accordance with DLS-Permitting's determination.

Design Plan Certification

All preliminary plans and engineering plans must be stamped by a ***civil engineer***.

All land boundary surveys, and legal descriptions used for preliminary and engineering plans must be stamped by a **land surveyor** licensed in the State of Washington. Topographic survey data and mapping prepared specifically for a proposed project may be performed by the ***civil engineer*** stamping the engineering plans as allowed by the Washington State Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors.

2.3.1 ENGINEERING PLAN SPECIFICATIONS

For drainage review purposes, **engineering plans** must consist of the following:

1. A **TIR** as detailed in Section 2.3.1.1 (p. 2-10), AND
2. **Site improvement plans** as detailed in Section 2.3.1.2 (p. 2-22), AND
3. A **CSWPP plan**, which includes an ESC plan as detailed in Section 2.3.1.3 (p. 2-30) and a SWPPS plan as detailed in Section 2.3.1.4 (p. 2-34).
4. Also, if applicable per Section 1.2.8, a **landscape management plan,** as detailed in Section 2.3.1.5 (p. 2-38), must be included.

Projects in Targeted Drainage Review require a limited scope TIR with site improvement plans and a CSWPP plan, as detailed in Section 2.3.2 (p. 2-39). DLS-Permitting may allow a **modified site improvement plan** for some projects in Targeted Drainage Review (see Section 2.3.2, p. 2-39) or where major improvements (e.g., detention facilities, conveyance systems, bridges, road right-of-way improvements, etc.) are not proposed.

2.3.1.1 TECHNICAL INFORMATION REPORT (TIR)

The full TIR is a comprehensive supplemental report containing all technical information and analysis necessary to develop the site improvement plan. This report shall contain all calculations, conceptual design analysis, reports, and studies required and used to construct a complete site improvement plan based on sound engineering practices and careful geotechnical and hydrological design. The TIR must be stamped and dated by a ***civil engineer***.

The TIR shall contain the following **ten sections**, preceded by a table of contents:

1. Project Overview
2. Conditions and Requirements Summary
3. Offsite Analysis
4. Flow Control, Low Impact Development (LID) and Water Quality Facility Analysis and Design
5. Conveyance System Analysis and Design
6. Special Reports and Studies
7. Other Permits
8. CSWPP Analysis and Design
9. Bond Quantities, Facility Summaries, and Declaration of Covenant
10. Operations and Maintenance Manual.

Every TIR must contain each of these sections; however, if a section does not apply, the applicant may simply mark "N/A" and a brief explanation shall be provided. This standardized format allows a quicker, more efficient review of information required to supplement the site improvement plan.

The **table of contents** should include a list of the ten section headings and their respective page numbers, a list of tables with page numbers, and a list of numbered references, attachments, and appendices.

When the TIR package requires **revisions**, the revisions must be submitted in a complete TIR package.

❑ TIR SECTION 1 PROJECT OVERVIEW

The project overview must provide a general description of the proposal, predeveloped and developed ***site*** conditions, ***site*** and ***project site*** area, size of the improvements, and the disposition of stormwater runoff

before and after development. The overview shall identify and discuss difficult ***site*** parameters, the natural drainage system, and drainage to and from adjacent property, including bypass flows.

The following figures are required:

Figure 1. TIR Worksheet

Include a copy of the TIR Worksheet (see Reference Section 8-A).

Figure 2. Site Location

Provide a map that shows the general location of the ***site***. Identify all roads that border the ***site*** and all significant geographic features and critical areas (lakes, streams, steep slopes, etc.).

Figure 3. Drainage Basins, Subbasins, and Site Characteristics

This figure shall display the following:

1. Show acreage of subbasins.
2. Identify all ***site*** characteristics.
3. Show existing discharge points to and from the ***site***.
4. Show routes of existing, construction, and future flows at all discharge points and downstream hydraulic structures.
5. Use a minimum USGS 1:2400 topographic map as a base for the figure.
6. Show (and cite) the length of travel from the farthest upstream end of a proposed storm system in the development to any proposed flow control facility.

Figure 4. Soils

Show the soils within the following areas:

1. The ***project site***
2. The area draining to the ***site***
3. The drainage system downstream of the ***site*** for the distance of the downstream analysis (see Section 1.2.2).

Copies of King County Soil Survey **maps** may be used; however, if the maps do not accurately represent the soils for a proposed project (including offsite areas of concern), it is the design engineer's responsibility to ensure that the actual soil types are properly mapped. Soil classification symbols that conform to the *SCS Soil Survey for King County* shall be used; and the equivalent soil type (till, outwash, or wetlands) per the approved stormwater model shall be indicated (see Table 3.2.2.B).

Subdivision projects will need to evaluate the soils on each lot for applicability of the full infiltration and other low impact flow control BMPs as specified in Core Requirement 9. This soils report, as well as geotechnical investigations necessary for proposed infiltration facilities, shall be referenced in the TIR Overview and submitted under Special Reports and Studies, TIR Section VI. A figure in the required geotechnical report that meets the above requirements may be referenced to satisfy 1, 2, and 3 above.

Projects located in outwash soils may need to provide a **low-permeability liner** or a **treatment liner** for water quality facilities and upstream conveyance ditches, consistent with the specifications for such liners in Section 6.2.4.

❑ TIR SECTION 2
CONDITIONS AND REQUIREMENTS SUMMARY

The intent of this section is to ensure all preliminary approval conditions and applicable requirements pertaining to ***site*** engineering issues have been addressed in the site improvement plan. All conditions and

requirements for the proposed project shall be included.

In addition to the core requirements of this manual, adopted basin plans and other plans as listed in Special Requirement #1 should be reviewed and applicable requirements noted. Additionally, critical area requirements, conditions of plat approval, and conditions associated with development requirements (e.g., conditional use permits, rezones, variances and adjustments, SEPA mitigations, etc.) shall be included.

❑ TIR SECTION 3 OFFSITE ANALYSIS

All projects in engineering review shall complete, at a minimum, an Offsite Analysis, except for projects meeting the exemptions outlined in Section 1.2.2. The Offsite Analysis is usually completed as part of the initial permit application and review process, and is to be included in the TIR. *Note: If offsite conditions have been altered since the initial submittal, a new offsite analysis may be required.*

The primary component of the offsite analysis is the **downstream analysis** described in detail below. Upstream areas are included in this component to the extent they are expected to be affected by backwater effects from the proposed project. Other components of the offsite analysis could include, but are not limited to, evaluation of impacts to fish habitat, groundwater levels, groundwater quality, or other environmental features expected to be significantly impacted by the proposed project due to its size or proximity to such features.

Levels of Analysis

The offsite analysis report requirements vary depending on the specific ***site*** and downstream conditions. Each project submittal shall include at least a Level 1 downstream analysis. Upon review of the Level 1 analysis, DLS-Permitting may require a Level 2 or Level 3 analysis. If conditions warrant, additional, more detailed analysis may be required. *Note: Potential impacts upstream of the proposal shall also be evaluated*.

Level 1 Analysis

The Level 1 analysis is a qualitative survey of each downstream system leaving a ***site***. This analysis is required for all proposed projects and shall be submitted with the initial permit application. Depending on the findings of the Level 1 analysis, a Level 2 or 3 analysis may need to be completed or additional information may be required. If further analysis is required, the applicant may schedule a meeting with DLS-Permitting staff.

Level 2 or 3 Analysis

If drainage problems are identified in the Level 1 analysis, a Level 2 (rough quantitative) analysis or a Level 3 (more precise quantitative) analysis may be required to further evaluate proposed mitigation for the problem. DLS-Permitting staff will determine whether a Level 2 or 3 analysis is required based on the evidence of existing or potential drainage problems identified in the Level 1 analysis and on the proposed design of onsite drainage facilities. The Level 3 analysis is required when results need to be as accurate as possible: for example, if the ***site*** is flat; if the system is affected by downstream controls; if minor changes in the drainage system could flood roads or buildings; or if the proposed project will contribute more than 15 percent of the total peak flow to the drainage problem location. The Level 2 or 3 analysis may not be required if DLS-Permitting determines from the Level 1 analysis that adequate mitigation will be provided.

Additional Analysis

Additional, more detailed hydrologic analysis may be required if DLS-Permitting determines that the downstream analysis has not been sufficient to accurately determine the impacts of a proposed project on an existing or potential drainage problem. This more detailed analysis may include a **point of compliance analysis** as detailed in Section 3.3.6.

Scope of Analysis

Regardless of the level of downstream analysis required, the applicant shall define and map the study area (Task 1), review resources (Task 2), inspect the study area (Task 3), describe the drainage system and problems (Task 4), and propose mitigation measures (Task 5) as described below.

Task 1. Study Area Definition and Maps

For the purposes of Task 2 below, the study area shall extend downstream one mile (minimum flowpath distance) from the proposed project discharge location and shall extend upstream as necessary to encompass the offsite drainage area tributary to the proposed ***project site***. **For the purposes of Tasks 3, 4, and 5,** the study area shall extend downstream to a point on the drainage system where the proposed ***project site*** constitutes less than 15 percent of the total tributary drainage area, but not less than one-quarter mile (minimum flowpath distance). The study area shall also extend upstream of the ***project site*** a distance sufficient to preclude any backwater effects from the proposed project.

The offsite analysis shall include a **site map** showing property lines, and the **best available topographical map** (e.g., from DLS-Permitting, Department of Transportation Map and Records Center, Sewer District, or at a minimum a USGS 1:24000 Quadrangle Topographic map) with the study area boundaries, ***site*** boundaries, downstream flowpath for a distance of one mile, and potential/existing problems (Task 4) shown. Other maps, diagrams, photographs and aerial photos may be helpful in describing the study area.

Task 2. Resource Review

To assist the design engineer in preparing an offsite analysis, King County has gathered information regarding existing and potential flooding, erosion, and water quality problems. For all levels of analysis, all of the resources described below shall be reviewed for existing/potential problems in the study area (*upstream and one mile downstream of the* ***project site***):

- Adopted **basin plans** available at DLS-Permitting, DNRP, and the library. For areas where there is no adopted **basin plan**, **Basin Reconnaissance Summary Reports** may be useful.
- Floodplain/floodway (**FEMA**) **maps** available at King County DNRP and the library.
- Other **offsite analysis reports** in the same subbasin, if available (check with DLS-Permitting records staff).
- **Sensitive Areas Folio** available at DLS-Permitting, DNRP, and the library (see also "Sensitive Areas" on the iMap website: kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap or its successor for critical areas) must be used to document the distance downstream from the proposed project to the nearest critical areas.
- DNRP **drainage complaints[2] and studies** available at DNRP Water and Land Resources Division. Call 206-477-4811 for information or to schedule an appointment. See also "Stormwater" on the iMap website: kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap.
- **Road drainage problems** (check with the KCDOT Roads Maintenance and Operations Division 206-477-8100).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, ***King County Soils Survey*** available at DLS-Permitting and the library.
- **Wetlands Inventory** maps available at DLS-Permitting and DNRP.
- **Migrating river studies** available at DLS-Permitting and the DNRP Water and Land Resources Division.

[2] *Note: drainage complaints that are more than 10 years old are not required for Level 1 downstream analysis.*

- Washington State Department of Ecology's latest published Clean Water Act **Section 303(d)/305(b) polluted waters** posted at the following websites: https://ecology.wa.gov/Water-Shorelines/Water-quality/Water-improvement/Assessment-of-state-waters-303d and https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/ApprovedWQA/ApprovedPages/ApprovedSearch.aspx.
- **King County designated water quality problems** listed and documented in the latest version of Reference Section 10 posted on King County's *Surface Water Design Manual* website. See also "Stormwater" on the iMap website: kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap.
- Adopted **stormwater compliance plans** available at DNRP Water and Land Resources Division.

Potential/existing problems identified in the above documents shall be documented in the **Drainage System Table** (see Reference Section 8-B) as well as described in the text of the **Level 1 Downstream Analysis Report**. If a document is not available for the ***site***, note in the report that the information was not available as of a particular date. If necessary, additional resources are available from King County, the Washington State Department of Fisheries and Wildlife (WDFW), the State Department of Ecology (Ecology), the United States Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), and the public works departments of other municipalities in the vicinity of the proposed ***project site***.

Task 3. Field Inspection

The design engineer shall physically inspect the existing on- and offsite drainage systems of the study area for each discharge location. Specifically, he/she shall investigate any evidence of the following existing or potential problems and drainage features:

Level 1 Inspection:

1. Investigate any problems reported or observed during the resource review.
2. Locate all existing/potential constrictions or lack of capacity in the existing drainage system.
3. Identify all existing/potential downstream drainage problems as defined in Section 1.2.2.1.
4. Identify existing/potential overtopping, scouring, bank sloughing, or sedimentation.
5. Identify significant destruction of aquatic habitat or organisms (e.g., severe siltation, bank erosion, or incision in a stream).
6. Collect qualitative data on features such as land use, impervious surfaces, topography, and soil types.
7. Collect information on pipe sizes, channel characteristics, drainage structures, and relevant critical areas (e.g., wetlands, streams, steep slopes).
8. Verify tributary basins delineated in Task 1.
9. Contact neighboring property owners or residents in the area about past or existing drainage problems, and describe these in the report (optional).
10. Note the date and weather conditions at the time of the inspection.

Level 2 or 3 Inspection:

1. Perform a Level 1 Inspection.
2. Document ***existing site conditions*** (approved drainage systems or pre-1979 aerial photographs) as defined in Core Requirement #3.
3. Collect quantitative field data. For Level 2, conduct rough field survey using hand tape, hand level, and rod; for Level 3, collect field survey profile and cross-section topographic data prepared by an experienced surveyor.

Task 4. Drainage System Description and Problem Descriptions

Each drainage system component and problem shall be addressed in the offsite analysis report in three places: on a map (Task 1), in the narrative (Task 4), and in the *Offsite Analysis Drainage System Table* (see Reference Section 8-B).

Drainage System Descriptions: The following information about drainage system components such as pipes, culverts, bridges, outfalls, ponds, tanks, and vaults shall be included in the report:

1. Location (corresponding map label and distance downstream/upstream from ***site*** discharge)
2. Physical description (type, size, length, slope, vegetation, and land cover)
3. Problems including copies of any relevant drainage complaints
4. Field observations.

Problem Descriptions: All existing or potential drainage and water quality problems (e.g., ponding water, high/low flows, siltation, erosion, listed water bodies, etc.) identified in the resource review or field inspection shall be described in the offsite analysis. These descriptions will help in determining if such problems require special attention per Core Requirement #2 (see Section 1.2.2.1) because they are one of three defined drainage problem types or one of seven defined water quality problem types. Special attention may include more analysis, additional flow control, or other onsite or offsite mitigation measures as specified by the problem-specific mitigation requirements set forth in Sections 1.2.2.2 and 1.2.2.3.

The following information shall be provided for each existing or potential **drainage problem**:

1. Description of the problem (ponding water, high or low flows, siltation, erosion, slides, etc.).
2. Magnitude of or damage caused by the drainage problem (siltation of ponds, dried-up ornamental ponds, road inundation, flooded property, flooded building, flooded septic system, significant destruction of aquatic habitat or organisms).
3. General frequency and duration of drainage problem (dates and times the problem occurred, if available).
4. Return frequency of storm or flow (cfs) of the water when the problem occurs (optional for Level 1 and required for Levels 2 and 3). *Note: A Level 2 or 3 analysis may be required to accurately identify the return frequency of a particular downstream problem; see Section 3.3.3.*
5. Water surface elevation when the problem occurs (e.g., elevation of building foundation, crest of roadway, elevation of septic drainfields, or wetland/stream high water mark).
6. Names and concerns of involved parties (optional for all levels of analysis).
7. Current mitigation of the drainage problem.
8. Possible cause of the drainage problem.
9. Whether the proposed project is likely to aggravate (increase the frequency or severity of) the existing drainage problem or create a new one based on the above information. For example, an existing erosion problem should **not** be aggravated if Level 2 flow control is already required in the region for the design of onsite flow control facilities. Conversely, a downstream flooding problem inundating a home every 2 to 5 years will likely be aggravated if only Level 1 flow control is being applied in the region. See Section 1.2.3.1 for more details on the effectiveness of flow control standards in addressing downstream problems.

The following information shall be provided for each existing or potential **water quality problem**:

1. Description of the problem as documented by the State or County in the problem's listing. This should include the pollutant or pollutants of concern, the nature or category of the listing, and any other background information provided in the listing.

2. Flow path distance downstream of the ***project site*** and percentage of area draining to the problem that the ***project site*** occupies.
3. Possible or probable cause of the water quality problem.
4. Any current mitigation of the water quality problem.

Task 5. Mitigation of Existing or Potential Problems

For any existing or potential offsite **drainage problem** determined to be one of the three defined problem types in Section 1.2.2.1, the design engineer must demonstrate that the proposed project neither aggravates (if existing) nor creates the problem as specified in the drainage problem-specific mitigation requirements set forth in Section 1.2.2.2. The engineer must review each relevant drainage complaint found and include a narrative explaining how each complaint problems is addressed or mitigated. Actual copies of the relevant complaints must be included in the Analysis. To meet these requirements, the proposed project may need to provide additional onsite flow control as specified in Table 1.2.3.A (see also Section 3.3.5), or other onsite or offsite mitigation measures as described in Section 3.3.5.

For any existing or potential **water quality problem** determined to be one of the seven defined water quality problem types in Section 1.2.2.1, the design engineer must document how the applicable water quality problem-specific mitigation requirement in Section 1.2.2.3 will be met.

❑ TIR SECTION 4 FLOW CONTROL, LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT (LID) AND WATER QUALITY FACILITY ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

Existing Site Hydrology (Part A)

This section of the TIR shall include a discussion of assumptions and ***site*** parameters used in analyzing the existing ***site*** hydrology.

The acreage, soil types, and land covers used to determine existing flow characteristics, along with basin maps, graphics, and exhibits for each subbasin affected by the development, shall be included.

The following information must be provided on a topographical map:

1. Delineation and acreage of areas contributing runoff to the ***site***
2. Flow control facility and BMP location(s)
3. Outfall(s)
4. Overflow route(s).

The scale of the map and the contour intervals must be sufficient to determine the basin and subbasin boundaries accurately. The direction of flow, the acreage of areas contributing drainage, and the limits of development shall all be indicated on the map.

Each subbasin contained within or flowing through the ***site*** shall be individually labeled and parameters for the approved stormwater model referenced to that subbasin.

All natural streams and drainage features, including wetlands and depressions, must be shown. Rivers, closed depressions, streams, lakes, and wetlands must have the 100-year floodplain (and floodway where applicable) delineated as required in Special Requirement #2 (see Section 1.3.2) and by the critical areas requirements in KCC 21A.24.

Developed Site Hydrology (Part B)

This section shall provide narrative, mathematical, and graphical presentations of parameters selected and values used for the developed ***site*** conditions, including acreage, soil types and land covers, roadway layouts, and all constructed drainage facilities and any required flow control BMPs.

Developed subbasin areas and flows shall be clearly depicted on a map and cross-referenced to computer printouts or calculation sheets. Relevant portions of the calculations shall be highlighted and tabulated in a listing of all developed subbasin flows.

All maps, exhibits, graphics, and references used to determine developed ***site*** hydrology must be included, maintaining the same subbasin labeling as used for the existing ***site*** hydrology whenever possible. If the boundaries of the subbasin have been modified under the developed condition, the labeling should be modified accordingly (e.g., Subbasin "Am" is a modified version of existing Subbasin "A").

Performance Standards (Part C)

The design engineer shall include brief discussions of the following:

- The applicable **area-specific flow control facility standard** determined from the Flow Control Applications Map per Section 1.2.3.1, any modifications to the standard to address onsite or offsite drainage conditions, and applicable **flow control BMP requirements** determined from Section 1.2.3.3 and Core Requirement 9;
- The applicable **conveyance system capacity standards** per Section 1.2.4; and
- The applicable **area-specific water quality treatment menu** determined from the Water Quality Applications Map per Section 1.2.8.1, and any applicable special requirements for **source control** or **oil control** determined from Sections 1.3.4 and 1.3.5.

Flow Control System (Part D)

This section requires:

- An **illustrative sketch** of the flow control facility (or facilities), required flow control BMPs, and appurtenances. The facility sketch (or sketches) must show basic measurements necessary to calculate the storage volumes available from zero to the maximum head, all orifice/restrictor sizes and head relationships, control structure/restrictor orientation to the facility, and facility orientation on the ***site***. The flow control BMP sketch (or sketches) must show basic measurements and dimensions, orientation on the ***site***, flowpath lengths, etc.
- The applicant shall include all **supporting documentation** such as computer printouts, calculations, equations, references, storage/volume tables, graphs, soils data, geotechnical reports and any other aides necessary to clearly show results and methodology used to determine the storage facility volumes and flow control BMP applications.
- **Facility documentation** files, flow duration comparison files, peaks files, return frequency or duration curves, etc., developed with the approved model shall be included to verify the facility meets the performance standards indicated in Part C.
- The **volumetric safety factor** used in the design shall be clearly identified, as well as the reasoning used by the design engineer in selecting the safety factor for this project.
- If **flow control BMP credits** are used as allowed in Core Requirement 9, documentation must be provided, explaining how the credits will be used and how the criteria for use of credits will be met.
- If the flow control system is an infiltration facility, the soils data, groundwater mounding analysis, and other calculations used to determine the **design infiltration rate** shall be provided.
- **Flow control BMP infeasibility** discussion and supporting documentation shall also be included in Part D.

Water Quality System (Part E)

This section requires an **illustrative sketch** of the proposed water quality facility (or facilities), source controls, oil controls, and appurtenances. This sketch (or sketches) of the facility, source controls, and oil controls must show basic measurements and dimensions, orientation on the ***site***, location of inflow, bypass, and discharge systems, etc.

The applicant shall also include all **supporting documentation** such as computer printouts, calculations, equations, references, and graphs necessary to show the facility was designed and sized in accordance with the specifications and requirements in Chapter 6. If the **water quality credit option** is used as allowed in Section 6.1.3, documentation must be provided, identifying the actions that will be taken to acquire the requisite credits.

❑ TIR SECTION 5 CONVEYANCE SYSTEM ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

This section shall present a detailed analysis of any existing conveyance systems, and the analysis and design of the proposed stormwater collection and conveyance system for the development. This section also includes any analysis required for the design of bridges to convey flows and pass sediments and debris per Section 4.4.3. Analysis information should be presented in a clear, concise manner that can be easily followed, checked, and verified. All pipes, culverts, catch basins, channels, swales, and other stormwater conveyance appurtenances must be clearly labeled and correspond directly to the engineering plans.

The **minimum information** included shall be pipe flow tables, flow profile computation tables, nomographs, charts, graphs, detail drawings, and other tabular or graphic aides used to design and confirm performance of the conveyance system.

Verification of capacity and performance must be provided for each element of the conveyance system. The analysis must show design velocities and flows for all drainage facilities within the development, as well as those offsite that are affected by the development. If the final design results are on a computer printout, a separate summary tabulation of conveyance system performance shall also be provided.

❑ TIR SECTION 6 SPECIAL REPORTS AND STUDIES

Some ***site*** characteristics, such as steep slopes or wetlands, pose unique road and drainage design problems that are particularly sensitive to stormwater runoff. As a result, King County may require the preparation of special reports and studies that further address the ***site*** characteristics, the potential for impacts associated with the development, and the measures that would be implemented to mitigate impacts. Special reports shall be prepared by people with expertise in the particular area of analysis. **Topics of special reports** may include any of the following:

- Floodplain delineation in accordance with Section 1.3.2
- Flood protection facility conformance in accordance with Section 1.3.3
- Critical areas analysis and delineation
- Geotechnical/soils (soils documentation supporting flow control BMP design, infiltration rate determination and infeasibility conclusions may also be located in TIR Section 6)
- Groundwater, including groundwater mounding analyses required for infiltration design
- Slope protection/stability
- Erosion and deposition
- Geology
- Hydrology
- Fluvial geomorphology
- Anadromous fisheries impacts
- Water quality
- Structural design
- Structural fill.

❑ TIR SECTION 7 OTHER PERMITS

Construction of road and drainage facilities may require additional permits from other agencies for some projects. These additional permits may contain more restrictive drainage plan requirements. This section of the TIR should provide the titles of any other permits, the agencies requiring the other permits, and the permit requirements that affect the drainage plan. Examples of other permits are listed in Section 1.1.3. If a UIC well registration is required, a copy must be provided.

❑ TIR SECTION 8 CSWPP PLAN ANALYSIS AND DESIGN

This section of the TIR should include the analysis and design information used to prepare the required **construction stormwater pollution prevention (CSWPP) plan**. This information should be presented in two parts associated with the CSWPP plan's two component plans, the erosion sediment control (ESC) plan (Part A) and the stormwater pollution prevention and spill control (SWPPS) plan (Part B). See Sections 2.3.1.3 and 2.3.1.4 for plan specifications and contents. This CSWPP plan is intended to be equivalent to and may be more stringent than that required for the NPDES Stormwater Construction Permit issued by Ecology.

ESC Plan Analysis and Design (Part A)

This section must include all hydrologic and hydraulic information used to analyze and design the erosion and sediment control measures, including final ***site*** stabilization measures. The TIR shall explain how proposed ESC measures comply with the *Erosion and Sediment Control Standards* in detached Appendix D and show compliance with the implementation requirements of Core Requirement #5, Section 1.2.5.

Part A must include the following:

1. Provide sufficient information to **justify** the overall ESC plan and the choice of individual ESC measures. At a minimum, there shall be a discussion of each of the measures specified in Section 1.2.5 and their applicability to the proposed project.
2. Include all **hydrologic and hydraulic information** used to analyze and size the ESC facilities shown in the engineering plans. Describe the methodology, and attach any graphics or sketches used to size the facilities.
3. Identify areas with a particularly **high susceptibility to erosion** because of slopes or soils, as well as areas to be protected for existing and proposed flow control BMPs. Discuss any special measures taken to protect these areas as well as any special measures proposed to protect water resources on or near the ***site***.
4. Identify any ESC recommendations in any of the **special reports** prepared for the project. In the project geotechnical report supporting flow control BMP design, provide recommendations to address mitigation of flow control BMP areas impacted by erosion and/or sedimentation during construction. If these special reports' recommendations are not included in the ESC plan, provide justification.
5. If proposing **exceptions or modifications** to the standards detailed in the *Erosion and Sediment Control Standards* in detached Appendix D, clearly present the rationale. If proposing techniques or products different from those detailed in the *ESC Standards*, provide supporting documentation so the County can determine if the proposed alternatives provide similar protection.

SWPPS Plan Design (Part B)

The **stormwater pollution prevention and spill control plan** must identify all activities that could contribute pollutants to surface and storm water during construction. This section of the TIR must provide sufficient information to justify the selection of specific stormwater pollution prevention (SWPPS) BMPs proposed to be applied to the pollution-generating activities that will occur with construction of the proposed project. BMPs applicable to such activities are found in the *Construction Stormwater Pollution*

Prevention and Spill Control (CSWPP) Standards (detached Appendix D) and the *King County Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual* (viewable at kingcounty.gov/sppm) adopted pursuant to KCC 9.12.

At a minimum, there shall be a discussion of each anticipated pollution-generating activity and the pollution prevention BMPs selected to address it. If there are any **calculations** required for the selected BMP, include those in the discussion. If an **alternative BMP** or major modification to one of the County's standard BMPs will be used, a written request must be submitted for review and approval, detailing how the alternative will work. An "Alternative BMP Request Form" is available in the *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual*.

Updates or revisions to the SWPPS plan may be requested by King County at any time during project construction if the County determines that pollutants generated on the construction site have the potential to contaminate surface, storm, or ground water.

The SWPPS plan shall also **discuss the receiving waters**, especially if the receiving water body is listed on the **303d list**. Information must be provided that shows the plan meets **TMDL requirements**. Discuss the 303(d) listed pollutant generated or used onsite and any special handling requirements or BMPs.

❑ TIR SECTION 9
BOND QUANTITIES, FACILITY SUMMARIES, AND DECLARATION OF COVENANT

Bond Quantities Worksheet

Each plan submittal requires a construction quantity summary to establish appropriate bond amounts. Using the *Site Improvement Bond Quantities Worksheet* furnished by DLS-Permitting (see kingcounty.gov/depts/local-services/permits/infosheets-forms/permit-application-forms-title.#S) and locate by title under "S"), the design engineer shall separate existing right-of-way and erosion control quantities from other onsite improvements. In addition, the design engineer shall total the amounts based on the unit prices listed on the form.

Drainage facilities for single family residential building permits, which are normally not bonded, shall be constructed and approved prior to granting the certificate of occupancy.

Flow Control and Water Quality Facility Summary Sheet and Sketch

Following approval of the plans, a *Flow Control and Water Quality Facility Summary Sheet and Sketch* (see Reference 8-D) shall be submitted along with an $8^1/_2$" x 11" plan sketch for each facility proposed for construction. The plan shall show a north arrow, the tract, the facility access road, the extent of the facility, and the control structure location. The approximate street address shall be noted. At project completion, the Summary Sheet and Sketch shall be updated in the Final Corrected TIR to reflect the completed project (see Section 2.4.2).

Declaration of Covenant for Privately Maintained Flow Control and WQ Facilities

Any declaration of covenant and grant of easement required for proposed flow control and water quality facilities per Section 1.2.6 must be included here for **review and approval before recording**. The necessary covenant exhibits, and maintenance instructions associated with the facility type (see Reference 8M), shall be included with the declaration of covenant. **After approval by DLS-Permitting**, the declaration of covenant and grant of easement must be signed and recorded at the office of King County Records and Elections before any permit is approved. A copy of the recorded document shall be included in the Final Corrected TIR (see Section 2.4.2).

Declaration of Covenant for Privately Maintained Flow Control BMPs

Any declarations of covenant and grant of easement required for proposed flow control BMPs per Core Requirement 9 must be included here for **review and approval before recording**. The necessary covenant exhibits, and maintenance instructions associated with the flow control BMP type (see Reference

8M), shall be included with the declaration of covenant. **After approval by DLS-Permitting**, all such documents must be signed and recorded at the office of King County Records and Elections before any permit is approved. A copy of the recorded document shall be included in the Final Corrected TIR (see Section 2.4.2) or otherwise provided to the County if no TIR was required.

❑ TIR SECTION 10 OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL

For each flow control and water quality facility and/or BMP that is to be privately maintained, and for those that have special non-standard features, the design engineer shall prepare an operations and maintenance manual. The manual should be simply written and should contain a brief description of the facility or BMP, what it does, and how it works. In addition, the manual shall include a copy of the *Maintenance Requirements for Flow Control, Conveyance, and WQ Facilities* (see Appendix A) and provide an outline of maintenance tasks and the recommended frequency each task should be performed. This is especially important for flow control BMP and water quality facilities where proper maintenance is critical to facility performance. For this reason, most of the flow control facility designs in Chapter 5 and the water quality facility designs in Chapter 6 include "maintenance considerations" important to the performance of each facility. BMP maintenance instructions by BMP type, prepared in 8-1/2”x11” size for inclusion in TIRs and declarations of covenant, are also provided in Reference 8M.

2.3.1.2 SITE IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Site improvement plans shall portray design concepts in a clear and concise manner. The plans must present all the information necessary for persons trained in engineering to review the plans, as well as those persons skilled in construction work to build the project according to the design engineer's intent. Supporting documentation for the site improvement plans must also be presented in an orderly and concise format that can be systematically reviewed and understood by others.

The **vertical datum** on which all engineering plans, plats, binding site plans, and short plats are to be based must be the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88) and the datum must be tied to at least one King County Survey Control Network benchmark. The benchmark(s) shall be shown or referenced on the plans. If a King County Control Network benchmark does not exist within $^1/_2$ mile of the subject property, or if 250 feet or greater of total vertical difference exists between the starting benchmark and the project, an assumed or alternate vertical datum may be used. Approximate datum correlations can be found in Table 4.4.2.B.

Horizontal control for all plats, binding site plans, and short plats shall reference the North American Datum of 1983/91 as the coordinate base and basis of bearings. All horizontal control for these projects must be referenced to a minimum of two King County Survey Horizontal Control monuments. If two horizontal control monuments do not exist within one mile of the project, an assumed or alternate coordinate base and basis of bearings may be used. Horizontal control monument and benchmark information is available from the King County Survey Department.

The site improvement plans consist of all the plans, profiles, details, notes, and specifications necessary to construct road, drainage structure, and off-street parking improvements. Site improvement plans include the following:

- A **base map** (described on p. 2-25), and
- ***Site* plan and profiles** (beginning on p. 2-26).

Note: Site improvement plans must also include grading plans if onsite grading extends beyond the roadway.

Modified Site Improvement Plan

DLS-Permitting may allow a modified site improvement plan for some projects in Targeted Drainage Review (see Section 2.3.2, p. 2-39) or Directed Drainage Review, or where major improvements (e.g., detention facilities, conveyance systems, bridges, road right-of-way improvements, etc.) are not proposed. The modified site improvement plan must:

1. Be drawn on a 11" x 17" or larger sheet,
2. Accurately locate structure(s) and access, showing observance of the setback requirements given in this manual, the critical areas code (KCC 21A.24), or other applicable documents,
3. Provide enough information (datum, topography, details, notes, etc.) to address issues as determined by DLS-Permitting.

❑ GENERAL PLAN FORMAT

Site improvement plans should use *King County Roads Standard Map Symbols* (see kingcounty.gov/depts/local-services/roads/cadd-standards) as appropriate, and must include *Standard Plan Notes* (see Reference Section 7). Each plan must follow the general format detailed below:

1. Plan sheets and profile sheets, or combined **plan and profile sheets**, specifications, and detail sheets as required shall be on "D-size" sheets (24" x 36"). "E-size" sheets (36" x 42") are also acceptable for commercial proposals, except that associated right-of-way improvements must be on "D-size" sheets (24" x 36"). Original sheets shall be archive quality reproducibles, Mylar, or equal.

2. **Drafting details** shall generally conform to *King County Standard Map Symbols* (see kingcounty.gov/depts/local-services/roads/cadd-standards) with standard text height of 0.125" (1/8"). Existing features shall be shown with dashed lines or as half-toned (screened) in order to clearly distinguish existing features from proposed improvements.
3. Each submittal shall contain a **project information/cover sheet** with the following:
   a) Title: Project name and DLS-Permitting file number
   b) Table of contents (if more than three pages)
   c) Vicinity map
   d) Name and phone number of utility field contacts (e.g., water, sanitary sewer, gas, power, telephone, and TV) and the One-Call number (811 or 1-800-424-5555)
   e) King County's preconstruction/inspection notification requirements
   f) Name and phone number of the erosion control/CSWPP supervisor
   g) Name and phone number of the surveyor
   h) Name and phone number of the owner/agent
   i) Name and phone number of the applicant
   j) Legal description
   k) Plan approval signature block for DLS-Permitting
   l) Name and phone number of the engineering firm preparing the plans (company logos acceptable)
   m) Fire Marshal's approval stamp (if required)
   n) Statement that mailbox locations have been designated or approved by the U.S. Postal Service (where required)
   o) List of conditions of preliminary approval and conditions of approved adjustments and variances on all ***site*** improvements.
4. An **overall site plan** shall be included if more than three plan sheets are used. The overall plan shall be indexed to the detail plan sheets and include the following:
   a) The complete property area development
   b) Right-of-way information
   c) Street names and road classification
   d) All project phasing and proposed division boundaries
   e) All natural and proposed drainage collection and conveyance systems with catch basin numbers shown.
5. Each sheet of the plan set shall be stamped, signed, and dated by a ***civil engineer***. At least one sheet showing all boundary survey information must be provided and stamped by a **land surveyor** licensed in the State of Washington.
6. **Detail sheets** shall provide sufficient information to construct complex elements of the plan. Details may be provided on plan and profile sheets if space allows.
7. A **title block** shall be provided on each plan sheet. At a minimum, the title block shall list the following:
   a) Development title
   b) Name, address, and phone number of the firm or individual preparing the plan

c) A revision block

d) Page (of pages) numbering

e) Sheet title (e.g., road and drainage, grading, erosion and sediment control, stormwater pollution prevention and spill control).

8. A blank **approval block** (4" high x 6" wide) shall be provided on each plan sheet. Two such blocks shall be provided on the first sheet of a plan set.
9. The **location and label** for each section or other detail shall be provided.
10. **Critical areas**, critical area buffers, and critical area building setbacks as required by KCC 21A.24 shall be delineated and labeled.
11. All **match lines** with matched sheet number shall be provided.
12. All division or phase lines and the **proposed limits of construction** under the permit application shall be indicated.
13. **Wetlands** shall be labeled with the number from the County's wetland inventory, or shall be labeled as "uninventoried" if not listed on the wetland inventory.
14. The **standard plan notes** that apply to the project shall be provided on the plans (see Reference Section 7-B).
15. Commercial building permit applications shall include the **designated zoning** for all properties adjacent to the development ***site***(s).

❑ BASE MAP

A site improvement plan **base map** provides a common base and reference in the development and design of any project. A base map helps ensure that the engineering plans, grading plans, and CSWPP plans are all developed from the same background information. This base map shall include the information listed in Table 2.3.1.A.

TABLE 2.3.1.A BASE MAP REQUIREMENTS

Feature	Requirements
Ground Surface Topography	Provide topography within the ***site*** and extending beyond the property lines. Contour lines must be shown as described in "Plan View: Site Plan and Roadway Elements" (p. 2-26).
Surface Water Discharge	Provide ground surface elevations for a reasonable "fan" around points of discharge extending at least 50 feet downstream of all point discharge outlets.
Hydrologic Features	Provide spot elevations in addition to contour lines to aid in delineating the boundaries and depth of all existing floodplains, wetlands, channels, swales, streams, storm drainage systems and BMPs, roads (low spots), bogs, depressions, springs, seeps, swales, ditches, pipes, groundwater, and seasonal standing water.
Other Natural Features	Show the location and relative sizes of other natural features such as rock outcroppings, existing vegetation, and trees 12 inches in diameter and greater that could be disturbed by the project improvements and construction activities (within tree canopy), noting species.
Flows	Provide arrows that indicate the direction of surface flow on all public and private property and for all existing conveyance systems.
Floodplains/ Floodways	Show the floodplain/floodways as required by the flood hazard portion of the critical areas code (KCC 21A.24) and Section 4.4.2.
General Background Information	Show the location and limits of all existing: • Property boundaries • Structures • Easements (including dimensions) • Total property (including dimensions) • Roads and right-of-way • Sanitary sewers and water utilities • Common open space • Public dedications • Other manmade features affecting existing topography/proposed improvements.
Development Limitations	Delineate limitations to the development that may occur as identified on the TIR worksheet, Part 11 (see Reference 8-A).

❑ SITE PLAN AND PROFILES

The design engineer shall provide plans and profiles for all construction, including but not limited to the following information:

Plan View: Site Plan and Roadway Elements

1. Provide **property lines**, **right-of-way lines**, and widths for proposed roads and intersecting roads. *Note: the condition of all public right-of-way and the right to use it as proposed must be verified.*
2. Provide all existing and proposed **roadway features**, such as centerlines, edges of pavement and shoulders, ditchlines, curbs, and sidewalks. In addition, show points of access to abutting properties and roadway continuations.
3. Show existing and proposed **topography contours** at 2-foot intervals (5-foot intervals for slopes greater than 15 percent, 10-foot intervals for slopes greater than 40 percent). Contours may be extrapolated from USGS mapping, aerial photos, or other topography map resources. However, contours shall be field verified for roadway and stream centerlines, steep slopes, floodplains, drainage tracts easements, and conveyance systems. Contours shall extend 50 feet beyond property lines to resolve questions of setback, cut and fill slopes, drainage swales, ditches, and access or drainage to adjacent property.
4. Show the location of all existing **utilities** and proposed utilities (except those designed by the utility and not currently available) to the extent that these will be affected by the proposed project. Clearly identify all existing **utility poles**.
5. Identify all roads and adjoining subdivisions.
6. Show **right-of-way** for all proposed roadways, using sufficient dimensioning to clearly show exact locations on all sections of existing and proposed dedicated public roadway.
7. Clearly differentiate areas of **existing pavement** and areas of **new pavement**. If the project is a ***redevelopment project***, delineate areas of ***replaced impervious surface***.
8. For subdivision projects, generally use **drawing scales** of 1"=50'; however, 1"=100' is optional for development of lots one acre or larger. For commercial, multi-family, or other projects, generally use scales of 1"=20'; however, 1"=10', 1" = 30', 1"=40' and 1"=50' are acceptable. Show details for clarification, including those for intersections and existing driveways, on a larger scale.

Plan View: Drainage Conveyance

1. Sequentially **number all catch basins and curb inlets** starting with the structure farthest downstream.
2. Represent **existing storm drainage facilities and BMPs** in dashed lines and label with "Existing."
3. Clearly label existing storm drainage **facilities to be removed** with "Existing to be removed."
4. Show the length, diameter, and material for all **pipes**, **culverts**, and **stub-outs**. Include the slope if not provided on the profile view. Material may be noted in the plan notes. Where open conveyance is provided, and a **low-permeability liner** or **treatment liner** is required per Section 6.2.4, indicate the limits of such liner(s).
5. Clearly label **catch basins** as to size and type (or indicate in the plan notes).
6. Clearly label **stub-out locations** for footing drains and other lot-specific connections to the storm drainage system. Locate all stub-outs to allow gravity flow from the lowest corner of the lot to the connecting catch basin.
7. Show **datum**, **benchmark locations**, and **elevations** on each plan sheet.
8. Clearly label all stub-out locations for any **future pipe connections**.

9. Clearly show on the plans all drainage **easements, tracts**, access easements, Native Growth Retention Areas, Critical Area Tracts, Critical Area Setback Areas, and building setback lines. Show dimensions, type of restriction, and use.
10. Using arrows, indicate the **drainage direction** of hydraulic conveyance systems.

Plan View: Other

1. Show the location, identification, and dimensions of all **buildings**, **property lines**, **streets**, **alleys**, and **easements**.
2. Show the locations of **structures on abutting properties** within 50 feet of the proposed ***project site***.
3. Show the location of all proposed **drainage facility fencing**, together with a typical section view of each fencing type.
4. Provide section details of all **retaining walls and rockeries**, including sections through critical portions of the rockeries or retaining walls.
5. Show all existing and proposed **buildings with projections and overhangs**.
6. Show the location of all **wells** on ***site*** and within 100 feet of the ***site***. Note wells to be abandoned.
7. Show the location and dimensions of proposed **flow control BMP** devices, features, pathways, limits, and set-asides.
8. Show the location and dimensions of structural **source control BMPs** required by the *SWPPS Standards* in Appendix D and the *King County Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual*.

Profiles: Roadway and Drainage

1. Provide **existing centerline ground profile** at 50-foot stations and at significant ground breaks and topographic features, with average accuracy to within 0.1 feet on unpaved surface and 0.02 feet on paved surface.
2. For publicly maintained roadways, provide **final road and storm drain profile** with the same stationing as the horizontal plan, to show stationing of points of curve, tangent, and intersection of vertical curves, with elevation of 0.01 feet. Include tie-in with intersecting pipe runs.
3. On a grid of numbered lines, provide a continuous plot of **vertical positioning against horizontal**.
4. Show finished **road grade and vertical curve data** (road data measured at centerline or edge of pavement). Include stopping sight distance.
5. Show all **roadway drainage**, including drainage facilities and BMPs that are within the right-of-way or easement.
6. On the profile, show slope, length, size, and type (in plan notes or on a detail sheet) for all **pipes and detention tanks** in public right-of-way.
7. Indicate the **inverts** of all pipes and culverts and the elevations of catch basin grates or lids. It is also desirable, but not required, to show invert elevations and grate elevations on plan sheets.
8. For pipes that are proposed to be within 2.0 feet of finished grade, indicate the **minimum cover dimensions**.
9. Indicate **roadway stationing** and offset for all catch basins.
10. Indicate **vertical and horizontal scale**.
11. Clearly label all profiles with respective street names and plan sheet reference numbers, and indicate all profile sheet reference numbers on plan sheets, if drawn on separate sheets.
12. Locate **match points with existing pavements**, and show elevations.
13. Show all **property boundaries**.

14. Label all **match line locations**.
15. Provide profiles for all **12-inch and larger pipes** and for **channels** (that are not roadside ditches).
16. Show the location of all existing and proposed (if available or critical for clearance) **gas**, **water**, and **sanitary sewer crossings**.
17. Show **energy dissipater locations**.
18. Identify **datum** used and all **benchmarks** (may be shown on plan view instead). Datum and benchmarks must refer to established control when available.
19. Use a **vertical scale** of 1"=5'. As an exception, vertical scale shall be 1"=10' if the optional 1"=100' horizontal scale is used on projects with lots one acre or larger. Clarifying details, including those for intersections and existing driveways, should use a larger scale.
20. **Split sheets**, with the profile aligned underneath the plan view, are preferred but not required.

❑ DETAILS

The design engineer shall provide details for all construction, including but not limited to the following.

Flow Control, Water Quality, and Infiltration Facility and BMP Details

1. Provide a scaled drawing and supporting details of each detention pond or vault, flow control BMP, and water quality facility, including the tract boundaries.
2. Show predeveloped and finished grade **contours** at 2-foot intervals. Show and label **maximum design water elevation**.
3. Dimension all **berm widths**.
4. Show and label at least two **cross sections** through a pond or water quality facility, or any BMP large enough to require design elements of ponds and/or water quality facilities. One cross section must include the restrictor when included in the design.
5. Specify **soils and compaction requirements** for pond construction and flow control BMP construction. Specify **low-permeability liners** or **treatment liners** as required for ponds and ditches per Section 6.2.4.
6. Show the location and detail of **emergency overflows**, **spillways**, and **bypasses**.
7. Specify **rock protection/energy dissipation** requirements and details.
8. Provide **inverts** of all pipes, grates, inlets, tanks, and vaults, and **spot elevations** of the pond bottom.
9. Show the location of **access roads** to control manholes and pond/forebay bottoms.
10. Provide plan and section views of all **energy dissipaters**, including **rock splash pads**. Specify the size of rock and thickness.
11. Show **bollard locations** on plans. Typically, bollards are located at the entrance to drainage facility access roads.
12. On the pond or water quality facility detail, show the size, type (or in plan notes), slope, and length of all **pipes**.
13. Show to scale the section and plan view of **restrictor and control structures**. The plan view must show the location and orientation of all inlet pipes, outlet pipes, and flow restrictors.
14. Draw details at one of the following **scales**: 1"=1', 1"=2', 1"=4', 1"=5', 1"=10', or 1"=20'.

Structural Plan Details

Any submittal that proposes a structure (e.g., bridge crossing, reinforced concrete footings, walls, or

vaults) shall include plan sheets that include complete working drawings showing dimensions, steel placement, and specifications for construction. Structures may require a design prepared and **stamped by a professional structural engineer** licensed in the State of Washington, and an application for a separate commercial building permit.

2.3.1.3 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL (ESC) PLAN

This section details the specifications and contents for ESC plans. Note that an ESC plan includes the plan's drawings plus an ESC report, which provides all supporting information and any additional direction necessary for implementing ESC measures and meeting ESC implementation requirements. The ESC plan's drawings may be simplified by the use of the symbols and codes provided for each ESC measure in the *Erosion and Sediment Control Standards* in detached Appendix D. In general, the ESC plan's drawings shall be submitted as a separate plan sheet(s). However, there may be some relatively simple projects where providing separate grading and ESC plan drawings is unnecessary.

❑ GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS

The **site improvement plan** shall be used as the base of the ESC plan. Certain detailed information that is not relevant (e.g., pipe/catch basin size, stub-out locations, etc.) may be omitted to make the ESC plan easier to read. At a minimum, the ESC plan shall include all of the information required for the base map (see Table 2.3.1.A, p. 2-25), as well as existing and proposed roads, driveways, parking areas, buildings, drainage facilities and BMPs, utility corridors not associated with roadways, *relevant critical areas*[3] and critical area buffers, and proposed final topography. A smaller scale may be used to provide better comprehension and understanding.

The ESC plan shall generally be designed for proposed topography, not existing topography, since rough grading is usually the first step in ***site*** disturbance. The ESC plan shall **address all phases of construction** (e.g., clearing, grading, installation of utilities, surfacing, and final stabilization). If construction is being phased, separate ESC plans may need to be prepared to address the specific needs for each phase of construction.

The ESC plan outlines the minimum requirements for anticipated ***site*** conditions. During construction, **ESC plans shall be revised as necessary** by the CSWPP supervisor or as directed by King County to address changing ***site*** conditions, unexpected storm events, or non-compliance with the ESC performance criteria in Core Requirement #5.

The **ESC plan** shall be consistent with the information provided in Section 8 of the TIR and **shall address the following:**

1. Identify areas with a high susceptibility to erosion.
2. Provide all details necessary to clearly illustrate the intent of the ESC design.
3. Include ESC measures for all on- and offsite utility construction included in the project.
4. Specify the construction sequence. The construction sequence shall be specifically written for the proposed project. An example construction sequence is provided in Appendix D.
5. Include ESC standard plan notes (see Reference Section 7-B).
6. Include an inspection and maintenance program for ESC measures, including designation of a CSWPP supervisor who is a certified ESC professional and identification of phone numbers for 24-hour contact.
7. Include the basis and calculations for selection and sizing of ESC measures.

❑ MEASURE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION

ESC plan drawings must include the following information specific to applicable ESC measures and implementation requirements. As noted above, this information may need to be updated or revised during the life of the project by the CSWPP supervisor or as directed by King County.

[3] *Relevant critical areas*, for the purposes of drainage review, include aquatic areas, wetlands, ***flood hazard areas***, ***erosion hazard areas***, ***landslide hazard areas***, ***steep slope hazard areas***, and ***critical aquifer recharge areas***.

Clearing Limits

1. **Delineate** clearing limits.
2. Provide **details** sufficient to install and maintain the clearing limits.

Cover Measures

1. Specify the type and location of **temporary cover measures** to be used onsite.
2. If **more than one type** of cover measure is to be used onsite, indicate the areas where the different measures will be used, including steep cut and fill slopes.
3. If the type of cover measures to be used will vary depending on the time of year, soil type, gradient, or some other factor, specify the **conditions that control the use of the different measures**.
4. Specify the nature and location of **permanent cover measures**. If a landscaping plan is prepared, this may not be necessary.
5. Specify the approximate amount of cover measures necessary to cover all disturbed areas.
6. If **netting, blankets, or plastic sheeting** are specified, provide typical detail sufficient for installation and maintenance.
7. Specify the **mulch types**, **seed mixes**, **fertilizers**, and **soil amendments** to be used, as well as the application rate for each item.
8. For **surface roughening**, describe methods, equipment and areas where surface roughening will be use.
9. If **PAM** is used, show location(s) and describe application method.
10. When **compost blankets** are used, show location, application rates, and the name of the supplier to document that compost meets quality specifications per Reference 11-C.

Perimeter Protection

1. Specify the **location and type** of perimeter protection to be used.
2. Provide **typical details** sufficient to install and maintain the perimeter protection.
3. If **silt fence** is to be used, specify the type of fabric to be used.
4. If **compost berms or socks** are used, documentation must be provided to assure the supplier meets the criteria and compost meets quality standards per Reference 11-C.

Traffic Area Stabilization

1. Locate the **construction entrance**(s).
2. Provide **typical details** sufficient to install and maintain the construction entrance.
3. Locate the **construction roads and parking areas**.
4. Specify the measure(s) that will be used to create **stabilized construction roads and parking areas**. Provide sufficient detail to install and maintain.
5. If a **wheel wash** or **tire bath system** will be installed, provide location, typical details for installation and maintenance.
6. Provide a list of **dust control** products that will be used onsite and the location of potential application areas.

Sediment Retention

1. Show the **locations** of all sediment ponds and traps.
2. Dimension pond **berm widths** and all inside and outside pond slopes.

3. Indicate the **trap/pond storage** required and the depth, length, and width dimensions.
4. Provide typical **section views** through pond and outlet structures.
5. If **chemical or electrocoagulation treatment** of sediment-laden waters will be used, approval documentation from Ecology must be included (see SWPPS plan requirements for chemical storage).
6. Provide details for **disposal of contaminated or chemically treated waters** (e.g., where Chitosan or CO2 have been used) (see SWPPS plan requirements for chemical storage).
7. Include appropriate **approval documentation from local sewer districts** if contaminated or chemically treated water will be discharged to the sanitary sewer.
8. Provide typical details of the **control structure** and **dewatering mechanism**.
9. Detail **stabilization techniques** for outlet/inlet protection.
10. Provide details sufficient to install **cell dividers**.
11. Specify mulch or recommended **cover of berms and slopes**.
12. Indicate the **required depth gage** with a prominent mark at 1-foot depth for sediment removal.
13. Indicate **catch basins** that are to be protected.
14. Indicate **existing and proposed flow control BMP areas** that are to be protected.
15. Provide **details of the catch basin and flow control BMP protection** sufficient to install and maintain.

Surface Water Control

1. **Locate** all pipes, ditches, interceptor ditches, dikes, and swales that will be used to convey stormwater.
2. Provide **details** sufficient to install and maintain all **conveyances**.
3. Indicate locations of **outlet protection** and provide detail of protections.
4. Indicate locations and outlets of any possible **dewatering systems**. Provide details of alternative discharge methods from dewatering systems if adequate infiltration rates cannot be achieved. Do not route dewatering water, clean or untreated, through stormwater sediment ponds.
5. Indicate the location of any **level spreaders** and provide details sufficient to install and maintain.
6. Show all **temporary pipe inverts**.
7. Provide location and specifications for the **interception of runoff from disturbed areas** and the conveyance of the runoff to a non-erosive discharge point.
8. Provide **locations** of **rock check dams**.
9. Provide **details**, including front and side sections, of typical **rock check dams**.

Protection of Existing and Proposed Flow Control BMP Areas

1. Provide perimeter protection at existing and proposed flow control BMP locations
2. Provide cautionary plan notes emphasizing avoidance of negative impacts to receptor soils and existing vegetation to remain..

BMP Maintenance

1. Provide adequate plan notes for guidance of BMP maintenance methods and schedules.
2. Include an inspection and maintenance program for ESC measures.

Management of the Project

1. Provide plan notes to clarify and emphasize the management responsibilities for the project.

2. Include an inspection and maintenance program for ESC measures, including designation of a CSWPP supervisor who is a certified ESC professional and identification of phone numbers for 24-hour contact.

Wet Season Requirements

3. Provide a **list** of all applicable wet season requirements.
4. Clearly identify that from October 1st through April 30th, no soils shall be exposed for more than two consecutive working days. Also note that this **two-day requirement** may be applied at other times of the year if storm events warrant more conservative measures.
5. Clearly identify that **exposed soils shall be stabilized** at the end of the workday prior to a weekend, holiday, or predicted rain event.

Critical Areas Restrictions

1. **Delineate and label** the following critical areas, and any applicable buffers, that are on or adjacent to the ***project site***: aquatic areas, wetlands, ***flood hazard areas***, ***erosion hazard areas***, ***landslide hazard areas***, ***steep slope hazard areas***, and ***critical aquifer recharge areas***.
2. If construction creates disturbed areas within any of the above listed critical areas or associated buffers, specify the type, locations, and details of any measures or other provisions necessary to **comply with the critical area restrictions** in Appendix D and protect surface waters and steep slopes.

2.3.1.4 STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION AND SPILL (SWPPS) PLAN

This section details the specifications and contents for SWPPS plans, which together with ESC plans, comprise the construction stormwater pollution prevention (CSWPP) plan that must be submitted as part of the engineering plans required for drainage review. Additional guidance for developing the SWPPS plan can be found in the *SWPPS Standards* in the KCSWDM Appendix D, *Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Standards*, in the King County *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual* and in the *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington (SWMMWW)* published by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology).

The SWPPS plan must be kept on ***site*** during all phases of construction and shall **address the construction-related pollution-generating activities outlined in Subsection A below**. The plan must include a description of the methods the general contractor will use to ensure sub-contractors are aware of the SWPPS plan. A **form or record** must be provided that states all sub-contractors have read and agree to the SWPPS plan.

A SWPPS plan consists of the following three elements, which are further described in Subsections B, C, and D below:

1. A **site plan** showing the location and description of BMPs required to prevent pollution and control spills from construction activities and from chemicals and other materials used and stored on the construction site. See Subsection B below for more specifics on the SWPPS site plan.
2. A **pollution prevention report** listing the potential sources of pollution and identifying the operational, source control, and treatment BMPs necessary to prevent/mitigate pollution from these sources. See Subsection C below for more specifics on the SWPPS pollution prevention report.
3. A **spill prevention and cleanup report** describing the procedures and BMPs for spill prevention and including provisions for cleanup of spills should they occur. See Subsection D below for more specifics on the SWPPS spill prevention and cleanup report.

A. ACTIVITY-SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED

At a minimum, the SWPPS plan shall address, if applicable, the following pollution-generating activities typically associated with construction and include the information specified below for each activity. If other pollution-generating activities associated with construction of the proposed project are identified, the SWPPS plan must address those activities in a similar manner.

Storage and Handling of Liquids

1. Identify liquids that will be handled or stored onsite, including but not limited to **petroleum products, fuel, solvents, detergents, paint, pesticides, concrete admixtures, and form oils**.
2. Specify **types and sizes of containers** of liquids that will be stored/handled onsite. Show locations on the SWPPS site plan.
3. Describe **secondary containment methods** adequately sized to provide containment for all liquids stored onsite. Show the locations of containment areas on the SWPPS site plan.

Storage and Stockpiling of Construction Materials and Wastes

1. **Identify** construction materials and wastes that may be generated or stockpiled onsite. Show the **locations** where these materials and wastes will be generated and stockpiled on the SWPPS site plan.
2. Specify type of **cover measures** to be used to keep rainwater from contacting construction materials and wastes that can contribute pollutants to storm, surface, and ground water.
3. If wastes are kept in **containers**, describe how rainwater will be kept out of the containers.

Fueling

1. Specify **method of onsite fueling** for construction equipment (i.e. stationary tanks, truck mounted tanks, wet hosing, etc.). If stationary tanks will be used, show their location on the SWPPS site plan.
2. Describe **type and size of tanks**.
3. Describe **containment methods for fuel spills** and make reference to the SWPPS site plan for location information.
4. If fueling occurs **during evening hours**, describe lighting and signage plan. Make reference to the SWPPS site plan for location information.

Maintenance, Repairs, and Storage of Vehicles and Equipment

1. Identify **maintenance and repair areas** and show their locations on the SWPPS site plan. Use of drip pans or plastic beneath vehicles is required. A note to this effect must be shown on the SWPPS site plan.
2. Describe method for collection, storage, and disposal of **vehicle fluids**.
3. If an area is designated for vehicle maintenance, **signs must be posted** that state no vehicle washing may occur in the area. A note to this effect must be shown on the SWPPS site plan.

Concrete Saw Cutting, Slurry, and Washwater Disposal

1. Assure that washout of concrete trucks is performed off-site or in designated concrete washout areas only. Identify **truck washout areas** to assure such areas are not within a ***critical aquifer recharge area***. If they are, the washout area must be lined with an impervious membrane. Show location information on the SWPPS site plan. Locate washout area at least 50 feet from sensitive areas such as storm drains, open ditches, or water bodies, including wetlands.
2. Specify **size of sumps** needed to collect and contain slurry and washwater. Show location information on the SWPPS site plan.
3. Identify **areas for rinsing hand tools** including but not limited to screeds, shovels, rakes, floats and trowels. Show the locations of these areas on the SWPPS site plan.
4. Describe **methods for collecting, treating, and disposal** of waste water from exposed aggregate processes, concrete grinding and saw cutting, and new concrete washing and curing water.

Handling of pH Elevated Water

New concrete vaults/structures may cause collected water to have an elevated pH. This water cannot be discharged to storm or surface water until neutralized.

1. Provide details on **treating/neutralizing water** when pH is not within neutral parameters. Written approval from Ecology is required before using chemical treatment other than CO2 or dry ice to adjust pH.
2. Provide details on **disposal of water** with elevated pH or of the treated water.

Application of Chemicals including Pesticides and Fertilizers

1. Provide a **list of chemicals** that may be used on the ***project site*** and the application rates.
2. Describe **where and how chemicals will be applied**. Show location information on the SWPPS site plan.
3. Describe **where and how chemicals will be stored**. Show location information on the SWPPS site plan.

B. SWPPS SITE PLAN

The ***site*** plan element of the SWPPS plan shall include all of the information required for the base map (see Table 2.3.1.A, p. 2-25), as well as existing and proposed roads, driveways, parking areas, buildings, drainage facilities, utility corridors not associated with roadways, *relevant critical areas*[4] and associated buffers, and proposed final topography. A smaller scale may be used to provide more comprehensive details on specific locations of each activity and specific prevention measure. In addition to this information, the following items, at a minimum, shall be provided as applicable:

1. Identify locations where **liquids will be stored** and delineate secondary containment areas that will be provided.
2. Identify locations where **construction materials and wastes** will be generated and stockpiled.
3. Identify location of **fueling for vehicles and equipment** if stationary tanks will be used.
4. Delineate **containment areas** for fuel spills.
5. Show location of **lighting and signage** for fueling during evening hours.
6. Delineate **maintenance and repair areas** and clearly note that drip pans or plastic shall be used beneath vehicles. Also, clearly note that signs must be posted that state no **vehicle washing** may occur in the area.
7. Delineate **truck washout areas** and identify the location of **slurry/washwater sumps and rinsing areas** for tools.
8. Delineate where **chemicals** will be applied and identify where they will be stored.
9. Identify where **spill response materials** will be stored.

C. POLLUTION PREVENTION REPORT

This report provides the specifics on pollution prevention and must include the following information in addition to the activity-specific information specified in Subsection A above:

1. List the possible **sources of pollution** per Subsection A above and identify the BMPs to be used for each source to prevent pollution. Include any **supporting information** (site conditions, calculations, etc.) for the selection and sizing of pollution prevention BMPs.
2. Identify the **personnel** responsible for pollution prevention and clearly list the responsibilities of each person identified. **Contact information** for these personnel must be clearly identified in the report and on the SWPPS site plan.
3. Describe the **procedures** to be used for monitoring pollution prevention BMPs and for responding to a BMP that needs attention, including keeping records/reports of all inspections of pollution prevent BMPs (see Reference Section 8-E for examples of worksheets that may be used).

D. SPILL PREVENTION AND CLEANUP REPORT

This report provides the specifics on spill prevention and cleanup and must include the following information in addition to any activity-specific information in Subsection A above related to spill prevention:

1. List the possible **sources of a spill** and identify the BMPs to be used for each source to prevent a spill.
2. Identify **personnel** responsible for spill prevention and cleanup and clearly list the responsibilities of each person identified. **Contact information** for these personnel must be clearly identified in the report and on the SWPPS site plan. (On typical projects, the primary contact for SWPPS issues will be

[4] *Relevant critical areas*, for the purposes of drainage review, include aquatic areas, wetlands, ***flood hazard areas***, ***erosion hazard areas***, ***landslide hazard areas***, ***steep slope hazard areas***, and ***critical aquifer recharge areas***.

the CSWPP supervisor, who may be managing other spill responders to assure compliance; complex projects may warrant specialist personnel for specific site applications.)

3. Describe the **procedures** to be used for monitoring spill prevention BMPs and for responding to a spill incident, including keeping records/reports of all inspections and spills (see Reference Section 8-E for examples of worksheets that may be used).
4. Identify where **spill response materials** will be stored. Make reference to the SWPPS site plan for location information.
5. Identify **disposal methods** for contaminated water and soil after a spill.

2.3.1.5 LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT PLANS (IF APPLICABLE)

Approved landscape management plans are allowed to be used as an alternative to the requirement to formally treat (with a facility) the runoff from pollution generating pervious surfaces subject to Core Requirement #8 (see Section 1.2.8). A *landscape management plan* is a King County approved plan for defining the layout and long-term maintenance of landscaping features to minimize the use of pesticides and fertilizers, and reduce the discharge of suspended solids and other pollutants.

If a landscape management plan is proposed, it must be submitted with the engineering plans for the proposed project. The elements required for evaluation of landscape management plans, and general guidance for preparing landscape management plans, are provided in Reference Section 4-C.

If a landscape management plan is proposed, it must be submitted with the engineering plans for the proposed project. The elements listed below are required for evaluation of landscape management plans.

1. Provide a ***site* vicinity map** with topography.
2. Provide a **site plan** with topography. Indicate areas with saturated soils or high water tables.
3. Provide a **plant list** (provide both common and scientific names) that includes the following information:
    a) Indicate any drought-tolerant plants, disease resistant varieties, species for attracting beneficial insects (if any) and native plants.
    b) For shrubs and groundcovers, indicate the proposed spacing.
    c) For turf areas, indicate the grass mix or mixes planned. Indicate sun/shade tolerance, disease susceptibility, drought tolerance and tolerance of wet soil conditions.
4. Provide a **landscape plan**. Indicate placement of landscape features, lawn areas, trees, and planting groups (forbes, herbs, groundcovers, etc.) on the ***site***.
5. Include information on **soil preparation** and fertility requirements.
6. Provide information on the design of the **irrigation method** (installed sprinkler system, drip irrigation system, manual, etc.)
7. Provide a **landscape maintenance plan**, including the following:
    a) Physical care methods, such as thatch removal or aeration, and mowing height and frequency
    b) Type of fertilizer (including N-P-K strength) and fertilization schedule or criteria
    c) Type of chemicals to be used for common pests such as crane fly larvae, and the criteria or schedule for application
    d) Any biocontrol methods.
8. Provide information about the **storage of pesticides or other chemicals**, and **disposal measures** that will be used.
    a) If applicable, indicate how the chemicals will be stored on the ***site*** between applications to prevent contact with stormwater or spills into the storm drainage system.
    b) Indicate how excess quantities of fertilizers or chemicals will be handled for individual applications.
9. Provide an **implementation plan** (see Reference Section 4-C for guidance on preparing the implementation plan).

2.3.2 PROJECTS IN TARGETED DRAINAGE REVIEW (TDR)

This section outlines the specifications and contents of limited scope engineering plans allowed for projects in Targeted Drainage Review. Table 2.3.2.A specifies the minimum required elements of the targeted technical information report based on the type of permit or project, and on the three categories of project characteristics subject to Targeted Drainage Review per Section 1.1.2.2.

TABLE 2.3.2.A MINIMUM ENGINEERING PLAN ELEMENTS(1) FOR PROJECTS IN TARGETED DRAINAGE REVIEW

Type of Permit or Project	Drainage Review Type	Project Category 1(2) Projects in TDR that contain or are adjacent to a ***flood***, ***erosion***, or ***steep slope hazard area***; or are within a ***CDA*** or LHDA; or propose ≥7,000 sf of ***land disturbing activity*** (3 acres if in Simplified DR).	Project Category 2(2) Projects in TDR that propose to ***construct or modify*** a 12" or larger pipe/ditch, or receive runoff from a 12" or larger pipe/ditch	Project Category 3(2) ***Redevelopment projects*** in TDR that propose $100,000 or more of improvements to an existing ***high-use site***
SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (SFR) BUILDING PERMITS SHORT PLATS PERMITS FOR AGRICULTURAL PROJECTS	Targeted Drainage Review ONLY	• TIR Sections 1, 2, and 6 (minimum) • Simplified ESC Plan(3) and SWPPS Plan • Site Improvement Plan(5),	• TIR Sections 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8 (minimum) • Simplified ESC Plan(3) and SWPPS Plan • ESC Plan(4) for conveyance work • Site Improvement Plan(5)	N/A
	Targeted Drainage Review COMBINED WITH Simplified Drainage Review	• TIR Sections 1, 2, and 6 (minimum) • Simplified ESC Plan(3) and SWPPS Plan • Site Improvement Plan(5)	• TIR Sections 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8 (minimum) • Simplified ESC Plan(3) and SWPPS Plan • ESC Plan(4) for conveyance work • Site Improvement Plan(5)	N/A
OTHER PROJECTS OR PERMITS	Targeted Drainage Review ONLY	• TIR Sections 1, 2, 6, and 8 (minimum) • ESC Plan(4) and SWPPS Plan for any site disturbance work • Site Improvement Plan(5)	• TIR Sections 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8 (minimum) • ESC Plan(4) and SWPPS Plan for any site disturbance work • Site Improvement Plan(5)	• TIR Sections 1, 2, 4, 8, and 10 (minimum) • ESC Plan(4) and SWPPS Plan for any site disturbance work • Site Improvement Plan(5)

Notes:

(1) The above plan elements are considered the recommended minimum for most development cases in Targeted Drainage Review. DLS-Permitting may add to these elements if deemed necessary for proper drainage review. *Predesign meetings with DLS-Permitting are recommended to identify all required elements*.

(2) For more detailed descriptions of project categories, see Section 1.1.2.2. *If the proposed project has the characteristics of more than one category, the plan elements under each applicable category shall apply.*

(3) Simplified ESC plans are an element of the Simplified drainage plan as explained in the *Simplified Drainage Requirements* booklet (detached Appendix C).

(4) ESC plans shall meet the applicable specifications detailed in Section 2.3.1.3 (p. 2-30)

(5) Site improvement plans shall meet the applicable specifications detailed in Section 2.3.1.2 (p. 2-22). DLS-Permitting may allow modified site improvement plans as described in Section 2.3.1.2.

(This page intentionally left blank)

2.4 PLANS REQUIRED AFTER DRAINAGE REVIEW

This section includes the specifications and contents required of those plans submitted at the end of the permit review process or after a permit has been issued.

2.4.1 PLAN CHANGES AFTER PERMIT ISSUANCE

If changes or revisions to the originally approved engineering plans require additional review, the revised plans shall be submitted to DLS-Permitting for approval prior to construction. The plan change submittals shall include all of the following:

1. The appropriate Plan Change Order form(s)
2. One copy of the revised TIR or addendum
3. Three sets of the engineering plans
4. Other information needed for review.

2.4.2 FINAL CORRECTED PLAN SUBMITTAL

During the course of construction, changes to the approved engineering plans are often required to address unforeseen field conditions or design improvements. Once construction is completed, it is the applicant's responsibility to submit to DLS-Permitting a **final corrected plan**. These corrected drawings must be professionally drafted revisions applied to the original approved plan, excluding the CSWPP plan, and must include all changes made during the course of construction. This plan need not be a precisely surveyed as-built drawing but should show what was finally constructed in terms of drainage system elements. The final corrected plan must be stamped, signed, and dated by a ***civil engineer***. A CAD drawing file (.dwg) of the final corrected plan must be submitted along with paper copies. The CAD file must contain all the pages of the plan set for road and drainage infrastructure, but need not contain other sheets. A **final corrected TIR**, updated to include all changes made to the originally approved TIR during the course of construction, must be submitted with the final corrected plan. In addition to any design changes and supporting calculations and documentation, the final corrected TIR shall include a final updated Stormwater Facility Summary Sheet (see Reference 8-D) and signed/recorded copies of all required easements and declarations of covenant. A copy of any required Landscape Management Plan (see Section 2.3.1.5) shall accompany the plans and TIR. The electronic copy of the final corrected TIR may be in .pdf or other approved format.

Disposition of Approved Engineering Plans for Subdivisions

Upon engineering plan approval of any subdivision (including PUDs, binding site plans, and short plats), DLS-Permitting will make a set of reproducible mylars (cost to be paid by the applicant) and return the original set to the applicant's engineer. DLS-Permitting will retain this reproducible set, utilizing it to make copies for public inspection, distribution, and base reference as required. At the time the development is accepted for maintenance by King County, the DLS-Permitting set of reproducibles shall be replaced by the corrected original set for permanent public records at the King County Department of Transportation Map and Records Center, 155 Monroe Ave. NE, Bldg. H, Renton, Washington (see kingcounty.gov/depts/local-services/roads/maps-and-records-center).

2.4.3 FINAL PLAT, SHORT PLAT, AND BINDING SITE PLAN SUBMITTALS

Any subdivision to be finalized, thereby completing the subdivision process and legally forming new lots, requires a **final submittal for approval and recording**. Binding site plans and short plats also require a final submittal for approval and recording. The final plat or map page shall contain the elements summarized and specified in detail in DLS-Permitting customer information bulletins. Submittals shall be accompanied by appropriate fees as prescribed by King County Code. Final submittals will be allowed only after the approval of preliminary plans (for subdivisions only) and any required engineering plans, and after the construction of any required drainage facilities.

All final map sheets and pages shall be prepared by a **land surveyor** licensed in the State of Washington and shall conform to all state and local statutes.

The final submittal for recording only applies to **subdivisions** (plats), **binding site plans**, and **short plats**. This plan is required by state and local statutes.

In addition to the requirements described in the DPER customer information bulletins, submittals for final recording of subdivisions, short plats, and binding site plans **must include the following information:**

1. Indicate **dimensions** of all easements, tracts, building setbacks, tops of slopes, wetland boundaries, and floodplains.
2. Include **pertinent restrictions** as they apply to easements, tracts, and building setback lines.
3. Include the **dedication and indemnification clause** as provided in Reference Section 8-G.
4. State the **maximum amount of added impervious surface** and **proposed clearing per lot** as determined through engineering review. The maximum amount of impervious surface may be expressed in terms of percentage of lot coverage or square feet.
5. Include a recorded **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** for each lot on which flow control BMPs are installed or stipulated per Core Requirement 9, Section 1.2.9.4.1, and each lot for which flow control BMPs are installed in a separate dedicated tract per Section 1.2.9.4.1.

CHAPTER 3
HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS & DESIGN

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

Section 3.1	**Hydrologic Design Standards and Principles**	**3-3**
Section 3.1.1	Hydrologic Impacts and Mitigation	3-3
Section 3.1.2	Flow Control Standards	3-5
Section 3.1.3	Hydrologic Analysis Using Continuous Models	3-7
Section 3.2	**Runoff Computation and Analysis Methods**	**3-11**
Section 3.2.1	Rational Method	3-13
Section 3.2.2	Continuous Models and the Runoff Files Method	3-21
Section 3.2.3	The Approved Model	3-34
Section 3.2.4	The HSPF Model	3-34
Section 3.3	**Hydrologic Design Procedures and Considerations**	**3-37**
Section 3.3.1	General Hydrologic Design Process	3-37
Section 3.3.2	Flow Control Design Using the Runoff Files Method	3-39
Section 3.3.3	Conveyance System Design with the Runoff Files Method	3-42
Section 3.3.4	Safety Factors in Hydrologic Design	3-42
Section 3.3.5	Design Options for Addressing Downstream Drainage Problems	3-44
Section 3.3.6	Point of Compliance Analysis	3-47
Section 3.3.7	Onsite Closed Depressions and Ponding Areas	3-50

CHAPTER 3
HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS & DESIGN

This chapter presents the concepts and rationale for the surface water controls and designs required by this manual and the acceptable methods for estimating the quantity and characteristics of surface water runoff. These methods are used to analyze existing and to design proposed drainage systems and facilities.

Hydrologic concepts, tools and methodologies, and an overview of the assumptions and data requirements of the methods, are described for the following tasks:

- Calculating runoff time series and flow statistics
- Designing detention and infiltration facilities

Approved modeling software is listed in Reference 6-D, as updated. Tools and methodologies specific to the software can be obtained from the software documentation and trainings provided by the software provider. At this writing, the approved models include WWHM2012, available from the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), and MGS Flood, available from MGS Engineering Consultants, Inc. Currently, MGS Flood is not approved for modeling bioretention. It will be allowed for modeling bioretention only at such time that it is formally approved by Ecology for that use.

Hydrologic tools and methodologies, and the assumptions and data requirements of the methods, are presented for the following tasks:

- Sizing conveyance facilities
- Analyzing conveyance capacities.

Chapter Organization

The information presented in this chapter is organized into three main sections:

- Section 3.1, "Hydrologic Design Standards and Principles" (p. 3-3)
- Section 3.2, "Runoff Computation and Analysis Methods" (p. 3-11)
- Section 3.3, "Hydrologic Design Procedures and Considerations" (p. 3-37).

These sections begin on odd pages so the user can insert tabs if desired for quicker reference.

Other Supporting Information

For specific guidance on the mechanics of using the approved modeling software for hydrologic analysis and design, refer to the associated approved model website and program documentation. See Reference 6-D for limited modeling guidance and requirements as applicable for specific tasks in this manual.

(This page intentionally left blank)

3.1 HYDROLOGIC DESIGN STANDARDS AND PRINCIPLES

This section presents the rationale for and approach to hydrologic analysis and design in King County. Topics covered include the following:

- "Hydrologic Impacts and Mitigation," Section 3.1.1
- "Flow Control Standards," Section 3.1.2 (p. 3-5)
- "Hydrologic Analysis Using Continuous Models," Section 3.1.3 (p. 3-7)

3.1.1 HYDROLOGIC IMPACTS AND MITIGATION

Hydrologic Effects of Urbanization

The hydrologic effects of development can cause a multitude of problems, including minor nuisance flooding, degradation of public resources, diminished fish production, and significant flooding endangering life and property. Increased stormwater flows expand floodplains, bringing flooding to locations where it did not occur before and worsening flood problems in areas already flood-prone. Increased stormwater flows also hasten channel erosion, alter channel structure, and degrade fish habitat.

Human alteration of the landscape, including clearing, grading, paving, building construction, and landscaping, changes the physical and biological features that affect hydrologic processes. Soil compaction and paving reduce the infiltration and storage capacity of soils. This leads to a runoff process called *Horton overland flow* whereby the rainfall rate exceeds the infiltration rate, and the excess precipitation flows downhill over the soil surface. This type of flow rapidly transmits rainfall to the stream or conveyance system, causing much higher peak flow rates than would occur in the unaltered landscape.

Horton overland flow is almost nonexistent in densely vegetated areas, such as forest or shrub land, where the vast majority of rainfall infiltrates into the soil. Some of this infiltrated water is used by plants, and depending on soil conditions, some of it percolates until it reaches the groundwater table. Sometimes the percolating soil water will encounter a low-permeability soil or rock layer. In this case, it flows laterally as interflow over the low-permeability layer until it reaches a stream channel. Generally, forested lands deliver water to streams by subsurface pathways, which are much slower than the runoff pathways from cleared and landscaped lands. Therefore, urbanization of forest and pasture land leads to increased stormwater flow volumes and higher peak flow rates.

Land development increases not only peak flow rates but also changes annual and seasonal runoff volumes. *In forested basins in King County, about 55% of the rain that falls each year eventually appears as streamflow.* This percentage is called the *yield of a basin*. The remaining 45% of the rain evaporates and returns to the atmosphere. As trees are cleared and the soil is graded to make way for lawns and pastures, and as part of the land is covered with asphalt or concrete, the basin yield increases. More of the rain becomes streamflow, and less evaporates. In lowland King County, the yield of a basin covered with landscaped lawns would be about 65%, while the yield of an impervious basin would be about 85 to 90%.

For these reasons, development without mitigation increases peak stormwater rates, stormwater volumes, and annual basin yields. Furthermore, the reduction of groundwater recharge decreases summer base flows.

In summary, the following are the hydrologic impacts of unmitigated development:

- Increased peak flows
- Increased durations of high flows
- Increased stormwater runoff volumes
- Decreased groundwater recharge and base flows

- Seasonal flow volume shifts
- Altered wetland hydroperiods.

The resulting economic and ecological consequences of these hydrologic changes include the following:

- Increased flooding
- Increased stream erosion
- Degraded aquatic habitat
- Changes to wetland species composition.

Mitigation of Hydrologic Effects of Urbanization

Engineered facilities can mitigate many of the hydrologic changes associated with development. Detention facilities can maintain the rates and/or durations of high flows at predevelopment levels. Infiltration facilities can control flow volumes and increase groundwater recharge as well as control flow rates and durations. Conveyance problems can be avoided through analysis and appropriate sizing and design of conveyance facilities. Engineered mitigation of the hydrologic impacts of development include the following:

- Managing peak flow rates with detention facilities
- Managing high flow durations with detention facilities
- Reducing flow volumes and maintaining or enhancing groundwater recharge with infiltration facilities
- Avoiding flooding problems with appropriately sized and designed conveyance systems
- Bypassing erosion problems with tightlines.

Engineered facilities cannot mitigate all of the hydrologic impacts of development. Detention facilities do not mitigate seasonal volume shifts, wetland water level fluctuations, groundwater recharge reductions, or base flow changes. Such impacts can be further reduced through the use of Low Impact Development (LID) techniques, beginning with careful ***site*** planning. For instance, clustering of units to reduce impervious cover while maintaining ***site*** density is an effective way to limit hydrologic change. Preserving native vegetation and minimizing soil disturbance or compaction in pervious areas also reduces hydrologic change. Such non-engineered mitigation measures are encouraged by the County and are discussed in Core #9 and Appendix C of this manual and are referred to as Flow Control BMPs.

Other LID stormwater management approaches, such as permeable pavements, bioretention, green roofs, and rainwater harvesting can be effective in reducing increases in surface water volumes. The incorporation of these concepts in the design of the project is required, as detailed in Core Requirement #9 and Appendix C. Many of these approaches will result in a reduction in flow control facility size, so the flow control BMP requirements in Core Requirement #9 and Appendix C should be carefully considered and applied to maximize the benefits of this approach.

Detention Facility Concepts

The basic concept of a *detention facility* is simple: water is collected from developed areas and released at a slower rate than it enters the collection system. The excess of inflow over outflow is temporarily stored in a pond or a vault and is typically released over a few hours or a few days. The volume of storage needed is determined by (1) how much stormwater enters the facility (determined by the size and density of the contributing area), (2) how rapidly water is allowed to leave the facility, and (3) the level of hydrologic control the facility is designed to achieve.

To prevent increases in the frequency of flooding due to new development, detention facilities are often designed to **maintain peak flow rates at their predevelopment levels** for recurrence intervals of concern (e.g., 2- and 10-year). Such mitigation can prevent increases in the frequency of downstream flooding. Facilities that control only peak flow rates, however, usually allow the duration of high flows to increase,

which may cause increased erosion of the downstream system. For example, the magnitude of a 2-year flow may not increase, but the amount of time that flow rate occurs may double. Therefore, stream systems, including those with salmonid habitat, which require protection from erosion warrant detention systems that **control the durations of geomorphically significant flows** (flows capable of moving sediment). Such detention systems employ lower release rates and are therefore larger in volume.

3.1.2 FLOW CONTROL STANDARDS

Core Requirement #3 requires that flow control facilities be designed to one of three primary flow control standards or various modifications of these standards based on the protection needs of the downstream system. The three primary standards include Level 1 flow control, a peak matching standard; Level 2 flow control, a duration-matching standard; and Level 3 flow control, a duration-matching standard with an extreme peak-matching element added. In addition to the primary flow control standards, all projects are required to meet a low impact development standard that addresses flow durations below the primary standards.

Note that projects that are required or opt to model compliance with the LID Performance Standard are still subject to meeting applicable area-specific flow control requirements as determined in Core Requirement 3 (Section 1.2.3).

Level 1 Flow Control

Level 1 flow control is designed to control flood flows at their current levels and to maintain peak flows within the capacity of the conveyance system for most storm events. Specifically, Level 1 flow control requires **matching the predevelopment peak flow rates** for the 2-year and 10-year runoff events. This standard may be modified under certain conditions to only match the 10-year peak flow as allowed in Section 1.2.3.1.A.

The Level 1 flow control standard is typically applied to basins where studies have shown that additional flow attenuation provides no significant benefit to the receiving waters.

Level 2 Flow Control

Level 2 flow control is designed to control the durations of geomorphically significant flows and thereby maintain or, in some applications, reduce existing channel and streambank erosion rates. A geomorphically significant flow is one that moves channel bedload sediments. The flow that initiates transport of channel sediments varies from channel to channel, but one-half of the 2-year flow is considered a good general estimate of the erosion-initiating flow. More specifically, Level 2 flow control requires **maintaining the durations of high flows** at their predevelopment levels for all flows greater than one-half of the 2-year peak flow up to the 50-year peak flow. The predevelopment peak flow rates for the 2-year and 10-year runoff events cannot be exceeded when applying Level 2 flow control. The **predevelopment condition** to be assumed for matching durations varies depending on the County's conservation/protection goals for the downstream drainage system. One of three different predevelopment conditions will be applied as specified in Section 1.2.3.1. They include ***existing site conditions***, ***historic site conditions*** (forested), and 75/15/10 conditions (i.e., 75% forest, 15% grass, and 10% impervious surface). In most locations of the County, ***historic site conditions*** will apply.

The use of ***historic site conditions*** is intended to provide a hydrologic regime that more closely matches the conditions to which local aquatic species have adapted.

Level 3 Flow Control

Level 3 flow control is **intended to mitigate water level changes** in certain volume-sensitive water bodies such as lakes, wetlands, closed depressions where ***severe flooding problems*** have been documented. It is the most stringent standard applied in this manual (see Section 1.2.3.1). Because such water bodies act as natural flow dampeners, it is difficult to detain collected stormwater beyond the natural residence time of these systems. Therefore, the increased volume of runoff from new development inevitably increases the

water level fluctuations of these water bodies. The Level 3 flow control standard provides additional storage and increases the detention time to minimize these downstream impacts.

This standard requires **maintaining the durations of high flows** at their predevelopment levels for all flows greater than one-half of the 2-year flow up to the 50-year flow and **holding the 100-year peak flow** rate at its predevelopment level. The predevelopment peak flow rates for the 2-year and 10-year runoff events are also intended to be maintained when applying Level 3 flow control. As with the Level 2 standard, the **predevelopment condition** to be assumed for matching durations varies depending on the County's conservation/protection goals for the downstream drainage system.

This standard is primarily applied in the contributing areas of specific water bodies with ***severe flooding problems***, and which are known to be sensitive to flow volume changes.

Low Impact Development (LID) Performance Standard

Recent research indicates that traditional development techniques in residential, commercial, and industrial land development cause gross disruption of the natural hydrologic cycle with severe impacts to water and water-related natural resources. Based upon gross level applications of continuous runoff modeling and assumptions concerning minimum flows needed to maintain beneficial uses, watersheds must retain the majority of their natural vegetation cover and soils, and developments must minimize their disruption of the natural hydrologic cycle in order to avoid significant natural resource degradation in lowland streams. The Low Impact Development (LID) Performance standard is intended to **mitigate development impacts to the low-flow regime not captured by the Level 1/2/3 flow control standards**.

The LID Performance Standard is defined as follows:

> For the target surfaces subject to Core Requirement 9, *stormwater discharges shall* ***match developed discharge durations to pre-developed durations*** *for the range of pre-developed discharge rates from 8% of the 2-year peak flow to 50% of the 2-year peak flow. Assume* ***historic site conditions*** *as the predeveloped condition.*

Projects demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard through direct modeling (required for some projects). All projects must also protect the soil moisture capacity of new pervious in accordance with KCC16.82.100 (F) and (G). Note that flow control BMPs (FCBMPs) are modeled explicitly (e.g. tested infiltration rates with correction factors, etc. determined and selected per Section 5.2.1) when demonstrating compliance with the LID Performance Standard[1]. However, when modeling flow control facility sizing, water quality facility sizing, and the peak flow exceptions from the area-specific flow control facility requirement in Sections 1.2.3.1.A, B, and C, these BMPs are subject to the same limitations and allowed only the modeling credits described in Core Requirement 9 and Table 1.2.9.A[2]. FCBMPs used to demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard must meet the implementation requirements described in Section 1.2.9.4.

Where the LID Standard is Required

Subdivision and road improvement projects on sites/lots 5 acres or larger that are **located outside the UGA** are required to demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard through direct modeling, the only exception being that short subdivisions may opt to fully comply with requirements described in Section 1.2.9.3.3, "Large Rural Subdivision and Large Rural Road Improvement Project BMP Requirements".

Non-subdivision projects making improvements on an individual site/lot 5 acres or larger and **located outside the UGA** are required to either demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard through direct modeling or fully comply with requirements described in Section 1.2.9.2.3, "Large Rural Lot BMP Requirements".

[1] To assist the designer, several BMPs have been conservatively pre-sized for LID compliance using explicit modeling in the WWHM2012 hydrologic model. See Core Requirement #9 and Appendix C for details.

[2] Application of modeling credits for flow control facility sizing requires infiltration to be *turned off* during the flow routing analysis to avoid double-counting the BMP benefit. Explicit modeling of BMP infiltration for facility sizing is not allowed.

Other project types that are not subject to this modeling requirement may opt to use it in lieu of the BMP selection and application requirements described in Sections 1.2.9.1 and 1.2.9.2.

3.1.3 HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS USING CONTINUOUS MODELS

The Need for Continuous Hydrologic Modeling

This manual prescribes the use of a **continuous hydrologic model** for most hydrologic analyses rather than an event model. Event models such as the Santa Barbara Urban Hydrograph (SBUH), King County Runoff Series (KCRTS) and the Soil Conservation Service (SCS)[3] method were used in previous versions of this manual for all hydrologic analyses. A continuous model was chosen because hydrologic problems in western Washington are associated with the high volumes of flow from sequential winter storms rather than high peak flows from short duration, high intensity rainfall events.

The continuous hydrologic analysis tools prescribed in this manual are generically described as the "**approved model";** a list of the approved models is found in Reference 6-D (as updated). At this writing, the approved continuous hydrologic models[4] include the **Western Washington Hydrologic Model (WWHM)** and **MGS Flood**, both of which are variants of the Hydrologic Simulation Program-FORTRAN (HSPF) model. HSPF is also an approved model, but is more complex than other approved models and is typically used for basin planning and master drainage plan analyses.

Continuous models are well suited to accounting for the climatological conditions in the lowland Puget Sound area. Continuous models include algorithms that maintain a continuous water balance for a catchment to account for soil moisture and hydraulic conditions antecedent to each storm event (Linsley, Kohler, Paulhus, 1982), whereas event models assume initial conditions and only address single hypothetical storm events. As a result, continuous hydrologic models are more appropriate for evaluating runoff during the extended wet winters typical of the Puget Sound area.

The **drawbacks of event models** are summarized as follows:

- Event methods inherently overestimate peak flows from undeveloped land cover conditions. The overestimation is due, in part, to the assumption that runoff from forest and pasture land covers flows across the ground surface. In actuality, the runoff from forests and pastures, on till soils, is dominated by shallow subsurface flows (interflow) which have hydrologic response times much longer than those used in event methods. This leads to an over estimation of predeveloped peak flows, which results in detention facility release rates being overestimated and storage requirements being underestimated.
- A single event cannot represent the sequential storm characteristics of Puget Sound winters.
- Event models assume detention facilities are empty at the start of a design event, whereas actual detention facilities may be partially full as a result of preceding storms.
- Testing of event-designed detention facilities with calibrated, long-term continuous hydrologic simulations demonstrates that these facilities do not achieve desired performance goals.
- Event methods do not allow analysis of flow durations or water level fluctuations.

The **benefits of continuous hydrologic modeling** are summarized as follows:

- A continuous model accounts for the long duration and high precipitation volume of winter wet periods characterized by sequential, low-intensity rainfall events. Continuous simulation uses continuous long-term records of observed rainfall rather than short periods of data representing hypothetical storm events. As a result, continuous simulation explicitly accounts for the long duration

[3] The Soil Conservation Service (SCS) is now known as the National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). The method described in *Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds*, Technical Release 55 (TR-55), June 1986, published by the NRCS, is commonly referred to as the "SCS method".

[4] Note that MGS Flood is not currently approved for modeling bioretention. It will be allowed for modeling bioretention by King County only at such time that it is formally approved by Ecology for that use.

rainfall events typically experienced in the Pacific Northwest as well as the effects of rainfall antecedent to major storm events.

- HSPF has been shown to more accurately simulate runoff from basins with a wide range of sizes and land covers using the regional parameters developed by the United States Geologic Survey (USGS).
- Continuous simulation allows direct examination of flow duration data for assessing the impacts of development on stream erosion and morphology. An event model, whether using a 1-day or a 7-day storm, cannot provide such information.
- A continuous model allows water level analysis for wetlands, lakes, and closed depressions whose water level regime is often dependent on seasonal runoff rather than on 1-day or 7-day event runoff.
- Continuous models produce flow control facilities that more accurately and effectively achieve desired performance goals.

The importance of continuous modeling in the Puget Sound area is illustrated in Figure 3.1.3.A (p. 3-9), which shows a small basin's runoff response to a series of winter storms and the outflow from a detention pond designed to control the peak annual flows from this basin. Note that the largest outflow from the detention pond corresponds not to the peak inflow on 11/6/86, but rather to the high volume of flow from the sequential storms beginning on 11/19/86. This demonstrates a key difference between continuous and event based models.

With an event model, designers are accustomed to working with a single design storm event (e.g., 10-year), which by definition has the same return period once routed through a reservoir (10-year inflow will always generate 10-year outflow). With a continuous model, flow recurrence estimates are based on annual peak flow rates, with each time series being analyzed independently. Events that generate annual peak inflows to a reservoir may not generate annual peak discharges from the reservoir. In other words, the runoff event containing the 10-year inflow peak, when routed, may not create the 10-year outflow peak. This is due to natural variability of storm peaks and volumes (e.g., high intensity/short duration thunderstorms as compared to moderate intensity/long duration winter storms) contained within a continuous record.

Requirements of Continuous Hydrologic Modeling

For the entire period of simulation, a continuous hydrologic model requires a continuous record of precipitation and evaporation at discrete time steps small enough to capture the temporal variability of hydrologic response, and it provides a continuous record of simulated flows at the same time step. The quicker a basin responds hydrologically (e.g., due to small size, land cover, or lack of detention), the smaller the time step should be. Time steps of 15 minutes are sufficient for most basins in the Puget Sound area.

The continuous hydrologic model must include mathematical representations of hydrologic processes to determine the fate and movement of rainfall. For example, a good continuous hydrologic model must include representations of infiltration processes to determine how much water infiltrates the soil and how much runs off the surface. It must represent shallow and deep soil storage as well as the release of subsurface water to streams via interflow and groundwater flow, and it must also account for the loss of soil water to the atmosphere via evapotranspiration between rainfall events. The benefit of all this computation is a complete hydrologic assessment including information on peak flow rates, flow durations, storm volumes, seasonal volumes, annual volumes, and water levels of receiving bodies.

FIGURE 3.1.3.A EFFECTS OF SEQUENTIAL STORMS ON DETENTION PERFORMANCE



(This page intentionally left blank)

3.2 RUNOFF COMPUTATION AND ANALYSIS METHODS

This section presents the following four runoff computation methods accepted for hydrologic analysis and design in King County:

- The **Rational Method** described below and detailed in Section 3.2.1 (p. 3-13)
- The **TR-55 or SBUH methods** described below.
- The **Runoff Files Method** described below and detailed in Section 3.2.2 (p. 3-21)
- The **Hydrologic Simulation Program-FORTRAN (HSPF) model** described below and detailed in Section 3.2.4 (p. 3-34).

❑ ACCEPTABLE USES OF RUNOFF COMPUTATION METHODS

Acceptable uses of the four runoff computation methods are summarized below and in Table 3.2 (p. 3-12):

- Rational Method: This method is most appropriate for sizing new conveyance systems that drain smaller, quickly responding tributary areas (i.e., less than 10 acres) where very short, intense storms tend to generate the highest peak flows. The Rational Method may also be used for conveyance sizing in any size basin if the attenuation effects of existing storage features within the basin are ignored.
- TR-55/SBUH Methods: The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS, formerly the Soil Conservation Service (SCS)) TR-55 method or the SBUH method of the 1990 King County *Surface Water Design Manual* may be used for conveyance sizing where tributary areas are greater than or equal to 10 acres and if storage features are ignored. The peak flows from these single-event models are considered conservative for larger tributary areas if the flows are not routed through existing storage features. The TR-55 method is also used for water quality volume calculation in this manual. For more background information, refer to NRCS Publication 210-VI-TR-55, Second Edition (June 1986) or the 1990 *SWDM*.
- The Runoff Files Method: This continuous modeling method using the approved model is the most versatile for quickly performing many of the computations summarized in Table 3.2 (p. 3-12). For conveyance sizing and analysis, the peak flows from the approved model are most accurate when the shortest possible time step is used. Unlike the Rational Method, the approved model may be used for tributary areas less than 10 acres where there is a significant storage feature(s). King County requires **15-minute time steps for sizing of all flow control facilities, water quality facilities and conveyance** to provide consistent management of surface water and protect against cumulative increases in peak flows on a basin-wide basis (see Sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.2).

  Methods for analysis and design of detention storage and water levels[5] require the use of the approved model. See the user's documentation for background and guidance.
- HSPF Model: For projects in Large Project Drainage Review (see Section 1.1.2.5), the County may require HSPF modeling for formulating a Master Drainage Plan (see *Master Drainage Planning for Large Site Developments - Process and Requirement Guidelines* available from DNRP or DLS-Permitting). The County also generally encourages use of HSPF for tributary areas larger than 200 acres. The HSPF model can be used wherever the approved model is allowed for sizing and analysis of conveyance systems, flow control facilities, and water quality facilities. For such projects draining to a wetland or potentially impacting groundwater resources or stream base flows, the County may require the collection of actual rainfall and runoff data to be used in developing and calibrating the HSPF model.

[5] One of the simplest and most commonly used level pool routing methods is described in the *Handbook of Applied Hydrology* (Chow, Ven Te, 1964) and elsewhere, and summarized in Reference 6-C, It is based on the continuity equation and can be completed with a spreadsheet. Although not approved for design with this manual, it provides a background for modeled routing techniques.

TABLE 3.2 ACCEPTABLE USES OF RUNOFF COMPUTATION METHODS

TYPE OF COMPUTATION	APPLIED TO	Rational Method	TR 55/SBUH	THE APPROVED MODEL	HSPF
PEAK FLOW CONVEYANCE SIZING INC. TESC[1] (DESIGN FLOWS) (See Chapter 4 for hydraulic analysis procedures)	**Tributary Areas < 10 ac** (measured to individual conveyance elements)	REQUIRED for undetained areas,[2] and OKAY for detained areas if no storage routing[3] is performed		OKAY if majority of tributary area is detained[4] and 15-minute time steps are used	OKAY if majority of tributary area is detained[4] and 15-minute time steps are used
	Tributary Areas ≥ 10 ac	OKAY if no storage routing[3] is performed	OKAY if no storage routing[3] is performed	OKAY if using 15-minute time steps (storage routing is allowed)	OKAY if using 15-minute time steps (storage routing is allowed)
LEVEL-POOL ROUTING FLOW CONTROL (NEW/EXIST.) & WQ FACILITY SIZING AND ANALYSIS	**Projects in Full Drainage Review**			OKAY (must use 15-minute time steps)	OKAY (must use 15-minute time steps)
	Projects in Large Project Drainage Review			MAY BE ALLOWED[5] (must use 15-minute time steps)	MAY BE REQUIRED[5] (must use 15-minute time steps)
DOWNSTREAM ANALYSIS	**Projects in Full or Targeted Drainage Review**	OKAY if no storage routing[3] is performed	OKAY for tributary areas ≥ 10 ac. if no storage routing[3] is performed	OKAY if using 15-minute time steps	OKAY if using 15-minute time steps
	Projects in Large Project Drainage Review	MAY BE ALLOWED[5] if used as described in the box above	MAY BE ALLOWED[5] if as described in the box above	MAY BE ALLOWED[5] if used as described in the box above	
PEAK FLOWS FOR APPLYING EXEMPTIONS & THRESHOLDS	**All Projects**			OKAY (must use 15-minute time steps)	OKAY (must use 15-minute time steps)

Notes:

(1) Water quality design flow rates are determined as described in Section 6.2.1 (p. 6-17).

(2) *Undetained areas* are those upstream of detention facilities or other storage features.

(3) *Storage routing* uses the Level Pool Routing technique (described in Reference 6-C) or other similar method to account for the attenuation of peak flows passing through a detention facility or other storage feature.

(4) The majority of the tributary area is considered *detained* if the runoff from more than 50% of the tributary area is detained by a detention facility or other storage facility.

(5) For projects in Large Project Drainage Review, the selection of methodology for detention sizing and/or downstream analysis becomes a site-specific or basin-specific decision that is usually made by DLS-Permitting during the scoping process for master drainage plans. Guidelines for selecting the approved model, HSPF, or calibrated HSPF are found in the King County publication *Master Drainage Planning for Large or Complex Site Developments*, available from DNRP or DLS-Permitting.

3.2.1 RATIONAL METHOD

The Rational Method is a simple, conservative method for analyzing and sizing conveyance elements serving small drainage subbasins, subject to the following specific **limitations**:

- Only for use in predicting peak flow rates for sizing conveyance elements
- Drainage subbasin area A cannot exceed 10 acres for a single peak flow calculation
- The time of concentration T_c must be computed using the method described below and cannot exceed 100 minutes. It is also set equal to 6.3 minutes when computed to be less than 6.3 minutes.
  Note: Unlike other methods of computing times of concentration, the 6.3 minutes is not an initial collection time to be added to the total computed time of concentration.

❑ RATIONAL METHOD EQUATION

The following is the traditional Rational Method equation:

$$Q_R = CI_RA \quad (3\text{-}1)$$

where Q_R = peak flow (cfs) for a storm of return frequency R
C = estimated runoff coefficient (ratio of rainfall that becomes runoff)
I_R = peak rainfall intensity (inches/hour) for a storm of return frequency R
A = drainage subbasin area (acres)

"*C*" Values

The allowable runoff coefficients to be used in this method are shown in Table 3.2.1.A (p. 3-15) by type of land cover. These values were selected following a review of the values previously accepted by King County for use in the Rational Method and as described in several engineering handbooks. The values for single family residential areas were computed as composite values (as illustrated in the following equation) based on the estimated percentage of coverage by roads, roofs, yards, and unimproved areas for each density. For drainage basins containing several land cover types, the following formula may be used to compute a composite runoff coefficient, C_c:

$$C_c = (C_1A_1 + C_2A_2 + ... + C_nA_n)/A_t \quad (3\text{-}2)$$

where A_t = total area (acres)
$A_{1,2,...n}$ = areas of land cover types (acres)
$C_{1,2,...n}$ = runoff coefficients for each area land cover type

"I_R" Peak Rainfall Intensity

The peak rainfall intensity I_R for the specified design storm of return frequency R is determined using a unit peak rainfall intensity factor i_R in the following equation:

$$I_R = (P_R)(i_R) \quad (3\text{-}3)$$

where P_R = the total precipitation at the ***project site*** for the 24-hour duration storm event for the given return frequency. Total precipitation is found on the Isopluvial Maps in Figure 3.2.1.A through Figure 3.2.1.D beginning on page 3-16.
i_R = the unit peak rainfall intensity factor

The unit peak rainfall intensity factor i_R is determined by the following equation:

$$i_R = (a_R)(T_c)^{(-b_R)} \quad (3\text{-}4)$$

where T_c = time of concentration (minutes), calculated using the method described below and subject to equation limitations ($6.3 \le T_c \le 100$)

a_R, b_R = coefficients from Table 3.2.1.B (p. 3-15) used to adjust the equation for the design storm return frequency R

This "i_R" equation was developed by DNRP from equations originally created by Ron Mayo, P.E. It is based on the original **Renton/Seattle Intensity/Duration/Frequency (I.D.F.) curves**. Rather than requiring a family of curves for various locations in King County, this equation adjusts proportionally the Renton/Seattle I.D.F. curve data by using the 24-hour duration total precipitation isopluvial maps. This adjustment is based on the assumption that the localized geo-climatic conditions that control the total volume of precipitation at a specific location also control the peak intensities proportionally.

Note: Due to the mathematical limits of the equation coefficients, values of T_c less than 6.3 minutes or greater than 100 minutes cannot be used. Therefore, real values of T_c less than 6.3 minutes must be assumed to be equal to 6.3 minutes, and values greater than 100 minutes must be assumed to be equal to 100 minutes.

"T_c" Time of Concentration

The time of concentration is defined as the time it takes runoff to travel overland (from the onset of precipitation) from the most hydraulically distant location in the drainage basin to the point of discharge. *Note: When C_c (see Equation 3-2) of a drainage basin exceeds 0.60, it may be important to compute T_c and peak rate of flow from the impervious area separately.* The computed peak rate of flow for the impervious surface alone may exceed that for the entire drainage basin using the value at T_c for the total drainage basin. The higher of the two peak flow rates shall then be used to size the conveyance element.

T_c is computed by summation of the travel times T_t of overland flow across separate flowpath segments defined by the six categories of land cover listed in Table 3.2.1.C (p. 3-15), which were derived from a chart published by the Soil Conservation Service in 1975. The equation for time of concentration is:

$$T_c = T_1 + T_2 + ... + T_n \quad (3\text{-}5)$$

where $T_{1,2,...n}$ = travel time for consecutive flowpath segments with different land cover categories or flowpath slope

Travel time for each segment t is computed using the following equation:

$$T_t = \frac{L}{60V} \quad (3\text{-}6)$$

where T_t = travel time (minutes) Note*: T_t through an open water body (such as a pond) shall be assumed to be zero with this method*

L = the distance of flow across a given segment (feet)

V = average velocity (fps) across the land cover = $k_R \sqrt{s_o}$

where k_R = time of concentration velocity factor; see Table 3.2.1.C

s_o = slope of flowpath (feet/feet)

TABLE 3.2.1.A RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS - "*C*" VALUES FOR THE RATIONAL METHOD

General Land Covers		Single Family Residential Areas*	
Land Cover	*C*	Land Cover Density	*C*
Dense forest	0.10	0.20 DU/GA (1 unit per 5 ac.)	0.17
Light forest	0.15	0.40 DU/GA (1 unit per 2.5 ac.)	0.20
Pasture	0.20	0.80 DU/GA (1 unit per 1.25 ac.)	0.27
Lawns	0.25	1.00 DU/GA	0.30
Playgrounds	0.30	1.50 DU/GA	0.33
Gravel areas	0.80	2.00 DU/GA	0.36
Pavement and roofs	0.90	2.50 DU/GA	0.39
Open water (pond, lakes, wetlands)	1.00	3.00 DU/GA	0.42
		3.50 DU/GA	0.45
		4.00 DU/GA	0.48
		4.50 DU/GA	0.51
		5.00 DU/GA	0.54
		5.50 DU/GA	0.57
		6.00 DU/GA	0.60

* Based on average 2,500 square feet per lot of impervious coverage.
For combinations of land covers listed above, an area-weighted "C_c x A_t" sum should be computed based on the equation C_c x A_t = (C_1 x A_1) + (C_2 x A_2) + ...+(C_n x A_n), where A_s = (A_1 + A_2 + ...+A_n), the total drainage basin area.

TABLE 3.2.1.B COEFFICIENTS FOR THE RATIONAL METHOD "i_R" EQUATION

Design Storm Return Frequency	a_R	b_R
2 years	1.58	0.58
5 years	2.33	0.63
10 years	2.44	0.64
25 years	2.66	0.65
50 years	2.75	0.65
100 years	2.61	0.63

TABLE 3.2.1.C k_R VALUES FOR T_t USING THE RATIONAL METHOD

Land Cover Category	k_R
Forest with heavy ground litter and meadow	2.5
Fallow or minimum tillage cultivation	4.7
Short grass pasture and lawns	7.0
Nearly bare ground	10.1
Grassed waterway	15.0
Paved area (sheet flow) and shallow gutter flow	20.0

FIGURE 3.2.1.A 2-YEAR 24-HOUR ISOPLUVIALS



FIGURE 3.2.1.B 10-YEAR 24-HOUR ISOPLUVIALS



FIGURE 3.2.1.C 25-YEAR 24-HOUR ISOPLUVIALS



FIGURE 3.2.1.D 100-YEAR 24-HOUR ISOPLUVIALS



❑ RATIONAL METHOD EXAMPLE

Compute the peak flow Q_{25} to size a new roadway cross culvert for a 9.8-acre drainage basin east of Kent, P_{25} = 3.42 inches.

Given: AREAS

A_1 = 4.3 acres of single family residential area at 3.8 DU/GA
A_2 = 2.3 acres of light forest
A_3 = 3.2 acres of pasture
A_t = 9.8 total acres

DESCRIPTION OF FLOWPATH SEGMENTS FOR T_c

L_1 =	300 feet	s_1 =	0.08	forest land cover	k_R = 2.5
L_2 =	200 feet	s_2 =	0.03	meadow	k_R = 2.5
L_3 =	1000 feet	s_3 =	0.015	grassed waterway (ditch)	k_R = 15.0

Compute: COMPOSITE RUNOFF COEFFICIENT C_c

A_1: C_1 = From Table 3.2.1.A (p. 3-15), C for 4.00 DU/GA = 0.48, C for 3.50 DU/GA = 0.45. Therefore, C_1 for 3.80 DU/GA = 0.47 by visual interpolation.
A_2: C_2 = 0.15
A_3: C_3 = 0.20

C_c = $[(C_1 \times A_1) + (C_2 \times A_2) + (C_3 \times A_3)]/A_t$
= $[(0.47 \times 4.3) + (0.15 \times 2.3) + (0.20 \times 3.2)]/9.8$ = 0.31

PEAK RAINFALL INTENSITY I_R

First, compute T_c:

$$T_1 = \frac{L_1}{60V_1} = \frac{L_1}{60(k_R\sqrt{s_1})} = \frac{300}{60(2.5\sqrt{0.08})}$$

= 7 minutes

$$T_2 = \frac{L_2}{60V_2} = \frac{L_2}{60(k_R\sqrt{s_2})} = \frac{200}{60(2.5\sqrt{0.03})}$$

= 8 minutes

$$T_3 = \frac{L_3}{60V_3} = \frac{L_3}{60(k_R\sqrt{s_3})} = \frac{1000}{60(15\sqrt{0.015})}$$

= 9 minutes

T_c = $T_1 + T_2 + T_3 = 7 + 8 + 9$ = 24 minutes

Second, compute i_R for R = 25:

i_{25} = $(a_R)(T_c)^{(-b_R)} = (2.66)(24)^{-(0.65)}$ = 0.34

Third, compute I_R for R = 25:

I_{25} = $(P_{25})(i_{25}) = (3.42)(0.34)$ = 1.16

PEAK RUNOFF RATE

$Q_{25} = C\,I_{25}\,A = C_c\,I_{25}\,A = (0.31)(1.16)(9.8)$ = 3.5 cfs

3.2.2 CONTINUOUS MODELS AND THE RUNOFF FILES METHOD

The approved continuous model/runoff files implementations of HSPF were developed as tools that have the accuracy and versatility of HSPF but are much simpler to use and provide a framework for efficient design of onsite stormwater detention facilities. This section describes the Runoff Files Method. The term *runoff files* refers to a database of continuous flows presimulated by HSPF. The KCRTS software package has formerly been a tool for using this flow database. Current approved continuous models are listed in Reference 6-D (as updated) and include the Western Washington Hydrology Model (WWHM) and MGSFlood[6]. Projects are required to use the same model throughout unless otherwise approved through the adjustment process described in Section 1.4.

The Runoff Files method was developed as a hydrologic modeling tool for western King County to produce results (design flows, detention pond sizing, etc.) comparable to those obtained with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's HSPF model but with significantly less effort. This is achieved by providing the user with a set of time series files of unit area land surface runoff ("runoff files") presimulated with HSPF for a range of land cover conditions and soil types within King County. The design flows are estimated and detention facilities are designed by directly accessing and manipulating the runoff file data by means of the continuous modeling software. Typical basic capabilities of the continuous modeling software include:

- Estimating time series of flows for a specified land use and location within King County
- Analyzing flow frequency and duration
- Analyzing water surface frequency and duration
- Plotting analysis results
- Sizing detention facilities.

❑ DEVELOPMENT OF THE RUNOFF FILES

To compile the runoff files, the land surface hydrologic response (represented by a time series of unit area land surface runoff) was generated by HSPF with regional parameters for a variety of land use classifications and for two long-term (over 50-year) rainfall stations, one representing the western lowlands of King County (Sea-Tac Airport) and the other representing the eastern foothills (Landsburg). The methods for developing the runoff files are specific to the individual approved models. Consult the program documentation and the software provider's website information for the particular model for background on the development of the runoff files for that model.

Runoff time series were generated with data from these and other stations for the following **eight soil/land cover types:**

- Impervious
- Till forest
- Till pasture
- Till grass
- Outwash forest
- Outwash pasture
- Outwash grass
- Wetland.

[6] Note that MGS Flood is not currently approved for modeling bioretention. It will be allowed for modeling bioretention by King County only at such time that it is formally approved by Ecology for that use.

HSPF and the approved models simulate surface runoff, interflow, and groundwater flow**.** Groundwater flow, **induced by surface runoff or occurring naturally,** is usually lost from the system through the analysis, but may require consideration in the analysis if it expresses to the surface. Consult the user's guide for application of the interflow and groundwater components of runoff in the approved continuous model.

3.2.2.1 GENERATING TIME SERIES

Most hydrologic analyses will require time series of flows for different land use conditions. For example, to size a Level 1 flow control detention facility, 2- and 10-year peaks from the facility discharge time series must be compared with 2- and 10-year peaks from the predevelopment time series. To generate a flow time series with the approved continuous model, depending on the model used, the program applies the following:

1. As determined by selecting the project's location on a map,
    - The **rainfall region** of the county within which the project lies (i.e., the rainfall station—Sea-Tac or Landsburg) and multiplier (a **regional scale factor** applied to the runoff files) to account for variations in rainfall volumes between the ***project site*** and the rainfall station, *or*
    - A calibrated **area-specific rainfall map** developed from the Sea-Tac/Landsburg rainfall data.
    - Site specific calibrated rainfall data may also developed as part of an HSPF analysis. See the approved model's documentation for background on the development of the runoff files for the model.
2. The **time step** to be used in the analysis. **15-minute time steps are required for all applications including detention sizing and volume analysis**.
3. The **complete historical runoff record** used in the analysis:
4. The **amount of land** (acreage) of each soil/cover group for the subbasin under study, as calculated per model methodology and the methods described in this chapter.
5. If applicable, the **percentage of impervious area** that is effectively connected to the drainage system, typically accounted for by adjusting actual impervious area for the model inputs.

See the user's documentation for the approved model for methodology and guidance for generating a new time series. See Reference 6-D for specific guidance to be used with this manual.

❑ SELECTION OF PRECIPITATION RECORD AND REGIONAL SCALE FACTOR

As noted in the previous section, runoff files King County were developed using data primarily from two rainfall stations, Sea-Tac Airport and Landsburg. The regions within King County to which data from the two stations apply were delineated such that data from Sea-Tac Airport is applied to the drier western part of the county, while data from Landsburg is applied to the wetter eastern part of the county, including developable areas in the Cascade foothills. The line separating the two regions was based on daily rainfall depths.

The *regional scale factor* is a geographically variable multiplier applied to the flow time series to account for the considerable variations in rainfall amounts, and hence runoff, within the two regions, especially in the eastern region represented by rainfall data from Landsburg. Whereas previous models (e.g., KCRTS) required determination by mapped values as data input, the scaling effects are determined in the currently approved continuous models by selecting the project location within the model (e.g., WWHM and MGS Flood). See the approved model user's documentation for background and guidance.

❑ CATEGORIZATION OF SOIL TYPES AND LAND COVER

The Runoff Files method typically supports several land use classifications, including till forest, till pasture, till grass, outwash forest, outwash pasture, outwash grass, wetland, and impervious. These classifications incorporate both the effects of soil type and land cover. In the SCS method, four different hydrologic soil groups are defined (A, B, C, and D) based on soil type as mapped by the SCS. The SCS also defines hydrologic response for about a dozen different land use or cover types. The SCS method therefore allows the user a considerably greater degree of flexibility in defining land cover and soil types than do continuous models. However, the flexibility and apparent detail available with the SCS method cannot be supported on the basis of the data used to develop that method. The Runoff Files method minimizes the number of land use classifications, thereby simplifying both the analysis and review of development proposals.

Soil Groups for the Continuous Model

The following soil characterization is generally true for continuous models; however, consult the model documentation for specific applicability.

Till Soils

Till soils are underlain at shallow depths by relatively impermeable glacial till. The principal SCS soil group within King County classified as a till soil is the Alderwood series (SCS hydrological soil group C), which is the most common soil type throughout the western part of the county. The hydrologic response of till soils in an undeveloped, forested state is characterized by relatively slight surface runoff, substantial interflow occurring along the interface between the till soil and the underlying glacial till, and slight groundwater seepage into the glacial till.

Bedrock soils, primarily Beausite and Ovall soils in King County, are underlain by either sandstone or andesite bedrock, and a large group of alluvial soils.

Alluvial soils are found in valley bottoms. These are generally fine-grained and often have a high seasonal water table. There has been relatively little experience in calibrating the HSPF model to runoff from these soils, so in the absence of better information, these soils have been grouped as till soils. Most alluvial soils are classified by the SCS in hydrologic soil groups C and D.

Outwash Soils

Outwash soils are formed from highly permeable sands and gravels. The principal SCS soil group classified as an outwash soil is the Everett series. Where outwash soils are underlain at shallow depths (less than 5 feet) by glacial till or where outwash soils are saturated, they may need to be treated as till soils for the purpose of application in the model. Refer to the model documentation for specifics.

Wetland Soils

Wetland soils have a high water content, are poorly drained, and are seasonally saturated. For the purposes of applying continuous modeling in King County, wetland soils can be assumed to coincide with wetlands as defined in the critical areas code (KCC 21A.24).

The approximate correspondence between SCS soil types and the appropriate soil group for typical continuous modeling is given in Table 3.2.2.A (p. 3-24) (refer to the model documentation for specific soil group application for the model). If the soils underlying a proposed project have not been mapped, or if existing soils maps are in error or not of sufficient resolution, then a soils analysis and report shall be prepared and stamped by a ***civil engineer*** with expertise in soils to verify underlying soil conditions.

TABLE 3.2.2.A EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN SCS SOIL TYPES AND TYPICAL CONTINUOUS MODELING SOIL TYPES

SCS Soil Type	SCS Hydrologic Soil Group	Soil Group for Continuous Model	Notes
Alderwood (AgB, AgC, AgD)	C	Till	
Arents, Alderwood Material (AmB, AmC)	C	Till	
Arents, Everett Material (An)	B	Outwash	1
Beausite (BeC, BeD, BeF)	C	Till	2
Bellingham (Bh)	D	Till	3
Briscot (Br)	D	Till	3
Buckley (Bu)	D	Till	4
Earlmont (Ea)	D	Till	3
Edgewick (Ed)	C	Till	3
Everett (EvB, EvC, EvD, EwC)	A/B	Outwash	1
Indianola (InC, InA, InD)	A	Outwash	1
Kitsap (KpB, KpC, KpD)	C	Till	
Klaus (KsC)	C	Outwash	1
Neilton (NeC)	A	Outwash	1
Newberg (Ng)	B	Till	3
Nooksack (Nk)	C	Till	3
Norma (No)	D	Till	3
Orcas (Or)	D	Wetland	
Oridia (Os)	D	Till	3
Ovall (OvC, OvD, OvF)	C	Till	2
Pilchuck (Pc)	C	Till	3
Puget (Pu)	D	Till	3
Puyallup (Py)	B	Till	3
Ragnar (RaC, RaD, RaC, RaE)	B	Outwash	1
Renton (Re)	D	Till	3
Salal (Sa)	C	Till	3
Sammamish (Sh)	D	Till	3
Seattle (Sk)	D	Wetland	
Shalcar (Sm)	D	Till	3
Si (Sn)	C	Till	3
Snohomish (So, Sr)	D	Till	3
Sultan (Su)	C	Till	3
Tukwila (Tu)	D	Till	3
Woodinville (Wo)	D	Till	3

Notes:

1. Where outwash soils are saturated or underlain at shallow depth (<5 feet) by glacial till, they should be treated as till soils.
2. These are bedrock soils, but calibration of HSPF by King County DNRP shows bedrock soils to have similar hydrologic response to till soils.
3. These are alluvial soils, some of which are underlain by glacial till or have a seasonally high water table. In the absence of detailed study, these soils should be treated as till soils.
4. Buckley soils are formed on the low-permeability Osceola mudflow. Hydrologic response is assumed to be similar to that of till soils.

Land Cover Types in Continuous Modeling

Continuous models support land cover types including forest, pasture, grass, and impervious. These cover types shall be applied in accordance with Core Requirement #3 and as specified in Table 3.2.2.B. Predevelopment land cover types are determined by whether the project is in a Basic or Conservation Flow Control Area and whether the area in question is a target surface, as defined in Section 1.2.3.1. Target surfaces within Basic Flow Control Areas and non-target surfaces are modeled as ***existing site conditions***; for target surfaces in Conservation Flow Control Areas the predeveloped condition is assumed to be ***historic site conditions***.

TABLE 3.2.2.B CONTINUOUS MODEL COVER GROUPS AND AREAS OF APPLICATION

Continuous Model	APPLICATION	
Cover Group	**Predevelopment**	**Post-Development**
Forest	All forest/shrub cover, irrespective of age.	All permanent (e.g., protected by covenant or CAO designation) onsite forest/shrub cover, irrespective of age, planted at densities sufficient to ensure 80%+ canopy cover within 5 years.
Pasture	All grassland, pasture land, lawns, and cultivated or cleared areas, except for lawns in redevelopment areas with predevelopment densities in excess of 4 DU/GA.	Unprotected forest in rural residential development shall be considered half pasture, half grass. Pasture areas to be retained on large rural residential lots (10 acres or greater) may be modeled as half pasture, half grass.
Grass	Lawns in redevelopment areas with predevelopment densities in excess of 4 DU/GA.	All post-development grassland and landscaping and all onsite forested land not protected by covenant or SASA designation (except in rural areas as noted above). For purposes of runoff modeling, underdrained pervious areas may be modeled explicitly to account for attenuation and infiltration, or may be modeled as 50% impervious/50% grass where either: (a) there is no added liner, (b) where the added liner is a treatment liner, or (c) where the added liner is one that does not restrict infiltration rates below the in situ soil infiltration rate. Other lined underdrained systems must be modeled explicitly or as 100% impervious.
Wetland	All delineated wetland areas (except cultivated/drained farmland).	All delineated wetland areas (except cultivated/drained farmland).
Impervious[(1)]	All impervious surfaces, including heavily compacted gravel and dirt roads, parking areas, etc., and open water bodies (ponds and lakes).	All impervious surfaces, including compacted gravel and dirt roads, parking areas, etc., and open water bodies, including onsite detention and water quality ponds.[(2)]

[(1)] Impervious acreage used in computations should be the **effective impervious area (EIA)**. This is the gross impervious area multiplied by the effective impervious fraction (see Table 3.2.2.D, p. 3-28), or the effective area as determined through flow control BMP credit reductions. Non-effective impervious areas are considered the same as the surrounding pervious land cover.

[(2)] To avoid iterations in the facility sizing process, the "assumed size" of the facility need only be within 80% of the final facility size when modeling its contribution of runoff from direct rainfall.

The following factors are considered in specifying the above land cover types to be used in hydrologic analysis with continuous modeling:

- Cover types are applied to anticipate ultimate land use conditions. For example, probable clearing of woodland after development is nominally complete suggests that the post-development land use be specified as grassland (either pasture or grass) unless the forest cover is protected by covenant.
- In areas of redevelopment, there are often significant changes between the predevelopment and post-development efficiencies of the drainage system. For example, in conversion of low density residential areas to higher density land use, impervious areas prior to redevelopment may not be efficiently connected to a drainage system (e.g., downspouts draining to splash blocks, ditched instead of piped roadway systems). These problems are addressed by defining an "effective impervious fraction" for existing impervious areas and by generally requiring predevelopment grasslands to be modeled as pasture land.
- All onsite, predevelopment forest/shrub cover and all offsite forest/shrub cover is defined as "forest," irrespective of age. Post-development onsite land use is defined as forested only if forested areas are in a critical area buffer or are otherwise protected and will have a minimum 80% canopy cover within 5 years. In urban areas, unprotected onsite forest cover should be treated as grass in the post-development analysis. In rural areas, unprotected forest cover should be assumed 50% grass, 50% pasture.
- The HSPF grass parameters were developed by the USGS study of regional hydrology and have generally been interpreted as providing the hydrologic response for "urban" grasslands (lawns, etc.), which have relatively low infiltration rates and are drained effectively. The HSPF "pasture" parameters were developed to provide a hydrologic response intermediate to the USGS forest and grass parameters, as might be typified by ungrazed or lightly grazed pasture with good grass cover. Because it is impossible to adequately control grassland management after development, all **post-development grassland should be modeled as "grass"** (with the exception of unprotected forest, and pasture areas on large lots, in rural development as noted above). All **predevelopment grassland should be modeled as "pasture"** except for redevelopment of areas with predevelopment land use densities of 4 DU/GA or greater (which are modeled as grass).

❑ CALCULATION OF IMPERVIOUS AREA

Total Impervious Coverage

Table 3.2.2.C (p. 3-27) lists percent impervious coverage for use in continuous runoff modeling analysis of **existing** residential areas. The tabulated figures are useful in offsite analysis that includes large developed residential areas, making a detailed survey of impervious coverage impractical.

Impervious coverage for **proposed** residential and commercial development must be estimated for each specific proposal. Impervious coverage of streets, sidewalks, hard surface trails, etc., shall be taken from layouts of the proposal. House/driveway or building coverage shall be as follows:

- For urban residential development, the assumed impervious coverage shall not be less than 4,000 square feet per lot or the maximum impervious coverage permitted by code (K.C.C. 21A.12.030), whichever is less.
- For rural residential development, the assumed impervious coverage shall not be less than 8,000 square feet per lot or the maximum impervious coverage permitted by code, whichever is less.
- For commercial or multi-family development, impervious coverage shall be estimated from layouts of the proposal.

Effective Impervious Area

The net hydrologic response of an impervious area depends on whether that area is effectively connected (usually by pipes or a channel) to a storm drainage system. The impervious area that the user inputs to the

continuous model is the "Effective Impervious Area" (EIA), the total impervious area multiplied by the **effective impervious fraction**. See Table 3.2.2.D, p. 3-28 for effective impervious fractions that apply to standard impervious surfaces. Table 1.2.9.A lists effective impervious fractions for alternative materials and approaches.

Non-effective impervious area (i.e., total impervious area less EIA) is assumed to have the same hydrologic response as the immediately surrounding pervious area. For example, for existing residential areas with rooftops draining to splash pads on lawns or landscaping, the non-effective portion of the roof areas would be treated as pasture for predevelopment conditions (if DU/GA < 4.0) and grass for post-development conditions. *Note: Credits for infiltration/dispersion of downspouts on individual lots in proposed single family residential subdivisions are applied separately on a site-specific basis (see Note 3,* Table 3.2.2.D*).*

The effective impervious fraction can be selected from Table 3.2.2.D or determined from detailed ***site*** surveys. With the exception of figures for compacted gravel and dirt roads and parking lots, the figures in Table 3.2.2.D are average figures cited by the USGS (Dinicola, 1990).

TABLE 3.2.2.C PERCENT IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE FOR EXISTING RESIDENTIAL AREAS

Dwelling Units/Gross Acre	% Impervious[1]	Dwelling Units/Gross Acre	% Impervious
1.0 DU/GA	15[2]	4.5 DU/GA	46
1.5 DU/GA	20	5.0 DU/GA	48
2.0 DU/GA	25	5.5 DU/GA	50
2.5 DU/GA	30	6.0 DU/GA	52
3.0 DU/GA	34	6.5 DU/GA	54
3.5 DU/GA	38	7.0 DU/GA	56
4.0 DU/GA	42	7.5 DU/GA	58
For PUDs, condominiums, apartments, commercial businesses, and industrial areas, percent impervious coverage must be computed.			

Notes:

(1) Includes streets and sidewalks.

(2) These figures should be adjusted by the effective impervious fraction given in Table 3.2.2.D, if applicable. Values from Table 3.2.2.D may be interpolated as necessary.

TABLE 3.2.2.D EFFECTIVE IMPERVIOUS FRACTION[1]

Land Use	Predevelopment	Post-Development
Commercial, Industrial, or Roads with Collection System	0.95	1.00[3]
Multi-Family or High Density Single Family[2] (>4 DU/GA)	0.80	1.00[3]
Medium Density Single Family[2] (4 DU/GA)	0.66	1.00[3]
Low Density Single Family[2] (1 DU/GA)	0.50	1.00[3]
Rural[2] (< 1 DU/GA)	0.40	1.00[3]
Gravel/Dirt Roads and Parking Lots, Roads without Collection System	0.50	0.50

Notes:

(1) The *effective impervious fraction* is the fraction of actual total impervious area connected to the drainage system. These figures should be used in the absence of detailed surveys or physical inspection (e.g., via pipe, channel, or short sheet flowpath).

(2) Figures for residential areas include roadways.

(3) Core Requirement 9 outlines where the use of Flow Control BMPs may be used to reduce the effective impervious area of the project

Example

Determining the land use data for an existing 20-acre residential area, with an average lot size of 9600 square feet (4.5 DU/GA), surrounding a 5-acre forested open space tract would entail the following calculations:

From Table 3.2.2.C, the portion of basin assumed impervious at 4.5 DU/GA

Total Impervious = 0.46 x 20 acres = 9.2 acres
Existing Pervious (grass) = 20 acres - 9.2 acres = 10.8 acres
Existing Pervious (forest) = 5 acres

From Table 3.2.2.D, the effective impervious area

Effective Impervious Fraction = 0.8 (at 4.5 DU/GA)
Effective Impervious Area = 0.8 x 9.2 acres = 7.36 acres
Non-Effective Impervious Area = 9.2 acres - 7.36 acres = 1.84 acres

Add the non-effective impervious area to the area of the surrounding pervious land cover.

Total Grass Area = 10.8 acres + 1.84 acres = 12.64 acres
Total Forest Area = 5 acres
Effective Impervious Area = 7.36 acres

These are the acreages that would be input into the continuous model when creating the time series.

3.2.2.2 TIME SERIES STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

When using a continuous runoff model to size flow control, water quality, and conveyance facilities, design flows and durations must be determined through statistical analysis of time series data generated by the software. ***Flow frequency analysis*** is used for determining design peak flows while ***flow duration analysis*** is used for determining durations of flow exceedance.

❑ FLOW FREQUENCY ANALYSIS

Flow frequency is a commonly used but often misunderstood concept. The *frequency of a given flow* is the average return interval for flows equal to or greater than the given flow. The *flow frequency* is actually the inverse of the probability that the flow will be equaled or exceeded in any given year (the *exceedance probability*). For example, if the exceedance probability is 0.01, or 1 in 100, that flow is referred to as the 100-year flow. Assuming no underlying changes in local climate, one would expect to see about 10 peak annual flows equal to or greater than the 100-year flow in a 1,000-year period. Similarly, the 2-year flow is the flow with a probability of 0.5, or 1 in 2, of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. In a 100-year period, one would expect to observe 50 peak annual flows greater than or equal to the 2-year flow. The number of peak annual flows actually equal to the 2-year flow may be zero, since peak annual flows come from a continuous spectrum.

There are many **methods for estimating exceedance probabilities** and therefore flow frequencies. The *USGS Bulletin 17B* methods are commonly used, as are graphical methods using the Gringorten, Cunane, or Weibull plotting schemes (Maidment, 1993). Graphical methods for flow frequency estimation involve assigning exceedance probabilities, and therefore return intervals, to each annual peak in a series of annual peak observations, and then plotting the peak flows against their assigned return periods. This plot is known as a *flow-frequency curve*, and it is a very useful tool for analyzing flood probabilities. Examples of flow-frequency curves for a small basin under various conditions are shown in Figure 3.2.2.A (p. 3-30).

Flow-frequency curves are used in continuous flow simulations to determine the effect of land use change and assess the effectiveness of detention facilities. Using continuous methodology to design detention facilities to control peak flows, the analyst must match (i.e., *not exceed*) the post-development (detained) and predevelopment flow-frequency curves at the frequencies of interest, as shown in Figure 3.2.2.A (p. 3-30), rather than match specific design events as when using an event model.

FIGURE 3.2.2.A EXAMPLE FLOW FREQUENCY ANALYSIS

Undetained Developed



Detained Developed



Flow frequency information is derived from the time series flow file by plotting the peak annual events in the runoff file and calculating runoff frequencies using a Log Pearson distribution or other statistical analysis. Typical return periods calculated in continuous models are the 100-year, 50-year, 25-year, 10-year, 5-year, 3-year, 2-year, and lesser storms for low-flow regime, LID and water quality applications.

❑ FLOW DURATION ANALYSIS

Flow duration analysis is important because it identifies the changes in durations of all high flows rather than simply the change in frequency of the peak annual flows. Channel scour and bank erosion rates rise proportionally with increases in flow durations. Flow duration analysis can only be conducted with continuous flow models or from gage records.

A **flow duration curve** is a plot of flow rate against the percentage of time that the flow rate is exceeded. In a continuous flow model, the *percent exceedance* of a given flow is determined by counting the number of time steps during which that flow is equaled or exceeded and dividing that number by the total number of time steps in the simulation period. Flow duration curves are usually plotted with a linear flow scale versus a log scale of percent exceedance. The log scale for exceedance percentage is used because geomorphically significant flows (flows capable of moving sediment) and flows that exceed the 2-year flow typically occur less than one percent of the total time.

❑ DURATIONS AND PEAKS FOR FLOW CONTROL STANDARDS

The **Level 2 flow control standard** described in Section 3.1.2 (p. 3-5) requires matching predevelopment and post-development flow duration curves for all flows greater than one-half of the 2-year flow up to the 50-year flow. Additionally, the 2-year and 10-year peak flows are to be matched (i.e., *not exceeded*).

To simplify design, **brief excursions**[7] of post development durations above the target predevelopment durations are allowed for matching flows greater than 50 percent of the predevelopment 2-year. These excursions shall not increase the duration of discharge by more than 10% at any flow level and must be strictly below the target duration curve at the low end of the range of control from 50% of the 2-year peak flow to the 2-year peak flow. This allows efficient design using only two orifices for most applications, although two-orifice designs may not allow sizing with automatic pond sizing routines; see the software documentation for guidance. An example of a flow duration analysis is shown in Figure 3.2.2.B (p. 3-32).

The **Level 3 flow control standard** matches predevelopment and post-development flow durations over the same range of predevelopment flows and requires the same matching of the 2-year and 10-year peak flows as the Level 2 flow control standard. In addition, the 100-year post-development peak flow must be contained within the facility and controlled to predevelopment levels. This standard provides additional storage volume over the Level 2 flow control facility, which substantially mitigates the impacts of increased volumes of surface runoff on downstream, volume-sensitive flooding problems.

The **Level 1 flow control standard** does not require flow duration analysis because it addresses peak flows only (the 2-year and 10-year peaks).

The **Low Impact Development (LID) performance standard** requires that stormwater discharges shall match (i.e., *not exceed)* developed discharge durations to pre-developed durations for the range of pre-developed discharge rates from 8% of the 2-year peak flow to 50% of the 2-year peak flow. No excursions above the pre-developed durations are allowed.

[7] Brief excursions may not result in more than 50% of the target duration curve being exceeded.

FIGURE 3.2.2.B EXAMPLE FLOW DURATION ANALYSIS





When evaluating impacts to closed depressions, ponding areas and wetlands, or when evaluating for tightlined system requirements in critical areas per Core Requirement #1, frequencies of water levels or determination of *average annual runoff volumes* must be determined through statistical analysis of time series data generated using a continuous runoff model.

❑ ASSESSING WATER LEVEL STATISTICS

Stage frequency analysis consists of estimating and plotting recurrence estimates for water levels within a storage feature in the same manner as flow frequency analysis is conducted for discharges. Stage frequency analysis is required for assessing runoff impacts to offsite closed depressions and ponding areas as required under Core Requirements 2 and 3, and as discussed Section 3.3.6, "Point of Compliance Analysis" (p. 3-47), or as required for analyses of wetland impacts pursuant to Core Requirement 9.

❑ ASSESSING ANNUAL AVERAGE RUNOFF VOLUMES

To compute the *annual average runoff volume*, the volume of runoff (surface + interflow) of a time series must be computed using the approved model. The analysis is performed using the entire period of record. The total volume is divided by the number of full water years being analyzed to determine the annual average runoff volume.

3.2.3 THE APPROVED MODEL

The continuous hydrologic analysis tools prescribed in this manual are generically described as the "**approved model";** a list of the approved models is found in Reference 6-D (as updated). At this writing, the approved continuous hydrologic models[8] include the **Western Washington Hydrologic Model (WWHM)** and **MGS Flood**, both of which are variants of the Hydrologic Simulation Program-FORTRAN (HSPF) model. HSPF is also an approved model, but is more complex than other approved models and is typically used for basin planning and master drainage plan analyses.

General instruction and guidance for use of the approved model is found in the user's documentation for the model. Guidance specific to King County for the continuous runoff models approved for use with this manual is contained in Reference Section 6-D. A brief overview of HSPF follows below.

3.2.4 THE HSPF MODEL

HSPF is the parent model from which the other approved model methods are built. It is a very versatile continuous hydrologic/hydraulic model that allows for a complete range of hydrologic analysis. This model has been extensively used in King, Snohomish, and Thurston counties and found to be an accurate tool for representing hydrologic conditions in this area. The USGS has developed **regional parameters** to describe the common soil/cover combinations found in this area. In many cases, these regional parameters can be used to represent rainfall/runoff relationships in lieu of ***site***-specific calibration parameters.

Unfortunately, the HSPF model is very difficult to use. Design engineers using HSPF should study this model in detail and obtain training before using it on a project. For these reasons, the HSPF model is recommended only for large and complex projects where the capabilities of the approved model are too limited.

The strengths of HSPF relative to the approved model are as follows:

1. HSPF can be calibrated to local conditions.
2. HSPF can model, link, and route many separate subbasins.
3. HSPF includes the groundwater component of streamflow.
4. HSPF can address groundwater connections and perform low-flow analysis.
5. HSPF can handle more complex hydrologic routing (e.g., evaporation, seasonal infiltration, etc.).

*The HSPF model is generally recommended for large **sites** where these additional features are required for comprehensive hydrologic and/or hydraulic analysis.* Anyone planning a project that is large enough to require Large Project Drainage Review and submittal of a Master Drainage Plan (MDP) per Section 1.1.2.5 should meet with DLS-Permitting MDP review staff regarding appropriate hydrologic analysis prior to initiating such analysis. If a project subject to Large Project Drainage Review drains to a wetland, a salmonid stream with low-flow sensitivities, or a ***critical aquifer recharge area***, it is likely that the County will require a calibrated HSPF model. If such a project drains to erosion-sensitive streams or has features with complex hydraulics, the County may recommend or require an HSPF model using the USGS regional parameters. Smaller or less sensitive subbasins within a MDP area can be analyzed with the approved model.

Additional data is required to develop an HSPF model. At a minimum, development of an HSPF model requires collection of onsite rainfall data for a period from seven to twelve months. This data is used to determine which regional long-term rainfall record is most appropriate for modeling the ***site*** and for determining transposition factors for the long-term records. If calibration is required, the onsite rainfall data is used. Calibration also requires the installation of flow gages and the collection of flow data against

[8] Note that MGS Flood is not currently approved for modeling bioretention. It will be allowed for modeling bioretention by King County only at such time that it is formally approved by Ecology for that use.

which simulated flows can be compared. HSPF analysis is based on simulations with long-term rainfall records (greater than 30 years). Long-term precipitation records in HSPF format can be obtained from the County for the Sea-Tac, Landsburg, and Carnation gages.

Land surface representation with HSPF follows the same procedures and classification as used with the approved model.

Conceptually, the outputs required from an HSPF analysis are consistent with those required from an approved model analysis, including frequency and durational analysis. Flow and/or water level frequencies shall be estimated using the full set of annual peaks from the long-term simulations using the *USGS Bulletin 17B* methods as well as the Gringorten or Cunane graphical methods. Durational analyses can be produced from the HSPF model and the results presented graphically. If a wetland is modeled, water level analyses may be required. Monthly, seasonal, and annual water balance and flow information, if appropriate, can be calculated with the HSPF model.

(This page intentionally left blank)

3.3 HYDROLOGIC DESIGN PROCEDURES AND CONSIDERATIONS

This section presents the design procedures and considerations for sizing flow control facilities to meet the required hydrologic performance specified in Core Requirement #3, Section 1.2.3. It includes the following procedures and special considerations for proper hydrologic design:

- "General Hydrologic Design Process," Section 3.3.1
- "Flow Control Design Using the Runoff Files Method," Section 3.3.2 (p. 3-39)
- "Conveyance System Design with the Runoff Files Method," Section 3.3.3 (p. 3-42)
- "Safety Factors in Hydrologic Design," Section 3.3.4 (p. 3-42)
- "Design Options for Addressing Downstream Drainage Problems," Section 3.3.5 (p. 3-44)
- "Point of Compliance Analysis," Section 3.3.6 (p. 3-47)
- "Onsite Closed Depressions and Ponding Areas," Section 3.3.7 (p. 3-50).

3.3.1 GENERAL HYDROLOGIC DESIGN PROCESS

This section presents the general process involved in conducting a hydrologic analysis using the runoff computation and analysis tools described in Section 3.2 to design flow control facilities for a project. The process is described as follows:

1. Review the **core and special requirements** in Chapter 1 to determine all requirements that will apply to the proposed project.
    a) Determine the applicable flow control standard (outflow performance criteria and land cover assumptions).
    b) If downstream drainage problems are identified through offsite analysis per Core Requirement #2, determine if they will necessitate additional onsite flow control or other measures as described in Section 3.3.5 (p. 3-44).
2. Determine and demonstrate in the Technical Information Report (see Section 2.3) the **predeveloped conditions** per Core Requirement #3, Flow Control (see Section 1.2.3).
3. Identify and delineate the drainage basin for each ***natural discharge location*** from the ***project site***.
    a) Identify **existing drainage features** such as streams, conveyance systems, detention facilities, ponding areas, depressions, wetlands, etc.
    b) Identify existing land uses.
    c) Identify soil types using SCS soil survey or onsite evaluation.
    d) Convert SCS soil types to soil classifications for the approved model.
4. Select and delineate **appropriate subbasins**, including subbasins tributary to major drainage features and important conveyance points, and subbasins for separate computation of onsite flows and offsite flows.
5. Determine **hydrologic parameters** for each subbasin under predeveloped conditions.
    a) Categorize soil types and land cover.
    b) Determine total impervious areas and effective impervious areas within each subbasin.
    c) Determine areas for each soil/cover type in each subbasin.

6. Determine the **runoff time series** for predeveloped conditions at each ***natural discharge location***.
   a) Compute the predeveloped condition runoff time series for each subbasin using 15-minute time steps.
   b) **For subbasins that drain to a drainage feature with significant detention storage** (e.g., existing detention facilities, ponding areas, closed depressions), route the runoff time series through the feature per the storage routing methods in the approved model. This will yield an attenuated flow series, which becomes the effective runoff time series for that subbasin.
   c) Sum the appropriate subbasin runoff time series to obtain the total runoff time series for each ***natural discharge location***.
   d) Determine the 100-year peak flow for each ***natural discharge location***.
7. Repeat Steps 4 through 6 for the proposed post-development condition.
8. Compare the **100-year peak flows** for the appropriate predeveloped and post-development conditions at each ***natural discharge location***.
   a) Check the "**Discharge Requirements**" criteria in Core Requirement #1 to determine the acceptable manner of discharge from the ***project site*** (using **existing conditions**).
   b) Check the **flow control exemptions** in Core Requirement #3 to determine if a flow control facility is required (using ***existing site*** or ***historic site conditions***, as specified in Core Requirement #3).
   c) Check the requirement for **bypass of runoff from non-target surfaces** in Core Requirement #3 to determine if runoff from non-target surfaces must be conveyed around onsite flow control facilities (using **existing conditions**).
9. If flow control facilities are required, determine their location and make any necessary adjustments to the developed condition subbasins.
10. **Design and size each flow control facility** using the methods described in Section 3.2 and the Runoff Files Method design procedure in Section 3.3.2.
    a) Analyze the appropriate predeveloped condition runoff time series to determine target release rates for the proposed facility. *Note: If the target release rates are zero, an infiltration facility will be required.*
    b) Compute the post-development runoff time series for the proposed facility.
    c) Use the post-development runoff time series and an iterative process to size the facility to meet the required level of performance set forth in Core Requirement #3. See the approved model user's documentation for procedures in sizing flow control facilities using continuous flow time series.
11. **Design required onsite conveyance systems** using the appropriate runoff computation method (either the Rational method or the Runoff Files method with 15-minute time steps) as specified in Section 3.2 (p. 3-11).

3.3.2 FLOW CONTROL DESIGN USING THE RUNOFF FILES METHOD

Flow control facility design using the approved modeling software involves four basic steps:

1. Determining the statistical characteristics (peaks or durations) of predevelopment flows (using 15-minute time steps) which set the targets for the facility release rates,
2. Developing preliminary facility volume and orifice configuration,
3. Routing post-development flow time series through the preliminary facility to check performance, and
4. Iteratively revising the facility and checking performance until the target flow conditions are achieved.

Instead of using individual design rainfall events as in an event model, the design of the facility is based on simulation of the facility's performance using the full historic (over 50-years) time series record of simulated post-development flows, and also on comparison of the outflow record to characteristics of the predevelopment flow record. Final design is achieved when the outflow time series meets the target flow specifications.

Detention facility design with a continuous model is based on aggregate flow statistics, not upon individual storms. *When designing detention facilities with a continuous model, the return period of the peak flow leaving the facility for a particular event may not have the same return period as the peak flow entering the facility during the same event.* Unlike event models, continuous models have natural variability in the ratio of storm peak and volume. This lack of correspondence in the return periods of peak inflows and outflows in continuous models means that facility design using a continuous runoff model is more complicated than with an event method and in general has to be done on an iterative trial-and-error basis to obtain an optimal (i.e., least volume) design.

The effect of detention facilities in controlling peak flows is dependent on both the volume and peak of the inflowing hydrograph. *Generally, it is high volume storms rather than high intensity storms that cause detention facilities to fill and overtop.* The hydrographs produced by a continuous runoff model show considerable variability in the relationships between peak flows and storm volumes. For example, one event produced by high rainfall intensities in a relatively short duration storm may produce high peak flows with a relatively small hydrograph volume. By contrast, a second rainfall event may have relatively low intensities but long duration, producing a runoff hydrograph with large volumes and relatively small peak. Due to this natural variability, the peak annual outflows from a detention facility may not correspond in time to the annual peaks of the inflow record.

Similarly, the predevelopment peak annual flows may not occur during the same storm as the peak annual flows for the post-development flow series. This is because the types of storms that produce high flows from undeveloped land covers are different from those that produce high flows from impervious surfaces. Forests generate high streamflows in response to long-duration, high-volume rainfall events that soak the soil profile, whereas impervious surfaces produce the highest flow rates in response to high precipitation intensity. This is another reason why detention facility design with a continuous runoff model is based on aggregate flow statistics, not upon individual storm hydrographs.

The following is a typical procedure for hydrologic design of detention/infiltration facilities using a continuous runoff model. Specific guidance for conducting hydrologic analysis and design with the approved model is provided in the approved model user's documentation.

1. **Create time series of flows** from the predevelopment area using graphic elements that detail the predevelopment land cover, the post-development area tributary to the facility, any onsite post-development bypass area, and any offsite flow-through areas.
2. **Add any offsite flow-through time series** to the predevelopment flow time series using similar graphic elements to produce a time series of total predevelopment outflows from the ***project site***.

Similarly, add the same offsite flow-through time series to the time series of post-development flows tributary to the facility to produce a time series of total post-development inflows to the facility.

3. Generate **peak annual flow estimates, flow duration curves** and **flow frequency curves** for pre- and post-development time series.
4. Enter the **Facility** element for the scenario and specify initial facility specifications for the type of facility proposed. Use of two orifices is usually sufficient for most designs. If designing an infiltration facility, the bottom orifice may be elevated or zero orifices may be specified.
5. **Route** the complete facility inflow time series through the facility. The outflow time series is automatically saved. Use the analysis tools to evaluate facility performance. **When sizing the facility to account for credits from flow control BMPs** per Core Requirement 9 and Appendix C, **note that it is necessary to *turn infiltration off* for on-line flow control BMPs draining to the facility, to avoid counting the flow reduction effect twice.** For facilities designed using this manual, explicit modeling of infiltrative BMPs for downstream flow control facility sizing is not allowed.
6. **Adjust orifice configuration and facility size, iterate until desired performance is achieved.** Use of the automatic facility sizing routine in the approved model is helpful.
7. **Verify the facility performance** by routing the complete time series of inflows and checking the post-development peak flows and/or durations at the ***project site*** boundary against the target flows and/or durations (see the criteria for "Evaluating Flow Control Performance" provided below). When explicitly modeling BMPs for compliance with the LID Performance standard, **two separate routings are necessary** to evaluate the *flow control credit based facility performance* and the *explicitly modeled BMPs for the LID Performance standard*.

Evaluating Flow Control Performance

Evaluating the performance of facility designs intended to provide **flow frequency control** is comparatively straightforward: the post-development facility annual peak flows should be strictly less than or equal to predevelopment annual peak flows at each of the specified return periods.

*Note: Peak flow matching is required per Core Requirement #3 The automatic sizing routines in the approved continuous runoff models are based on duration matching and **do not evaluate for peak flow compliance**. The user must complete this evaluation as an additional step to verify compliance.*

Evaluating the design performance of detention facilities providing **flow duration control**, however, generally requires several iterations. In fact, considerable time could be spent attempting to match predevelopment and post-development duration curves. Some flexibility in assessing the adequacy of fit is clearly needed to expedite both design and review. Therefore, flow duration designs will be accepted as meeting performance standards when the following conditions are met:

1. The post-development **flow duration curve** lies strictly on or below the predevelopment curve at the **lower limit of the range of flow control** (between 50% of the 2-year and the 2-year)[9].
2. **At any flow value within the upper range of flow control** (from the 2-year to the 50-year), the post-development duration of the flow is no more than 1.1 times the predevelopment flow duration.
3. The **target duration curve** may not be exceeded along more than 50% of the range of control.

[9] For small projects, the lower limit of the range of control for Levels 1 through 3 (see Section 3.1.2) is considered met with a minimum diameter (0.25 inches) lower orifice in a low head facility (maximum effective storage depth of 3 feet) where full duration control cannot be achieved at the lower limit. Predeveloped flow durations, within allowed tolerances, must be met for all flows above the best achievable lower limit. The LID Performance standard must also be met; performance results could be influenced by the minimum diameter.

4. Where a facility or BMP is used to meet the LID Performance Standard, the post-development **flow duration curve** lies strictly on or below the predevelopment curve for the **range of pre-developed discharge rates for the LID Performance standard** (from 8% of the 2-year peak flow to 50% of the 2-year peak flow)[10].

[10] See Core Requirement 9 and Appendix C for application of pre-sized flow control BMPs for mitigating the LID Performance standard in lieu of explicit modeling

3.3.3 CONVEYANCE SYSTEM DESIGN WITH THE RUNOFF FILES METHOD

This section provides guidance for use of the Runoff Files method in determining peak flows for the design and analysis of conveyance elements, overflow structures, and other peak flow sensitive drainage features.

Rainfall events that create the highest rates of runoff from developed areas are typically shorter in duration and are characterized by brief periods of high intensity rainfall. To simulate the runoff from higher intensity, shorter duration rainfall events, **a 15-minute time series is used.**

The following is the typical procedure for hydrologic design and analysis of conveyance facilities using the Runoff Files method:

1. Select and delineate **appropriate subbasins**.
    a) Select separate subbasins for major drainage features and important conveyance points.
    b) Identify existing land covers offsite and post-development land covers onsite.
    c) Identify soil types by using the SCS soil survey or by directly evaluating the ***site***.
    d) Convert SCS soil types to the approved model soil classifications.
2. Determine **hydrologic parameters** for each subbasin.
    a) Within the approved model, locate the project to determine appropriate rainfall region and/or regional scale factor.
    b) Categorize soil types and land cover per Table 3.2.2.A (p. 3-24) and Table 3.2.2.B (p. 3-25).
    c) Determine total impervious areas and effective impervious areas within each subbasin.
    d) Determine areas for each soil/cover type in each subbasin.
3. Determine **peak flows** for the conveyance element being analyzed.
    a) Following the approved model guidance, assemble the post-development scenario including an element for each subbasin and using 15-minute time steps.
    b) Set the point of compliance at the confluence of the post-developed subbasins being routed to the conveyance element. Run the scenario for the developed subbasins and conduct a **flow frequency analysis** on the results of the scenario run. From this analysis the 10-year, 25-year, and 100-year peak flows can be determined. These design flows can then be used to size or assess the capacity of pipe systems, culverts, channels, spillways, and overflow structures.

3.3.4 SAFETY FACTORS IN HYDROLOGIC DESIGN

It is often appropriate to apply safety factors to detention volumes or conveyance design flows. This manual does not require safety factors for detention or conveyance design, but it does recommend the use of safety factors when the designer believes the results of the approved model are not sufficiently conservative given local conditions. *The approved model methodology does not include inherent safety factors as it is meant to account for "average" conditions. On a particular **site**, the approved model may overestimate or underestimate flow rates and detention volumes.*

Within any soil/cover group, there is a range of hydrologic response dependent on local soil and geologic conditions for which the approved model methodology does not account. The USGS regional parameters for HSPF that were used to create the runoff files produce "average" runoff time series that overestimate peak flows in some basins and underestimate them in others. Similarly, the detention volumes designed with the approved model for a given conversion type are in the middle of the range of volumes that would be created if exact local hydrologic conditions were known for every project of that type. Therefore, some

of the detention facilities designed with the approved model are oversized and some are undersized, depending on variable ***site*** conditions.

Because of the uncertainty in local hydrologic response, King County recommends, but does not require, that a volume safety factor of 10% be applied to all detention facilities. If downstream resources are especially sensitive, or if the designer believes that the approved model significantly overestimates predevelopment flows or underestimates post-development flows, a volume safety factor of up to 20% may be appropriate. If a volume safety factor is applied to a detention facility, the volume should be increased by the given percentage at each one-foot stage increment. Safety factors for conveyance systems should be evaluated with respect to the potential damages and costs of failures due to backwatering, overtopping, etc. Applications of safety factors fall strictly within a professional engineer's judgment and accountability for design. Section 4 of the Technical Information Report should state what safety factor was applied to the design of the flow control facility.

3.3.5 DESIGN OPTIONS FOR ADDRESSING DOWNSTREAM DRAINAGE PROBLEMS

This section explains the rationale behind the problem-specific mitigation criteria summarized in Chapter 1, Table 1.2.3.A, and it presents acceptable options for addressing the three primary types of downstream drainage problems defined in Core Requirement #2.

1. ***Conveyance system nuisance problems***
2. ***Severe erosion problems***
3. ***Severe flooding problems***.

If one or more of these problems is identified through offsite analysis per Core Requirement #2, the applicant must demonstrate that the proposed project will not create or significantly aggravate the problem. This may require additional analysis, onsite flow control, and/or offsite improvements sufficient to ensure no aggravation of these problems. To reduce the need for extra analysis and to aid in the selection of measures to prevent aggravation, a set of options corresponding to each of the three types of downstream drainage problems is explained in this section. Each option details the extent to which additional measures are needed to prevent aggravation based on the flow control standard being applied to the ***project site***.

❑ OPTIONS FOR ADDRESSING CONVEYANCE SYSTEM NUISANCE PROBLEMS

Problem Description: Overflow from a downstream conveyance system has or is predicted to cause nuisance flooding/erosion of a yard, a pasture, or one side of a roadway for runoff events less than or equal to the 10-year event.

The two options detailed below are acceptable measures for preventing the creation or aggravation of this problem. A combination of these two options may also be used if demonstrated to meet the same performance goals. Other options may be possible through a more rigorous design procedure using the **point of compliance analysis** technique described in Section 3.3.6 (p. 3-47).

The extent of additional onsite flow control or offsite improvements needed depends on the minimum area-specific flow control standard already being applied to the proposed project per Section 1.2.3.1.

Option 1—Additional Onsite Flow Control

- **If Level 1 is the area-specific flow control standard** per Section 1.2.3.1, then expand its performance criteria of matching the post-development discharge rate for the 10-year return period to the ***existing site conditions*** discharge rate for the return period T_r at which the conveyance system overflows. *Note: Determining T_r requires a minimum Level 2 downstream analysis as detailed in Chapter 2. To avoid this analysis, a T_r of 2 years may be assumed.*

  Intent: This criteria is intended to prevent creation or aggravation of the problem for runoff events less than or equal to the 10-year event by eliminating the ***project site's*** contribution to conveyance system overflows during these events.

- **If the Level 2 or Level 3 flow control standard** is being applied onsite, no additional flow control is needed. The duration-matching criteria of these standards already prevent aggravating increases in overflow volume by maintaining, or in some cases reducing, the discharge volumes of ***existing site conditions*** for peak flows greater than 50% of the 2-year peak flow.

Option 2—Offsite Improvements

- **If the Level 1 flow control standard** is being applied onsite, then make improvements to the existing conveyance system per Core Requirement #4 (see Section 1.2.4).
- **If the Level 2 or Level 3 flow control standard** is being applied onsite, no offsite improvements are necessary.

❑ OPTIONS FOR ADDRESSING SEVERE EROSION PROBLEMS

Problem Description: A downstream channel, ravine, or slope area has or is predicted to experience severe erosion and/or incision that poses a sedimentation hazard to downstream conveyance systems or poses a landslide hazard by undercutting a steep slope.

The two options detailed below are considered acceptable measures for preventing aggravation of this problem.

The extent of additional onsite flow control or offsite improvements needed depends on the minimum area-specific flow control standard already being applied to the proposed project per Section 1.2.3.1.

Option 1—Additional Onsite Flow Control

- **If Level 1 is the area-specific flow control standard**, then **apply Level 2 instead**, assuming ***existing site conditions*** as the predevelopment condition per Section 1.2.3.1. This standard prevents the occurrence of aggravating increases in the durations of flow exceedance that contribute to erosion.
- **If the Level 2 or Level 3 flow control standard** is being applied onsite, no additional flow control is needed. The duration-matching criteria of these standards prevent the occurrence of aggravating increases in the durations of flow exceedance that contribute to erosion.

Note: If the proposed project's discharge is such that previously unconcentrated flows will be concentrated onto a highly erodible area, DLS-Permitting may require a tightline system through the area regardless of the level of onsite flow control being provided. This should be addressed with DLS-Permitting in a predesign meeting.

Option 2—Offsite Improvements

- **If the Level 1 flow control standard** is being applied onsite, then make tightline, channel armoring, or bioengineered improvements to safely convey discharge from the ***project site*** through the severely eroded area.
- **If Level 2 is the required area-specific flow control standard**, offsite tightline or channel armoring improvements may, in some cases, be used to reduce this standard if those improvements drain by non-erodible manmade conveyance to a ***major receiving water*** listed in Section 1.2.3.1. In some cases, DLS-Permitting may require a tightline if the risk of damage is high.
- **If Level 3 is the required area-specific flow control standard**, offsite tightline or channel armoring improvements may, in some cases, be required by DLS-Permitting where the risk of damage is high.

❑ OPTIONS FOR ADDRESSING SEVERE FLOODING PROBLEMS

Problem Description: Overflow from a downstream conveyance system, or the elevated water surface of a downstream pond, lake, wetland, or closed depression, has or is predicted to cause a ***severe building flooding problem*** or a ***severe roadway flooding problem***. Such problems, by definition, occur during runoff events less than or equal to the 100-year event. See Section 1.2.2.1 for a more detailed description of severe building and roadway flooding problems.

The two options detailed below are acceptable measures for preventing the creation or significant aggravation of this problem. A combination of these two options may also be used if demonstrated to

meet the same performance goals. Other options may be possible through a more rigorous design procedure using the **point of compliance analysis** technique described in Section 3.3.6 (p. 3-47).

The extent of additional onsite flow control or offsite improvements needed depends on the minimum area-specific flow control standard already being applied to the proposed project per Section 1.2.3.1.

Option 1—Additional Onsite Flow Control

- **If Level 1 is the area-specific flow control standard**, then **apply Level 3 instead**, assuming ***existing site conditions*** as the predevelopment condition AND comply with the special provision for closed depressions stated below, if applicable. Also, if the problem is caused by conveyance system overflows, the duration-matching criteria of **Level 3 may be modified** to match post-development discharge durations to predevelopment discharge durations for the range of predevelopment discharge rates between that which corresponds to the return period T_r of conveyance system overflow and the 50-year peak flow, assuming ***existing site conditions*** for the predevelopment condition. *Note: Determining T_r requires a minimum Level 2 downstream analysis as detailed in Chapter 2. To avoid this analysis, a T_r of 2 years may be assumed.*

  Intent: The intent behind Level 3 flow control is described in Section 1.2.3.1. The modified version of Level 3 is intended to prevent the occurrence of aggravating increases in overflow volume, duration, and peak flow for runoff events less than or equal to the 100-year event.

- **If Level 2 is the area-specific flow control standard** (i.e., the project is within a Conservation Flow Control Area), then **apply Level 3 instead**, assuming ***historic site conditions*** as the predevelopment condition AND comply with the special provision for closed depressions stated below, if applicable.

- **If Level 3 is the area-specific flow control standard**, then comply with the special provision for closed depressions stated below, if applicable.

  Special Provision for Closed Depressions

  If the amount of impervious surface area proposed by the project is greater than or equal to 10% of the 100-year water surface area of the closed depression, then use the **point of compliance analysis** technique described in Section 3.3.6 (p. 3-47) to verify that water surface levels are not increasing for the return frequencies at which flooding occurs, up to and including the 100-year frequency. If necessary, iteratively adjust onsite flow control performance to prevent increases.

  Intent: This provision is intended to be applied to those developments that are large enough to have a significant impact on the water surface levels of a closed depression. For such developments, the provision is intended to more closely examine the hydrologic characteristics of the depression to ensure no significant aggravation of the flooding problem. Characteristics such as the infiltration rate or the influence of groundwater fluctuations can be highly variable and difficult to measure, which may entail wet season monitoring for proper analysis.

Option 2—Offsite Improvements

- **If the Level 1 or Level 2 flow control standard** is being applied onsite and the problem is caused by conveyance system overflows, then make improvements to the existing conveyance system sufficient to prevent the ***severe flooding problem***. If the problem is caused by the elevated water surface of a pond, lake, wetland, or closed depression, then make improvements to the live storage volume or discharge characteristics of the water body in question such that water surface levels for the frequencies at which flooding occurs are not increased, OR make improvements to elevate the flooding building or roadway above the 100-year water surface.

- **If the Level 3 flow control standard** is being applied onsite and the special provision for closed depressions is applicable, then make improvements as described above for the Level 1 and Level 2 flow control standards. Otherwise, offsite improvements are not required.

3.3.6 POINT OF COMPLIANCE ANALYSIS

The *point of compliance* is the location where flow control performance standards are evaluated. In most cases, the point of compliance is the outlet of a proposed detention facility where, for example, 2- and 10-year discharges must match predevelopment 2- and 10-year peak flow rates.

The point of compliance for hydrologic control moves downstream of the detention facility outlet or the property boundary under the following circumstances:

1. The proposed project discharges to an **offsite closed depression with a *severe flooding problem*** per Section 1.2.2, and the project adds impervious surface greater than or equal to 10% of the 100-year water surface area of the closed depression (see Table 1.2.3.A). In these cases, the closed depression becomes the point of compliance, and the engineer must ensure that ***project site*** runoff does not aggravate the flooding problem (or create a new flooding problem).
2. The proposed project includes an **onsite runoff bypass,** a small developed area that bypasses the flow control facility (see Section 1.2.3.2). In such cases, runoff from the remainder of the ***project site*** is overdetained so that the sum of the detained and undetained flows meets the required flow control performance standard. The point of compliance for such projects is where the onsite bypass flows join the detained flows.
3. The proposed project **bypasses offsite flows** around an onsite closed depression, ponding area, or wetland (see Section 3.3.7, p. 3-50). As with onsite bypasses, the point of compliance in this case is where detained flows converge with the bypassed flows.

The approved model allows multiple points of compliance for evaluating runoff performance within a scenario. The automatic facility sizing routine in the approved model requires a point of compliance to size an individual facility; a separate point of compliance is required for downstream evaluation. See the approved model user's documentation for modeling application of points of compliance to meet the requirements of this manual.

*Note: When controlling flow durations at a downstream point of compliance to demonstrate no adverse impact, the 10% tolerance specified for Level 2 performance (p. 3-31) may **not** be used. Predevelopment condition flow durations should be matched to the extent feasible for all flows above the level of concern. The resultant facility should also be checked to verify that the minimum onsite performance standard (e.g., Level 1, Level 2, or Level 3 per Section 1.2.3.1) has also been met.*

❑ OFFSITE CLOSED DEPRESSIONS

If a project drains to an offsite closed depression with existing or potential flooding problems, then the water surface levels of the closed depression must not be allowed to increase for return frequencies at which flooding occurs, up to and including the 100-year frequency. This section describes the point of compliance analysis necessary to size detention facilities discharging to such a closed depression. *If the closed depression is classified as a wetland, other requirements apply per Section 1.2.2, Core Requirement #2.*

The closed depression is first modeled (using the ***site's*** predevelopment condition) to determine the return frequency at which flooding currently occurs and the water levels associated with return frequencies in excess of this frequency. These flooding levels and their probabilities dictate the detention performance for the proposed development. The proposed detention facility is then iteratively sized such that discharge from the ***site's*** post-development condition does not increase water surface levels for the frequencies at which flooding occurs—that is, after development, water level frequency curves must match for all frequencies equal to or greater than the frequency at which flooding occurs (up to the 100-year water level).

The infiltration rate must be determined in order to accurately model the closed depression. *In the case of a closed depression with an existing flooding problem, the infiltration rate is most realistically depicted by*

calibrating the model to known flooding events. This should be done using the full historical runoff files and setting the closed depression outflow (infiltration) such that recorded or anecdotal levels of flooding occur during the same storm events in the historical record.

Where a flooding problem might be created by discharge of post-development flows to a closed depression, and in the absence of information on dates and water surface levels in the closed depression during past runoff events, infiltration rates must be determined through testing as follows:

- For a closed depression without standing water, two or more **test pits** should be dug in the bottom of the closed depression to a depth of 10 feet or to the water table, whichever is reached first. The test pits shall be dug under the supervision of a geotechnical engineer, and a test pit log shall be kept. Evidence of high water table shall be noted.
- If the test pit reveals deep homogeneous permeable material with no evidence of a high water table, then infiltration tests shall be performed in the bottom of the closed depression at locations of similar elevation and on opposite sides of the bottom area (as feasible). **Surface infiltration rates** shall be determined using the methods for assessing measured infiltration rates included in Section 5.2. The measured rates should be used directly, without applying correction factors.
- If the closed depression has standing water or is a SAO-defined wetland, or if test pits show evidence of a high water table or underlying impermeable material, then procedures for determining infiltration rates will be established on a case-by-case basis in coordination with DLS-Permitting geologists.
- In the event that a closed depression with a documented ***severe flooding problem*** is located on private property and all reasonable attempts to gain **access to the closed depression** have been **denied**, the Level 3 flow control standard shall be applied with a 20% factor of safety on the storage volume.

❑ ONSITE RUNOFF BYPASS

It is sometimes impractical to collect and detain runoff from an entire project area, so provisions are made to allow undetained discharge from onsite bypass areas (see Section 1.2.3.2) while overdetaining the remainder of the runoff to compensate for unmitigated flows. A schematic of an onsite runoff bypass is shown in Figure 3.3.6.A (next page).

For projects employing onsite runoff bypass, flow control performance standards are evaluated at the point of compliance, the point where detained and undetained flows from the ***project site*** are combined.

Point of Compliance Analysis for Onsite Bypass Areas

1. In the approved model, create a **predeveloped condition** element for the entire project area including the predevelopment detained area and the predevelopment bypass area. Route the scenario and apply the analysis tools to determine **flow targets** (either flow frequencies or durations, depending on the applicable design standard) from the predeveloped condition runoff time series.
2. Create and route separate **developed condition elements** for the detained area and the bypass area, producing a separate time series for each area.
3. Ensure that the flow characteristics of the developed runoff element for the bypass area do not exceed the targets determined in Step 1 or the 0.4 cfs threshold in Core Requirement #3. If the bypass area flows exceed the targets or threshold, then the bypass is not feasible.
4. Estimate **allowable release rates** from the detention facility for each return period of interest with the following equation:

$$\text{Allowable release} = (\text{Total Project Area Flow})_{\text{predeveloped cond.}} - (\text{Bypass Area Flow})_{\text{developed cond.}}$$

Note: WWHM 2012 and later supports the direct sizing of onsite detention facilities based on the results at a downstream point-of-compliance. See the WWHM user's documentation for further details.

5. Develop a **preliminary design of the flow control facility** based on the estimated release rate(s).

6. **Route** post-development flows from the detained area through the detention facility to create a detention facility outflow time series. Provide a downstream point of compliance and route the bypass area and the facility outflow to the downstream POC.
7. The approved model determines the **total project post-development outflow** by adding the detention facility outflow runoff time series to the post-development runoff time series from the bypass area at the downstream point of compliance. Check characteristics of the total project post-development outflow against the targets determined in Step 1.
8. If compliance is not achieved (e.g., 2- and 10-year post-development flows exceed 2- and 10-year predevelopment flows), revise the facility design (or revise the project design to reduce the bypass area) and repeat Steps 6 through 8.

For WWHM 2012 and later, Steps 6 through 8 have been automated for facility sizing by using the point of compliance option in the facility element of the model. See the WWHM user's documentation for guidance.

FIGURE 3.3.6.A SCHEMATIC OF AN ONSITE RUNOFF BYPASS



3.3.7 ONSITE CLOSED DEPRESSIONS AND PONDING AREAS

Onsite closed depressions, ponding areas, and wetlands require special consideration when determining detention performance targets; if altered, they can shift the point of compliance downstream. However, the critical areas code (KCC 21A.24) regulates wetlands (*note that most closed depressions and ponding areas are wetlands by definition*) and generally does not permit alteration through either filling or gross hydrologic changes such as bypassing offsite flows. *Note: Post-development discharges to offsite closed depressions, ponding areas, or wetlands (with the exception of those in Flood Problem Flow Control Areas per the Flow Control Applications Map or those discussed in Section 3.3.6) are normally not required to meet special performance standards unless there is a* ***severe flooding problem*** *as defined in Section 1.2.2.*

❑ GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

The following general requirements apply to **onsite closed depressions, ponding areas, and wetlands** (referred to below as "features"):

1. **Flow attenuation** provided by onsite wetlands and ponding areas, and storage provided by onsite closed depressions must be accounted for when computing both existing onsite and offsite flows.
   - **Existing onsite flows** must be routed through onsite wetlands and ponding areas to provide accurate target release rates for the developed ***site***. *Note: Closed depressions will have no outflow for some portions of the* ***site*** *for some events, although overflow may occur during extreme events.*
   - **Existing offsite flows** will increase at the project boundary if the feature is filled or if the offsite flows are bypassed around the feature. To compensate, post-development onsite flows must be overdetained, and the point of compliance will shift downstream to where the detained flows converge with the bypassed offsite flows.
2. **If the onsite feature is used for detention**, the 100-year floodplain must be delineated considering developed onsite and existing offsite flows to the feature. *Note: Additional storage volume may be necessary within the feature, and the point of compliance is the discharge point from the feature.*
3. **If the detention facility for the proposed project discharges to an onsite wetland, ponding area, or closed depression that is not altered**[11] **by the proposed project, AND Level 2 or Level 3 flow control is provided**, the point of compliance is the discharge point of the detention facility, not the outlet of the onsite feature. If Level 1 flow control is being provided, the point of compliance is the outlet of the onsite feature.

❑ FLOODPLAIN DELINEATION FOR LAKES, WETLANDS, CLOSED DEPRESSIONS, AND PONDING AREAS

A minor floodplain analysis is required for onsite or adjacent lakes, wetlands, and closed depressions that do not have an approved floodplain or flood hazard study (see Section 4.4.2; note the exceptions). Minor floodplain studies establish an assumed base flood elevation below which development is not allowed.

The following are guidelines for minor floodplain analysis of volume sensitive water bodies:

1. **Create time series** representing tributary flows to the feature from the entire tributary area. Where the feature is contained entirely onsite and where no offsite flows exist, use the tributary area for the proposed developed condition.
2. Where the feature is only partially onsite, or where there are offsite flows to the feature, **assume the entire tributary area is fully built out under current zoning**, accounting for required open space and protected critical areas in the basin as well as impervious surfaces and grass.

[11] *Not altered* means existing on- and offsite flows to the feature will remain unchanged and the feature will not be excavated or filled.

3. **For potential future development, assume detention standards per Section 1.2.3.1. For simplicity the proposed detention may be simulated with a single assumed detention pond just upstream of the feature.** This pond should be sized to the appropriate detention standard and predevelopment condition assumption as noted in Section 1.2.3.1 and will require generating a predevelopment time series for the basin. Large water bodies may provide significant floodwater storage and may also be included in the analysis. Most existing detention in the basin, with exception of that providing duration control, will have little effect on the analysis and should be discounted.
4. Sum all subbasin time series to create a **single composite time series** for the drainage feature.
5. Develop **routing curves** for the feature. As appropriate, consider infiltration as an outflow for closed depressions.
6. **Route the time series** through the storage feature, generate water surface frequency curves, and note the 100-year water surface elevation.

CHAPTER 4

CONVEYANCE SYSTEM ANALYSIS & DESIGN

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

Section 4.1	**Route Design and Easement Requirements**	**4-3**
Section 4.1.1	Route Design	4-3
Section 4.1.2	Easement and Setback Requirements	4-3
Section 4.2	**Pipes, Outfalls, and Pumps**	**4-7**
Section 4.2.1	Pipe Systems	4-7
Section 4.2.2	Outfall Systems	4-29
Section 4.2.3	Pump Systems	4-36
Section 4.3	**Culverts and Bridges**	**4-37**
Section 4.3.1	Culverts	4-37
Section 4.3.2	Culverts Providing for Fish Passage/Migration	4-51
Section 4.3.3	Bridges	4-53
Section 4.4	**Open Channels, Floodplains, and Floodways**	**4-55**
Section 4.4.1	Open Channels	4-55
Section 4.4.2	Floodplain/Floodway Analysis	4-71

CHAPTER 4
CONVEYANCE SYSTEM ANALYSIS & DESIGN

This chapter presents King County approved methods for the hydraulic analysis and design of conveyance systems. A *conveyance system* includes all portions of the surface water system, either natural or man-made, that transports surface and storm water runoff.

This chapter contains the detailed design criteria, methods of analysis, and standard details for all components of the conveyance system. In some cases, reference is made to other adopted or accepted design standards and criteria such as the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards (KCRDCS)*, the *Washington State Department of Transportation/APWA (WSDOT/APWA) Standard Specifications for Road, Bridge, and Municipal Construction* (most recent edition), and a King County supplement to the WSDOT/APWA standards called the *General Special Provisions*.

Chapter Organization

The information presented in this chapter is organized into four main sections:

- Section 4.1, "Route Design and Easement Requirements" (p. 4-3)
- Section 4.2, "Pipes, Outfalls, and Pumps" (p. 4-7)
- Section 4.3, "Culverts and Bridges" (p. 4-37)
- Section 4.4, "Open Channels, Floodplains, and Floodways" (p. 4-55).

These sections begin on odd pages so the user can insert tabs if desired for quicker reference.

Required vs. Recommended Design Criteria

Both required and recommended design criteria are presented in this chapter. Criteria stated using "shall" or "must" are mandatory, to be followed unless there is a good reason to deviate as allowed by the adjustment process (see Section 1.4). These criteria are **required design criteria** and generally affect facility performance or critical maintenance factors.

Sometimes options are stated as part of the required design criteria using the language "should" or "may." These criteria are really **recommended design criteria**, but are so closely related to the required criteria that they are placed with it.

(This page intentionally left blank)

4.1 ROUTE DESIGN AND EASEMENT REQUIREMENTS

This section presents the general requirements for aligning conveyance systems and providing easements and setbacks to allow for proper maintenance and inspection of all conveyance system elements.

4.1.1 ROUTE DESIGN

The most efficient route selected for new conveyance systems will result from careful consideration of the topography of the area to be traversed, the legal property boundaries, and access for inspection and maintenance. Additionally, topography and native soil characteristics beneficial to Low Impact Development (LID) applications may influence the route. The general requirements for route design are as follows:

1. Proposed new conveyance systems should be aligned to **emulate the natural conveyance system** to the extent feasible. Inflow to the system and discharge from the system should occur at the natural drainage points as determined by topography and existing drainage patterns.
2. New conveyance system alignments in **residential subdivisions** should be located **adjacent and parallel to property lines** so that required drainage easements can be situated along property lines. Drainage easements should be located entirely on one property and not split between adjacent properties.

   Exception: Streams and natural drainage channels shall not be relocated to meet this requirement.
3. Aesthetic considerations, traffic routes and flow control BMP strategies may dictate the placement and **alignment of open channels**. Appropriate vehicular and pedestrian traffic crossings must be provided in the design.
4. For any reach or partial reach of new conveyance (ditch, channel or closed pipe system) proposed by a project, a geotechnical analysis and report is required if the conveyance is located within 200 feet of a steep slope hazard area or landslide hazard area, OR if the conveyance is located within a setback distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15%. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions. A low-permeability liner per Section 6.2.4 for the trench or channel may be required if warranted by soil stability conditions.

4.1.2 EASEMENT AND SETBACK REQUIREMENTS

Proposed projects must comply with the following easement and setback requirements unless otherwise approved by DLS-Permitting:

1. Any **onsite** conveyance system element (including flow control BMPs used as conveyance) constructed as part of a **subdivision project** shall be located in a dedicated drainage easement, tract, or right-of-way that preserves the system's route and conveyance capacity and grants King County right of access for inspection, maintenance, and repair.

   Exception: Roof downspout, minor yard, and footing drains do not require easements, tracts, or right-of-way. If easements are provided for these minor drains (or for other utilities such as power, gas or telephone), they need not comply with the requirements of this section.

   Note: except for those facilities that have been formally accepted for maintenance by King County, maintenance and repair of drainage facilities and BMPs on private property is the responsibility of the property owner. Except for the inflow pipe and discharge pipe of a County-accepted flow control or water quality facility, King County does not normally accept maintenance of conveyance systems constructed through private property.

2. Any **onsite** conveyance system element (including flow control BMPs used as conveyance) constructed under a **commercial building or commercial development permit** shall be covered by the drainage facility declaration of covenant and grant of easement in Reference Section 8-J (or equivalent) that provides King County right of access for inspection, maintenance, and repair. *Note: except for those facilities that have been formally accepted for maintenance by King County, maintenance and repair of drainage facilities on private property is the responsibility of the property owner.*

3. Retained or replaced 12-inch or greater pipe diameter (or equivalent) conveyance system elements that convey offsite flows on a **project site** on private property shall be covered by the drainage facility declaration of covenant and grant of easement in Reference Section 8-J (or equivalent) that provides King County right of access for inspection, maintenance, and repair. For projects with conveyance system elements as described above that cannot meet or be relocated to meet the easement and BSBLs requirements in Table 4.1 due to the presence of existing structures, applicants are required only to record a notice on title that identifies the subject conveyance elements and states that maintenance and repair of those elements is the responsibility of the property owner. For conveyance system elements as described above that are on a **site** but not within the **project site**, applicants are required only to record a notice on title that identifies the subject conveyance elements and states that maintenance and repair of those elements is the responsibility of the property owner. *Note: except for those facilities that have been formally accepted for maintenance by King County, maintenance and repair of drainage facilities on private property is the responsibility of the property owner.*

4. Any **offsite** conveyance system element (including flow control BMPs used as conveyance) constructed through private property as part of a proposed project shall be located in a drainage easement per Reference Section 8-L (or equivalent). If an offsite conveyance system through private property is proposed by a project to convey runoff diverted from the ***natural discharge location***, DLS-Permitting may require a drainage release covenant per Reference Section 8-K as a condition of approval of the adjustment required in Section 1.2.1.

5. A **river protection easement** per Reference Section 8-P (or equivalent) shall be required for all properties adjoining or including *major rivers*[1] as described in Table 4.1 (p. 4-5).

6. Table 4.1 (p. 4-5) lists the required **widths and building setback lines for drainage easements**. For all pipes or any channels or constructed swales greater than 30 feet wide, facilities must be placed in the center of the easement. For channels or constructed swales less than or equal to 30 feet wide, the easement extends to only one side of the facility. *Note: The requirement for drainage easements with accompanying widths and BSBLs per Table 4.1 also applies to existing and replaced conveyance elements as described in #3 above.*

7. Any portion of a conveyance system drainage easement (shown in Table 4.1) shall not be located within an **adjacent property or right-of-way**. Building setback lines may cross into adjacent property.

8. The distance between the easement line and building or other structure footings shall be no less than the **building setback line (BSBL) distance** shown in Table 4.1.

   Exception: The BSBL distance indicated in Table 4.1 may be measured from the edge of a pipe in the easement plus 2 feet if all of the following conditions are met:

   a) As-builts showing the location of the pipe are submitted

   b) A geotechnical/structure analysis demonstrates stability of the proposed structure

   c) Access for maintenance/replacement remains unobstructed.

[1] *Major rivers* are defined in the King County Flood Hazard Management Plan.

TABLE 4.1 EASEMENT WIDTHS AND BUILDING SETBACK LINES

For Pipes: [1] **Inside Diameter (ID)**	**Easement Width**	**BSBL (From Easement)**
ID ≤ 36"	depth to invert < 8': 10 feet[2] depth to invert > 8': 15 feet	5 feet
36" < ID ≤ 60"	depth to invert < 8': 10 feet[2] depth to invert > 8': 15 feet	7.5 feet
ID > 60"	ID plus 10 feet	10 feet
For Channels and Swales: **Top Width of Channel (W)**	**Easement Width**	**BSBL (From Easement)**
W ≤ 10 feet	W plus 10 feet on one side W if no access required[3]	5 feet
10 feet < W ≤ 30 feet	W plus 15 feet on one side	5 feet
W > 30 feet	W plus 15 feet on both sides	5 feet
For Major Rivers	**Easement Width**	**BSBL (From Easement)**
See the *King County Flood Hazard Reduction Plan* for a list of the major rivers	Varies per ***site*** conditions Minimum 30 feet from stable top of bank[4]	5 feet

Notes:

(1) Pipes installed deeper than 10 feet require one of the following actions:

- Increase the BSBL such that the distance from the BSBL to the centerline of the pipe is at least 1.5 times the depth to pipe invert, or
- Place a restriction on adjacent lots that the footings be placed at a specific elevation, deep enough that the closest horizontal distance from the footing to the pipe centerline is 1.5 times the difference in elevation of the footing and pipe invert, or
- Place a restriction on adjacent lots that the footings be designed by a geotechnical engineer or licensed engineering geologist, such that excavation of the pipe may be performed without necessitating shoring of adjacent structures.

(2) Fifteen-foot easement width is required for maintenance access to all manholes, inlets, and culverts.

(3) Access is not required for small channels if the channel gradient is greater than 5% (assumes steep channels will be self-cleaning).

(4) Stable top of bank shall be as determined by King County.

(This page intentionally left blank)

4.2 PIPES, OUTFALLS, AND PUMPS

This section presents the methods, criteria, and details for analysis and design of pipe systems, outfalls, and pump-dependent conveyance systems. The information presented is organized as follows:

Section 4.2.1, "Pipe Systems"
"Design Criteria," Section 4.2.1.1
"Methods of Analysis," Section 4.2.1.2 (p. 4-19)

Section 4.2.2, "Outfall Systems"
"Design Criteria," Section 4.2.2.1 (p. 4-29)

Section 4.2.3, "Pump Systems"
"Design Criteria," Section 4.2.3.1 (p. 4-36)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 4.2.3.2 (p. 4-36)

4.2.1 PIPE SYSTEMS

Pipe systems are networks of storm drain pipes, catch basins, manholes, inlets, and outfalls designed and constructed to convey surface water. The hydraulic analysis of flow in storm drain pipes typically is limited to gravity flow; however, in analyzing existing systems it may be necessary to address pressurized conditions. A properly designed pipe system will maximize hydraulic efficiency by utilizing proper material, slope, and pipe size.

4.2.1.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

General

All pipe material, joints, protective treatment, and construction workmanship shall be in accordance with *WSDOT/APWA Standard Specifications* as modified by the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards (KCRDCS)*, and AASHTO and ASTM treatment as noted below under "Allowable Pipe Materials."

Note: The pipe materials and specifications included in this section are for conveyance systems installed according to engineering plans required for King County permits/approvals. Other pipe materials and specifications may be used by private property owners for drainage systems they construct and maintain when such systems are not required by or granted to King County.

Acceptable Pipe Sizes

The following pipe sizes shall be used for **pipe systems to be maintained by King County**: 8-inch (generally for use only in privately maintained systems or in special cases within road right-of-way; see *KCRDCS*), 12-inch, 15-inch, 18-inch, 21-inch, 24-inch, and 30-inch. For pipes larger than 30-inch diameter, increasing increments of 6-inch intervals shall be used (36-inch, 42-inch, 48-inch, etc.).

Allowable Pipe Materials

The following pipe materials are allowed for use in meeting the requirements of this manual. Refer to the current edition of *WSDOT/APWA Standard Specifications* 7-02, 7-03 and 7-04 for detailed specifications for acceptable pipe materials. Refer to the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards (KCRDCS)* for pipe materials allowed in King County road right-of-way.

1. Plain and reinforced concrete pipe
2. Corrugated or spiral rib aluminum pipe
3. Corrugated steel pipe, Aluminized or Galvanized[2] with treatments 1, 2 or 5
4. Spiral rib steel pipe, Aluminized or Galvanized[2] with treatments 1, 2 or 5
5. Ductile iron (water supply, Class 50 or 52)
6. Corrugated polyethylene (CPE) pipe, lined[3], including steel rib reinforced (single wall, fully corrugated allowed in TESC plans as temporary conveyance)
7. Polypropylene (PP) pipe
8. Polyvinyl chloride (PVC)[4] pipe
9. High-density polyethylene pipe (HDPE; including solid wall polyethylene pipe)[5]

Allowable Pipe Joints

1. Concrete pipe shall be rubber gasketed.
2. CMP shall be rubber gasketed and securely banded.
3. Spiral rib pipe shall be "hat-banded" with neoprene gaskets.
4. Ductile pipe joints shall be flanged, bell and spigot, or restrained mechanical joints.
5. PP and CPE pipe joints (lined and single wall, fully corrugated) shall conform to the current *WSDOT/APWA Standard Specifications*.
6. PVC pipe, CPE pipe and PP pipe shall be installed following procedures outlined in ASTM D2321.Solid wall HDPE pipe shall be jointed by butt fusion methods or flanged according to the *KCRDCS*.

Pipe Alignment

1. Pipes must be laid true to line and grade with no curves, bends, or deflections in any direction.

   Exception: Vertical deflections in solid wall HDPE and ductile iron pipe with flanged restrained mechanical joint bends (not greater than 30°) on steep slopes, provided the pipe drains.

2. A **break in grade** or alignment, or changes in pipe material shall occur only at catch basins or manholes.

[2] Galvanized metals leach zinc into the environment, especially in standing water situations. High zinc concentrations, sometimes in the range that can be toxic to aquatic life, have been observed in the region. Therefore, use of galvanized materials is restricted. Where other metals, such as aluminum or stainless steel, or plastics are available, they shall be used.

[3] CPE pipe that is single wall, fully corrugated is allowed only for use in private storm sewer systems such as downspout, footing, or yard drain collectors on private property (smooth interior required in road right-of-way for drainage stub-outs or perforated as subgrade drain per *KCRDCS*) or as temporary conveyance in a temporary erosion control plan.

[4] PVC pipe is allowed only for use in privately maintained drainage systems or as allowed in road right-of-way per *KCRDCS*.

[5] Solid wall HDPE pipe is normally used outside of King County right-of-way, such as on steep slope installations (see Section 4.2.2, p. 4-29). Connections to King County road drainage systems are allowed for pipe diameters of 12" or greater.

Maximum Pipe Slopes and Velocities

Table 4.2.1.A presents maximum pipe slopes and velocities by pipe material.

TABLE 4.2.1.A MAXIMUM PIPE SLOPES AND VELOCITIES

Pipe Material	Pipe Slope above which Pipe Anchors Required and Minimum Anchor Spacing	Maximum Slope Allowed	Maximum Velocity at Full Flow
CMP, Spiral Rib, PVC, (1)	20% (1 anchor per 100 LF of pipe)	30%(3)	30 fps
Concrete, CPE, or PP(1)	10% (1 anchor per 50 LF of pipe)	20%(3)	30 fps
Ductile Iron(2)	20% (1 anchor per pipe section)	None	None
Solid wall HDPE(2)	20% (1 anchor per 100 LF of pipe, cross-slope installations only)	None	None

Notes:

(1) These materials are not allowed in ***landslide hazard areas***.

(2) Butt-fused or flanged pipe joints are required; above ground installation is recommended on slopes greater than 40%.

(3) A maximum slope of 200% is allowed for these pipe materials with no joints (one section), with structures at each end, and with proper grouting.

Changes in Pipe Size

1. Increase or decreases in pipe size are **allowed only at junctions and structures**. *Exceptions may be allowed per Section 7.04C of the KCRDCS.*
2. When **connecting pipes at structures**, match any of the following (in descending order of preference): crowns, 80% diameters,[6] or inverts of pipes. Side lateral connections[7], 12 inches and smaller, are exempt from this requirement.
3. **Drop manholes** may be used for energy dissipation when pipe velocities exceed 10 feet per second. External drop manholes are preferred where maintenance access to the upstream pipe is preserved by use of a tee section. Internal drop structures may be approved only if adequate scour protection is provided for the manhole walls. Drop structures must be individually engineered to account for design variations, such as flow rates, velocities, scour potential and tipping forces.
4. **Downsizing** pipes larger than 12 inches may be allowed provided pipe capacity is adequate for design flows.

Note: The above criteria do not apply to detention tanks.

[6] Match point is at 80% of the pipe diameter, measured from the invert of the respective pipes.

[7] Side laterals include any 8-inch or smaller pipe connected to the main conveyance system at a catch basin, or manhole, as allowed under this manual and/or the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards*. In addition, 12-inch and smaller pipes that serve a single inlet point (e.g., roadway simple inlets, footing drains, and lot stubouts including manifold systems serving multiple residential lots) are also included. Excluded from this definition are inlet pipes that contribute 30% or more of the total flow into a catch basin, or that collect or convey flows from a continuous source.

Structures

Table 4.2.1.B lists typical drainage structures with corresponding maximum allowable pipe sizes.

1. Catch basin (or manhole) diameter shall be determined by pipe orientation at the junction structure. A **plan view of the junction structure**, drawn to scale, will be required when more than four pipes enter the structure on the same plane, or if angles of approach and clearance between pipes is of concern. The plan view (and sections if necessary) must ensure a minimum distance (of solid concrete wall) between pipe openings of 8 inches for 48-inch and 54-inch catch basins, and 12 inches for 72-inch and 96-inch catch basins.
2. Evaluation of the structural integrity for **H-20 loading,** or as required by the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards*, may be required for multiple junction catch basins and other structures.
3. Catch basins shall be provided within 50 feet of the **entrance to a pipe system** to provide for silt and debris removal.
4. **All solid wall HDPE pipe systems** (including buried solid wall HDPE pipe) must be secured at the upstream end. The downstream end shall be placed in a 4-foot section of the next larger pipe size. This sliding sleeve connection allows for the high thermal expansion/contraction coefficient of this pipe material.
5. The **maximum slope of the ground surface** for a radius of 5 feet around a catch basin grate or solid lid should be 5:1 (H:V) to facilitate maintenance access. Where not physically feasible, a maximum slope of 3:1 (H:V) shall be provided around at least 50% of the catch basin circumference.

TABLE 4.2.1.B ALLOWABLE STRUCTURES AND PIPE SIZES

Catch Basin Type[1]	Maximum Pipe Diameter	
	CMP, Spiral Rib, Solid Wall HDPE, PVC, and Ductile Iron[2]	Concrete, CPE, PP
Inlet[4]	12"	12"
Type 1[3]	18"[2]	12"
Type 1L[3]	24"	18"
Type 2 - 48-inch dia.	30"	24"
Type 2 - 54-inch dia.	36"	30"
Type 2 - 72-inch dia.	54"	42"
Type 2 - 96-inch dia.	72"	60"

Notes:

[1] Catch basins (including manhole steps, ladder, and handholds) shall conform to *King County Road Design and Construction Standards*.

[2] Generally these pipe materials will be one size larger than concrete, CPE or PP due to smaller wall thickness. However, for angled connections or those with several pipes on the same plane, this will not apply.

[3] A maximum of 5 vertical feet is allowed between finished grade and invert elevation.

[4] Inlets are normally allowed only for use in privately maintained drainage systems and must discharge to a catch basin immediately downstream.

Pipe Design between Structures

The following requirements are for privately maintained or County maintained off-road right-of-way pipe systems. See *KCRDCS* for pipe design between structures in County road right-of-way.

1. **Minimum velocity** at full flow should be 3.0 feet per second. If *site* constraints result in velocities less than 3 feet per second at full flow, impacts from sedimentation in the pipe system shall be addressed with larger pipes, closer spacing of structures, sediment basins, or other similar measures.
2. **Minimum slope** for 8-inch pipes shall be 0.5%; minimum slope for 12-inch or larger pipes shall be 0.2%.
3. **Maximum lengths** between structures shall be 300 feet (for design flows greater than 3 fps). Solid wall HDPE tightlines down steep slopes are self- cleaning and do not require structures for maintenance.

Pipe Cover

1. Pipe cover, measured from the finished grade elevation to the top of the outside surface of the pipe, shall be **2 feet minimum** unless otherwise specified or allowed below. Under drainage easements, driveways, parking stalls, or other areas subject to light vehicular loading, pipe cover may be reduced to 1 foot minimum if the design considers expected vehicular loading and the cover is consistent with pipe manufacturer's recommendations. Pipe cover in areas not subject to vehicular loads, such as landscape planters and yards, may be reduced to 1 foot minimum.
2. Pipe cover over storm pipes in King County **road right-of-way** shall comply with the *KCRDCS*. Pipe **cover over concrete pipe** shall comply with Table 4.2.1.C (p. 4-12). For other pipe types, the manufacturer's specifications or other documentation shall be provided for proposed cover in excess of 30 feet. *Caution: Additional precautions to protect against crushing during construction may be needed under roadways if the road bed is included to meet minimum cover requirements. Damaged pipe shall be replaced.*
3. For proposed **pipe arches**, the manufacturer's specifications or other documentation shall be provided for proposed cover in excess of 8 feet.
4. Pipe cover over **PVC SDR 35** shall be 3 feet minimum and 30 feet maximum.

TABLE 4.2.1.C MAXIMUM COVER (FEET) FOR CONCRETE PIPE					
Pipe Diameter (inches)	**Plain**	**Class II**	**Class III**	**Class IV**	**Class V**
12	18	10	14	21	26
18	18	11	14	22	28
24	16	11	15	22	28
30		11	15	23	29
36		11	15	23	29
48		12	15	23	29
60		12	16	24	30
72		12	16	24	30
84		12	16	24	30
96		12	16	24	30
108		12	16	24	30
Note: See Figure 4.2.1.A (p. 4-14).					

Pipe Clearances

A minimum of 6 inches vertical and 3 feet horizontal clearance (outside surfaces) shall be provided between storm drain pipes and other utility pipes and conduits. **Clearances** within King County right-of-way shall comply with the *KCRDCS*. When crossing sanitary sewer lines, the Washington Department of Ecology criteria shall apply. When crossing swale easements, minimum specified cover shall be increased by 6 inches.

Pipe Bedding, Backfill and Compaction

Pipe bedding and backfill shall be in accordance with Figure 4.2.1.A (p. 4-14). Pipe compaction shall follow the current *WSDOT Standard Specifications*. Where pipes pass through flood containment structures, these standards shall be supplemented and modified as necessary in accordance with standards set forth in *Corps of Engineers Manual for Design and Construction of Levees* (EM 1110-2-1913).

Pipe System Connections

Connections to a pipe system shall be made only at catch basins or manholes. No wyes or tees are allowed except on roof/footing/yard drain systems on pipes 8 inches in diameter or less, with clean-outs upstream of each wye or tee. Additional exceptions may be made in accordance with Section 7.03D of the *KCRDCS* and for steep slope applications of solid wall HDPE pipe, as deemed prudent by geotechnical review.

Pipe Anchors

Table 4.2.1.A (p. 4-9) presents the requirements, by pipe material, for anchoring pipe systems. Figure 4.2.1.B (p. 4-15) and Figure 4.2.1.C (p. 4-16) show typical details of pipe anchors.

Spill Control

Where spill control is required as specified in Section 1.2.4.3.G, allowable options are as follows:

a) A **tee section** (see Figure 5.1.4.A) in or subsequent to the last catch basin or manhole that collects runoff from non-roof-top ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** prior to discharge from the ***site*** or into an onsite *natural drainage feature*.[8] The tee section typically provided in a wetvault or detention facility may be used to meet the intent of this requirement. Unless otherwise specified, the riser top of the tee section shall be at or above the headwater elevation for the 10-year design flow and a minimum of 6 inches below the ceiling of the catch basin or manhole. The bottom end of the tee section shall be as illustrated in Figure 5.1.4.A.

b) An **elbow section** but only if allowed by DLS-Permitting because a tee section as specified above will not fit within an existing conveyance system. If an elbow section is used, a safe overflow path must be identified for the structure.

c) A **wall section** or other device as approved by DLS-Permitting that provides spill control equivalent to that of the tee section specified in a) above.

d) A **baffle or coalescing plate oil/water separator** at or subsequent to the last catch basin or manhole that collects runoff from non-roof-top ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** prior to discharge from the ***site*** or into an onsite natural drainage feature.

e) An **active spill control plan.** To use this option, the spill control plan and summary of an existing or proposed training schedule must be submitted as part of the drainage review submittal. At a minimum, such plans must include the following:

- Instructions for isolating the ***site*** to prevent spills from moving downstream (shutoff valves, blocking catch basins, etc.)
- Onsite location of spill clean-up materials
- Phone numbers to call for emergency response
- Phone numbers of company officials to notify
- Special safety precautions, if applicable.

Debris Barriers

Debris barriers (trash racks) are required on all pipes 18 to 36 inches in diameter entering a closed pipe system. Debris barriers shall have a bar spacing of 6 inches. See Figure 4.2.1.D (p. 4-17) for required debris barriers on pipe ends outside of roadways. See Figure 4.2.1.E (p. 4-18) and Section 4.3 (p. 4-37) for requirements on pipe ends (culverts) projecting from driveway or roadway side slopes.

Outfalls

Outfalls shall be designed as detailed in Section 4.2.2 (p. 4-29).

Other Details

In addition to the details shown in Figure 4.2.1.A (p. 4-14) through Figure 4.2.1.E (p. 4-18), Standard Construction Details are available in the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards* and *APWA/WSDOT Standard Plans for Road, Bridge and Municipal Construction*. Commonly used details include field tapping of concrete pipe, catch basins and catch basin details, manholes and manhole details, curb inlets, frames, grates, and covers.

[8] *Natural onsite drainage feature* means a natural swale, channel, stream, closed depression, wetland, or lake.

FIGURE 4.2.1.A PIPE BEDDING AND BACKFILL DESIGNS



NOTES:

1. SEE CURRENT WSDOT STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS SECTION 7-08.3(3) FOR PIPE ZONE BACKFILL.
2. SEE CURRENT WSDOT STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS SECTION 9-03.12(3) FOR GRAVEL BACKFILL FOR PIPE ZONE BEDDING.
3. SEE CURRENT WSDOT STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS SECTION 2-09.4 FOR MEASUREMENT OF TRENCH WIDTH.
4. SEE KCSWDM 4.2.1.1 FOR CLEARANCE BETWEEN PIPES AND OTHER UTILITIES.

FIGURE 4.2.1.B PIPE ANCHOR DETAIL



FIGURE 4.2.1.C CORRUGATED METAL PIPE COUPLING AND/OR GENERAL PIPE ANCHOR ASSEMBLY



SMOOTH COUPLING BAND FOR SMOOTH PIPE
NTS



PLATE DETAIL
NTS



ANCHOR ASSEMBLY CORRUGATED METAL PIPE
NTS

NOTES:

1. THE SMOOTH COUPLING BAND SHALL BE USED IN COMBINATION WITH CONCRETE PIPE.
2. CONCRETE PIPE WITHOUT BELL AND SPIGOT SHALL NOT BE INSTALLED ON GRADES IN EXCESS OF 20%.
3. THE FIRST ANCHOR SHALL BE INSTALLED ON THE FIRST SECTION OF THE LOWER END OF THE PIPE AND REMAINING ANCHORS EVENLY SPACED THROUGHOUT THE INSTALLATION.
4. IF THE PIPE BEING INSTALLED HAS A MANHOLE OR CATCH BASIN ON THE LOWER END OF THE PIPE, THE FIRST PIPE ANCHOR MAY BE ELIMINATED.
5. WHEN CMP IS USED, THE ANCHORS MAY BE ATTACHED TO THE COUPLING BANDS USED TO JOIN THE PIPE AS LONG AS THE SPECIFIED SPACING IS NOT EXCEEDED.
6. ALL PIPE ANCHORS SHALL BE SECURELY INSTALLED BEFORE BACKFILLING AROUND THE PIPE.

FIGURE 4.2.1.D DEBRIS BARRIER (OFF-ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY)

NOTES:
1. THIS DEBRIS BARRIER IS FOR USE OUTSIDE ROADWAYS ON PIPES 18" DIA. TO 36" DIA.. SEE FIGURE 4.2.1.E FOR DEBRIS BARRIERS ON PIPES PROJECTING FROM DRIVEWAY OR ROADWAY SIDE SLOPES.
2. ALL STEEL PARTS MUST BE GALVANIZED AND ASPHALT COATED (TREATMENT 1 OR BETTER).
3. LINED CPE PIPE REQUIRES BOLTS TO SECURE DEBRIS BARRIER TO PIPE.



PLAN
NTS



ISOMETRIC
NTS



SIDE VIEW
NTS

END VIEW
NTS

FIGURE 4.2.1.E DEBRIS BARRIER (IN ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY)

NOTES:

1. CMP OR LINED CPE PIPE END-SECTION SHOWN; FOR CONCRETE PIPE BEVELED END SECTION, SEE KCRDCS DRAWING NO. 7-001.
2. ALL STEEL PARTS MUST BE GALVANIZED AND ASPHALT COATED (TREATMENT 1 OR BETTER).



4.2.1.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

This section presents the methods of analysis for designing new or evaluating existing **pipe systems** for compliance with the conveyance capacity requirements set forth in Section 1.2.4, "Core Requirement #4: Conveyance System."

❑ DESIGN FLOWS

Design flows for sizing or assessing the capacity of pipe systems shall be determined using the hydrologic analysis methods described in Chapter 3.

❑ INLET GRATE CAPACITY

The methods described in Chapter 5, Sections 4 and 5, of the *Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Hydraulics Manual* may be used in determining the capacity of inlet grates when capacity is of concern, with the following exceptions:

1. Use design flows as required in Section 1.2.4 of this manual.
2. Assume grate areas on slopes are 80% free of debris; "vaned" grates, 95% free.
3. Assume grate areas in sags or low spots are 50% free of debris; "vaned" grates, 75% free.

❑ CONVEYANCE CAPACITY

Two methods of hydraulic analysis using Manning's equation are used sequentially for the design and analysis of pipe systems. First, the **Uniform Flow Analysis method** is used for the preliminary design of new pipe systems. Second, the **Backwater Analysis method** is used to analyze both proposed and existing pipe systems to verify adequate capacity. See Core Requirement #4, Section 1.2.4, for sizing requirements of pipe systems.

Note: Use of the Uniform Flow Analysis method to determine preliminary pipe sizes is only suggested as a first step in the design process and is not required. Results of the Backwater Analysis method determine final pipe sizes in all cases.

Uniform Flow Analysis Method

This method is used for **preliminary sizing** of new pipe systems to convey the *design flow* (i.e., the 10-year or 25-year peak flow rate as specified in Core Requirement #4, Section 1.2.4).

Assumptions:

- Flow is uniform in each pipe (i.e., depth and velocity remain constant throughout the pipe for a given flow).
- Friction head loss in the pipe barrel alone controls capacity. Other head losses (e.g., entrance, exit, junction, etc.) and any backwater effects or inlet control conditions are not specifically addressed.

Each pipe within the system is sized and sloped such that its **barrel capacity at normal full flow** (computed by Manning's equation) is equal to or greater than the design flow. The nomograph in Figure 4.2.1.F (p. 4-22) may be used for an approximate solution of Manning's equation. For more precise results, or for partial pipe full conditions, solve Manning's equation directly:

$$V = \frac{1.49}{n} R^{2/3} S^{1/2} \quad (4\text{-}1)$$

or use the continuity equation, $Q = AV$, such that:

$$Q = \frac{1.49}{n} A R^{2/3} S^{1/2} \quad (4\text{-}2)$$

where Q = discharge (cfs)
V = velocity (fps)
A = area (sf)
n = Manning's roughness coefficient; see Table 4.2.1.D below
R = hydraulic radius = area/wetted perimeter (ft)
S = slope of the energy grade line (ft/ft)

For **pipes flowing partially full**, the actual velocity may be estimated from the hydraulic properties shown in Figure 4.2.1.G by calculating Q_{full} and V_{full} and using the ratio Q_{design}/Q_{full} to find V and d (depth of flow).

Table 4.2.1.D provides the recommended **Manning's "n" values for preliminary design** using the Uniform Flow Analysis method for pipe systems. *Note: The "n" values for this method are 15% higher in order to account for entrance, exit, junction, and bend head losses.*

TABLE 4.2.1.D MANNING'S "*n*" VALUES FOR PIPES

Type of Pipe Material	Analysis Method	
	Uniform Flow (Preliminary design)	Backwater Flow (Capacity Verification)
A. Concrete pipe, lined CPE pipe and lined PP pipe	0.014	0.012
B. Annular Corrugated Metal Pipe or Pipe Arch:		
1. 2-$^2/_3$" x $^1/_2$" corrugation (riveted):		
a. plain or fully coated	0.028	0.024
b. paved invert (40% of circumference paved):		
1) flow at full depth	0.021	0.018
2) flow at 80% full depth	0.018	0.016
3) flow at 60% full depth	0.015	0.013
c. treatment 5	0.015	0.013
2. 3" x 1" corrugation	0.031	0.027
3. 6" x 2" corrugation (field bolted)	0.035	0.030
C. Helical 2-$^2/_3$" x $^1/_2$" corrugation and unlined CPE pipe	0.028	0.024
D. Spiral rib metal pipe and PVC pipe	0.013	0.011
E. Ductile iron pipe cement lined	0.014	0.012
F. Solid wall HDPE pipe (butt fused only)	0.009	0.009

Backwater Analysis Method

This method is used to analyze the capacity of both new and existing pipe systems to convey the required design flow (i.e., either the 10-year or 25-year peak flow, whichever is specified in Core Requirement #4, Section 1.2.4). In either case, pipe system structures must be demonstrated to contain the **headwater surface** (hydraulic grade line) for the specified peak flow rate. Structures may overtop for the 100-year peak flow as allowed by Core Requirement #4. When this occurs, the additional flow over the ground surface is analyzed using the methods for open channels described in Section 4.4.1.2 (p. 4-61) and added to the flow capacity of the pipe system.

This method is used to compute a **simple backwater profile** (hydraulic grade line) through a proposed or existing pipe system for the purposes of verifying adequate capacity. It incorporates a re-arranged form of Manning's equation expressed in terms of *friction slope* (slope of the energy grade line in ft/ft). The friction slope is used to determine the head loss in each pipe segment due to barrel friction, which can then be combined with other head losses to obtain water surface elevations at all structures along the pipe system.

The backwater analysis begins at the downstream end of the pipe system and is computed back through each pipe segment and structure upstream. The friction, entrance, and exit head losses computed for each pipe segment are added to that segment's tailwater elevation (the water surface elevation at the pipe's outlet) to obtain its **outlet control** headwater elevation. This elevation is then compared with the **inlet control** headwater elevation, computed assuming the pipe's inlet alone is controlling capacity using the methods for inlet control presented in Section 4.3.1.2 (p. 4-39). The condition that creates the highest headwater elevation determines the pipe's capacity. The approach velocity head is then subtracted from the controlling headwater elevation, and the junction and bend head losses are added to compute the total headwater elevation, which is then used as the tailwater elevation for the upstream pipe segment.

The **Backwater Calculation Sheet** in Figure 4.2.1.H (p. 4-24) may be used to compile the head losses and headwater elevations for each pipe segment. The numbered columns on this sheet are described in Figure 4.2.1.I (p. 4-25). An example calculation is performed in Figure 4.2.1.J (p. 4-26).

Note: This method should not be used to compute stage/discharge curves for level pool routing purposes. Instead, a more sophisticated backwater analysis using the computer software provided with this manual is recommended as described below.

Computer Applications

The **King County Backwater (KCBW) computer program** includes a subroutine **BWPIPE,** which may be used to quickly compute a family of backwater profiles for a given range of flows through a proposed or existing pipe system. A schematic description of the nomenclature used in this program is provided in Figure 4.3.1.G (p. 4-50). Program documentation providing instructions on the use of this and the other KCBW subroutines is available from DNRP.

FIGURE 4.2.1.F NOMOGRAPH FOR SIZING CIRCULAR DRAINS FLOWING FULL



SAMPLE USE

24" dia. CMP @ 2% slope yields
17cfs @ 5.4 fps velocity
(n=0.024)

Values per Manning's equation

$$Q = \left(\frac{1.49}{n}\right) AR^{2/3} S_o^{1/2}$$

This table can be converted to other "n" values by applying formula:

$$\frac{Q1}{Q2} = \frac{n2}{n1}$$

FIGURE 4.2.1.G CIRCULAR CHANNEL RATIOS



FIGURE 4.2.1.H BACKWATER CALCULATION SHEET

Pipe Segment CB to CB	(1) Q (cfs)	(2) Lngth (ft)	(3) Pipe Size	(4) ÒnÓ Value	(5) Outlet Elev (ft)	(6) Inlet Elev (ft)	(7) Barrel Area (sq. ft)	(8) Barrel Vel (fps)	(9) Barrel Vel Head (ft)	(10) TW Elev (ft)	(11) Fric-tion Loss (ft)	(12) Entr HGL Elev (ft)	(13) Entr Head Loss (ft)	(14) Exit Head Loss (ft)	(15) Outlt Cntrl Elev (ft)	(16) Inlet Cntrl Elev (ft)	(17) Appr Vel Head (ft)	(18) Bend Head Loss (ft)	(19) Junc Head Loss (ft)	(20) HW Elev (ft)

FIGURE 4.2.1.I BACKWATER CALCULATION SHEET NOTES

Column (1) - Design flow to be conveyed by pipe segment.

Column (2) - Length of pipe segment.

Column (3) - Pipe Size; indicate pipe diameter or span x rise.

Column (4) - Manning's "*n*" value.

Column (5) - Outlet Elevation of pipe segment.

Column (6) - Inlet Elevation of pipe segment.

Column (7) - Barrel Area; this is the full cross-sectional area of the pipe.

Column (8) - Barrel Velocity; this is the full velocity in the pipe as determined by:

$V = Q/A$ or Col.(8) = Col.(1) / Col.(7)

Column (9) - Barrel Velocity Head = $V^2/2g$ or $(\text{Col.}(8))^2/2g$

where $g = 32.2$ ft/sec^2 (acceleration due to gravity)

Column (10) - Tailwater (*TW*) Elevation; this is the water surface elevation at the outlet of the pipe segment. If the pipe's outlet is not submerged by the *TW* and the *TW* depth is less than $(D+d_c)/2$, set TW equal to $(D+d_c)/2$ to keep the analysis simple and still obtain reasonable results (D = pipe barrel height and d_c = critical depth, both in feet. See Figure 4.3.1.F (p. 4-49) for determination of d_c).

Column (11) - Friction Loss = $S_f \times L$ [or $S_f \times$ Col.(2)]
where S_f is the friction slope or head loss per linear foot of pipe as determined by Manning's equation expressed in the form:

$S_f = (nV)^2/2.22\,R^{1.33}$

Column (12) - Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) Elevation just inside the entrance of the pipe barrel; this is determined by adding the friction loss to the *TW* elevation:

Col.(12) = Col.(11) + Col.(10)

If this elevation falls below the pipe's inlet crown, it no longer represents the true HGL when computed in this manner. The true HGL will fall somewhere between the pipe's crown and either normal flow depth or critical flow depth, whichever is greater. To keep the analysis simple and still obtain reasonable results (i.e., erring on the conservative side), set the HGL elevation equal to the crown elevation.

Column (13) - Entrance Head Loss = $K_e \times V^2/2g$ [or $K_e \times$ Col.(9)]
where K_e = Entrance Loss Coefficient (from Table 4.3.1.B, p. 4-42). This is the head lost due to flow contractions at the pipe entrance.

Column (14) - Exit Head Loss = $1.0 \times V^2/2g$ or $1.0 \times$ Col.(9)

This is the velocity head lost or transferred downstream.

Column (15) - Outlet Control Elevation = Col.(12) + Col.(13) + Col.(14)

This is the maximum headwater elevation assuming the pipe's barrel and inlet/outlet characteristics are controlling capacity. It does not include structure losses or approach velocity considerations.

Column (16) - Inlet Control Elevation (see Section 4.3.1.2, p. 4-39, for computation of inlet control on culverts); this is the maximum headwater elevation assuming the pipe's inlet is controlling capacity. It does not include structure losses or approach velocity considerations.

Column (17) - Approach Velocity Head; this is the amount of head/energy being supplied by the discharge from an upstream pipe or channel section, which serves to reduce the headwater elevation. If the discharge is from a pipe, the approach velocity head is equal to the barrel velocity head computed for the upstream pipe. If the upstream pipe outlet is significantly higher in elevation (as in a drop manhole) or lower in elevation such that its discharge energy would be dissipated, an approach velocity head of zero should be assumed.

Column (18) - Bend Head Loss = $K_b \times V^2/2g$ [or $K_b \times$ Col.(17)]
where K_b = Bend Loss Coefficient (from Figure 4.2.1.K, p. 4-27). This is the loss of head/energy required to change direction of flow in an access structure.

Column (19) - Junction Head Loss. This is the loss in head/energy that results from the turbulence created when two or more streams are merged into one within the access structure. Figure 4.2.1.L (p. 4-28) may be used to determine this loss, or it may be computed using the following equations derived from Figure 4.2.1.L:

Junction Head Loss = $K_j \times V^2/2g$ [or $K_j \times$ Col.(17)]

where K_j is the Junction Loss Coefficient determined by:

$K_j = (Q_3/Q_1)/(1.18 + 0.63(Q_3/Q_1))$

Column (20) - Headwater (HW) Elevation; this is determined by combining the energy heads in Columns 17, 18, and 19 with the highest control elevation in either Column 15 or 16, as follows:

Col.(20) = Col.(15 or 16) - Col.(17) + Col.(18) + Col.(19)

FIGURE 4.2.1.J BACKWATER PIPE CALCULATION EXAMPLE



BACKWATER CALCULATION SHEET

Select the greater elevation

Pipe Segment CB to CB		(1) Q (cfs)	(2) Length (ft)	(3) Pipe Size	(4) 'n' Value	(5) Outlet Elev (ft)	(6) Inlet Elev (ft)	(7) Barrel Area (sqft)	(8) Barrel Vel (fps)	(9) Barrel Vel Head (ft)	(10) TW Elev (ft)	(11) Friction Loss (ft)	(12) Entr HGL Elev (ft)	(13) Entr Head Loss (ft)	(14) Exit Head Loss (ft)	(15) Outlet Contr Elev (ft)	(16) Inlet Contr Elev (ft)	(17) Appr Vel Head (ft)	(18) Bend Head Loss (ft)	(19) Junc Head Loss (ft)	(20) HW Elev (ft)
Out fall	1	10	30	18"	0.012	100.00	100.50	1.77	5.65	0.50	102.00	0.58	104.08	0.45	0.50	103.37	106.25	0.16	0.094	0.07	106.45
1	2	6	110	18"	0.012	100.50	101.50	1.77	3.39	0.18	103.26	0.31	103.57	0.09	0.18	103.84	102.95	-0.18	0.24	0.0	103.90
2	Inlet	6	30	18"	0.024	101.50	104.00	1.77	3.39	0.18	105.26	1.06	106.32	0.46	0.18	106.84	106.80	-0.18	0.0	0.0	106.64

FIGURE 4.2.1.K BEND HEAD LOSSES IN STRUCTURES



FIGURE 4.2.1.L JUNCTION HEAD LOSS IN STRUCTURES



4.2.2 OUTFALL SYSTEMS

Properly designed outfalls are critical to ensuring no adverse impacts occur as the result of concentrated discharges from pipe systems and culverts, both onsite and downstream. *Outfall systems* include rock splash pads, flow dispersal trenches, gabion or other energy dissipaters, and tightline systems. A *tightline system* is typically a continuous length of pipe used to convey flows down a steep or sensitive slope with appropriate energy dissipation at the discharge end. In general, it is recommended that conveyance systems be designed to reduce velocity above outfalls to the extent feasible.

4.2.2.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

General

At a minimum, all outfalls shall be provided with a **rock splash pad** (see Figure 4.2.2.A , p. 4-32) except as specified below and in Table 4.2.2.A (p. 4-31):

1. The **flow dispersal trench** shown in Figure 4.2.2.B (p. 4-33) shall only be used as an outfall as described in Core Requirement #1, Section 1.2.1.
2. For outfalls with a velocity at design flow greater than 10 fps, a **gabion dissipater** or **engineered energy dissipater** shall be required. Note the gabion outfall detail shown in Figure 4.2.2.D (p. 4-35) is illustrative only; a design engineered to specific ***site*** conditions is required. Gabions shall conform to WDSOT/APWA specifications.
3. **Engineered energy dissipaters**, including stilling basins, drop pools, hydraulic jump basins, baffled aprons, and bucket aprons, are required for outfalls with velocity at design flow greater than 20 fps. These should be designed using published or commonly known techniques found in such references as *Hydraulic Design of Energy Dissipaters for Culverts and Channels*, published by the Federal Highway Administration of the United States Department of Transportation; *Open Channel Flow*, by V.T. Chow; *Hydraulic Design of Stilling Basins and Energy Dissipaters*, EM 25, Bureau of Reclamation (1978); and other publications, such as those prepared by the Soil Conservation Service (now Natural Resource Conservation Service). **Alternate mechanisms,** such as bubble-up structures (which will eventually drain) and structures fitted with reinforced concrete posts, may require an approved adjustment and must be designed using sound hydraulic principles and considering constructability and ease of maintenance.
4. **Tightline systems** shall be used when required by the discharge requirements of Core Requirement #1 or the outfall requirements of Core Requirement #4. Tightline systems may also be used to prevent aggravation or creation of a downstream erosion problem.
5. **Flood closure devices** shall be provided on new outfalls passing through existing levees or other features that contain floodwaters. Such structures shall be designed to the *Corps of Engineers Manual for Design and Construction of Levees* (EM 1110-2-1913).
6. **Backup (secondary gate) closure devices** shall be required for new outfalls through flood containment levees unless this requirement is specifically waived by the King County Water and Land Resources Division.
7. New **outfalls through levees along the Green River** between River Mile 6 and State Route 18 shall comply with the terms of the adopted *Lower Green River Pump Operation Procedures Plan*.

Tightline Systems

1. Outfall tightlines may be installed in trenches with standard bedding on **slopes up to 40%**. In order to minimize disturbance to **slopes greater than 40%**, it is recommended that tightlines be placed at grade with proper pipe anchorage and support.

2. Solid wall HDPE tightlines must be designed to address the material limitations, particularly **thermal expansion and contraction** and pressure design, as specified by the manufacturer. The coefficient of thermal expansion and contraction for solid wall HDPE is on the order of 0.001 inch per foot per Fahrenheit degree. **Sliding sleeve connections** shall be used to address this thermal expansion and contraction. These sleeve connections consist of a section of the appropriate length of the next larger size diameter of pipe into which the outfall pipe is fitted. These sleeve connections must be located as close to the discharge end of the outfall system as is practical.

3. Solid wall HDPE tightlines shall be designed and sized using the applicable design criteria and methods of analysis specified for pipe systems in Section 4.2.1, beginning on page 4-7.

4. Due to the ability of solid wall HDPE tightlines to transmit flows of very high energy, special consideration for **energy dissipation** must be made. Details of a sample "gabion mattress energy dissipater" have been provided as Figure 4.2.2.D (p. 4-35). Flows of very high energy will require a specifically engineered energy dissipater structure, as described above in General Criterion #3. *Caution, the in-stream sample gabion mattress energy dissipater may not be acceptable within the ordinary high water mark of fish-bearing waters or where gabions will be subject to abrasion from upstream channel sediments. A four-sided gabion basket located outside the ordinary high water mark should be considered for these applications.*

TABLE 4.2.2.A ROCK PROTECTION AT OUTFALLS

Discharge Velocity at Design Flow (fps)		REQUIRED PROTECTION				
		Minimum Dimensions[1]				
Greater than	Less than or equal to	Type	Thickness	Width	Length	Height
0	5	Rock lining[2]	1 foot	Diameter + 6 feet	8 feet or 4 x diameter, whichever is greater	Crown + 1 foot
5	10	Riprap[3]	2 feet	Diameter + 6 feet or 3 x diameter, whichever is greater	12 feet or 4 x diameter, whichever is greater	Crown + 1 foot
10	20	Gabion outfall	As required	As required	As required	Crown + 1 foot
20	N/A	Engineered energy dissipater required				

(1) These sizes assume that erosion is dominated by outfall energy. In many cases sizing will be governed by conditions in the receiving waters.

(2) **Rock lining** shall be quarry spalls with gradation as follows:

Passing 8-inch square sieve:	100%
Passing 3-inch square sieve:	40 to 60% maximum
Passing $^3/_4$-inch square sieve:	0 to 10% maximum

(3) **Riprap** shall be reasonably well graded with gradation as follows:

Maximum stone size:	24 inches (nominal diameter)
Median stone size:	16 inches
Minimum stone size:	4 inches

Note: Riprap sizing governed by side slopes on outlet channel is assumed to be approximately 3:1.

FIGURE 4.2.2.A PIPE/CULVERT DISCHARGE PROTECTION



PLAN
NTS



SECTION A-A
NTS

FIGURE 4.2.2.B FLOW DISPERSAL TRENCH



NOTES:

1. THIS TRENCH SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED TO PREVENT POINT DISCHARGE AND/OR EROSION.
2. TRENCHES MAY BE PLACED NO CLOSER THAN 50 FEET TO ONE ANOTHER (100 FEET ALONG FLOWLINE).
3. TRENCH AND GRADE BOARD MUST BE LEVEL. ALIGN TO FOLLOW CONTOURS OF SITE.
4. VERTICALLY SLOTTED BOLT HOLES, 2" SLOT LENGTH, ALLOWED FOR GRADE BOARD LEVEL ADJUSTMENT. PROVIDE BACKING WASHERS AND FASTEN SECURELY.
5. SUPPORT POST SPACING AS REQUIRED BY SOIL CONDITIONS TO ENSURE GRADE BOARD REMAINS LEVEL.
6. 15% MAX UNLESS OTHERWISE EVALUATED AND APPROVED, SEE SECTION C.2.1.1

FIGURE 4.2.2.C ALTERNATIVE FLOW DISPERSAL TRENCH



SECTION A-A
NTS

NOTES:

1. THIS TRENCH SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED TO PREVENT POINT DISCHARGE AND /OR EROSION.
2. TRENCHES MAY BE PLACED NO CLOSER THAN 50 FEET TO ONE ANOTHER (100 FEET ALONG FLOWLINE).
3. TRENCH AND GRADE BOARD MUST BE LEVEL. ALIGN TO FOLLOW CONTOURS OF SITE.
4. VERTICALLY SLOTTED BOLT HOLES, 2" SLOT LENGTH, ALLOWED FOR GRADE BOARD LEVEL ADJUSTMENT. PROVIDE BACKING WASHERS AND FASTEN SECURELY.
5. PROVIDE SUPPORT POST SPACING AS REQUIRED BY SOIL CONDITIONS TO ENSURE GRADE BOARD REMAINS LEVEL.
6. 15% MAX UNLESS OTHERWISE EVALUATED AND APPROVED, SEE SECTION C.2.1.1

*FOR WATER QUALITY FACILITIES, SEE SECTION 6.2.6.1, OPTION A FOR NOTCH DIMENSIONS AND SPACING



NOTCH DETAIL
NTS

FIGURE 4.2.2.D GABION MATTRESS ENERGY DISSIPATOR DETAIL









4.2.3 PUMP SYSTEMS

As allowed in Core Requirement #4, Section 1.2.4.3, pump systems may be used for conveyance of flows internal to a ***site*** if located on private property and privately maintained. Pump systems discharging to the Green river between River Mile 6 and State Route 18 (within the Green River Flood Control Zone District) shall comply with the standards of the adopted *Green River Pump Operation Procedures Plan*.

4.2.3.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

Proposed pump systems must meet the following minimum requirements:

1. The pump system must be **privately owned and maintained**.
2. The pump system shall be used to convey water from one location or elevation to another within the ***site***.
3. The pump system must have a dual pump (alternating) equipped with an external alarm system.
4. The pump system shall not be used to circumvent any other King County drainage requirements, and construction and operation of the pump system shall not violate any other King County requirements.
5. The gravity-flow components of the drainage system to and from the pump system must be designed so that pump failure does not result in flooding of a building or emergency access, or overflow to a location other than the ***natural discharge point*** for the ***site***.

4.2.3.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Pump systems must be sized in accordance with the conveyance capacity requirements for pipe systems set forth in Section 1.2.4, "Core Requirement #4: Conveyance System."

4.3 CULVERTS AND BRIDGES

This section presents the methods, criteria, and details for hydraulic analysis and design of culverts and bridges. The information presented is organized as follows:

Section 4.3.1, "Culverts"
"Design Criteria," Section 4.3.1.1 (p. 4-37)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 4.3.1.2 (p. 4-39)

Section 4.3.2, "Culverts Providing for Fish Passage/Migration"
"Design Criteria," Section 4.3.2.1 (p. 4-51)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 4.3.2.2 (p. 4-52)

Section 4.3.3, "Bridges"
"Design Criteria," Section 4.3.3.1 (p. 4-53)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 4.3.3.2 (p. 4-54).

4.3.1 CULVERTS

Culverts are relatively short segments of pipe of circular, elliptical, rectangular, or arch cross section. They are usually placed under road embankments or driveways to convey surface water flow safely under the embankment. They may be used to convey flow from constructed or natural channels including streams. The Critical Areas Code (KCC 21A.24) contains definitions of streams (termed "aquatic areas") and requirements for crossing of streams. In addition to those requirements and the design criteria described below, other agencies such as the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) may have additional requirements affecting the design of proposed culverts.

4.3.1.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

General

1. All **circular pipe culverts** shall conform to any applicable design criteria specified for pipe systems in Section 4.2.1.
2. All **other types** of culverts shall conform to manufacturer's specifications. See the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards* and *General Special Provisions* for types of culverts allowed in King County right-of-way.

Headwater

1. For **culverts 18-inch diameter or less**, the maximum allowable headwater elevation (measured from the inlet invert) shall not exceed 2 times the pipe diameter or arch-culvert-rise at *design flow* (i.e., the 10-year or 25-year peak flow rate as specified in Core Requirement #4, Section 1.2.4).
2. For **culverts larger than 18-inch diameter,** the maximum allowable design flow headwater elevation (measured from the inlet invert) shall not exceed 1.5 times the pipe diameter or arch-culvert-rise at design flow.
3. The **maximum headwater elevation** at design flow shall be below any road or parking lot subgrade.

Inlets and Outlets

1. All inlets and outlets in or near roadway embankments must be flush with and conforming to the slope of the embankment.
2. For culverts 18-inch diameter and larger, the embankment around the culvert inlet shall be protected from erosion by **rock lining or riprap** as specified in Table 4.2.2.A (p. 4-31), except the length shall extend at least 5 feet upstream of the culvert, and the height shall be at or above the design headwater elevation.

Inlet structures, such as concrete headwalls, may provide a more economical design by allowing the use of smaller entrance coefficients and, hence, smaller diameter culverts. When properly designed, they will also protect the embankment from erosion and eliminate the need for rock lining.

3. In order to maintain the stability of roadway embankments, concrete headwalls, wingwalls, or tapered inlets and outlets may be required if **right-of-way or easement constraints** prohibit the culvert from extending to the toe of the embankment slopes. All inlet structures or headwalls installed in or near roadway embankments must be flush with and conforming to the slope of the embankment.
4. **Debris barriers (trash racks)** are required on the inlets of all culverts that are over 60 feet in length and are 18 to 36 inches in diameter. Debris barriers shall have a bar spacing of 6 inches. This requirement also applies to the inlets of pipe systems. See Figure 4.2.1.D (p. 4-17) and Figure 4.2.1.E (p. 4-18) for debris barrier details.
5. For culverts 18-inch diameter and larger, the receiving channel of the outlet shall be protected from erosion by **rock lining** specified in Table 4.2.2.A (p. 4-31), except the height shall be one foot above maximum tailwater elevation or one foot above the crown, whichever is higher (See Figure 4.2.2.A , p. 4-32).

4.3.1.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

This section presents the methods of analysis for designing new or evaluating existing **culverts** for compliance with the conveyance capacity requirements set forth in Section 1.2.4, "Core Requirement #4: Conveyance System."

❑ DESIGN FLOWS

Design flows for sizing or assessing the capacity of culverts shall be determined using the hydrologic analysis methods described in Chapter 3.

❑ CONVEYANCE CAPACITY

The theoretical analysis of culvert capacity can be extremely complex because of the wide range of possible flow conditions that can occur due to various combinations of inlet and outlet submergence and flow regime within the culvert barrel. An exact analysis usually involves detailed backwater calculations, energy and momentum balance, and application of the results of hydraulic model studies.

However, simple procedures have been developed where the various flow conditions are classified and analyzed on the basis of a control section. A *control section* is a location where there is a unique relationship between the flow rate and the upstream water surface elevation. Many different flow conditions exist over time, but at any given time the flow is either governed by the culvert's inlet geometry (*inlet control*) or by a combination of inlet geometry, barrel characteristics, and tailwater elevation (*outlet control*). Figure 4.3.1.A (p. 4-44) illustrates typical conditions of inlet and outlet control. The procedures presented in this section provide for the analysis of both inlet and outlet control conditions to determine which governs.

Inlet Control Analysis

Nomographs such as those provided in Figure 4.3.1.B (p. 4-45) and Figure 4.3.1.C (p. 4-46) may be used to determine the **inlet control headwater depth** at design flow for various types of culverts and inlet configurations. These nomographs were originally developed by the Bureau of Public Roads—now the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)—based on their studies of culvert hydraulics. These and other nomographs can be found in the FHWA publication *Hydraulic Design of Highway Culverts, HDS No. #5 (Report No. FHWA-IP-85-15)*(September 1985), or the *WSDOT Hydraulic Manual*.

Also available in the FHWA publication, are the design equations used to develop the inlet control nomographs. These equations are presented below.

For **unsubmerged** inlet conditions (defined by $Q/AD^{0.5} \leq 3.5$);

$$\text{Form 1*: } HW/D = H_c/D + K(Q/AD^{0.5})^M - 0.5S^{**} \quad (4\text{-}3)$$

$$\text{Form 2*: } HW/D = K(Q/AD^{0.5})^M \quad (4\text{-}4)$$

For **submerged** inlet conditions (defined by $Q/AD^{0.5} \geq 4.0$);

$$HW/D = c(Q/AD^{0.5})^2 + Y - 0.5S^{**} \quad (4\text{-}5)$$

where
- HW = headwater depth above inlet invert (ft)
- D = interior height of culvert barrel (ft)
- H_c = specific head (ft) at critical depth ($dc + Vc^2/2g$)
- Q = flow (cfs)
- A = full cross-sectional area of culvert barrel (sf)

S = culvert barrel slope (ft/ft)
K,M,c,Y = constants from Table 4.3.1.A.

The specified head H_c is determined by the following equation:

$$H_c = d_c + V_c^2/2g \quad (4\text{-}6)$$

where d_c = critical depth (ft); see Figure 4.3.1.F (p. 4-49)
V_c = flow velocity at critical depth (fps)
g = acceleration due to gravity (32.2 ft/sec^2).

* The appropriate equation form for various inlet types is specified in Table 4.3.1.A below.

** For mitered inlets, use +0.7S instead of -0.5S.

Note: Between the unsubmerged and submerged conditions, there is a transition zone ($3.5 < Q/AD^{0.5} < 4.0$) for which there is only limited hydraulic study information. The transition zone is defined empirically by drawing a curve between and tangent to the curves defined by the unsubmerged and submerged equations. In most cases, the transition zone is short and the curve is easily constructed.

TABLE 4.3.1.A CONSTANTS FOR INLET CONTROL EQUATIONS*

		Unsubmerged			Submerged	
Shape and Material	**Inlet Edge Description**	**Equation Form**	***K***	***M***	***c***	***Y***
Circular Concrete	Square edge with headwall	1	0.0098	2.0	0.0398	0.67
	Groove end with headwall		0.0078	2.0	0.0292	0.74
	Groove end projecting		0.0045	2.0	0.0317	0.69
Circular CMP	Headwall	1	0.0078	2.0	0.0379	0.69
	Mitered to slope		0.0210	1.33	0.0463	0.75
	Projecting		0.0340	1.50	0.0553	0.54
Rectangular Box	30° to 75° wingwall flares	1	0.026	1.0	0.0385	0.81
	90° and 15° wingwall flares		0.061	0.75	0.0400	0.80
	0° wingwall flares		0.061	0.75	0.0423	0.82
CM Boxes	90° headwall	1	0.0083	2.0	0.0379	0.69
	Thick wall projecting		0.0145	1.75	0.0419	0.64
	Thin wall projecting		0.0340	1.5	0.0496	0.57
Arch CMP	90° headwall	1	0.0083	2.0	0.0496	0.57
	Mitered to slope		0.0300	1.0	0.0463	0.75
	Projecting		0.0340	1.5	0.0496	0.53
Bottomless Arch	90° headwall	1	0.0083	2.0	0.0379	0.69
CMP	Mitered to slope		0.0300	2.0	0.0463	0.75
	Thin wall projecting		0.0340	1.5	0.0496	0.57
Circular with	Smooth tapered inlet throat	2	0.534	0.333	0.0196	0.89
Tapered Inlet	Rough tapered inlet throat		0.519	0.64	0.0289	0.90

* Source: *FHWA HDS No. 5*

Outlet Control Analysis

Nomographs such as those provided in Figure 4.3.1.D (p. 4-47) and Figure 4.3.1.E (p. 4-48) may be used to determine the **outlet control headwater depth** at design flow for various types of culverts and inlets. Outlet control nomographs other than those provided can be found in *FHWA HDS No.5* or the *WSDOT Hydraulic Manual*.

The outlet control headwater depth may also be determined using the simple Backwater Analysis method presented in Section 4.2.1.2 (p. 4-21) for analyzing pipe system capacity. This procedure is summarized as follows for culverts:

$$HW = H + TW - LS \quad (4\text{-}7)$$

where
$H = H_f + H_e + H_{ex}$
H_f = friction loss (ft) = $(V^2 n^2 L)/(2.22R^{1.33})$
Note: If (Hf+TW-LS) < D, adjust Hf such that (Hf+TW-LS) = D. This will keep the analysis simple and still yield reasonable results (erring on the conservative side).
H_e = entrance head loss (ft) = $K_e(V^2/2g)$
H_{ex} = exit head loss (ft) = $V^2/2g$
TW = tailwater depth above invert of culvert outlet (ft)
Note: If TW < $(D+d_c)/2$, set TW = $(D+d_c)/2$. This will keep the analysis simple and still yield reasonable results.
L = length of culvert (ft)
S = slope of culvert barrel (ft/ft)
D = interior height of culvert barrel (ft)
V = barrel velocity (fps)
n = Manning's roughness coefficient from Table 4.2.1.D (p. 4-20)
R = hydraulic radius (ft)
K_e = entrance loss coefficient (from Table 4.3.1.B, p. 4-42)
g = acceleration due to gravity (32.2 ft/sec^2)
d_c = critical depth (ft); see Figure 4.3.1.F (p. 4-49)

Note: The above procedure should not be used to develop stage/discharge curves for level pool routing purposes because its results are not precise for flow conditions where the hydraulic grade line falls significantly below the culvert crown (i.e., less than full flow conditions).

TABLE 4.3.1.B ENTRANCE LOSS COEFFICIENTS

Type of Structure and Design Entrance	Coefficient, K_e
Pipe, Concrete, PVC, Spiral Rib, DI, and Lined CPE	
Projecting from fill, socket (bell) end	0.2
Projecting from fill, square cut end	0.5
Headwall, or headwall and wingwalls	
Socket end of pipe (groove-end)	0.2
Square-edge	0.5
Rounded (radius = $^1/_{12}$D)	0.2
Mitered to conform to fill slope	0.7
End section conforming to fill slope*	0.5
Beveled edges, 33.7° or 45° bevels	0.2
Side- or slope-tapered inlet	0.2
Pipe, or Pipe-Arch, Corrugated Metal and Other Non-Concrete or D.I.	
Projecting from fill (no headwall)	0.9
Headwall, or headwall and wingwalls (square-edge)	0.5
Mitered to conform to fill slope (paved or unpaved slope)	0.7
End section conforming to fill slope*	0.5
Beveled edges, 33.7° or 45° bevels	0.2
Side- or slope-tapered inlet	0.2
Box, Reinforced Concrete	
Headwall parallel to embankment (no wingwalls)	
Square-edged on 3 edges	0.5
Rounded on 3 edges to radius of $^1/_{12}$ barrel dimension or beveled edges on 3 sides	0.2
Wingwalls at 30° to 75° to barrel	
Square-edged at crown	0.4
Crown edge rounded to radius of $^1/_{12}$ barrel dimension or beveled top edge	0.2
Wingwall at 10° to 25° to barrel	
Square-edged at crown	0.5
Wingwalls parallel (extension of sides)	
Square-edged at crown	0.7
Side- or slope-tapered inlet	0.2

* *Note: "End section conforming to fill slope" are the sections commonly available from manufacturers. From limited hydraulic tests they are equivalent in operation to a headwall in both **inlet and outlet control**. Some end sections incorporating a **closed taper** in their design have a superior hydraulic performance.*

Computer Applications

The "King County Backwater" (KCBW) computer program available with this manual contains two subroutines (**BWPIPE** and **BWCULV**) that may be used to analyze culvert capacity and develop stage/discharge curves for level pool routing purposes. A schematic description of the nomenclature used in these subroutines is provided in Figure 4.3.1.G (p. 4-50). The KCBW program documentation available from DNRP includes more detailed descriptions of program features.

FIGURE 4.3.1.A INLET/OUTLET CONTROL CONDITIONS



Inlet Control - Submerged Inlet



Inlet Control - Unsubmerged Inlet



Outlet Control - Submerged Inlet and Outlet

NOTE: See FHWA no. 5 for other possible conditions

FIGURE 4.3.1.B
HEADWATER DEPTH FOR SMOOTH INTERIOR PIPE CULVERTS WITH INLET CONTROL



FIGURE 4.3.1.C HEADWATER DEPTH FOR CORRUGATED PIPE CULVERTS WITH INLET CONTROL



FIGURE 4.3.1.D HEAD FOR CULVERTS (PIPE W/"*n*"= 0.012) FLOWING FULL WITH OUTLET CONTROL



FIGURE 4.3.1.E HEAD FOR CULVERTS (PIPE W/"*n*"= 0.024) FLOWING FULL WITH OUTLET CONTROL



FIGURE 4.3.1.F CRITICAL DEPTH OF FLOW FOR CIRCULAR CULVERTS



Note: For all cross-sectional shapes, d_c can be calculated by trial and error knowing that the quantity $(Q^2T/gA^3)=1.0$ at critical depth.

EXAMPLE

D = 66 inches, Q = 100cfs

d_c/D - Ratio = 0.50

d_c = (0.50)(66 inches) = 33 inches ÷ (12 inches/ft)

d_c = 2.75 feet

FIGURE 4.3.1.G COMPUTER SUBROUTINES BWPIPE AND BWCULV: VARIABLE DEFINITIONS



FLOW DATA

DC - Critical Depth (ft)
DN - Normal Depth (ft)
TW - Tailwater Depth (ft)
DO - Outlet Depth (ft)

DE - Entrance Depth (ft)

HWO - Headwater (ft) assuming Outlet Control

HWI - Headwater (ft) assuming Inlet Control

DXN - Distance (expressed as a fraction of the pipe length) from the outlet to where the flow profile intersects with normal depth. DXN will equal one under full-flow conditions and will equal zero when a hydraulic jump occurs at the outlet or when normal depth equals zero (normal depth will equal zero when the pipe grade is flat or reversed).

VBH - Barrel Velocity Head (ft) based on the average velocity determined by $V=Q/A_{full}$

VUH - Upstream Velocity Head (ft) based on an inputted velocity.

EHU - Upstream Energy Head (ft) available after bend losses and junction losses have been subtracted from VUH.

VCH - Critical Depth Velocity Head (ft)

VNH - Normal Depth Velocity Head (ft)

VEH - Entrance Depth Velocity Head (ft)

VOH - Outlet Depth Velocity Head (ft)

COEFFICIENTS / INLET DATA

KE - Entrance Coefficient under Outlet Control
KB - Bend Loss Coefficient
KJ - Junction Loss Coefficient
K - Inlet Control Equation parameter (See Table 4.3.1.A)
M - Inlet Control Equation parameter (See Table 4.3.1.A)
C - Inlet Control Equation parameter (See Table 4.3.1.A)
Y - Inlet Control Equation parameter (See Table 4.3.1.A)

Q-Ratio - Ratio of tributary flow to main upstream flow (Q3/Q1)

4.3.2 CULVERTS PROVIDING FOR FISH PASSAGE/MIGRATION

In fish-bearing waters, water-crossing structures must usually provide for fish passage as required for Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) Hydraulic Project Approval or as a condition of permitting under the critical areas code (KCC 21A.24). Culverts designed for fish passage must also meet the requirements of Section 1.2.4, "Core Requirement #4: Conveyance System."

Fish passage can generally be ensured by providing structures that do not confine the streambed—that is, a structure wide enough so that the stream can maintain its natural channel within the culvert. Bridges, bottomless arch culverts, arch culverts, and rectangular box culverts ("utility vaults") can often be used to accommodate stream channels.

Where it is unfeasible to construct these types of structures, round pipe culverts may be used if high flow velocities are minimized and low flow depths are maximized. The Hydraulic Code Rules (Title 220 WAC) detail requirements for WDFW Hydraulic Project Approval. See the WDFW manual "Design of Road Culverts for Fish Passage" for detailed design methodologies.

Materials

Galvanized metals leach zinc into the environment, especially in standing water situations. High zinc concentrations, sometimes in the range that can be toxic to aquatic life, have been observed in the region. Therefore, use of galvanized materials in stormwater facilities is not allowed, and their use in conveyance systems is discouraged. Where other metals, such as aluminum or stainless steel, or plastics are available, they should be used.

4.3.2.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

Table 4.3.2.A lists allowable velocities, flow depths, and hydraulic drops for culverts in fish-bearing streams. Velocities are for the **high flow design discharge**; water depths are for the **low flow design discharge.** The *hydraulic drop* (a vertical drop in the water surface profile at any point within culvert influence) is for all flows between the high and low flow design discharges.

TABLE 4.3.2.A FISH PASSAGE DESIGN CRITERIA

	Adult Trout	Adult Pink, Chum Salmon	Adult Chinook, Coho, Sockeye, Steelhead
1. Max Velocity (fps)			
Culvert Length:			
10-60 ft	4.0	5.0	6.0
60-100 ft	4.0	4.0	5.0
100-200 ft	3.0	3.0	4.0
2. Min Flow Depth (ft)	0.8	0.8	1.0
3. Max Hydraulic Drop (ft)	0.8	0.8	1.0

Source: WDFW manual "Design of Road Culverts for Fish Passage" (2003), Chap.5, p.21, Table 5-1

4.3.2.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

High Flow Design Discharge

For **gaged streams**, the high flow design discharge shall be estimated by the 10% exceedance flow for October through April inclusive, proportioned by tributary area to the culvert using the technique described in Section 4.4.2.4 under "Flood Flows from Stream Gage Data" (p. 4-76).

For **ungaged streams,** the high flow design discharge shall be estimated by one of the following:

- The 10% exceedance flow for October through April inclusive for the nearest hydrologically similar gaged stream, proportioned by tributary area
- The 5% exceedance flow determined through duration analysis with the approved model
- The 10% exceedance flow for October through April inclusive determined with the HSPF model or the approved model using the full historical record.

Low Flow Design Discharge

For **gaged streams**, the low flow design discharge shall be estimated by the 95% exceedance flow for October through April inclusive, proportioned by tributary area.

For **ungaged streams**, the low flow design discharge shall be estimated by one of the following:

- The 95% exceedance flow for October through April inclusive for the nearest hydrologically similar gaged stream, proportioned by tributary area
- The 95% exceedance flow for October through April inclusive, determined by the HSPF model or the approved model using the full historical record
- One of the following equations, using input data from the approved model:

  For the Sea-Tac rainfall region:

$$Q_l = f_r(0.46A_{tf} + 0.56A_{tp} + 0.46A_{tg} + 0.72A_{of} + 0.96A_{op} + 1.10A_{og}) / 1000 \quad (4\text{-}8)$$

  For the Landsburg rainfall region:

$$Q_l = f_r(0.65A_{tf} + 0.90A_{tp} + 0.70A_{tg} + 1.10A_{of} + 1.45A_{op} + 1.70A_{og} + 0.25A_{wl}) / 1000 \quad (4\text{-}9)$$

  where Q_l = low flow design discharge (cfs)
  f_r = regional rainfall scale factor from the WWHM2012 Site Information map screen
  A_{tf} = area of till forest (acres)
  A_{tp} = area of till pasture (acres)
  A_{tg} = area of till grass (acres)
  A_{of} = area of outwash forest (acres)
  A_{op} = area of outwash pasture (acres)
  A_{og} = area of outwash grass (acres)

Note: Minimum depths may also be met by providing an "installed no-flow depth," per Title 220 WAC, where the static water surface level meets minimum flow depth criteria.

4.3.3 BRIDGES

Bridges over waterways are considered conveyance structures and are generally constructed to allow the continuation of a thoroughfare (such as a road). They generally consist of foundation abutments and/or piers that support a deck spanning the waterway. In addition to the **design criteria for conveyance** described below, bridge designs must meet the requirements of the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards (KCRDCS)*, Chapter 6, the critical areas code (KCC 21A.24), Shoreline Management (KCC Title 25), and the Clearing and Grading Code (KCC 16.82) as well as the requirements of other agencies such as the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW).

4.3.3.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

Bridges shall be designed to convey flows and pass sediments and debris for runoff events up to and including the 100-year event in a manner that does not increase the potential for flooding or erosion to properties and structures near or adjacent to the bridge, or cause bridge failure. Inadequate conveyance capacity may cause flooding to increase by restricting flow through the hydraulic openings, by placing approach fill or abutments in floodplains, by causing changes in channel gradient and alignment or by trapping debris. A common mode of bridge failure involving debris is the resultant scour and undermining of piers or abutments where debris accumulates.

Openings between the structural elements of the bridge and the bottom of the channel or floodplain ground surface must be large enough to allow for passage of water, sediment, and debris. The horizontal openings are defined by the bridge span, the horizontal distances between piers or abutments.

Bridge clearance is the vertical distance between the 100-year water surface and the low chord of the bridge. **Bridge clearance requirements** are contained in the KCRDCS. *Evaluation of adequate conveyance capacity, referred to in KCRDCS, Section 6.02.G., shall consider the following factors.*

Hydraulic Capacity

Bridge and approach roads must **pass the 100-year flow** without creating hydraulic restrictions that cause or increase flooding. Design of bridge and approach roads shall demonstrate compliance with zero-rise and compensatory storage provisions of KCC chapter 21A.24. Of necessity, bridge and approach roads are sometimes constructed within 100-year floodplains. In some cases, approach roads will be inundated and the bridge will not be accessible during extreme events. In other cases, both the bridge and approach roads will be inundated by the 100-year flood. In these cases, the bridge shall be designed to withstand the expected condition while inundated. The design shall employ means to facilitate flow over the bridge and to minimize the potential for erosion of the roadway fill in the approach roads.

Bed Aggradation

Where bed aggradation is probable, the analysis of hydraulic capacity shall assume the bed raised by an amount expected during a suitable **design life** (40 years minimum) of the bridge. Aggradation estimates shall be based on a sediment transport analysis that, where possible, is calibrated to direct cross-section comparisons over time. This analysis shall extend upstream and downstream a sufficient distance to adequately characterize bed aggradation that may affect the hydraulic capacity at the bridge location.

Bed aggradation is frequently associated with channel migration. The location and design of bridges and approach roads shall consider **channel migration hazards**, as mapped by King County.

Debris Passage

Since debris can pass through an opening either partly or totally submerged, the total vertical clearance from the bottom of the structure to the streambed needs to be considered. Required clearance for debris shall include an assessment of the maximum material size available, the ability of the stream to transport it, and the proximity of debris sources. The **following factors also must be considered**: history of debris problems in the river reaches upstream and downstream of the proposed bridge location, history of debris

accumulations on an existing bridge structure or nearby structures upstream and downstream from the proposed bridge location, mapped channel migration hazard and channel migration history of the reach of stream, and skew of the bridge alignment such that piers in floodplain may be in the path of the debris. For a detailed qualitative analysis of debris accumulation on bridges, see the *U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration Publication FHWA-RD-97-028, Potential Drift Accumulation at Bridges*, by Timothy H. Diehl (1997).

Safety Margin

When designing bridges to convey flows and pass sediments and debris, a safety margin **shall be considered** by the design engineer to account for uncertainties in flow rates, debris hazards, water surface elevations, aggradation, and channel migration over time. The safety margin should be increased when the surrounding community is especially susceptible to flood damages that could be exacerbated by a debris jam at the bridge. Section 5 of the Technical Information Report submitted with the project's engineering plans shall include a discussion of the need for a safety margin and the rationale for its selection.

Bridges and Levees

Where bridge structures and approach roads intersect flood containment levees, the bridge structure and approach roads shall be designed and constructed to preserve existing levels of flood containment provided by the existing levee.

Where the existing levee currently provides containment of the 100-year flood, the bridge structure and approach roads shall be designed and constructed to meet FEMA levee and structural performance standards, including sufficient freeboard on the levee in the bridge vicinity, as provided for in 44 CFR (also see Section 1.3.3, Special Requirement #3, Flood Protection Facilities).

Bridge Piers and Abutments

Bridge pier and abutment locations are governed by provisions of the King County critical areas code, KCC 21A.24.

4.3.3.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

The following methods are acceptable for hydraulic analysis of **bridges** and approach roads:

1. The **Direct Step backwater method** described on page 4-63 shall be used to analyze the hydraulic impacts of bridge piers, abutments, and approach roads to the water surface profile.
2. The Army Corps of Engineers Hydraulic Engineering Center publishes **technical papers on methods** used to address the hydraulic effects of bridge piers, abutments, and approach roads. The book *Open Channel Hydraulics* by V.T. Chow also contains techniques for analyzing hydraulic effects.

4.4 OPEN CHANNELS, FLOODPLAINS, AND FLOODWAYS

This section presents the methods, criteria, and details for hydraulic analysis and design of open channels, and the determination and analysis of floodplains and floodways. The information presented is organized as follows:

Section 4.4.1, "Open Channels"
"Design Criteria," Section 4.4.1.1 (p. 4-56)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 4.4.1.2 (p. 4-61)

Section 4.4.2, "Floodplain/Floodway Analysis"
"No Floodplain Study Required," Section 4.4.2.1 (p. 4-73)
"Approximate Floodplain Study," Section 4.4.2.2 (p. 4-73)
"Minor Floodplain Study," Section 4.4.2.3 (p. 4-74)
"Major Floodplain/Floodway Study," Section 4.4.2.4 (p. 4-74)

4.4.1 OPEN CHANNELS

Open channels may be classified as either natural or constructed. Natural channels are generally referred to as rivers, streams, creeks, or swales, while constructed channels are most often called ditches, or simply channels. The Critical Areas, Shorelines, and Clearing and Grading Codes as well as Chapter 1 of this manual should be reviewed for requirements related to streams.

Natural Channels

Natural channels are defined as those that have occurred naturally due to the flow of surface waters, or those that, although originally constructed by human activity, have taken on the appearance of a natural channel including a stable route and biological community. They may vary hydraulically along each channel reach and should be left in their natural condition, wherever feasible or required, in order to maintain natural hydrologic functions and wildlife habitat benefits from established vegetation.

Constructed Channels

Constructed channels are those constructed or maintained by human activity and include bank stabilization of natural channels. Constructed channels shall be either vegetation-lined, rock-lined, or lined with appropriately bioengineered vegetation[9].

- **Vegetation-lined channels** are the most desirable of the constructed channels when properly designed and constructed. The vegetation stabilizes the slopes of the channel, controls erosion of the channel surface, and removes pollutants. The channel storage, low velocities, water quality benefits, and greenbelt multiple-use benefits create significant advantages over other constructed channels. The presence of vegetation in channels creates turbulence that results in loss of energy and increased flow retardation; therefore, the design engineer must consider sediment deposition and scour, as well as flow capacity, when designing the channel.
- **Rock-lined channels** are necessary where a vegetative lining will not provide adequate protection from erosive velocities. They may be constructed with riprap, gabions, or slope mattress linings. The rock lining increases the turbulence, resulting in a loss of energy and increased flow retardation. Rock lining also permits a higher design velocity and therefore a steeper design slope than in grass-lined

[9] *Bioengineered vegetation lining* as referenced here applies to channel stabilization methods. See Appendix C, *Simplified Drainage Requirements* for bioswale design criteria. Note, for bioswales and other infiltrative BMPs that may be placed in-line with conveyance, any infiltration option in the modeling shall be turned off when evaluating conveyance capacity.

channels. Rock linings are also used for erosion control at culvert and storm drain outlets, sharp channel bends, channel confluences, and locally steepened channel sections.

- **Bioengineered vegetation lining** is a desirable alternative to the conventional methods of rock armoring. *Soil bioengineering* is a highly specialized science that uses living plants and plant parts to stabilize eroded or damaged land. Properly bioengineered systems are capable of providing a measure of immediate soil protection and mechanical reinforcement. As the plants grow they produce a vegetative protective cover and a root reinforcing matrix in the soil mantle. This root reinforcement serves several purposes:

  a) The developed anchor roots provide both shear and tensile strength to the soil, thereby providing protection from the frictional shear and tensile velocity components to the soil mantle during the time when flows are receding and pore pressure is high in the saturated bank.

  b) The root mat provides a living filter in the soil mantle that allows for the natural release of water after the high flows have receded.

  c) The combined root system exhibits active friction transfer along the length of the living roots. This consolidates soil particles in the bank and serves to protect the soil structure from collapsing and the stabilization measures from failing.

  The vegetative cover of bioengineered systems provides immediate protection during high flows by laying flat against the bank and covering the soil like a blanket. It also reduces pore pressure in saturated banks through transpiration by acting as a natural "pump" to "pull" the water out of the banks after flows have receded.

The King County publication *Guidelines for Bank Stabilization Projects* primarily focuses on projects on larger rivers and streams, but the concepts it contains may be used in conjunction with other natural resource information for stabilization projects on smaller systems. The *WDFW Integrated Streambank Protection Guidelines* is another useful reference.

4.4.1.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

General

1. **Open channels** shall be designed to provide required conveyance capacity and bank stability while allowing for aesthetics, habitat preservation, and enhancement. Open channels shall be consistent with the *WDFW Integrated Streambank Protection Guidelines*.

2. An **access easement for maintenance** is required along all constructed channels located on private property. Required easement widths and building setback lines vary with channel top width as shown in Table 4.1 (p. 4-5).

3. **Channel cross-section geometry** shall be trapezoidal, triangular, parabolic, or segmental as shown in Figure 4.4.1.C (p. 4-65) through Figure 4.4.1.E (p. 4-67). **Side slopes** shall be no steeper than 3:1 for vegetation-lined channels and 2:1 for rock-lined channels. *Note: Roadside ditches shall comply with King County Road Design and Construction Standards.*

4. To reduce the likelihood that pollutants will be discharged to groundwater when untreated runoff is conveyed in ditches or channels constructed in soils with high infiltration rates, a **low permeability liner** or a **treatment liner** shall be provided for any reach of new ditch or channel proposed by a project in which the untreated runoff from 5,000 square feet or more of ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** comes into direct contact with an outwash soil, except where it can be demonstrated that the soil meets the soil suitability criteria listed in Section 5.2.1. The low permeability liner or treatment liner shall be consistent with the specifications for such liners in Section 6.2.4.

5. **Vegetation-lined channels** shall have **bottom slope gradients** of 6% or less and a **maximum velocity** at design flow of 5 fps (see Table 4.4.1.A, p. 4-57).

6. **Rock-lined channels or bank stabilization of natural channels** shall be used when design flow velocities exceed 5 feet per second. Rock stabilization shall be in accordance with Table 4.4.1.A (p. 4-57) or stabilized with bioengineering methods as described above in "Constructed Channels" (p. 4-55).

TABLE 4.4.1.A CHANNEL PROTECTION

VELOCITY AT DESIGN FLOW (fps)		REQUIRED PROTECTION		
Greater than	**Less than or equal to**	**Type of Protection**	**Thickness**	**Minimum Height Above Design Water Surface**
0	5	Grass lining Or Bioengineered lining	N/A	
5	8	Rock lining(1) Or Bioengineered lining	1 foot	1 foot
8	12	Riprap(2)	2 feet	2 feet
12	20	Slope mattress gabion, etc.	Varies	2 feet

(1) Rock Lining shall be reasonably well graded as follows:

Maximum stone size: 12 inches
Median stone size: 8 inches
Minimum stone size: 2 inches

(2) Riprap shall be reasonably well graded as follows:

Maximum stone size: 24 inches
Median stone size: 16 inches
Minimum stone size: 4 inches

Note: Riprap sizing is governed by side slopes on channel, assumed to be approximately 3:1.

Riprap Design[10]

When riprap is set, stones are placed on the channel sides and bottom to protect the underlying material from being eroded. Proper riprap design requires the determination of the median size of stone, the thickness of the riprap layer, the gradation of stone sizes, and the selection of angular stones that will interlock when placed. Research by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has provided criteria for selecting the **median stone weight**, W_{50} (Figure 4.4.1.A, p. 4-59). If the riprap is to be used in a highly turbulent zone (such as at a culvert outfall, downstream of a stilling basin, at sharp changes in channel geometry, etc.), the median stone W_{50} should be increased from 200% to 600% depending on the severity of the locally high turbulence. The thickness of the riprap layer should generally be twice the **median stone diameter** (D_{50}) or at least that of the maximum stone. The riprap should have a reasonably well graded assortment of stone sizes within the following gradation:

$$1.25 \leq D_{max}/D_{50} \leq 1.50$$

$$D_{15}/D_{50} = 0.50$$

$$D_{min}/D_{50} = 0.25$$

Detailed design methodology may be found in the Corps publication EM 1110-02-1601, Engineering and Design – Hydraulic Design of Flood Control Channels. For a more detailed analysis and design procedure for riprap requiring water surface profiles and estimates of tractive force, refer to the paper by Maynord et al in *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering (A.S.C.E.),* July 1989.

Riprap Filter Design

Riprap should be underlain by a sand and gravel filter (or filter fabric) to keep the fine materials in the underlying channel bed from being washed through the voids in the riprap. Likewise, the filter material must be selected so that it is not washed through the voids in the riprap. Adequate filters can usually be provided by a reasonably well graded sand and gravel material where:

$$D_{15} < 5d_{85}$$

The variable d_{85} refers to the sieve opening through which 85% of the material being protected will pass, and D_{15} has the same interpretation for the filter material. A filter material with a D_{50} of 0.5 mm will protect any finer material including clay. Where very large riprap is used, it is sometimes necessary to use two filter layers between the material being protected and the riprap.

Example:

What embedded riprap design should be used to protect a streambank at a level culvert outfall where the outfall velocities in the vicinity of the downstream toe are expected to be about 8 fps?

From Figure 4.4.1.A (p. 4-59), W_{50} = 6.5 lbs, but since the downstream area below the outfall will be subjected to severe turbulence, increase W_{50} by 400% so that:

W_{50} = 26 lbs, D_{50} = 8.0 inches

The gradation of the riprap is shown in Figure 4.4.1.B (p. 4-60), and the minimum thickness would be 1 foot (from Table 4.4.1.A, p. 4-57); however, 16 inches to 24 inches of riprap thickness would provide some additional insurance that the riprap will function properly in this highly turbulent area.

Figure 4.4.1.B (p. 4-60) shows that the gradation curve for ASTM C33, size number 57 coarse aggregate (used in concrete mixes), would meet the filter criteria. Applying the filter criteria to the coarse aggregate demonstrates that any underlying material whose gradation was coarser than that of a concrete sand would be protected.

[10] From a paper prepared by M. Schaefer, Dam Safety Section, Washington State Department of Ecology.

FIGURE 4.4.1.A
MEAN CHANNEL VELOCITY VS. MEDIUM STONE WEIGHT (W_{50}) AND EQUIVALENT STONE DIAMETER



FIGURE 4.4.1.B RIPRAP/FILTER EXAMPLE GRADATION CURVE



4.4.1.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

This section presents the methods of analysis **for designing new or evaluating existing open channels** for compliance with the conveyance capacity requirements set forth in Section 1.2.4, "Core Requirement #4: Conveyance System."

❑ DESIGN FLOWS

Design flows for sizing and assessing the capacity of open channels shall be determined using the hydrologic analysis methods described in Chapter 3.

❑ CONVEYANCE CAPACITY

There are three acceptable methods of analysis for sizing and analyzing the capacity of open channels:

1. Manning's equation for preliminary sizing
2. Direct Step backwater method
3. Standard Step backwater method.

Manning's Equation for Preliminary Sizing

Manning's equation is used for preliminary sizing of open channel reaches of uniform cross section and slope (i.e., prismatic channels) and uniform roughness. This method assumes the flow depth (or normal depth) and flow velocity remain constant throughout the channel reach for a given flow.

The charts in Figure 4.4.1.C (p. 4-65) and Figure 4.4.1.D (p. 4-66) may be used to obtain graphic solutions of Manning's equation for common ditch sections. For conditions outside the range of these charts or for more precise results, Manning's equation can be solved directly from its classic forms shown in Equations (4-1) and (4-2) on page 4-20.

Table 4.4.1.B (p. 4-62) provides a reference for selecting the appropriate "*n*" values for open channels. A number of engineering reference books, such as *Open-Channel Hydraulics* by V.T. Chow, may also be used as guides to select "*n*" values. Figure 4.4.1.E (p. 4-67) contains the geometric elements of common channel sections useful in determining area *A*, wetted perimeter *WP*, and hydraulic radius ($R = A/WP$).

If flow restrictions occur that raise the water level above normal depth within a given channel reach, a *backwater condition* (or subcritical flow) is said to exist. This condition can result from flow restrictions created by a downstream culvert, bridge, dam, pond, lake, etc., and even a downstream channel reach having a higher flow depth. If backwater conditions are found to exist for the design flow, a backwater profile must be computed to verify that the channel's capacity is still adequate as designed. The Direct Step or Standard Step backwater methods presented in this section may be used for this purpose.

TABLE 4.4.1.B VALUES OF ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT "*n*" FOR OPEN CHANNELS

Type of Channel and Description	Manning's "*n*"* (Normal)
A. Constructed Channels	
a. Earth, straight and uniform	
1. Clean, recently completed	0.018
2. Gravel, uniform section, clean	0.025
3. With short grass, few weeds	0.027
b. Earth, winding and sluggish	
1. No vegetation	0.025
2. Grass, some weeds	0.030
3. Dense weeds or aquatic plants in deep channels	0.035
4. Earth bottom and rubble sides	0.030
5. Stony bottom and weedy banks	0.035
6. Cobble bottom and clean sides	0.040
c. Rock lined	
1. Smooth and uniform	0.035
2. Jagged and irregular	0.040
d. Channels not maintained, weeds and brush uncut	
1. Dense weeds, high as flow depth	0.080
2. Clean bottom, brush on sides	0.050
3. Same as #2, highest stage of flow	0.070
4. Dense brush, high stage	0.100
B. Natural Streams	
B-1 Minor streams (top width at flood stage < 100 ft.)	
a. Streams on plain	0.030
1. Clean, straight, full stage no rifts or deep pools	0.035
2. Same as #1, but more stones and weeds	0.040
3. Clean, winding, some pools and shoals	0.040
4. Same as #3, but some weeds	0.050
5. Same as #4, but more stones	
6. Sluggish reaches, weedy deep pools	0.070
7. Very weedy reaches, deep pools, or floodways with heavy stand of timber and underbrush	0.100
b. Mountain streams, no vegetation in channel, banks usually steep, trees and brush along banks submerged at high stages	
1. Bottom: gravel, cobbles, and few boulders	0.040
2. Bottom: cobbles with large boulders	0.050
B-2 Floodplains	
a. Pasture, no brush	
1. Short grass	0.030
2. High grass	0.035
b. Cultivated areas	
1. No crop	0.030
2. Mature row crops	0.035
3. Mature field crops	0.040
c. Brush	
1. Scattered brush, heavy weeds	0.050
2. Light brush and trees	0.060
3. Medium to dense brush	0.070
4. Heavy, dense brush	0.100
d. Trees	
1. Dense willows, straight	0.150
2. Cleared land with tree stumps, no sprouts	0.040
3. Same as #2, but with heavy growth of sprouts	0.060
4. Heavy stand of timber, a few down trees, little undergrowth, flood stage below branches	0.100
5. Same as #4, but with flood stage reaching branches	0.120

** Note: These "n" values are "normal" values for use in analysis of channels. For conservative design of channel capacity, the maximum values listed in other references should be considered. For channel bank stability, the minimum values should be considered.*

Direct Step Backwater Method

The Direct Step backwater method may be used to compute backwater profiles on prismatic channel reaches (i.e., reaches having uniform cross section and slope) where a backwater condition or restriction to normal flow is known to exist. The method may be applied to a series of prismatic channel reaches in secession beginning at the downstream end of the channel and computing the profile upstream.

Calculating the coordinates of the water surface profile using this method is an iterative process achieved by choosing a range of flow depths, beginning at the downstream end, and proceeding incrementally up to the point of interest or to the point of normal flow depth. This is best accomplished by the use of a table (see Figure 4.4.1.G, p. 4-69) or computer programs (as discussed on page 4-64, "Computer Applications").

To illustrate analysis of a single reach, consider the following diagram:



Equating the total head at cross sections 1 and 2, the following equation may be written:

$$S_o\Delta x + y_1 + \alpha_1 \frac{V_1^2}{2g} = y_2 + \alpha_2 \frac{V_2^2}{2g} + S_f\Delta x \qquad (4\text{-}10)$$

where,
- Δx = distance between cross sections (ft)
- y_1, y_2 = depth of flow (ft) at cross sections 1 and 2
- V_1, V_2 = velocity (fps) at cross sections 1 and 2
- α_1, α_2 = energy coefficient at cross sections 1 and 2
- S_o = bottom slope (ft/ft)
- S_f = friction slope = $(n^2V^2)/(2.21R^{1.33})$
- g = acceleration due to gravity, (32.2 ft/sec^2)

If the specific energy E at any one cross-section is defined as follows:

$$E = y + \alpha \frac{V^2}{2g} \qquad (4\text{-}11)$$

and assuming $\alpha = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ where α is the energy coefficient that corrects for the non-uniform distribution of velocity over the channel cross section, Equations (4-10) and (4-11) can be combined and rearranged to solve for Δx as follows:

$$\Delta x = (E_2 - E_1)/(S_o - S_f) = \Delta E/(S_o - S_f) \quad (4\text{-}12)$$

Typical values of the energy coefficient α are as follows:

Channels, regular section	1.15
Natural streams	1.3
Shallow vegetated flood fringes (includes channel)	1.75

For a given flow, channel slope, Manning's "*n,*" and energy coefficient α, together with a beginning water surface elevation y_2, the values of Δx may be calculated for arbitrarily chosen values of y_1. The coordinates defining the water surface profile are obtained from the cumulative sum of Δx and corresponding values of y.

The **normal flow depth**, y_n, should first be calculated from Manning's equation to establish the upper limit of the backwater effect.

Standard Step Backwater Method

The Standard Step Backwater Method is a variation of the Direct Step Backwater Method and may be used to compute backwater profiles on both prismatic and non-prismatic channels. In this method, stations are established along the channel where cross section data is known or has been determined through field survey. The computation is carried out in steps from station to station rather than throughout a given channel reach as is done in the Direct Step method. As a result, the analysis involves significantly more trial-and-error calculation in order to determine the flow depth at each station.

Computer Applications

Because of the iterative calculations involved, use of a computer to perform the analysis is recommended. The **King County Backwater (KCBW) computer program** included in the software package available with this manual includes a subroutine, **BWCHAN**, based on the Standard Step backwater method, which may be used for all channel capacity analysis. It can also be combined with the **BWPIPE** and **BWCULV** subroutines to analyze an entire drainage conveyance system. A schematic description of the nomenclature used in the **BWCHAN** subroutine is provided in Figure 4.4.1.H (p. 4-70). See the KCBW program documentation for further information.

There are a number of commercial software programs for use on personal computers that use variations of the Standard Step backwater method for determining water surface profiles. The most common and widely accepted program is called HEC-RAS, published and supported by the United States Army Corps of Engineers Hydraulic Engineering Center. It is one of the models accepted by FEMA for use in performing flood hazard studies for preparing flood insurance maps.

FIGURE 4.4.1.C DITCHES — COMMON SECTIONS

PROPERTIES OF DITCHES

NO.	DIMENSIONS				HYDRAULICS			
	Side Slopes	B	H	W	A	WP	R	$R^{(2/3)}$
D-1	--	--	6.5"	5'-0"	1.84	5.16	0.356	0.502
D-1C	--	--	6"	25'-0"	6.25	25.50	0.245	0.392
D-2A	1.5:1	2'-0"	1'-0"	5'-0"	3.50	5.61	0.624	0.731
B	2:1	2'-0"	1'-0"	6'-0"	4.00	6.47	0.618	0.726
C	3:1	2'-0"	1'-0"	8'-0"	5.00	8.32	0.601	0.712
D-3A	1.5:1	3'-0"	1'-6"	7'-6"	7.88	8.41	0.937	0.957
B	2:1	3'-0"	1'-6"	9'-0"	9.00	9.71	0.927	0.951
C	3:1	3'-0"	1'-6"	12'-0"	11.25	12.49	0.901	0.933
D-4A	1.5:1	3'-0"	2'-0"	9'-0"	12.00	10.21	1.175	1.114
B	2:1	3'-0"	2'-0"	11'-0"	14.00	11.94	1.172	1.112
C	3:1	3'-0"	2'-0"	15'-0"	18.00	15.65	1.150	1.098
D-5A	1.5:1	4'-0"	3'-0"	13'-0"	25.50	13.82	1.846	1.505
B	2:1	4'-0"	3'-0"	16'-0"	30.00	16.42	1.827	1.495
C	3:1	4'-0"	3'-0"	22'-0"	39.00	21.97	1.775	1.466
D-6A	2:1	--	1'-0"	4'-0"	2.00	4.47	0.447	0.585
B	3:1	--	1'-0"	6'-0"	3.00	6.32	0.474	0.608
D-7A	2:1	--	2'-0"	8'-0"	8.00	8.94	0.894	0.928
B	3:1	--	2'-0"	12'-0"	12.00	12.65	0.949	0.965
D-8A	2:1	--	3'-0"	12'-0"	18.00	13.42	1.342	1.216
B	3:1	--	3'-0"	18'-0"	27.00	18.97	1.423	1.265
D-9	7:1	--	1'-0"	14'-0"	7.00	14.14	0.495	0.626
D-10	7:1	--	2'-0"	28'-0"	28.00	28.28	0.990	0.993
D-11	7:1	--	3'-0"	42'-0"	63.00	42.43	1.485	1.302



FIGURE 4.4.1.D DRAINAGE DITCHES — COMMON SECTIONS



FIGURE 4.4.1.E GEOMETRIC ELEMENTS OF COMMON SECTIONS

Section	Area A	Wetted perimeter P	Hydraulic radius R	Top width W	Hydraulic depth D	Section factor Z
Rectangle	by	$b+2y$	$\frac{by}{b+2y}$	b	y	$by^{1.5}$
Trapezoid	$(b+zy)y$	$b+2y\sqrt{1+z^2}$	$\frac{(b+zy)y}{b+2y\sqrt{1+z^2}}$	$b+2zy$	$\frac{(b+zy)y}{b+2zy}$	$\frac{[(b+zy)y]^{1.5}}{\sqrt{b+2zy}}$
Triangle	zy^2	$2y\sqrt{1+z^2}$	$\frac{zy}{2\sqrt{1+z^2}}$	$2zy$	$\frac{1}{2}y$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}zy^{2.5}$
Circular	$\frac{1}{8}(\theta-\sin\theta)d_o^2$	$\frac{1}{2}\theta d_o$	$\frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{360\sin\theta}{\pi\theta}\right)d_o$	$(\sin(\frac{1}{2}\theta)d_o)$ or $2\sqrt{y(d_o-y)}$	$\frac{1}{4}d_o\left(\frac{\theta-\sin\theta}{\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta}\right)$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{32}\frac{(\theta-\sin\theta)^{1.5}}{(\sin\frac{1}{2}\theta)^{0.5}}d_o^{2.5}$
Parabola	$\frac{2}{3}Ty$	$T+\frac{8y^2}{3T}$ *	$\frac{2T^2y}{3T^2+8y^2}$ *	$\frac{3A}{2y}$	$\frac{2}{3}y$	$\frac{2}{5}\sqrt{6}y^{2.5}$
Rectangle with semicircular ends	$(\frac{\pi}{8}-2)r^2+(b+2r)y$	$(\pi-2)r+b+2y$	$\frac{(\frac{\pi}{8}-2)r^2+(b+2r)y}{(\pi-2)r+b+2y}$	$b+2r$	$\frac{(\frac{\pi}{8}-2)r^2}{(b+2r)}+y$	$\frac{[(\frac{\pi}{8}-2)r^2+(b+2r)y]^{1.5}}{\sqrt{b+2y}}$
Triangle with rounded bottom	$\frac{T^2}{4z}-\frac{r^2}{z}(1-z\cot^{-1}z)$	$\frac{T}{z}\sqrt{1+z^2}-\frac{2r}{z}(1-z\cot^{-1}z)$	$\frac{A}{P}$	$2[z(y-r)+r\sqrt{1+z^2}]$	$\frac{A}{T}$	$A\sqrt{\frac{A}{T}}$

*Satisfactory approximation for the interval $0<z\leq 1$, where $x=4y/T$. When $x>1$, use the exact expression $P=(\frac{T}{2})\left[\sqrt{1+x^2}+\frac{1}{x}\ln\left(x+\sqrt{1+x^2}\right)\right]$

FIGURE 4.4.1.F OPEN CHANNEL FLOW PROFILE COMPUTATION

Q = _____ n = _____ S_o = _____ α = _____ Y_n = _____

y (1)	A (2)	R (3)	$R^{4/3}$ (4)	V (5)	$\alpha V^2/2g$ (6)	E (7)	ΔE (8)	S_f (9)	$\bar{S}_f$ (10)	$S_o - \bar{S}_f$ (11)	Δx (12)	x (13)

FIGURE 4.4.1.G DIRECT STEP BACKWATER METHOD - EXAMPLE

y (1)	A (2)	R (3)	$R^{4/3}$ (4)	V (5)	$\alpha V^2/2g$ (6)	E (7)	ΔE (8)	S_f (9)	$\bar{S}_f$ (10)	$S_o - \bar{S}_f$ (11)	Δx (12)	x (13)
6.0	72.0	2.68	3.72	0.42	0.0031	6.0031	-	0.00002	-	-	-	-
5.5	60.5	2.46	3.31	0.50	0.0040	5.5040	0.4990	0.00003	0.000025	0.00698	71.50	71.5
5.0	50.0	2.24	2.92	0.60	0.0064	5.0064	0.4976	0.00005	0.000040	0.00696	71.49	142.99
4.5	40.5	2.01	2.54	0.74	0.0098	4.5098	0.4966	0.00009	0.000070	0.00693	71.64	214.63
4.0	32.0	1.79	2.17	0.94	0.0157	4.0157	0.4941	0.00016	0.000127	0.00687	71.89	286.52
3.5	24.5	1.57	1.82	1.22	0.0268	3.5268	0.4889	0.00033	0.000246	0.00675	72.38	358.90
3.0	18.0	1.34	1.48	1.67	0.0496	3.0496	0.4772	0.00076	0.000547	0.00645	73.95	432.85
2.5	12.5	1.12	1.16	2.40	0.1029	2.6029	0.4467	0.00201	0.001387	0.00561	79.58	512.43
2.0	8.0	0.89	0.86	3.75	0.2511	2.2511	0.3518	0.00663	0.004320	0.00268	131.27	643.70

The step computations are carried out as shown in the above table. The values in each column of the table are explained as follows:

Col. 1. Depth of flow (ft) assigned from 6 to 2 feet

Col. 2. Water area (ft^2) corresponding to depth y in Col. 1

Col. 3 Hydraulic radius (ft) corresponding to y in Col. 1

Col. 4. Four-thirds power of the hydraulic radius

Col. 5. Mean velocity (fps) obtained by dividing Q (30 cfs) by the water area in Col. 2

Col. 6. Velocity head (ft)

Col. 7. Specific energy (ft) obtained by adding the velocity head in Col. 6 to depth of flow in Col. 1

Col. 8. Change of specific energy (ft) equal to the difference between the E value in Col. 7 and that of the previous step.

Col. 9. Friction slope S_f, computed from V as given in Col. 5 and $R^{4/3}$ in Col. 4

Col.10. Average friction slope between the steps, equal to the arithmetic mean of the friction slope just computed in Col. 9 and that of the previous step

Col.11. Difference between the bottom slope, S_o, and the average friction slope, S_f

Col.12. Length of the reach (ft) between the consecutive steps;
Computed by $\Delta x = \Delta E/(S_o - S_f)$ or by dividing the value in Col. 8 by the value in Col. 11

Col.13. Distance from the beginning point to the section under consideration. This is equal to the cumulative sum of the values in Col. 12 computed for previous steps.

FIGURE 4.4.1.H BWCHAN COMPUTER SUBROUTINE - VARIABLE DEFINITIONS



YC-IN	Critical Depth (ft) at current section based on ***incoming*** flow rate.
YC-OUT	Critical Depth (ft) at current section based on ***outgoing*** flow rate.
YN-IN	Normal Depth (ft) at current section based on ***incoming*** flow rate/channel grade.
YN-OUT	Normal Depth (ft) at current section based on ***outgoing*** flow rate/channel grade.
Y1	Final Water Depth (ft) at current cross section
N-Y1	Composite n-factor of current section for final depth, Y1.
A-Y1	Cross-sectional Area of current section for final depth, Y1.
WP-Y1	Wetted Perimeter (ft) of current section for final depth, Y1.
V-Y1	Average Velocity (fps) of current section for final depth, Y1.
E1	Total Energy Head (ft) at current section $\left(Y1 + EC * V_1^2 / 2g\right)$
E2	Total Energy Head (ft) at pervious or downstream section.
SF1	Friction Slope of current section.
SF2	Friction Slope of previous or downstream section.
DXY	Distance (expressed as a fraction of the current reach length) from the previous or downstream section to where the flow profile would intersect the final water depth, Y1, assuming Y1 were to remain constant
EC	Energy Coefficient "α"
Q-TW	The flow rate used to determine Tailwater Height from an inputted HW/TW Data File.
TW-HT	Tailwater Height.
Q-Y1	Flow rate (cfs) in channel at current section, for depth, Y1
VU-Y1	Upstream Velocity (fps) at current section for depth, Y1 ("Adjust" option).
V1-HD	Channel Velocity Head (ft) at current section.
VU-HD	Upstream Velocity Head (ft) at current section.

4.4.2 FLOODPLAIN/FLOODWAY ANALYSIS

This section describes the floodplain/floodway studies required by Special Requirement #2, Flood Hazard Area Delineation, in Section 1.3.2. Floodplain/floodway studies, as required by this manual, establish base flood elevations and delineate floodplains and/or floodways when DLS-Permitting determines that a proposed project contains or is adjacent to a ***flood hazard area*** for a river, stream, lake, wetland, closed depression, marine shoreline, or other water feature. Furthermore, when development is proposed within the floodplain, the floodplain/floodway study is used to show compliance with the critical areas code (KCC 21A.24) ***flood hazard area*** regulations.

There are four conditions affecting the requirements for floodplain/floodway studies. Each condition is considered a threshold for determining the type of studies required and the documentation needed to meet the study requirements. Each study threshold and related study requirements are shown in the table below, and described further in this section.

Note that any projects or related flood studies that are expected to result in a change to Based Flood Elevations published in FEMA Flood Insurance Studies and Rate Maps, must also comply with 44 CFR Part 65.

TABLE 4.4.2.A FLOODPLAIN/FLOODWAY STUDY THRESHOLDS AND REQUIREMENTS

Threshold	Study	Requirements
The ***project site*** is on land that is outside of an already delineated floodplain and above the floodplain's base flood elevation based on best available floodplain data determined in accordance with KCC 21A.24 and associated public rule.	No floodplain study required	• Show delineation of floodplain on the site improvement plan and indicate base flood elevation • Record a notice on title See Section 4.4.2.1 for more details
The ***project site*** is on land that is at least 10 feet above the ordinary high water mark or 2 feet above the downstream overflow elevation of a water feature for which a floodplain has not been determined in accordance with KCC 21A.24.	Approximate Floodplain Study per Section 4.4.2.2	• Submit an engineering plan with approximate base flood elevation • Record a notice on title See Section 4.4.2.2 for more details
The ***project site*** does not meet the above thresholds and is either on land that is outside of an already delineated Zone A floodplain (i.e., without base flood elevations determined), or is adjacent to a water feature for which a floodplain has not been determined in accordance with KCC 21A.24.	Minor Floodplain Study per Section 4.4.2.3	• Backwater model • Submit an engineering plan with determined base flood elevation[1] • Record a notice on title See Section 4.4.2.3 for more details
The ***project site*** is on land that is partially or fully within an already delineated floodplain of a river or stream, or is determined by a Minor Floodplain Study to be partially or fully within the floodplain of a river or stream.	Major Floodplain/Floodway Study per Section 4.4.2.4.	• Show mapped floodplain/floodway on the site improvement plan and indicate base flood elevation • Record a notice on title • See further requirements in Section 4.4.2.4.
For any project site or study that is intended to result in a change to FEMA Flood Insurance Study or Rate Maps, including changing published based flood elevations, the applicant must comply with documentation and approval requirements of FEMA regulations 44CFR Part 65.		

[1] For marine shorelines, refer to the FEMA *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*.

4.4.2.1 NO FLOODPLAIN STUDY REQUIRED

IF the proposed ***project site*** is on land that is outside of an already delineated floodplain and is above the already determined base flood elevation for that floodplain, based on best available floodplain data determined in accordance with KCC 21A.24 and associated public rule, THEN no floodplain study is required.

In this situation, if the already determined floodplain covers any portion of the ***site***, the boundary of that floodplain and its base flood elevation must be shown on the project's site improvement plan. In addition, a **notice on title** in accordance with KCC 21A.24 (and associated public rule) must be recorded for the ***site***, alerting future property owners of the presence of a ***flood hazard area*** on the ***site*** and its base flood elevation. The notice on title requirement may be waived if the floodplain is not on any portion of the ***site***.

4.4.2.2 APPROXIMATE FLOODPLAIN STUDY

If the proposed ***project site*** is on land that is at least 10 feet above the ordinary high water mark or 2 feet above the downstream overflow elevation of a water feature for which the floodplain has not been delineated in accordance with KCC 21A.24, then an Approximate Floodplain Study may be used to determine an approximate floodplain and base flood elevation.

The **intent** of the Approximate Floodplain Study is to reduce required analysis in those situations where the ***project site*** is adjacent to a ***flood hazard area***, but by virtue of significant topographical relief, is clearly in no danger of flooding. The minimum 10 feet of separation from ordinary high water reduces the level of required analysis for those projects adjacent to streams confined to deep channels or ravines, or near lakes or wetlands. The minimum 2 feet clearance above the downstream overflow elevation is intended to avoid flood hazard areas created by a downstream impoundment of water behind a road fill or in a lake, wetland, or closed depression.

Use of the Approximate Floodplain Study requires submittal of an *engineering plan*[11] showing the proposed ***project site*** is at least 10 feet above the ordinary high water elevation of the water feature in question, or at least 2 feet above the downstream overflow elevation of the water feature, whichever is less, subject to the following conditions:

1. The design engineer preparing the engineering plan shall determine an **approximate base flood elevation** and include a narrative describing his/her level of confidence in the approximate base flood elevation. The **narrative** must include, but is not limited to, an assessment of potential backwater effects (such as might result from nearby river flooding, for example); observations and/or anecdotal information on water surface elevations during previous flood events; and an assessment of potential for significantly higher future flows at basin build out. *Note: Many of these issues will have been addressed in a Level 1 downstream analysis, if required.* Acceptance of the approximate base flood elevation shall be at the sole discretion of King County. If the approximate base flood elevation is not acceptable, a Minor Floodplain Study or Major Floodplain/Floodway Study may be required.
2. That portion of the ***site*** that is at or below the assumed base flood elevation must be delineated and designated as a floodplain on the engineering plan, and a **notice on title** in accordance with KCC 21A.24 (and associated rule) must be recorded for the ***site***, notifying future property owners of the approximate floodplain and base flood elevation.

[11] *Engineering plan* means a site improvement plan, including supporting documentation, stamped by a ***licensed civil engineer***. In some instances, DLS-Permitting engineering review staff may determine that the proposed project is sufficiently above the clearances specified in this exception and may not require an engineering plan. Typically, this is done for projects in Simplified Drainage Review that clearly exceed minimum clearances and otherwise would not require engineering design.

4.4.2.3 MINOR FLOODPLAIN STUDY

IF the proposed ***project site*** does not meet the conditions for "no floodplain study required" per Section 4.4.2.1 or for use of the Approximate Floodplain Study per Section 4.4.2.2, AND the ***project site*** is either on land that is outside of an already delineated Zone A floodplain (i.e., without base flood elevations determined) or is adjacent to a water feature for which a floodplain has not been determined in accordance with KCC 21A.24, THEN a Minor Floodplain Study may be used to determine the floodplain. However, if the Minor Floodplain Study determines that all or a portion of the ***project site*** is at or below the base flood elevation of a river or stream and thus within the floodplain, then the applicant must either redesign the ***project site*** to be out of the floodplain or complete a Major Floodplain/Floodway Study per Section 4.4.2.4.

Use of the Minor Floodplain Study requires submittal of an engineering plan and supporting calculations. That portion of the ***site*** that is at or below the determined base flood elevation must be delineated and designated as a floodplain on the engineering plan, and a **notice on title** in accordance with KCC 21A.24 (and associated rule) must be recorded for the ***site***, notifying future property owners of the floodplain and base flood elevation.

Methods of Analysis

For **streams** without a floodplain or flood hazard study, or for **drainage ditches or culvert headwaters**, the base flood elevation and extent of the floodplain shall be determined using the Direct Step backwater method, Standard Step backwater method, or the King County Backwater computer program, as described in Section 4.4.1.2.

For **lakes, wetlands, and closed depressions** without an approved floodplain or flood hazard study, the base flood elevation and the extent of the floodplain shall be determined using the "point of compliance technique" described in Section 3.3.6.

4.4.2.4 MAJOR FLOODPLAIN/FLOODWAY STUDY

IF the proposed ***project site*** is on land that is partially or fully within an already delineated floodplain of a **river or stream**, or determined by a Minor Floodplain Study to be partially or fully within the floodplain of a river or stream, THEN a Major Floodplain/Floodway Study is required to determine the floodplain, floodway, and base flood elevation in accordance with the methods and procedures presented in this section. This information will be used by DLS-Permitting to evaluate the project's compliance with the regulations specified in KCC 21A.24 for development or improvements within the floodplain.

Major Floodplain/Floodway Studies must conform to FEMA regulations described in Part 65 of 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). In addition, the following information must be provided and procedures performed.

❑ INFORMATION REQUIRED

The applicant shall submit the following information for review of a floodplain/floodway analysis in addition to that required for the drainage plan of a proposed project. This analysis shall extend upstream and downstream a sufficient distance to adequately include all backwater conditions that may affect flooding at the ***site*** and all reaches that may be affected by alterations to the ***site***.

Floodplain/Floodway Map

A Major Floodplain/Floodway Study requires submittal of five copies of a separate floodplain/floodway map **stamped by a *licensed civil engineer*** and a **professional land surveyor** registered in the State of Washington (for the base survey). The map must accurately locate any proposed development with respect to the floodplain and floodway, the channel of the stream, and existing development in the floodplain; it must also supply all pertinent information such as the nature of any proposed project, legal

description of the property on which the project would be located, fill quantity, limits and elevation, the building floor elevations, flood-proofing measures, and any use of compensatory storage.

The map must show elevation contours at a minimum of 2-foot vertical intervals and shall comply with survey and map guidelines published in the FEMA publication *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*. The map must **show the following**:

- Existing elevations and ground contours;
- Locations, elevations and dimensions of existing structures, and fills;
- Size, location, elevation, and spatial arrangement of all proposed structures, fills and excavations, including proposed compensatory storage areas, with final grades on the ***site***;
- Location and elevations of roadways, water supply lines, and sanitary sewer facilities, both existing and proposed.

Study Report

A Major Floodplain/Floodway Study also requires submittal of two copies of a study report, **stamped by a *licensed civil engineer***, which must include calculations or any computer analysis input and output information as well as the following additional information:

1. Valley **cross sections** showing the channel of the river or stream, the floodplain adjoining each side of the channel, the computed FEMA floodway, the cross-sectional area to be occupied by any proposed development, and all historic high water information.
2. **Profiles** showing the bottom of the channel, the top of both left and right banks, and existing and proposed base flood water surfaces.
3. Plans and specifications for **flood-proofing** any structures and fills, construction areas, materials storage areas, water supply, and sanitary facilities within the floodplain.
4. Complete **printout** of input and output (including any error messages) for **HEC-RAS**. Liberal use of comments will assist in understanding model logic and prevent review delays.
5. One **ready-to-run digital copy** of the **HEC-RAS** input file used in the study. Data shall be submitted on a disk in Windows format.
6. The applicant shall prepare a **written summary** describing the model development calibration, hydraulic analysis, and floodway delineation. The summary shall also include an explanation of modeling assumptions and any key uncertainties.

❑ DETERMINING FLOOD FLOWS

The **three techniques** used to determine the flows used in the analysis depend on whether gage data is available or whether a basin plan has been adopted. The first technique is for basins in adopted basin plan areas. The second technique is used if a gage station exists on the stream. The third technique is used on ungaged catchments or those with an insufficient length of record. In all cases, the design engineer shall be responsible for assuring that the hydrologic methods used are technically reasonable and conservative, conform to the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, and are acceptable by FEMA.

Flood Flows from Adopted Basin Plan Information

For those areas where King County has adopted a basin plan since 1986, flood flows may be determined using information from the adopted basin plan. The hydrologic model used in the basin plan shall be updated to include the latest changes in zoning, or any additional information regarding the basin that has been acquired since the adoption of the basin plan.

Flood Flows from Stream Gage Data

Flood flows from stream gage data may be determined using HEC-FFA, which uses the Log-Pearson Type III distribution method as described in *Guidelines for Determining Flood Flow Frequency*, Bulletin 17B of the Hydrology Committee, prepared by the Interagency Advisory Committee on Water Data (1982). Refer to the FEMA *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* to verify the most current requirements. Use of HEC-FFA is subject to the following requirements:

1. This technique may be used only if data from a gage station in the basin is available for a period of at least ten years that is representative of the current basin conditions.
2. If the difference in the drainage area on the stream at the study location and the drainage area to a gage station on the stream at a different location in the same basin is less than or equal to 50 percent, the flow at the study location shall be determined by transferring the calculated flow at the gage to the study location using a drainage area ratio raised to the 0.86 power, as in the following equation:

$$Q_{SS} = Q_G (A_{SS}/A_G)^{0.86} \quad (4\text{-}13)$$

where Q_{SS} = estimated flow for the given return frequency on the stream at the study location
Q_G = flow for the given return frequency on the stream at the gage location
A_{SS} = drainage area tributary to the stream at the study location
A_G = drainage area tributary to the stream at the gage location

3. If the difference in the drainage area at the study location and the drainage area at a gage station in the basin is more than 50 percent and a basin plan has not been prepared, a continuous model shall be used as described below to determine flood flows at the study location.
4. In all cases where dams or reservoirs, floodplain development, or land use upstream may have altered the storage capacity or runoff characteristics of the basin so as to affect the validity of this technique, a continuous model shall be used to determine flood flows at the study location.

Flood Flows from a Calibrated Continuous Model

Flood flows may be determined by utilizing a continuous flow simulation model such as HSPF. Where flood elevations or stream gage data are available, the model shall be calibrated; otherwise, regional parameters[12] may be used.

❑ DETERMINING FLOOD ELEVATIONS, PROFILES, AND FLOODWAYS

Reconnaissance

The applicant's design engineer is responsible for the collection of all existing data with regard to flooding in the study area. This shall include a literature search of all published reports in the study area and adjacent communities, and an information search to obtain all unpublished information on flooding in the immediate and adjacent areas from federal, state, and local units of government. This search shall include specific information on past flooding in the area, drainage structures such as bridges and culverts that affect flooding in the area, available topographic maps, available flood insurance rate maps, photographs of past flood events, and general flooding problems within the study area. A field reconnaissance shall be made by the applicant's design engineer to determine hydraulic conditions of the study area, including type and number of structures, locations of cross sections, and other parameters, including the roughness values necessary for the hydraulic analysis.

[12] Dinacola, 1990. U.S.G.S., Characterization and Simulation of Rainfall-Runoff Relations for Headwater Basins in Western King and Snohomish Counties, Washington.

Base Data

Cross sections used in the hydraulic analysis shall be representative of current channel and floodplain conditions obtained by surveying. When cross-sections data is obtained from other studies, the data shall be confirmed to represent current channel and floodplain conditions, or new channel cross-section data shall be obtained by field survey. Topographic information obtained from aerial photographs may be used in combination with surveyed cross sections in the hydraulic analysis. The **elevation datum** of all information used in the hydraulic analysis shall be specified. All information shall be referenced directly to **NAVD 1988** (and include local correlation to NGVD 1929) unless otherwise approved by King County. See Table 4.4.2.B (p. 4-79) for correlations of other datum to NAVD 1988.

Methodology

Flood profiles and floodway studies shall be calculated using the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' HEC-RAS computer model (or subsequent revisions).

Floodway Determination

King County recognizes two distinct floodway definitions. The *FEMA floodway* describes the limit to which encroachment into the natural conveyance channel can cause one foot or less rise in water surface elevation. The *zero-rise floodway* is based upon the limit to which encroachment can occur without any measurable increase in water surface elevation or energy grade line. Floodway determinations/studies are subject to the following requirements:

1. **FEMA floodways** are determined through the procedures outlined in the FEMA publication *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* using the 1-foot maximum allowable rise criteria.
2. **Transitions** shall take into account obstructions to flow such as road approach grades, bridges, piers, or other restrictions. General guidelines for transitions may be found in *FEMA Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, and the *HEC-RAS User's Manual, Hydraulic Reference Manual and Applications Guidelines*.
3. **Zero-rise floodways** are assumed to include the entire 100-year floodplain unless King County approves a detailed study that defines a zero-rise floodway.
4. Zero-rise means no measurable increase in **water surface elevation** or **energy grade line**. For changes between the unencroached condition and encroachment to the zero-rise floodway, HEC-RAS must report 0.00 as both the change in water surface elevation and the change in energy grade. HEC-RAS must further report the exact same elevations for both the computed water surface and energy grade line.
5. Floodway studies must reflect the transitions mentioned in Requirement 2 above. FEMA floodway boundaries are to follow stream lines, and should **reasonably balance the rights of property owners** on either side of the floodway. Use of the "automatic equal conveyance encroachment options" in the HEC-RAS program will be considered equitable. Where HEC-RAS automatic options are otherwise not appropriate, the floodway must be placed to minimize the top width of the floodway.
6. **Submittal of floodway studies** for King County review must include an electronic copy of the HEC-RAS input and output files, printouts of these files, and a detailed written description of the modeling approach and findings.

Previous Floodplain Studies

If differences exist between a study previously approved by the County and the applicant's design engineer's calculated hydraulic floodways or flood profiles, the design engineer shall provide justification and obtain County approval for these differences.

Zero-Rise Calculation

For a zero-rise analysis, the flow profile for the existing and proposed ***site*** conditions shall be computed and reported to the nearest 0.01 foot. A zero-rise analysis requires only comparisons of the computed water surface elevations and energy grade lines for the existing and proposed conditions. Such comparisons are independent of natural dynamics and are not limited by the accuracy of the model's absolute water surface predictions.

Adequacy of Hydraulic Model

At a minimum, **King County considers the following factors** when determining the adequacy of the hydraulic model and flow profiles for use in floodway analysis:

1. Cross section spacing
2. Differences in energy grade

Note: Significant differences in the energy grade from cross section to cross section are an indication that cross sections should be more closely spaced or that other inaccuracies exist in the hydraulic model.

3. Methods for analyzing the hydraulics of structures such as bridges and culverts
4. Lack of flow continuity
5. Use of a gradually-varied flow model

Note: In certain circumstances (such as weir flow over a levee or dike, flow through the spillway of a dam, or special applications of bridge flow), rapidly-varied flow techniques shall be used in combination with a gradually-varied flow model.

6. Manning's "*n*" values
7. Calibration of the hydraulic model with past flood events
8. Special applications. In some cases, HEC-RAS alone may not be sufficient for preparing the floodplain/floodway analysis. This may occur where sediment transport, two-dimensional flow, or other unique hydraulic circumstances affect the accuracy of the HEC-RAS hydraulic model. In these cases, the applicant shall obtain County approval of other methods proposed for estimating the water surface profiles.

TABLE 4.4.2.B DATUM CORRELATIONS
(For general reference use only, values are approximate)

Correlation From ⟶ To	(Snoq. Valley) NAVD 1988*	KCAS	U.S. Engineers	City of Seattle	NGVD, USGS & USC & GS 1947	Seattle Area Tide Tables & Navigation Charts 1954 & Later
NAVD 1988* (Upper Snoqualmie Valley)	--	-3.58	3.44	-9.54	-3.49	2.98
KCAS	3.58	--	7.02	-5.96	0.09	6.56
U.S. Engineers	-3.22	-7.02	--	-12.98	-6.93	-0.46
City of Seattle	9.54	5.96	12.98	--	6.05	12.52
NGVD, USGS & USC& GS 1947 (adjusted to the 1929 datum)	3.49	-0.09	6.93	-6.05	--	6.47
Seattle Area Tide Tables & Navigation Charts 1954 & Later (based on epoch 1924-1942)	-2.98	-6.56	0.46	-12.52	-6.47	--
Design Tidal Tailwater Elevation	12.08	8.50	15.52	2.54	8.59	15.06
Mean Higher High Water (MHHW)	8.34	4.76	11.78	-1.20	4.85	11.32
Mean High Water (MHW)	7.49	3.91	10.93	-2.05	4.00	10.47
Mean Low Water (MLW)	-0.16	-3.74	3.28	-9.70	-3.65	2.82
Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW)	-2.98	-6.56	0.46	-12.52	-6.47	0.00

**Varies, contact the King County Department of Transportation (KC-DOT) Survey Division for datum correlation for this and other areas.*

KCAS datum = Sea Level Datum 1929 (a.k.a. NGVD 1929)

CHAPTER 5
FLOW CONTROL

DESIGN
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

Section 5.1	**Detention Facilities**	**5-3**
Section 5.1.1	Detention Ponds	5-3
Section 5.1.2	Detention Tanks	5-18
Section 5.1.3	Detention Vaults	5-22
Section 5.1.4	Control Structures	5-26
Section 5.1.5	Parking Lot Detention	5-36
Section 5.1.6	Roof Detention	5-36
Section 5.1.7	Simple Detention Pond for Cleared Areas	5-37
Section 5.2	**Infiltration Facilities**	**5-45**
Section 5.2.1	General Requirements for Infiltration Facilities	5-46
Section 5.2.2	Infiltration Ponds	5-57
Section 5.2.3	Infiltration Tanks	5-60
Section 5.2.4	Infiltration Vaults	5-63
Section 5.2.5	Infiltration Trenches	5-65
Section 5.2.6	Alternative Infiltration Systems	5-67
Section 5.2.7	Small Infiltration Basins	5-69

CHAPTER 5
FLOW CONTROL DESIGN

This chapter presents the King County approved methods, criteria, and details for hydraulic analysis and design of flow control facilities pursuant to Core Requirement #3, "Flow Control" (see Section 1.2.3). *Flow control facilities*, as described in this manual, are detention or infiltration facilities engineered to meet a specified discharge performance. Four terms are commonly used to describe flow control facilities in King County: detention facilities, retention facilities, infiltration facilities, and R/D (Retention/Detention) facilities. A *detention facility*, by definition, temporarily stores surface water runoff and discharges it at a reduced rate. A *retention facility* stores water longer and effectively has no surface outflow (outflow occurs by evaporation or soaking into the ground). *Infiltration facilities* are retention facilities that rely entirely on the soaking of collected surface water into the ground. The term *R/D facility* has been used in previous versions of this manual to generally refer to all flow control facilities.

Flow control BMPs, also known as low impact development BMPs, are methods and designs for dispersing, infiltrating, or otherwise reducing or preventing development-related increases in runoff at or near the sources of those increases. Flow control BMPs include, but are not limited to, preservation and use of ***native vegetated surfaces*** to fully disperse runoff; use of other pervious surfaces to disperse runoff; roof downspout infiltration; permeable pavements; bioretention; and reduction of development footprint. Flow control BMPs are required pursuant to Core Requirement #9, “Flow Control BMPs” (see Section 1.2.9). Design details are covered in Appendix C of this manual.

Chapter Organization

The information in this chapter is organized into the following four main sections:

- Section 5.1, "Detention Facilities" (p. 5-3)
- Section 5.2, "Infiltration Facilities" (p. 5-45).

These sections begin on odd pages so the user can insert tabs if desired for quicker reference.

Required vs. Recommended Design Criteria

Both required and recommended design criteria are presented in this chapter. Criteria stated using "shall" or "must" are mandatory, to be followed unless there is a good reason to deviate as allowed by the adjustment process (see Section 1.4). These criteria are **required design criteria** and generally affect facility performance or critical maintenance factors. Sometimes options are stated as part of the required design criteria using the language "should" or "may." These criteria are really **recommended design criteria**, but are so closely related to the required criteria that they are placed with it.

Use of Materials

Galvanized metals leach zinc into the environment, especially in standing water situations. High zinc concentrations, sometimes in the range that can be toxic to aquatic life, have been observed in the region. Therefore, use of galvanized materials in flow control facilities and BMPs should be avoided. Where other metals, such as aluminum or stainless steel, or plastics are available, they shall be used. Allowable materials are specified in the Design Criteria for the facility.

(This page intentionally left blank)

5.1 DETENTION FACILITIES

This section presents the methods, criteria, and details for design and analysis of detention facilities. These facilities provide for the temporary storage of increased surface water runoff resulting from development pursuant to the performance standards set forth in Core Requirement #3, "Flow Control" (see Section 1.2.3).

There are three primary types of detention facilities described in this section: detention ponds, tanks, and vaults. The information presented in this section is organized as follows:

Section 5.1.1, "Detention Ponds"
"Design Criteria," Section 5.1.1.1 (p. 5-4)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 5.1.1.2 (p. 5-17)

Section 5.1.2, "Detention Tanks"
"Design Criteria," Section 5.1.2.1 (p. 5-18)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 5.1.2.2 (p. 5-19)

Section 5.1.3, "Detention Vaults"
"Design Criteria," Section 5.1.3.1 (p. 5-22)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 5.1.3.2 (p. 5-23)

Section 5.1.4, "Control Structures"
"Design Criteria," Section 5.1.4.1 (p. 5-26)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 5.1.4.2 (p. 5-30)

Section 5.1.5, "Parking Lot Detention"

Section 5.1.6, "Roof Detention"

Section 5.1.7, "Simple Detention Pond for Cleared Areas"
"Design Criteria," Section 5.1.7.1 (p. 5-37)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 5.1.7.2 (p. 5-41)

5.1.1 DETENTION PONDS

Open ponds are the most desirable detention facilities for controlling runoff from developed areas. The design criteria in Section 5.1.1.1 are for detention ponds. However, many of the criteria also apply to infiltration ponds (Section 5.2.2), and water quality wetponds and combined detention/wetponds (Section 6.4).

Dam Safety Compliance

Detention ponds and other open impoundment facilities must comply with requirements for dam safety (WAC 173-175). Under current regulations (as of February 2012), if the impoundment has a storage capacity (including both water and sediment storage volumes) greater than 10 acre-feet above natural ground level and a dam height of more than 6 feet, then dam safety design and review are required by the Washington State Department of Ecology (*Ecology*). If the storage capacity is less than 10 acre-feet above natural ground level, then the facility is exempt from Ecology review. If the dam height is less than 6 feet but capacity is greater than 10 acre-feet, then Ecology reviews on a case-by-case-basis to determine the hazard potential downstream in the event of a failure.

5.1.1.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

Standard details for **detention ponds** are shown in Figure 5.1.1.A (p. 5-13) through Figure 5.1.1.D (p. 5-16). Control structure details are described in Section 5.1.4 beginning on page 5-26.

General

1. Ponds must be designed as **flow-through systems** (however, parking lot storage may be utilized through a back-up system; see Section 5.1.5, p. 5-36). Developed flows must enter through a conveyance system separate from the control structure and outflow conveyance system. Maximizing distance between the inlet and outlet is encouraged to promote sedimentation.
2. **Pond bottoms shall be level** and be located a minimum of 0.5 feet below the inlet and outlet to provide sediment storage.
3. Outflow **control structures** shall be designed as specified in Section 5.1.4 (p. 5-26).
4. Detention ponds preceding required water quality treatment facilities must meet the liner requirements described in Section 6.2.4 (Facility Liners) to ensure groundwater protection.
5. A geotechnical analysis and report is required if located within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area*** or ***landslide hazard area*** OR if the facility is located within a setback distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15%. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.

Side Slopes

1. For facilities to be maintained by King County, **interior side slopes** up to the emergency overflow water surface shall be no steeper than 3H:1V unless a fence is provided (see "Fencing," p. 5-6). See Section 6.4.4 for side slope requirements for internal berms in combined ponds and wetponds.
2. **Exterior side slopes** shall be no steeper than 2H:1V unless analyzed for stability by a geotechnical engineer.
3. **Pond walls** may be vertical retaining walls, provided: (a) they are constructed of reinforced concrete per Section 5.1.3 (p. 5-22); (b) a fence is provided along the top of the wall; (c) at least 25% of the pond perimeter will be a vegetated soil slope not steeper than 3H:1V; and (d) the design is stamped by a licensed structural civil engineer.
4. For **privately owned and maintained facilities**, the entire pond perimeter may be retaining walls, and building foundations may serve as one or more of the pond walls.

Embankments

1. Pond berm embankments higher than 6 feet shall require **design by a geotechnical engineer**.
2. For berm embankments 6 feet or less, the **minimum top width** shall be 6 feet, or as recommended by a geotechnical engineer.
3. Pond berm embankments must be **constructed on native consolidated soil** (or adequately compacted and stable fill soils analyzed by a geotechnical engineer) free of loose surface soil materials, roots, and other organic debris.
4. Pond berm embankments greater than 4 feet in height must be **constructed by excavating a key** equal to 50% of the berm embankment cross-sectional height and width. This requirement may be waived if specifically recommended by a geotechnical engineer.
5. The berm embankment shall be **constructed of soil** placed in 6-inch lifts **compacted** to at least 95% of maximum dry density, within 2 percentage points of the optimum moisture content, modified proctor method ASTM D1557. Density tests shall be performed for each lift to confirm compliance with this specification. The soil used for construction shall have the following soil characteristics: a minimum of 20% silt and clay, a maximum of 60% sand, a maximum of 60% silt and clay, with

nominal gravel and cobble content. *Note: In general, excavated glacial till is well suited for berm embankment material*.

6. **Anti-seepage collars** must be placed on outflow pipes in berm embankments impounding water greater than 8 feet in depth at the design water surface.

Overflow

1. In all ponds, tanks, and vaults, a **primary overflow** (usually a riser pipe within the control structure; see Section 5.1.4.2, p. 5-30) must be provided to bypass the 100-year, 15-minute developed peak flow over or around the restrictor system. This assumes the facility will be full due to plugged orifices or high inflows; the primary overflow is intended to protect against breaching of a pond embankment (or overflows of the upstream conveyance system, in the case of a detention tank or vault). The design must provide controlled discharge directly into the downstream conveyance system or another acceptable discharge point.
2. A **secondary inlet** to the control structure must be provided in ponds as additional protection against overtopping should the inlet pipe to the control structure become plugged. A grated opening ("jailhouse window") in the control structure manhole functions as a weir (see Figure 5.1.1.B, p. 5-14) when used as a secondary inlet. *Note: The maximum circumferential length of this opening shall not exceed one-half the control structure circumference*. The "birdcage" overflow structure as shown in Figure 5.1.1.C (p. 5-15) may also be used as a secondary inlet.

Emergency Overflow Spillway

1. In addition to the above overflow requirements, ponds must have an emergency overflow spillway **sized to pass the 100-year, 15-minute developed peak flow** in the event of total control structure failure (e.g., blockage of the control structure outlet pipe) or extreme inflows. Emergency overflow spillways are intended to control the location of pond overtopping and direct overflows back into the downstream conveyance system or other acceptable discharge point.
2. Emergency overflow spillways must be provided for **ponds with constructed berms over 2 feet in height,** or for **ponds located on grades in excess of 5%.** As an option for ponds with berms less than 2 feet in height and located at grades less than 5%, emergency overflow may be provided by an **emergency overflow structure**, such as a Type II manhole fitted with a birdcage as shown in Figure 5.1.1.C (p. 5-15). The emergency overflow structure must be designed to pass the 100-year developed peak flow, with a minimum 6 inches of freeboard, directly to the downstream conveyance system or another acceptable discharge point. Where an emergency overflow spillway would discharge to a slope steeper than 15%, consideration should be given to providing an emergency overflow structure *in addition to* the spillway.
3. The emergency overflow spillway shall be **armored** in conformance with Table 4.2.2.A. The spillway shall be armored full width, beginning at a point midway across the berm embankment and extending downstream to where emergency overflows re-enter the conveyance system (see Figure 5.1.1.B, p. 5-14).
4. Design of emergency overflow spillways requires the analysis of a broad-crested trapezoidal weir as described in Section 5.1.1.2 (p. 5-17). Either one of the weir sections shown in Figure 5.1.1.B (p. 5-14) may be used.

Access Requirements

1. **Maintenance access road(s)** shall be provided to the control structure and other drainage structures associated with the pond (e.g., inlet, emergency overflow or bypass structures). Manhole and catch basin lids must be in or at the edge of the access road and at least three feet from a property line. Rims shall be set at the access road grade.
2. An **access ramp** is required for removal of sediment with a trackhoe and truck. The ramp must extend to the pond bottom if the pond bottom is greater than 1500 square feet (measured without the

ramp) and it may end at an elevation 4 feet above the pond bottom, if the pond bottom is less than 1,500 square feet (measured without the ramp), provided the pond side slopes are 3H:1V or flatter.

Intent: On large, deep ponds, truck access to the pond bottom via an access ramp is necessary so loading can be done in the pond bottom. On small deep ponds, the truck can remain on the ramp for loading. On small shallow ponds, a ramp to the bottom may not be required if the trackhoe can load a truck parked at the pond edge or on the internal berm of a wetpond or combined pond (trackhoes can negotiate interior pond side slopes).

3. The **internal berm** of a wetpond or combined detention and wetpond may be used for access if it is no more than 4 feet above the first wetpool cell, if the first wetpool cell is less than 1500 square feet (bottom area measured without the ramp), and if it is designed to support a loaded truck, considering the berm is normally submerged and saturated.
4. **Access ramps** shall meet the requirements for design and construction of access roads specified below.
5. All control structures shall have round, solid **locking lids** with $^{5}/_{8}$-inch diameter Allen head cap screws (see the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards* (*KCRDCS)* Drawing No. 7-022 and 7-023).
6. Access shall be limited by a double-posted gate if a fence is required, or by **bollards**. Bollards shall be designed in accordance with the *KCRDCS*.

Design of Access Roads

Access roads shall meet the following design criteria:

1. **Maximum grade** shall be 15% for asphalt paving and 12% for gravel or modular grid paving.
2. Outside **turning radius** shall be 40 feet, minimum.
3. **Fence gates** shall be located only on straight sections of road.
4. Access roads shall be 15 feet in **width** on curves and 12 feet on straight sections.
5. A **paved apron** shall be provided where access roads connect to paved public roadways. The apron shall be consistent with driveway details in the *KCRDCS*.

Construction of Access Roads

Access roads shall be constructed with an asphalt, concrete or gravel surface, or modular grid pavement. Access roads must conform to the *KCRDCS* for residential or rural minor access streets. Modular grid pavement shall meet manufacturer's specifications. Where access roads pass over emergency overflow spillways, an HMA wearing course is required (see Figure 5.1.1.B, p. 5-14).

Fencing

1. A fence is **required** at the emergency overflow water surface elevation, or higher, **where a pond interior side slope is steeper than 3H:1V,** or where the impoundment is a wall greater than 24 inches in height. The fence need only be constructed for those slopes steeper than 3H:1V.

Intent: To discourage access to portions of a pond where steep side slopes (steeper than 3H:1V) increase the potential for slipping into the pond, and to guide those who have fallen into a pond to side slopes that are flat enough (flatter than 3H:1V and unfenced) to allow for easy escape.

2. Fencing is required for ponds serving multifamily projects (or land uses) for slopes steeper than 3H:1V. For other **privately owned and maintained** facilities, fences are recommended, but not required, for slopes steeper than 3H:1V. Note, however, that other regulations such as the Uniform Building Code may require fencing of vertical walls. Fence material and construction specifications outlined below do not apply to private facilities.

3. Fences shall be 6 feet in **height**. For example designs, see WSDOT Standard Plan L-2, Type 1 or Type 3 chain link fence.

Exception: The fence may be a minimum of 4 feet in height if the depth of the impoundment (measured from the lowest elevation in the bottom of the impoundment, directly adjacent to the bottom of the fenced slope, up to the emergency overflow water surface) is 5 feet or less. For example designs, see WSDOT Standard Plan L-2, Type 4 or Type 6 chain link fence.

4. **Access road gates** shall be 16 feet in width consisting of two swinging sections 8 feet in width. Additional vehicular access gates may be required as needed to facilitate maintenance access.
5. **Pedestrian access gates** (if needed) shall be 4 feet in width.
6. For fences to be maintained by the County, **fence material** shall be vertical metal balusters, 9 gauge stainless steel, aluminized steel, or galvanized steel fabric. If galvanized, bonded vinyl coating is required. For steel fabric fences, the following apply:
   a) **Vinyl coating** shall be compatible with the surrounding environment (e.g., green in open, grassy areas and black or brown in wooded areas). All posts, cross bars, and gates shall be coated the same color as the vinyl clad fence fabric.
   b) **Fence posts and rails** shall conform to WSDOT Standard Plan L-2 for Types 1, 3, or 4 chain link fence, except that if galvanized, they must be coated with bonded vinyl.
7. For **metal baluster fences**, Uniform Building Code standards shall apply.
8. **Wood fences are allowed** in subdivisions where the fence will be maintained by homeowners associations or adjacent lot owners. Fence maintenance requirements shall be a condition of subdivision approval, and a statement detailing maintenance responsibilities and requirements must be recorded with the plat.
9. Wood fences shall have **pressure treated[1] posts** (ground contact rated) either set in 24-inch deep concrete footings or attached to footings by steel brackets. Rails and fence boards shall be cedar.
10. Where only **short stretches of the pond perimeter** (< 10%) have side slopes steeper than 3H:1V, untreated cedar split rail fences (3-foot minimum height) or densely planted thorned hedges (e.g., barberry, holly, etc.) may be used in place of a standard fence.

Signage

Detention ponds, infiltration ponds, wetponds, and combined ponds to be maintained by King County shall have a sign placed for maximum visibility from adjacent streets, sidewalks, and paths. The sign shall meet the design and installation requirements illustrated in Figure 5.1.1.D (p. 5-16).

Right-of-Way

1. Open detention ponds shall not be located in dedicated public road right-of-way.
2. Detention ponds to be maintained by King County shall be in a tract dedicated to King County (see Section 1.2.6). Any tract not abutting public right-of-way will require a 15-foot wide extension of the tract to an acceptable access location.

Setbacks

1. A setback of 5 feet from the **toe of the exterior slope**, retaining walls and rockeries to the tract or property line is required for County-maintained ponds and recommended for privately maintained ponds.

[1] Fence posts represent a rare exception to the rule of no treated lumber. Ground contact requires pressure treatment.

2. The tract or property line on a detention pond cut slope shall be setback 5 feet from the **emergency overflow water surface**.
3. The detention pond water surface at the pond outlet invert elevation shall be setback 100 feet from **proposed or existing septic system drainfields**. This setback may be reduced with written approval of the Seattle-King County Department of Public Health.
4. The detention pond design water surface shall be a minimum of 200 feet from any ***steep slope hazard area or landslide hazard area.*** Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.
5. The detention pond design water surface shall be set back a minimum distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of a slope area that is steeper than 15%. Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.

Seeps and Springs

Intermittent seeps along cut slopes are typically fed by a shallow groundwater source (interflow) flowing along a relatively impermeable soil stratum. These flows are storm driven and should discontinue after a few weeks of dry weather. The approved continuous runoff model accounts for this shallow groundwater component and no special provisions are needed when directing these flows through the flow control facility. However, more continuous seeps and springs, which extend through longer dry periods, are likely from a deeper groundwater source. When continuous flows are intercepted and directed through flow control facilities, adjustments to the approved facility design may be required to account for the additional base flow (unless already considered in design). If uncertain at the time of construction, the situation may be monitored while the facility is under maintenance and defect financial guarantee. Adjustments to the facility may be required prior to the release of the financial guarantee.

Planting Requirements

Exposed earth on the pond bottom and interior side slopes shall be sodded or seeded with an appropriate seed mixture. All remaining areas of the tract must either be planted with grass, or be landscaped in accordance with the standards below and mulched with a 4-inch cover of hog fuel or shredded wood mulch.[2]

Landscaping

Landscaping for aesthetic purposes is encouraged, but not required, for most stormwater tract areas containing ponds maintained by King County (see below for areas not to be landscaped). However, if provided, landscaping must adhere to the criteria that follow so as not to hinder maintenance operations. Landscaped stormwater tracts may, in some instances, be used to satisfy requirements for recreational space. In other instances, "naturalistic" stormwater facilities may be placed in open space tracts. For more information, see page 5-11.

If landscaping is proposed in the stormwater tract of a County-maintained pond, the following requirements shall apply:

1. **No trees or shrubs may be planted within 10 feet of inlet or outlet pipes** or manmade drainage structures such as catch basins, spillways or flow spreaders. Species with roots that seek water, such as willow or poplar, should be avoided within 50 feet of pipes or manmade structures.
2. **Planting is restricted on berms that impound water** either permanently or temporarily during storms. *Note: This restriction does not apply to cut slopes that form pond banks, only to berms.*

[2] Shredded wood mulch is made from shredded tree trimmings, usually from trees cleared onsite. It must be free of garbage and weeds and may not contain excessive resin, tannin, or other material detrimental to plant growth.

a) Trees or shrubs may not be planted on portions of water-impounding berms taller than four feet high. Only grasses may be planted on berms taller than four feet.

Intent: Grasses allow unobstructed visibility of berm slopes for detecting potential dam safety problems such as animal burrows, slumping, or fractures in the berm.

b) Trees planted on portions of water-impounding berms less than 4 feet high must be small, not higher than 20 feet mature height, and have a fibrous root system. Table 5.1.1.A gives some examples of trees with these characteristics.

Intent: These trees reduce the likelihood of blow-down trees, or the possibility of channeling or piping of water through the root system, which may contribute to dam failure on berms that retain water.

3. All landscape material, including grass, must be **planted in good topsoil**. Native underlying soils may be made suitable for planting if amended with 2 inches of well-rotted compost tilled into the top six inches of soil. Compost used should meet specifications in Reference 11-C.
4. Soil in which **trees or shrubs** are planted may require additional enrichment or additional compost top-dressing. Consult a nurseryman, landscape professional, or arborist for site-specific recommendations.
5. For a naturalistic effect as well as ease of maintenance, trees or shrubs must be **planted in clumps** to form "*landscape islands*" rather than evenly spaced.
6. The **landscaped islands** must be planted above the 100-year water surface and must be a minimum of six feet apart, and if set back from fences or other barriers, the setback distance must also be a minimum of six feet. Where tree foliage extends low to the ground, the six feet of setback should be counted from the outer drip line of the trees (estimated at maturity).

   Intent: This landscape design must allow a 6-foot wide mower to pass around and between clumps.
7. Evergreen trees and trees that produce relatively little leaf-fall such as Oregon ash, mimosa, or locust are preferred. Large-leaf deciduous trees may not be planted where branches could extend over interior pond slopes.
8. All trees shall be set back so branches do not extend over the 100-year water surface of the pond to prevent leaf-drop into the water.
9. Drought tolerant species are recommended.
10. Landscape areas within the tracts of County-maintained ponds in residential subdivision developments shall be designated "to be maintained by the homeowner's association."

TABLE 5.1.1.A SMALL TREES AND SHRUBS WITH FIBROUS ROOTS	
Small Trees / High Shrubs	**Low Shrubs**
*Red twig dogwood (*Cornus stolonifera*)	*Snowberry (*Symphoricarpus albus*)
*Serviceberry (*Amelanchier alnifolia*)	*Salmonberry (*Rubus spectabilis*)
Strawberry tree (*Arbutus unedo*)	*Rosa rugosa* (avoid spreading varieties)
Highbush cranberry (*Vaccinium opulus*)	Rock rose (*Cistus* spp.)
Blueberry (*Vaccinium* spp.)	Ceanothus spp. (choose hardier varieties)
*Filbert (*Corylus cornuta*, others)	New Zealand flax (*Phormium penax*)
Fruit trees on dwarf rootstock	
Rhododendron (native and ornamental varieties)	Ornamental grasses (e.g., *Miscanthis*, *Pennisetum)*
* *Native species*	

Guidelines for Naturalistic Planting

Stormwater facilities may sometimes be located within open space tracts if "natural appearing" (see page 5-12 for details). Two generic kinds of naturalistic planting are outlined below, but other options are also possible. A booklet discussing stormwater ponds and landscaping possibilities is available at the Water and Land Resources Division; when completed, it should be consulted for additional ideas. Native vegetation is preferred in naturalistic plantings.

Note: These landscaping criteria must be followed unless a landscape professional judges that long-term quality of the open space would be improved by deviating from the criteria, AND that if the facility is maintained by the County, maintenance would not be made more difficult by the deviations.

Open Woodland

In addition to the general landscaping criteria above, the following requirements must be met:

1. Landscaped islands (when mature) should cover a minimum of 30% or more of the tract, exclusive of the pond area.
2. Tree clumps should be underplanted with shade-tolerant shrubs and groundcover plants. The goal is to provide a dense understory that need not be weeded or mowed.
3. Landscaped islands should be placed at several elevations rather than "ring" the pond, and the size of clumps should vary from small to large to create variety.
4. Not all islands need have trees. Shrub or groundcover clumps are acceptable, but lack of shade should be considered in selecting vegetation.

Note: Landscaped islands are best combined with the use of hog fuel or shredded wood mulch for erosion control (only for slopes above the flow control water surface). It is often difficult to sustain a low-maintenance understory if the area was previously hydroseeded.

Northwest Savannah or Meadow

In addition to the general landscape criteria above, the following requirements must be met:

1. Landscape islands (when mature) should cover 10% or more of the tract, exclusive of the pond area.

2. Planting groundcovers and understory shrubs is encouraged to eliminate the need for mowing under the trees when they are young.
3. Landscape islands should be placed at several elevations rather than "ring" the pond.
4. The remaining tract area should be planted with an appropriate grass seed mix, which may include northwest meadow or wildflower species. Native or dwarf grass mixes are preferred. Table 5.1.1.B below gives one acceptable dwarf grass mix. Grass seed should be applied at 2.5 to 3 pounds per 1000 square feet. *Note: Amended soil or good topsoil is required for all plantings.*
5. Creation of areas of emergent vegetation in shallow areas of the pond is recommended. Native wetland plants, such as sedges (*Carex* sp.), bulrush (*Scirpus* sp.), water plantain (*Alisma* sp.), and burreed (*Sparganium sp.*) are recommended. If the pond does not hold standing water, a clump of wet-tolerant, non-invasive shrubs, such as salmonberry or snowberry, is recommended below the detention design water surface.

Note: This landscape style is best combined with the use of grass or sod for site stabilization and erosion control.

TABLE 5.1.1.B STORMWATER TRACT "LOW-GROW" SEED MIX

Seed Name	Percentage of Mix
Dwarf tall fescue	40%
Dwarf perennial rye "Barclay" *	30%
Red fescue	25%
Colonial bentgrass	5%

* If wildflowers are used and sowing is done before Labor Day, the amount of dwarf perennial rye may be reduced proportionately to the amount of wildflower seed used.

Detention Ponds in Recreational Tracts

Projects required to provide onsite recreational space per KCC 21A.14.180 may combine the detention pond tract with the recreation space tract to receive a 50% reduction in required onsite recreational space. To receive the 50% credit, the following criteria must be met as required by KCC 21A.14.180.D:

1. The proposed stormwater tract must be dedicated or reserved as a part of a recreational space tract.
2. The stormwater pond must meet all standards for typical ponds unless modified by the following additional requirements:
   a) Side slopes shall not exceed 33 percent unless they are existing, natural, and covered with vegetation.
   b) A bypass system or an emergency overflow pathway shall be designed to handle flow exceeding the facility design and located so that it does not pass through active recreation areas or present a safety hazard.
   c) The area surrounding the stormwater pond shall be landscaped in a manner to enhance passive recreational opportunities such as trails and aesthetic viewing.
   d) The stormwater pond shall be designed so that it does not require fencing per the fencing requirements on page 5-6.

e) Split rail fencing (3 ft. minimum height) is required around the pond at the emergency overflow elevation of the pond or higher. Wire mesh backing of the fence is encouraged, but not required. Intent: To preserve the functional integrity of the pond while allowing view of facility.

3. Where a tract is jointly used for recreational space and King County maintained drainage facilities, the County is only responsible for maintenance of the drainage facilities, and an access easement shall be provided for that purpose.

Detention Ponds in Open Space

Open space areas reserved through the **four-to-one program** may be used to site "natural appearing" stormwater facilities if they are found to be compatible with the open space value and functions, and if they are located on a "small portion of the open space" (Amended policy I-204, King County Comprehensive Plan). Conscientious application of the "Guidelines for Naturalistic Plantings" (p. 5-10) typically will produce natural-appearing stormwater facilities. A site-specific assessment is needed, however, to determine whether the stormwater tract would be compatible with the open space value and functions.

FIGURE 5.1.1.A TYPICAL DETENTION POND



NOTE:
THIS DETAIL IS A SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION ONLY. ACTUAL CONFIGURATION WILL VARY DEPENDING ON SPECIFIC SITE CONSTRAINTS AND APPLICABLE DESIGN CRITERIA.

FIGURE 5.1.1.B TYPICAL DETENTION POND SECTIONS



FIGURE 5.1.1.C OVERFLOW STRUCTURE



NOTES:

1. DIMENSIONS ARE FOR ILLUSTRATION ON 54" DIAMETER CB. FOR DIFFERENT DIAMETER CB'S ADJUST TO MAINTAIN 45°ANGLE ON "VERTICAL" BARS AND 7" O.C. MAXIMUM SPACING OF BARS AROUND LOWER STEEL BAND.
2. METAL PARTS MUST BE CORROSION RESISTANT; BARS MUST BE STAINLESS STEEL OR ALUMINIZED STEEL.
3. THIS DEBRIS BARRIER IS ALSO RECOMMENDED FOR USE ON THE INLET TO ROADWAY CROSS-CULVERTS WITH HIGH POTENTIAL FOR DEBRIS COLLECTION (EXCEPT ON TYPE 2 STREAMS).
4. THIS DEBRIS BARRIER IS FOR USE OUTSIDE OF ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY ONLY. FOR DEBRIS CAGES WITHIN ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY, SEE KCRDCS DRAWING NO. 7-028.

FIGURE 5.1.1.D PERMANENT SURFACE WATER CONTROL POND SIGN



SPECIFICATIONS:

Size: 48 inches by 24 inches

Material: 0.125-gauge aluminum

Face: Non-reflective vinyl or 3 coats outdoor enamel (sprayed).

Lettering: Silk screen enamel where possible, or vinyl letters.

Colors: Beige background, teal letters.

Type face: Helvetica condensed. Title: 3 inch; Sub-Title: $1^1/_2$-inch; Text: 1 inch; Outer border: $^1/_8$ inch border distance from edge: $^1/_4$-inch; all text $1^3/_4$-inch from border.

Posts: Pressure treated, beveled tops, $1^1/_2$-inch higher than sign.

Installation: Secure to chain link fence if available. Otherwise install on two 4"x4" posts, pressure treated, mounted atop a gravel bed, installed in 30-inch concrete filled post holes (8-inch minimum diameter), with the top of sign no higher than 42 inches from ground surface.

Placement: Face sign in direction of primary visual or physical access. Do not block any access road. Do not place within 6 feet of structural facilities (e.g. manholes, spillways, pipe inlets).

Note: If the facility has a liner to restrict infiltration of stormwater, the following note must be added to the face of the sign: "This facility is lined to protect groundwater quality." In addition, specific information about the liner must be added to the back of the sign as specified in Section 6.2.4.

5.1.1.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Detention Volume and Outflow

The volume and outflow design for detention ponds shall be in accordance with the performance requirements in Chapter 1 and the hydrologic analysis and design methods in Chapter 3. Restrictor orifice structure design shall comply with Section 5.1.4 (p. 5-26). *Note: The **design water surface elevation** is the highest elevation that occurs in order to meet the required outflow performance for the pond.*

Detention Ponds in Infiltrative Soils

Detention ponds may occasionally be sited on till soils that otherwise meet the basic criteria of "**sufficient permeable soil**" for a properly functioning infiltration system (see Section 5.2.1, p. 5-46). These detention ponds have a surface discharge and may also utilize infiltration as a second pond outflow. Detention ponds sized with infiltration as a second outflow must meet all the requirements of Section 5.2 for infiltration ponds, including a soils report, performance testing, groundwater protection, presettling, and construction techniques.

Emergency Overflow Spillway Capacity

The emergency overflow spillway weir section shall be designed to pass the 100-year runoff event for developed conditions assuming a broad-crested weir. The **broad-crested weir equation** for the spillway section in Figure 5.1.1.E, for example, would be:

$$Q_{100} = C\,(2g)^{1/2}\,[{}^{2}/_{3}\,LH^{3/2} + {}^{8}/_{15}\,(\text{Tan}\,\theta)\,H^{5/2}] \qquad (5\text{-}1)$$

where Q_{100} = peak flow for the 100-year runoff event (cfs)
C = discharge coefficient (0.6)
g = gravity (32.2 ft/sec^2)
L = length of weir (ft)
H = height of water over weir (ft)
θ = angle of side slopes

Assuming $C = 0.6$ and Tan $\theta = 3$ (for 3H:1V slopes), the equation becomes:

$$Q_{100} = 3.21\,(LH^{3/2} + 2.4\,H^{5/2}) \qquad (5\text{-}2)$$

To find width L for the weir section, the equation is rearranged to use the computed Q_{100} and trial values of H (0.2 feet minimum):

$$L = [Q_{100} / (3.21\,H^{3/2})] - 2.4\,H \quad \text{or} \quad 6 \text{ feet minimum} \qquad (5\text{-}3)$$

FIGURE 5.1.1.E WEIR SECTION FOR EMERGENCY OVERFLOW SPILLWAY



5.1.2 DETENTION TANKS

Detention tanks are underground storage facilities typically constructed with large diameter corrugated metal pipe. Standard detention tank details are shown in Figure 5.1.2.A (p. 5-20) and Figure 5.1.2.B (p. 5-21). Control structure details are shown in Section 5.1.4 beginning on page 5-26.

5.1.2.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

General

1. Tanks shall be designed as **flow-through systems with manholes in line** (see Figure 5.1.2.A, p. 5-20) to promote sediment removal and facilitate maintenance.

Exception: Tanks may be designed as **back-up systems** if preceded by water quality facilities since little sediment should reach the inlet/control structure and low head losses can be expected because of the proximity of the inlet/control structure to the tank.

2. The detention tank bottom shall be located a minimum of 0.5 feet below the inlet and outlet to provide dead storage for sediment.
3. The **minimum pipe diameter** allowed for a detention tank is 36 inches.
4. Tanks larger than 36 inches may be connected to each adjoining structure with a short section (2-foot maximum length) of 36-inch minimum diameter pipe.
5. Outflow **control structures** shall be as detailed in Section 5.1.4 (p. 5-26). *Note: Control and access manholes shall have additional ladder rungs to allow ready access to all tank access pipes when the catch basin sump is filled with water (see Figure 5.1.4.A, plan view, p. 5-27).*

Materials

Pipe material, joints, and protective treatment for tanks shall be in accordance with Sections 7.04 and 9.05 of the *WSDOT/APWA Standard Specification* as modified by the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards (KCRDCS)* and AASHTO designations. Such materials include the following:

- Lined corrugated polyethylene pipe (LCPE)
- Aluminized Type 2 corrugated steel pipe and pipe arch (meets AASHTO designations M274 and M36)
- Corrugated or spiral rib aluminum pipe and pipe arch
- Reinforced concrete pipe
- Narrow concrete vaults (see Section 5.1.3, p. 5-22).
- Corrugated steel pipe and pipe arch, Aluminized or Galvanized[3] with treatments 1, 2 or 5
- Spiral rib steel pipe, Aluminized or Galvanized[3] with treatments 1, 2 or 5
- Structural plate pipe and pipe arch, Aluminized or Galvanized[3] with treatments 1, 2 or 5

Structural Stability

Tanks shall meet structural requirements for overburden support and traffic loading if appropriate. H-20 live loads must be accommodated for tanks lying under parking areas and access roads. The *KCRDCS* may have different live load requirements for structures located under roadways. Metal tank end plates

[3] Galvanized metals leach zinc into the environment, especially in standing water situations. High zinc concentrations, sometimes in the range that can be toxic to aquatic life, have been observed in the region. Therefore, use of galvanized materials should be avoided. Where other metals, such as aluminum or stainless steel, or plastics are available, they shall be used. If these materials are not available, asphalt coated galvanized materials may then be used.

must be designed for structural stability at maximum hydrostatic loading conditions. Flat end plates generally require thicker gage material than the pipe and/or require reinforcing ribs.

Tanks shall be placed on stable, well consolidated native material with a suitable bedding. Backfill shall be placed and compacted in accordance with the pipe specifications in Chapter 4. Tanks made of LCPE require inspection for deformation prior to installation as well as continuous inspection of backfilling to one foot above the top of the tank. Tanks shall not be allowed in fill slopes, unless analyzed in a geotechnical report for stability and constructability.

Buoyancy

In moderately pervious soils where seasonal groundwater may induce flotation, buoyancy tendencies must be balanced either by ballasting with backfill or concrete backfill, providing concrete anchors, increasing the total weight, or providing subsurface drains to permanently lower the groundwater table. Calculations must be submitted that demonstrate stability.

Access Requirements

1. The **maximum depth** from finished grade to tank invert shall be 20 feet.
2. **Access openings** shall be positioned a maximum of 50 feet from any location within the tank.
3. All tank access openings shall have round, solid **locking lids** with $^{5}/_{8}$-inch diameter Allen head cap screws (see *KCRDCS* Drawing No. 7-022 and 7-023).
4. Thirty-six-inch minimum diameter **CMP riser-type manholes** (Figure 5.1.2.B, p. 5-21) of the same gage as the tank material may be used for access along the length of the tank and at the upstream terminus of the tank if a backup system. The top slab is separated (1-inch minimum gap) from the top of the riser to allow for deflections from vehicle loadings without damaging the riser tank.
5. All tank access openings must be readily **accessible by maintenance vehicles**.

Access Roads

Access roads are required to all detention tank control structures and risers. The access roads shall be designed and constructed **as specified for detention ponds** in Section 5.1.1 (see p. 5-6).

Right-of-Way

Detention tanks to be maintained by King County but not located in King County right-of-way shall be in a tract dedicated to King County. Any tract not abutting public right-of-way will require a 15-foot wide extension of the tract to accommodate an access road to the facility.

Setbacks

Setbacks (easement/tract width) and building setback lines (BSBLs) for tanks shall be the same as for pipes (see Section 4.1).

5.1.2.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Detention Volume and Outflow

The volume and outflow design for **detention tanks** shall be in accordance with the performance requirements in Chapter 1 and the hydrologic analysis and design methods in Chapter 3. Restrictor and orifice design shall be according to Section 5.1.4 (p. 5-26).

FIGURE 5.1.2.A TYPICAL DETENTION TANK



PLAN VIEW
NTS

"FLOW-THROUGH" SYSTEM SHOWN SOLID.
DESIGNS FOR "FLOW BACKUP" SYSTEM AND
PARALLEL TANKS SHOWN DASHED



SECTION A-A
NTS

"FLOW-THROUGH" SYSTEM SHOWN SOLID.

NOTES:
ALL METAL PARTS CORROSION RESISTANT. STEEL PARTS STAINLESS STEEL OR ALUMINIZED STEEL, EXCEPT TANK MAY BE GALVANIZED AND ASPHALT COATED (TREATMENT 1 OR BETTER).

FIGURE 5.1.2.B DETENTION TANK ACCESS DETAIL



NOTES:

1. USE ADJUSTING BLOCKS AS REQUIRED TO BRING FRAME TO GUIDE.
2. ALL MATERIALS TO BE ALUMINUM OR GALVANIZED AND ASPHALT COATED (TREAMENT 1 OR BETTER), OR STAINLESS STEEL OR ALUMINIZED STEEL.
3. MUST BE LOCATED FOR ACCESS BY MAINTENANCE VEHICLES.
4. MAY SUBSTITUTE WSDOT SPECIAL TYPE IV MANHOLE (RCP ONLY).

5.1.3 DETENTION VAULTS

Detention vaults are box-shaped underground storage facilities typically constructed with reinforced concrete. A standard detention vault detail is shown in Figure 5.1.3.A (p. 5-25). Control structure details are shown in Section 5.1.4 beginning on page 5-26.

5.1.3.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

General

1. Detention vaults shall be designed as **flow-through systems** with bottoms level (longitudinally) or sloped toward the inlet to facilitate sediment removal. Distance between the inlet and outlet shall be maximized (as feasible).
2. The detention **vault bottom** shall slope at least 5% from each side towards the center, forming a broad "v" to facilitate sediment removal. *Note: More than one "v" may be used to minimize vault depth*.

Exception: The vault bottom may be flat if **removable panels** are provided over the entire vault. Removable panels shall be at grade, have stainless steel lifting eyes, and weigh no more than 5 tons per panel.

3. The **invert elevation of the outlet** shall be elevated above the bottom of the vault to provide an average 6 inches of sediment storage over the entire bottom. The outlet must also be elevated a minimum of 2 feet above the orifice to retain oil within the vault.
4. The outflow system and restrictor device shall be designed according to the applicable requirements specified for **control structures** in Section 5.1.4 (p. 5-26).

Materials

Minimum 3,000 psi structural reinforced concrete must be used for all detention vaults. All construction joints must be provided with water stops.

Structural Stability

All vaults shall meet structural requirements for overburden support and H-20 traffic loading. Vaults located under roadways must meet the live load requirements of the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards (KCRDCS)*. Cast-in-place wall sections shall be designed as retaining walls. Structural designs for vaults must be stamped by a licensed structural engineer unless otherwise approved by DLS-Permitting. Vaults shall be placed on stable, well-consolidated native material with suitable bedding. Vaults shall not be allowed in fill slopes, unless analyzed in a geotechnical report for stability and constructability.

Access Requirements

1. **Access** consisting of a frame, grate and locking cover shall be provided over the inlet pipe and outlet structure and located in a manner to allow visual inspection. Access openings over control structures shall meet a minimum 2 ft. offset to any portion of the FROP-T as shown in figure 5.1.4.A. Access openings shall be positioned a maximum of 50 feet from any location within the vault; additional access points may be required on large vaults. If more than one "v" is provided in the vault floor, access to each "v" must be provided.
2. For vaults with **greater than 1250 square feet of floor area**, a 5' by 10' removable, locking panel shall be provided. Alternatively, a separate access vault may be provided as shown in Figure 5.1.3.A (p. 5-25).
3. For **vaults under roadways**, the removable panel must be located outside the travel lanes. Alternatively, multiple standard locking manhole covers (see *KCRDCS* Drawing No. 7-022 and 7-023) may be provided. Spacing of manhole covers shall be 12 feet, measured on center, to facilitate

removal of sediment. Ladders and hand-holds need only be provided at the outlet pipe and inlet pipe, and as needed to meet OSHA confined space requirements. Vaults providing manhole access at 12-foot spacing need not provide corner ventilation pipes as specified in Item 9 below.

4. All **access openings**, except those covered by removable panels, shall have round, solid **locking covers** (see *KCRDCS* Drawing Nos. 7-022 and 7-023), or 3-foot square, locking diamond plate covers. For raised openings where the depth from the iron cover to the top of the vault exceeds 24 inches, an access structure equivalent to a Type 2 catch basin or Type 1 manhole shall be used (see *KCRDCS* Drawing Nos. 7-005 and 7-007). The opening in the vault lid need not exceed 24 inches in diameter.
5. Vaults with widths 10 feet or less must have **removable lids**.
6. The **maximum depth** from finished grade to the vault invert shall be 20 feet.
7. **Internal structural walls** of large vaults shall be provided with openings sufficient for maintenance access between cells. The openings shall be sized and situated to allow access to the maintenance "v" in the vault floor.
8. The **minimum internal height** shall be 7 feet from the highest point of the vault floor (not sump), and the **minimum width** shall be 4 feet.

Exceptions:

- Concrete vaults may be a minimum 3 feet in height and width **if used as tanks** with access manholes at each end, and if the width is no larger than the height.
- The minimum internal height requirement may be waived for any areas covered by removable panels.

9. **Ventilation pipes** (minimum 12-inch diameter or equivalent) shall be provided in all four corners of vaults to allow for artificial ventilation prior to entry of maintenance personnel into the vault. These openings shall be capped or otherwise covered, but designed so that maintenance personnel can remove (and replace) for ventilation purposes as described.

Access Roads

Access roads are required to the access panel (if applicable), the control structure, and at least one access point per cell, and they shall be designed and constructed **as specified for detention ponds** in Section 5.1.1 (see p. 5-6).

Right-of-Way

Detention vaults to be maintained by King County but not located in King County right-of-way shall be in a tract dedicated to King County. Any tract not abutting public right-of-way will require a 15-foot wide extension of the tract to accommodate an access road to the vault.

Setbacks

Setbacks to tract/easement lines for vaults shall be 5 feet; adjacent building setback lines shall be 10 feet. For privately owned and maintained vaults, building foundations may serve as one or more of the vault walls.

5.1.3.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Detention Volume and Outflow

The volume and outflow design for detention vaults shall be in accordance with the performance requirements in Chapter 1 and the hydrologic analysis and routing/design methods in Chapter 3. Restrictor and orifice design shall be according to Section 5.1.4 (p. 5-26).

FIGURE 5.1.3.A TYPICAL DETENTION VAULT



NOTES:

1. ALL METAL PARTS MUST BE CORROSION RESISTANT. STEEL PARTS MUST BE STAINLESS STEEL OR ALUMINIZED STEEL.
2. PROVIDE WATER STOP AT ALL CAST-IN-PLACE CONSTRUCTION JOINTS. PRECAST VAULTS SHALL HAVE APPROVED RUBBER GASKET SYSTEM.
3. VAULTS <10' WIDE MUST USE REMOVABLE LIDS.
4. PREFABRICATED VAULT SECTIONS MAY REQUIRE STRUCTURAL MODIFICATIONS TO SUPPORT 5' X10' OPENING OVER MAIN VAULT. ALTERNATIVELY, ACCESS CAN BE PROVIDED VIA A SIDE VESTIBULE AS SHOWN.
5. IF SUMP IS SET BACK FROM WALL, TEE WILL REQUIRE ADDITIONAL 3-POINT BRACING SECURED TO VAULT WALL.

5.1.4 CONTROL STRUCTURES

Control structures are catch basins or manholes with a restrictor device for controlling outflow from a facility to meet the desired performance. The restrictor device is typically a tee section with an orifice plate welded to the bottom (called a "FROP-T"). To meet performance requirements, one or more elbow sections with orifice plates may need to be mounted on the side of the tee section. The restrictor device may also be a weir section sized to meet performance requirements.

Standard control structure details are shown in Figure 5.1.4.A (p. 5-27) through Figure 5.1.4.C (p. 5-29).

5.1.4.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

Multiple Orifice Restrictor

In most cases, control structures need only two orifices: one at the bottom and one near the top of the riser, although additional orifices may best utilize detention storage volume. Several orifices may be located at the same elevation if necessary to meet performance requirements.

1. **Minimum orifice diameter is 0.25 inches**. *Note: In some instances, a 0.25-inch bottom orifice may be too large to meet target release rates, even with minimal head. In these cases, the live storage depth need not be reduced to less than 3 feet to meet performance.*
2. Orifices shall be constructed on a **tee section** as shown in Figure 5.1.4.A (p. 5-27) or on a baffle as shown in Figure 5.1.4.B (p. 5-28).
3. In some cases, performance requirements may require the **top orifice/elbow** to be located too high on the riser to be physically constructed (e.g., a 13-inch diameter orifice positioned 0.5 feet from the top of the riser). In these cases, a notch weir in the riser pipe may be used to meet performance requirements (see Figure 5.1.4.E, p. 5-31).
4. Consideration shall be given to the backwater effect of water surface elevations in the downstream conveyance system. **High tailwater elevations** may affect performance of the restrictor system and reduce live storage volumes.

Riser and Weir Restrictor

1. Properly designed **weirs may be used as flow restrictors** (see Figure 5.1.4.C and Figure 5.1.4.E through Figure 5.1.4.F). However, they must be designed to provide for primary overflow of the developed 100-year peak flow discharging from the detention facility.
2. The combined orifice and riser (or weir) overflow may be used to meet performance requirements; however, the design must still provide for primary overflow of the developed 100-year peak flow assuming all orifices are plugged. Figure 5.1.4.H (p. 5-35) may be used to calculate the head in feet above a riser of given diameter and flow.

Access Requirements

1. An **access road** to the control structure is required for inspection and maintenance, and shall be designed and constructed **as specified for detention ponds** in Section 5.1.1 (see p. 5-6).
2. **Manhole and catch basin lids** for control structures shall be **locking**, and rim elevations shall match proposed finish grade.
3. The restrictor tee shall be located immediately adjacent to the 2-foot clear zone at a maintenance access ladder. **Intent:** To provide tee visibility from the surface at the access opening, especially where a solid vault lid or solid manhole lid design may block view; to provide maintenance access along the full height of the tee.

FIGURE 5.1.4.A FLOW RESTRICTOR (TEE)



NOTES:

1. USE A MIMIMUM OF A 54" DIAMETER TYPE 2 CATCH BASIN.
2. OUTLET CAPACITY: 100-YEAR DEVELOPED PEAK FLOW.
3. METAL PARTS: CORROSION RESISTANT.
   STAINLESS STEEL OR ALUMINIZED STEEL.
4. FRAME AND LADDER OR STEPS OFFSET SO:
   A. CLEANOUT GATE IS VISIBLE FROM TOP.
   B. CLIMB-DOWN SPACE IS CLEAR OF RISER AND CLEANOUT GATE.
   C. FRAME IS CLEAR OF CURB.
5. IF METAL OUTLET PIPE CONNECTS TO CEMENT CONCRETE PIPE: OUTLET PIPE TO HAVE SMOOTH O.D. EQUAL TO CONCRETE PIPE I.D. LESS 1/4".
6. PROVIDE AT LEAST ONE 3" X .090 GAGE SUPPORT BRACKET ANCHORED TO CONCRETE WALL. (MAXIMUM 3'-0" VERTICAL SPACING)
7. LOCATE ELBOW RESTRICTOR(S) AS NECESSARY TO PROVIDE MINIMUM CLEARANCE AS SHOWN.
8. LOCATE ADDITIONAL LADDER RUNGS IN STRUCTURES USED AS ACCESS TO TANKS AND VAULT TO ALLOW ACCESS WHEN CATCH BASIN IS FILLED WITH WATER.
9. TEE SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF ALUMINUM CMP OR ALUMINIZED STEEL CMP MEETING WSDOT/APWA STANDARDS.

FIGURE 5.1.4.B FLOW RESTRICTOR (BAFFLE)



SECTION A-A
NTS

SECTION B-B
NTS



PLAN VIEW
NTS



ISOMETRIC
NTS



ELBOW RESTRICTOR DETAIL
NTS

NOTES:

1. OUTLET CAPACITY: 100 YEAR DEVELOPED PEAK FLOW.
2. METAL PARTS: CORROSION RESISTANT STEEL PARTS STAINLESS STEEL OR ALUMINIZED STEEL.
3. CATCH BASIN: TYPE 2 MINIMUM 72" DIAMETER TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH KCRDCS DWG. 7-005 AND AASHTO M-199 UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
4. ORIFICES: SIZED AND LOCATED AS REQUIRED WITH LOWEST ORIFICE A MINIMUM OF 2' FROM BASE.

FIGURE 5.1.4.C FLOW RESTRICTOR (WEIR)



NOTES:

1. OUTLET CAPACITY: 100-YEAR DEVELOPED PEAK FLOW.
2. METAL PARTS: CORROSION RESISTANT STEEL PARTS, STAINLESS STEEL OR ALUMINIZED STEEL.
3. CATCH BASIN: TYPE 2 MIN. 72" DIAMETER TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH KCRDCS DWG 7-005 AND AASHTO M-199 UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
4. BAFFLE WALL: TO BE DESIGNED WITH CONCRETE REINFORCING AS REQUIRED.
5. SPILL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS: SEE SECTION 4.2.1 PIPE SYSTEMS - DESIGN CRITERIA, SPILL CONTROL

5.1.4.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

This section presents the methods and equations for design of **control structure restrictor devices**. Included are details for the design of orifices, rectangular sharp-crested weirs, v-notch weirs, sutro weirs, and overflow risers.

Orifices

Flow through orifice plates in the standard tee section or turn-down elbow may be approximated by the general equation:

$$Q = CA\sqrt{2gh} \tag{5-4}$$

where Q = flow (cfs)
C = coefficient of discharge (0.62 for plate orifice)
A = area of orifice (sf)
h = hydraulic head (ft)
g = gravity (32.2 ft/sec^2)

Figure 5.1.4.D illustrates a simplified application of the orifice equation, assuming a water surface at the top of the riser and that the 2-year water surface represents the head in the outlet pipe.

FIGURE 5.1.4.D SIMPLE ORIFICE



The diameter of the orifice is calculated from the flow. The orifice equation is often useful when expressed as the orifice diameter in inches:

$$d = \sqrt{\frac{36.88Q}{\sqrt{h}}} \tag{5-5}$$

where d = orifice diameter (inches)
Q = flow (cfs)
h = hydraulic head (ft)

Rectangular, Sharp-Crested Weir

The rectangular, sharp-crested weir design shown in Figure 5.1.4.E may be analyzed using standard weir equations for the fully contracted condition.

FIGURE 5.1.4.E RECTANGULAR, SHARP-CRESTED WEIR



$$Q = C\,(L - 0.2H)H^{3/2} \qquad (5\text{-}6)$$

where Q = flow (cfs)
C = 3.27 + 0.40 H/P (ft)
H,P are as shown above
L = length (ft) of the portion of the riser circumference as necessary not to exceed 50% of the circumference
D = inside riser diameter (ft)

Note that this equation accounts for side contractions by subtracting 0.1H from L for each side of the notch weir.

V-Notch, Sharp-Crested Weir

V-notch weirs, as shown in Figure 5.1.4.F, may be analyzed using standard equations for the fully contracted condition.

FIGURE 5.1.4.F V-NOTCH, SHARP-CRESTED WEIR



SECTION A-A
NTS

$$Q = C_d \text{Tan}(\theta/2) H^{\frac{5}{2}}, \text{ in cfs}$$

Where values of C_d may be taken from the following chart:



Proportional or Sutro Weir

Sutro weirs are designed so that the discharge is proportional to the total head. This design may be useful in some cases to meet performance requirements.

The sutro weir consists of a rectangular section joined to a curved portion that provides proportionality for all heads above the line A-B (see Figure 5.1.4.G). The weir may be symmetrical or non-symmetrical.

FIGURE 5.1.4.G SUTRO WEIR



For this type of weir, the curved portion is defined by the following equation (calculated in radians):

$$\frac{x}{b} = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{Tan}^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{Z}{a}} \tag{5-7}$$

where a, b, x and Z are as shown in Figure 5.1.4.G. The head-discharge relationship is:

$$Q = C_d\, b\, Q = C_d\, b \sqrt{2ag} \left(h_1 - \frac{a}{3} \right) \tag{5-8}$$

Values of C_d for both symmetrical and non-symmetrical sutro weirs are summarized in Table 5.1.4.A (p. 5-34).

Note: When b > 1.50 or a > 0.30, use C_d = 0.6.

TABLE 5.1.4.A VALUES OF C_d FOR SUTRO WEIRS

C_d Values, Symmetrical					
	b (ft)				
a (ft)	0.50	0.75	1.0	1.25	1.50
0.02	0.608	0.613	0.617	0.6185	0.619
0.05	0.606	0.611	0.615	0.617	0.6175
0.10	0.603	0.608	0.612	0.6135	0.614
0.15	0.601	0.6055	0.610	0.6115	0.612
0.20	0.599	0.604	0.608	0.6095	0.610
0.25	0.598	0.6025	0.6065	0.608	0.6085
0.30	0.597	0.602	0.606	0.6075	0.608

C_d Values, Non-Symmetrical					
	b (ft)				
a (ft)	0.50	0.75	1.0	1.25	1.50
0.02	0.614	0.619	0.623	0.6245	0.625
0.05	0.612	0.617	0.621	0.623	0.6235
0.10	0.609	0.614	0.618	0.6195	0.620
0.15	0.607	0.6115	0.616	0.6175	0.618
0.20	0.605	0.610	0.614	0.6155	0.616
0.25	0.604	0.6085	0.6125	0.614	0.6145
0.30	0.603	0.608	0.612	0.6135	0.614

Riser Overflow

The nomograph in Figure 5.1.4.H may be used to determine the head (in feet) above a riser of given diameter and for a given flow (usually the 100-year peak flow for developed conditions).

FIGURE 5.1.4.H RISER INFLOW CURVES



5.1.5 PARKING LOT DETENTION

Private parking lots may be used to provide additional detention volume for runoff events greater than the 2-year runoff event provided all of the following conditions are met:

1. The depth of water detained does not exceed 1 foot at any location in the parking lot for runoff events up to and including the 100-year event.
2. The gradient of the parking lot area subject to ponding is 1 percent or greater.
3. The emergency overflow path is identified and noted on the engineering plan, and the path complies with Core Requirements #1 and #2 (see Sections 1.2.1 and 1.2.2).
4. Fire lanes used for emergency equipment are free of ponding water for all runoff events up to and including the 100-year event.

Note: Flows may be backed up into parking lots by the control structure (i.e., the parking lot need not function as a flow-through detention pond).

5.1.6 ROOF DETENTION

Detention ponding on roofs of structures may be used to meet flow control requirements provided all of the following conditions are met:

1. The roof support structure is analyzed by a structural engineer to address the weight of ponded water.
2. The roof area subject to ponding is sufficiently waterproofed to achieve a minimum service life of 30 years.
3. The minimum pitch of the roof area subject to ponding is $^1/_4$-inch per foot.
4. An overflow system is included in the design to safely convey the 100-year peak flow from the roof.
5. A mechanism is included in the design to allow the ponding area to be drained for maintenance purposes or in the event the restrictor device is plugged.

5.1.7 SIMPLE DETENTION POND FOR CLEARED AREAS

This simplified alternative to the standard detention pond (Section 5.1.1) may be used to satisfy the flow control facility requirement only for a conversion of forest to pasture or grass, provided that **all of the following conditions are met**:

1. The **total area draining to any one pond** must be no larger than 3 acres and must consist primarily of vegetated land (e.g., forest, meadow, pasture, grass, garden, crops, etc.) free of impervious surface. If more than 3 acres of cleared area (i.e., area converted from forest to pasture/grass) is proposed to be served, multiple simple detention ponds must be used.
2. The area served by the pond must not be located within a **Flood Problem Flow Control Area** as determined in Section 1.2.3.1.
3. The pond must not drain to a ***severe erosion problem*** or a ***severe flooding problem*** as defined in Section 1.2.2, Core Requirement #2.
4. The pond must be constructed in accordance with the **design criteria** and **methods of analysis** specified in this section.

5.1.7.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

Typical details of the simple detention pond are shown in Figure 5.1.7.A (p. 5-39) and Figure 5.1.7.B (p. 5-40).

General

1. A geotechnical analysis and report is required if located within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area*** or ***landslide hazard area*** OR if the facility is located within a setback distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15%. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.
2. The detention pond design water surface shall be a minimum of 200 feet from any ***steep slope hazard area or landslide hazard area.*** Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.
3. The detention pond design water surface shall be set back a minimum distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of a slope area that is steeper than 15%. Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.
4. The **dispersal trench** at the outlet from the storage pond may not be placed closer than 50 feet from the top of slopes, 20% or greater.
5. The pond, berm, and dispersal trench must be **fenced** to prevent livestock disturbance.
6. **Runoff discharge toward** ***landslide hazard or steep slope hazard areas*** must be evaluated by a geotechnical engineer or a qualified geologist. The discharge point may not be placed on or above slopes greater than 20% or above ***erosion hazard areas*** without evaluation by a geotechnical engineer or qualified geologist and DLS-Permitting approval.

Berming and Excavation

1. To the extent feasible, the pond shall be excavated into the ground with **minimal berming** on the downslope (outlet) end of the pond. An excavated pond is easier to construct and maintain and is less likely to cause problems during severe storm events.

2. Where berms are used, the **top of berm** shall be a minimum of 3 feet wide. The soil shall be **well compacted** and planted with an erosion-control seed mix as soon as possible.
3. Whether created by excavation or berming, all pond **side-slopes shall be gently sloped**, no steeper than 3 feet horizontal per 1 foot of vertical drop.
4. Prior to constructing the berm, the **underlying ground** shall be scrapped clean of organic material.
5. At a minimum, a **hand-level** shall be used to ensure the berm and outlet structure are constructed at the correct relative elevations.
6. The bottom 6 inches of the pond shall retain standing water in the pond between storms to create a **permanent pool**. The volume of the permanent pool is not counted towards the required detention volume, which is above the permanent pool.
7. The **water depth of required detention volume** above the permanent pool should average about 18 inches and must be no deeper than 24 inches.

Simple Outlet Control Structure

1. **Materials Required:**
   a) PVC pipe, 4 inch diameter or greater as needed.
   b) PVC pipe cap.
   c) Small plastic or concrete catch basin with grate, minimum 12-inch width.
2. **Construction Method:**
   a) Drill or cut a hole just below the rim of the catch basin, sized to connect the PVC pipe.
   b) Install the catch basin into the bottom of the pond. The catch basin should be located within a few feet of the berm at the downslope end of the pond. The top of catch basin must be a minimum of 6 inches above the bottom of the pond to create the permanent pool. Align the hole in the downslope direction of discharge.
   c) Dig a trench for the pipe from the catch basin to the location of the flow spreader.
   d) Connect the PVC pipe to the catch basin. PVC pipe should extend about 4 inches into the basin.
   e) Drill the appropriate size hole into the PVC cap. Clean hole to remove burrs, without increasing the size of the opening.
   f) Connect the drilled cap to the end of the PVC pipe extending into the catch basin.
   g) Extend the PVC pipe to the location of the flow spreader. The pipe shall be laid with a slight slope towards the flow spreader. A slope of ¼ inch per foot of pipe is recommended and should not exceed 2 inches per foot.
   h) Backfill the trench over the PVC pipe and compact well. Avoid placing large and/or sharp rocks in the trench to minimize potential for damaging the pipe during compaction.

FIGURE 5.1.7.A SIMPLE DETENTION POND - PLAN VIEW



PLAN VIEW
NTS

FIGURE 5.1.7.B SIMPLE DETENTION POND – SECTION VIEWS



SECTION A-A
NTS



SECTION B-B
NTS



SECTION C-C
NTS

5.1.7.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

The detention volume and orifice sizing for the **simple detention pond** shall be determined as described in this section. This determination is based on where the pond is located within the County and how much cleared area (i.e., area of forest converted to pasture or grass) is served by the pond.

Detention Volume

The map in Figure 5.1.7.C (p. 5-42) provides the minimum pond volume required based on 10,000 square feet of cleared area. To determine the total pond volume required, locate the ***project site*** on the map and multiply the number from the map by the amount of cleared area that will be served by the pond (if the cleared area is measured in units of square feet, remember to divide the actual area by 10,000 before multiplying with map value). If the ***project site*** is located between the lines shown on the map, select the larger of the two pond unit volumes associated with the lines. Do not interpolate the volume if located midway between two lines.

To determine if the constructed pond has adequate storage, the pond area must be determined by field measurements. If all side slopes are at 3H:1V or flatter, the pond's bottom area may be used to determine the pond volume, V_t, above the permanent pool using the following equation. The resulting volume, V_t, must be equal to or greater than the required volume determined from Figure 5.1.7.C.

$$V_t = 1.5\ A_b + 3.4\ P \qquad (5\text{-}9)$$

where V_t = total pond volume available (cu ft)
A_b = bottom area of pond (sq ft)
P = bottom perimeter of pond (ft)

A more accurate volume determination can be made with field measurements and area calculations taken at two elevations. The first elevation at which the pond area is measured is at the top of the permanent pool. The second area measurement is taken at the overflow spillway elevation.

$$V_t = d\ \frac{(A_w + A_b)}{2} \qquad (5\text{-}10)$$

where V_t = total pond volume available (cu ft)
A_w = area of pond (sq ft) measured at the lowest elevation of the overflow spillway (A_b)
A_b = area of pond (sq ft) measured at the top of the permanent pool
d = depth of reservoir (ft) = 1.5 feet

Orifice Sizing

Table 5.1.7.A (p. 5-43) provides the orifice diameter to be drilled into the PVC cap. If the orifice diameter matches the PVC pipe diameter, no cap is required. Otherwise, the PVC pipe diameter must be greater than the required orifice diameter. Select the orifice diameter based on the cleared area tributary to the pond, interpolating between the values when designing for intermediate tributary acreage.

FIGURE 5.1.7.C SIMPLE DETENTION POND - MINIMUM VOLUME



Example: Runoff pond to serve 2 acres (87,000 square feet) of clearing in North Bend vicinity.

From figure, 1,100 cubic feet storage per 10,000 square feet cleared

$$Storage = 1{,}100 \times \frac{87{,}000}{10{,}000} = 1{,}100 \times 8.7$$

$$Storage = 9{,}750 \ cu.ft$$

TABLE 5.1.7.A SIMPLE DETENTION POND – UNIT VOLUME AND ORIFICE SIZE

Rainfall Region	SeaTac West	SeaTac	Landsburg West	Landsburg	East King County
Unit Volume per acre cleared*	6300 cft	5400 cft	6300 cft	6500 cft	4700 cft**
Acres cleared	**Orifice Diameter (decimal inches and equivalent fractional inches, 1/16" increments)**				
10,000 sq ft (0.23 ac)	0.4375" (7/16")	0.375" (3/8")	0.4375" (7/16")	0.5625" (9/16")	0.8125" (13/16")
.25 ac	0.4375" (7/16")	0.375" (3/8")	0.4375" (7/16")	0.5625" (9/16")	0.8125" (13/16")
1 ac	0.875" (7/8")	0.75" (3/4")	0.875" (7/8")	1.1875" 1-3/16")	1.6875" (1-11/16")
2 ac	1.25" (1-1/4")	1.0625" (1-1/16")	1.25" (1-1/4")	1.6875" (1-11/16")	2.4375" (2-7/16")
3 ac	1.5625" (1-9/16")	1.3125" (1-5/16")	1.5625" (1-9/16")	2.0625" (2-1/16")	3.0" (3")

*Unit Volume per acre is based on modeling cleared areas as pasture, assuming soil amendment requirements are met, and 1.5 feet of storage depth in pond with 3:1 side slopes.

**Volume variability in regions of increasing rainfall reflects limited single-orifice riser efficiency at shallow storage depths, particularly in western regions where runoff peaks and volumes are smaller.

HOW TO USE THIS TABLE:

- Locate the project on Figure 5.1.7.C.
- Design unit volume per acre cleared is selected from the larger of the two values (i.e., *not interpolated*) associated with the Rainfall Region isopluvials bracketing the project location.
- Determine design volume by multiplying unit volume by cleared acres tributary to facility.
- Select and interpolate the orifice diameter based on acreage cleared for the selected region unit volume.

NOTE: Projects proposing to clear an acre or less may qualify for a flow rate increase exception and waiver of the flow control facility requirement per SWDM 1.2.3, Core Requirement #3. An engineering analysis specific to the project site or other approval from DLS-Permitting review staff is required to qualify for the exception.

5.2 INFILTRATION FACILITIES

This section presents the methods, criteria, and details for design and analysis of infiltration facilities. These facilities are used where soils are suitable for soaking the increased runoff from development into the ground. Such facilities usually have a detention volume component to allow for temporary storage of runoff while it is being infiltrated. This detention volume is typically dependent on the infiltration capacity of the soils and the required facility performance.

There are five types of infiltration facilities allowed for use in complying with Core Requirement #3, "Flow Control": infiltration ponds, infiltration tanks, infiltration vaults, infiltration trenches, and small infiltration basins. In general, ponds are preferred because of the ease of maintenance and the water quality treatment that surface soil and vegetation provide. Tanks and trenches are useful where site constraints prevent use of a pond, and small infiltration basins are simple to design but have limited uses.

The information presented in this section is organized as follows:

Section 5.2.1, "General Requirements for Infiltration Facilities"

Section 5.2.2, "Infiltration Ponds"
"Design Criteria," Section 5.2.2.1 (p. 5-57)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 5.2.2.2 (p. 5-58)

Section 5.2.3, "Infiltration Tanks"
"Design Criteria," Section 5.2.3.1 (p. 5-60)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 5.2.3.2 (p. 5-61)

Section 5.2.4, "Infiltration Vaults"
"Design Criteria," Section 5.2.4.1 (p. 5-63)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 5.2.4.2 (p. 5-64)

Section 5.2.5, "Infiltration Trenches"
"Design Criteria," Section 5.2.5.1 (p. 5-65)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 5.2.5.2 (p. 5-66)

Section 5.2.6, "Alternative Infiltration Systems"
"Design Criteria," Section 5.2.6.1 (p. 5-67)
"Methods of Analysis," Section 5.2.6.2 (p. 5-68)

Section 5.2.7, "Small Infiltration Basins"
"Design Criteria," Section 5.2.7.1 (p. 5-69).

5.2.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR INFILTRATION FACILITIES

This section presents the design requirements generally applicable to all infiltration facilities. Included are the general requirements for determining acceptable soil conditions, determining infiltration rates, and providing overflow protection, spill control, presettling, groundwater protection, protection from upstream erosion, and construction.

For site selection and design decisions, a geotechnical and hydrogeologic evaluation and report should be prepared by a licensed engineer with geotechnical and hydrogeologic experience, or a licensed geologist, hydrogeologist, or engineering geologist. The design engineer may utilize a team of certified or registered professionals in soil science, hydrogeology, geology, and other related fields.

❑ SOILS

The applicant must demonstrate through infiltration testing, soil logs, and the written opinion of a geotechnical professional that sufficient permeable soil exists at the proposed facility location to allow construction of a properly functioning infiltration facility.

At a minimum, test pits or borings shall extend 5 feet below the bottom of the infiltration facility, and at least one test hole should reach the water table. If the water table is very deep, the test hole need not extend more than one-fourth the maximum width of the pond below the bottom of a pond, or more than 5 feet below the bottom of a tank. Measurements shall be made during the period when the water level is expected to be at a maximum (usually in late winter or early spring). Projects performing a groundwater mounding analysis may be required to provide more extensive subsurface exploration as described in the "Groundwater Mounding Analysis" section below.

For projects that perform a groundwater mounding analysis that demonstrates the design is adequate and that overtopping does not occur, the basic requirement is a minimum of 3 feet of permeable soil below the bottom of the facility (bottom of pond or excavation for tank) and at least 3 feet between the bottom of the facility and the maximum wet-season water table. For projects that do not perform a groundwater mounding analysis as allowed and described in the "Design Infiltration Rate" section below, the basic requirement is a minimum of 5 feet of permeable soil below the bottom of the facility (bottom of pond or excavation for tank) and at least 5 feet between the bottom of the facility and the maximum wet-season water table.

Any requirements associated with impacts to an ***erosion hazard area***, ***steep slope hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area*** should also be addressed in the soil study.

The geotechnical professional shall provide a report stating whether the location is suitable for the proposed infiltration facility, and shall recommend a design infiltration rate (see "Design Infiltration Rate" below).

❑ MEASURED INFILTRATION RATES

Infiltration rate tests are used to help estimate the maximum sub-surface vertical infiltration rate of the soil below a proposed infiltration facility (e.g., pond or tank); an infiltrative BMP serving either more than one lot, 10,000 square feet or more of impervious surface, 3/4 acre or more of pervious surface or 5,000 square feet or more of pollution generating impervious surface; any BMP explicitly modeled to accomplish LID performance standard criteria (see Section 1.2.9); or a closed depression. The tests are intended to simulate the physical process that will occur when the facility is in operation; therefore, a saturation period is required to approximate the soil moisture conditions that may exist prior to the onset of a major winter runoff event.

Testing Procedure

1. Excavations shall be made to the bottom elevation of the proposed infiltration facility. The measured infiltration rate of the native underlying soil shall be determined using one of the following: a small or large scale **Pilot Infiltration Test (PIT)** as described in the 2014 Stormwater Management Manual

for Western Washington and Reference 6A of this manual. The PIT tests have been shown to more closely match actual full-scale facility performance than other test methods. A **single ring percolation test** using a ring at least 3 feet in diameter (see Reference Section 6-A), may be used to determine BMP infiltration rates used to demonstrate compliance with the Low Impact Development Standard.

2. The test hole or apparatus shall be filled with water and maintained at depths above the test elevation for the **saturation periods** specified for the appropriate test.
3. Following the saturation period, the rate shall be determined in accordance with the specified test procedures, with a **head** of 6 inches of water.
4. The design engineer shall perform sufficient tests at multiple locations in a proposed facility footprint to determine a representative infiltration rate. At least one **test** per 2,000 square feet (or fraction thereof) of proposed facility footprint shall be performed, with a minimum of two tests for each proposed infiltration facility location; and at least 2 tests per acre (minimum of 4 tests) shall be performed for a closed depression. Proposed bioretention swales require a minimum of 1 test per 200 linear feet of swale or 1 test per 2,000 square feet of facility footprint; with a minimum of two tests performed.
5. At a minimum, a **soils log** shall be obtained for each required infiltration test location. Additional tests shall be obtained as necessary to capture significant soil variations in the facility footprint. Soils shall be logged for a minimum of 5 feet below the bottom of each proposed infiltration facility. The logs shall describe the SCS series of the soil, indicate the textural class of the soil horizons throughout the depth of the log, note any evidence of high groundwater level (such as mottling), and estimate the maximum groundwater elevation, if within the limits of the log.

❑ DESIGN INFILTRATION RATE- Infiltration Facilities and Closed Depressions

In the past, many infiltration facilities have been built that have not performed as the designer intended. This has resulted in flooding and substantial public expenditures to correct problems. Monitoring of actual facility performance has shown that the full-scale infiltration rate is far lower than the rate determined by small-scale testing. Actual measured facility rates of 10% of the small-scale test rate have been seen. It is clear that great conservatism in the selection of design rates is needed, particularly where conditions are less than ideal.

The design infiltration rate determination shall include a groundwater mounding evaluation using an analytical groundwater model to investigate the effects of the local hydrologic conditions on facility performance. Groundwater modeling will not be required for facilities serving less than 1 acre of tributary area and where there is at least 5 feet of separation between the bottom of the proposed facility and the maximum seasonal groundwater table or low permeability stratum, unless requested by DLS-Permitting review staff, or as part of an analysis in the event of facility failure at performance testing. A ground water mounding analysis is advisable for facilities with drainage areas smaller than 1 acre if the depth to a low permeability layer (e.g., less than 0.1 inches per hour) is less than 10 feet. If the ground water in the area is known to be greater than 50 feet below the proposed facility, detailed investigation of the ground water regime for flow control design is not necessary.

The preliminary design infiltration rate is determined by applying correction factors to the measured infiltration rate. The correction factors account for uncertainties in testing, depth to the water table or impervious strata, infiltration receptor geometry, and long-term reductions in permeability due to biological activity and accumulation of fines. Equation 5-11 has been developed to account for these factors. This equation estimates the **maximum** design infiltration rate (I_{design}); additional reduction in rate beyond that produced by the equation may be appropriate. Note that the design infiltration rate I_{design} **must not exceed 20 inches/hour.**

$$I_{design} = I_{measured} \times F_{testing} \times F_{geometry} \times F_{plugging} \quad (5\text{-}11)$$

Correction factor $F_{testing}$ accounts for uncertainties in the testing methods. For the small and large scale Pilot Infiltration Test (PIT), $F_{testing} = 0.50$. For the Single Ring Percolation Test (See Reference 6A) (used only for determining BMP infiltration rates for demonstrating compliance with the LID Performance Standard), $F_{testing} = 0.30$.

When expanding an existing infiltration facility, the historical full-scale infiltration performance of the existing facility may be considered in lieu of the testing procedures above. However, determination of $F_{testing}$ for the expanded facility shall include consideration of the existing facility and site characteristics, existing infiltration performance relative to the original design, facility maintenance and site maintenance history, and any other factors influencing the performance of the existing facility. A value for $F_{testing}$ between 0.5 and 1.0, as determined by DLS-Permitting review staff, reflecting the existing facility history shall be applied to the historical full-scale measured infiltration rate.

$F_{geometry}$ accounts for the influence of facility geometry and depth to the water table or impervious strata on the actual infiltration rate. A shallow water table or impervious layer will reduce the effective infiltration rate of a large pond, but this will not be reflected in a small scale test. Clearly, a large pond built over a thin pervious stratum with a shallow water table will not function as well as the same pond built over a thick pervious stratum with a deep water table. $F_{geometry}$ must be between 0.25 and 1.0 as determined by the following equation:

$$F_{geometry} = 4\,D/W + 0.05 \qquad (5\text{-}12)$$

where D = depth from the bottom of the proposed facility to the maximum wet-season water table or nearest impervious layer, whichever is less
W = width of the facility

Note: When conducting a mounding analysis, apply $F_{geometry}$ in the mounding analysis only if facility geometry is not captured in the groundwater model inputs.

$F_{plugging}$ accounts for reductions in infiltration rates over the long term due to plugging of soils. This factor is:

- 0.7 for loams and sandy loams
- 0.8 for fine sands and loamy sands
- 0.9 for medium sands
- 1.0 for coarse sands or cobbles, or any soil type in an infiltration facility preceded by a water quality facility.

❑ DESIGN INFILTRATION RATE- Bioretention and Permeable Pavement

For bioretention facilities used to meet the LID Performance Standard, a corrected design infiltration rate shall be used for the standard bioretention soil mix (BSM) and the high performance bioretention soil mixes (HPBSM) cited in Reference 11-C. 12 inches per hour is the initial uncorrected rate for both standard and high performance BSMs. The corrected rate assumes a correction factor of either 2 or 4 is applied to the uncorrected rate. A corrected design rate of 3 inches per hour is used where the drainage area to the bioretention device exceeds any of the following:

- 10,000 sq. ft. of impervious surface
- 5,000 sq. ft. of pollution-generating impervious surface
- 3/4 acre of pervious surface

A corrected design rate of 6 inches per hour is used if the contributing drainage area does not exceed any of the above-listed areas, OR for bioretention where the contributing area exceeds any of the thresholds above AND the design includes a presettling facility for solids removal. Note that **bioretention water**

quality facilities (see Chapter 6 and Reference 14-B) used to meet Core Requirement 8 shall meet the presettling requirements detailed under "Presettling" in Section 5.2 in all cases unless determined not feasible by DLS-Permitting. Corrected design rates for the approved HPBSMs used in **bioretention water quality facilities** shall be 6 inches per hour where presettling per Section 5.2 is implemented and 3 inches per hour where presettling cannot be feasibly implemented.

The design rate of the in situ soils underlying the bioretention soil mix shall be the measured infiltration rate multiplied by a correction factor ranging from 0.33 to 1 as recommended by a geotechnical professional. The selected correction factor should be based on the number of tests in relation to the size of the bioretention facility and site variability.

For permeable pavement used to meet the LID Performance Standard, the design rate of the in situ soils underlying the permeable pavement shall be the measured infiltration rate multiplied by a correction factor ranging from 0.33 to 1 (no correction) as recommended by a geotechnical professional. The selected correction factor should be based on the number of tests in relation to the size of the bioretention facility and site variability. A further correction factor of 0.9 to 1 (no correction) is determined based on the quality of the aggregate base material. A correction factor of 1 for the quality of pavement aggregate base material is allowable if the aggregate base is clean washed material with 1% or less fines passing the 200 sieve.

❑ GROUNDWATER MOUNDING ANALYSIS

Groundwater mounding analysis is generally required for infiltration facilities that serve 1 acre or more of tributary area and have less than 15 feet of separation to a restrictive layer or groundwater table, as described in the "Design Infiltration Rate" section above.

Groundwater modeling (mounding analysis) of the proposed infiltration facility shall be done using the **design** infiltration rate (i.e., reduction factors applied to the measured rate) *modified to exclude the correction factor for geometry ($F_{geometry}$)* and the estimated maximum groundwater elevation determined for the proposed facility location. It is assumed the groundwater mounding model inputs will capture the facility geometry for the analysis, however if this is not true for the chosen model, the correction factor for geometry shall be included in the infiltration rate. *Note the use of the design infiltration rate (rather than the measured rate) results in a conservative analysis of the pond design, but may not be representative of the lateral extent of the actual groundwater mounding effect. The design professional is advised to evaluate the true extent of the mound and its effects on adjacent structures, properties, etc.*

MODRET or an equivalent model must be used unless DLS-Permitting approves an alternative analytic technique. More complex analyses (e.g., MODFLOW) may warrant preliminary discussion with DLS-Permitting staff to assure the modeling strategies are acceptable.

Developed condition hydrographs of the ***project site*** shall be exported from the approved model for the groundwater mounding analysis. Hydrographs for the mounding analysis input shall include, at a minimum, the complete water year (October 1 through September 30) records containing a) the 100-yr *peak rate* event and b) the *cumulative highest 30-day volume* event identified through analysis of the developed condition runoff (the two events are usually in different water years). The peak rate water year is readily determined from the flow frequency analysis in the approved model. The cumulative highest 30-day volume analysis can be completed in a spreadsheet using the developed condition hydrograph for the full historical record exported from the approved model. Due to model limitations on the size of the input files, a *1-hour timestep* shall be used to generate the hydrographs to be exported, unless otherwise required by DLS-Permitting staff.

The exported hydrograph file will require minor modification in preparation for import into the groundwater model; see the specific model's documentation for guidance (MODRET file preparation for hydrograph input is described in the appendix for the software user's guide). See Reference 6-D for modeling guidelines specific for use with the SWDM.

Note that an iterative process may be required beginning with an estimated design rate, facility sizing with the approved runoff model, then groundwater model testing.

The mounding analysis report shall be included in the Special Reports section of the technical information report (TIR, see Section 2.3.1.1). All mounding analysis submittals shall have at least the following information in one package:

- Test pit and boring logs, including actual elevations used on the design plans (not just relative elevations) documenting subsurface explorations to a depth below the base of the infiltration facility of at least 5 times the maximum design depth of ponded water proposed for the infiltration facility, but not less than 10 feet below the base of the facility. At sites with shallow ground water (less than 15 feet from the estimated base of facility), if a ground water mounding analysis is necessary, determine the thickness of the saturated zone. Note that documentation of the thickness and location of the saturated zone can generally be beneficial to mounding analysis results.

  Logs must include at a minimum, depth of pit or hole, soil descriptions, depth to ground water table and/or bedrock/impermeable layers, presence of stratification. *(Note: Logs must substantiate whether stratification does or does not exist. The licensed professional may consider additional methods of analysis to substantiate the presence of stratification that will significantly impact the design of the infiltration facility).*

- Continuous sampling (representative samples from each soil type and/or unit within the infiltration receptor) to a depth below the base of the infiltration facility of 2.5 times the maximum design ponded water depth, but not less than 10 feet. For large infiltration facilities serving drainage areas of 10 acres or more, perform soil grain size analyses on layers up to 50 feet deep (or no more than 10 feet below the water table).
- Map showing location of test pits, borings, and infiltration facility
- Wet season (October 1 to April 30) maximum water table elevation. Monitoring through at least one wet season is required, unless substantially equivalent site historical data regarding ground water levels is available.
- If mottling or iron oxide staining is present, and that elevation does not reflect the wet season maximum water table elevation, include a detailed justification.
- Description and documentation supporting all modeling input parameters
- LS stamped letter documenting constructed volume, elevations, infiltration area (constructed facilities only)
- PE stamped letter documenting TIR volume, elevations, and infiltration area (design reviews only)
- PE stamped letter (may be the same letter as the previous bullet) documenting rainfall data and infiltration rate determination used in the analysis. Rainfall data shall be at a minimum, the complete water year (October 1 through September 30) records containing a) the 100-yr *peak rate* event and b) the *cumulative highest volume* event identified through analysis of the developed condition runoff, both using 1-hour timesteps minimum. Infiltration rate description shall include the initial measured rate and details of the reduction factors applied per Section 5.2.1, Design Infiltration Rate.
- Actual inflow data (electronic files prepared for model input) used in the mounding analysis modeling runs.
- Separate model runs for the peak rate and highest 30-day cumulative volume periods (two runs unless the events occur in the same water year).
- Justifications for safety factors applied to the infiltration rate applied in the modeling.
- Geotechnical professional summary and conclusions
- Small scale infiltration test data (inches/hour) with calibration factor for test type, then converted to Vertical Hydraulic Conductivity (feet/day)

- Geotechnical professional documentation of why a particular Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity to Vertical Hydraulic Conductivity (HHC: VHC) ratio is applicable.

  Without detailed justification, King County will accept for MODRET input an HHC:VHC ratio of 1.5:1 for homogeneous soils and 3:1 for layered soils. Note, however, the vertical conductivity input KVU is for the *unsaturated* condition (typical of small-scale or PIT test results), while the horizontal conductivity input KHS is for the *saturated* condition.

  Alternatively, if small-scale or PIT is the only test information available, the saturated horizontal hydraulic conductivity could be estimated by applying two adjustment factors as follows[4]:

  KVS (vertical, saturated) = 1.5 KVU (vertical, unsaturated) (5-13)

  KHS (horizontal, saturated) = 1.5 KVS (vertical, saturated) (5-14)

❑ PERFORMANCE TESTING

Performance testing and verification for a facility shall be conducted before final construction approval by King County, or prior to construction of other project improvements or recording of a subdivision as required by KCC 9.04.090 and Public Rule,

For projects where a mounding analysis is not required at the design phase (i.e., facilities serving less than 1 acre of tributary area and where there is at least 5 feet of separation between the bottom of the proposed facility and the maximum seasonal groundwater table or low permeability stratum), the completed facility must be tested and monitored to demonstrate that the facility performs as designed. If the facility performance is not satisfactory, the facility will need to be modified or expanded as needed in order to make it function as designed.

Where a groundwater mounding analysis was used in the design, performance testing and verification in the bottom of the facility to demonstrate that the soils in the constructed facility are representative of the design assumptions is required. The evaluation shall include measured infiltration rate testing and evaluation of in-situ soil characteristics and groundwater table location as described in this section. The measured infiltration rate test procedure should follow the same methodology as during the design phase to be comparable. If the facility performance evaluation is not satisfactory, the facility will need to be modified or expanded as needed in order to make it function as designed.

❑ 100-YEAR OVERFLOW CONVEYANCE

An overflow route shall be identified for stormwater flows that overtop the facility when infiltration capacity is exceeded, or the facility becomes plugged and fails. The overflow route must be able to safely convey the 100-year developed peak flow to the downstream conveyance system or other acceptable discharge point in accordance with conveyance requirements in Section 1.2.4.

Where the entire ***project site*** is located within a closed depression (such as some gravel pits), the requirement to identify and analyze a 100-year overflow pathway may be waived by DLS-Permitting if (1) an additional correction factor of 0.5 is used in calculating the **design infiltration rate**, (2) the facility is sized to fully infiltrate the 100-year runoff event, and (3) the facility is not bermed on any side. **Intent:** to address situations where the infiltration facility may be a highly permeable onsite closed depression, such as a gravel pit, where all stormwater is currently, and will remain, fully infiltrated.

❑ SPILL CONTROL DEVICE

All infiltration facilities must have a spill control device upstream of the facility to capture oil or other floatable contaminants before they enter the infiltration facility. The spill control device shall be a **tee**

[4] Source: State of Florida Dept. of Transportation, *Stormwater Management Facility Drainage Handbook*, Jan 2004, p.70

section per Figure 5.1.4.A (p. 5-27) or an equivalent device approved by DLS-Permitting. If a tee section is used, the top of the riser shall be set above the 100-year overflow elevation to prevent oils from entering the infiltration facility.

❑ PRESETTLING

Presettling must be provided before stormwater enters the infiltration facility. This requirement may be met by either of the following:

- A water quality facility from the Basic WQ menu (this alternative is recommended; see Section 6.1.1 for facility options).
- A presettling pond or vault with a treatment volume equal to 0.25 times the basic water quality design volume (see Section 6.4.1.1 for information on computing this volume).

If water in the WQ facility or presettling facility will be in direct contact with the soil, the facility must be lined according to the liner requirements in Section 6.2.4. If the presettling facility is a vault, design of the vault shall be the same as required for presettling cells in sand filter vaults (see Section 6.5.3.2).

The settling pond or vault shall be designed to pool water 4 to 6 feet deep with an overflow capacity sufficient to pass the developed 100-year peak flow. Settling facilities must have a length-to-width ratio of at least 3:1. The inlet(s) and outlet should be situated to maximize the length of travel through the settling pond or vault. Berms or baffles may be used to lengthen the travel distance if ***site*** constraints limit the inlet/outlet placement. Inlets should be designed to minimize velocity and turbulence.

❑ PROTECTION FROM UPSTREAM EROSION

Erosion must be controlled during construction of areas upstream of infiltration facilities since sediment-laden runoff can permanently impair the functioning of the system. Erosion control measures must be designed, installed and maintained with great care. Various strategies may be employed to protect infiltration facilities during construction, as described below.

Projects may be phased to limit clearing and minimize the time that soils are exposed. An alternative to this approach is to serve the undeveloped area with a large sediment trap on an undeveloped tract with the trap left in place until all clearing and construction is complete and all permanent landscaping is in place. See *Erosion and Sediment Control Standards* (detached Appendix D) for design details. At the completion of all construction, the sediment trap must be cleaned out (taking care that no sediment enters the drainage system) and filled in, and the flow routed to the permanent drainage system.

Another alternative for subdivisions is to stage excavation of the pond as follows:

1. Bottom elevation of the pond prior to paving of plat roadways: 3 feet above the final pond bottom elevation. At this stage of rough grading, the facility may be used to meet sediment retention requirements.
2. Bottom elevation of the pond during and after paving and prior to construction of 80% of the houses: 18 inches above the final pond bottom elevation with upstream sediment retention, as needed. At this stage, the pond will serve as an interim flow control facility pending final stabilization of the ***site***. Note that KCC 9.04.090 requires that flow control facilities be operational prior to the construction of any improvements.

❑ FACILITY CONSTRUCTION GUIDELINES

Excavation of infiltration facilities should be done with a backhoe working at "arms-length" to **minimize disturbance and compaction of the completed infiltration surface**. If the bottom of the facility will be less than three feet below final grade, the facility area should be cordoned off so that construction traffic does not traverse the area. The exposed soil should be inspected by a soils engineer after excavation to confirm that soil conditions are suitable.

Two simple **staff gages for measuring sediment depth** should be installed at opposite ends of the bottom of ponds. The gages may consist of 1-inch pipe driven at least one foot into the soil in the bottom of the pond, with 12 inches of the pipe protruding above grade.

❑ OFFSITE GROUNDWATER LEVEL IMPACTS

Potential impacts to groundwater levels off the ***project site*** should be considered. In general, replacing vegetation with impervious cover will increase the total annual volume of runoff generated on a ***site***. Infiltrating this runoff will tend to increase ground water recharge, which may affect groundwater levels offsite. The impacts of infiltration could include increased water to ***landslide hazard areas***, increased groundwater resources available, increased water levels in closed depressions, and higher groundwater levels. Higher groundwater levels offsite could result in increased flooding of basements, or impaired functioning of infiltration systems resulting in surface water flooding. Evidence of offsite groundwater flooding problems should be examined during the offsite analysis required under Core Requirement #2 (see Section 1.2.2).

In general, groundwater level impacts will be very difficult to reduce, and there are no specific requirements that apply in many cases. The design engineer is encouraged to consider whether there are any feasible approaches to reduce groundwater flooding impacts, such as moving facilities or changing facility geometry, retaining forest cover, minimizing impervious coverage, or fixing downstream problems.

❑ GROUNDWATER PROTECTION

The protection of groundwater quality is recognized as an issue of importance equal to surface water quality. Safeguards are required to avoid contaminating groundwater. The applicant should check the Critical Aquifer Recharge (CARA) map, sole source aquifer designations, and wellhead protection areas and/or 1, 5 and 10 year time of travel zones for municipal well protection areas (if available), mapped by the Washington State Department of Health, to determine if the project lies within a ***groundwater protection area.***

In combination with the general requirements for infiltration facilities, compliance with Core Requirement #8 (Water Quality Facilities) and Core Requirement #5 (Oil Control), where applicable, of this manual is required to address protection of groundwater quality where infiltrating runoff from pollution generating surfaces. Water quality facility requirements, exemptions, and exceptions in Core Requirement #8 are influenced by whether a project is infiltrating within a groundwater protection area, whether the project is infiltrating into soils with properties required for groundwater protection, which water quality treatment menu is applicable, and the infiltration site's measured distance to either a sensitive lake; a fresh water that has an existing or designated aquatic life use; or a surface water body impaired for phosphorus or metals.

Soil Properties Required for Groundwater Protection

Soil properties required for groundwater protection both outside of and within groundwater protection areas are listed below. Groundwater protection areas include but are not limited to critical aquifer recharge areas (CARAs), wellhead protection areas or zones (including 1, 5 and 10 year time of travel zones for municipal well protection areas, if available), and sole source aquifers.

Note: The soil properties given are primarily for groundwater protection and do not necessarily satisfy other protection needs. For example, projects infiltrating runoff within a quarter-mile of a Sensitive Lake are still be required to provide water quality treatment to meet the resource protection needs of the Sensitive Lake. See Core Requirement #8 (Section 1.2.8) for additional WQ requirements.

Soil Properties Required for Groundwater Protection Outside of Groundwater Protection Areas

For infiltration facilities located outside of ***groundwater protection areas***, acceptable groundwater protection is provided by the soil if the first two feet or more of the soil beneath the infiltration facility has

a *cation exchange capacity*[5] greater than 5 and an *organic content*[6] of 1.0% or greater, AND meets **one of the following** criteria:

a) The soil has a measured infiltration rate less than or equal to 9 inches per hour[7] or is logged as one of the classes from the **USDA Textural Triangle** (Figure 5.2.1.A,), excluding sand and loamy sand (*Note: soil texture classes other than sand and loamy sand may be assumed to have an infiltration rate of less than or equal to 9 inches per hour without doing field testing to measure rates.*[8]), OR

b) The soil is composed of less than 25% gravel by weight with at least 75% of the soil passing the #4 sieve. The portion passing the #4 sieve must meet one of the following gradations:

- At least 50% must pass the #40 sieve and at least 2% must pass the #100 sieve, or
- At least 25% must pass the #40 sieve and at least 5% must pass the #200 sieve.

Note: These soil properties must be met by undisturbed native soils onsite (i.e. in situ). Soil may not be imported in order to meet groundwater protection criteria.

Soil Properties Required within Groundwater Protection Areas

For projects located within ***groundwater protection areas***, acceptable groundwater protection is provided by the soil if the first two feet or more of the soil beneath the infiltration facility has a **cation exchange capacity** greater than 5 and an **organic content** *of 1% or* greater, AND meets **one of the following** criteria:

a) The soil has a **measured infiltration rate** less than or equal to **2.4 inches per hour** or is logged as one of the classes from the **USDA Textural Triangle** (Figure 5.2.1.A, p. 5-55), excluding sand, loamy sand, and sandy loam (*Note: soil triangle texture classes other than sand, loamy sand, and sandy loam may be assumed to have an infiltration rate of less than or equal to 2.4 inches per hour without doing field testing to measure rates*), OR

b) The soil has a measured infiltration rate less than or equal to 9 inches per hour, and it must be composed of less than 25% gravel by weight with at least 75% of the soil passing the #4 sieve. The portion passing the #4 sieve must meet one of the following gradations:

- At least 50% must pass the #40 sieve and at least 2% must pass the #100 sieve, or
- At least 25% must pass the #40 sieve and at least 5% must pass the #200 sieve.

Note: The above soil properties must be met by undisturbed native soils onsite (i.e. in situ). Soil may not be imported in order to meet groundwater protection criteria.

---

[5] *Cation exchange capacity* shall be tested using EPA Laboratory Method 9081. Note that per EPA method 9081 guidance, distinctly acidic soils require "the method of cation-exchange capacity by summation (Chapman, 1965, p. 900; see Paragraph 10.1)".

[6] *Organic content* shall be measured on a dry weight basis using method ASTM D2974 for the fraction passing the #40 sieve.

[7] See discussion of the measured infiltration rate in Section 5.2.1.

[8] Criteria (a) is based on the relationship between infiltration rates and soil texture. However, there are many other factors, such as high water table, presence of impervious strata or boulders close to the surface, etc., which also affect infiltration rate. When any such condition is suspected because soils are coarser than expected from the measured infiltration rate, a sieve analysis should be done to establish soil characteristics. The judgment of a geotechnical professional shall determine whether a sieve analysis is warranted. The sieve analysis must meet Criteria (b) above to be considered protective.

FIGURE 5.2.1.A USDA TEXTURAL TRIANGLE



Infiltration near Water Supply Wells

The design engineer should consider the following when designing infiltration facilities near water supply wells:

1. In no case should infiltration facilities be placed closer than 100 feet from drinking water wells and 200 feet from springs used for drinking water supplies. Where water supply wells exist nearby, it is the responsibility of the applicant's engineer to locate such wells, meet any applicable protection standards, and assess possible impacts of the proposed infiltration facility on groundwater quality. If negative impacts on an individual or community water supply are possible, additional runoff treatment must be included in the facility design, or relocation of the facility should be considered.
2. All infiltration facilities located within the one-year capture zone of any well should be preceded by a water quality treatment facility.

Infiltration near Steep Slopes and Landslide Hazard Areas

The following restrictions apply to the design of infiltration systems located near a slope steeper than 15%.

1. Where infiltration facilities are proposed within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area*** or a ***landslide hazard area***, OR closer to the top of slope than the distance equal to the total vertical height of a slope area that is steeper than 15%, a detailed geotechnical evaluation is required. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.
2. Individual lot infiltration and dispersion systems rather than a centralized infiltration facility should be used to the extent feasible, except for lots immediately adjacent to a ***landslide hazard area***. The runoff from such lots should be discharged into a tightline system, if available, or other measures should be implemented as recommended by a geotechnical engineer, engineering geologist, or DLS-Permitting staff geologist.

❑ UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL WELL REGISTRATION

The Underground Injection Control Program (UIC) administered by WA Ecology protects groundwater quality by regulating discharges to UIC wells. WA Ecology adopted revisions to Chapter 173-218 WAC, the Underground Injection Control (UIC) program rules, on January 3, 2006. The adopted revisions went into effect on February 3, 2006. These rules require the registration of new injection wells that manage stormwater. Information regarding these regulations may be found at Ecology's Underground Injection Control Program website, https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/Guidance-technical-assistance/Underground-injection-control-program.

UIC wells are manmade structures used to discharge fluids into the subsurface. Examples are drywells, infiltration trenches with perforated pipe, and any structure deeper than the widest surface dimension (see Reference 6 or Chapter I-4 UIC Program in the 2019 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington (SWMMWW). For single family projects, drywells that are located immediately adjacent to buildings and infiltrate roof runoff directly from the gutters and downspouts do not need Ecology registration. Open ponds are not considered injection wells.

Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program rule requirements apply to all UIC wells. If an existing UIC well receives stormwater and was in use before 2/3/2006, the well owner must complete a well assessment with Ecology to determine if the UIC well is a high threat to groundwater. See Chapter 173-218-090 (2) WAC UIC Program, http://app.leg.wa.gov/WAC/default.aspx?cite=173-218-090 or visit https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/Guidance-technical-assistance/Underground-injection-control-program for more information; an assessment example is available at https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/documents/1210012.pdf.

If Underground Injection Control (UIC) registration is required by Ecology for the proposed design, a copy of the registration, or the Ecology-issued System ID provided at registration, shall be provided by the applicant prior to plan approval or permit issuance by King County (see Section 2.3.1.1 Technical Information Report (TIR), TIR Section 7 Other Permits and Section 5.2.1).

Note that existing UIC wells that are unable to obtain Ecology rule authorization and UIC Site ID number without modification may require design review and permit approval per King County requirements for such modifications. Permitting for the modified facility shall follow the UIC registration requirements guidance for new facilities.

5.2.2 INFILTRATION PONDS

Infiltration ponds may be constructed by excavating or constructing berms. See Figure 5.2.2.A (p. 5-59) for a typical detail.

5.2.2.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

General

The following criteria for ponds are in addition to the general requirements for infiltration facilities specified in Section 5.2.1:

1. The proposed **pond bottom** must be at least 3 feet above the seasonal high groundwater level and have at least 3 feet of permeable soil beneath the bottom.
2. Infiltration ponds are **not allowed on slopes greater than 25%** (4H:1V). A geotechnical analysis and report is required if located within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area*** or ***landslide hazard area*** OR if the facility is located within a setback distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of a slope area that is steeper than 15%. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.
3. The infiltration surface **must be in native soil** (excavated at least one foot in depth).
4. **Maintenance access** shall be provided to both the presettling pond or vault (if provided) and the infiltration pond.
5. An **overflow structure** such as that shown in Figure 5.1.1.C (p. 5-15) shall be provided. In addition, infiltration ponds shall have an emergency spillway as required for detention ponds in Section 5.1.1.1 (p. 5-4).
6. The criteria for **general design**, side slopes, embankments, planting, maintenance access, access roads, fencing, signage, and right-of-way shall be the **same as for detention ponds** (see Section 5.1.1, p. 5-3), except as required for the infiltration design.

Setbacks

1. The **toe of the exterior slope** of an infiltration pond berm embankment shall be set back 5 feet from the tract, easement, or property line.
2. The tract, easement, or property line on an **infiltration pond cut slope** shall be set back 5 feet from the emergency overflow water surface.
3. The infiltration pond design water surface shall be set back 100 feet from proposed or existing **septic system drainfields**. This setback may be reduced to 30 feet with approval from the Seattle - King County Department of Public Health.
4. The infiltration pond design water surface shall be a minimum of 200 feet from any ***steep slope hazard area or landslide hazard area*.** Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.
5. The infiltration pond design water surface shall be set back a minimum distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of a slope area that is steeper than 15%. Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.

6. **Building setback lines for adjacent internal lots** shall be 20 feet. These may be reduced to the minimum allowed by zoning if the facility soils report addresses the potential impacts of the facility phreatic surface on structures so located.

7. The infiltration pond design water surface shall be set back 20 feet from **external tract, easement or property lines**. This may be reduced to 5 feet if the facility soils report addresses the potential impacts of the facility phreatic surface on existing or future structures located on adjacent external lots.

5.2.2.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

The size of the pond shall be determined using the hydrologic analysis and routing methods described for detention ponds in Chapter 3. The **storage volume** in the pond is used to detain runoff prior to infiltration. The **stage/discharge curve** shall be developed from the design infiltration rate determined according to Section 5.2.1 (p. 5-46). At a given stage the discharge may be computed using the **area of pervious surface** through which infiltration will occur (which will vary with stage) multiplied by the recommended design infiltration rate (in appropriate units). Berms (which should be constructed of impervious soil such as till), maintenance access roads, and lined swales should not be included in the design pervious surface area.

FIGURE 5.2.2.A TYPICAL INFILTRATION POND



PLAN VIEW
NTS



SECTION A-A
NTS

NOTE:
DETAIL IS A SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION ONLY. ACTUAL CONFIGURATION WILL VARY DEPENDING ON SPECIFIC SITE CONSTRAINTS AND APPLICABLE DESIGN CRITERIA.

5.2.3 INFILTRATION TANKS

Infiltration tanks consist of underground pipe that has been perforated to allow detained stormwater to be infiltrated. Figure 5.2.3.A (p. 5-62) shows a typical infiltration tank.

5.2.3.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

General

The following criteria for tanks are in addition to the general requirements for infiltration facilities specified in Section 5.2.1:

1. The proposed **tank trench bottom** shall be at least 3 feet above the seasonal high groundwater level and have at least 3 feet of **permeable soil** beneath the trench bottom.
2. Infiltration tanks are **not allowed on slopes greater than 25%** (4H:1V). A geotechnical analysis and report is required if located within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area*** or ***landslide hazard area*** OR if the facility is located within a setback distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of a slope area that is steeper than 15%. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions. The infiltration surface elevation (bottom of trench) **must be in native soil** (excavated at least one foot in depth).
3. **Spacing between parallel tanks** shall be calculated using the distance from the lowest trench bottom to the maximum wet season ground water surface (D) and the design width of the trench for a single tank (W). The tank spacing $S = W^2/D$, where S is the centerline spacing between trenches (or tanks) in feet. S shall not be less than W, and S need not exceed 2W.
4. Tanks shall be **bedded and backfilled with washed drain rock** that extends at least 1 foot below the bottom of the tank, at least 2 feet but not more than 5 feet beyond the sides, and up to the top of the tank.
5. Drain rock (3 to $1^1/_2$ inches) shall be completely covered with **filter fabric** prior to backfilling.
6. The **perforations** (holes) in the tank must be one inch in diameter and located in the bottom half of the tank starting at an elevation of 6 inches above the invert of the tank. The number and spacing of the perforations should be sufficient to allow complete utilization of the available infiltration capacity of the soils with a safety factor of 2.0 without jeopardizing the structural integrity of the tank.
7. Infiltration tanks shall have an overflow structure equipped with a **solid bottom riser** (with clean-out gate) and outflow system for safely discharging overflows to the downstream conveyance system or another acceptable discharge point.
8. The criteria for **general design,** materials, structural stability, buoyancy, maintenance access, access roads, and right-of-way shall be the **same as for detention tanks** (see Section 5.1.2, p. 5-18), except for features needed to facilitate infiltration.

Setbacks

1. Tanks shall be set back 100 feet from proposed or existing **septic system drainfields**. This setback may be reduced to 30 feet with approval from the Seattle - King County Department of Public Health.
2. All tanks shall be a minimum of 200 feet from any ***steep slope hazard area or landslide hazard area*.** Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.
3. All tanks shall be set back a minimum distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of a slope area that is steeper than 15%. Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.

4. **Building setback lines for adjacent internal lots** shall be 20 feet. These may be reduced to the minimum allowed by zoning if the facility soils report addresses the potential impacts of the facility phreatic surface on structures so located.
5. Infiltration tanks shall be set back 20 feet from **external tract, easement, or property lines**. This may be reduced to 5 feet if the facility soils report addresses the potential impacts of the facility phreatic surface on existing or future structures located on adjacent external lots.

5.2.3.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

The **size of the tank** shall be determined using the hydrologic analysis and routing methods described in Chapter 3, and the **stage/discharge curve** developed from the recommended design infiltration rate as described in Section 5.2.1 (p. 5-46). The **storage volume** in the tank is used to detain runoff prior to infiltration with the perforations providing the outflow mechanism. At any given stage, the discharge may be computed using the **area of pervious surface** through which infiltration will occur multiplied by the recommended design infiltration rate (in appropriate units). The area of pervious surface used for determining the potential infiltration from the tank shall be computed by taking the lesser of the trench width, or two times the width of the tank, and then multiplying by the length of the tank (assuming infiltration through the bottom of the trench only).

FIGURE 5.2.3.A TYPICAL INFILTRATION TANK



PLAN VIEW
NTS



SECTION A-A
NTS

NOTES:

1. ALL METAL PARTS CORROSION RESISTANT. STEEL PARTS STAINLESS STEEL OR ALUMINIZED STEEL, EXCEPT TANK MAY BE GALVANIZED AND ASPHALT COATED (TREATMENT 1 OR BETTER).
2. FILTER FABRIC TO BE PLACED OVER WASHED ROCK BACKFILL PRIOR TO BACKFILLING OVER FACILITY.

5.2.4 INFILTRATION VAULTS

Infiltration vaults consist of a bottomless concrete vault structure placed underground in native infiltrative soils[9]. Infiltration is achieved through the native soils at the bottom of the structure.

Infiltration vaults are similar to detention vaults. A standard detention vault detail is shown in Figure 5.1.3.A (p. 5-25). Overflow riser details are shown in Section 5.1.4 beginning on page 5-26.

5.2.4.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

General

The following criteria for vaults are in addition to the general requirements for infiltration facilities specified in Section 5.2.1:

1. The proposed **vault bottom** shall be at least 3 feet above the seasonal high groundwater level and have at least 3 feet of permeable soil beneath the bottom.
2. Infiltration vaults are **not allowed on slopes greater than 25%** (4H:1V). A geotechnical analysis and report is required if located within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area*** or ***landslide hazard area*** OR if the facility is located within a setback distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15%. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.
3. The vault bottom **must be in native soil** (excavated at least one foot in depth).
4. A suitable means to dissipate energy at the inlet is required to prevent scour and may be accomplished by using the detail for the sand filter vault (see Figure 6.5.3.A).
5. Infiltration vaults shall have a **solid bottom riser** (with clean-out gate) and outflow system for safely discharging overflows to the downstream conveyance system or another acceptable discharge point.

Structural Stability

All vaults shall meet structural requirements for overburden support and H-20 vehicle loading. Vaults located under roadways must meet the live load requirements of the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards (KCRDCS)*. Cast-in-place wall sections shall be designed as retaining walls. Structural designs for vaults must be stamped by a licensed structural engineer unless otherwise approved by DLS-Permitting. Bottomless vaults shall be provided with footings placed on stable, well-consolidated native material and sized considering overburden support, traffic loading (assume maintenance traffic, if placed outside ROW), and lateral soil pressures when the vault is dry. Infiltration vaults shall not be allowed in fill slopes unless analyzed in a geotechnical report for stability. The infiltration surface at the bottom of the vault must be in native soil.

Access Requirements

Same as specified for detention vaults in Section 5.1.3.1 (p. 5-22).

Access Roads

Same as specified for detention vaults in Section 5.1.3.1 (p. 5-22).

[9] See Section 5.2.1 and Reference 6 for Underground Injection Control definition and UIC well registration requirements for infiltration vaults

Right-of-Way

Infiltration vaults to be maintained by King County but not located in King County right-of-way shall be in a tract dedicated to King County. Any tract not abutting public right-of-way will require a 15-foot wide extension of the tract to accommodate an access road to the vault.

Setbacks

1. Infiltration vaults shall be set back 100 feet from proposed or existing **septic system drainfields**. This setback may be reduced to 30 feet with approval from the Seattle - King County Department of Public Health.
2. Infiltration vaults shall be a minimum of 200 feet from any ***steep slope hazard area or landslide hazard area*.** Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.
3. Infiltration vaults shall be set back a minimum distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of a slope area that is steeper than 15%. Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.
4. **Building setback lines for adjacent internal lots** shall be 20 feet. These may be reduced to the minimum allowed by zoning if the facility soils report addresses the potential impacts of the facility phreatic surface on structures so located.
5. Infiltration vaults shall be set back 20 feet from **external tract, easement, or property lines**. This may be reduced to 5 feet if the facility soils report addresses the potential impacts of the facility phreatic surface on existing or future structures located on adjacent external lots.

5.2.4.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

The **size of the vault** shall be determined using the hydrologic analysis and routing methods described in Chapter 3 and the **stage/discharge curve** developed from the recommended design infiltration rate as described in Section 5.2.1 (p. 5-46). The **storage volume** in the vault is used to detain runoff prior to infiltration. At any given stage, the discharge may be computed using the **area of pervious surface** through which infiltration will occur (the exposed soil comprising the vault bottom) multiplied by the recommended design infiltration rate (in appropriate units).

5.2.5 INFILTRATION TRENCHES

Infiltration trenches can be a useful alternative for developments with constraints that make siting a pond difficult. Infiltration trenches may be placed beneath parking areas, along the ***site*** periphery, or in other suitable linear areas.

5.2.5.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

General

The following criteria for trenches are in addition to the general requirements for infiltration facilities specified in Section 5.2.1:

1. The proposed **trench bottom** must be at least 3 feet above the seasonal high groundwater level and 3 feet below finished grade.
2. There must be at least 3 feet of **permeable soil** beneath the trench bottom.
3. The infiltration surface elevation (bottom of trench) must be in **native soil** (excavated at least one foot in depth).
4. Infiltration trenches are **not allowed on slopes greater than 25%** (4H:1V). A geotechnical analysis and report is required if located within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area*** or ***landslide hazard area*** OR if the facility is located within a setback distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15%. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions. Trenches shall be a minimum of **2 feet wide and no more than 5 feet wide**[10].
5. Trenches shall be **backfilled with $1^1/_2$ - $^3/_4$-inch washed rock**, completely surrounded by filter fabric and overlain by a minimum 1 foot of compact backfill.
6. Level 6-inch minimum diameter rigid **perforated distribution pipes** shall extend the length of the trench. Distribution pipe inverts shall be a minimum of 2 feet below finished grade. Provisions (such as clean-out wyes) shall be made for cleaning the distribution pipe. The pipe capacity shall be calculated to verify that the distribution pipe has capacity to handle the maximum design flow.
7. Alternative trench-type systems such as **pre-fabricated bottomless chambers** that provide an equivalent system may be used at the discretion of DLS-Permitting.
8. Two feet minimum **cover** shall be provided in areas subject to vehicle loads.
9. Trenches shall be **spaced** no closer than 10 feet, measured on center.

Setbacks

1. Trench systems shall be set back 100 feet from proposed or existing **septic system drainfields**. This setback may be reduced to 30 feet with approval from the Seattle - King County Department of Public Health.
2. Trench systems shall be a minimum of 200 feet from any ***steep slope hazard area or landslide hazard area*.** Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.
3. Trench systems shall be setback a minimum distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of a slope area that is steeper than 15%. Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis

[10] See Section 5.2.1 and Reference 6 for Underground Injection Control definition and UIC well registration requirements for infiltration trenches.

must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.

4. A minimum 5-foot setback is required between any part of the trench system and any property line.
5. **Structures** shall be set back 20 feet from individual trenches. This may be reduced if the facility soils report addresses potential impacts of trench phreatic surface on structures so located.

5.2.5.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

The sections and lengths of trenches shall be determined using the hydrologic analysis and routing methods for flow control design described in Chapter 3. The **stage/discharge curve** shall be developed from the design infiltration rate recommended by the soils engineer, as described in Section 5.2.1 (p. 5-46). **Storage volume** of the trench system shall be determined considering void space of the washed rock backfill and maximum design water surface level at the crown of the distribution pipe. At any given stage, the discharge may be computed using the **area of pervious surface** through which infiltration will occur (trench bottom area only) multiplied by the recommended design infiltration rate (in appropriate units).

5.2.6 ALTERNATIVE INFILTRATION SYSTEMS

Manufactures have developed other systems made with pre-cast plastic that have properties in common with vaults, tanks, and trenches, but that do not conform to the standards for those facility types. These systems may be approved by DLS-Permitting using suitable design standards adapted from the established standards for similar systems[11].

5.2.6.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

General

The following criteria for alternative systems are in addition to the general requirements for infiltration facilities specified in Section 5.2.1:

1. The proposed infiltration surface must be at least 3 feet above the seasonal high groundwater level.
2. There must be at least 3 feet of **permeable soil** beneath the infiltration surface.
3. The infiltration surface elevation must be in **native soil** (excavated at least one foot in depth).
4. Infiltration systems are **not allowed on slopes greater than 25%** (4H:1V). A geotechnical analysis and report is required if located within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area*** or ***landslide hazard area*** OR if the facility is located within a setback distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15%. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.
5. Systems shall be **backfilled with $1^1/_2$ - $^3/_4$-inch washed rock or similar material**, completely surrounded by filter fabric and overlain by a minimum 1 foot of compact backfill.
6. Two feet minimum **cover** shall be provided in areas subject to vehicle loads.
7. **Chambers** shall be spaced **no more than 10 feet apart** as measured from the adjacent edges. Inflow pipes or a manifold system shall be connected to each infiltration chamber. Inspection and maintenance access to each chamber shall be provided as deemed necessary by the County.

Setbacks

1. Alternative systems shall be set back 100 feet from proposed or existing **septic system drainfields**. This setback may be reduced to 30 feet with approval from the Seattle - King County Department of Public Health.
2. Alternative systems shall be a minimum of 200 feet from any ***steep slope hazard area or landslide hazard area.*** Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.
3. Alternative systems shall be setback a minimum distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of a slope area that is steeper than 15%. Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions. **Structures** shall be set back 20 feet from infiltration systems. This may be reduced if the facility soils report addresses potential impacts of trench phreatic surface on structures so located.
4. Alternative systems shall be set back at a minimum distance from property lines consistent with the setback criteria for vaults, tanks and trenches, whichever applies.

[11] See Section 5.2.1 and Reference 6 for Underground Injection Control definition and UIC well registration requirements for alternative infiltration systems.

5.2.6.2 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

The sizing and layout of the system shall be determined using the hydrologic analysis and routing methods for flow control design described in Chapter 3, using the approved continuous runoff model. The **stage/discharge curve** shall be developed from the design infiltration rate recommended by the soils engineer, as described in Section 5.2.1 (p. 5-46). **Storage volume** of the system shall be determined considering void space of the washed rock backfill and the volume contained in system elements. At any given stage, the discharge may be computed using the **area of pervious surface** through which infiltration will occur multiplied by the recommended design infiltration rate (in appropriate units).

5.2.7 SMALL INFILTRATION BASINS

Small infiltration basins consist of a bottomless, precast concrete catch basin or equivalent structure placed in an excavation filled with washed drain rock. Stormwater infiltrates through the drain rock into the surrounding soil. This facility is intended for use with contributing surface areas of less than 5,000 square feet. Presettlement is most easily provided by a catch basin or manhole with a turned-down elbow; see Figure 5.2.7.A (below) for a generic design sketch. If water quality treatment is required by Core Requirement #8 or Special Requirement #5, runoff from pollution-generating impervious surfaces must be treated before it enters the infiltration portion of the system.

5.2.7.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

The design criteria for small infiltration basins are the same as for infiltration tanks (see Sections 5.2.1 and 5.2.3), except that only one infiltration rate test and soil log is required for each small infiltration basin. Access into the basins shall be provided for inspection and maintenance. Designs may incorporate Type II catch basins, but equivalent designs using other materials may be accepted[12].

FIGURE 5.2.7.A EXAMPLE SMALL INFILTRATION BASIN



SECTION
NTS

[12] See Section 5.2.1 and Reference 6 for Underground Injection Control definition and UIC well registration requirements. Careful consideration of the catch basin or structure to be used may avoid the requirement to register.

CHAPTER 6
WATER QUALITY

DESIGN
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

Section 6.1	**Water Quality Menus**	**6-3**
Section 6.1.1	Basic Water Quality Menu	6-4
Section 6.1.2	Enhanced Basic Water Quality Menu	6-7
Section 6.1.3	Sensitive Lake Protection Menu	6-9
Section 6.1.4	Sphagnum Bog Protection Menu	6-13
Section 6.1.5	High-Use Menu	6-15
Section 6.2	**General Requirements for WQ Facilities**	**6-17**
Section 6.2.1	Water Quality Design Flows and Treatment Volumes	6-17
Section 6.2.2	Sequence of Facilities	6-20
Section 6.2.3	Setbacks, Slopes, and Embankments	6-23
Section 6.2.4	Facility Liners	6-27
Section 6.2.5	Flow Splitter Designs	6-32
Section 6.2.6	Flow Spreading Options	6-36
Section 6.3	**Vegetated Flowpath Facility Designs**	**6-41**
Section 6.3.1	Basic Bioswales	6-41
Section 6.3.2	Wet Bioswales	6-57
Section 6.3.3	Lateral Inflow Bioswales	6-60
Section 6.3.4	Standard Filter Strips	6-61
Section 6.3.5	Narrow Area Filter Strips	6-68
Section 6.4	**Wetpool Facility Designs**	**6-71**
Section 6.4.1	Wetponds — Basic and Large	6-71
Section 6.4.2	Wetvaults	6-86
Section 6.4.3	Stormwater Wetlands	6-92
Section 6.4.4	Combined Detention and Wetpool Facilities	6-98
Section 6.5	**Filtration Facility Designs**	**6-105**
Section 6.5.1	General Requirements For Filtration Facilities	6-105
Section 6.5.2	Sand Filters — Basic and Large	6-106
Section 6.5.3	Sand Filter Vaults	6-123
Section 6.5.4	Linear Sand Filters	6-128
Section 6.6	**Oil Control Facility Designs**	**6-133**
Section 6.6.1	Catch Basin Inserts	6-133
Section 6.6.2	Oil/Water Separators	6-140
Section 6.7	**Proprietary Facility Designs**	**6-154**
Section 6.7.1	Ecology Requirements	6-154
Section 6.7.2	King County Requirements	6-155

CHAPTER 6
WATER QUALITY DESIGN

This chapter presents the King County approved methods, criteria, and details for analysis and design of water quality facilities pursuant to Core Requirement #8, discussed in Section 1.2.8, and Special Requirement #5, discussed in Section 1.3.5.

Chapter Organization

The information in this chapter is organized into the following seven main sections.

- Section 6.1, "**Water Quality Menus**" (p. 6-3), details the **area-specific water quality menus** referred to in Core Requirement #8 of Chapter 1, and the **High-Use Menu** referred to in Special Requirement #5, also in Chapter 1.
- Section 6.26.2, "**General Requirements for WQ Facilities**" (p. 6-17), presents general design requirements and details pertinent to all water quality facilities.
- Section 6.3, "**Vegetated Flowpath Facility Designs**" (p. 6-41), presents the details for analysis and design of **bioswales** and **filter strips**.
- Section 6.4, "**Wetpool Facility Designs**" (p. 6-71), presents the details for analysis and design of **wetponds**, **wetvaults**, **stormwater wetlands**, and combinations of these facilities with detention facilities.
- Section 6.5, "**Filtration Facility Designs**" (p. 6-105), presents the details for analysis and design of **sand filters**.
- Section 6.6, "**Oil Control Facility Designs**" (p. 6-133), presents the details for analysis and design of **catch basin inserts** and coalescing-plate **oil/water separators**.
- Section 6.7, "**Proprietary Facility Designs**" (p. 6-154), discusses general considerations for proprietary manufactured facilities, including summary notes regarding County requirements for approval for use of these systems. This section points to Reference 14-A, which is reserved for applicability and design considerations for those proprietary facilities which have been granted blanket adjustments after meeting the County's criteria. Reference 14-B is reserved for public domain facilities approved between SWDM publication cycles.

Required vs. Recommended Design Criteria

Both required and recommended design criteria are presented in this chapter. Criteria stated using "shall" or "must" are mandatory, to be used unless there is a good reason to deviate as allowed under the adjustment process in Section 1.4. These criteria are **required design criteria** and generally affect facility performance or critical maintenance factors.

Sometimes options are stated as part of the required design criteria using the language "should" or "may." These latter criteria are really **recommended design criteria**, but are so closely related to the required criteria that they are placed with it. In some cases **recommended design features** are presented under a separate heading in the "Design Criteria" sections.

Design Criteria Applicable To All Facilities

All facilities must be designed and constructed to allow inspection and maintenance.

Use of Chapter 6 Figures: The figures provided in Chapter 6 each illustrate **one example** of how the WQ facility design criteria may be applied. Although the figures are meant to illustrate many of the most important design criteria, they may not show **all** criteria that apply. Narrative text and dimensions in the main body of text in the Manual and differing from drawings take precedence over any text and dimensions in the drawings. Where the main body of text in the manual is silent regarding any aspect of design that is given in a drawing, those elements in the drawing become de facto design standards unless superseded by updates, errata-fixes, or clarifications posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web site[1].

Water Quality Facility Sizing Worksheets

To make the water quality facility sizing methods more standardized for plan review purposes, sizing worksheets are included in Reference Section 8-C for the major water quality facilities. These worksheets are based on the step by step sizing methods given for the water quality facilities in this Chapter. Most design criteria that are not required for facility sizing are omitted from the worksheets. It is the designer's responsibility to make sure that all the required design criteria for each water quality facility are provided on submitted plans. Facility sizing credits for water quality facilities may be used as allowed and specified in Chapter 1, Section 1.2.9.3 “Requirements for Use of BMP Credits”.

Please note that the worksheets are dated in the footer of each page. It is the designer's responsibility to ensure that any Manual updates affecting the sizing procedure or design criteria after that date are incorporated into the worksheet. Updates, errata, and clarifications are posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web site.

If there are instances in which the worksheet differs from the Design criteria in the text of this Chapter, the criteria as given in this Chapter, and as modified by subsequent updates, shall be considered the governing criteria.

[1] kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021

6.1 WATER QUALITY MENUS

This section identifies facility choices and, in some cases, non-structural options that comprise the water quality (WQ) menus referred to in Chapter 1. The menus covered in this section are as follows:

- "Basic Water Quality Menu," Section 6.1.1 (p. 6-4)
- "Enhanced Basic Water Quality MenuEnhanced Basic Water Quality Menu," Section 6.1.2 (p. 6-7)
- "Sensitive Lake Protection Menu," Section 6.1.3 (p. 6-9)
- "Sphagnum Bog Protection Menu," Section 6.1.4 (p. 6-13)
- "High-Use Menu," Section 6.1.5 (p. 6-15).

Guide to Applying Water Quality Menus

1. Determine the WQ treatment area in which your project is located by consulting the Water Quality (WQ) Applications map adopted with this manual and found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap. The map also lists some of the inventoried sphagnum bog wetlands using the numbering convention of the King County wetlands inventory.
2. Read Core Requirement #8 to determine if any exemptions apply to your project.
3. If the map indicates your project is within a Sensitive Lake WQ Treatment Area or a Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Area and no exemptions apply, determine if the ***project site*** will actually drain to the sensitive lake or bog. For instance, projects near drainage boundaries, especially in areas with underground storm drains, may drain in a direction different from the surface topography. If the ***project site*** does not drain to the sensitive lake or bog, it is located within the Basic WQ Treatment Area.
4. Check the detailed threshold and exceptions information in Section 1.2.8.1 to determine which WQ menu applies to all or part of your project. On some ***sites***, more than one menu may apply depending on proposed land cover, soil characteristics, and how runoff from developed surfaces will be collected.
5. Find the WQ menu in this section that applies to your project. Each menu presents two or more water quality treatment options; **select one**. Since all options are sized to provide equivalent removal of the target pollutant, the choice will depend only on the constraints and opportunities of your ***site***. (If detention requirements apply, it will usually be most economical to use the combined WQ/detention pond option). Detailed facility designs for the option selected are given in Sections 6.3 (p. 6-41), 6.4 (p. 6-71), and 6.5 (p. 6-105). Information about non-structural options is included in the menu itself. Note: Check Reference 14-A (for proprietary) and Reference 14-B (public domain) for any applicable water quality facility options added between mandated SWDM updates.
6. Read the implementation requirements in Chapter 1 (Section 1.2.8.2) that address pollution-generating pervious surfaces. For some WQ menus, and in some situations, the facility requirements for these surfaces are eased.
7. Determine if your project fits the definition of a ***high-use site*** (see Special Requirement #5 in Chapter 1). If it does, or if you elect to provide enhanced oil pollution control, choose one of the options presented in the High-Use menu, Section 6.1.5. Detailed designs for oil control facilities are given in Section 6.6 (p. 6-133).
8. General water quality facility requirements (see Section 6.2, p. 6-17) apply to all menus and may affect the placement of facilities on your ***site***.

6.1.1 BASIC WATER QUALITY MENU

Where applied: The Basic Water Quality *menu* is generally applied to areas outside the drainage basin of sensitive lakes, sphagnum bog wetlands, and for land uses not requiring Enhanced Basic treatment. For precise details on the application of this and other water quality menus, refer to Section 1.2.8, "Core Requirement #8: Water Quality Facilities".

Treatment goal: The Basic Water Quality menu facility choices are designed to remove 80 percent of total suspended solids[2] (TSS) for flows or volumes up to and including the WQ design flow or volume (defined in Section 6.2.1, p. 6-17). Flows and volumes in excess of the WQ design flow or volume may be routed around the WQ facility or may be passed through untreated.

Basis:

"The use of TSS as an "indicator" pollutant for sediment is well established".[3]

"The control of TSS leads to indirect control of other pollutants of concern that can adhere to suspended solids in stormwater runoff."[3]

"80% TSS removal level is reasonably attainable using properly designed, constructed and maintained structural stormwater BMPs (for typical ranges of TSS concentration found in stormwater runoff)". [3]

For higher removal rates, there are diminishing returns, and relatively less treatment is gained for incremental increases in facility size.

WA Ecology's TAPE[4] guidance finds 80% removal to be achievable by and a suitable criterion for proprietary 'emerging' technologies.

❑ BASIC WQ OPTION 1 — BIOSWALE

A *bioswale* is a long, gently sloped, vegetated ditch designed to settle out pollutants from stormwater. Grass is the most common vegetation used. Design details are given in Section 6.3.1 (p. 6-41). The wet bioswale (see Section 6.3.2, p. 6-57) is a variation of the basic bioswale for use where soils drain poorly, the longitudinal slope is slight (1.5 percent or less), water tables are high, or continuous base flow is likely to result in saturated soil conditions. Under such conditions, healthy grass growth is not possible and wetland plants are used instead. The lateral inflow bioswale (see Section 6.3.3, p. 6-60) may be used in situations such as roadways and parking lots where water enters the swale along the side rather than at one discrete inflow point at the head of the swale. **Error! Reference source not found.** summarizes when the bioswale and its variations are to be applied.

[2] The influent concentration range for demonstrated pollutant removal is 100 to 200 mg/L. For influent concentrations lower than 100mg/l the effluent goal is equal to or less than 20 mg/l. For influent concentrations greater than 200 mg/l, the goal is greater than 80% TSS removal.

[3] Source: Knox County Tennessee Stormwater Management Manual, Volume 2, Technical Guidance. Date unknown. Accessed 2014/02/14.

[4] Ecology, WA. 2018. Technical Guidance Manual for Evaluating Emerging Stormwater Treatment Technologies: Technology Assessment Protocol – Ecology (TAPE). In Publication No. 18-10-038, 105 pp. Washington State Department of Ecology, Lacey, WA. https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/SummaryPages/1810038.html; also see also see summary update guidance at https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/Guidance-technical-assistance/Stormwater-permittee-guidance-resources/Emerging-stormwater-treatment-technologies#update

TABLE 6.1.1.A SELECTION OF BIOSWALE TYPE APPROPRIATE FOR SITE	
Site Circumstances	**Bioswale Type**
Flow enters at head of swale * Longitudinal slope 1.5% or less OR * Located downstream of a Level 2 or 3 detention facility	Wet bioswale (Section 6.3.2, p. 6-57)
Flow enters at head of swale * Longitudinal slope between 1 and 2% * Soil saturation or base flows likely in wet season	EITHER wet bioswale (Section 6.3.2), OR basic bioswale (Section 6.3.1, p. 6-41), depending on site; may require underdrain or low-flow drain.
Flow enters at head of swale * Longitudinal slope between 2% and 5% * Base flows may or may not be likely in wet season * Not downstream of Level 2 or 3 detention.	Basic bioswale (Section 6.3.1, p. 6-41); may require low-flow drain, depending on site
Along a roadway or parking lot with: * Sheet inflow into the bioswale, OR * Numerous discrete inflows with no single inflow contributing more than about 10% of total swale flow.	Lateral inflow bioswale (Section 6.3.3, p. 6-60)

❑ BASIC WQ OPTION 2 — FILTER STRIP

A *filter strip* is a gently sloped grassed area which treats stormwater runoff from adjacent paved areas before it concentrates into discrete channels; see Section 6.3.4 (p. 6-61) for design details. TSS removal is achieved by particle settling. The narrow area filter strip may be used along a roadway or parking lot in limited space situations as specified in Section 6.3.5 (p. 6-68).

❑ BASIC WQ OPTION 3 — WETPOND

Wetponds are stormwater ponds that maintain a pool of water for most of the year. Stormwater entering the pond is treated during the relatively long residence time within the pond. Wetpond volume described in Section 6.2.1 for the Basic treatment menu is determined directly by the approved continuous runoff model. Alternatively, the manual sizing method provided for use in this manual calculates the wetpond volume based on a method developed by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS, formerly the Soil Conservation Service (SCS)). See Section 6.4.1 (p. 6-71) for design details.

❑ BASIC WQ OPTION 4 — WETVAULT

An underground vault may be used to comply with the Basic Water Quality menu. The treatment volume is the same as for the basic wetpond; see Section 6.4.2 (p. 6-86) for design details.

❑ BASIC WQ OPTION 5 — STORMWATER WETLAND

A *stormwater wetland* uses biological processes of plant uptake and bacterial degradation as well as physical and chemical processes, e.g. stilling, and gravity settling to remove pollutants. The footprint of the stormwater wetland is sized based on the wetpond sizing, but the depth of water in the second cell is reduced to encourage plant growth; see Section 6.4.3 (p. 6-92) for design details.

❑ BASIC WQ OPTION 6 — COMBINED DETENTION AND WETPOOL FACILITIES

This option allows the wetpond, wetvault, or stormwater wetland to be placed under the detention facility live storage. Where ***site*** conditions permit its use, this option occupies less space than separate siting of detention and water quality facilities. The basic wetpond portion of the combined facility is sized using the same method as the wetpond in Option 3; see Section 6.4.4 (p. 6-98) for design details.

❑ BASIC WQ OPTION 7 — SAND FILTER

A *sand filter* is a land depression, pond, or vault, with a bed of sand near the bottom. Stormwater is treated as it percolates downward through the sand layer. Removal efficiency for sand filters is much less sensitive to particle density distribution as compared to that of particle settling facilities (e.g. ponds, vaults, bioswales), which include an assumption that the particle density is close to that of silica sand.

Sand filters may be built as open ponds, underground vaults or linear perimeter trenches; see Section 6.5.2 (p. 6-106) for basic and large sand filters, Section 6.5.3 (p. 6-123) for sand filter vaults, and Section 6.5.4 (p. 6-128) for linear sand filters. A sand layer may also be installed above an infiltration pond or vault to treat stormwater before it infiltrates. *Note: Presettling is required prior to sand filtration as described in Section 6.5.1.*

❑ BASIC WQ OPTION 8 — PROPRIETARY MEDIA AND MEMBRANE FILTERS

Most proprietary filters for basic treatment are cartridge filters, although there are some media filter designs that do not involve cartridges. A cartridge filter system is a flow-through stormwater filtration system comprised of a manhole or vault that houses one or more media-filled or porous membrane cartridges through which stormwater is filtered. *Note: a presettling cell or facility is required for both cartridge filters and for non-cartridge media filters.*

Currently, StormFilter™ systems with ZPG™ (zeolite/perlite/granular activated carbon) is the only proprietary facility approved by King County DNRP for meeting the Basic water quality treatment requirements. Additional proprietary facilities approved subsequent to publication of the SWDM will be found in Reference 14-A. Section 1.4 of Chapter 1 and Reference 8 provide relevant information on the process necessary to obtain approvals of other proprietary media and membrane filters.

❑ BASIC WQ OPTION 9 — BIORETENTION WATER QUALITY FACILITY

A Bioretention Water Quality Facility (BWQF) is a vegetated cell, swale, or planter with the bottom composed of one or more layers of specified media for pollutant mitigation. Stormwater is treated by percolation through the bioretention media layer(s). Treated stormwater is infiltrated to native soil or is collected and discharged via an underdrain system. Standards for bioretention water quality facility design are found in Reference 14-B. Specifications for the allowed media are found in Reference 11-C. Currently, Type 1, 2, and 3 high performance bioretention soil media (HPBSM) designs (see Reference 14-B for details) may be used to achieve basic treatment requirements.

6.1.2 ENHANCED BASIC WATER QUALITY MENU

Where applied: The Enhanced Basic Water Quality menu[5] is applied where an enhanced level of treatment is required for those development ***sites*** with land uses that generate the highest concentrations of metals in stormwater runoff and drain by surface flows to a fish-bearing stream. Metals including but not limited to copper and zinc are toxic to fish and other aquatic biota[6]. For precise details on the application of this and other water quality menus, refer to Section 1.2.8, "Core Requirement #8: Water Quality Facilities."

Note: The Enhanced Basic menu is a stand-alone menu. It integrates the Basic menu level of protection (TSS removal) and the additional measures needed to achieve a higher level of metals removal. When this menu is required in Basic WQ Treatment Areas per Section 1.2.8.1.A of Core Requirement #8, it is intended to replace the Basic WQ menu on development ***sites*** *or portions of development* ***sites*** *that generate the highest concentrations of metals in stormwater runoff. When this menu is required in Sensitive Lake WQ Treatment Areas per Section 1.2.8.1.B, it is intended to be combined with the Sensitive Lake Protection Menu such that a facility design option common to both menus must be used.*

Treatment goal: The Enhanced Basic WQ menu is designed to achieve > 30% dissolved copper removal and > 60% dissolved zinc removal; in addition to Basic treatment (80% TSS removal) for flows up to and including the WQ design flow or volume (defined in Section 6.2.1, p. 6-17). The goal assumes that dissolved copper concentrations for untreated runoff are between 5 and 20 micrograms per liter (*u*/L), and that dissolved zinc concentrations for untreated runoff are between 20 and 300 micrograms per liter (*ug*/L).

Basis: The treatment goal is expressed in terms of dissolved copper and zinc removal. Copper and zinc are reliable indicators of a wider range of heavy metals and are typically found in stormwater runoff from industrial, commercial, and high density residential land uses at levels that are toxic to fish and other aquatic biota. Many metals are readily adsorbed onto particulates in the runoff, usually the finer fraction of the particulates. Facility combinations that remove more of the particulate load than the Basic menu, including the finer fraction, are specified by the Enhanced Basic menu. Facilities providing organic binding sites that enhance metal adsorption are also specified. The treatment goals have been found by WA Ecology to be achievable.

❑ ENHANCED BASIC OPTION 1 — LARGE SAND FILTER

This option includes use of a **large sand filter**, **large sand filter vault**, or **large linear sand filter**. Sizing specifications for these facilities can be found in Sections 6.5.2 (p. 6-106), 6.5.3 (p. 6-123), and 6.5.4 (p. 6-128), respectively. *Note: Presettling is required prior to sand filtration as described in Section 6.5.1.*

❑ ENHANCED BASIC OPTION 2 — STORMWATER WETLAND

Provision of a **stormwater wetland** (see Section 6.4.3, p. 6-92) or **combined detention and stormwater wetland** (see Section 6.4.4, p. 6-98) satisfies the Basic (TSS) and Enhanced Basic (dissolved copper and zinc) removal goals without additional facilities.

❑ ENHANCED BASIC OPTION 3 — TWO-FACILITY TREATMENT TRAIN

This option uses one of the basic water quality treatment options listed in Table 6.1.2.A (p. 6-8) followed by a basic sand filter (see Section 6.5.2, p. 6-106), sand filter vault (see Section 6.5.3, p. 6-123), or a linear sand filter (see Section 6.5.4, p. 6-128). *Note: At time of publication of this version of the SWDM, no proprietary media or membrane filters are approved by King County as the second element in a*

[5] The Enhanced Basic WQ menu targets different pollutants than the lake or bog protection menus. It does not necessarily provide a higher level of treatment except for the target pollutant, metal contaminants.

[6] Other metals, e.g. lead, are toxic to humans and may build up in sediments.

treatment train for enhanced basic treatment. Any such future approvals will appear in SWDM Reference 14-A. Proprietary facilities that are listed in gray text in Table 6.1.2.A are only allowed if they are cited in Reference 14-A as approved for this use, or where a given proprietary facility has been approved for a specific project via an experimental design adjustment.

TABLE 6.1.2.A
PAIRED FACILITIES FOR ENHANCED BASIC TREATMENT TRAIN, OPTION 3

First Basic WQ Facility:	Second WQ Facility:
Bioswale (Sections 6.3.1, 6.3.2, and 6.3.3)	Basic sand filter or sand filter vault (Section 6.5.2 or 6.5.3) or proprietary enhanced (metals removal) media or membrane filter[8]
Filter strip (Sections 6.3.4 and 6.3.5)	Linear sand filter (Section 6.5.4) with no presettling cell needed
Linear sand filter (Section 6.5.4)	Filter strip (Sections 6.3.4 and 6.3.5)
Basic wetpond (Section 6.4.1)	Basic sand filter or sand filter vault (Section 6.5.2 or 6.5.3) or proprietary enhanced (metals removal) media or membrane filter[8]
Wetvault (Section 6.4.2)	Basic sand filter or sand filter vault (Section 6.5.2 or 6.5.3) or proprietary enhanced (metals removal) media or membrane filter[8]
Basic combined detention and wetpool facility (Section 6.4.4)	Basic sand filter or sand filter vault (Section 6.5.2 or 6.5.3) or proprietary enhanced (metals removal) media or membrane filter[8]
Basic sand filter or sand filter vault (Sections 6.5.2 or 6.5.3). *A presettling cell is required if the sand filter is not preceded by a detention facility.*	Proprietary enhanced (metals removal) media or membrane filter[8,]
Proprietary media or membrane filter[7] (Section 6.7), listed in Reference 14-A	Basic sand filter or sand filter vault (Section 6.5.2 or 6.5.3) or Proprietary (metals removal) media or membrane filter[8]

❑ ENHANCED BASIC OPTION 4 — BIORETENTION WATER QUALITY FACILITY

A Bioretention Water Quality Facility (BWQF) is a vegetated cell, swale, or planter with the bottom composed of one or more layers of specified media for pollutant mitigation. Stormwater is treated by percolation through the bioretention media layer(s). Treated stormwater is infiltrated to native soil or is collected and discharged via an underdrain system. Standards for bioretention water quality facility design are found in Reference 14-B. Specifications for the allowed media are found in Reference 11-C. Currently, Type 1, 2, and 3 high performance bioretention soil media (HPBSM) designs (see Reference 14-B for details) may be used to achieve enhanced basic treatment requirements.

[7] The filter must be a type approved for specific treatment use by both Ecology and King County. See Reference 14-A for approved proprietary filters. At time of publication of this manual, the only approved proprietary filter for stand-alone Basic treatment or as the first facility in a 2-facility treatment train is the StormFilter® with ZPG™ media.

6.1.3 SENSITIVE LAKE PROTECTION MENU

Where applied: The Sensitive Lake Protection menu is applied to the watersheds of lakes that have been determined to be particularly sensitive to phosphorus and that are being managed to reduce water quality impacts. This menu applies to stormwater conveyed to the lake by surface flow as well as to stormwater infiltrated within one-quarter mile of the lake in soils with high infiltration rates (i.e., measured rate exceeding 9 inches per hour). If stormwater is infiltrated further than one-quarter mile from the lake, then the Basic WQ menu is applied unless the project is exempt from Core Requirement #8 per Section 1.2.8. For precise details on the application of this and other area-specific water quality menus, refer to Section 1.2.8, "Core Requirement #8: Water Quality."

*Note: The Sensitive Lake Protection menu is a **stand-alone menu**. It integrates the Basic WQ menu level of protection (TSS removal) and the additional protection needed to achieve lake protection goals in the options described below. When this menu is required as specified in Core Requirement #8 (see Section 1.2.8), it is intended to replace the Basic WQ menu in the watersheds of sensitive lakes.*

Treatment goal: The Lake Protection menu is designed to achieve a goal of 50 percent total phosphorus (TP) removal for the WQ design flow or volume (defined in Section 6.2.1, p. 6-17), assuming typical forms and concentrations of phosphorus in untreated stormwater runoff.[8]

Basis: The Lake Protection menu will result in removal of more of the TSS load, including more of the finer fraction of TSS, than the Basic menu. The additional increment of solids removal will also provide enough phosphorus removal to meet the TP goal stated above.

❑ LAKE PROTECTION OPTION 1 — LARGE WETPOND

The 50 percent TP removal goal can be satisfied by use of a **large wetpond** or **large combined detention and wetpond** sized so that the wetpond volume is 1.5 times the Basic water quality volume as determined either by the approved continuous runoff model or as calculated using the manual method described in Section 6.4.1. See Section 6.4.1.1 (p. 6-72) for the large wetpond design, and Section 6.4.4.1 (p. 6-98) for the large combined pond design. *Note: A large wetvault option is not included in this menu since the biological processes thought to remove phosphorus do not take place in underground vaults.*

❑ LAKE PROTECTION OPTION 2 — LARGE SAND FILTER

This option includes use of a **large sand filter, large sand filter vault**, or **large linear sand filter**. Sizing specifications for these facilities can be found in Sections 6.5.2 (p. 6-106), 6.5.3 (p. 6-123), and 6.5.4 (p. 6-128), respectively. *Note: Presettling is required prior to sand filtration as described in Section 6.5.1.*

❑ LAKE PROTECTION OPTION 3 — TWO-FACILITY TREATMENT TRAIN

This option involves use of one of the basic water quality treatment options, listed in Table 6.1.3.A, followed by either a basic sand filter (Section 6.5.2, p. 6-106) or basic sand filter vault (Section 6.5.3, p. 6-123). For dispersed flows, a linear sand filter may be used as the second facility. *Note: At time of publication of this version of the SWDM, only one proprietary media filter and no membrane filters are approved by King County for the first Basic WQ facility. See Reference 14-A.*

[8] Typical TP concentrations in untreated Seattle-area runoff are considered to be between 0.10 and 0.50 mg/L. For projects that are expected to generate higher levels of TP, such as animal husbandry operations, a higher treatment goal may be appropriate.

TABLE 6.1.3.A PAIRED FACILITIES FOR LAKE PROTECTION TREATMENT TRAIN, OPTION 3	
First Basic WQ Facility	**Second WQ Facility**
Bioswale (Sections 6.3.1, 6.3.2, and 6.3.3)	Basic sand filter or sand filter vault (Section 6.5.2 or 6.5.3)
Filter strip (Sections 6.3.4 and 6.3.5)	Linear sand filter (no presettling cell needed) (Section 6.5.4)
Linear sand filter (Section 6.5.4)	Filter strip (Sections 6.3.4 and 6.3.5)
Basic wetpond (Section 6.4.1)	Basic sand filter or sand filter vault (Section 6.5.2 or 6.5.3)
Wetvault (Section 6.4.2)	Basic sand filter or sand filter vault (Section 6.5.1 or 6.5.3)
Stormwater wetland (Section 6.4.3)	Basic sand filter or sand filter vault (Section 6.5.2 or 6.5.3)
Basic combined detention and wetpool facility (Section 6.4.4)	Basic sand filter or sand filter vault (Section 6.5.2 or 6.5.3)
Proprietary media or membrane filter[9]	Basic sand filter or sand filter vault (Section 6.5.2 or 6.5.3)

❑ LAKE PROTECTION OPTION 4 — BASIC MENU PLUS PHOSPHORUS CREDIT

This option provides credit to developments that integrate land use and ***site*** design measures to prevent or reduce the levels of phosphorus leaving the ***site***. Credit is also given for the voluntary use of extra levels of onsite detention, since less in-stream erosion is likely to take place with more highly controlled stormwater releases. This reduction in in-stream erosion and bank failure translates directly into control of the phosphorus load delivered to downstream lakes.

The measures for which credit is given are detailed below, along with the point values assigned to each of the actions. Providing any combination of these measures equaling 10 points or more earns this credit. The credit excuses the applicant from the requirement to provide a second water quality facility. *Thus, even though the development is located in the watershed of a sensitive lake, the water quality requirements can be fully met with the provision of a single water quality facility from the Basic Water Quality menu.*

Credit-Earning Actions

Several land use actions and source controls are particularly effective in reducing phosphorus. These actions are not required by this manual or other regulations; they are an alternative to end-of-the-pipe treatment of stormwater. Credit options for phosphorus-reducing actions are described below.

1. **Leaving at least 65 percent of the *site* undisturbed, including undevelopable land.** Full credit, or **10 points**, is awarded for leaving 65 percent of a ***site*** in undisturbed native vegetation or allowing native vegetation to re-establish. Critical areas and their buffers may be counted. All areas for phosphorus credit must be in tracts dedicated to the County or protected by covenant (one example of covenant language to protect vegetated tracts from disturbance is shown in Reference section 8-O). A

[9] The filter must be a type approved for specific treatment use by both Ecology and King County. See Reference 14-A for approved proprietary filters. At time of publication of this manual, the only approved proprietary filter for stand-alone Basic treatment or as the first facility in a 2-facility treatment train is the StormFilter® with ZPG™ media.

descending scale of points applies where lower percentages of the ***site*** are left undisturbed. **Possible credit = 1 to 10 points.**

2. **Providing extra flow control.** Credit for providing extra flow control applies only in cases where ***site*** runoff travels via stream or open drainage system to the sensitive lake. Voluntary use of the Level 2 flow control standard when the Level 1 standard would be required = 5 points. Voluntary use of the Level 3 flow control standard when the Level 1 standard would be required = 8 points. Voluntary use of the Level 3 flow control standard when the Level 2 standard would be required = 3 points. **Possible credit = 3 to 8 points.**

3. **Directing runoff from target pollution-generating surfaces to grassy areas with level spreading.** Directing runoff from target pollution-generating areas to grassy areas that are not routinely fertilized or to areas of native vegetation results in pollutant removals similar to those obtained in swales while also providing an increased opportunity for infiltration. To use this option, flows must remain unconcentrated and be spread uniformly over the intended area. (Flow spreader details are given in Section 6.2.6.)

   In general, the vegetated area receiving dispersed flows should be at least 25 percent as large as the area contributing flow. The receiving area should be increased by one percent for each percent increase in slope over four percent. The area should be configured so that the length of the flow path is no longer than the width over which flows are dispersed.

   Example:

   Assume a parking lot is 100' × 600', or 60,000 sf. Flows will be dispersed through an adjacent area of native vegetation with a slope of 8 percent.

   The area of vegetation must be at least 17,400 sf (i.e. 25% + 4% (for the 8% slope) × 60,000 sf). Assuming runoff is dispersed continuously along the wider edge of the parking lot, the flow path would need to be at least 29 feet (17,400' ÷ 600'). If the water were dispersed along the shorter edge, flow path would be 174 feet (17,400' ÷ 100'). However, this flow path would be longer than the width over which flows were dispersed (100'), and would not be a satisfactory option. The parking lot could be graded, however, so that flows would be dispersed at both of the 100 foot ends, making each flow path 87 feet, which would be acceptable.

   Credit is proportional to the total volume of runoff diverted; one point is earned for every 25 percent of total volume so directed. **Possible credit = 1 to 4 points.**

4. **Providing covered vehicle washing areas connected to the sanitary sewer system.** This credit applies to commercial, industrial, and multifamily ***sites*** excluding commercial car washes or other operations where this action is already required by other regulations**.** Frequent car-washing can contribute significant amounts of phosphorus to stormwater. Note that sewer districts may have pretreatment requirements before allowing connection to the sanitary sewer. **Possible credit = 3 points.**

Table 6.1.3.B (p. 6-12) details the credit options and associated point totals.

Credit may be applied to the whole ***site*** or to a ***natural discharge area*** within the ***site***. It may be advantageous for a developer to concentrate only on a ***natural discharge area*** if the point total for that particular area could equal 10. For example, assume a particular ***natural discharge area*** is one half the total ***site*** area. If 65 percent of the land area in the ***natural discharge area*** will remain undisturbed, that ***natural discharge area*** is eligible for 10 points (see Table 6.1.3.B). The stormwater from that ***natural discharge area*** could be treated with a single water quality facility from the Basic WQ menu; the second facility could be waived. The rest of the ***site*** would still have the two-facility requirement.

Alternatively, if the entire ***site*** were considered, the undisturbed area decreases to 35 percent, eligible for only 3 points. In this case, the developer would need to implement other controls worth 7 points in order to waive the second water quality facility for the entire ***site***.

If the credit option is used, it shall be applied for during initial drainage review by the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting). The application shall include a **written request for credit** based on either the ***site*** plan or the grading plan for the project, and the ***threshold discharge areas*** shall be delineated on the plans. The request shall outline where the credit would be applied and how the point totals are to be achieved. DLS-Permitting staff would then evaluate the request and may waive the second water quality treatment requirement for the ***site*** or ***threshold discharge area*** based on point totals outlined in Table 6.1.3.B (below). **Credit is not given unless requested.**

TABLE 6.1.3.B WATER QUALITY CREDIT FOR PHOSPHORUS CONTROL

Credit Option	Points
Leaving site undisturbed, in native vegetation. .	At least 65 % = 10 60 % = 9 50 % = 7 40 % = 5 30 % = 3 20 % = 1
Directing road runoff to pervious, non-pollution-generating vegetated area.	100 % of volume = 4 75 % of volume = 3 50 % of volume = 2 25 % of volume = 1
Covered car wash area connected to sanitary sewer (multifamily, commercial, or industrial sites, except for commercial car-wash businesses)	3
Extra detention with next most restrictive release rate (if discharge to stream)	Level 1→ Level 2 = 5 Level 1→ Level 3 = 8 Level 2→ Level 3 = 3

❑ LAKE PROTECTION OPTION 5 — BIORETENTION WATER QUALITY FACILITY

A Bioretention Water Quality Facility (BWQF) is a vegetated cell, swale, or planter with the bottom composed of one or more layers of specified media for pollutant mitigation. Stormwater is treated by percolation through the bioretention media layer(s). Treated stormwater is infiltrated to native soil or is collected and discharged via an underdrain system. Standards for bioretention water quality facility design are found in Reference 14-B. Specifications for the allowed media are found in Reference 11-C. Currently, Type 2 and Type 3 high performance bioretention soil media (HPBSM) designs (see Reference 14-B for details) may be used to achieve lake protection requirements.

6.1.4 SPHAGNUM BOG PROTECTION MENU

Where applied: The Sphagnum Bog Protection menu[10] covers sphagnum bog wetlands[11] greater than 0.25 acres in size.[12] It applies to stormwater conveyed by surface flow to the sphagnum bog vegetation community. If stormwater is infiltrated by the project per Section 5.2, then the Basic WQ menu is applied unless the project is exempt from Core Requirement #8, "Water Quality." For precise details on the application of this and other area-specific water quality menus, refer to Section 1.2.8.

Note: The Sphagnum Bog Protection menu is a stand-alone menu. It integrates the Basic WQ menu level of protection and the additional measures needed to achieve bog protection goals in the options described below. When this menu is required as specified in Core Requirement #8 (see Section 1.2.8), it is intended to replace the Basic WQ menu in areas draining to sphagnum bogs.

Treatment goal: If surface water must be discharged to a bog, the treatment goal is to reduce total phosphorus by 50 percent, reduce nitrate + nitrite by 40 percent, maintain alkalinity below 10 mg/L, calcium concentrations should be less than 2 mg/L, and maintain pH below 6.0[13].

Basis: In their undeveloped condition, bogs are isolated from surface water, being supplied almost solely by rainwater. The best strategy for protection of bog water quality is to infiltrate the water quality design volume while routing high flows around the bog. Although it is not known whether alkalinity or nitrogen can be reduced sufficiently by the options outlined below, there are no other technologically feasible alternatives at this time. An experimental design adjustment (see Section 1.4) could be pursued as additional technology becomes available.

❑ SPHAGNUM BOG PROTECTION OPTION 1 — LARGE WETPOND FOLLOWED BY LARGE SAND FILTER

This option uses a **large wetpond** (see Section 6.4.1, p. 6-71) or a **large combined detention and wetpond** (see Section 6.4.2, p. 6-86), sized so that wetpond volume is 1.5 times the Basic water quality volume as determined either by the approved continuous runoff model or as calculated using the manual method described in Section 6.4.1. A large sand filtration facility (see Section 6.5.26.5.2, p. 6-106, or 6.5.3,
p. 6-123) must follow the pond. In order to ensure that algae and sources of alkalinity from the pond are not washed from the pond into the bog, the **sand filter must be the last facility. The sand used for filtration must be silica-based sand rather than an aragonite[14] sand.**

❑ SPHAGNUM BOG PROTECTION OPTION 2 — STORMWATER WETLAND IN SERIES WITH A LARGE SAND FILTER

This option uses a **stormwater wetland** (see Section 6.4.3, p. 6-92) or **combined detention and stormwater wetland** (see Section 6.4.4, p. 6-98) to remove solids and enhance the concentration of organic acids, and a **large sand filter** (see Section 6.5.2, p. 6-106) to remove the finer sediment for alkalinity and nutrient reduction. **The sand used for filtration must be silica-based sand rather than**

[10] The Bog Protection menu targets a different set of pollutants than the Sensitive Lake or Enhanced Basic menus. Since the targeted pollutants are more difficult to remove, use of larger and/or additional water quality facilities is required.

[11] A *sphagnum bog wetland* is defined as a wetland having a predominance of sphagnum moss creating a substrate upon which a distinctive community of acid-loving plants is established (see Section 1.2.8.C and "Definitions" for more detail).

[12] The *size* of a sphagnum bog wetland is defined by the boundaries of the sphagnum bog plant community.

[13] Calcium, alkalinity, and pH values are from : Kulzer, L., S. Luchessa, S. Cooke, R. Errington, F. Weinmann, and D. Vitt. 2001. *Characteristics of the low-elevation sphagnum-dominated peatlands of western Washington: A community profile*. King County, WA: King County Water and Land Resources Division.

[14] Aragonite is the second most common type of sand, and is composed of calcium carbonate from biota including but not limited to coral and shellfish. (Sand. (2014, April 12). In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved 20:38, April 15, 2014, from http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Sand&oldid=603938376)

an aragonite sand. The order of facilities is interchangeable since there are both advantages and disadvantages to having the sand filter last in the train. *Note: Presettling is required prior to sand filtration as described in Section 6.5.1.*

❑ SPHAGNUM BOG PROTECTION OPTION 3 — LARGE SAND FILTER IN SERIES WITH A STORMFILTER WITH CSF MEDIA

This option uses a **large sand filter** or **large sand filter vault** followed by a **StormFilter with CSF media**. Sizing specifications for the large sand filters can be found in Sections 6.5.2 (p. 6-106) and 6.5.3 (p. 6-123). StormFilters are detailed in Reference Section 14-A. **The sand used for filtration must be silica-based sand rather than an aragonite sand.** *Note: Presettling is required prior to sand filtration as described in Section 6.5.1.*

❑ SPHAGNUM BOG PROTECTION OPTION 4 — THREE-FACILITY TREATMENT TRAIN

This option uses one of the basic water quality treatment options followed by two other facilities. Table 6.1.4.A lists the possible choices of facilities for this option.

TABLE 6.1.4.A FACILITY COMBINATIONS FOR BOG PROTECTION TREATMENT TRAIN, OPTION 4

First Facility	Second Facility	Third Facility
Bioswale (Sections 6.3.1, 6.3.2, and 6.3.3)	Basic sand filter (Sections 6.5.2, 6.5.3, or 6.5.4)	StormFilter® * with CSF[15] (Reference 14-A)
Filter strip (Sections 6.3.4 and 6.3.5)		
Basic wetpond (Section 6.4.1)		
Basic combined detention and wetpool facility (Section 6.4.4)		
Wetvault (Section 6.4.2)		
Stormwater wetland (Section 6.4.3)		
Proprietary media or membrane filter approved by both WA Ecology and King County (see Reference 14-A)[16]		
** Other treatment options may be pursued through an experimental design adjustment per Section 1.4 and Reference 8-F.*		

[15] CSF is not approved at all by Ecology or generally by King County at the time of publication of this manual. However, need to provide organic matter replacement to a sphagnum bog necessitates allowing a compost filter with a putative relatively low nitrate and phosphate contribution compared to conventional compost. At such time as King County determines that another facility or treatment train is suitable, CSF could be removed from this menu. Basis: need to feed organic matter to the bog wetland.

[16] The filter must be a type approved for specific treatment use by both Ecology and King County. See Reference 14-A for approved proprietary filters. At time of publication of this manual, the only approved proprietary filter for stand-alone Basic treatment or as the first facility in a treatment train is the StormFilter® with ZPG™ media.

6.1.5 HIGH-USE MENU

Where applied: The High-Use menu is applied to all new development and ***redevelopment projects*** that have ***high-use site*** characteristics, as defined in Chapter 1 (see "Special Requirement # 5, Oil Control"). Oil control devices are to be placed upstream of other facilities, as close to the source of oil generation as practical.

Note: Where this menu is applicable, it is in addition to the area-specific WQ menus.

Treatment goal: Oil control options given in the High-Use menu are designed to meet the goals of no visible sheen or less than 10 mg/L total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) leaving the ***site***.

❑ OIL CONTROL OPTION 1 — CATCH BASIN INSERT

Catch basin inserts (see Section 6.6.1, p. 6-133) are allowed to be used to meet the oil control requirements for new or redeveloped ***high-use sites*** where new plus replaced pollution generating impervious surfaces are less than 5,000 square feet AND new pollution generating pervious surfaces are less than ¾ acres in a threshold discharge area. High use sites exceeding the aforementioned threshold must use other oil control options. For new development, the criteria for flow capture given in "Design Criteria" (p. 6-136) must be met. The flow capture criteria apply to the high-use area only, provided that flows not subject to high use are shunted around the insert. For redevelopment ***sites***, additional flow may be routed through the insert if it is not possible for the ***site*** to meet the flow capture criteria without installing new catch basins. Up to 40 percent additional flow may be directed to the insert in these cases.

All catch basin inserts must be fitted with oil sorbent media, to be changed at least monthly in wet weather (generally October through May) and whenever the surface of the media is covered with sediment. Acceptable sorbent media include wood fiber products, such as *Absorbent W* or *SuperSorb*; whole, green fibrous moss such as that supplied by floral shops (must not be ground peat moss); or polymers such as *Petrolok* and *Streamguard™*. These media have been investigated and found to retain captured oil fairly effectively. Cedar Grove compost was tested and found unacceptable for oil retention. Stormwater Management's patented CFS® mix was also tested, and although it performed fairly well, it did not retain oil as well as the other products tested. Therefore, the CFS® shall not be used in catch basin inserts for oil control.

Alternative media may be used if it can be shown they are substantially equivalent to the media listed above. The method that should be used to demonstrate oil retention is given in the decision paper entitled "Oil leachate tests for various adsorbent filter media," May 1994, King County Surface Water Management Division (now Water and Land Resources Division).

❑ OIL CONTROL OPTION 2 — BAFFLE OIL/WATER SEPARATOR

Baffle oil/water separators (see Section 6.6.2, p. 6-140) may be used to treat stormwater runoff from high-use developments and facilities that produce relatively high concentrations of oil and grease. Baffle separators historically have been effective in removing oil having droplet sizes of 150 microns or larger. If sized properly, they can achieve effluent concentrations as low as 10 to 15 mg/L.

❑ OIL CONTROL OPTION 3 — COALESCING PLATE OIL/WATER SEPARATOR

Coalescing plate separators (see Section 6.6.2, p. 6-140) may be used to treat stormwater runoff from high-use developments and facilities that can produce relatively high concentrations of oil and grease. Current technology and design of coalescing plate separators achieve effluent concentrations as low as 10 mg/L with removal of oil droplet sizes as small as 20 to 60 microns.

❑ OIL CONTROL OPTION 4 — LINEAR SAND FILTER

The linear sand filter (see Section 6.5.4, p. 6-128) is used in the Core Requirement #8 water quality menus (i.e., the Basic, Enhanced Basic, Sensitive Lake, and Sphagnum Bog menus), as well as for oil control in

the High-Use menu (Special Requirement #5). However, if used to satisfy Core Requirement #8, the same facility shall not also be used to satisfy the oil control requirement (Special Requirement #5) unless enhanced maintenance is assured. This is to prevent clogging of the filter by oil so that it will function for suspended solids, metals, and phosphorus removal as well. Quarterly cleaning is required at a minimum unless more frequent cleaning is specified otherwise by the designer.

❑ OIL CONTROL OPTION 5 — WETVAULT WITH BAFFLE

A wetvault may be modified to fulfill requirements for oil control provided the following are true:

1. The criteria given at the end of Section 6.4.2.2 for modification of wetvaults for use as a baffle oil/water separators shall be met, and
2. Assurance is provided that the maintenance frequency and oil removal frequency for baffle oil/water separators will be followed (see Section 6.6.2, p. 6-140).

❑ OIL CONTROL OPTION 6 — PROPRIETARY FACILITY DESIGN

Section 6.7, "Proprietary Facility Designs" (p. 6-169), discusses general considerations for proprietary manufactured facilities. *Note: At time of publication of this version of the SWDM, no proprietary oil control facilities are approved by King County for use. Any such future approvals will appear in SWDM Reference 14-A.*

❑ OIL CONTROL OPTION 7 — COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER AGENCY REQUIREMENTS

If the ***site*** has a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) industrial stormwater permit that specifically addresses oil control for the target ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** of the ***site***, compliance with NPDES permit conditions may be adequate to comply with the oil control requirements of Special Requirement #5. Copies of the ***site's*** NPDES permit requirement and the best management practices specifically addressing oil control shall be submitted to determine adequacy.

If the area under the covered fueling island drains to the sanitary sewer, then only the remaining high-use area actually draining to the storm drainage system (normally ingress and egress routes) need comply with the High-Use menu.

Note: *Ecology requires that fueling islands be paved with Portland cement concrete (or equivalent, not including asphaltic concrete) and must drain to a dead-end sump or spill control separator in compliance with the UFC or IFC, and recommends draining from the sump to a sanitary sewer. An alternative to discharge to a sanitary sewer is to collect stormwater from the fuel island containment pad and hold for proper off-site disposal.*

Drains to treatment facilities must have a normally closed shutoff valve. The spill control sump must be sized in compliance with Section 7901.8 of the Uniform Fire Code (UFC). Alternatively the fueling island must be designed as a spill containment pad with a sill or berm raised to a minimum of four inches (Section 7901.8 of the UFC) to prevent the runoff of spilled liquids and to prevent run-on of stormwater from the surrounding area. (See Ecology's Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington, Volume IV, Section 2.2, S409 BMPs for Fueling At Dedicated Stations. These BMPs are also required by the King County Industrial Waste Section for new construction.

6.2 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR WQ FACILITIES

This section presents general requirements and other information applicable to the design of water quality (WQ) facilities. Topics covered include the following:

- "Water Quality Design Flows," Section 6.2.1
- "Sequence of Facilities," Section 6.2.2 (p. 6-20)
- "Setbacks, Slopes, and Embankments," Section 6.2.3 (p. 6-23)
- "Facility Liners," Section 6.2.4 (p. 6-27)
- "Flow Splitter Designs," Section 6.2.5 (p. 6-32)
- "Flow Spreading Options," Section 6.2.6 (p. 6-36).

When detail in the WQ designs is lacking, refer to Chapter 5 for guidance. In cases where requirements are extremely costly, a less expensive alternative that is functionally equivalent in terms of performance, environmental effects, health and safety, and maintenance may be sought through the adjustment process (see Section 1.4).

New Facility Designs

New proprietary and public domain facility designs may be reviewed through an Experimental Design Adjustment per Section 1.4. Any new proprietary designs must be approved through the state Department of Ecology's *TAPE program*[17] before they can be approved by King County. Once a facility has been shown to meet pollutant removal goals, King County may at its discretion allow use of that facility through a blanket adjustment per Section 1.4. New facility designs may be limited to use only on projects that will be privately owned and maintained. Blanket adjustments will specify design and maintenance criteria.

Use of Materials

Galvanized metals leach zinc into the environment, especially in standing water situations. High zinc concentrations, sometimes in the range that can be toxic to aquatic life, have been observed in the region.[18] Therefore, use of galvanized materials in stormwater facilities and conveyance systems is discouraged. Where other metals, such as aluminum or stainless steel, or plastics are available, they shall be used.

6.2.1 WATER QUALITY DESIGN FLOWS AND TREATMENT VOLUMES

Water Quality Design Flow

The water quality design flow is defined as follows:

- *Downstream of detention:* The full **2-year release rate from the detention facility,** determined using the approved continuous runoff model.
- *Preceding detention, or when detention facilities are not required*: The **flow rate from the drainage basin at or below which 91% of the total runoff volume will be treated**. Design criteria for treatment facilities are assigned to achieve the applicable performance goal at the water quality design flow rate (e.g., 80 percent TSS removal). At a minimum, 91% of the total runoff volume, as estimated

[17] *TAPE* stands for Technology Assessment Protocol – Ecology. For more information, see Ecology's website at https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/Guidance-technical-assistance/Stormwater-permittee-guidance-resources/Emerging-stormwater-treatment-technologies

[18] Finlayson, 1990. Unpublished data from reconnaissance of Metro Park and Ride lot stormwater characteristics.

by an approved continuous runoff model with 15-minute time steps calibrated to ***site*** conditions, must pass through the treatment facility(ies) at or below the approved hydraulic loading rate for the facility(ies).

Design flow rates for water quality facilities designed using this manual are calculated using a continuous simulation model. Most of the performance research on biofiltration BMPs has been conducted on facilities that used event-based designs. The volume of treatment runoff can be predicted from a 24-hour storm with a 6-month return frequency (a.k.a., 6-month, 24-hour storm). However, the 91st percentile flow event (as calculated by the continuous model) tends to be less than the estimated 6-month, 24-hour event flow rate in most cases. To maintain sizing comparable to the performance research, Ecology has developed a correlation between the 91st percentile flow event calculated using the approved models and the single-event predicted flow event. This correlation is used in sizing water quality flow-based facilities in Section 6.3 and is presented in Table 6.2.1.A below. Intermediate values of the ratio *k* for WWHM are calculated by linear interpolation.

(Note: This table does not apply to flow-based non-water quality BMPs; see relevant flow rate requirements for flow-based non-water quality BMPs in Appendix C.)

TABLE 6.2.1.A
ADJUSTMENT FACTOR *k* FOR CALCULATING MODIFIED WATER QUALITY FLOW RATE FROM MODELED ON-LINE/OFF-LINE RATES

SBUH Peak/WWHM On-Line 15-min WQ Flow Ratio vs 6-month Precipitation for 0% to 100% Impervious Areas			SBUH Peak/WWHM Off-Line 15-min WQ Flow Ratio vs 6-month Precipitation for 0% to 100% Impervious Areas	
6-month, 24-hr precipitation (72% of the 2-yr), Inches	Ratio, *k*		6-month, 24-hr precipitation (72% of the 2-yr), Inches	Ratio, *k*
0.80	1.01		0.80	1.95
1.00	1.30		1.00	2.44
1.50	2.02		1.50	3.68
2.00	2.74		2.00	4.92
2.50	3.45		2.50	6.16
2.90	4.03		2.90	7.15
Intermediate values of k for WWHM are calculated by linear interpolation				

SBUH Peak/MGSFlood On-Line and Off-Line 15-min WQ Flow Ratio vs 6-month Precipitation for 0% to 100% Impervious Areas

For **on-line** facilities: $k = 1.41\ (P72\%, 2\text{-yr.}) - 0.052$ (Eq. 6-1)

For **off-line** facilities: $k = 2.50\ (P72\%, 2\text{-yr.}) - 0.052$ (Eq. 6-2)

where: $P72\%$, 2-yr = 72% of the 2-year, 24-hour precipitation depth (in.)

Note: If the 6-month, 24-hour precipitation depth (in.) is known for the project site, that value may be used instead of $P72\%$, 2-yr.

The ratio between the 91st percentile flow event and the estimated 6-month, 24-hour flow rate varies with location and percent of impervious area in the modeled drainage basin. The correlations in the table account for these variations. When designing bioswales and other flow rate based facilities, multiply the on-line or off-line water quality design flow rate determined with the approved model by the coefficient *k* (off-line or on-line) determined from the associated table (see Methods of Analysis

for guidance on selection of on-line or off-line flow rate and application of the associated correlation). Unless amended to reflect local precipitation statistics, the 6-month, 24-hour precipitation amount may be assumed to be 72 percent of the 2-year, 24-hour amount determined either with the approved model or by interpolating between isopluvials for the 2-year, 24-hour precipitation. Isopluvials for 2-year, 24-hour amounts for Western Washington are reprinted in Section 3.2.1, Figure 3.2.1.A.

Flow Volume to be Treated

When water quality treatment is required pursuant to the core and special requirements of this manual, the water quality design storm volume, when using an approved continuous runoff model, shall be equal to the simulated daily volume that represents the upper limit of the range of daily volumes that accounts for 91% of the entire runoff volume over a multi-decade period of record.

Alternatively, the water quality design volume of runoff can be predicted from a 24-hour storm with a 6-month return frequency (a.k.a., 6-month, 24-hour storm). Wetpool facilities are sized based upon use of the NRCS (formerly known as SCS) curve number equations for the 6-month, 24-hour storm[19]. Treatment facilities sized by this simple runoff volume-based approach are the same size whether they precede detention, follow detention, or are integral with the detention facility (i.e., a combined detention and wetpool facility).

The approved model calculates the water quality design volume directly. Alternatively, the NRCS method described in Section 6.4.1.1 may be used. Unless amended to reflect local precipitation statistics, the 6-month, 24-hour precipitation amount may be assumed to be 72 percent of the 2-year, 24-hour amount. Interpolating between isopluvials for the 2-year, 24-hour precipitation and multiplying by 72% yields the appropriate storm size. Isopluvials detailed for 2-year, 24-hour amounts for western King County are reprinted in Section 3.2.1, Figure 3.2.1.A. For locations east of the figure limits, precipitation amounts are more variable; use the 2-year, 24-hour isopluvial map located on the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) website at https://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/files25/Atlas2_Volume9.pdf.

Note that facilities which are sized based on volume and which include routing of flows through a detention facility, such as the detailed sand filter method, are significantly smaller when located downstream of detention, even though the same volume of water is treated in either situation. This is because the detention facility routing sequence stores peaks within the pond and releases them at a slow rate, reducing the size of the sand filter pond subsequently needed (the volume needed to store the peaks need not be provided again in the sand filter pond).

Treatable Flows

As stated in Chapter 1, only runoff from target pollution-generating surfaces must be treated using the water quality facility options indicated in the applicable water quality menu. These surfaces include both ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** and ***pollution-generating pervious surface***. "Target" means that portion from which runoff must be treated using a water quality facility as specified in Chapter 1. ***Pollution-generating impervious surfaces*** are those impervious surfaces which are subject to vehicular use, industrial activities, or storage of erodible or leachable materials, wastes, or chemicals; and which receive direct rainfall or the run-on or blow-in of rainfall. For subdivisions, target ***pollution-generating impervious surfaces*** typically include right-of-way improvements (roads), parking areas and driveways that are not ***fully dispersed*** as specified in Section 1.2.3.2. Metal roofs are also considered to be ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** unless they are coated with an inert, non-leachable material (see Reference 11-E); or roofs that are subject to venting significant amounts of dusts, mists, or fumes from manufacturing, commercial , or other indoor activities. ***Pollution-generating pervious surfaces*** are those non-impervious surfaces subject to use of pesticides and fertilizers, loss of soil, or the use or storage of erodible or leachable materials, wastes, or chemicals. For subdivisions, target ***pollution-generating pervious surfaces*** typically include lawns and landscaped areas that are not ***fully dispersed*** and from

[19] For more information, see *Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds,* Technical Release 55 (TR-55), June 1986, published by the NRCS. See Table 6.4.1.1.xx for CN values to be used with this manual.

which there will be some concentrated surface discharge in a natural channel or man-made conveyance system from the ***site***.

The following points summarize which ***site*** flows must be treated and under what circumstances:

- All runoff from target ***pollution-generating impervious surfaces*** is to be treated through the water quality facility or facilities required in Chapter 1 and specified in the Chapter 6 menus.
- Runoff from ***lawns and landscaped areas*** often overflows toward street drainage systems where it is conveyed to treatment facilities along with the road runoff. However, sometimes runoff from commercial areas and residential backyards drains into open space or vegetated buffer areas. In these cases, buffers may be used to provide the requisite water quality treatment provided:
    1. Runoff sheet flows into the buffer or a dispersal trench is provided to disperse flows broadly into the buffer, and
    2. The flow path through the pollution-generating area is limited to 200 feet, and
    3. The buffer contains only native vegetation and is not itself subject to application of any fertilizers or pesticides.
- Drainage from impervious surfaces that are **not pollution-generating** (such as patios, walkways, and some roofs) or are **not target pollution-generating surfaces** may bypass the water quality facility. However, this allowance to bypass does not excuse target impervious surfaces from, meeting the flow control requirements per Core Requirement #3. Note that **metal roofs** are considered pollution-generating unless they are treated to prevent leaching (see Reference 11-E), as are roofs that are subject to venting significant amounts of dusts, mists, or fumes from manufacturing, commercial, or other indoor activities.
- Drainage from areas in native vegetation should not be mixed with untreated runoff from streets and driveways, if possible. It is best to infiltrate or disperse this relatively clean runoff to maximize recharge to shallow groundwater, wetlands, and streams.
- Where runoff from non pollution-generating impervious areas (non-PGIS), areas in native vegetation, or any other area not targeted for water quality treatment reaches a water quality facility, flows from those areas must be included in the sizing calculations for the facility. Once runoff from non-pollution-generating areas and non-target pollution-generating areas is combined with runoff from target pollution-generating areas, it cannot be separated before treatment.

6.2.2 SEQUENCE OF FACILITIES

As specified in the water quality menus, where more than one water quality facility is used, the order is often prescribed. This is because the specific pollutant removal role of the second or third facility in a treatment train often assumes that significant solids settling has already occurred. For example, phosphorus removal using a two-facility treatment train relies on the second facility (sand filter) to remove a finer fraction of solids than those removed by the first facility.

There is a larger question, however, of whether water quality facilities should be placed upstream or downstream of detention facilities. In general, all water quality facilities may be installed upstream of detention facilities, although presettling basins are needed for sand filters and infiltration basins. Not all water quality facilities, however, can be located downstream of detention facilities. Those facilities that treat sheet flows, such as filter strips and narrow-area filter strips, will seldom be practical downstream of detention facilities. Other facilities present special problems that must be considered before placement downstream is advisable.

Two facilities that fall into this latter category are the basic bioswale (see Section 6.3.1, p. 6-41) and the sand filter or sand filter vault (see Sections 6.5.2 or 6.5.3). For these facilities, the prolonged low flows resulting from Level 2 or 3 flow control may interfere with facility operation. In the case of basic

bioswales, prolonged flows, generally in excess of about two weeks, will cause the grass to die. This can be dealt with by using the wet bioswale design.

In the case of sand filters, prolonged flows may result in the sand being saturated for long periods. Saturated sand can become hypoxic or anoxic (lose most or all oxygen) when dissolved oxygen in the pore water becomes depleted. Under these conditions, some previously trapped phosphorus can become soluble and be released[20], resulting in phosphorus releases in excess of influent concentrations. To prevent long periods of sand saturation, adjustments may be necessary after the sand filter is in operation to bypass some areas of the filter, allowing them to drain completely. If saturated conditions are present after facility operation, adjustments to the design shall be required. It may also be possible to employ a different alternative that uses facilities less sensitive to prolonged flows. Table 6.2.2.A summarizes placement considerations of water quality facilities in relation to detention.

Oil control facilities must be located upstream of water quality facilities and as close to the source of oil-generating activity as possible. They should also be located upstream of detention facilities, if possible.

[20] Bicudo, D. D. C., et al. (2007). "Undesirable side-effects of water hyacinth control in a shallow tropical reservoir." Freshwater Biology 52(6): 1120-1133.

TABLE 6.2.2.A WATER QUALITY FACILITY PLACEMENT IN RELATION TO DETENTION		
Water Quality Facility	**Preceding Detention**	**Following Detention**
Basic bioswale (Section 6.3.1)	OK	OK if downstream of Level 1 detention. However, prolonged flows may cause soil saturation and injure grass. If downstream of a Level 2 or 3 flow control pond, the wet bioswale may be needed. (See Section 6.3.2)
Wet bioswale (Section 6.3.2)	OK	OK
Lateral inflow bioswale (Section 6.3.3)	OK	No—must be installed before flows concentrate.
Filter strip or roadway filter strip (Sections 6.3.4 and 6.3.5)	OK	No—must be installed before flows concentrate.
Basic or large wetpond (Section 6.4.1)	OK	OK—less water level fluctuation in ponds downstream of detention may improve aesthetic qualities.
Basic or large combined detention and wetpond (Section 6.4.4)	Not applicable	Not applicable
Wetvault (Section 6.4.2)	OK	OK
Basic or large sand filter or sand filter vault (Section 6.5.2 or 6.5.3)	OK, but presettling and control of floatables needed	OK—sand filters downstream of a Level 2 or 3 flow control pond may require field adjustments if prolonged flows cause sand saturation and resultant hypoxic, anoxic or anaerobic conditions, interfering with the phosphorus removal mechanism and likely resulting in episodic phosphorus releases in excess of influent concentrations.
Stormwater wetland/pond (Section 6.4.3)	OK	OK—less water level fluctuation and better plant diversity are possible if the stormwater wetland is located downstream of the detention facility.

6.2.3 SETBACKS, SLOPES, AND EMBANKMENTS

This section presents the general requirements for water quality facility setbacks, side slopes, fencing, and embankments.

When locating water quality facilities near wetlands and streams, there is a potential that the wetland or stream water level may be lowered by draining to the facility. Care in the design and siting of the facility or conveyance elements associated with the facility is needed to assure this impact is avoided. Sufficient setback of the facility from the water body is one method to prevent impact.

When locating water quality facilities near steep slopes, there is a potential for slope erosion or destabilization as a result of seepage, infiltration or overflow.

❑ SETBACKS FROM TRACT LINE

Water quality facilities that are maintained by the County must be in tracts dedicated to the County. Different water quality facilities and different types of side slopes (bermed vs. cut) have somewhat different requirements for setback from the tract line or setbacks for structures on adjacent tracts; these various requirements are given in Table 6.2.3.A (p. 6-25).

Most setbacks from tract lines are for maintenance equipment maneuverability. Setback requirements do not apply to water quality facilities that are privately maintained, but adequate room for maintenance equipment shall be considered during ***site*** design. Restrictions on the placement of structures on adjacent internal lots, as specified for infiltration facilities in Sections 5.2.2, 5.2.3, and 5.2.4, do however apply to privately maintained facilities.

❑ SIDE SLOPES, FENCING, AND EMBANKMENTS

Side slopes for water quality facilities should not exceed a slope of 3H:1V. Moderately undulating slopes are acceptable and can provide a more natural setting for the facility. In general, gentle side slopes improve the aesthetic attributes of the facility and enhance safety. Fencing may be required for public safety and/or protecting the integrity and function of the facility.

Intent:

The requirements for slopes, fencing, and embankments are intended to accomplish the following objectives:

- To prevent persons from inadvertently slipping into the pond, either by providing gentle interior side slopes (3H:1V or gentler) or by fencing or other barrier
- To allow easy egress from the pond (gentle side slopes, safety benches, etc.) when access is not restricted by a fence or other barrier
- To ensure interior and exterior slopes or embankments are stable and will not create a hazardous or damaging situation.

Water quality facilities must meet the following requirements for side slopes, fencing, and embankments:

1. If the water quality facility (wetpond, sand filter, stormwater wetland, etc.) will hold standing water deeper than 2 feet, **fencing is required** for interior slopes steeper than 3H:1V. If only sections of the slope are steeper than 3:1, barrier shrubs, such as barberry, may be used rather than fencing for sections shorter than 20 feet. Planting climbing vines at the base of a fence can enhance its aesthetic qualities.
2. All open (uncovered) sand filters require fencing. The intent is to prevent sand filters from being used recreationally and to exclude domestic animals.

3. Where required, fencing shall be placed **at or above the overflow water surface**. Side slope and attendant fencing requirements are not applicable to slopes **above** the overflow water surface. The specific fencing requirements given in Chapter 5 (see Section 5.1.1) also apply to WQ facilities.
4. Except for sand filters, if non-residential commercial or industrial facilities are **privately owned and maintained**, the fencing requirements of this manual are recommended rather than required. However, the ***site*** must still comply with any fencing requirements in other codes or regulations.
5. For facilities owned and maintained by the County, and all residential facilities owned by private parties or homeowners' associations, at least **25 percent of the facility perimeter** shall have interior sides no steeper than 3H:1V, even if fenced, to minimize safety risks. Access to the side slopes shall be provided for maintenance. For private non-residential commercial and industrial facilities, the same is recommended rather than required.
6. Facilities landscaped and developed for passive recreation opportunities must comply with Detention Ponds in Recreational Tracts in Section 5.1.1,.
7. Interior side slopes may be **retaining walls**, provided that the design is prepared and stamped by a ***civil engineer***. A fence shall be provided along the top of the wall.
8. Exterior side slopes shall not be **steeper than 2H:1V** unless confirmed stable by a geotechnical engineer.
9. Water quality facilities with embankments that impound water must comply with Washington State **dam safety regulations** (WAC 173-175). The cited language below is as of February 2012 and is excerpted verbatim from the Washington Administrative Code except for substitution of *Department of Ecology* for *department*. When reading, substitute *facility* for *dam,* and *overflow water surface* for *crest*:

    - (1) These regulations are applicable to dams which can impound a volume of ten acre-feet or more of water as measured at the dam crest elevation. The ten acre-feet threshold applies to dams which can impound water on either an intermittent or permanent basis. Only water that can be stored above natural ground level or which could be released by a failure of the dam is considered in assessing the storage volume.

      The ten acre-feet threshold applies to any dam which can impound water of any quality, or which contains any substance in combination with sufficient water to exist in a liquid or slurry state at the time of initial containment.

    - (2) For a dam whose dam height is six feet or less and which meets the conditions of subsection (1) of this section, the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) may elect to exempt the dam from these regulations.

      The decision by Ecology to exempt a dam will be made on a case-by-case basis for those dams whose failure is not judged to pose a risk to life and minimal property damage would be expected.

      If the storage capacity is less than 10 acre-feet above natural ground level, then the facility is exempt from Ecology review.

TABLE 6.2.3.A SETBACK REQUIREMENTS *

WATER QUALITY FACILITY	SETBACK FROM TRACT LINE		
	At Grade or Underground	If Facility Slope is Cut into Grade	If Slope is an Embankment
Bioswale	N/A	See conveyance system require-ments (Section 4.1)	5 feet from toe of exterior slope
Filter strip	5 feet from toe	5 feet from toe	N/A
Wetpond	N/A	5 feet from emer-gency overflow water surface (WS)	5 feet from toe of exterior slope
Combined detention and wetpond	N/A	5 feet from emer-gency overflow WS	5 feet from toe of exterior slope
Stormwater wetland	N/A	5 feet from emer-gency overflow WS	5 feet from toe of exterior slope
Wetvault or sand filter vault	5 feet from property line	N/A	N/A
Sand filter ponding area	N/A	5 feet from emer-gency overflow WS	5 feet from toe of exterior slope
Linear sand filter	5 feet from property line	N/A	N/A
Proprietary media or membrane filter (vault)[21]	5 feet from property line	N/A	N/A

* **Greater setback distances are required whenever expressly stated or referenced in this manual or when required by other County codes or other agencies**. Steep slopes, land slide areas, open water features, springs, wells, and septic tank drainfields are features that often have additional setback requirements.

Geotechnical Setbacks: Except for tanks, vaults, and pipes:

1. Facilities are not allowed on slopes greater than 25% (4:1). A geotechnical analysis and report is required if located within 200 feet of a steep slope hazard area or landslide hazard area OR if the facility is located within a setback distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of a slope area that is steeper than 15%. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
2. The facility design water surface shall be a minimum of 200 feet from any steep slope hazard area or landslide hazard area. Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
3. The facility design water surface shall be set back a minimum distance from top of slope equal to the total vertical height of a slope area that is steeper than 15%. Upon analysis and approval of a licensed geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist, this setback may be reduced to 50 feet. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

Public Health Minimum Setbacks for all facilities:

Some typical setback distances imposed by the Seattle-King County Department of Public Health include the following:

[21] See Reference 14-A for approved proprietary vault filters.

TABLE 6.2.3.A SETBACK REQUIREMENTS *

- Open water features: 100 feet
- Wells: 100 feet
- Springs used for potable water: 200 feet
- Septic tanks: 50 feet, and drainfields: 100 feet, tanks or vaults must not be located so that they could impede septic drainfield flows.

WA Ecology Stormwater Facility Setback Requirements for Public Health

- Stormwater infiltration systems shall be set back at least 100 feet from open water features and 200 feet from springs used for drinking water supply. Infiltration facilities up-gradient of drinking water supplies must comply with State Health Department requirements (Washington Wellhead Protection Program, Department of Health, 12/93).
- Stormwater infiltration systems, and unlined wetponds and detention ponds shall be located at least 100 feet from drinking water wells and septic tanks and drainfields.

Where one agency's setback requirements are more or less restrictive than another's, the more restrictive setback is required.

6.2.4 FACILITY LINERS

Water quality facilities, detention facilities, and open conveyance systems in which untreated water is in direct contact with the soil may require liners for any of three reasons: groundwater quality protection, steep slope or building protection, and/or stormwater treatment facility performance.

Liners are intended to:

1. Reduce the likelihood that pollutants in stormwater will reach ground water by transmission through soil from earthen facilities and conveyances.
2. Prevent infiltration where underflow could cause problems with steep slopes or nearby structures.
3. Ensure permanent wet pools for proper functioning of wetponds, treatment wetlands, and pre-settling ponds.
4. Ensure wet conditions in the second cell of stormwater treatment wetland sufficient to maintain wetland plant vegetation.

Types of Liners

Low permeability liners

Low permeability liners reduce infiltration to a very slow rate, generally less than 0.02 inches per hour (1.22 cm/day). Low permeability liners may be fashioned from compacted till, clay, geomembrane, or concrete as detailed in Section 6.2.4.1.

Treatment liners

Treatment liners are soil layers meeting specific quality criteria. Depending on design requirements, treatment liners may include in-place native soils, amended soils, or imported soils. Treatment liners are assumed to treat infiltrating stormwater before it reaches more freely draining soils. Treatment liners have slow rates of infiltration; the initial measured rate should be less than 2.4 inches per hour (1.7×10^{-3} cm/s), but rates are not as slow as with low permeability liners. See Section 6.2.4.2 for details.

Where Liners Are Required for Groundwater Protection

Outside of Groundwater Protections Areas

A liner is required for facilities and conveyances handling untreated water that is in direct contact with the soil if the soil has an *initial infiltration rate*[22] greater than 9 inches per hour (0.15 inches per minute) and the soil suitability criteria for groundwater protection given in Chapter 5, Section 5.2.1 is not met.

Inside Groundwater Protections Areas

A liner is required for facilities and conveyances handling untreated water that is in direct contact with the soil when the soil infiltration rate exceeds an *initial infiltration rate*[32] of 2.4 inches per hour (0.04 inches per minute) and the soil suitability criteria for groundwater protection given in Chapter 5, Section 5.2.1, is not met.

Where Liners are Required to Ensure Permanent Pools and Wet Conditions

1. Both cells of a two-cell wetpond and the single cell of a one cell wetpond must retain a permanent pool of water throughout the wet season. A wetpond is considered non-compliant if the pond level drops more than 12" in any 7 day measurement period. A low permeability liner will be required to achieve this standard in infiltrative soils.

[22] Infiltration rates can either be measured in the field using methods given in Chapter 5 or inferred from the USDA soil textural triangle included in "Groundwater Protection ", Section 5.2.1 .

2. Presettling ponds must retain a permanent pool of water throughout the wet season. A presettling pond is considered non-compliant if the pond level drops more than 12" in any 7 day measurement period. A low permeability liner will be required to achieve this standard in infiltrative soils.
3. Both cells of a stormwater wetland shall be lined in infiltrative soils as follows:
    a) The first cell of a treatment wetland must retain a permanent pool of water throughout the wet season. It is considered non-compliant if the pond level drops more than 12" in any 7 day measurement period. A low permeability liner will be required to achieve this standard in infiltrative soils.
    b) The second cell must retain water for at least 10 months of the year. A low permeability liner will be required to achieve this standard in infiltrative soils. A treatment liner is an alternative where groundwater levels and/or existing soil infiltration rates are sufficient to achieve the standard.

General Design Criteria

1. Table 6.2.4.A (p. 6-29) identifies the type of liner for use with various stormwater facilities. If a facility requires a liner, a **treatment liner** shall be provided, except where a low permeability liner is noted in Table 6.2.4.A.
2. Liners shall be evenly placed over the bottom and/or sides of the treatment area of the facility as indicated in Table 6.2.4.A. Areas above the treatment volume that are required to pass flows greater than the water quality treatment flow (or volume) need not be lined, except in groundwater protection areas which must be lined to the 2 year water surface in a combined facility or overflow water surface in a non-combined facility. *Note: if the liner cannot be anchored at the required elevation, the lining must be extended to the top of the interior side slope and anchored.*
3. For **low permeability liners**, the following criteria apply**:**
    a) Where the seasonal high groundwater elevation is likely to contact a low permeability liner, liner buoyancy may be a concern. A low permeability liner shall not be used in this situation unless evaluated and recommended by a geotechnical engineer.
    b) Where grass must be planted over a low permeability liner per the facility design, a minimum of 6 inches of good topsoil or compost-amended[23] native soil (2 inches compost[23] tilled into 6 inches of native soil) must be placed over the liner in the area to be planted. Twelve inches is preferred.
    c) If an **identification sign** is required for the facility (see detention pond requirements in Section 5.1.1), the face of the sign shall bear a note indicating the facility is lined to protect water quality. In addition, the back of the sign shall include information indicating which facilities are lined, the extent of lining, the liner material used, the liner thickness (if clay or till), and the type and distance of the marker above the liner (if a geomembrane). This information need only be readable by someone standing at arms-length from the sign.
4. If a **treatment liner** will be below the seasonal high water level, the pollutant removal performance of the liner must be evaluated by a geotechnical or groundwater specialist and found to be as protective as if the liner were above the level of the groundwater.

See Sections 6.2.4.1 and 6.2.4.2 for more specific design criteria on the various options for low permeability liners and treatment liners.

[23] Compost must meet the compost quality requirements in Reference 11-C. Compost for application of this requirement in stormwater treatment wetlands must be Specification 1 Compost detailed in Reference 11-C.

TABLE 6.2.4.A LINING TYPES FOR STORMWATER FACILITIES

Facility Type	Area to be Lined	Type of Liner
Bioswale	Bottom and sides	Treatment liner
Wet bioswale	Bottom and sides	Low permeability liner (If the swale will intercept the seasonal high groundwater table, a treatment liner is recommended.)
Lateral inflow bioswale	Bottom and sides	Treatment liner
Filter strip, narrow-area filter strip	Bottom	Treatment liner
Presettling pond or basin	Bottom and sides	Low permeability liner (If the cell will intercept the seasonal high groundwater table, a treatment liner is recommended.)
Wetpond	**First cell**: bottom and sides to WQ design water surface,(except in groundwater protection areas which must be lined to the overflow water surface	Low permeability liner (If the cell will intercept the seasonal high groundwater table, a treatment liner is recommended.)
	Second cell: bottom and sides to WQ design water surface,(except in groundwater protection areas which must be lined to the overflow water surface	Low permeability liner (If the cell will intercept the seasonal high groundwater table, a treatment liner is recommended.)
	Single cell: bottom and sides to WQ design water surface, (except in groundwater protection areas which must be lined to the overflow water surface	Low permeability liner
Combined detention/WQ facility	**First cell**: bottom and sides to the 2-year live storage elevation	Low permeability liner (If the cell will intercept the seasonal high groundwater table, a treatment liner is recommended.)
	Second cell: bottom and sides to the 2-year live storage elevation	Low permeability liner (If the cell will intercept the seasonal high groundwater table, a treatment liner is recommended.)
	Single cell: bottom and sides to the 2-year live storage elevation	Low permeability liner
Wet vault	Not applicable	No liner needed
Stormwater wetland	Bottom and sides, both cells	Low permeability liner (If the facility will intercept the seasonal high groundwater table, a treatment liner is recommended.)
Sand filter	Pond sides only	Treatment liner
Detention Pond	Bottom and sides to the 2-year live storage elevation	Treatment Liner
Sand filter vault	Not applicable	No liner needed
Linear sand filter	Not applicable if in vault Bottom and sides of presettling cell if not in vault	No liner needed Low permeability or treatment liner
Proprietary filter (in vault)	Not applicable	No liner needed

6.2.4.1 DESIGN CRITERIA FOR LOW PERMEABILITY LINER OPTIONS

This section presents the design criteria for each of the following four low permeability liner options:

- Compacted till liners
- Clay liners
- Geomembrane liners
- Concrete liners.

❑ COMPACTED TILL LINERS

1. Liner thickness shall be 18 inches after compaction.
2. Soil shall be compacted to 95% minimum dry density, modified proctor method (ASTM D-1557).
3. Soil should be placed in 6 inch lifts.
4. Soils may be used that meet the following gradation:

Sieve Size	Percent Passing
6 inch	100
4 inch	90
#4	70 - 100
#200	30 - 100

❑ CLAY LINERS

1. Minimum dry (un-swollen) thickness of 12 inches
2. Compacted to 95% minimum dry density, standard proctor method ASTM D-698
3. Clay Particles Passing, ASTM D-422, not less than 30 percent
4. Plasticity Index of Clay, ASTM D4318, not less than 15 percent
5. The slope of clay liners must be restricted to 3H:IV for all areas requiring soil cover; otherwise, the soil layer must be stabilized by another method so that soil slippage into the facility does not occur. Any alternative soil stabilization method must take maintenance access into consideration.
6. Where clay liners form the sides of ponds, the interior side slope should not be steeper than 3H:1V, irrespective of fencing. This restriction is to ensure that anyone falling into the pond may climb out safely.

❑ GEOMEMBRANE LINERS

1. Geomembrane liners shall be UV resistant and have a minimum thickness of 30 mils. A thickness of 40 mils shall be used in areas of maintenance access or where heavy machinery must be operated over the membrane. Protect the geomembrane liner from puncture, tearing, and abrasion by installing geotextile fabric on the top and bottom of the geomembrane. .
2. Geomembranes shall be bedded according to the manufacturer's recommendations.
3. Liners shall be installed so that they can be covered with 12 inches of top dressing forming the bottom and sides of the water quality facility. Top dressing shall consist of 6 inches of crushed rock covered with 6 inches of native soil. The rock layer is to mark the location of the liner for future maintenance operations. As an alternative to crushed rock, 12 inches of native soil may be used if orange plastic "safety fencing" or another highly visible continuous marker is embedded 6 inches above the membrane.

4. If possible, liners should be of a contrasting color so that maintenance workers are aware of any areas where a liner may have become exposed when maintaining the facility.
5. Where top dressing is required, liners shall not be used on slopes steeper than 5H:1V, to prevent the top dressing material from slipping. Textured liners may be used on slopes up to 3H:1V upon recommendation by a geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist that the top dressing will be stable for all site conditions, including maintenance.

❑ CONCRETE LINERS

1. Portland cement concrete liners are allowed irrespective of facility size, and shotcrete may be used on slopes; however, specifications must be developed by an engineer who certifies the liner against cracking or losing water retention ability under expected conditions of operation, including facility maintenance operations. Cautionary design note: weight of maintenance equipment can be up to 80,000 pounds when fully loaded.
2. Asphaltic concrete may not be used for a liner because of asphalt's permeability to many organic pollutants, and potential for asphalt to leach pollutants into stored or conveyed water.
3. If grass is to be grown in soil over a concrete liner, slopes must be no steeper than 5H:1V to prevent the top dressing material from slipping.

6.2.4.2 DESIGN CRITERIA FOR TREATMENT LINER OPTIONS

This section presents the design criteria for the organic soil layer used as a treatment liner.

❑ ORGANIC SOIL LAYER

1. A two-foot thick layer of soil with a minimum organic matter (OM) content of 1.0% AND a minimum cation exchange capacity (CEC) of 8 milliequivalents per 100 grams (meq/100g) can be used as a treatment layer beneath a water quality or detention facility. If the soil is amended or imported, the top 8 inches must have a minimum cation exchange capacity of 10 meq/100g and the remainder of the depth no less than 8 meq/100g. An 18-inch layer with the same CEC and OM profile will suffice for ditch conveyances, based on unsaturated flow as a result of alternating wet-dry periods.
2. To demonstrate that in-place soils meet the above criteria, one sample per 1,000 square feet of facility area, or 500 lineal feet of ditch, and no fewer than three samples shall be tested. Each sample shall be a composite of equally spaced subsamples taken throughout the full extent of the treatment layer depth (usually two to six feet below the expected facility invert for facilities), except stratified composite sampling is required where the top 8 inches are required to meet a higher CEC level (composite of top eight inches and separate composite of the remainder below).
3. Organic content shall be measured on a dry weight basis using ASTM D2974.
4. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) shall be tested according to Reference 12-A, Measuring Cation Exchange Capacity.
5. Certification by a soils-testing laboratory that imported soil meets the organic content and CEC criteria above shall be provided to the local approval authority.
6. Soil amendment may only be compost meeting the requirements of Reference 11-C. Compost for application of this requirement in stormwater treatment wetlands must be Specification 1 Compost detailed in Reference 11-C.
7. If a treatment liner will be below the seasonal high water level, the pollutant removal performance of the liner must be evaluated by a geotechnical or ground water specialist and found to be as protective as if the liner were above the level of the ground water.

6.2.5 FLOW SPLITTER DESIGNS

Most water quality facilities may be designed as **flow-through, or on-line, systems** with flows above the water quality design flow or volume simply passing through the facility untreated. However, it is sometimes desirable to restrict flows to water quality treatment facilities and bypass the remaining higher flows around them **(off-line facilities)**. This can be accomplished by **splitting flows** in excess of the water quality design flow upstream of the facility and diverting higher flows to a bypass pipe or channel. The bypass typically enters a detention facility or the downstream receiving drainage system, depending on flow control requirements. In most cases, it is a designer's choice whether WQ facilities are designed as on-line or off-line; an exception is oil/water separators, which must be designed off-line.

A crucial factor in designing flow splitters is to ensure that low flows are delivered to the treatment facility up to the **WQ design flow rate**. Above this rate, additional flows are diverted to the bypass system with minimal increase in head at the flow splitter structure to avoid surcharging the water quality facility under high flow conditions.

Flow splitters are typically catch basins or vaults with concrete baffles. In place of baffles, the splitter mechanism may be a half tee section with a solid top and an orifice in the bottom of the tee section. A full tee option may also be used (see "Design Criteria" below). Two possible design options for flow splitters are shown in Figure 6.2.5.A and Figure 6.2.5.B. Other designs that achieve the result of splitting low flows, up to the WQ design flow, into the WQ treatment facility and divert higher flows around the facility may be considered (an adjustment per Section 1.4 may be required upon evaluation by DLS-Permitting staff).

6.2.5.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Flow splitters are modeled with the approved model using the design flow rates as described in Section 6.2.1. The stage/discharge relationship of the outflow pipes should be determined using the backwater analysis techniques in Chapter 4. The orifice shall be sized per Section 5.1.4.2. Weirs should be analyzed as sharp-crested weirs.

6.2.5.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

General

1. A flow splitter shall be designed to deliver the required **water quality design flow rate** specified in Section 6.2.1 (p. 6-17) to the WQ treatment facility.
2. The **top of the weir** shall be located at the water surface for the design flow. Remaining flows enter the bypass line. Flows shall be modeled using 15-minute time steps.
3. The **maximum head** shall be minimized for flow in excess of the water quality design flow. Specifically, flow to the WQ facility at the 100-year water surface shall not increase the design WQ flow by more than 10%.
4. Either design shown in Figure 6.2.5.A (p. 6-34) or Figure 6.2.5.B (p. 6-35) shall be used.
5. Special applications, such as roads, may require the use of a **modified flow splitter**. The baffle wall may be fitted with a notch and adjustable weir plate to proportion runoff volumes other than high flows.
6. For ponding facilities, backwater effects must be included in designing the height of the standpipe in the catch basin.
7. Ladder or step and handhold access (per King County Road standards) shall be provided. If the weir wall is higher than 36 inches, two ladders, one to either side of the wall, are required.

Material Requirements

1. The **splitter baffle** shall be installed in a Type 2 catch basin or vault.
2. The **baffle wall** shall be made of reinforced concrete or another suitable material resistant to corrosion, and have a minimum 4-inch thickness. The minimum clearance between the top of the baffle wall and the bottom of the catch basin cover shall be 4 feet; otherwise, dual access points shall be provided.
3. All **metal parts** shall be corrosion resistant. Examples of preferred materials include aluminum, stainless steel, and plastic. Zinc and galvanized materials, bronze and brass, and cadmium-plated hardware shall not be used unless there is no substitute, because of aquatic toxicity. Painting or other coating of metal parts shall not be allowed because of poor longevity and lack of standardization or assurance of non-toxic coatings.

FIGURE 6.2.5.A FLOW SPLITTER, OPTION A



NOTE:
THE WATER QUALITY DISCHARGE PIPE MAY REQUIRE AN ORIFICE PLATE TO BE INSTALLED ON THE OUTLET TO CONTROL THE HEIGHT OF THE DESIGN WATER SURFACE (WEIR HEIGHT). THE DESIGN WATER SURFACE SHOULD BE SET TO PROVIDE A MINIMUM HEADWATER/DIAMETER RATIO OF 2.0 ON THE OUTLET PIPE.

FIGURE 6.2.5.B FLOW SPLITTER, OPTION B



6.2.6 FLOW SPREADING OPTIONS

Flow spreaders function to uniformly spread flows across the inflow portion of water quality facilities (e.g., sand filter, bioswale, or filter strip).

There are five flow spreader options presented in this section:

- Anchored section: Anchored plate or board (Option A)
- Concrete sump box (Option B)
- Notched curb spreader (Option C)
- Through-curb ports (Option D)
- Interrupted curbing (Option E)

Options A through C may be used for spreading flows that are concentrated. Any one of these options may be used when spreading is required by the facility design criteria. Options A through C may also be used for unconcentrated (sheet) flows, and in some cases must be used, such as to correct for moderate grade changes along a filter strip.

Options D and E are only for flows that are already unconcentrated when they enter a filter strip or lateral inflow bioswale. Other flow spreader options are possible with approval from DLS-Permitting.

6.2.6.1 DESIGN CRITERIA FOR FLOW SPREADER OPTIONS

General Design Criteria

1. Flow must not escape around ends or through any breaks in a flow spreader.
2. Where flow enters the flow spreader through a pipe, it is recommended that the **pipe be submerged** to the extent practical to dissipate energy as much as possible.
3. For **higher velocity inflows** (greater than 5 cfs for the 100-yr storm), a Type 1 catch basin should be positioned in the spreader, and the inflow pipe should enter the catch basin with flows exiting through the top grate. The top of the grate should be lower than the level spreader plate, or if a notched spreader is used, lower than the bottom of the v-notches.
4. Table 4.2.2.F in Chapter 4 provides general guidance for rock protection at outfalls.

❑ OPTION A — ANCHORED PLATE OR BOARD (FIGURE 6.2.6.A)

1. An adjustable-level anchored plate or board flow spreader shall be **preceded by a sump** having a minimum depth of 8 inches and minimum width of 24 inches. If not otherwise stabilized, the sump area shall be lined to reduce erosion and to provide energy dissipation.
2. The top surface of the flow spreader plate or board **shall be level**, projecting a minimum of 2 inches above the ground surface of the water quality facility, or **v-notched** with notches 6 to 10 inches on center and 1 to 6 inches deep (use shallower notches with closer spacing). Alternative designs are allowed. The anchored plate or board level shall be adjustable using slotted bolt holes in the anchored plate or board.
3. A flow spreader plate or board shall extend horizontally beyond the bottom width of the facility to prevent water from eroding the side slope. The **horizontal extent** should be such that the bank is protected for all flows up to the 100-year flow or the maximum flow that will enter the WQ facility.
4. Flow spreader plates or boards shall be **securely fixed in place** by bolts through slotted holes for adjustability in establishing and maintaining level.

5. Flow spreader plates or boards may be made of either **wood, metal, fiberglass reinforced plastic, or other durable material**. If wood, untreated 4 by 10-inch cedar heartwood lumber or cedar landscape timbers are acceptable.
6. **Anchor posts** shall be 4-inch square concrete or tubular stainless steel. Other material resistant to decay may be used if approved by DLS-Permitting.

❑ OPTION B — CONCRETE SUMP BOX (FIGURE 6.2.6.B)

1. The **wall of the downstream side** of a rectangular concrete sump box shall extend a minimum of 2 inches above the treatment bed. This serves as a weir to spread the flows uniformly across the bed. The wall shall have an adjustable anchored plate or board as described in Option A above. The adjustable anchored plate or board shall be securely fixed to the concrete wall and meet the same material specifications as described in Option A above.
2. The **downstream wall** of a sump box shall have "wing walls" at both ends. **Side walls and returns** shall be slightly higher than the weir so that erosion of the side slope is minimized.
3. **Concrete** for a sump box may be either cast-in-place or precast, but the bottom of the sump shall be reinforced with wire mesh for cast-in-place sumps.
4. Sump boxes shall be placed over bases that consists of 4 inches of crushed rock, $^{5}/_{8}$-inch minus to help assure the sump remains level.

❑ OPTION C — NOTCHED CURB SPREADER (FIGURE 6.2.6.C)

Notched curb spreader sections shall be made of extruded concrete laid side by side and level. Typically five "teeth" per four-foot section provide good spacing. The space between adjacent "teeth" forms a v-notch.

❑ OPTION D — THROUGH-CURB PORTS (FIGURE 6.2.6.D)

Sheet flows from paved areas entering filter strips or lateral inflow bioswales may use curb ports or interrupted curbs (Option E) to allow flows to enter the strip or swale. Curb ports use fabricated openings that allow concrete curbing to be poured or extruded while still providing an opening through the curb to admit water to the WQ facility.

Openings in the curb shall be at regular intervals but at least every 6 feet (minimum). The width of each curb port opening shall be a minimum of 11 inches. Approximately 15 percent or more of the curb section length should be in open ports, and no port should discharge more than about 10 percent of the flow.

❑ OPTION E — INTERRUPTED CURB (NO FIGURE)

Interrupted curbs are sections of curb placed to have gaps spaced at regular intervals along the total width (or length, depending on facility) of the treatment area. At a minimum, **gaps** shall be every 6 feet to allow distribution of flows into the treatment facility before they become too concentrated. The opening shall be a minimum of 11 inches. As a general rule, no opening should discharge more than 10 percent of the overall flow entering the facility.

FIGURE 6.2.6.A FLOW SPREADER OPTION A: ANCHORED PLATE

EXAMPLE OF ANCHORED PLATE
USED WITH A SAND FILTER*
(MAY ALSO BE USED WITH OTHER
WATER QUALITY FACILITIES).



*SAND FILTER MAY USE OTHER SPREADING OPTIONS

PLAN VIEW
NTS



ALTERNATIVE DESIGN
CATCH BASIN RECOMMENDED FOR HIGHER FLOW SITUATIONS (GENERALLY FOR INFLOW VELOCITIES OF 5 FPS OR GREATER FOR 100-YEAR STORM).

SECTION A-A
NTS

FIGURE 6.2.6.B FLOW SPREADER OPTION B: CONCRETE SUMP BOX

EXAMPLE OF A CONCRETE SUMP FLOW SPREADER USED WITH A BIOFILTRATION SWALE (MAY BE USED WITH OTHER WQ FACILITIES)



PLAN VIEW
NTS



SECTION A-A
NTS

NOTE:
EXTEND SIDES INTO SLOPE. HEIGHT OF SIDE WALL AND WING WALLS MUST BE SUFFICIENT TO HANDLE THE 100-YEAR FLOW OR THE HIGHEST FLOW ENTERING THE FACILITY.



SECTION B-B
NTS

FIGURE 6.2.6.C FLOW SPREADER OPTION C: NOTCHED CURB SPREADER



FIGURE 6.2.6.D FLOW SPREADER OPTION D: THROUGH-CURB PORT



6.3 VEGETATED FLOWPATH FACILITY DESIGNS

This section presents the methods, details of analysis, and design criteria for bioswales and filter strips. Included in this section are the following specific facility designs:

- Basic Bioswales, Section 6.3.1 (p. 6-41)
- Wet Bioswales, Section 6.3.2 (p. 6-57)
- Lateral Inflow Bioswales, Section 6.3.3 (p. 6-60)
- Standard Filter Strips, Section 6.3.4 (p. 6-61)
- Narrow Area Filter Strips, Section 6.3.5 (p. 6-68)

General Considerations

Vegetated flowpath facilities are subject to a number of concerns that do not affect other facilities. Failure mechanisms can include adverse change in plant community, vegetation loss, erosion or channelization, detrimental change in slope or cross-section from siltation, and ponding. The relationship between the surface soil, subsurface soil, groundwater interactions, vegetation type, weather, and shading all contribute to the success or failure of a vegetated flowpath facility. Successful establishment of vegetation requires seeding or planting at a time of year that ensures optimal moisture and temperature/sunlight for growth. Maintenance requires mowing, mechanical weed control, and silt removal (e.g., in the bed of a bioswale, or to remove a 'micro-berm' forming at the entry edge of a filter strip), which may require re-planting. While there is initial control over vegetation type, the plant community can change on its own over time, and soil profile and content can change over time (compaction from mowing, siltation, holes from voles, etc.). Solutions are site-specific, may require seasonal observation, covering the full range of climatic conditions, and even then, something that works in a normal rainfall year may not to work in an excessively dry or wet year.

The information presented for each facility is organized into the following two categories:

1. **Methods of Analysis:** Contains a step-by-step procedure for designing and sizing each facility.
2. **Design Criteria:** Contains the details, specifications, and material requirements for each facility, plus construction and maintenance considerations as applicable.

6.3.1 BASIC BIOSWALES

A *bioswale* is an open, gently sloped, vegetated channel designed for treatment of stormwater (see the details in Figure 6.3.1.A through Figure 6.3.1.E beginning on page 6-54). The primary pollutant removal mechanism is sedimentation enhanced by grass blades and to a lesser extent by potential trapping and adhesion of pollutants to the grass and thatch. Bioswales generally do not remove dissolved pollutants effectively, although some infiltration to underlying soils may occur depending on the nature of those soils and any required facility liners.

Applications and Limitations

Data suggest that the performance of bioswales is highly variable from storm to storm. Ecology and King County recommend considering other treatment methods that perform more consistently, such as sand filters or wet ponds, before using a bioswale.

A bioswale is designed so that water will flow evenly across the entire width of a densely vegetated area. A swale may be designed for both treatment and conveyance of onsite stormwater flow. This combined use can reduce development costs by eliminating the need for separate conveyance systems.

Bioswales are best applied on a relatively small scale (generally less than 5 acres of impervious surface). They fit well along roadways, driveways, and parking lots. Swales are more costly to apply in situations where the swale channel would be deep; in deep swales, self-shading can inhibit the necessary grass growth, resulting in poor pollutant removal performance. Some specific considerations for bioswale applications are as follows:

- A bioswale **shall not be located in a shaded area**. For healthy vegetation growth, a swale should receive a minimum of 6 hours sunlight daily throughout the year, throughout the length of the swale. .
- To maintain healthy grass growth, a **basic bioswale must dry between storms**. It shall not receive continuous base flows (such as seepage from a hill slope throughout the winter) or be located in a high groundwater area, because saturated soil conditions will kill grass. If these conditions are likely to occur, design options given under "Design Criteria" (p. 6-46) shall be used, or the wet bioswale design may be used (see Section 6.3.2, p. 6-57, for details).
- Stormwater runoff carrying **high concentrations of oil and grease** kills vegetation and impairs the treatment capability of a swale. Where a ***high use site*** is tributary to a proposed bioswale, an oil control facility option listed in Section 6.6 (p. 6-133) shall be installed to treat the subject runoff prior to entering the bioswale.
- **Modifying an existing drainage ditch** to create an engineered bioswale may be difficult due to physical constraints and because ditches often serve as conveyance for higher flows from larger offsite areas.
- **Utilities** may be located in swale side slopes above the WQ design depth. However, the repair or placement of utilities in swale side slopes requires aggressive implementation of erosion control practices to prevent soil and sediment from reaching the treatment area of the swale.

Note: Consult the water quality menus in Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) for information on how this facility may be used to meet Core Requirement # 8. Also see ***Error! Reference source not found.*** *on page* ***Error! Bookmark not defined.*** *for guidance on which type of bioswale (basic, wet or lateral inflow) to use for a given set of* ***site*** *characteristics.*

6.3.1.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Bioswale sizing is based on several variables, including the peak water quality design flow, longitudinal slope, vegetation height, bottom width, side slope, required hydraulic residence time (i.e., the time required for flow to travel the full length of the swale), and design flow depth. Swales sized and built using the method of analysis outlined in this section and the required design criteria presented in Section 6.3.1.2 are expected to meet the Basic Water Quality menu goal of 80% TSS removal. Procedures for sizing bioswales are summarized below.

Step 1: Calculate design flows. The swale design is based on the water quality design flow Q_{wq} (see Section 6.2.1, p. 6-17, for a definition of water quality design flow). If a bioswale is used for conveyance, the capacity requirements of Core Requirement #4 must be met. These flows must be estimated using the hydrologic analysis procedures described in detail in Chapter 3 and applying the flow rate modifications described in Section 6.2.1.

If the bioswale is located **upstream** of an onsite detention facility, or if a detention facility is not required, the bioswale design flow shall be the *on-line* or *off-line* (as applicable) water quality flow rate determined from the approved continuous model, modified by a factor k, the on-line or off-line ratio determined from Table 6.2.1. This modified design flow rate is an estimate of the design flow rate determined by using SBUH procedures.

***Guidance for Bypassing Off-line Facilities*:**

Most bioswales are currently designed to be on-line facilities. However, an off-line design is possible. Bioswales designed in an off-line mode should not engage a bypass until the flow rate exceeds the modified off-line water quality design flow rate.

If the bioswale is located downstream of an onsite detention facility, the swale design flow shall be the 2-year release rate from the detention facility.

Step 2: Calculate swale bottom width. The swale bottom width is calculated based on Manning's equation for open-channel flow. This equation can be used to calculate discharges as follows:

$$Q = \frac{1.49}{n} AR^{0.67} s^{0.5} \quad (6\text{-}3)$$

where Q = flow rate (cfs)
n = Manning's roughness coefficient (unitless)
A = cross-sectional area of flow (sf)
R = hydraulic radius (ft) = area divided by wetted perimeter
s = longitudinal slope (along direction of flow) (ft/ft)

For shallow flow depths in swales, channel side slopes are ignored in the calculation of bottom width. Use the following equation (a simplified form of Manning's formula) to estimate the required swale bottom width:

$$b = \frac{Q_{wq} n_{wq}}{1.49 y^{1.67} s^{0.5}} \quad (6\text{-}4)$$

where b = bottom width of swale (ft)
Q_{wq} = the *modified* water quality design flow, k(Q, modeled on-line or off-line rate), (cfs)
where k = correlation ratio determined from Table 6.2.1.A
n_{wq} = Manning's roughness coefficient for shallow flow conditions = 0.20 (unitless)
y = design flow depth (ft)
S = longitudinal slope (along direction of flow) (ft/ft)

See "Water Depth and Base Flow" (p. 6-47) to determine the allowable design water depth. Proceed to Step 3 if the bottom width is calculated to be between 2 and 10 feet.

A minimum 2-foot bottom width is required. Therefore, if the calculated bottom width is less than 2 feet, increase the width to 2 feet and recalculate the design flow depth y using Equation (6-5) as follows:

$$y = \left(\frac{Q_{wq} n_{wq}}{1.49 s^{0.5} b} \right)^{3/5} \quad (6\text{-}5)$$

where Q_{wq}, n_{wq}, and s are the same values as used in Equation (6-4), but b = 2 feet.

The maximum bottom width is 10 feet; therefore if the calculated bottom width exceeds 10 feet, then one of the following steps is necessary to reduce the design bottom width:

- Increase the longitudinal slope S to a maximum of 6 feet in 100 feet (0.06 feet per foot).
- Increase the design flow depth y to a maximum of 4 inches (0.333 feet).
- Reduce the design flow rate by rearranging the swale location with respect to detention facilities; a swale located downstream of a detention facility may have a lower flow rate due to flow attenuation in the detention facility. However, if a swale is located downstream of a detention facility providing Level 2 or Level 3 flow control, and it is located in till soils (according to the soil groups in Table 3.2.2.A), then the swale must be designed as a wet bioswale (see Section 6.3.2, p. 6-57).

- Place a divider lengthwise along the swale bottom (cross section) at least three-quarters of the swale length (beginning at the inlet), without compromising the design flow depth and swale lateral slope requirements. See "Design Criteria" (p. 6-46) for swale divider requirements. A flow spreader must be provided at the inlet to evenly divide flows into each half of the swale cross section. See Section 6.2.6 (p. 6-36) for details on flow spreaders.

Step 3: Determine design flow velocity. To calculate the design flow velocity through the swale, use the flow continuity equation:

$$V_{wq} = \frac{Q_{wq}}{A_{wq}} \quad (6\text{-}6)$$

where V_{wq} = design flow velocity (fps)
A_{wq} = $by + Zy^2$ = cross-sectional area (sf) of flow at design depth
Z = side slope length per unit height (e.g., $Z = 3$ if side slopes are 3H:1V)

If the design flow velocity exceeds 1 foot per second, go back to Step 2 and modify one or more of the design parameters (longitudinal slope, bottom width, or flow depth) to reduce the design flow velocity to 1 foot per second or less. If the design flow velocity is calculated to be less than 1 foot per second, proceed to Step 4. *Note: It is desirable to have the design velocity as low as possible, both to improve treatment effectiveness and to reduce swale length requirements.*

Step 4: Calculate swale length. Use the following equation to determine the necessary swale length to achieve a hydraulic residence time of at least 9 minutes (540 seconds):

$$L = 540 V_{wq} \quad (6\text{-}7)$$

where L = minimum allowable swale length (ft)
V_{wq} = design flow velocity (fps)

The minimum swale length is 100 feet; therefore, if the swale length is calculated to be less than 100 feet, increase the length to a minimum of 100 feet, leaving the bottom width unchanged. If a larger swale could be fitted on the ***site***, consider using a greater length to increase the hydraulic residence time and improve the swale's pollutant removal capability. If the calculated length is too long for the ***site***, or if it would cause layout problems, such as encroachment into shaded areas, proceed to Step 5 to further modify the layout. If the swale length can be accommodated on the ***site***, proceed to Step 6.

Step 5: Adjust swale layout to fit on site. If the swale length calculated in Step 4 is too long for the ***site***, the length may be reduced (to a minimum of 100 feet) by increasing the bottom width up to a maximum of 16 feet, **as long as the 9 minute retention time is retained**. However, the length cannot be increased in order to reduce the bottom width because Manning's depth-velocity-flow rate relationships would not be preserved. If the bottom width is increased to greater than 10 feet, a low dividing berm is needed to split the swale cross section in half.

Length can be adjusted by finding the top area of the swale and providing an equivalent top area with the adjusted dimensions.

a) Calculate the swale treatment top area based on the swale length calculated in Step 4:

$$A_{top} = (b_i + b_{slope})\, L_i \quad (6\text{-}8)$$

where A_{top} = top area (sf) at the design treatment depth
b_i = bottom width (ft) calculated in Step 2

b_{slope} = the additional top width (ft) above the side slope for the design water depth (for 3:1 side slopes and a 4-inch water depth, b_{slope} = 2 feet)
L_i = initial length (ft) calculated in Step 4.

b) Use the swale top area and a reduced swale length L_f to increase the bottom width, using the following equation:

$$L_f = \frac{A_{top}}{(b_f + b_{slope})} \quad (6\text{-}9)$$

where L_f = reduced swale length (ft)
b_f = increased bottom width (ft).

c) Recalculate V_{wq} according to Step 3 using the revised cross-sectional area A_{wq} based on the increased bottom width b_f. Revise the design as necessary if the design flow velocity exceeds 1 foot per second.

d) Recalculate to assure that the 9 minute retention time is retained.

Step 6: Provide conveyance capacity for flows higher than Q_{wq}. Bioswales may be designed as flow-through channels that convey flows higher than the water quality design flow rate, or they may be designed to incorporate a high-flow bypass upstream of the swale inlet. A high-flow bypass usually results in a smaller swale size (see flow splitter options, page 6-32, for more information on designing bypasses). If a high-flow bypass is provided, this step is not needed. If no high-flow bypass is provided, proceed with the procedure below.

a) Check the swale sized using Steps 2 through 5 above to determine whether the swale can convey the 25-year and 100-year peak flows consistent with the conveyance requirements of Core Requirement #4 in Chapter 1. The roughness coefficient *n* in Manning's equation shall be selected to reflect the deeper flow conditions with less resistance provided by grass during these high-flow events. The bottom width (Step 2) should be calculated as per Section 4.4.1.2, "Methods of Analysis" for open channels.

b) The 100-year peak flow velocity ($V_{100} = Q_{100}/A_{100}$) based on the 100-year flow depth must be less than 3.0 feet per second. If V_{100} exceeds 3.0 feet per second, return to Step 2 and increase the bottom width or flatten the longitudinal slope as necessary to reduce the 100-year peak flow velocity to 3.0 feet per second or less. If the longitudinal slope is flattened, the swale bottom width must be recalculated (Step 2) and meet all design criteria.

c) The conveyance requirements in Core Requirement #4 (see Section 1.2.4) must be met.

6.3.1.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

An effective bioswale achieves uniform sheet flow over and through a densely vegetated area for a period of several minutes. Figure 6.3.1.A (p. 6-54) shows a typical bioswale schematic. Basic design requirements for achieving proper flow conditions through a bioswale are described below.

Swale Geometry

1. Swale **bottom width** shall be between 2 and 16 feet.[24]

   a) **Minimum bottom width** is 2 feet to allow for ease of mowing.

   b) If the bottom width exceeds 10 feet, a lengthwise divider shall be provided. The divider shall extend from the flow spreader at the inlet for at least three-quarters of the swale length.

   c) **Maximum bottom width** is 16 feet, excluding the width of the divider.

   Note: Multiple swales may be placed side by side provided the flow to each swale is split at the inlet and spread separately for each swale. Adjacent swales may be separated with a vertical wall, but a low berm is preferred for easier maintenance and better landscape integration.

2. The **longitudinal slope** (along the direction of flow) should be between 1.5 percent and 6 percent.

   a) If the longitudinal slope is less than 1.5 percent, underdrains must be provided (see next page and Figure 6.3.1.C, p. 6-55, for underdrain specifications) or the swale must be designed according to the criteria presented in Section 6.3.2 (p. 6-57) for **wet bioswales**.

   Wet bioswales in outwash soils and low groundwater conditions are discouraged as plant survival may be compromised.

   b) If the longitudinal slope exceeds 6 percent, **check dams** with vertical drops of 12 inches or less shall be provided to achieve a bottom slope of 6 percent or less between the drop sections.

3. The swale bottom shall be **flat** in cross section (perpendicular to the flow direction) to promote even flow across the whole width of the swale.

4. The **minimum swale length** shall be 100 feet; no maximum length is set.

5. The **swale treatment area** (below the WQ design water depth) shall be trapezoidal in cross-section. S**ide slopes within the treatment area** should be 3H:1V or flatter whenever possible, but shall not steeper than 2H:1V.

6. **Side slope sections above the treatment area** may be steeper than 3H:1V, subject to the following provisions:

   a) If there is an interior side slope between 1H:1V and 2H:1V outside the treatment area, the slope shall be reinforced with **erosion control netting or matting** during construction.

   b) Any interior slope steeper than 1H:1V shall be constructed as a **rockery or structural retaining wall**[25] to prevent the swale slope from sloughing. To ensure that adequate sunlight reaches the swale bottom, **only one wall can be taller than 2 feet.** If possible, the higher wall should be on the northern or eastern side of the swale to maximize the amount of light reaching the swale bottom.

---

[24] Experience with biofiltration swales shows that when the width exceeds about 10 feet it is difficult to keep the water from forming low-flow channels. It is also difficult to construct the bottom level and without sloping to one side. Biofilters are best constructed by leveling the bottom after excavating, and after the soil is amended. A single-width pass with a front-end loader produces a better result than a multiple-width pass.

[25] Soil bioengineering techniques may be used as an alternative to a rockery or structural retaining wall.

7. **Curved swales** are encouraged for aesthetic reasons, but curves must be gentle to prevent erosion and allow for vehicle access to remove sediment. Criteria for maintenance access road curves shall also be applied for swale curves (see Section 5.1.1.1 for design of access roads).

Water Depth and Base Flow

1. A swale that will be **frequently mowed**, as in commercial or landscaped areas, shall have a **design water depth** of no more than 2 inches (0.17 feet) under the water quality design flow conditions.
2. A swale that will **not be frequently mowed**, such as along roadsides or in rural areas, shall have a **design water depth** of no more than 4 inches (0.33 feet) under the water quality design flow conditions.
3. If a swale is located **downstream of a detention facility providing Level 2 or Level 3 flow control**, and it is located in till soils (according to the soil groups in Table 3.2.2.B, Chapter 3), then the swale must be designed as a **wet bioswale** (see Section 6.3.2, p. 6-57).
4. If a swale will receive **base flows** because of seeps and springs onsite, then either a low-flow drain shall be provided or a wet bioswale shall be used. *Low-flow drains* are narrow surface drains filled with pea gravel that run lengthwise through the swale to bleed off base flows; they should not be confused with underdrains. In general, base flows less than 0.01 cfs per acre can be handled with a low-flow drain. If flows are likely to be in excess of this level, a wet bioswale shall be used.
5. If a **low-flow drain** is used, it shall extend the entire length of the swale. The drain shall be a minimum of 6 inches deep, and its width shall be no greater than 5 percent of the calculated swale bottom width; the width of the drain shall be in addition to the required bottom width. If an anchored plate or concrete sump is used for flow spreading at the swale inlet, the plate or sump wall shall have a v-notch (maximum top width = 5% of swale width) or holes to allow preferential exit of low flows into the drain. See Figure 6.3.1.D (p. 6-56) for low-flow drain specifications and details.

Flow Velocity, Energy Dissipation, and Flow Spreading

1. The **maximum flow velocity** through the swale under the water quality design flow conditions shall not exceed 1.0 foot per second.
2. The **maximum flow velocity** through the swale under the peak 100-year flow conditions shall not exceed 3.0 feet per second.
3. A **flow spreader** shall be used at the inlet of a swale to dissipate energy and evenly spread runoff as sheet flow over the swale bottom. Flow spreaders are recommended but not required at mid-length. For details on various types of flow spreaders, see Section 6.2.6 (p. 6-36).
4. If **check dams** are used to reduce the longitudinal slope of the swale, a **flow spreader** shall be provided at the toe of each vertical drop. The spreader must span the width of the swale. An **energy dissipater** shall also be provided if flows leaving the spreader could be erosive.
5. If a swale **discharges flows to a slope** rather than to a piped system or confined channel, an **energy dissipater** shall be provided at the swale outlet. This requirement also applies to discharges from swale underdrains. The outlet energy dissipater may be a riprap pad sized according to the specifications described in Table 4.2.2.A for conveyance system outfalls.

Underdrains

If underdrains are required by Criterion 2 under "Swale Geometry" (p. 6-46), they must meet the following criteria:

1. Underdrains must be made of **PVC perforated pipe** (SDR 35), laid parallel to the swale bottom and backfilled and bedded as shown in Figure 6.3.1.C (p. 6-55).
2. For facilities to be maintained by the County, the underdrain pipe must be 6 inches or greater in **diameter**. (Six inches is the smallest diameter pipe that can be cleaned without damage to the pipe.)

3. Six inches of clean **drain rock** ($^5/_8$-inch minus) must be above the top of the pipe.
4. The drain rock must be wrapped in **geotextile**. See WSDOT Standard Specifications (2014), 9-33.2(1) Geotextile Properties / Table 1 / Moderate Survivability / Woven, and Table 2, Class A
5. The underdrain **must drain freely** to an acceptable discharge point.

Swale Divider

1. If a swale divider is used (such as when swale bottom widths are greater than 10 feet), the divider shall be constructed of a **firm material** that will resist weathering and not erode, such as concrete, compacted soil seeded with grass, untreated heartwood cedar, or untreated whole de-barked cedar logs. Selection of divider material shall take into consideration swale maintenance, especially mowing.
2. The divider shall have a **minimum height** of one inch higher than the water quality design water depth.
3. **Earthen berms** shall be no steeper than 2H:1V.
4. Materials other than earth (e.g. concrete, untreated heartwood cedar lumber, etc.) shall be embedded to a depth sufficient to be stable.

Access

1. For swales to be maintained by King County, an **access road** shall be provided to the swale inlet and along one side of the swale according to the schedule shown in Table 6.3.1.B below. *Note: County streets and paved parking areas adjacent to the top of slope may be counted as access.*

TABLE 6.3.1.B REQUIREMENTS FOR BIOSWALE ACCESS ROAD	
Swale Bottom Area*: *L* x *w* (sf)	**Access Road Length**
200 - 1000	$^1/_2$ swale length L
1000 - 1600	$^2/_3$ swale length L
Over 1600	entire swale length L
* The swale area used for computing access road length may be the bottom area.	

2. In areas outside critical area buffers, **wheel strips** made of modular grid pavement may be built into the swale bottom for maintenance vehicle access instead of an access road. The subgrade for the strips must be engineered to support a vehicle weight of 16,000 pounds and installed according to the manufacturer's recommendations on firm native soil or structural fill, not on the amended topsoils. Each strip shall be 18 inches wide and spaced as shown in Figure 6.3.1.E (p. 6-56). The strip lattice should be filled or covered with native soil (no amendments required) and overseeded with grass. If a low-flow drain is also needed (see "Water Depth and Base Flow" on page 6-47), a portion of the wheel strip may be filled with pea gravel as appropriate to form the drain. Continuous vehicle access shall be provided to the wheel strips from the access road. If access to the wheel strips is over the flow-spreader, then a grate (or other DLS-Permitting approved method) shall be placed over the flow-spreader for vehicle access. Wheel strips shall not be counted as treatment area; therefore, the swale bottom width must be increased accordingly.

Soil Amendment

1. If the soil has an organic content of 1 percent or greater, do not amend. If the soil has an organic content of less than 1%, two inches of **well-rotted compost** shall be tilled into the entire swale treatment area. This applies to both till soils as well as sandy soils. In very coarse soils (gravels or coarser), **top soil** must be imported and amended to the required organic content.

   a) Compost must be tilled into the underlying native soil to a depth of 6 inches to prevent the compost from being washed out and to avoid creating a defined layer of different soil types that can prevent downward percolation of water.

   b) Compost must meet Specification 1 described in Reference 11-C.

2. **Soil or sod** with a clay content of greater than 10 percent should be avoided. If there is concern for contamination of the underlying groundwater, the swale bottom shall be lined with a treatment liner to prevent groundwater contamination. See "Facility Liners", Section 6.2.4 (p. 6-27), for details on treatment liner options.

Planting Requirements

1. Grass shall be established throughout the entire treatment area of the swale subject to the following provisions:

   a) **Seeding** is best performed in spring (mid-March to June) or fall (late September to October). For summer seeding, sprinkler systems or other measures for watering the grass seed must be provided.

   b) Seed may be applied via **hydroseeding** or broadcast application.

   c) **Irrigation** is required during the first summer following installation if seeding occurs in spring or summer. Swales seeded in the fall may not need irrigation. However, the maintenance and defect financial guarantee will not be released unless a healthy grass cover is established. Therefore, ***site*** planning should address the need for sprinklers or other means of irrigation.

2. Swale treatment areas are subject to both dry and wet conditions, as well as accumulation of sediment and debris. A mixture of dry-area and wet-area grass species that can continue to grow through silt deposits is most effective. Two acceptable **grass seed mixes** for the King County area are listed in Table 6.3.1.C (p. 6-50). The mixes shall be applied throughout the swale in the treatment area at a rate of 80 pounds per acre. As an alternative to these mixes, a horticultural or erosion control specialist may develop a seed specification tailored to the ***site***. Table 6.3.1.D (p. 6-50) lists grasses or other plants particularly tolerant of wet conditions. Some of these seed types, however, may not be commercially available.

3. A newly constructed swale shall be **protected from stormwater flows until grass has been established**. This may be done by diverting flows or by covering the swale bottom with clear plastic until the grass is well rooted. If these actions are not feasible, an erosion control blanket shall be placed over the freshly applied seed mix. See detached Appendix D, *ESC Standards*, for details on erosion control blankets.

4. **Above the design treatment elevation**, either a typical lawn seed mix or landscape plants may be used. However, for swales also used to convey high flows, consideration shall be given to the soil binding capacity of the vegetation. Acceptable grasses and groundcovers are presented in Table 6.3.1.E (p. 6-51). Plant material other than that given in the table may be used if the swale is privately maintained and the plants selected will not spread into the swale treatment area. Ivy shall not be used because of its tendency to spread. Native plant species (e.g., kinnikinnick) are preferred.

Note: These recommendations are for the King County area. If these designs are used in other areas, local knowledge should be used to tailor these recommendations to local conditions.

5. **Sod** may be used as a temporary cover during the wet season, but sodded areas must be reseeded with a suitable grass seed mix as soon as the weather is conducive to seed germination, unless the sod is grown from a seed mix suitable for the wetter conditions of a bioswale. Sod must be removed or rototilled into the underlying soil before reseeding. Criteria #1 and 2 above for seeding shall then be followed.

TABLE 6.3.1.C
GRASS SEED MIXES SUITABLE FOR BIOSWALE TREATMENT AREAS

Mix 1		**Mix 2**	
75-80 percent	Tall or Meadow Fescue	60-70 percent	Tall Fescue
10-15 percent	Seaside Creeping Bentgrass or Colonial Bentgrass	10-15 percent	Seaside Creeping Bentgrass or Colonial Bentgrass
5-10 percent	Redtop	10-15 percent	Meadow Foxtail
		6-10 percent	Alsike Clover
		1-5 percent	Marshfield Big Trefoil
		1-6 percent	Redtop
Note: All percentages are by weight.			

TABLE 6.3.1.D FINELY-TEXTURED PLANTS TOLERANT OF FREQUENT SATURATED SOIL CONDITIONS OR STANDING WATER

Grasses		**Wetland Plants**	
Water Foxtail	*Alopecurus geniculatus*	Sawbeak Sedge	*Carex stipata*
Shortawn Foxtail	*Alopecurus aequalis*	Spike Rush	*Eleocharis palustris*
Bentgrass	*Agrosits* spp.	Slender Rush	*Juncus tenuis*
Spike Bentgrass	*A. exarata*	Grass-leaf rush	*Juncus marginatus*
Redtop	*A. alba* or *gigantea*		
Colonial Bentgrass	*A. tenuis* or *capillaris*		
Mannagrass	*Glyceria* spp.		
Western	*G. occidentalis*		
Northern	*G. borealis*		
Slender-Spiked	*G. leptostachya*		
Rough-Stalked Bluegrass	*Poa trivialis*		
Velvet Grass	*Holcus mollis*		

TABLE 6.3.1.E GROUNDCOVERS AND GRASSES SUITABLE FOR THE UPPER SIDE SLOPES OF A BIOSWALE	
Groundcovers	
Kinnikinnick*	*Arctostaphylos uva-ursi*
Epimedium	*Epimedium grandiflorum*
—	*Euonymus lanceolata*
Strawberry*	*Fragaria chiloensis*
—	*Genista*
St. John's-Wort	*Hypericum sempervirens*
Broadleaf Lupine*	*Lupinus latifolius*
White Sweet Clover*	*Melilotus alba*
Creeping Forget-Me-Not	*Omphalodes verna*
—	*Rubus calycinoides*
White Lawn Clover	*Trifolium repens*
Yellow-Root	*Xanthorhiza simplissima*
Grasses (drought-tolerant, minimum mowing)	
Buffalo Grass	*Buchloe dactyloides*
Tufted Fescue	*Festuca amethystina*
Tall Fescue *	*Festuca arundinacea*
Hard Fescue	*Festuca ovina duriuscula* (e.g., Reliant, Aurora)
Red Fescue*	*Festuca rubra*
Dwarf Tall Fescues	*Festuca* spp. (e.g., Mustang, Silverado)
Blue Oatgrass	*Helictotrichon sempervirens*
Low-growing turf mix: 40% dwarf tall fescue 30% dwarf perenial rye "Barclay" 25% red fescue 5% colonial bentgrass	
* *Native species.* *Notes*: • *Many other ornamental grasses which require only annual mowing are suitable.* • *Ivy is not permitted because of its tendency to spread.*	

Recommended Design Features

The following features should be incorporated into bioswale designs where ***site*** conditions allow.

Swale Layout and Grading

1. If the longitudinal slope is less than 1.5 percent, and an underdrain is used per Section 6.3.1.2, "Design Criteria" (p. 6-46), the **subgrade** should contain 10 percent or more of sand to promote infiltration of standing water. If sand is added to promote drainage, the soil or sand substrate must still be amended with compost. Compost must meet Specification 1 described in Reference 11-C.
2. **Underdrains** may be necessary for swales greater than 1.5 percent longitudinal slope on poorly drained till soils, especially if it is likely that the swale will intercept groundwater.
3. Bioswales should be aligned to avoid sharp bends where erosion of the swale side slope can occur. However, gradual meandering bends in the swale are desirable for aesthetic purposes and to promote slower flow.

Location and Landscaping

1. During seeding, slow-release **fertilizers** may be applied to speed the growth of grass. If the swale is located in a sensitive lake watershed, low phosphorus fertilizers (such as formulations in the proportion 3:1:3 N-P-K or less) or slow-release phosphorus formulations such as rock phosphate should be used, and at no more than the minimum necessary agronomic rate. A typical fertilizer application rate should be no more than 2 pounds per 1,000 square feet. Regardless of location, the fertilizer must meet the requirements of Chapter 15.54.500 RCW limiting the use of fertilizer containing phosphorus.
2. Consultation with a **landscape or erosion control specialist** is recommended for project-specific recommendations on grass seed, fertilizer, and mulching applications to ensure healthy grass growth. The **grass mix** should be capable of surviving and remaining healthy under both dry and wet conditions with limited maintenance.
3. A grassy swale should be incorporated into the ***project site*** landscape design. **Shrubs** may be planted along the edges of a swale (above the WQ treatment level) provided that exposure of the swale bottom to sunlight and maintenance accessibility are not compromised. *Note: For swales used to convey high flows, the plant material selected must bind the soil adequately to prevent erosion.*
4. Swales should not be located in areas where **trees** will drop leaves or needles that can smother the grass or clog part of the swale flow path. Likewise, landscaping plans should take into consideration the problems that **falling leaves and needles** can cause for swale performance and maintenance. Landscape **planter beds** should be designed and located so that soil does not erode from the beds and enter a nearby bioswale.

Construction Considerations

1. If a bioswale is put into operation before all construction in the drainage area of the swale is complete, the swale must be cleaned of sediment and reseeded prior to acceptance by the County. The County will not release financial guarantees if swales are not restored and vigorous grass growth established.
2. It is preferable to provide good erosion control before runoff enters a bioswale. Swales are designed to handle only modest sediment loads from stabilized ***sites***.

Maintenance Considerations

The design criteria given previously have incorporated maintenance concerns into swale design. However, the designer should know the type and frequency of maintenance anticipated so that alternative proposals can incorporate maintenance activity.

Typical swale maintenance includes routine mowing, sediment and debris removal, and repair of eroded or scoured channel sections as described below.

1. Grass should be **mowed to maintain an average grass height** between 4 inches and 9 inches, depending on the ***site*** situation. Monthly mowing is needed from May through September to maintain grass vigor. If a swale is not mowed at least annually, trees and brush will invade the swale and inhibit grass growth, compromising the swale's performance for water quality treatment.
2. **Grass clippings** should be removed from the swale and composted onsite (away from the swale), or disposed of properly offsite.
3. **Sediment** deposited at the head of the swale should be removed if grass growth is being inhibited for more than 10 percent of the swale length or if the sediment is blocking the even spreading or entry of water to the rest of the swale. Annual sediment removal and spot reseeding will probably be necessary.
4. If flow **channelization or erosion** has occurred, the swale should be regraded to produce a flat bottom width, and then reseeded as necessary. If the channel results from constant base flow, it may be better to install a low-flow drain rather than to regrade. Regrading should not be required every year.
5. For swales with underdrains, **vehicular access to the swale bottom** (other than grass mowing equipment) should be avoided because the drainpipe cannot support vehicle weight. Consideration should be given to providing wheel strips in the swale bottom if access is needed.

FIGURE 6.3.1.A BIOSWALE SCHEMATIC



NOTE:
PREFERRED LONGITUDINAL SLOPE 1.5% TO 6%, SEE SECTION 6.3.1.2.
FOR SLOPE < 1.5%, PROVIDE UNDERDRAIN OR WET BIOSWALE.
SLOPE > 6% REQUIRES CHECK DAMS AND VERTICAL DROPS TO REDUCE EFFECTIVE SLOPE.

PLAN VIEW
NTS

FIGURE 6.3.1.B BIOSWALE CROSS-SECTIONS



FIGURE 6.3.1.C BIOSWALE UNDERDRAIN DETAIL

FIGURE 6.3.1.D BIOSWALE LOW-FLOW DRAIN



FIGURE 6.3.1.E BIOSWALE WHEEL STRIPS



6.3.2 WET BIOSWALES

A *wet bioswale* is a variation of a basic bioswale for use where the longitudinal slope is slight, water tables are high, or continuous low base flow is likely to result in saturated soil conditions. Where saturation exceeds about 2 weeks, typical grasses will die. Thus, vegetation specifically adapted to saturated soil conditions is needed. Different vegetation in turn requires modification of several of the design parameters for the basic bioswale detailed in Section 6.3.1 (p. 6-41).

Applications

Wet bioswales are applied where a basic bioswale is desired but not allowed or advisable because one or more of the following conditions exist:

- The swale is on till soils and is downstream of a detention facility providing Level 2 or 3 flow control.
- Saturated soil conditions are likely because of seeps or base flows on the ***project site***.
- Longitudinal slopes are slight (generally less than 1.5 percent).

Consult the water quality menus in Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) for information on how this facility may be used to meet Core Requirement #8.

6.3.2.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Wet bioswales use the **same methods of analysis as basic bioswales** (see Section 6.3.1.16.3.1.1, p.6-42) except the following **step is added**:

Step 7: Adjust for extended wet season flow. If the swale will be downstream of a detention facility providing Level 2 or 3 flow control, multiply the treatment area (bottom width times length) of the swale by 2, and readjust the swale length, if desired. Maintain a 5:1 length to width ratio (see criteria under "Swale Geometry" below).

Intent: An increase in the treatment area of swales following Level 2 or 3 detention facilities is required because of the differences in vegetation established in a constant flow environment. Although flows following Level 2 or 3 detention facilities are small, and swales are likewise much smaller than those sized for upstream flows, they are much more protracted. These protracted flows result in more stream-like conditions than are typical for other wet bioswale situations. Since vegetation growing in streams is often less dense, this increase in treatment area is needed to ensure that equivalent pollutant removal is achieved in extended flow situations.

6.3.2.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

Swale Geometry

Same as specified for **basic bioswales** (see Section 6.3.1.2, p. 6-46) except for the following **modifications:**

1. **Criterion 1:** The **maximum bottom width** may be increased to 25 feet, but a length-to-width ratio of 5:1 must be provided. No longitudinal dividing berm is needed. *Note: The minimum swale length is still 100 feet.*
2. **Criterion 2:** If **longitudinal slopes** are greater than 2 percent, the wet swale must be stepped so that the slope within the stepped sections averages 2 percent. Steps may be made of retaining walls, log check dams, or short riprap sections. **No underdrain or low-flow drain is required**.
3. **Criterion 3: Curved swales** are allowed and the application of criteria for maintenance access road curves are not required.

High-Flow Bypass

A high-flow bypass is required for flows greater than the water quality design flow to protect wetland vegetation from damage.[26] The bypass may be an open channel parallel to the wet bioswale.

Water Depth and Base Flow

Same as for basic bioswales (see page 6-47), except the **design water depth** shall be 4 inches or less for all wetland vegetation selections, and **no underdrains or low-flow drains are required**.

Flow Velocity, Energy Dissipation, and Flow Spreading

Same as for basic bioswales (see page 6-47), except **no flow spreader is needed**.

Access

Same as for basic bioswales (see page 6-48) except access is only required to the inflow and the outflow of the swale; access along the length of the swale is not required. Also, wheel strips may not be used for access in the swale.

Intent: An access road is not required along the length of a wet swale because of infrequent access needs. Frequent mowing or harvesting is not desirable. In addition, wetland plants are fairly resilient to sediment-induced changes in water depth, so the need for access should be infrequent.

Soil Amendment

Same as for basic bioswales (see page 6-49).

Planting Requirements

Same as for **basic bioswales** (see page 6-49) except for the following **modifications:**

1. A list of acceptable plants with recommended spacing is given in Table 6.3.2.A (p. 6-59). In general, it is best to plant several species to increase the likelihood that at least some of the selected species will find growing conditions favorable.
2. A wetland seed mix may be applied by hydroseeding, but if coverage is poor, planting of rootstock or nursery stock is required. Poor coverage is considered to be more than 30 percent bare area through the upper $^2/_3$ of the swale after four weeks.

Recommended Design Features

Same as for basic bioswales (see page 6-52).

Construction Considerations

Same as for basic bioswales (see page 6-52).

Maintenance Considerations

Same as for basic bioswales (see page 6-52), except mowing of wetland vegetation is not required. However, harvesting of very dense vegetation may be desirable in the fall after plant die-back to prevent the sloughing of excess organic material into receiving waters. Many native *Juncus* species remain green throughout the winter; therefore, fall harvesting of *Juncus* species is not recommended.

[26] Unlike grass, wetland vegetation will not quickly regain an upright attitude after being laid down by high flows. New growth, usually from the base of the plant, often taking several weeks, is required to regain its upright form.

TABLE 6.3.2.A RECOMMENDED PLANTS FOR WET BIOSWALE

Common Name	Scientific Name	Spacing (on center)
Shortawn foxtail	*Alopecurus aequalis*	seed
Water foxtail	*Alopecurus geniculatus*	seed
Spike rush	*Eleocharis spp.*	4 inches
Slough sedge*	*Carex obnupta*	6 inches or seed
Sawbeak sedge	*Carex stipata*	6 inches
Sedge	*Carex* spp.	6 inches
Western mannagrass	*Glyceria occidentalis*	seed
Velvetgrass	*Holcus mollis*	seed
Slender rush	*Juncus tenuis*	6 inches
Watercress*	*Rorippa nasturtium-aquaticum*	12 inches
Water parsley*	*Oenanthe sarmentosa*	6 inches
Hardstem bulrush	*Scirpus acutus*	6 inches
Small-fruited bulrush	*Scirpus microcarpus*	12 inches

** Good choices for swales with significant periods of flow, such as those downstream of a Level 2 or 3 detention facility.*

Note: Cattail (Typha latifolia) is not appropriate for most wet swales because of its very dense and clumping growth habit which prevents water from filtering through the clump.

6.3.3 LATERAL INFLOW BIOSWALES

In situations where water enters a bioswale along the side rather than discretely at the head, a different design approach – the lateral inflow bioswale – is needed. The basic swale design (see Section 6.3.1, p. 6-41) is modified by increasing swale length to achieve an equivalent average residence time.

Applications

A lateral inflow bioswale is to be **used when inflows are not concentrated**, such as locations along the shoulder of a road without curbs. This design may also be **used where frequent, small point flows enter a swale**, such as through curb inlet ports spaced at intervals along a road, or from a parking lot with frequent curb cuts. In general, no inlet port should carry more than about 10 percent of the flow.

A lateral inflow swale is not appropriate for a situation in which significant lateral flows enter a swale at some point downstream from the head of the swale. In this situation, the swale width and length must be recalculated from the point of confluence to the discharge point in order to provide adequate treatment for the increased flows.

Consult the water quality menus in Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) for information on how this facility may be used to meet Core Requirement #8.

6.3.3.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

The design flow for lateral inflow swales must include runoff from the pervious side slopes draining to the swale along the entire swale length.

The method of analysis for lateral inflow swales is the same as for basic bioswales (see Section 6.3.1.1, p. 6-42) except for the following **clarification of Step 1** and **modification to Step 4**:

- **Step 1:** The WQ design flow may be variable to reflect the increase in flows along the swale length. If only a single design flow is used, the flow at the outlet shall be used.
- **Step 4:** Double the hydraulic residence time so that it is a minimum of 18 minutes (1,080 seconds). Equation (6-7) becomes:

$$L = 1080 V_{wq} \qquad (6\text{-}10)$$

where L = minimum allowable swale length (ft)
V_{wq} = design flow velocity calculated in Step 3 (fps).

Note: Although bottom widths may be increased to reduce length, bottom width cannot be reduced because Manning's depth-velocity-flow rate relationships would not be preserved.

6.3.3.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

Same as specified for **basic bioswales** (in Section 6.3.1.2, p. 6-46) except for the following **modification:**

Planting Requirements, Criterion 4: For lateral inflow bioswales, interior side slopes above the WQ design treatment elevation shall be planted in grass. A typical lawn seed mix or the bioswale seed mixes are acceptable. Landscape plants or groundcovers other than grass shall not be used anywhere between the runoff inflow elevation and the bottom of the swale.

Intent: The use of grass on interior side slopes reduces the chance of soil erosion and transfer of pollutants from landscape areas to the bioswale treatment area.

6.3.4 STANDARD FILTER STRIPS

A *filter strip* is a grassy slope located adjacent and parallel to an impervious area such as a parking lot, driveway, or roadway (see the detail in Figure 6.3.4.A on page 6-67). A filter strip is graded to maintain sheet flow of stormwater runoff over the entire width of the strip. Pollutants are removed primarily by means of sedimentation, which is enhanced as a consequence of the resistance that the grass blades present to flowing water. To a much lesser degree, pollutants may adhere or sorb to grass and thatch. Some dissolved pollutants may also be sorbed by the underlying soil when infiltration occurs, but the extent of infiltration depends on the type of soil, the density of the grass, and the slope of the strip. The primary pollutant removal mechanism is particle settling.

In this manual, design procedures are provided for two types of filter strip applications: (1) the standard filter strip that should typically apply to parking lots, driveways, and roads where sufficient space is available, and (2) a modified, narrow area filter strip for roadside applications with limited right-of-way space that constricts the filter strip sizing. The standard filter strip is covered in this section, and the narrow area filter strip is covered in Section 6.3.5.

Applications and Limitations

Filter strip design is based on the expectation that water will flow fairly evenly across the entire width and length of the strip area. Thus, paved areas without underground stormwater collection systems, gutters, or other runoff control features are good candidates for filter strips.

Filter strips are suitable for areas that meet the following conditions:

- Stormwater runoff from the area requiring treatment shall be uniformly distributed along the top of the entire filter strip. If stormwater runoff from the entire area cannot be spread evenly along the top of the filter strip, the filter strip shall be applied only to flows that can be uniformly distributed. A different stormwater treatment facility, such as a swale, should be used for areas of the ***project site*** with concentrated flow (for instance, at road intersections).
- The flowpath draining to the filter strip shall not exceed 150 feet. Runoff flows traveling greater distances tend to concentrate before entering the filter strip.
- The lateral slope of the drainage area contributing flows to the filter strip (parallel to the edge of pavement) shall be less than 2 percent. A stepped series of flow spreaders installed at the head of the strip could compensate for slightly steeper slopes (see "Flow Spreading and Energy Dissipation," p. 6-64).
- The longitudinal slope of the contributing drainage area (parallel to the direction of flow entering the filter strip) should be less than 5 percent. Contributing drainage areas with slopes steeper than 5 percent shall either use a different WQ facility or must provide energy dissipation and flow spreading mechanisms upslope of the upper edge of the filter strip.

A filter strip generally requires more land area than a bioswale because the flow depth through the filter is shallower than through a swale. Although the space requirements may be greater, the filter strip is a viable water quality treatment option in locations where grassy slopes already exist, or where a slope can be incorporated easily into the landscape design for the ***project site***. Other limitations that shall be considered are listed below:

1. Filter strips are susceptible to short-circuiting via flow channelization because they rely on a large smoothly graded area. If rills, gullies, or channels occur in the filter strip area, inflows will travel too quickly through the filter strip, reducing contact time and pollutant removal performance. A filter strip slope with uneven grading perpendicular to the sheet flow path will develop flow channels over time. These problems can be overcome with careful ***site*** planning, good soil compaction, skillful grading, and periodic maintenance.

2. Filter strip areas shall not be used for material storage or any activities that could cause disturbance of the ground surface in a manner that could create or promote preferential flowpaths (rills or channels) in the filter strip.
3. Filter strips shall not be located in shaded areas, for filter strips require exposure to sunlight to ensure healthy grass growth.

Consult the water quality menus in Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) for information on how this facility may be used to meet Core Requirement # 8.

6.3.4.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

In this manual, *filter strip length* is defined as the length of the flowpath through the strip. Strip width is typically the same as the extent of pavement along the upstream edge of the strip. Thus, in sizing filter strips, the length is normally the dimension to be sized (see Figure 6.3.4.A below for definitions of terms).

FIGURE 6.3.4.A FILTER STRIP TERMINOLOGY



The procedure for filter strip design (described below) relies on Manning's equation to calculate some design variables. It is recognized that there are problems in this application.[27] The filter strip sizing method will be modified as new research results become available.

Filter strips sized and built using the method of analysis outlined below and the required design criteria presented in Section 6.3.4.2 are expected to meet the Basic Water Quality menu goal of 80% TSS removal.

Step 1: Calculate design flow. Determine the **on-line** water quality design flow Q_{wq} (see Section 6.2.1, p. 6-17) using the hydrologic analysis procedures described in Chapter 3 and applying the modification described in Table 6.2.1.A.

Step 2: Calculate design flow depth. The design flow depth is calculated based on the width of the filter strip (typically equivalent to the length of the edge of impervious surface contributing flow to the filter

[27] Ree, W.O., F.L. Wimberley, and F.R. Crow. 1977. Manning *n* and the overland flow equation. Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers 20 (89).

strip) and the longitudinal slope of the filter strip (parallel to the direction of flow) using a form of Manning's equation as follows:

$$Q_{wq} = \frac{1.49}{n_{wq}} W d_f^{1.67} s^{0.5} \quad (6\text{-}11)$$

where Q_{wq} = water quality design flow , k(Q, modeled on-line rate), (cfs)
where k = correlation ratio determined from Table 6.2.1.A
n_{wq} = Manning's roughness coefficient (either 0.35 or 0.45; see the criteria under "Filter Strip Geometry and Flow Resistance," p. 6-64)
W = width of filter strip perpendicular to the direction of flow (ft) (≅ length of impervious surface contributing flow)
d_f = design depth of flow (ft), which is also assumed to be the hydraulic radius (maximum 1 inch, or 0.083 feet; see the criteria under "Water Depth and Velocity," p. 6-64)
s = longitudinal slope of filter strip parallel to the direction of flow (ft/ft) (averaged over the width of the filter strip; all portions averaged must also meet the slope design criteria).

Rearranging the above equation, the design depth of flow can be calculated using the following equation:

$$d_f = \left(\frac{Q_{wq} n_{wq}}{1.49 W s^{0.5}} \right)^{0.6} \quad (6\text{-}12)$$

If the calculated flow depth exceeds 1 inch (0.083 feet), the design flow rate routed through the strip must be reduced. If this is not feasible, it is not possible to use a filter strip.

Step 3: Calculate design flow velocity through filter strip. The design flow velocity V_{wq} is based on the water quality design flow rate, the width of the filter strip, and the calculated design flow depth from Step 2 using the following equation:

$$V_{wq} = \frac{Q_{wq}}{W d_f} \quad (6\text{-}13)$$

where V_{wq} = design flow velocity (fps)
W = strip width (ft) (parallel to the edge of pavement)
d_f = water depth (ft).

If V_{wq} exceeds 0.5 feet per second, a filter strip shall not be used. Either redesign the area to provide a gentler longitudinal slope for the strip, or select a different WQ facility.

Step 4: Calculate required length of filter strip. Determine the required length L of the filter strip to achieve a desired hydraulic residence time of at least 9 minutes (540 seconds) using the following equation:

$$L = 540 V_{wq} \quad (6\text{-}14)$$

where L = filter strip length (ft)
V_{wq} = design flow velocity from Step 3 (fps)

6.3.4.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

Figure 6.3.4.B (p. 6-67) shows typical filter strip details. The most effective filter strips achieve uniform sheet flow under all runoff flow conditions. To achieve proper flow conditions, the following basic design requirements apply.

Drainage Area Restrictions

1. The **longest flowpath** from the area contributing sheet flow to the filter strip shall not exceed 150 feet.
2. The **lateral slope of the contributing drainage** (parallel to the edge of pavement) shall be 2 percent or less.
3. A stepped series of **flow spreaders** installed at the head of the strip may be used to compensate for drainage areas having lateral slopes of up to 4 percent (see Section 6.2.6, p. 6-36, for information on flow spreader designs).
4. The **longitudinal slope of the contributing drainage area** (parallel to the direction of flow entering the filter strip) should be 5 percent or less.
5. Contributing drainage areas with longitudinal slopes steeper than 5 percent shall either use a different WQ facility or provide energy dissipation and flow spreading options upslope of the upper edge of the filter strip to achieve flow characteristics equivalent to those meeting the Criteria in items 2 and 4 above.

Filter Strip Geometry and Flow Resistance

1. The **longitudinal slope** of a filter strip (along the direction of flow) shall be between 1 percent minimum and 15 percent maximum.
2. The **lateral slope** of a strip (parallel to the edge of pavement, perpendicular to the direction of flow) shall be less than 2 percent.
3. The **ground surface** at the upper edge of a filter strip (adjacent to the contributing drainage area) shall be at least 1 inch lower than the edge of the impervious area contributing flows.
4. Manning's **roughness coefficient (n_{wq})** for flow depth calculations shall be 0.35. An exception to this requirement may be made for situations where the filter strip will be mowed weekly in the growing season to consistently provide a grass height of less than 4 inches; in this case, the value of n_{wq} in Equation (6-12) may be set to 0.45. *Note: In filter strip design, a larger* n *value results in a smaller strip size.*

Water Depth and Velocity

1. The **maximum depth** of flow through a filter strip for the WQ design flow shall be 1.0 inch.
2. The **maximum allowable flow velocity** for the water quality design flow V_{wq} shall be 0.5 feet per second.

Flow Spreading and Energy Dissipation

1. Runoff entering a filter strip must not be concentrated. A **flow spreader** shall be installed at the edge of the pavement to uniformly distribute the flow along the entire width of the filter strip.
2. At a minimum, a **gravel flow spreader** (gravel-filled trench) shall be placed between the impervious area contributing flows and the filter strip, and meet the following requirements:
   a) The gravel flow spreader shall be a minimum of 6 inches deep and shall be 18 inches wide for every 50 feet of contributing flowpath.
   b) The gravel shall be a minimum of 1 inch below the pavement surface.

Intent: This allows sediment from the paved surface to be accommodated without blocking drainage onto the strip.

c) For contributing flowpaths less than 50 feet, the spreader width may be reduced to a minimum of 12 inches.

d) Where the ground surface is not level, the gravel spreader must be installed so that the bottom of the gravel trench and the outlet lip are level.

e) Along **roadways**, gravel flow spreaders must meet the specification for shoulder ballast given in Section 9-03.9(2) of the current WSDOT/APWA *Standard Specifications for Road, Bridge and Municipal Construction.* The ballast shall be compacted to 90 percent standard proctor.

Intent: This specification was chosen to meet traffic safety concerns as well as to limit fines to less than 2 percent passing the No.100 sieve.

3. Other flow spreaders (see Section 6.2.6, p. 6-36) may also be used. For filter strip applications, the notched curb spreader and through-curb port spreaders shall not be used without also adding a gravel spreader to better ensure that water sheet-flows onto the strip.

4. **Energy dissipaters** are needed in a filter strip if sudden slope drops occur, such as locations where flows in a filter strip pass over a rockery or retaining wall aligned perpendicular to the direction of flow. Adequate energy dissipation at the base of a drop section can be provided by a riprap pad (see Chapter 4, Table 4.2.2.A, for guidance).

Access

Access shall be provided at the **upper edge of a filter strip** to enable maintenance of the inflow spreader throughout the strip width and allow access for mowing equipment.

Soil Quality

1. Native topsoil six inches deep with no less than 1% organic matter (OM) does not require soil amendment, except where grading has occurred and topsoil meeting that OM standard has not been replaced.

2. Where topsoil has been removed or if native soil OM is less than 1%, Two inches (minimum) of **well-rotted compost** shall be provided for the entire filter strip treatment area to amend the topsoil. The compost must be tilled into the underlying native soil to a depth of 6 inches to prevent washing out the compost and avoid creating a defined layer of different soil types that can prevent downward percolation of water. Compost shall meet Specification 1 described in Reference 11-C.

3. **Soil or sod** with a **clay content** of greater than 10 percent should be avoided. If there is potential for contamination of the underlying groundwater, the filter strip shall be lined with a treatment liner to prevent groundwater contamination. See Section 6.2.4 (p. 6-27), for details on soil liner options.

Planting Requirements

1. **Grass** shall be established throughout the entire treatment area of the filter strip.

2. **Sod** may be used instead of grass seed as long as the entire filter strip area is completely covered with no gaps between sod pieces.

3. **Filter strips** are subject to drier conditions than bioswales and also may be more vulnerable to erosion than swales. For these reasons, the following permanent **erosion-control grass seed mix** shall be applied at a rate of 80 pounds per acre in filter strips (percentages are by weight):

    1. 40 percent turf-type rye
    2. 40 percent fescue
    3. 10 percent white dutch clover

4. 10 percent colonial bentgrass

4. **Alternate seed mixes** may be used if a horticultural or erosion-control specialist recommends a different mix and if erosion prevention is adequately addressed by other erosion-control measures.
5. Seed may be applied by **hydroseeding or broadcast application**.
6. **Seeding** is best performed in spring (mid-March to June) or fall (late September to October). If seed is applied in the spring or summer, irrigation must be provided to ensure grass survival.
7. Runoff shall be diverted around a filter strip until the grass is established, or an **erosion control blanket** shall be placed over the freshly applied seed mix. See *ESC Standards* (detached Appendix D) for information on erosion control blankets.

Recommended Design Features

Where conditions allow, the following features should be incorporated into a filter strip's design and its corresponding site configuration.

Site Layout and Landscaping

1. Filter strips should be incorporated into the **landscape design** of the ***site***; however, the treatment areas (i.e., grassy areas) should not be fertilized unless needed for healthy grass growth.
2. **Curbs** should be avoided, if possible, at the downslope edge of the contributing area. If curbing is needed, through-curb ports shall be provided (see Section 6.2.6, p. 6-36).
3. If **parking lot wheel stops** are necessary, individual wheel stops should have gaps for water to pass through. The shorter the wheel stops, the better for sheet flow purposes. See Section 6.2.6 (p. 6-36) for requirements.
4. During seeding, slow-release **fertilizers** may be applied to speed the growth of grass. If the filter strip is located in a sensitive lake watershed, low phosphorus fertilizers (such as formulations in the proportion 3:1:3 N-P-K or less) or slow-release phosphorus formulations should be used, and at no more than the minimum necessary agronomic rate. Regardless of location, the fertilizer must meet the requirements of Chapter 15.54 RCW limiting the use of fertilizer containing phosphorus.
5. Filter strips should be well defined on a ***site*** and **marked with signs** to prevent future destruction or alteration of the treatment areas. Small at-grade signage is preferred.

Maintenance Features

1. **Irrigation** may be required in the summer months following initial filter strip construction to prevent the filter strip grass from wilting or dying. ***Site*** planning should address the need for sprinklers or other means of irrigation.
2. **Flatter slopes** are preferred for filter strips to make grass mowing easier.

Use with Oil Control Facilities

A project providing **oil control** (see the high-use definition in Chapter 1) may employ a filter strip for runoff treatment if a **linear sand filter** (see Section 6.5.4, p. 6-128) is used for oil control preceding the filter strip. In this situation, the sand filter should be designed so that flows exit the underdrain gravel along the whole length of the trench directly to the filter strip.

Construction Considerations

1. If a filter strip is put into operation before all construction in the contributing drainage catchment has been completed, the strip must be cleaned of sediment and reseeded prior to acceptance by the County. The County will not release financial guarantees if the filter strip is not restored and vigorous grass growth re-established.

2. It is preferable to provide erosion control before construction-phase sediment enters the filter strip. Filter strips are designed to handle only modest sediment loads without frequent maintenance.

Maintenance Considerations

Maintenance considerations, including mowing frequency and sediment removal, are similar to those for bioswales (see page 6-52).

FIGURE 6.3.4.B TYPICAL FILTER STRIP DETAILS



PLAN VIEW
NTS



NOTE: Invert of flow spreader must be level. Roadway shoulders must use shoulder ballast.

SECTION A-A
NTS

6.3.5 NARROW AREA FILTER STRIPS

This section describes a filter strip design[28] for impervious areas with flowpaths of 30 feet or less that can drain along their widest dimension to grassy areas (see Figure 6.3.4.A, p. 6-62, for definitions of filter strip geometry terms).

The treatment objectives, applications and limitations, design criteria, materials specifications, and construction and maintenance requirements set forth in the basic filter strip design apply to narrow filter strip applications.

If space is available to use the basic filter strip design, that design shall be used in preference to the narrow filter strip. However, along roadways with limited right-of-way, or for narrow parking strips, the narrow strip may be used.

Consult the water quality menus in Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) for information on how this facility may be used to meet Core Requirement #8.

6.3.5.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

The sizing of a narrow area filter strip is based on the length of flowpath draining to the filter strip and the longitudinal slope of the filter strip itself (parallel to the flowpath).

Step 1: Determine length of flowpath draining to filter strip. Determine the length of the flowpath from the upstream to the downstream edge of the impervious area draining sheet flow to the strip. Normally this is the same as the width of the paved area, but if the area is sloped, the flow path may be longer than the width of the impervious area.

Step 2: Determine average longitudinal slope of filter strip. Calculate the longitudinal slope of the filter strip (along the direction of sheet flow), averaged over the total width of the filter strip. The minimum sizing slope is 2 percent. If the slope is less than 2 percent, use 2 percent for sizing purposes. The maximum allowable filter strip slope is 20 percent. If the slope exceeds 20 percent, the filter strip must be stepped down the slope so that the treatment areas between drop sections do not have a longitudinal slope greater than 20 percent. Drop sections must be provided with erosion protection at the base and flow spreaders to re-spread flows. Vertical drops along the slope must not exceed 12 inches in height. If this is not possible, a different treatment facility must be selected.

Step 3: Determine required length of filter strip. Select the appropriate filter strip length for the flowpath length and filter strip longitudinal slope (Steps 1 and 2 above) **from the graph in Figure 6.3.5.A (p. 6-69)**.[29] The filter strip must be designed to provide this minimum length *L* along the entire stretch of pavement draining into it.

To use the graph: Find the **length of the flowpath** on one of the curves (interpolate between curves as necessary). Move along the curve to the point where the **design longitudinal slope** of the filter strip (x-axis) is directly below. Read the filter **strip length** on the y-axis that corresponds to the intersection point.

[28] This narrow area filter strip design method is included here because technical limitations exist in the basic design method that result in filter strips which are proportionately longer as the contributing drainage becomes narrower (a result that is counter-intuitive). Research by several parties is underway to evaluate filter strip design parameters. This research may lead to more stringent design requirements that would supersede the design criteria presented here.

[29] The filter strip length requirements reflected in Figure 6.3.5.A are scaled from dimensions of biofiltration swale treatment areas for the same slope and flow rate conditions.

Example

If the length of flowpath through a parking strip is 20 feet and the filter strip will be at 5 percent longitudinal slope, move along the middle curve until it intercepts the 5 percent grid from the x-axis. The required filter strip length is 7 feet (read from the y-axis).

FIGURE 6.3.5.A FILTER STRIP LENGTHS FOR NARROW RIGHT-OF-WAY



Note: minimum allowable filter strip length is 4 feet

6.3.5.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

Required and recommended design criteria for narrow area filter strips are the **same as specified for basic filter strips**. Note that for roadway applications, gravel spreaders must meet the specification for permeable shoulder ballast given in Section 9-03.9(2) of the current *WSDOT Standard Specifications for Road, Bridge and Municipal Construction*, compacted to 90 percent standard proctor.

6.4 WETPOOL FACILITY DESIGNS

This section presents the methods, criteria, and details for analysis and design of wetponds, wetvaults, and stormwater wetlands. These facilities have as a common element a permanent pool of water, the *wetpool*. Each of the wetpool facilities may be combined with a detention or flow control pond in a combined facility. Included are the following specific facility designs:

- "Wetponds — Basic and Large," Section 6.4.1
- "Wetvaults," Section 6.4.2 (p. 6-86)
- "Stormwater Wetlands," Section 6.4.3 (p. 6-92)
- "Combined Detention and Wetpool Facilities," Section 6.4.4 (p. 6-98).

The information presented for each facility is organized into the following two categories:

1. **Methods of Analysis:** Contains a step-by-step procedure for designing and sizing each facility.
2. **Design Criteria:** Contains the details, specifications, and material requirements for each facility.

6.4.1 WETPONDS — BASIC AND LARGE

A *wetpond* is a constructed stormwater pond that retains a permanent pool of water (a "wetpool") at least during the wet season (see the wetpond detail in Figure 6.4.1.A on page 6-83). The volume of the wetpool is related to the effectiveness of the pond in settling particulate pollutants. The following design procedures, requirements, and recommendations cover two wetpond applications, the basic wetpond and the large wetpond. The two sizes are designed for two different levels of pollutant removal.

Applications and Limitations

A wetpond requires a larger area than a bioswale or a sand filter, but it can be integrated to the contours of a ***site*** fairly easily. In till soils, the wetpond holds a permanent pool of water that provides an attractive aesthetic feature. In more porous soils, wetponds may still be used, but water seepage from unlined cells could result in a dry pond, particularly in the summer months. Lining with impervious material is one way to deal with this situation.

Wetponds may be single-purpose facilities, providing only water quality treatment, or they may be combined with a detention pond to also provide flow control. If combined, the wetpond can often be stacked under the detention pond with little further loss of development area. See Section 6.4.4 (p. 6-98) for a description of combined WQ and detention facilities.

Wetponds treat water primarily by gravity settling and to some degree by biological uptake by algae and transformation and degradation by microorganisms. Wetponds can remove some dissolved pollutants such as soluble phosphorus (phosphate) by uptake, and phosphate may react and combine with cations in solution, forming solid particulates. Wetponds are therefore used in the Sensitive Lake Protection menu for phosphorus control in addition to the Basic WQ menu for solids removal. Wetponds work best when the water already in the pond is moved out *en masse* by incoming flows, referred to as *plug flow*. Because treatment works on this displacement principle, the dead storage pool of wetponds may be provided below the groundwater level without interfering unduly with treatment effectiveness. However, if combined with a detention function, the live storage must be above the seasonal high groundwater level.

Consult the water quality menus in Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) for information on how basic and large wetponds may be used to meet Core Requirement #8.

6.4.1.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

This section describes methods of analysis for the following two wetpond sizes:

- **Basic wetpond** (see below)
- **Large wetpond** (see page 6-76).

❑ BASIC WETPOND

The primary design factor that determines a wetpond's **particulate removal efficiency** is the volume of the wetpool in relation to the volume of stormwater runoff. The larger the wetpond volume in relation to the volume of runoff, the greater the potential for pollutant removal. Also important are the avoidance of short-circuiting and the promotion of plug flow. *Plug flow* describes the hypothetical condition of stormwater moving through the pond as a unit, displacing the "old" water in the pond with incoming flows. To prevent short-circuiting, water is forced to flow, to the extent practical, to all potentially available flow routes, avoiding "dead zones" and maximizing the time water stays in the pond during the active part of a storm.

Design features that encourage plug flow and avoid dead zones are as follows:

- Dissipating energy at the inlet
- Providing a large length-to-width ratio
- Providing a broad surface for water exchange across cells rather than a constricted area.

Maximizing the flowpath between inlet and outlet, including the vertical path, also enhances treatment by increasing residence time.

The basic wetpond volume is equal to the 91% water quality treatment volume (see Section 6.2.1), calculated with the approved model or by using the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS, formerly Soil Conservation Service (SCS)) curve number method described in *Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds*, Technical Release 55 (TR-55), June 1986, published by the NRCS. Wetponds designed with the basic wetpond volume using the method below, and the required design criteria in Section 6.4.1.2 are expected to meet the Basic WQ menu goal of 80% TSS removal. The actual performance of a wetpond may vary, however, due to a number of factors, including but not limited to design features, maintenance frequency, storm characteristics, pond algae dynamics, and waterfowl use.

Procedures for determining a wetpond's dimensions and volume are outlined below.

Step 1: Identify the required wetpool volume. A basic wetpond requires a volume equal to the 91% treatment volume, calculated with the approved model or by using the NRCS curve number method. When using the water quality treatment volume reported by the approved model, skip Steps 2 through 4.

Step 2: Determine the weighted NRCS curve number for the developed tributary area.

Table 6.4.1.1.A shows the CNs, by land use description, for the four hydrologic soil groups. These numbers are for a 24-hour duration storm and typical antecedent soil moisture condition preceding 24-hour storms.

TABLE 6.4.1.1.A RUNOFF CURVE NUMBERS FOR SELECTED AGRICULTURAL, SUBURBAN, AND URBAN AREAS

(Sources: TR 55, 1986, and Stormwater Management Manual (SWMMWW), 1992. See SWMMWW Section 2.1.1 for explanation)

	CNs for hydrologic soil group			
Cover type and hydrologic condition.	A	B	C	D
Curve Numbers for Pre-Development Conditions				
Pasture, grassland, or range-continuous forage for grazing:				
Fair condition (ground cover 50% to 75% and not heavily grazed)	49	69	79	84
Good condition (ground cover >75% and lightly or only occasionally grazed)	39	61	74	80
Woods:				
Fair (Woods are grazed but not burned, and some forest litter covers the soil)	36	60	73	79
Good (Woods are protected from grazing, and litter and brush adequately cover the soil)	30	55	70	77
Curve Numbers for Post-Development Conditions				
Open space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, landscaping, etc.):[1]				
Fair condition (grass cover on 50% - 75% of the area)	77	85	90	92
Good condition (grass cover on >75% of the area)	68	80	86	90
Impervious areas:				
Open water bodies: lakes, wetlands, ponds etc.	100	100	100	100
Paved parking lots, roofs[2], driveways, etc. (excluding right-of-way)	98	98	98	98
Permeable Pavement (See SWDM 5.2.2 and Appendix C to decide which condition to use):				
Landscaped area	77	85	90	92
50% landscaped area/50% impervious	87	91	94	96
100% impervious area	98	98	98	98
Paved	98	98	98	98
Gravel (including right-of-way)	76	85	89	91
Dirt (including right-of-way)	72	82	87	89
Pasture, grassland, or range-continuous forage for grazing:				
Poor condition (ground cover <50% or heavily grazed with no mulch)	68	79	86	89
Fair condition (ground cover 50% to 75% and not heavily grazed)	49	69	79	84
Good condition (ground cover >75% and lightly or only occasionally grazed)	39	61	74	80
Woods:				
Poor (Forest litter, small trees, and brush are destroyed by heavy grazing or regular burning)	45	66	77	83
Fair (Woods are grazed but not burned, and some forest litter covers the soil)	36	60	73	79
Good (Woods are protected from grazing, and litter and brush adequately cover the soil)	30	55	70	77

Single family residential[3]: Dwelling Unit/Gross Acre	Should only be used for subdivisions > 50 acres	Average Percent impervious area[3,4]	
1.0 DU/GA		15	Separate curve number shall be selected for pervious & impervious portions of the site or basin
1.5 DU/GA		20	
2.0 DU/GA		25	
2.5 DU/GA		30	
3.0 DU/GA		34	
3.5 DU/GA		38	
4.0 DU/GA		42	
4.5 DU/GA		46	
5.0 DU/GA		48	
5.5 DU/GA		50	
6.0 DU/GA		52	
6.5 DU/GA		54	
7.0 DU/GA		56	
7.5 DU/GA		58	

PUD's, condos, apartments, commercial businesses, industrial areas and subdivisions < 50 acres	% impervious must be computed[5]	Separate curve numbers shall be selected for pervious and impervious portions of the site

For a more detailed and complete description of land use curve numbers refer to Chapter 2, NRCS Technical Release No. 55 (June 1986)

[1] Composite CN's may be computed for other combinations of open space cover type.

[2] Where roof runoff and driveway runoff are infiltrated or dispersed according to the requirements in Chapter 5 and Appendix C, the average percent impervious area may be adjusted in accordance with the procedure described under Section 5.2.2.

[3] Assumes roof and driveway runoff is directed into street/storm system.

[4] All the remaining pervious areas (lawn) are considered to be in good condition for these curve numbers.

[5] See Section 5.2 and Table 3.2.2.E for application of effective impervious area in percentage calculation.

The following are important criteria/considerations for selection of CN values:

Many factors may affect the CN value for a given land use. For example, the movement of heavy equipment over bare ground may compact the soil so that it has a lesser infiltration rate and greater runoff potential than would be indicated by strict application of the CN value to developed site conditions.

CN values can be area weighted when they apply to pervious areas of similar CNs (within 20 CN points). However, high CN areas should not be combined with low CN areas. In this case, separate estimates of S (potential maximum natural detention) and Q_d (runoff depth) should be generated and summed to obtain the cumulative runoff volume unless the low CN areas are less than 15 percent of the subbasin.

Separate CN values must be selected for the pervious and impervious areas of an urban basin or subbasin. For residential districts, for subdivisions larger than 50 acres, the percent impervious area given in Table 6.4.1.1.A must be used to compute the respective pervious and impervious areas; for subdivisions of 50 acres or less, the percentage must be computed. For proposed commercial areas, planned unit developments, etc., the percent impervious area must be computed from the site plan. For all other land uses the percent impervious area must be estimated from best available aerial topography and/or field reconnaissance. The pervious area CN value must be a weighted average of all the pervious area CNs within the subbasin. The impervious area CN value shall be 98.

Cover categories are based on existing U.S. Department of Agriculture soil survey data or ***site***-specific data where available.

Example: The following is an example of how CN values are selected for a sample project.

Select CNs for the following development:

Existing Land Use	-	forest (undisturbed)
Future Land Use	-	residential plat (3.6 DU/GA)
Basin Size	-	60 acres
Soil Type	-	80 percent Alderwood, 20 percent Ragnor

Table 3.2.2.B shows that Alderwood soil belongs to the "C" hydrologic soil group and Ragnor soil belongs to the "B" group. Therefore, for the existing condition, CNs of 70 and 55 are read from Table 6.4.1.1.A and areal weighted to obtain a CN value of 67. For the developed condition with 3.6 DU/GA the percent impervious of 39 percent is interpolated from Table 6.4.1.1.A and used to compute pervious and impervious areas of 36.6 acres and 23.4 acres, respectively. The 36.6 acres of pervious area is assumed to be in Fair condition (for a conservative design) with residential yards and lawns covering the same proportions of Alderwood and Ragnor soil (80 percent and 20 percent respectively). Therefore, CNs of 90 and 85 are read from Table 6.4.1.1.A and areal weighted to obtain a pervious area CN value of 89. The impervious area CN value is 98. The result of this example is summarized below:

On-Site Condition	Existing	Developed
Land use	Forest	Residential
Pervious area	60 ac.	36.6 ac.
CN of pervious area	67	89
Impervious area	0 ac.	23.4 ac.
CN of impervious area	--	98

Step 3: Calculate runoff depth for the developed tributary area. The rainfall-runoff equations of the NRCS curve number method relate a land area's runoff depth (precipitation excess) to the precipitation it receives and to its natural storage capacity, as follows:

$$Q_d = (P - 0.2S)^2 / (P + 0.8S) \quad \text{for } P \geq 0.2S \qquad (6\text{-}15)$$

$$Q_d = 0 \quad \text{for } P < 0.2S \qquad (6\text{-}16)$$

Where:

Q_d = runoff depth in inches over the area,
P = precipitation depth in inches over the area, and
S = potential maximum natural detention, in inches over the area, due to infiltration, storage, etc.

The area's potential maximum detention, S, is related to its curve number, CN:

$$S = (1000 / CN) - 10 \quad (6\text{-}17)$$

The combination of the above equations allows for estimation of the total runoff volume by computing total runoff depth, Q_d, given the total precipitation depth, P. For example, if the curve number of the area is 70, then the value of S is 4.29. With a total precipitation for the design event of 2.0 inches, the total runoff depth would be:

$$Q_d = [2.0 - 0.2 (4.29)]^2 / [2.0 + 0.8 (4.29)] = 0.24 \text{ inches}$$

This computed runoff represents inches over the tributary area.

Step 4: Calculate the design wetpool volume. The total volume of runoff is found by multiplying Q_d by the area (with necessary conversions):

Total runoff volume	=	3,630	x	Q_d	x	A
(cu. ft.)		(cu. ft./ac. in.)		(in)		(ac)

If the area is 10 acres, the total runoff volume is:

3,630 cu. ft./ac. in. x 0.24 in. x 10 ac. = 8,712 cu. ft.

This is the design volume for treatment facilities for which the design criterion is based on the volume of runoff.

Step 5: Determine wetpool dimensions. Determine the wetpool dimensions satisfying the design criteria outlined below. A simple way to check the volume of each wetpool cell is to use the following equation:

$$V_b = \frac{h(A_1 + A_2)}{2} \quad (6\text{-}18)$$

where V_b = wetpool volume (cf) (from Step 4 or as determined from the approved model)
h = wetpool depth (ft)
A_1 = water quality design surface area of wetpool (sf)
A_2 = bottom area of wetpool (sf)

Step 6: Design pond outlet pipe, and determine primary overflow water surface. The design criteria for wetponds (see Section 6.4.1.2) calls for a pond outlet pipe to be placed on a reverse grade from the pond's wetpool to the outlet structure. Use the following procedure to design the pond outlet pipe and determine the primary overflow water surface elevation:

a) Use the nomographs in Section 4.3 (Figures 4.3.1.B and 4.3.1.C) to select a trial size for the pond outlet pipe sufficient to pass the WQ design flow Q_{wq}.

b) Use Figure 4.3.1.F to determine the critical depth d_c at the outflow end of the pipe for Q_{wq}.

c) Use Figure 4.2.1.G to determine the flow area A_c at critical depth.

d) Calculate the flow velocity at critical depth using continuity equation ($V_c = Q_{wq} / A_c$).

e) Calculate the velocity head V_H ($V_H = V_c^2/2g$), where g is the gravitational constant, 32.2 feet per second).

f) Determine the primary overflow water surface elevation by adding the velocity head and critical depth to the invert elevation at the outflow end of the pond outlet pipe (i.e., overflow water surface elevation = outflow invert + d_c + V_H)

g) Adjust outlet pipe diameter as needed and repeat Steps (a) through (e).

❑ LARGE WETPOND

Large wetponds are expected to meet the Sensitive Lake Protection menu goal of 50% total phosphorus removal. The actual performance of a wetpond may vary, however, due to a number of factors.

The methods of analysis presented above for basic wetponds apply to large wetponds, except that **a large wetpond requires an increased volume of 1.5 times the volume reported by the approved model, or calculated per the NRCS hand method.**

6.4.1.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

This section sets forth design criteria for the following:

- **Basic wetpond** (see below)
- **Large wetpond** (see page 6-81).

General wetpond design criteria and concepts are shown in Figure 6.4.1.A (p. 6-83).

❑ BASIC WETPOND

Wetpool Geometry

1. The wetpool shall be divided into **two cells** separated by a baffle or berm.[30] The first cell shall contain between 25 to 35 percent of the total wetpool volume. The baffle or berm volume shall not count as part of the total wetpool volume.

   Intent: The full-length berm or baffle promotes plug flow and enhances quiescence and laminar flow through as much of the entire water volume as possible. Use of a pipe and full-width manifold system to introduce water into the second cell is possible on a case-by-case basis if approved by DLS-Permitting.

2. Wetponds with wetpool volumes less than or equal to 4,000 cubic feet may be **single celled** (i.e., no baffle or berm is required).

3. Both cells of a two-cell wetpond and the single cell of a one cell wetpond must retain a permanent pool of water throughout the wet season. A wetpond is considered non-compliant if the pond level drops more than 12" in any 7 day measurement-period. A **low permeability liner** per Section 6.2.4 will be required to achieve this standard in infiltrative soils.

4. **Sediment storage** shall be provided in the first cell. The sediment storage shall have a minimum depth of 1 foot.

5. The **minimum depth of the first cell** shall be 4 feet, exclusive of sediment storage requirements. The depth of the first cell may be greater than the depth of the second cell. If the wetpool is a single cell, the volume equivalent to the first cell shall have a minimum depth of 4 feet.

[30] As used here, the term *baffle* means a vertical divider placed across the entire width of the pond, stopping short of the pond bottom. A berm is a vertical divider typically built up from the bottom, or if in a vault, connects all the way to the bottom.

6. The **maximum depth of each cell** shall not exceed 8 feet (exclusive of sediment storage in the first cell). Pool depths of 3 feet or shallower (second cell) shall be planted with emergent wetland vegetation (see **Planting requirements**).

7. Inlets and outlets shall be placed to maximize the flowpath through the facility. The **ratio of flowpath length to width** from the inlet to the outlet shall be at least 3:1. The *flowpath length* is defined as the distance from the inlet to the outlet, as measured at mid-depth. The *width* at mid-depth can be found as follows: width = (average top width + average bottom width)/2.

8. All inlets shall enter the first cell. If there are multiple inlets, the length-to-width ratio shall be based on the average flowpath length for all inlets.

Berms, Baffles, and Slopes

1. A berm or baffle shall extend across the full width of the wetpool, and tie into the wetpond side slopes. If the berm embankments are greater than 4 feet in height, the berm **must be constructed by excavating a key** equal to 50% of the embankment cross-sectional height and width. This requirement may be waived if recommended by a geotechnical engineer for specific ***site*** conditions.[31]

2. The **top of the berm shall extend** to the **WQ design water surface** or be one foot below the WQ design water surface. If at the WQ design water surface, berm side slopes must be 3H:1V. Berm side slopes may be steeper (up to 2:1) if the berm is submerged one foot.

   Intent: Submerging the berm is intended to enhance safety by discouraging pedestrian access when side slopes are steeper than 3H:1V.

3. If good vegetation cover is not established on the berm, **erosion control measures** shall be used to prevent erosion of the berm back-slope when the pond is initially filled.

4. The interior berm or baffle may be a **retaining wall** provided that the design is prepared and stamped by a ***civil engineer***. If a baffle or retaining wall is used, it shall be submerged one foot below the design water surface to discourage access by pedestrians.

5. Criteria for wetpond **side slopes** and **fencing** are given under "General Requirements for WQ Facilities," Section 6.2.3 (p. 6-23).

6. Berm **embankments** shall be the same as for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1).

7. **Internal berms** to lengthen the flow path or allow the inlet and outlet to be at the same side of the pond may be used if an **adjustment** is granted. An adjustment may be granted only if **physical *site* constraints** prevent the standard configuration and design features promote water quality treatment. Required design features to approve an adjustment include minimizing dead spaces, minimizing turbulence, and promoting plug flow. Internal berms must extend to the 2-year water elevation, a minimum of 10 feet must be between the berms, and a distance equal to the width between the internal berms must be provided between the internal berm and the pond side at the point that the flow turns around the berm.

Inlet and Outlet

See Figure 6.4.1.A (p. 6-83) for details on the following requirements:

1. The **inlet** to the wetpond shall be **submerged** with the inlet pipe invert a minimum of two feet from the pond bottom (not including sediment storage). The top of the inlet pipe shall be submerged at least 1 foot.

[31] The geotechnical analysis must address situations in which one of the two cells is empty while the other remains full of water. These situations can occur, for example, during pump down of either cell for sediment removal, or when water from the second unlined cell percolates into the ground.

Intent: The inlet is submerged to dissipate energy of the incoming flow. The distance from the bottom is set to minimize resuspension of settled sediments. Alternative inlet designs that accomplish these objectives are acceptable.

2. An **outlet structure** shall be provided. Either a Type 2 catch basin with a grated opening (jail house window) or a manhole with a cone grate (birdcage) may be used (see Section 5.1.1.1). No sump is required in the outlet structure for wetponds not providing detention storage. The outlet structure receives flow from the pond outlet pipe. The grate or birdcage openings provide an overflow route should the pond outlet pipe become clogged. Criterion 5 below specifies the sizing and position of the grate opening.

3. The **pond outlet pipe** (as opposed to the structure outlet) shall be back-sloped or have a turn-down elbow, and extend 1 foot below the WQ design water surface. *Note: A floating outlet, set to draw water from 1 foot below the water surface, is also acceptable if vandalism concerns are adequately addressed.* **Intent:** The inverted outlet pipe provides for trapping of oils and floatables in the wetpond.

4. The **pond outlet pipe** shall be sized, at a minimum, to pass the WQ design flow. *Note: The highest invert of the outlet pipe sets the **WQ design water surface** elevation.*

5. The **overflow** criteria for single-purpose wetponds are as follows:

   a) The requirement for **primary overflow** as described for flow control ponds is satisfied by either the **grated inlet** to the outlet structure or by a **birdcage** above the pond outlet structure as shown in Figure 5.1.1.C.

   b) The bottom of the grate opening in the outlet structure shall be set at or above the height needed to pass the WQ design flow through the pond outlet pipe (see page 6-75 for sizing details). *Note: The grate invert elevation sets the **overflow water surface** elevation.*

   c) In flow-through ponds, the grated opening shall be sized to pass the 100-year design flow.

6. An **emergency spillway** shall be provided and designed according to the requirements for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1).

7. A **gravity drain** for maintenance shall be provided if grade allows.

   Intent: It is anticipated that sediment removal will only be needed for the first cell in the majority of cases. The gravity drain is intended to allow water from the first cell to be drained to the second cell when the first cell is pumped dry for cleaning.

   a) The **drain invert** shall be at least 6 inches below the top elevation of the dividing berm or baffle. Deeper drains are encouraged where feasible, but must be no deeper than 18 inches above the pond bottom.

      Intent: to prevent highly sediment-laden water from escaping the pond when drained for maintenance.

   b) The drain shall be at least 8 inches (minimum) diameter and shall be controlled by a valve. Use of a shear gate is allowed only at the inlet end of a pipe located within an approved structure.

      Intent: Shear gates often leak if water pressure pushes on the side of the gate opposite the seal. The gate should be situated so that water pressure pushes toward the seal.

8. Operational access to the valve shall be provided to the finished ground surface.

   a) The valve location shall be accessible and well-marked with one foot of paving placed around the box. It must also be protected from damage and unauthorized operation.

   b) A valve box is allowed to a maximum depth of 5 feet without an access manhole. If over 5 feet deep, an access manhole or vault is required.

9. All metal parts shall be corrosion resistant. Galvanized materials are discouraged where substitutes are available.

Access and Setbacks

1. The location of the pond relative to ***site*** constraints (e.g., buildings, property lines, etc.) shall be the same as for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1). See Section 6.2.3 (p. 6-23) for typical setback requirements for WQ facilities.
2. Access and maintenance **roads** shall be provided and designed according to the requirements for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1). Access and maintenance roads shall extend to both the wetpond inlet and outlet structures. An access ramp (7H:1V or flatter) shall be provided to the bottom of the first cell unless all portions of the cell can be reached, and sediment loaded from the top of the pond. Also see Section 5.1.1, "Access Requirements" for more information on access alternatives.
3. If the **dividing berm** is also **used for access**, it must be built to sustain loads of up to 80,000 pounds.

Signage

1. General signage shall be provided according to the requirements for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1).
2. Signage discouraging feeding of waterfowl and prohibiting swimming and wading is required. Signage is available to download from the King County Water and Land Resources Division *Surface Water Design Manual* website. This sign, or other approved equivalent sign, shall be placed for maximum visibility from adjacent streets, sidewalks, and paths. Specifications for installing the sign are shown in Figure 6.4.1.B (p. 6-85), which can be downloaded from kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 Design Manual Drawings.

Planting Requirements

1. Planting requirements for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1.1) also apply to wetponds.

   If the second cell of the wetpond is 3 feet or shallower, the bottom area shall be planted with emergent wetland vegetation. See Table 6.4.1.A (p. 6-82) for recommended emergent wetland plant species for wetponds.

   Intent: Planting of shallow pond areas helps to stabilize settled sediment and prevent resuspension. *Note: The recommendations in* Table 6.4.1.A *are for western Washington only. Local knowledge should be used to adapt this information if used in other areas.*
2. Cattails (*Typha latifolia*) are not allowed because they tend to crowd out other species, and the dead shoots need to be removed to prevent oxygen depletion in the wetpool.
3. If the wetpond is in a sensitive lake or sphagnum bog protection area, shrubs that form a dense cover shall be planted on slopes above the WQ design water surface on at least three sides. For banks that are berms, no planting is allowed if the berm is regulated by dam safety requirements (see Section 5.1.1). The purpose of planting is to discourage waterfowl use of the pond and to provide shading.[32] Some suitable trees and shrubs include vine maple (*Acer circinatum*), wild cherry (*Prunus emarginata*), red osier dogwood (*Cornus stolonifera*), California myrtle (*Myrica californica*), Indian plum (*Oemleria cerasiformis*), and Pacific yew (*Taxus brevifolia*) as well as numerous ornamental species.

Recommended Design Features

The following design features should be incorporated into the wetpond design where ***site*** conditions allow:

1. For wetpool depths in excess of 6 feet, it is recommended that some form of **recirculation** be provided in the summer, such as a fountain or aerator, to prevent stagnation and low dissolved oxygen conditions. A special use permit is needed for a pump or fountain in a County maintained pond.

[32] Waterfowl are believed to limit use of areas where their view of predator approach paths is blocked. Some suitable native shrubs include vine maple, Indian plum, bitter cherry, red osier dogwood, cascara, and red elderberry. Ornamental hedge plants such as English laurel, privet and barberry are also good choices.

2. A flow length-to-width ratio greater than the 3:1 minimum is desirable. If the ratio is 4:1 or greater, then the **dividing berm is not required**, and the pond may consist of one cell rather than two.

3. A **tear-drop shape**, with the inlet at the narrow end, rather than a rectangular pond is preferred since it minimizes dead zones caused by corners.

4. A small amount of **base flow** may maintain circulation and reduce the potential for low oxygen conditions during late summer.

5. Evergreen or columnar deciduous **trees along the west and south sides** of ponds are recommended to reduce thermal heating, except that no trees or shrubs shall be planted on berms meeting the criteria of dams regulated for safety (see "Dam Safety Compliance" in Section 5.1.1). In addition to shade, trees and shrubs also discourage waterfowl use and the attendant phosphorus enrichment problems they cause. Trees should be set back so that the branches will not extend over the pond.

   Intent: Evergreen trees or shrubs are preferred to avoid problems associated with leaf drop. Columnar deciduous trees (e.g., hornbeam, Lombardy poplar, etc.) typically have fewer leaves than other deciduous trees.

6. The **number of inlets** to the facility should be limited; ideally there should be only one inlet. The flowpath length should be maximized from inlet to outlet for all inlets to the facility.

7. The **access and maintenance road** could be extended along the full length of the wetpond and could double as playcourts or picnic areas. Placing finely ground bark or other natural material over the road surface would render it more pedestrian friendly.

8. **Stormwater tracts** may be credited to meet recreational space requirements under some circumstances (criteria in KCC 21A.14.180.D) or may sometimes be located in open space set aside through the four to one program. See Section 5.1.1.1 for details.

9. The following design features should be incorporated to enhance aesthetics where possible:

   a) Subject to dam safety restrictions (WAC 175-175), provide visual enhancement with clusters of trees and shrubs around the wetpond, above the emergency overflow water surface elevation. In most pond areas, it is important to amend the soil with compost before planting since ponds are typically placed well below the native soil horizon in very poor soils. Compost must meet quality criteria in Reference 11-C.

   b) Orient the pond length along the direction of prevailing summer winds (typically west or southwest) to enhance aeration.[33] This is beneficial for both aesthetics and treatment.

Construction Considerations

1. Sediment that has accumulated in the pond must be removed after construction in the drainage area of the pond is complete (unless used for a liner—see Criteria 2 below). If no more than 12 inches of sediment have accumulated after plat construction, cleaning may be left until after building construction is complete. In general, sediment accumulation from stabilized drainage areas is not expected to exceed an average of 4 inches per year in the first cell. If sediment accumulation is greater than this amount, it will be assumed to be from construction unless it can be shown otherwise. The County will not release maintenance and defect financial guarantees or assume maintenance responsibility for a facility unless it has been cleaned of construction phase sediments.

[33] Wind moving over the surface of standing water can often induce some mixing of surface and near-surface water, replenishing oxygen and reducing stagnant conditions. If the pond is aligned with the prevailing wind direction, this effect can be maximized. (This appears as an add, but is actually a move, and is verbatim from the 2009 SWDM. Consider deletion along with text. *See Bentzen et al. 2009. Predictions of Resuspension of Highway Detention Pond Deposits in Interrain Event Periods due to Wind-Induced Currents and Waves. Journal of Environmental Engineering 135 (12):1286-1293*

2. Sediment that has accumulated in the pond at the end of construction may be used as a liner in excessively drained soils if the sediment meets the criteria for low permeability or treatment liners defined in Section 6.2.4 (p. 6-27) and in keeping with guidance given in Table 6.2.4.A (p. 6-29). Sediment used for a soil liner must be graded to provide uniform coverage and thickness.

Maintenance Considerations

1. The pond should be inspected annually. Floating debris and accumulated petroleum products should be removed as needed, but at least annually.
2. Nearby vegetation should be trimmed as necessary to keep the pond free of leaves and to maintain the aesthetic appearance of the area. Slope areas that have become bare should be revegetated and eroded areas should be regraded prior to being revegetated.
3. Sediment should be removed when the 1-foot sediment zone is full plus 6 inches. Sediments should be tested for toxicants in compliance with current disposal requirements if land uses in the catchment include commercial or industrial zones, or if visual or olfactory indications of pollution are noticed.
4. Water drained or pumped from ponds prior to sediment removal may be discharged to storm drains if it is not excessively turbid (i.e., if water appears translucent when held to light) and if floatable debris and visual petroleum sheens are removed. Excessively turbid water (i.e., water appears opaque when held to light) should be discharged only after the solids have been settled and removed.
5. Pumping rates should be slow enough so that downstream channel erosion problems do not develop.

❑ LARGE WETPOND

All design criteria for **basic wetponds** shall apply to large wetponds, with the following **modifications:**

1. The wetpool for a large wetpond shall have a volume equal to 1.5 times the Basic wetpond volume described above.
2. If the project is subject to the Sensitive Lake Protection menu or the Sphagnum Bog Protection menu, the following shall apply:
   a) Shrubs that form a dense cover shall be planted along the top of the wetpond bank on cut slopes. **Planting** is recommended for bermed slopes, except for berms meeting the criteria of dams regulated for safety (see "Dam Safety Compliance" in Section 5.1.1). Evergreen trees and shrubs are preferred.

      Intent: Trees and shrubs discourage waterfowl use. Waterfowl tend to avoid areas that are not visually open.

   b) Measures to enhance **waterfowl habitat value** (e.g., nesting structures) are not allowed.

TABLE 6.4.1.A EMERGENT WETLAND PLANT SPECIES RECOMMENDED FOR WETPONDS			
Species	**Common Name**	**Notes**	**Maximum Depth**
INUNDATION TO 1 FOOT			
Agrostis exarata(1)	Spike bent grass	Prairie to coast	to 2 feet
Carex stipata	Sawbeak sedge	Wet ground	
Eleocharis palustris	Spike rush	Margins of ponds, wet meadows	to 2 feet
Glyceria occidentalis	Western mannagrass	Marshes, pond margins	to 2 feet
Juncus effusus	Soft rush	Wet meadows, pastures, wetland margins	to 2 feet
Juncus tenuis	Slender rush	Wet soils, wetland margins	
Oenanthe sarmentosa	Water parsley	Shallow water along stream and pond margins; needs saturated soils all summer	
Scirpus atrocinctus (formerly S. cyperinus)	Woolgrass	Tolerates shallow water; tall clumps	
Scirpus microcarpus	Small-fruited bulrush	Wet ground to 18 inches depth	18 inches
Sagittaria latifolia	Arrowhead		
INUNDATION 1 TO 2 FEET			
Agrostis exarata(1)	Spike bent grass	Prairie to coast	
Alisma plantago-aquatica	Water plantain		
Eleocharis palustris	Spike rush	Margins of ponds, wet meadows	
Glyceria occidentalis	Western mannagrass	Marshes, pond margins	
Juncus effusus	Soft rush	Wet meadows, pastures, wetland margins	
Scirpus microcarpus	Small-fruited bulrush	Wet ground to 18 inches depth	18 inches
Sparganium emmersum	Bur reed	Shallow standing water, saturated soils	
INUNDATION 1 TO 3 FEET			
Carex obnupta	Slough sedge	Wet ground or standing water	1.5 to 3 feet
Beckmania syzigachne(1)	Western sloughgrass	Wet prairie to pond margins	
Scirpus acutus(2)	Hardstem bulrush	Single tall stems, not clumping	to 3 feet
Scirpus validus(2)	Softstem bulrush		
INUNDATION GREATER THAN 3 FEET			
Nuphar polysepalum	Spatterdock	Deep water	3 to 7.5 feet
Nymphaea odorata(1)	White waterlily	Shallow to deep ponds	to 6 feet

Notes:

(1)Non-native species. *Beckmania syzigachne* is native to Oregon. Native species are preferred.

(2)*Scirpus* tubers must be planted shallower for establishment, and protected from foraging waterfowl until established. Emerging aerial stems should project above water surface to allow oxygen transport to the roots.

Primary sources: Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle, *Water Pollution Control Aspects of Aquatic Plants*, 1990. Hortus Northwest, *Wetland Plants for Western Oregon*, Issue 2, 1991. Hitchcock and Cronquist, *Flora of the Pacific Northwest*, 1973.

FIGURE 6.4.1.A WETPOND



NOTE:
BERM NOT REQUIRED FOR PONDS WITH LENGTH TO WIDTH RATIO 4:1 OR IF VOLUME LESS THAN 4000 C.F.

PLAN VIEW
NTS

FIGURE 6.4.1.A WETPOND (*CONTINUED*)



SECTION A-A
NTS



SECTION B-B
NTS

NOTE:
SEE DETENTION FACILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR LOCATION AND SETBACK REQUIREMENTS.

FIGURE 6.4.1.B WATERFOWL SIGNAGE



Specifications:

Size:	Variable. Minimum 2' x 2'
Substrate:	½" MDO plywood, primed and finish coated in Beige enamel.
Face:	Digital print on 3M Control Tack material with a UV overlay.
Graffiti Protection:	$^1/_8$" Lexan panel covered in 3M 2980 Protective Overlay, then mounted to substrate with anti-reversing screws.
Posts:	Pressure treated 4" x 4" posts.
Installation:	Mount digital print centered both directions to a beige substrate 2" larger in both directions than print. Secure substrate to 4" x 4" post with four to six - 2½" wood screws depending on size, ½" on center from edge of plywood. Attach double sided automotive tape to both edges and bottom edge of the plywood covering the wood screws then attach the Lexan panel with the protective overlay facing outward. Additional secure the Lexan with 2 to 3 anti-reversible screws down each side centered in the automotive tape and one centered in the bottom. Attach a plywood cap the same length as the substrate and six inches wide with 2½" wood screws centered over the posts and sign.

6.4.2 WETVAULTS

A *wetvault* is an underground structure similar in appearance to a detention vault, except that a wetvault has a permanent pool of water that dissipates energy and improves the settling of particulate pollutants (see the wetvault details, Figure 6.4.2.A on page 6-91). Being underground, the wetvault lacks the biological pollutant removal mechanisms, such as algae uptake, present in surface wetponds.

Applications and Limitations

A wetvault may be used in any type or size of development. However, it is most practical in relatively small catchments (less than 10 acres of impervious surface) with high land values because vaults are relatively expensive. Combined detention and wetvaults are allowed; see Section 6.4.4 (p. 6-98).

A wetvault is believed to be ineffective in removing dissolved pollutants such as soluble phosphorus or metals such as copper. There is also concern that oxygen levels will decline, especially in warm summer months, because of limited contact with air and wind. However, the extent to which this potential problem occurs has not been documented.

If oil control is required for a project, the wetvault may be combined with the **baffle oil/water separator** facility (see Section 6.6.2, p. 6-140) to fulfill Special Requirement #5, "Oil Control" (see Option 5, Section 6.1.5, p. 6-15). *Consult the water quality menus in Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) for information on how this facility may be used to meet Core Requirement #8 and Special Requirement #5.*

6.4.2.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

As with wetponds, the primary design factor that determines the removal efficiency of a wetvault is the volume of the wetpool in relationship to the volume of runoff . The larger the volume, the higher the potential for pollutant removal. Performance is also improved by avoiding dead zones (like corners) where little exchange occurs, using large length-to-width ratios, dissipating energy at the inlet, and ensuring that flow rates are uniform to the extent possible and not increased between cells.

Wetvaults sized using the design methodology below (with a volume equal to the 91% treatment volume per Section 6.2.1) and following the required design criteria in Section 6.4.2.2 are expected to meet the Basic WQ menu goal of 80% TSS removal.

The methods of analysis for a wetvault are **identical to the methods of analysis for the wetpond**. Follow the procedure specified in Section 6.4.1.1 (p. 6-72) to determine the wetpool volume for a wetvault.

6.4.2.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

Typical design details and concepts for a wetvault are shown in Figure 6.4.2.A (p. 6-91).

Wetpool Geometry

Same as specified for **wetponds** (see Section 6.4.1.2, p. 6-76) except for the following **two modifications:**

1. **Criterion 3:** The **sediment storage** in the first cell shall be an average of 1 foot. Because of the v-shaped bottom, the depth of sediment storage needed above the bottom of the side wall is roughly proportional to vault width according to the schedule below:

Vault Width	Sediment Depth (from bottom of side wall)
15'	10"
20'	9"
40'	6"
60'	4"

2. **Criterion 5:** The second cell shall be a minimum of 3 feet deep since planting cannot be used to prevent resuspension of sediment in shallow water as it can in open ponds.

Vault Structure

1. Wetvaults shall be designed as flow-through systems.
2. The vault shall be separated into two cells by a **wall** or a **removable baffle**.[34] If a **wall or non-removable baffle** is used, a 5-foot by 10-foot removable maintenance access must be provided for both cells. If a removable baffle is used, the following criteria apply:
   a) The baffle shall extend from a minimum of 1-foot above the WQ design water surface to a minimum of 1 foot below the invert elevation of the inlet pipe.
   b) The lowest point of the baffle shall be a minimum of 2 feet from the bottom of the vault, and greater if feasible.
3. If the vault is less than 2,000 cubic feet (inside dimensions) or if the length-to-width ratio of the vault pool is 5:1 or greater, the **baffle or wall** may be omitted, and the vault may be one-celled.
4. The two cells of a wetvault shall not be divided into additional subcells by **internal walls**. If internal structural support is needed, post and pier construction may be used to support the vault lid rather than walls. Any walls used within cells must be positioned so as to lengthen, rather than divide, the flowpath.

   Intent: Treatment effectiveness in wetpool facilities is related to the extent to which plug flow is achieved and short-circuiting and dead zones are avoided. Structural walls placed within the cells can interfere with plug flow and create significant dead zones, reducing treatment effectiveness.
5. Internal walls to lengthen the flow path or allow the inlet and outlet to be at the same side of the vault may be used if an **adjustment** is granted. An adjustment may be granted only if **physical *site* constraints** prevent the standard configuration and design features promote water quality treatment. Required design features to approve an adjustment include minimizing dead spaces, minimizing turbulence, and promoting plug flow. Internal walls must extend to the 2-year water elevation, a minimum of 10 feet must be between the walls, and a distance equal to the width between the internal walls must be provided between the internal wall and the vault wall at the point that the flow turns around the wall. All vault requirements apply to each length/segment.

   Intent: Confined movement around the internal walls creates turbulence, creates dead zones and decreases treatment effectiveness.
6. The bottom of the first cell shall be sloped toward the access opening. Slope shall be between 0.5 percent (minimum) and 2 percent (maximum). The second cell may be level (longitudinally) sloped toward the outlet, with a high point between the first and second cells.
7. The **vault bottom** shall slope laterally a minimum of 5% from each side towards the center, forming a broad "v" to facilitate sediment removal. *Note: More than one "v" may be used to minimize vault depth.*

   ***Exception**:* The vault bottom may be flat if **removable panels** are provided over the entire vault. Removable panels shall be at grade, have stainless steel lifting eyes, and weigh no more than 5 tons per panel.
8. The highest point of a **vault bottom** must be at least 6 inches below the outlet elevation to provide for sediment storage over the entire bottom.
9. Provision for passage of flows should the outlet plug shall be provided.

[34] As used here, the term *baffle* means a divider that does not extend all the way to the bottom of the vault, or if a bottom baffle, does not extend all the way to the top of the water surface. A *wall* is used here to mean a divider that extends all the way from near the water surface to the bottom of the vault.

10. Wetvaults may be constructed using **arch culvert sections** provided the top area at the WQ design water surface is, at a minimum, equal to that of a vault with vertical walls designed with an average depth of 6 feet. If arched culverts are used, the manufacturer must certify that they are water-tight.

    Intent: To prevent decreasing the surface area available for oxygen exchange.

11. Wetvaults shall conform to the **"Materials"** and **"Structural Stability"** criteria specified for **detention vaults** in Section 5.1.3.

12. Where pipes enter and leave the vault below the WQ design water surface, they shall be **sealed** using a non-porous, non-shrinking grout.

Inlet and Outlet

1. The **inlet** to the wetvault shall be submerged with the inlet pipe invert a minimum of 3 feet from the vault bottom (not including sediment storage). The top of the inlet pipe shall be submerged at least 1 foot. *Note: These dimensional requirements may increase the minimum 4 foot depth of the first cell, depending on the size of the inlet pipe.*

Intent: The submerged inlet is to dissipate energy of the incoming flow. The distance from the bottom is to minimize resuspension of settled sediments. Alternative inlet designs that accomplish these objectives are acceptable.

2. Unless designed as an off-line facility, the capacity of the **outlet pipe** and available head above the outlet pipe shall be designed to convey the 100-year design flow for developed ***site*** conditions (as described in Section 5.1.4.2) without overtopping the vault. The available head above the outlet pipe must be a minimum of 6 inches.

3. The outlet pipe shall be back-sloped or have tee section, the lower arm of which shall extend 1 foot below the WQ design water surface to provide for trapping of oils and floatables in the vault.

4. A **gravity drain** for maintenance shall be provided if grade allows.

    a) The gravity drain should be as low as the ***site*** situation allows; however, the **invert** shall be no lower than the average sediment storage depth. At a minimum, the invert shall be 6 inches above the base elevation of the vault side walls.

    Intent: This placement prevents highly sediment-laden water from escaping when the vault is drained for maintenance. A lower placement is allowed than for wetponds since the v-shaped vault bottom will capture and retain additional sediments.

    b) The drain shall be 8 inches (minimum) diameter and shall be controlled by a valve. Use of a shear gate is allowed only at the inlet end of a pipe located within an approved structure.

    Intent: Shear gates often leak if water pressure pushes on the side of the gate opposite the seal. The gate should be situated so that water pressure pushes toward the seal.

    c) Operational access to the valve shall be provided to the finished ground surface. The valve location shall be accessible and well marked with one foot of paving placed around the box. It must also be protected from damage and unauthorized operation.

    d) If not located in the vault, a valve box is allowed to a maximum depth of 5 feet without an access manhole. If over 5 feet deep, an access manhole is required.

Access Requirements

Same as for detention vaults (see Section 5.1.3). *Note: If the 5-foot by 10-foot removable maintenance access also provides inlet/outlet access, then a 3-foot by 3-foot inspection port must be provided at the inlet pipe and outlet structure.*

Ventilation Requirements

A minimum of 50 square feet of **grate** shall be provided over the second cell. For vaults in which the surface area of the second cell is greater than 1,250 square feet, 4% of the total surface area shall be grated. This requirement may be met by one grate or by many smaller grates distributed over the second cell area. If the vault is a single cell, ventilation shall be provided over the second half of the vault. *Note: a grated access door may be used to meet this requirement.*

Intent: The grate allows air contact with the wetpool in order to minimize stagnant conditions that can result in oxygen depletion, especially in warm weather.

Access Roads, Right of Way, and Setbacks

Same as for detention vaults (see Section 5.1.3).

Recommended Design Features

The following design features should be incorporated into wetvaults where feasible, but they are not specifically required:

1. The floor of the second cell should slope toward the outlet for ease of cleaning.
2. The **inlet and outlet** should be at opposing corners of the vault to increase the flowpath.
3. A **flow length-to-width** ratio greater than 3:1 minimum is desirable.
4. **Lockable grates** instead of solid manhole covers are recommended to increase air contact with the wetpool.
5. **Galvanized materials** should be avoided whenever possible.
6. The **number of inlets** to the wetvault should be limited, and the flowpath length should be maximized from inlet to outlet for all inlets to the vault.

Construction Considerations

Sediment that has accumulated in the vault must be removed after construction in the drainage area is complete. If no more than 12 inches of sediment have accumulated after the infrastructure is built, cleaning may be left until after building construction is complete. In general, sediment accumulation from stabilized drainage areas is not expected to exceed an average of 4 inches per year in the first cell. If sediment accumulation is greater than this amount, it will be assumed to be from construction unless it can be shown otherwise. The County will not release maintenance and defect financial guarantees or assume maintenance responsibility for a facility unless it has been cleaned of construction phase sediments.

Maintenance Considerations

1. Accumulated sediment and stagnant conditions may cause noxious gases to form and accumulate in the vault.
2. Facilities should be inspected annually. Floating debris and accumulated petroleum products shall be removed as needed, but at least annually. The floating oil shall be removed from wetvaults used as oil/water separators when oil accumulation exceeds one inch.
3. Sediment should be removed when the 1-foot (average) sediment zone is full thus 6 inches. Sediments should be tested for toxicants in compliance with current disposal requirements if land uses in the catchment include commercial or industrial zones, or if visual or olfactory indications of pollution are noticed.
4. Water drained or pumped from the vault prior to removing accumulated sediments may be discharged to storm drains if it is not excessively turbid (i.e., if water appears translucent when held to light) and if all floatable debris and visual petroleum sheens are removed. Excessively turbid water (i.e., water

appears opaque when held to light) should be discharged only after the settleable solids have been removed.

❑ MODIFICATIONS FOR COMBINING WITH A BAFFLE OIL/WATER SEPARATOR

If the ***project site*** is a ***high-use site*** and a wetvault is proposed to meet the Basic WQ menu criteria, the vault may be combined with a baffle oil/water separator (see Section 6.6.2) to meet the requirements of Special Requirement #5 with one facility rather than two. Structural modifications and added design criteria are given below. However, the maintenance requirements for baffle oil/water separators must be adhered to, in addition to those for a wetvault. This will result in more frequent inspection and cleaning than for a wetvault used only for TSS removal. See page 6-150 for information on maintenance of baffle oil/water separators.

1. The sizing procedures for the baffle oil/water separator (p. 6-141) should be run as a check to ensure the vault is large enough. If the oil/water separator sizing procedures result in a larger vault size, increase the wetvault size to match.
2. An **oil retaining baffle** shall be provided in the second cell near the vault outlet. The baffle should not contain a high-flow overflow, or else the retained oil will be washed out of the vault during large storms.
3. The vault shall have a minimum **length-to-width ratio** of 5:1.
4. The vault shall have a design water **depth-to-width** ratio of between 1:3 to 1:2.
5. The vault shall be **watertight** and shall be coated to protect from corrosion.
6. Separator vaults shall have a **shutoff mechanism** on the outlet pipe to prevent oil discharges during maintenance and to provide emergency shut-off capability in case of a spill. A valve box and riser shall also be provided.
7. Wetvaults used as oil/water separators must be **off-line** and must bypass flows greater than the **off-line** WQ design flow described in Section 6.2.1

   Intent: This design minimizes the entrainment and/or emulsification of previously captured oil during very high flow events.

FIGURE 6.4.2.A WETVAULT



6.4.3 STORMWATER WETLANDS

In land development situations, wetlands are usually constructed for two main reasons: to replace or mitigate impacts when natural wetlands are filled or impacted by development (mitigation wetlands), and to treat stormwater runoff (stormwater treatment wetlands). *Stormwater wetlands* are shallow man-made ponds that are designed to treat stormwater through the biological processes associated with emergent aquatic plants (see the stormwater wetland details in Figure 6.4.3.A, p. 6-96, and Figure 6.4.3.B, p. 6-97).

In King County, wetlands created to mitigate disturbance impacts, such as filling, shall not also be used as stormwater treatment facilities. This is because of the different, incompatible functions of the two kinds of wetlands. **Mitigation wetlands** are intended to function as full replacement habitat for fish and wildlife, providing the same functions and harboring the same species diversity and biotic richness as the wetlands they replace. **Stormwater treatment wetlands** are used to capture and transform pollutants, just as wetponds are, and over time the sediment will concentrate pollutants. This is not a healthy environment for aquatic life. Stormwater treatment wetlands are used to capture pollutants in a managed environment **so that they will not reach natural wetlands and other ecologically important habitats**. In addition, vegetation must be harvested, and sediment dredged in stormwater treatment wetlands, further interfering with use for wildlife habitat.

In general, stormwater wetlands perform well to remove sediment, metals, and pollutants which bind to humic or organic acids. Phosphorus removal in stormwater wetlands is highly variable.[35]

Applications and Limitations

This stormwater wetland design occupies about the same surface area as wetponds, but has the potential to be better integrated aesthetically into a ***site*** because of the abundance of emergent aquatic vegetation. The most critical factor for a successful design is the provision of an **adequate supply of water** for most of the year to replace any lost by infiltration or evapotranspiration. Careful planning is needed to be sure sufficient water will be retained to sustain good wetland plant growth. Since water depths are shallower than in wetponds, water loss by evapotranspiration is an important concern, especially during the relatively warm dry season. Stormwater wetlands may be a good WQ facility choice in areas with high winter groundwater levels, if there is also some pond intrusion of summer base flow.

Consult the water quality menus in Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) for information on how this facility may be used to meet Core Requirement #8.

6.4.3.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

When used for stormwater treatment, stormwater wetlands employ some of the same design features as wetponds. However, in addition to gravity settling, some degree of pollutant removal is mediated by aquatic vegetation and the microbiological community associated with that vegetation. When designing wetlands, water volume and factors which affect plant vigor and biomass are all concerns.

Stormwater wetlands designed and constructed using the criteria below are expected to meet both the Basic and Enhanced Basic water quality treatment goals.

Steps 1 through 5: Determine the volume of a basic wetpond. Follow Steps 1 through 5 for wetponds (see Section 6.4.1.1, p. 6-72). The volume of a basic wetpond is used as a template for sizing the stormwater wetland.

Step 6: Calculate the surface area of the stormwater wetland. The surface area of the wetland shall be the same as the top area of a wetpond sized for the same ***site*** conditions. Calculate the surface area of the stormwater wetland by using the volume from Step 5 and dividing by the average water depth (use 3 feet).

---

[35] Richardson, C. 1987. "Mechanisms controlling phosphorus retention capacity in freshwater wetlands," *Science*, 228: 1424.

Step 7: Determine the surface area of the first cell of the stormwater wetland. Use the volume determined from Criterion 2 under "Wetland Geometry" (p. 6-93, below), and the actual depth of cell 1.

Step 8: Determine the surface area of the wetland cell. Subtract the surface area of the first cell (Step 7) from the total surface area (Step 6).

Step 9: Determine water depth distribution in the second cell. Decide if the top of the dividing berm will be at the surface or submerged (designer's choice). Adjust the distribution of water depths in the second cell according to Criterion 8 under "Wetland Geometry" below. *Note: This will result in a facility that holds less volume than that determined in Step 5 above. This is acceptable.*

Intent: The surface area of the stormwater wetland is set to be roughly equivalent to that of a wetpond designed for the same ***project site*** so as not to discourage use of this option.

Step 10: Choose plants. See Table 6.4.1.A (p. 6-82) for a list of plants recommended for wetpond water depth zones, or consult a wetland scientist.

6.4.3.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

Typical details for a **stormwater wetland** are shown in Figure 6.4.3.A (p. 6-96) and Figure 6.4.3.B (p. 6-97).

Wetland Geometry

1. Stormwater wetlands shall consist of two cells, a presettling cell and a wetland cell.
2. The **presettling cell** shall contain a volume equal to approximately one-third of the wetpool volume calculated in Steps 1 through 5 of "Methods of Analysis," Section 6.4.3.1.
3. The **depth of the presettling cell** shall be between 4 feet (minimum) and 8 feet (maximum).
4. One foot of **sediment storage** shall be provided in the presettling cell.
5. The **wetland cell** shall have an average **water depth** of about 1.5 feet (plus or minus 3 inches).
6. The **"berm"** separating the two cells shall be shaped such that its downstream side gradually slopes to form the second shallow wetland cell (see the section view in Figure 6.4.3.A, p. 6-96). Alternatively, the second cell may be graded naturalistically from the top of the dividing berm (see Criterion 8 below).
7. The **top of berm** shall be either at the WQ design water surface or submerged 1 foot below the WQ design water surface, as with wetponds. Correspondingly, the **side slopes** of the berm must meet the following criteria:
   a) If the top of berm is at the WQ design water surface, the berm side slopes shall be no steeper than 3H:1V.
   b) If the top of berm is submerged 1 foot, the upstream side slope may be up to 2H:1V.[36]
8. Two options (A and B) are provided for **grading the bottom of the wetland cell**. Option A is a shallow, evenly graded slope from the upstream to the downstream edge of the wetland cell (see Figure 6.4.3.A, p. 6-96).

   Option B is a "naturalistic" alternative, with the specified range of depths intermixed throughout the second cell (see Figure 6.4.3.B, p. 6-97). A **distribution of depths** shall be provided in the wetland cell depending on whether the dividing berm is at the water surface or submerged (see Table 6.4.3.A, below).

[36] If the berm is at the water surface, then for safety reasons, its slope must be no greater than 3:1, just as the pond banks must be 3:1 if the pond is not fenced. A steeper slope (2:1 rather than 3:1) is allowed if the berm is submerged in 1 foot of water. If submerged, the berm it is not considered accessible, and the steeper slope is allowed.

The maximum depth is 2.5 feet in either configuration.

TABLE 6.4.3.A DISTRIBUTION OF DEPTHS IN WETLAND CELL (OPTION B)			
DIVIDING BERM AT WQ DESIGN WATER SURFACE		DIVIDING BERM SUBMERGED 1 FOOT	
Depth Range (feet)	Percent of cell 2 surface area	Depth Range (feet)	Percent of cell 2 surface area
0.1 to 1	25	1 to 1.5	40
1 to 2	55	1.5 to 2	40
2 to 2.5	20	2 to 2.5	20

Lining Requirements

1. **In infiltrative soils**, both cells of the stormwater wetland shall be lined. To determine whether a low-permeability liner or a treatment liner is required, determine whether the following conditions will be met. If low soil permeability will ensure sufficient water retention, lining may be waived.

   - The first cell of a treatment wetland must retain a permanent pool of water throughout the wet season. It is considered non-compliant if the pond level drops more than 12" in any 7 day measurement period. A low permeability liner, per Section 6.2.4 will be required to achieve this standard in infiltrative soils.
   - The second cell must retain water for at least 10 months of the year.
   - The complete historical precipitation record should be used in the approved model when establishing these conditions.

   Intent: Many wetland plants can adapt to periods of summer drought, so a limited drought period is allowed in the second cell. This may allow a treatment liner rather than a low permeability liner to be used for the second cell. The first cell must retain a permanent pool of water throughout the wet season in order for the presettling function to be effective.

2. If a **low permeability liner** is used, a minimum of 18 inches of native soil amended with good topsoil or compost (one part compost mixed with 3 parts native soil) must be placed over the liner. Compost must be Specification 1 Compost detailed in Reference 11-C. For **geomembrane or geotextile liner**, a soil depth of 3 feet covering the liner is required to prevent damage to the liner during planting. Hydric soils are not required.

3. The criteria for liners given in Section 6.2.4 (p. 6-27) must be observed.

Inlet and Outlet

Same as for basic wetponds (see page 6-77) but with the added requirement that spill control be provided as detailed in Section 4.2.1.1 prior to discharge of runoff from non-roof-top ***pollution generating impervious surface*** into the stormwater wetland.

Access and Setbacks

1. Location of the stormwater wetland relative to ***site*** constraints (e.g., buildings, property lines, etc.) shall be the same as for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1). See Section 6.2.3 (p. 6-23) for typical setback requirements for WQ facilities.

2. Access and maintenance **roads** shall be provided and designed according to the requirements for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1). Access and maintenance roads shall extend to both the wetland inlet and outlet structures. An access ramp (7H minimum:1V) shall be provided to the bottom of the

first cell unless all portions of the cell can be reached and sediment loaded from the top of the wetland side slopes. Also see "Access Requirements" in Section 5.1.1, for more information on access alternatives.

3. If the dividing berm is also used for access, it must be built to sustain loads of up to 80,000 pounds.

Signage

1. General signage shall be provided according to the requirements for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1).
2. Signage discouraging feeding of waterfowl and prohibiting swimming and wading is required. Signage is available to download from the King County Water and Land Resources Division *Surface Water Design Manual* website. This sign, or other approved equivalent sign, shall be placed for maximum visibility from adjacent streets, sidewalks, and paths. Specifications for installing the sign are shown in Figure 6.4.1.B (p. 6-85), which can be downloaded from kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 Design Manual Drawings.

Planting Requirements

1. The wetland cell shall be planted with emergent wetland plants following the recommendations given in Table 6.4.1.A (p. 6-82) or the recommendations of a wetland specialist. *Note: Cattails (Typha latifolia) are not allowed. They tend to escape to natural wetlands and crowd out other species. In addition, the shoots die back each fall and will result in oxygen depletion in the wetpool unless they are removed.*
2. If the stormwater wetland is in a sensitive lake or sphagnum bog protection area, shrubs that form a dense cover shall be planted on slopes above the WQ design water surface on at least three sides of the presettling cell. For banks that are berms, no planting is allowed if the berm is regulated by dam safety requirements (see Section 5.1.1). The purpose of planting is to discourage waterfowl use of the pond and to provide shading.[37] Some suitable trees and shrubs include vine maple (*Acer circinatum*), wild cherry (*Prunus emarginata*), red osier dogwood (*Cornus stolonifera*), California myrtle (*Myrica californica*), Indian plum (*Oemleria cerasiformis*), and Pacific yew (*Taxus brevifolia*) as well as numerous ornamental species.

Construction and Maintenance Considerations

Construction and maintenance considerations are the same as for basic wetponds. Construction of the naturalistic alternative (Option B) can be easily done by first excavating the entire area to the 1.5-foot average depth. Then soil subsequently excavated to form deeper areas can be deposited to raise other areas until the distribution of depths indicated in the design is achieved.

[37] Waterfowl are believed to limit use of areas where their view of predator approach paths is blocked. Some suitable native shrubs include vine maple, Indian plum, bitter cherry, red osier dogwood, cascara, and red elderberry. Ornamental hedge plants such as English laurel, privet and barberry are also good choices.

FIGURE 6.4.3.A STORMWATER WETLAND — OPTION A



PLAN VIEW Option A
NTS

NOTE:
SEE DETENTION FACILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR LOCATION AND SETBACK REQUIREMENTS.



SECTION VIEW Option A
NTS

FIGURE 6.4.3.B STORMWATER WETLAND — OPTION B



PLAN VIEW Option B
NTS

6.4.4 COMBINED DETENTION AND WETPOOL FACILITIES

Combined detention and WQ wetpool facilities have the appearance of a detention facility but contain a permanent pool of water as well. The following design procedures, requirements, and recommendations cover differences in the design of the stand-alone WQ facility when combined with detention storage. The following combined facilities are addressed:

- Detention/wetpond (basic and large)
- Detention/wetvault
- Detention/stormwater wetland.

There are two sizes of the combined wetpond, a basic and a large, but only a basic size for the combined wetvault and combined stormwater wetland. The facility sizes (basic and large) are related to the pollutant removal goals stated in the WQ menus. See Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) for more information on the WQ menus and treatment goals.

Applications and Limitations

Combined detention and water quality facilities are very efficient for ***sites*** that also have detention requirements. The water quality facility may often be placed beneath the detention facility without increasing the facility surface area. However, the **fluctuating water surface** of the live storage will create unique challenges for plant growth and for aesthetics alike.

The basis for pollutant removal in combined facilities is the same as in the stand-alone WQ facilities. However, in the combined facility, the detention function creates fluctuating water levels and added turbulence. For simplicity, the positive effect of the extra live storage volume and the negative effect of increased turbulence are assumed to balance, and are thus ignored when sizing the wetpool volume.[38] For the combined detention/stormwater wetland, criteria that limit the extent of water level fluctuation are specified to better ensure survival of the wetland plants.

Unlike the wetpool volume, the **live storage component** of the facility should be provided above the seasonal high water table.

Consult the water quality menus in Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) for information on how these combined facilities may be used to meet Core Requirement #8.

6.4.4.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

❑ COMBINED DETENTION AND WETPOND (BASIC AND LARGE)

The methods of analysis for combined detention and wetponds are identical to those outlined for wetponds and for detention facilities. Follow the procedure specified in Section 6.4.1.1 (p. 6-72) to determine the wetpool volume for a combined facility. Follow the standard procedure specified in Chapter 5 to size the detention portion of the pond.

❑ COMBINED DETENTION AND WETVAULT

The methods of analysis for combined detention and wetvaults are identical to those outlined for wetvaults and for detention facilities. Follow the procedure specified in Section 6.4.2 (p. 6-86) to determine the wetvault volume for a combined facility. Follow the standard procedure specified in Chapter 5 to size the detention portion of the vault.

[38] Many of the ponds studied in the Nationwide Urban Runoff Program were combined ponds.

❑ COMBINED DETENTION AND STORMWATER WETLAND

The methods of analysis for combined detention and stormwater wetlands are identical to those outlined for stormwater wetlands and for detention facilities. Follow the procedure specified in Section 6.4.3.1 (p.6-92) to determine the stormwater wetland size. Follow the standard procedure specified in Chapter 5 to size the detention portion of the wetland.

6.4.4.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

❑ COMBINED DETENTION AND WETPOND (BASIC AND LARGE)

Typical design details and concepts for a combined detention and wetpond are shown in Figure 6.4.4.A (p. 6-102). The **detention portion** of the facility shall meet the design criteria set forth in Chapter 5 and sizing procedures in Chapter 3.

Detention and Wetpool Geometry

1. The wetpool and sediment storage volumes shall not be included in the required detention volume.
2. The **"Wetpool Geometry"** criteria for wetponds (see page 6-76) shall apply with the following **modification:**

   Criterion 4: The minimum **sediment storage depth** in the first cell is 1 foot. *The 6 inches of* ***sediment storage required for detention ponds*** *does not need to be added to this, but 6 inches of sediment storage must be added to the second cell to comply with the detention sediment storage requirement.*

Berms, Baffles, and Slopes

Same as for wetponds (see page 6-77).

Inlet and Outlet

The "Inlet and Outlet" criteria for **wetponds** (see page 6-77) shall apply with the following **modifications:**

1. **Criterion 2:** A **sump** must be provided in the outlet structure of combined ponds.
2. The detention flow restrictor and its outlet pipe shall be designed according to the requirements for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.4.2).

Access and Setbacks

Same as for wetponds (see page 6-79).

Signage

1. Signage shall be provided according to the requirements for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1).
2. Signage discouraging feeding of waterfowl and prohibiting swimming and wading is required. Signage is available to download from the King County Water and Land Resources Division *Surface Water Design Manual* website. This sign, or other approved equivalent sign, shall be placed for maximum visibility from adjacent streets, sidewalks, and paths. Specifications for installing the sign are shown in Figure 6.4.1.B (p. 6-85), which can be downloaded from kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 Design Manual Drawings.

Planting Requirements

Same as for wetponds (see page 6-79).

❑ COMBINED DETENTION AND WETVAULT

The design criteria for detention vaults and wetvaults must **both** be met, except for the following **modifications or clarifications:**

1. The minimum **sediment storage depth** in the first cell shall average 1 foot. The 6 inches of sediment storage required for detention vaults does not need to be added to this, but 6 inches of sediment storage must be added to the second cell to comply with detention vault sediment storage requirements.
2. The **oil retaining baffle** shall extend a minimum of 2 feet below the WQ design water surface.

   Intent: The greater depth of the baffle in relation to the WQ design water surface compensates for the greater water level fluctuations experienced in the combined vault. The greater depth is deemed prudent to better ensure that separated oils remain within the vault, even during storm events.

***Note**: If a vault is used for detention as well as water quality control, the facility shall not be modified to function as a baffle oil/water separator as allowed for wetvaults on page 6-90. This is because the added pool fluctuation in the combined vault does not allow for the quiescent conditions needed for oil separation.*

❑ COMBINED DETENTION AND STORMWATER WETLAND

The design criteria for detention ponds and stormwater wetlands must both be met, except for the following **modifications or clarifications:**

1. The **"Wetland Geometry"** criteria for stormwater wetlands (see page 6-93) are modified as follows:

   Criterion 4: The minimum **sediment storage depth** in the first cell is 1 foot. The 6 inches of sediment storage required for detention ponds does not need to be added to this, nor does the 6 inches of sediment storage in the second cell of detention ponds need to be added.

   Intent: Since emergent plants are limited to shallower water depths, the deeper water created before sediments accumulate is considered detrimental to robust emergent growth. Therefore, sediment storage is confined to the first cell which functions as a presettling cell.
2. The "**Inlet and Outlet**" criteria for **wetponds** (see page 6-77) shall apply with the following **modifications:**

   a) **Criterion 2:** A **sump** must be provided in the outlet structure of combined facilities.

   b) The detention **flow restrictor** and its outlet pipe shall be designed according to the requirements for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.4.2).
3. The **"Planting Requirements"** for stormwater wetlands (see page 6-95) are **modified** to use the following plants which are better adapted to water level fluctuations:

   - *Scirpus acutus* (hardstem bulrush) 2 - 6' depth
   - *Scirpus microcarpus* (small-fruited bulrush) 1 - 2.5' depth
   - *Sparganium emersum* (burreed) 1 - 2' depth
   - *Sparganium eurycarpum* (burreed) 1 - 2' depth
   - *Veronica* sp. (marsh speedwell) 0 - 1' depth

   In addition, the shrub *Spirea douglasii* (Douglas spirea) may be used in combined facilities.

Water Level Fluctuation Restrictions: The difference between the WQ design water surface and the maximum water surface associated with the 2-year runoff shall not be greater than 3 feet. If this restriction cannot be met, the size of the stormwater wetland must be increased. The **additional area** may be placed in the first cell, second cell, or both. If placed in the second cell, the additional area need not be planted with wetland vegetation or counted in calculating the average depth.

Intent: This criterion is designed to dampen the most extreme water level fluctuations expected in combined facilities to better ensure that fluctuation-tolerant wetland plants will be able to survive in the facility. It is **not intended** to protect native wetland plant communities and is **not to be applied to natural wetlands**.

FIGURE 6.4.4.A COMBINED DETENTION AND WETPOND



PLAN VIEW
NTS

FIGURE 6.4.4.A COMBINED DETENTION AND WETPOND (*CONTINUED*)



SECTION A-A
NTS



SECTION B-B
NTS

NOTE:
SEE DETENTION FACILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR LOCATION, INTERIOR & EXTERIOR SIDE SLOPES, AND SETBACK REQUIREMENTS.

(This page intentionally left blank)

6.5 FILTRATION FACILITY DESIGNS

This section presents the methods, criteria, and details for analysis and design of sand filters and generic information for proprietary cartridge filters. The following specific facility designs are included in this section:

- "Sand Filters — Basic and Large," Section 6.5.2 (p. 6-106)
- "Sand Filter Vaults," Section 6.5.3 (p. 6-123)
- "Linear Sand Filters," Section 6.5.4 (p. 6-128)

The information presented for each filtration facility is organized into the following categories:

1. **Methods of Analysis:** Contains a step-by-step procedure for designing and sizing each facility.
2. **Design Criteria:** Contains the details, specifications, and material requirements for each facility.

6.5.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR FILTRATION FACILITIES

Presettling Requirement

Filtration facilities are particularly susceptible to clogging. Presettling must therefore be provided before stormwater enters a filtration facility to prolong the periods between required maintenance activities. The presettling treatment goal is to remove 50 percent of the total suspended solids (TSS). This requirement may be met by **any of the following:**

1. A water quality facility from the Basic WQ Menu (Section 6.1.1), except for Basic WQ options 7 (sand filter) and 8 (proprietary media/membrane filter) which shall not be used to meet the presettling requirement.
2. A presettling pond or vault, which may be integrated as the first cell of the filtration facility, with a treatment volume equal to 0.25 times the basic water quality treatment volume (see Section 6.2.1) calculated by the approved model or by using the NRCS curve number method (see Section 6.4.1.1, p. 6-72). See design requirements below. *Note: For the linear sand filter, use the sediment cell sizing given in the design instead of the above sizing.*
3. A detention facility sized to meet the Level 2 flow control standard.
4. An alternative King County approved pretreatment technology (see New Facility Designs in Section 6.2, Section 6.7 “Proprietary Facility Designs”, and References 14-A and 14-B).

Other Pretreatment Requirements, Limitations, and Notes

1. Sand filters not preceded by a facility that captures floatables, such as a spill control tee, must provide additional pretreatment to remove **floatable trash and debris** before flows reach the sand bed. This requirement may be met by providing a catch basin with a capped riser on the inlet to the sand filter (see Figure 6.5.2.B, p. 6-121).
2. For ***high-use sites***, sand filters must be preceded by an **oil control option** from the High-Use menu, Section 6.1.5 (p. 6-15).
3. The presettling requirement (with 50% TSS treatment goal) for filtration facilities is in addition to and exclusive of the treatment requirement for the filtration facility (80% TSS treatment goal).

Design Criteria for Presettling Cells

1. If water in the presettling cell or upstream WQ facility will be in direct contact with the soil, the cell or WQ facility must be **lined** per the liner requirements in Section 6.2.4.

Intent: to prevent groundwater contamination from untreated stormwater runoff in areas of excessively drained soils.

2. The presettling cell shall conform to the following:
   a) The **length-to-width ratio** shall be 2:1, at minimum. A 3:1 ratio is recommended. Berms or baffles may be used to lengthen the flowpath.
   b) The **minimum depth** shall be 3 feet; the **maximum depth** shall be 6 feet.
   c) One foot of sediment storage shall be provided.
3. Inlets and outlets shall be designed to minimize velocity and reduce turbulence. The top of the inlet pipe shall be submerged at least 1 foot. The bottom of the inlet pipe shall be at least 1 foot above sediment storage.
4. If the presettling cell is in a sensitive lake or sphagnum bog protection area, shrubs that form a dense cover shall be planted on slopes above the WQ design water surface on at least three sides (see the wetpond planting requirements in Section 6.4.1.2).
5. See Section 6.5.3.2 (p. 6-123) for details of presettling vault structures.

6.5.2 SAND FILTERS — BASIC AND LARGE

A *sand filter* operates much like an infiltration pond (see the sand filter detail in Figure 6.5.2.A, p. 6-119). However, instead of infiltrating into native soils, stormwater filters through a constructed sand bed with an underdrain system. Runoff enters the pond and spreads over the surface of the filter. As flows increase, water backs up in the pond where it is held until it can percolate through the sand. The treatment pathway is vertical (downward through the sand). High flows in excess of the WQ treatment goal simply spill out over the top of the pond. Water that percolates through the sand is collected in an underdrain system of drain rock and pipes which directs the treated runoff to the downstream drainage system.

A sand filter removes pollutants primarily by physical filtration. As stormwater passes through the sand, pollutants are trapped in the small spaces between sand grains or adhere to the sand surface. Over time, silt will build up on the surface and soil organisms (bacteria, fungi, protozoa, nematodes, etc.) will populate the slit layer and sand bed. The silt will enhance pollutant filtration while the organisms may be responsible for some biological treatment and some filtration by formation of a biofilm. Over time, either may decrease the sand filter infiltration rate sufficient to require removal and replacement of some to all of the media. A large sand filter will treat more of the annual flow than will a basic sand filter and will therefore remove more pollutant load on an annual basis. Increasing the sand thickness will not appreciably improve performance.

The following design procedures, requirements, and recommendations cover two sand filter sizes: a basic size and a large size. The **basic sand filter** is designed to meet the Basic WQ menu goal of 80% TSS removal. The **large sand filter** is expected to meet the Enhanced Basic WQ menu goal of > 30% reduction of dissolved copper and > 60% removal of dissolved zinc, and the Sensitive Lake Protection menu goal of 50% total phosphorus removal.

Applications and Limitations

A sand filter may be used in most residential, commercial, and industrial developments where ***site*** topography and drainage provide adequate hydraulic head to operate the filter. *An elevation difference of about 4 feet between the inlet and outlet of the filter is usually needed to install a sand filter.*

Landscaping may be somewhat constrained because the vegetation capable of surviving in sand and not interfering with sand filter operation, maintenance, or longevity is limited. Trees and shrubs which generate a large leaf fall shall be avoided in the immediate vicinity of the filter because leaves and other debris can clog the surface of the filter.

Sand filters are designed to prevent water from backing up into the sand layer (the underdrain system must drain freely). Therefore, a sand filter is more **difficult to install, and may not be suitable, in areas with high water tables** where groundwater could potentially flood the underdrain system. Water standing in the underdrain system will also keep the sand saturated. Under these conditions, oxygen can be depleted, releasing pollutants such as metals and phosphorus that are more mobile under anoxic conditions.

Sand filter discharge must be by gravity, and must not rely on a pump system. If the pump fails, the sand will become saturated, create anoxic conditions, and release pollutants. Pumped inflow is only allowed for privately maintained systems meeting the criteria in Section 4.2.3.

Because the surface of the sand filter will clog from sediment and other debris, this facility **should not be used in areas where heavy sediment loads are expected**. A sand filter should not be used during construction to control sediments unless the sand bed is replaced periodically during construction and after the ***project site*** is stabilized.

Consult the water quality menus in Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) for information on how basic and large sand filters may be used to meet Core Requirement # 8.

6.5.2.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

This section presents the methods of analysis for both **basic and large sand filters**.

A sand filter is designed with two parts: (1) a **temporary storage reservoir** to store runoff, and (2) a **sand filter bed** through which the stored runoff must percolate. Usually the storage reservoir is simply placed directly above the filter, and the floor of the reservoir pond is the top of the sand bed. For this case, the storage volume also determines the hydraulic head over the filter surface, which increases the rate of flow through the sand.

The **modeled routing method** described below uses the approved continuous runoff computer model to determine sand filter area and pond size based on individual ***site*** conditions. The method includes parameters for sizing either a basic or a large sand filter.

Background

There are several variables used in sand filter design which are similar and often confused, even by well-trained individuals. Use of these variables is explained below.

The sand filter design is based on Darcy's law:

$$Q = KiA \qquad (6\text{-}19)$$

where Q = WQ design flow (cfs)
K = hydraulic conductivity (fps)
A = surface area perpendicular to the direction of flow (sf)
i = hydraulic gradient (ft/ft) for a constant head and constant media depth, computed as follows:

$$i = \frac{h + l}{l} \qquad (6\text{-}20)$$

where h = average depth of water above filter (ft), defined for this design as $d/2$
d = maximum storage depth above filter (ft)
l = thickness of sand media (ft)

Although it is not seen directly, Darcy's law underlies the modeled routing design method. V is the direct input in the sand filter design. The relationship between V and K is revealed by equating Darcy's law and the equation of continuity, $Q = VA$. *Note: When water is flowing into the ground, V is commonly called the* ***filtration rate****. It is ordinarily measured in a percolation test.*

Specifically:

$$Q = KiA \quad \text{and} \quad Q = VA$$

So,

$$VA = KiA \quad \text{or} \quad V = Ki \tag{6-21}$$

Note that $V \neq K$—that is, the filtration rate is not the same as the hydraulic conductivity, but they do have the same units (distance per time). K can be equated to V by dividing V by the hydraulic gradient i, which is defined above in Equation (6-20).

The hydraulic conductivity ***K* does not change with head** nor is it dependent on the thickness of the media, only on the characteristics of the media and the fluid. The hydraulic conductivity of 1 inch per hour (2.315×10^{-5} fps) used in this design is based on bench-scale tests of conditioned rather than clean sand. This design hydraulic conductivity represents the average sand bed condition as silt is captured and held in the filter bed.[39]

Unlike the hydraulic conductivity, the filtration rate ***V* changes with head and media thickness**, although the media thickness is constant in the sand filter design.

Modeled Routing Method

The modeled routing method allows the designer to optimize filter geometry and sizing to meet specific ***site*** conditions. The modeled method requires a trial and error solution using the approved model to route the developed inflow runoff time series through various sand filter configurations until the amount of runoff that passes through the filter media and is treated meets or exceeds the treatment objective defined for the facility. Refer to the approved model's computer software reference manual for specific instructions on using the program. The general design process is described below.

Step 1: Determine whether a basic or large sand filter is required. Consult the water quality menus in Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) to determine the size of filter needed. A basic sand filter is sized so that 91% of the runoff volume will pass through the filter. A large sand filter is sized such that a minimum of 95% of the runoff volume passes through the filter. See Section 6.2.1 (p. 6-17) for discussion of the WQ design volume.

Step 2: Prepare the inflow time series. The developed inflow time series is prepared using the approved model as generally described in Chapter 3. Detailed instructions for preparing the time series can be found in the approved model's computer software reference manual. If the sand filter is upstream of detention, the time series is that of the developed ***site***. If the sand filter is downstream of detention, the time series is the outflow time series leaving the detention facility. *Note: Sand filters located downstream from detention facilities are significantly smaller than those treating runoff before it is detained. Likewise, sand filters receiving flows from Level 2 detention facilities are smaller than those below Level 1 facilities.*

Step 3: .Determine whether the sand filter will be on-line or off-line. For most WQ facilities, the designer may choose to design the facility as either on-line (all flow goes through the facility) or off-line (flows above the WQ design flow bypass the facility). An off-line sand filter has a high-flow bypass with an upstream flow splitter designed to bypass flows above the WQ design flow (see Section 6.2.5, p. 6-32, for more information on flow splitter design).

[39] King County has tested various sand mixes conditioned with simulated stormwater to establish realistic design standards. Tests were conducted under falling head conditions in columns containing 18 inches of sand underlain with a 2-inch layer of washed drain gravel containing a section of 2-inch perforated PVC pipe to simulate the underdrain system. Details are given in Koon, John, "Determination of infiltration rate and hydraulic conductivity for various sand filter media." January 1996.

Note that the WQ design flow rate for the flow splitter is the rate required to pass the WQ volume (basic or large). For the basic sand filter, the rate is reported directly by the approved model (i.e., not modified in the manner for bioswales in Section 6.2.1); for the large sand filter, derive the rate from the ratio of the basic and large water quality volumes. The basic sand filter uses the 91% runoff volume as the water quality design volume, corresponding to a 2-year return interval peak flow from the approved continuous model. The large sand filter design flow can be calculated by increasing the 2 year return interval peak flow by the ratio of the 95% runoff volume (water quality design volume for the large sand filter) and the 91% runoff volume (water quality design volume for the basic sand filter). In equation form,

$$\text{Design flow rate for Large Sand Filter} = \frac{\text{(95\% Runoff Volume)}}{\text{(91\% Runoff Volume)}} \times \text{2-year return interval peak flow} \quad (6\text{-}22)$$

Step 4: Define sand filter modeling parameters. Sand filters can be sized in WWHM using the sand filter element, or in MGS Flood using the infiltration pond element with the Sand Filter Data tab. Follow the guidance in the approved model's reference manual and apply the additional guidance below for the parameters required for the analysis:

a) The surface area of the filter computed by the approved model using inputs of the bottom length and width of the infiltration pond (ft).

b) Maximum water depth over filter: depth at which runoff begins to overflow the sand filter

c) Permeable surfaces: bottom only.

d) Riser and orifice information:

- Riser head: same as the maximum water depth.
- Number of orifices: zero. All runoff will either percolate through sand or overflow the riser.
- Top of riser: flat.

e) Vertical infiltration: Assume a ***design filtration rate*** of 1 inch per hour. Though the sand specified below will initially infiltrate at a much higher rate, that rate will slow as the filter accumulates sediment. When the filtration rate falls to 1 inch per hour, removal of sediment is necessary to maintain rates above the rate assumed for sizing purposes.

Step 5: Size the sand filter. Follow the facility sizing guidance in the approved model's reference manual to input the preliminary design configuration of the sand filter.

Step 6: Route the inflow time series through the sand filter and compare volumes. Compare the volume percentage passing through the filter with the percentage required for the treatment volume (91% or 95%). The approved model calculates the routed volume percentage for the comparison.

- If the volume percentage of water passing through the filter exceeds the design treatment volume percentage, decrease the bottom area of the facility. Repeat this step until the desired performance is achieved.
- If the volume percentage of water passing through the filter is less than the design treatment volume percentage, increase the bottom area until the desired performance is achieved.

Step 7: Size the underdrain system. The underdrain system is sized to convey the peak filtered flows to the outlet. For the **basic** sand filter, the **central collector pipe(s)** shall be sized to convey, at a minimum, the 2-year return frequency flow into the facility using the KCBW program's backwater analysis techniques described in Chapter 4.

For **large** sand filter design, the design flows for the underdrain collector pipe(s) **must be increased** from the basic sand filter, which uses the 91% runoff volume as the water quality design volume, corresponding to a 2-year return interval peak flow from the approved continuous model. For the large sand filter, the

underdrain design flow can be calculated by increasing the 2 year return interval peak flow by the ratio of the 95% runoff volume (water quality design volume for the large sand filter) and the 91% runoff volume (water quality design volume for the basic sand filter). In equation form:

$$\text{Design flow rate for Large Sand Filter underdrain} = \frac{\text{(95\% Runoff Volume)}}{\text{(91\% Runoff Volume)}} \times \text{2-year return interval peak flow} \quad (6\text{-}23)$$

To simplify the analysis, all flows for basic and large sand filters may be assumed to enter the collector pipe at the upstream end. Typically, the collector pipe will not be inlet controlled, so a simple square inlet type may be assumed. The full head of the facility may be utilized to convey flows through the pipe.

Feeder pipes may be sized using the design criteria in "Underdrain Systems" (p. 6-112) instead of analyzing the conveyance capacity as described above.

Strip drains must be analyzed for conveyance per manufacturer's specifications.

Intent: The underdrain must be able to remove standing water from beneath the sand. If standing water remains, the sand will remain saturated. This could cause reducing conditions in the sand, allowing some pollutants to become mobile and be released from the filter to downstream receiving waters.

6.5.2.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

General design concepts and a typical layout of a sand filter are shown in Figure 6.5.2.A (p. 6-119) and Figure 6.5.2.B (p. 6-121).

Sand Filter Geometry

1. **Any shape** sand bed may be used, including circular or free-form designs. *Note: The treatment process is governed by **vertical** flow, so short-circuiting is not a concern as it is in wetponds.*
2. **Sand depth** (*l*) shall be 18 inches (1.5 feet) minimum.
3. **Depth of storage** over the filter media (*d*) shall be 6 feet maximum.

Pretreatment, Flow Spreading, and Energy Dissipation

1. See general presettling and pretreatment requirements for filtration facilities in Section 6.5.16.5.1 (p. 6-105).
2. A **flow spreader** shall be installed at the inlet along one side of the filter to evenly distribute incoming runoff across the filter and prevent erosion of the filter surface. See Section 6.2.6 (p. 6-36) for details on flow spreaders.
   a) **If the sand filter is curved or an irregular shape**, a flow spreader shall be provided for a minimum of 20 percent of the filter perimeter.
   b) If the **length-to-width ratio** of the filter is 2:1 or greater, a flow spreader must be located on the longer side and for a **minimum length** of 20 percent of the facility perimeter.
   c) In other situations, use good engineering judgment in positioning the spreader.
3. **Erosion protection** shall be provided along the first foot of the sand bed adjacent to the flow spreader. Geotextile meeting the specifications in WSDOT Standard Specifications, 9-33.2(1) Geotextile Properties / Table 1 / Moderate Survivability / Woven, and Table 2, Class A, weighted with sand bags at 15-foot intervals may be used. Quarry spalls may also be used.

Overflow and Bypass Structures

1. **On-line filters**[40] shall be equipped with **overflows** (primary, secondary, and emergency) in accordance with the design criteria for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1.1, criteria for "Overflow" and "Emergency Overflow Spillway"). *Note: The primary overflow may be incorporated into the emergency spillway in cases where the spillway discharges into a downstream detention facility, or where overflows can be safely controlled and redirected into the downstream conveyance system.*

2. For **off-line filters**, the outlet structure for the **basic** sand filter must be designed to pass the 2-yr peak inflow rate, as determined using the approved model with 15-minute time steps calibrated to specific ***site*** conditions.

   For **large** sand filter design, the design flows for the overflow **must be increased** from the basic sand filter, which uses the 91% runoff volume as the water quality design volume, corresponding to a 2-year return interval peak flow from the approved continuous model. For the large sand filter, the overflow design flow can be calculated by increasing the 2 year return interval peak flow by the ratio of the 95% runoff volume (water quality design volume for the large sand filter) and the 91% runoff volume (water quality design volume for the basic sand filter). In equation form:

$$\text{Design flow rate for Large Sand Filter overflow} = \frac{(95\%\ \text{Runoff Volume})}{(91\%\ \text{Runoff Volume})} \times \text{2-year return interval peak flow} \qquad (6\text{-}24)$$

   Intent: Overflow capacity is required for low-flow, high-volume storms which may exceed the storage capacity of the filter.

3. To the extent base flow conditions can be identified, **base flow** must be bypassed around the filter to keep the sand from remaining saturated for extended periods of time.

Filter Composition

A sand filter consists of three or four layers:

- Top layer (optional): grass seed or sod grown in sand
- Second layer: sand
- Third layer: geotextile fabric
- Fourth layer: underdrain system.

Sand Specifications

The sand in a filter shall consist of a medium sand with few fines meeting the size gradation (by weight) given in Table 6.5.2.AB (p. 6-112). The contractor must obtain a grain size analysis from the supplier to certify that the No. 100 and No. 200 sieve requirements are met. *Note: Many sand mixes supplied locally meet this specification. However, standard backfill for sand drains (as specified in the Washington Standard Specifications 9-03.13) does not meet this specification and shall not be used for sand filters.*

[40] Whether a WQ facility is designed as on-line (all flow going through the facility) or off-line (high flows bypassing the facility) is a choice made by the designer. Section 6.2.5 (p. 6-29) contains information on flow splitters for WQ facilities.

TABLE 6.5.2.AB SAND MEDIA SPECIFICATIONS	
U.S. Sieve Size	**Percent passing**
U.S. No. 4	95 to 100 percent
U.S. No. 8	70 to 100 percent
U.S. No. 16	40 to 90 percent
U.S. No. 30	25 to 75 percent
U.S. No. 50	2 to 25 percent
U.S. No. 100	Less than 4 percent
U.S. No. 200	Less than 2 percent

Geotextile Materials

Geotextile material requirements are specified in WSDOT Standard Specifications, 9-33.2(1) Geotextile Properties / Table 1 / Moderate Survivability / Woven, and Table 2, Class A.

Underdrain Systems

1. Several **underdrain systems** are acceptable:

   - A central collector pipe with lateral feeder pipes in an 8-inch drain rock bed
   - A central collector pipe with a geotextile drain strip in an 8-inch drain rock bed
   - Longitudinal pipes in an 8-inch drain rock bed, with a collector pipe at the outlet end.

   In smaller installations a single perforated pipe in 8 inches of drain rock may be adequate.

2. The **maximum perpendicular distance** between any two feeder pipes, or the edge of the filter and a feeder pipe, shall be 15 feet.

   Intent: This spacing is required to prevent the underdrain system from backing up into the sand filter during the early life of the filter when high filtration rates exist.

3. All pipe shall be placed with a **minimum slope** of 0.5 %.

4. The **invert of the underdrain outlet** shall be above the seasonal high groundwater level. The *seasonal high groundwater level* is the highest elevation of groundwater observed.

   Intent: The underdrain must be able to remove standing water from beneath the sand. If standing water remains, the sand will remain saturated. This could cause depletion of dissolved oxygen and reducing conditions in the sand, allowing some pollutants to become mobile and be released from the filter to downstream receiving waters.

5. **Cleanout** wyes with caps or junction boxes shall be provided at both ends of all collector pipes. Cleanouts shall extend to the surface of the filter.

   a. A valve box must be provided for access to the cleanouts.

   b. The cleanout assembly must be watertight to prevent short circuiting of the filter.

   Intent: Caps are required on cleanout wyes to prevent short-circuiting of water into the underdrain system when the pond fills with water.

6. If a **drain strip** is used for lateral drainage, the strip must be placed at the slope specified by the manufacturer but at least at 0.5%. All drain strip must extend to the central collector pipe. Drain strips installations must be analyzed for conveyance because manufactured products vary in the amount of flow they are designed to handle.

7. At least 8 inches of drain rock must be maintained over all underdrain piping or drain strip, and 6 inches must be maintained on either side to prevent damage by heavy equipment during maintenance.

   *Note: If drain strip is used, it may be easier to install the central collector pipe in an 8-inch **trench** filled with drain rock, making the cover over the drain strip and the collector pipe the same thickness. In this case the pipe shall be wrapped with geotextile to prevent clogging. Use the same geotextile specification as given in WSDOT Standard Specifications, 9-33.2(1) Geotextile Properties / Table 1 / Moderate Survivability / Woven, and Table 2, Class A,.*

8. A **geotextile fabric** shall be used between the sand layer and the drain rock and be placed so that one inch of drain rock is above the fabric.

   Intent: The position of the geotextile fabric provides a **transition layer** of mixed sand and drain rock. A distinct layer of finely textured sand above a coarser one may cause water to pool at the interface and not readily drain downward due to the greater capillary forces in the finer material.

9. Sand filters shall not be used in combination with a downstream pump system.

Intent: Sand filters are designed to prevent water from backing up into the sand layer; the underdrain system must drain freely. If the pump fails, the sand will become saturated, create anoxic conditions, and release pollutants.

Underdrain Materials

1. Underdrain **pipe** shall be minimum 6 inch diameter perforated PVC, SDR 35. One acceptable specification for perforations is as follows: 2 rows of holes ($^1/_2$-inch diameter) spaced 6 inches apart longitudinally (max), with rows 120 degrees apart (laid with holes downward). Other drain pipe may be used if it adequately drains the filter.

2. **Drain rock** shall be $1^1/_2$ to $^3/_4$ -inch rock, washed and free from clay or organic material.

3. If a geotextile drain strip system is used, the attached **geotextile fabric** should not be used, or the fabric side should be positioned away from the sand blanket. Geotextile is already required between the sand and drain rock layers, and must meet the specifications in WSDOT Standard Specifications, 9-33.2(1) Geotextile Properties / Table 1 / Moderate Survivability / Woven, and Table 2, Class A, to avoid clogging the filter prematurely.

Access Roads & Setbacks

1. An access road shall be provided to the inlet and outlet of a sand filter for inspection and maintenance purposes. Requirements for access roads are the same as for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1.1, "Design of Access Roads" and "Construction of Access Roads").

2. The location of the facility relative to ***site*** constraints (e.g., buildings, property lines, etc.) shall be the same as for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1) except as noted in 3, below. See Section 6.2.3 (p. 6-23) for typical setback requirements for WQ facilities.

3. For a sand filter that infiltrates to ground, setbacks shall be same as those for infiltration ponds, (see Section 5.2.2).

Grass Cover

1. **No top soil** shall be added to sand filter beds because fine-grained materials (e.g., silt and clay) reduce the hydraulic capacity of the filter.

3. **Growing grass** will require selecting species that can tolerate the demanding environment of the sand bed. Sand filters experience long periods of saturation during the winter wet season, followed by extended dry periods during the summer. Modeling predicts that sand filters will be dry about 60 percent of the time in a typical year. Consequently, vegetation must be capable of surviving drought as well as wetness.

- The grasses and plants listed in Table 6.5.2.B (p. 6-115) are good choices for pond sides. They are facultative (i.e., they can tolerate fluctuations in soil water). These species can generally survive approximately 1 month of submersion while dormant in the winter (until about February 15), but they can withstand only about 1 to 2 weeks of submersion after mid-February.
- The lower portion of Table 6.5.2.B lists grass species that are good choices for the sand filter bottom. They can withstand summer drying and are fairly tolerant of infertile soils. In general, planting a mixture of 3 or more species is recommended. This ensures better coverage since tolerance of the different species is somewhat different, and the best adapted grasses will spread more rapidly than the others. Legumes, such as clover, fix nitrogen and hence can thrive in low-fertility soils such as sands. This makes them particularly good choices for planting the sand filter bed.

4. To prevent any use that could compact and potentially damage the filter surface, both **permanent and temporary structures** (e.g., playground equipment or bleachers) are not permitted.
5. If the sand filter is located in a Sensitive Lake Protection Area, or discharges to a stream that is listed as a Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Problem (Type 2) under "Downstream Water Quality Problems Requiring Special Attention" (Section 1.2.2.1.2) and the problem cause has been identified as nutrient loading, then low phosphorus fertilizers (such as formulations in the proportion 3:1:3 N-P-K or less) or slow-release phosphorus formulations should be used, and at no more than the minimum agronomic rate. Regardless of location, the fertilizer must meet the requirements of Chapter 15.54.500 RCW limiting the use of fertilizer containing phosphorus.

TABLE 6.5.2.B RECOMMENDED PLANTS FOR SATURATED AREAS	
RECOMMENDED PLANTS FOR POND SIDES	
Scientific Name	**Common Name**
Agrostis alba	Redtop
Agrostis palustris	Creeping bentgrass
Alopecurus pratensis	Meadow foxtail
Calamagrostis nutkaensis	Pacific reed grass
Glyceria borealis	Northern mannagrass
Holcus lanatus	Common velvet grass
Poa palustris	Fowl bluegrass
Poa pratensis	Kentucky bluegrass
Juncus acuminatus	Tapertip rush
Juncus effusus	Soft rush
RECOMMENDED PLANTS FOR POND BOTTOM (SAND SURFACE)	
Agrostis tenuis	Colonial bentgrass (Highland strain good)
Buchloe dactyloides	Buffalo grass
Festuca elatior	Tall fescue
Festuca elatior "Mustang," "Silverado"	Dwarf tall fescues
Festuca rubra	Red fescue
Lolium perenne	Perennial ryegrass
Zoysia tenuifolia	Korean grass
Trifolium repens	White lawn clover
Note: Other grasses may be used if recommended by a horticultural or erosion control specialist for the specific site.	

Recommended Design Features

The following design features should be incorporated into sand filter designs where ***site*** conditions allow:

1. A **horticultural specialist** should be consulted for advice on planting.
2. **Seed** should be applied in spring or mid to late fall unless irrigation is provided. If the filter is seeded during the dry summer months, surface irrigation is needed to ensure that the seeds germinate and survive. Seed should be applied at 80 lbs/acre.
3. During seeding, Slow-release **fertilizers** may be applied to speed the growth of grass. If the sand filter is located in a sensitive lake watershed or discharges to a stream that is listed as a Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Problem (Type 2) under "Downstream Water Quality Problems Requiring Special Attention" (Section 1.2.2.1.2) and the problem cause has been identified as nutrient loading, then low phosphorus fertilizers (such as formulations in the proportion 3:1:3 N-P-K or less) or slow-release phosphorus formulations should be used, and at no more than the minimum agronomic rate. Regardless of location, the fertilizer must meet the requirements of Chapter 15.54.500 RCW limiting the use of fertilizer containing phosphorus.

4. A sand filter can add landscape interest and may be incorporated into the project **landscape design**,**.** Interior side slopes may be stepped with flat areas for planting,. Perennial beds may be planted above the overflow water surface elevation. However, large shrubs and trees are not allowed because falling leaves and needles can clog the filter surface, requiring more frequent maintenance, and roots may damage the structure and/or function of the filter. *Note: Examples of areas with stepped side slopes can be found at the Ballard Locks in Seattle and at Luther Burbank Park on Mercer Island.*

5. Recreational use of the filter surface is not allowed as activity can disrupt the structure and function of the filter media. Signage discouraging recreation is required. Signage shall be placed for maximum visibility from adjacent streets, sidewalks, and paths. More than one sign may be required to be sure the advisory will be noted by anyone approaching the facility.

Construction Considerations

1. If sand filters are put into service before construction of all parcels within the catchment is complete and all disturbed soil in the sand filter catchment has been stabilized, the filter will very likely clog prematurely. If individual lots are not stabilized, the options for **protection from upstream erosion** given in Section 5.2.1 for infiltration ponds may be used.

   An **alternative** is to install the sand filter pond including full excavation for the filter sand and underdrain layers, delaying placement of the sand and underdrains until the ***project site*** is stabilized. The partially complete sand filter will then function like a small wetpond. Later, the accumulated sediment must be removed and the underdrain with gravel, geotextile separator, and sand layers placed. A second alternative is to place only the gravel underdrain during the construction phase. Then clean the gravel and place the geotextile separator and sand layer after the ***project site*** is stabilized.

   The County will not assume maintenance responsibility or release financial guarantees unless the sand filter is installed per design and functioning properly. If the final sand layer cannot be completed before the typical two-year holding period for financial guarantees, the applicant may elect to pay the County to clean and install the sand when the watershed is stabilized, or may arrange a smaller financial guarantee specifically for completion of the sand filter.

2. **Careful placement of the sand** is necessary to avoid formation of voids within the sand that could lead to short-circuiting, particularly around penetrations for underdrain cleanouts, as well as to prevent damage to the underlying geomembranes and underdrain system. Voids between the trench wall and geotextile fabric should also be avoided.

3. **Over compaction must be avoided** to ensure adequate filtration capacity. Sand is best placed with a low ground pressure tracked bulldozer (4.6 pounds per square inch or less ground pressure). The number of passes over sand fill should be minimized during placement; using low ground-pressure vehicles can minimize ground pressure and compaction.

4. After the sand layer is placed, water settling is recommended. Flood the sand with 10 to 15 gallons of water per cubic foot of sand.

Maintenance Considerations

Sand filters are subject to clogging by fine sediment, oil and grease, and other debris (e.g., trash and organic matter such as leaves). Filters and pretreatment facilities should be inspected every 6 months during the first year of operation. Inspections should also occur immediately following a storm event to assess the filtration capacity of the filter. Once the filter is performing as designed, the frequency of inspection may be reduced to once per year.

During an inspection the following features should be evaluated and maintained as needed:

1. Remove debris and sediment from the pretreatment facility when depth exceeds 12 inches.

2. Remove debris and sediment from the surface of the filter when accumulations exceed 0.5 inches.

3. Observe operation of the overflow and drawdown time in the filter. Frequent overflow through the grated "birdcage" or "jailhouse" window into the outlet structure or slow drawdown are indicators of plugging problems. Under normal operating conditions, a sand filter should completely empty within 9 to 24 hours following a storm event (i.e., after the inflow of runoff to the filter ceases), depending on pond depth. Generally, if the water level over the filter drops at a rate less than $^1/_2$-inch per hour ($V <$ $^1/_2$-inch per hour), corrective maintenance is needed. Recommendations for improving sand filter performance are summarized below:
   a) Remove thatch accumulation in grass.
   b) Aerate the filter surface to improve permeability.
   c) Till the filter surface. Two separate passes following a criss-cross pattern (i.e., second pass at right angles to the first) are recommended.
   d) Replace upper 4 to 6 inches of grass and sand.
4. Experience with sand filters used for stormwater treatment in Austin, Texas, has shown that the sand becomes clogged and must be replaced every 4 to 10 years.
5. Rapid drawdown in the filter (i.e., greater than 12 inches per hour) indicates short-circuiting of the filter media. Inspect the cleanouts on the underdrain pipes and along the base of the embankment for leakage.
6. Formation of rills and gullies on the surface of the filter indicates improper function of the inlet flow spreader or poor sand compaction. Check for accumulation of debris on or in the flow spreader, and refill rills and gullies with sand.

Other maintenance practices that should be employed to ensure proper operation of the sand filter are summarized below:

1. Avoid use of fertilizers along the bottom or sides of a landscape sand filter. Any fertilizer used must meet the requirements of Chapter 15.54.500 RCW limiting the use of fertilizer containing phosphorus[41].
2. Avoid driving heavy machinery or equipment on the sand filter to minimize compaction of the filter media, prevent the formation of ruts in the surface of the filter that could concentrate or channelize flow, and prevent damage to the underdrain system. Use only low ground pressure tracked equipment (4.6 pounds per square inch or less ground pressure). The number of passes over sand fill should be minimized to the greatest extent possible.
3. Mow grass as needed, and remove the cut grass from the sand filter.
4. If vegetation is present, water it periodically when needed, especially during the summer dry season.
5. Discourage use of the sand bed by pets by installing signs reminding residents of scoop laws, providing scoop stations near the facilities, planting barriers such as barberry, and/or providing other measures as appropriate.

❑ MODIFICATIONS FOR COMBINING WITH AN INFILTRATION POND

Where an infiltration pond is proposed for flow control, a sand filter (basic or large) may be combined with the infiltration pond by making the following modifications in design criteria:

1. The **"100-year Overflow Conveyance"** requirements for infiltration ponds (see Section 5.2.1) shall apply in place of the **"Overflow and Bypass"** requirements for sand filters.

[41] http://apps.leg.wa.gov/billinfo/summary.aspx?bill=1489&year=2011

2. The **"Filter Composition"** criteria are changed to eliminate the requirement for an underdrain system. The fourth layer of the filter becomes the native infiltrative soils.
3. The **"Underdrain System"** and **"Underdrain Materials"** criteria for sand filters are not applied. Water infiltrating through the sand layer need not be collected but may simply continue infiltrating downward into native soils.
4. The sides of the infiltration pond must be provided with a **treatment liner** up to the WQ design water surface elevation, at a minimum. In a groundwater protection area, the liner must extend up to the overflow water surface elevation of the pond. See Section 6.2.4 (Facility Liners) for information on liners.

FIGURE 6.5.2.A SAND FILTER WITH LEVEL SPREADER



PLAN VIEW
NTS

FIGURE 6.5.2.A SAND FILTER WITH LEVEL SPREADER (*CONTINUED*)



SECTION A-A
NTS



TRENCH DETAIL
NTS



SECTION B-B
NTS

FIGURE 6.5.2.B SAND FILTER WITH PRETREATMENT CELL



PLAN VIEW
NTS



SECTION A-A
NTS

FIGURE 6.5.2.B SAND FILTER WITH PRETREATMENT CELL (*CONTINUED*)

FIGURE 6.5.2.C SCHEMATIC OF STEPPED SIDE SLOPES



SECTION
NTS

6.5.3 SAND FILTER VAULTS

A *sand filter vault* is similar to an open sand filter except that the sand layer and underdrains are installed below grade in a vault. Like a sand filter, a sand filter vault may be sized as either a basic or a large facility to meet different water quality objectives. The **basic sand filter vault** is designed to meet the Basic WQ menu goal of 80% TSS removal for the water quality design flow. The **large sand filter vault** is expected to meet the Sensitive Lake Protection menu goal of 50% total phosphorus removal.

Applications and Limitations

A sand filter vault may be used on ***sites*** where **space limitations preclude the installation of above ground facilities**. In highly urbanized areas, particularly on redevelopment and infill projects, a vault is a viable alternative to other treatment technologies that require more area to construct.

Like sand filters, sand filter vaults are **not suitable for areas with high water tables** where infiltration of groundwater into the vault and underdrain system will interfere with the hydraulic operation of the filter. Soil conditions in the vicinity of the vault installation should also be evaluated to identify special design or construction requirements for the vault.

It is desirable to have an **elevation difference of 4 feet between the inlet and outlet** of the filter for efficient operation. Therefore, ***site*** topography and drainage system hydraulics must be evaluated to determine whether use of an underground filter is feasible.

Because the surface of a sand filter vault is prone to clogging from sediment and other debris, this facility **should not be used in areas where heavy sediment loads are expected**.

Refer to the WQ menus, Section 6.1 (p. 6-3), for information on how sand filter vaults may be used to meet Core Requirement #8.

6.5.3.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

The **methods of analysis** for basic and large sand filter vaults are identical to the methods described for basic and large sand filters. Follow the procedures described in Section 6.5.2.1 (p. 6-107).

6.5.3.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

General **design concepts** for sand filter vaults are shown in Figure 6.5.3.A (p. 6-126).

Sand Filter Geometry

Same as for sand filters (see page 6-110).

Pretreatment, Flow-Spreading, and Energy Dissipation

1. See general presettling and pretreatment requirements for filtration facilities, Section 6.5.1, p. 6-105.
2. A **flow spreader** shall be installed at the inlet to the filter bed to evenly distribute incoming runoff across the filter and prevent erosion of the filter surface.
3. For **vaults with presettling cells**, the presettling cells shall be constructed so that the **divider wall** extends from the floor of the vault to the WQ design water surface and is watertight
4. The flow spreader shall be positioned so that the **top of the spreader** is no more than 8 inches above the top of the sand bed (and at least 2 inches higher than the top of the inlet pipe if a pipe and manifold distribution system is used). See Section 6.2.6 (p. 6-36) for details on flow spreaders. For **vaults with presettling cells**, a **concrete sump-type flow spreader** (see Figure 6.2.6.B, p. 6-39) shall be built into or affixed to the divider wall. The sump shall be a minimum of 1 foot wide and extend the width of

the sand filter. The downstream lip of the sump shall be no more than 8 inches above the top of the sand bed.

5. Flows shall enter the sand bed by **spilling over the top of the wall into a flow spreader pad**, or alternatively a **pipe and manifold system** may be designed and approved at the discretion of DLS-Permitting to deliver water through the wall to the flow spreader. *Note: Water in the first or presettling cell is dead storage. Any pipe and manifold system designed must retain the required dead storage volume in the first cell, minimize turbulence, and be readily maintainable.*
6. If a pipe and manifold system is used, the **minimum pipe size** shall be 8 inches. Multiple inlets are recommended to minimize turbulence and reduce local flow velocities.
7. **Erosion protection** shall be provided along the first foot of the sand bed adjacent to the spreader. Geotextile weighted at the corners with sand bags, quarry spalls, or other suitable erosion control may be used.

Overflow and Bypass Structures

Same as for sand filters (see page 6-111).

Filter Composition

The filter bed shall consist of three layers as follows:

- Top layer: sand
- Second layer: geotextile fabric
- Third layer: underdrain system.

Sand Specifications and Geotextile Materials

Same as for sand filters (see page 6-111).

Underdrain Systems and Underdrain Materials

Same as for sand filters (see page 6-112).

Vault Structure

1. Sand filter vaults are typically designed as on-line (flow-through) systems with a flat bottom under the filter bed.
2. If a presettling cell is provided, the **cell bottom** may be longitudinally level or inclined toward the inlet. To facilitate sediment removal, the bottom shall also slope from each side towards the center at a minimum of 5%, forming a broad "v". *Note: More than one "v" may be used to minimize cell depth.*

   Exception: The bottom of the presettling cell may be flat rather than v-shaped if **removable panels** are provided over the entire presettling cell. Removable panels shall be at grade, have stainless steel lifting eyes, and weigh no more than 5 tons per panel.
3. One foot (average) of **sediment storage** must be provided in the presettling cell.
4. Where pipes enter and leave the presettling cell below the WQ design water surface, they shall be **sealed** using a non-porous, non-shrinking grout.
5. If an **oil retaining baffle** is used for control of floatables in the presettling cell, it must conform to the following:
   a. The baffle shall extend from 1 foot above to 1 foot below the WQ design water surface (minimum requirements) and be spaced a minimum of 5 feet horizontally from the inlet and 4 feet horizontally from the outlet.
   b. Provision for passage of flows in the event of plugging shall be provided.

c. An access opening and ladder shall be provided on both sides of the baffle into the presettling cell.

6. Sand filter vaults shall conform to the "**Materials**" and "**Structural Stability**" criteria specified for **detention vaults** in Section 5.1.3.

7. The **arch culvert sections** allowed for wetvaults shall not be used for sand filter vaults. Free access to the entire sand bed is needed for maintenance.

Access Requirements

Same as for **detention vaults** (see Section 5.1.3) except for the following **modifications:**

1. For facilities maintained by King County, removable panels must be provided over the entire sand bed. Panels shall be at grade, have stainless steel lifting eyes, and weigh no more than 5 tons per panel. Concrete bridge decking or industrial decking are options. If within the roadway, the panels must meet the traffic loading requirements of the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards (KCRDCS).*

2. A minimum of 24 square feet of ventilation grate must be provided for each 250 square feet of sandbed surface area. Grates may be located in one area if the sand filter is small, but placement at each end is preferred. Small grates may also be dispersed over the entire sand bed.

   Intent: Grates are important to allow air exchange above the sand. Poor air exchange will hasten anoxic conditions which may result in release of pollutants such as phosphorus and metals and cause objectionable odors.

Access Roads, Right of Way, and Setbacks

Same as for detention vaults (see Section 5.1.3).

Recommended Design Features

The following design features should be incorporated into sand filter vaults where feasible but are not specifically required:

1. The **floor of the presettling cell** should be **sloped toward the inlet** to allow for sediment accumulation and ease of cleaning.

2. A **geotextile fabric** is recommended over the sand bed to make sand bed maintenance easier. If used, the geotextile should be a flexible, high-permeability, three-dimensional matrix of the kind commonly used for erosion control. Sand bags should be used at 10 to 15 foot intervals to hold the geotextile in place.

3. **Additional grates** are recommended instead of solid panels to increase air contact with the sand bed.

Construction Considerations

Same as for sand filters (see page 6-116) plus, upon completion of installation, the vault shall be thoroughly cleaned and flushed prior to placement of sand and drain rock.

Maintenance Considerations

Maintenance considerations for sand filter vaults are similar to those described for sand filters (see p. 6-116). Maintenance practices need to be modified somewhat due to the sand filter being in a vault, including the use of safe confined space entry procedures.

❑ MODIFICATIONS FOR COMBINING WITH AN INFILTRATION VAULT

Where an infiltration vault is proposed for flow control, a sand filter vault (basic or large) may be combined with the infiltration facility by making the following modifications in design criteria:

1. The **"100-year Overflow Conveyance"** requirements for infiltration ponds (see Section 5.2.1) shall apply in place of the **"Overflow and Bypass"** requirements for sand filter vaults.
2. The **"Filter Composition"** criteria are changed to eliminate the requirement for an underdrain system. The third layer of the filter becomes the native infiltrative soils.
3. The **"Underdrain System"** and **"Underdrain Materials"** criteria for sand filter vaults are not applied. Water infiltrating through the sand layer need not be collected but may simply continue infiltrating downward into native soils.
4. **"Access requirements"** for grating may be reduced at the discretion of the design and review engineers.

   Intent: when water infiltrates into the soil directly without being collected by an underdrain system, the concern for pollutant release diminishes. Ventilation for odor control is, then, the only concern.

FIGURE 6.5.3.A SAND FILTER VAULT



PLAN VIEW
NTS

FIGURE 6.5.3.A SAND FILTER VAULT (*CONTINUED*)



SECTION A-A
NTS



SECTION B-B
NTS

6.5.4 LINEAR SAND FILTERS

Linear sand filters are typically long, shallow, rectangular vaults. The vaults consist of two cells or chambers, one for settling coarse sediment from the runoff and the other containing sand. Stormwater flows into the second cell via a weir section that also functions as a flow spreader to distribute the flow over the sand. The outlet consists of an underdrain pipe system that connects to the storm drain system. As with other sand filters, linear filters come in two sizes, basic and large. The **basic linear sand filter** is designed to meet the Basic WQ menu goal of 80% TSS removal for the water quality design flow. The **large linear sand filter** is expected to meet the Sensitive Lake Protection menu goal of 50% total phosphorus removal.

Applications and Limitations

The linear sand filter is used for stormwater flows for two different treatment purposes:

1. To provide basic or second-tier water quality treatment, and
2. To treat runoff from ***high-use sites*** (i.e., ***sites*** generating higher than typical concentrations of oil and grease).

The presettling cell in a linear sand filter does not meet standard presettling cell requirements, so it is not expected to achieve the presettling goal of 50% TSS removal. Sediment storage capacity will also be more limited. These factors will necessitate more frequent maintenance than for a standard sand filter, and are likely to result in poorer net pollutant removal overall. Therefore, linear sand filters are discouraged where a different facility can be used. Linear sand filters are **best suited for treating small drainages** (less than two acres), particularly long, narrow areas. A linear sand filter may be located along the perimeter of a paved impervious surface or may be installed downstream of a filter strip where additional treatment is needed. If used for oil control, the filter should be located upstream from the main water quality treatment facility (i.e., wetpond, bioswale, or combined detention and wetpond).

Consult the water quality menus in Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) for information on how linear sand filters may be used to meet Core Requirement # 8 or Special Requirement #5.

6.5.4.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Size the sand filter bed. A linear sand filter is sized based on the infiltration rate of the sand and the amount of runoff draining to the facility. The filter is sized to infiltrate the sand filter design flow without significant ponding above the sand. The sand filter bed for linear sand filters, basic and large, is sized using the modeled routing procedure of Section 6.5.2.1 (p. 6-107).

Size the sediment cell. The sediment cell width should be set after the sand filter width is determined. Use Table 6.5.4.A below to set the width of the sediment cell. If another WQ facility precedes the sand filter, the sediment cell may be waived.

TABLE 6.5.4.A SEDIMENT CELL WIDTH, LINEAR SAND FILTER

If Sand filter width is:	Width of sediment cell shall be:
1 to 2 feet	12 inches
2 to 4 feet	18 inches
4 to 6 feet	24 inches
Over 6 feet	One-third of sand cell width

6.5.4.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

Linear sand filter details are shown in Figure 6.5.4.A (p. 6-131).

Geometry, Sizing, and Overflow

1. A linear sand filter shall consist of **two cells** or chambers, a sediment cell and a sand bed cell, divided by a low divider wall. If the sand filter is preceded by another WQ facility, and the flow enters the sand filter along the side as sheet flow, the sediment cell may be waived.
2. Stormwater may enter the sediment cell by sheet flow or via a piped inlet.
3. **Minimum inside width** of the sand filter cell shall be 1 foot. **Maximum width** shall be 15 feet.
4. The two cells must be separated by a divider wall that is level and extends a minimum of 6 inches and a maximum of 12 inches above the sand bed. The riser overflow elevation must be adjusted for the wall height.
5. The **sand filter bed** shall be 18 inches deep, reducible to no less than 12 inches deep if grade limitations show a greater depth is not feasible. An 8-inch layer of **drain rock with perforated drainpipe** shall be installed beneath the sand layer.
6. The **drainpipe** shall have a minimum diameter of 6 inches and be wrapped in **geotextile** and sloped 0.5 % (min) to drain.
7. For design, the **maximum depth of ponding** over the sand shall be 1 foot.
8. If separated from traffic areas, a linear sand filter may be **covered or open**, but if covered, the cover must be removable for the entire length of the filter. Covers must be grated if flow to the filter is from sheet flow.
9. A linear sand filter shall have an **emergency overflow route**, either surface overland, tightline, or other structure for safely controlling the overflow, and shall meet the conveyance requirements specified in Chapter 1.

Structure Specifications

1. A linear sand filter vault shall be concrete (precast/prefabricated or cast-in-place). The concrete must conform to the **"Material"** requirements for **detention vaults** in Section 5.1.3.
2. Where linear sand filters are located in traffic areas, they must meet the **"Structural Stability"** requirements specified for **detention vaults** in Section 5.1.3. The sediment cell shall have a **removable grated cover** that meets HS-25 traffic loading requirements. The cover over the sand filter cell may be either solid or grated.
3. A minimum of 24 square feet of **ventilation grate** must be provided for each 250 square feet of sandbed surface area. Grates located over the sediment chamber are preferred. Grates may be in one central location or dispersed over the entire sand bed. Vertical grates may also be used such as at a curb inlet. If a sediment chamber is not required, ventilation shall be provided over the sandbed.

   Intent: Grates are important to allow air exchange above the sand. Poor air exchange will hasten anoxic conditions which may result in release of pollutants such as phosphorus and metals and cause objectionable odors.

Sand Specifications

Same as for sand filters (see Table 6.5.2.AB, p. 6-112).

Geotextile Materials

Same as for sand filters (see WSDOT Standard Specifications (2014), 9-33.2(1) Geotextile Properties / Table 1 / Moderate Survivability / Woven, and Table 2, Class A).

Underdrain Materials

Same as for sand filters (see page 6-113).

Access Roads, Right of Way, and Setbacks

Same as for detention vaults (see Section 5.1.3).

Construction Considerations

If put into service before the ***project site*** is stabilized, placement of the sand layer should be delayed, and the linear sand filter may be used with the gravel layer only. The gravel layer must be replaced and the vault cleaned when the ***project site*** is stabilized and the sand bed installed. King County will not assume maintenance responsibility or release financial guarantees until the final installation is complete.

Maintenance Considerations

Maintenance considerations for linear sand filters are similar to those for basic sand filters (see Section 6.5.2.2) except sediment should be removed from the sediment cell when the sediment depth exceeds 6 inches.

FIGURE 6.5.4.A LINEAR SAND FILTER



(This page intentionally left blank)

6.6 OIL CONTROL FACILITY DESIGNS

This section presents the methods, criteria, and details for oil control facilities that are not discussed in other sections. Included are the following facility designs:

- "Catch Basin Inserts," Section 6.6.1
- "Oil/Water Separators," Section 6.6.2

Other oil control facilities include wetvaults, with minor modifications (see Section 6.4.2, p. 6-86), and linear sand filters (see Section 6.5.4, p. 6-128). Non-facility options include parking lot washing with proper disposal of wash water and compliance with a NPDES permit that already addresses oil control. More information on non-structural options can be found in the High-Use menu, Section 6.1.56.1.5 (p. 6-15).

The information presented for each facility is organized into the following two categories:

1. **Methods of Analysis:** Contains a step-by-step procedure for designing and sizing each facility.
2. **Design Criteria:** Contains the details, specifications, and material requirements for each facility.

6.6.1 CATCH BASIN INSERTS

A *catch basin insert* is a device installed underneath a catch basin inlet that treats stormwater through filtration, settling, absorption, adsorption, or a combination of these mechanisms. Catch basin inserts vary greatly in form, some being rectangular, tray-like structures, some more like oil absorbent bags or pillows. Figure 6.6.1.A (p. 6-139) presents a schematic representation of a catch basin insert.

King County, in conjunction with other local agencies, has tested several catch basin inserts and found performance and removal rates to be highly variable, depending upon system configuration, pollutant particle size and concentration, and maintenance frequency. Because performance varies widely among the different devices, King County has developed a set of **performance criteria** that the devices must satisfy to be used for oil control pursuant to Special Requirement #5. Table 6.6.1.A (p. 6-134) lists the performance criteria and describes the tests to be used to evaluate whether a device meets the criteria.

Water Quality Treatment Objectives

The catch basin inserts manufactured to date typically have been configured to remove sediment, pollutants adsorbed to sediment, and oil and grease. The inserts described here **are intended to capture total petroleum hydrocarbons** (TPH) for use in new or redeveloped ***high-use sites*** (see Chapter 1 for information on ***high-use sites***). Devices meeting the design criteria outlined in Table 6.6.1.A (p. 6-134) should provide oil and grease removals comparable to those of other high-use treatment options. However, catch basin inserts provide little if any spill protection and do not meet spill-containment requirements unless the catch basin in which they are installed has a tee section.

While the inserts described here are focused on treating TPH, catch basin inserts may **also be configured with other sorbents to remove specific pollutants**. Owners proposing to use catch basin inserts to treat specific pollutants should obtain assistance from King County's water quality compliance program or from another pollution control agency such as the Department of Ecology.

Catch basin inserts also **may be used for sediment control during construction**, as described in Appendix D. In some instances, particularly for ***redevelopment projects*** at ***high-use sites***, existing catch basins may first be equipped with inserts for sediment control during construction and then be reconfigured (e.g., changing treatment media) to treat TPH following completion of construction activities.

TABLE 6.6.1.A
PERFORMANCE CRITERIA AND EVALUATION METHODS FOR CATCH BASIN INSERTS

	Criteria	Methods of Evaluation
1	Insert has ability to treat the water quality design flow for a minimum of 6 weeks under typical high-traffic conditions (in the Seattle area, this flow rate is approximately 0.042 cfs (19 gpm) for a drainage area of 5,000 square feet).	Subject the system to the maximum flow rate when new, and again after 4 and 6 weeks deployment. All flow must pass through the treatment area without short-circuiting or bypass.
2	Insert has ability to create a positive seal around grate to prevent low-flow bypass.	Install and observe unit under low-flow conditions. All flow must pass through the treatment area.
3	Media system functions so that its surface does not become plugged or blinded shortly after deployment and cause stormwater to bypass media before full use of media is realized.	Inspect media after 4 and 6 weeks deployment. If filtration rate as tested in Method #1 above has been compromised and media still can absorb oil, clogging is a problem.
4	Medium resists water saturation and maintains oil-absorbing properties for a minimum of 6 weeks under constantly wet conditions.	Examine media after 4 and 6 weeks deployment for signs of water saturation or degradation. Media in acceptable condition should still absorb oil and repel water.
5	Insert has means of preventing floating oil from escaping the unit. This requirement will be waived for inserts designed to be used with a tee section or down-turned elbow.	Inspect the insert for the presence of an under-over weir at the high-flow relief. If this or some comparable device exists, it is assumed that free oils will be retained.
6	Insert has means of preventing oil-soaked media from escaping the unit.	When the insert is new, and again after 4 to 6 weeks deployment, subject it to the peak flow rate (defined under Criterion #7) and observe whether media escapes.
7	Insert has ability to pass high flows without causing excessive ponding; no ponding to occur for the 25-year peak flow rate (in Seattle this rate is approximately 43 gpm for a drainage area of 5,000 square feet).	Close off all filtration surfaces with plastic sheeting and subject the insert to the required flow. No ponding around the drain inlet should occur for the 25-year peak rate.
8	Manufacturer provides complete installation and maintenance instructions.	Verify that instructions include information on the following: • Installation • Creating an adequate seal • Removal (including safety considerations) • Cleaning and replacement • Decant and disposal of liquid wastes • Media disposal guidance
	Desirable Features	**Methods of Evaluation**
1	Insert has high-flow bypass to prevent resuspension and washout.	Subject the insert to the flow rate calculated for Criterion #1, and then gradually increase the flow. Only the designed flow rate should pass through the treatment surfaces.
2	Service contract is provided.	There is no method for evaluating service contracts. Service contracts are listed as a desirable feature because they offer greater assurance of regular system maintenance and, consequently, treatment reliability.

Note: The evaluation tests assume the use of suitable oil-absorbing/adsorbing media (see "Material Requirements," p. 6-136).

Applications and Limitations

Consult the water quality menus in Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) for information on how catch basin inserts may be used to meet Special Requirement #5. Catch basin inserts are allowed to be used to meet the oil control requirements for new or redeveloped ***high-use sites*** where new plus replaced pollution generating impervious surfaces are less than 5,000 square feet AND new pollution generating pervious surfaces are less than ¾ acres in a threshold discharge area. High use sites exceeding the aforementioned threshold must use other oil control options. Note that catch basin inserts **shall not be in a public road right-of-way maintained by King County**. This is because the County does not have the resources needed to maintain catch basin inserts as frequently as needed for effective operation.

Catch basin inserts may be used to meet the oil control requirements for new or redevelopment ***high-use sites***. The minimal space requirements, planning and engineering needs, and implementation time make catch basin inserts particularly attractive for ***redevelopment projects***. The initial cost investment may be much lower than that of comparable oil treatment options. However, long-term costs associated with the **more frequent maintenance required** for catch basin inserts may offset some of the initial cost savings. Applicants considering catch basin inserts are encouraged to investigate maintenance costs associated with a particular device. Costs for maintaining catch basin inserts are on the order of $10 to $100 per unit per month, assuming monthly media replacement. The use of a catch basin insert is limited by drainage area, available space inside the catch basin, availability of maintenance personnel or services, and access.

Catch Basin Interior Space

Pipe stub-outs, misaligned inlet frames, and shallow drainage systems limit the use of catch basin inserts for redevelopment. Therefore, an applicant considering catch basin inserts for a ***redevelopment project*** must ensure that the devices are physically compatible with existing catch basins and will achieve the desired performance.

Availability of Maintenance Staff or Services

To be effective, a catch basin insert must be maintained at a frequency recommended by the manufacturer, but at least monthly (the cycle may be extended up to six weeks depending upon local conditions; see "Maintenance Requirements" on p. 6-137). Because of the importance of regular maintenance, **owners using catch basin inserts will be required to keep a maintenance log** that specifies when the facilities were cleaned or replaced; the log must be available for review by County inspectors. Commercial maintenance services for facilities like catch basin inserts are increasingly available. Applicants intending to use catch basin inserts should include maintenance in a routine program such as grounds maintenance or contract with a commercial service.

Applicants planning to use a catch basin insert should also **consider the weight of candidate devices** and whether the insert must be completely lifted out of the catch basin to be maintained. Some of the inserts currently produced are heavy and may require two people or machinery, such as a forklift, to perform routine maintenance.

6.6.1.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Catch basin inserts require little design or analysis but must meet the design criteria listed in the following section.

6.6.1.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

Figure 6.6.1.A (p. 6-139) illustrates the general configuration of a catch basin insert. Catch basin inserts must meet the criteria outlined below.

General

1. Catch basin inserts shall not be used to satisfy Special Requirement #5 if they will be installed in a **public road right-of-way** and maintained by King County; another option from the High-Use menu must be used instead.
2. The **total maximum tributary area** for catch basin inserts shall not exceed 5,000 square feet per unit for new development projects. This limit is based on a target of treating 91 percent of the runoff volume. For a 5,000 square foot impervious area in the Seattle region, this flow is approximately 19 gallons per minute (gpm), or 0.04 cubic feet per second for the WQ design flow.[42] The total maximum tributary area shall not exceed 7,000 square feet per unit for ***redevelopment projects***.
3. If a manufacturer develops a catch basin insert that is proven to effectively treat flow rates higher than 20 gpm (0.045 cubic feet per second) without releasing previously trapped material (in accordance with Performance Criteria #1, #5, and #6 listed in Table 6.6.1.A, p. 6-134), King County may allow specific catch basin inserts to drain areas larger than 5,000 square feet.
4. A catch basin insert for a new development project shall be **designed to fit with a standard grate**, as specified in the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards* (see *KCRDCS* Drawing No. 7-013 and 7-014). If the insert is installed in an existing catch basin, **the insert shall be demonstrated to fit properly** so that there is a positive seal around the grate to prevent low-flow bypass. The maximum height of the grate above the top of the frame, with the insert installed, shall not exceed $^{3}/_{16}$ inch, and the grate should be non-rocking.
5. Catch basin inserts shall be accessible as needed for maintenance and should not be limited by continuous vehicle parking.

Pretreatment

While no pretreatment is required with a catch basin insert, the use of the source control BMPs described in the *King County Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual* (available from DNRP) will decrease maintenance needs**.** *Catch basin inserts shall not be used in place of source control best management practices.*

Material Requirements

1. A catch basin insert must be fitted with **oil-absorbent/adsorbent filter media**, to be changed at least monthly (October to June) and whenever the filter media surface is covered with sediment. **Acceptable sorbent media** include wood fiber products such as A*bsorbent W* or S*uperSorb*, whole fibrous moss (need not be sphagnum), or *Petrolok*; these media have been investigated by the County and found to retain captured oil fairly effectively. *Streamguard*™ polymer (currently distributed by Foss Environmental) was also tested using the same method established by the County and found to achieve acceptable oil retention. Other products which absorb oil without significant release are also acceptable.[43] Other polypropylene-based products are expected to be acceptable, although none but the Streamguard™ polymer have been investigated to date.

---

[42] Testing by King County indicates that few of the devices tested could continue to meet treatment requirements at flow rates in excess of about 20 gpm. In addition, due to the very short contact time and potential for flushing previously trapped materials, treatment would be compromised at higher flow rates.

[43] Criteria used for acceptable absorbent materials are that a completely oil-saturated sample of the material does not release more than 10 mg/L of total petroleum hydrocarbon in any two minute period when flushed with tap water (running at a rate of 0.3 to 0.5 gpm) for 10 consecutive minutes.

2. **Unacceptable filter media**. Cedar Grove compost was tested and found unacceptable for oil retention. Compost from Stormwater Management, Inc., who produce a patented CFS®, was also tested. Although it performed fairly well, it did not retain oil as well as the other products tested. Therefore, CFS® leaf compost shall not be used in catch basin inserts for oil control. Other materials that are unsuitable for use in catch basin inserts include Fuller's or diatomaceous earth and kitty litter.
3. To minimize the generation of solid waste and the consumption of natural resources, systems constructed of or using **recycled products are preferred**. Reusable filter materials shall be refreshed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Construction Requirements

1. Installation of a catch basin insert for a new development or ***redevelopment project*** shall follow the manufacturer's recommended procedures. The insert should be installed in the catch basin after the site has been paved or stabilized (for new development) or after completion of construction (for a redevelopment site that is already paved).
2. If a catch basin insert is **used for sediment control during construction**, it shall be reconfigured in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. When used for sediment control, the insert should be inspected at least weekly and maintained if needed (see Appendix D for information on using the insert for sediment control).

Maintenance Requirements

Catch basin insert systems require more frequent maintenance than other oil treatment systems. While maintenance requirements of individual units may be relatively minor, the need for diligence and the potentially large number of units required in place of other more typical oil treatment systems make sound maintenance planning essential to the successful use of these devices. Therefore, the following provisions are necessary for proper maintenance:

1. A **drainage facility declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Reference Section 8-J (or equivalent) must be recorded allowing King County to inspect facilities.
2. Maintenance needs vary from site to site based on the type of land use activity, implementation of source controls, and weather conditions. Catch basin inserts should be **maintained at a frequency recommended by the manufacturer**, but at least monthly during the wet season (October through April) and once every 2 months during the remainder of the year. *Note: During the first wet season, it is recommended that inspection be carried out every other week to determine whether a shorter maintenance cycle is needed for the particular site.*
3. Maintenance shall include full replacement or renewal of oil absorbent/adsorbent material. In addition, when maintaining the insert, the catch basin sump should be inspected for sediment accumulation. Sediment should be removed if the depth of sediment in the sump is greater than 0.5 feet. **An inspection and maintenance log shall be kept onsite** and made available to County inspectors on an as-needed basis. At a minimum, the maintenance log shall include the following information: date, type of maintenance performed, names of persons performing the work, and signature. Persons conducting maintenance activities should wear rubber gloves for health and safety protection while handling used filter media.
4. The **operation and maintenance instructions** from the catch basin insert manufacturer shall be kept with the maintenance log. Manufacturer's instructions should include installation, removal (including safety instructions), cleaning and replacement (including a practical means of determining when the unit is in need of service), and media disposal guidance (including decanting of liquid wastes).
5. Owners should follow the manufacturer's instructions for **dewatering filter media**, which vary depending on the type of unit. Generally, catch basin inserts that drain by gravity can be dewatered in place during dry weather. If an owner is unable to perform monthly maintenance because there has not been sufficient dry weather, the maintenance period may be extended up to an additional two weeks. If by the end of the additional two weeks there has been insufficient dry weather to allow

dewatering, the owner shall make other arrangements for dewatering the filter media. Such arrangements could include use of a commercial service, dewatering the insert in a watertight container, or other methods meeting environmental regulations. Media shall be disposed of in accordance with applicable regulations, including the Seattle-King County Department of Public Health solid waste regulations (Title 10) and State dangerous waste regulations (WAC 173-303). In most cases, dewatered filter media may be disposed of as solid waste.

FIGURE 6.6.1.A SCHEMATIC OF CATCH BASIN INSERT



SECTION VIEW
NTS

6.6.2 OIL/WATER SEPARATORS

Oil/water separators rely on passive mechanisms that take advantage of oil being lighter than water. Oil rises to the surface and can be periodically removed. The two types of oil/water separators typically used for stormwater treatment are the baffle type or API (American Petroleum Institute) oil/water separator and the coalescing plate oil/water separator.

Baffle oil/water separators use vaults that have multiple cells separated by baffles extending down from the top of the vault (see Figure 6.6.2.D on page 6-152 for schematic details). The baffles block oil flow out of the vault. Baffles are also commonly installed at the bottom of the vault to trap solids and sludge that accumulate over time. In many situations, simple floating or more sophisticated mechanical oil skimmers are installed to remove the oil once it has separated from the water.

Coalescing plate separators are typically manufactured units consisting of a baffled vault containing several inclined corrugated plates stacked and bundled together (see Figure 6.6.2.E on page 6-153 for schematic details). The plates are equally spaced (typical plate spacing ranges from $^1/_4$-inch to 1 inch) and are made of a variety of materials, the most common being fiberglass and polypropylene. Efficient separation results because the plates reduce the vertical distance oil droplets must rise in order to separate from the stormwater. Once they reach a plate, oil droplets form a film on the plate surface. The film builds up over time until it becomes thick enough to migrate upward because of oil's lower density relative to water. When the film reaches the edge of the plate, oil is released as large droplets which rise rapidly to the surface, where the oil accumulates until the unit is maintained. Because the plate pack increases treatment effectiveness significantly, coalescing plate separators can achieve a specified treatment level with a smaller vault size than a simple baffle separator.

Oil/water separators are meant to treat stormwater runoff from more intensive land uses, such as high-use sites, and facilities that produce relatively high concentrations of oil and grease. Although baffle separators historically have been used to remove larger oil droplets (150 microns or larger), they may also be sized to remove smaller oil droplets. Both separators may be used to meet a **performance goal of 10 to 15 mg/L** by designing the unit to removal oil particles 60 microns and larger.

Applications and Limitations

Oil/water separators are designed to remove free oil and are not generally effective in separating oil that has become either chemically or mechanically emulsified and dissolved in water. Therefore, it **is desirable for separators be installed upstream of facilities and conveyance structures that introduce turbulence and consequently promote emulsification**. Emulsification of oil can also result if surfactants or detergents are used to wash parking areas that drain to the separator. Detergents shall not be used to clean parking areas unless the wash water is collected and disposed of properly (usually to the sanitary sewer).

There is concern that oil/water separators used for stormwater treatment have not performed to expectations[44] . Therefore, emphasis should be given to proper application, design, operations and maintenance – particularly sludge and oil removal, and prevention of coalescing-plate fouling and plugging[45].

Oil/water separators are **best located in areas where the tributary drainage area is nearly all impervious, and a fairly high load of petroleum hydrocarbons is likely to be generated**. Oil/water separators are not recommended for areas with very dilute concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons since their performance is not effective at low concentrations. Excluding unpaved areas helps to minimize the amount of sediment entering the vault, reducing the need for maintenance. A unit that fails and ceases to

[44] WA Ecology 2014, SWMMWW, citing: Schueler, Thomas R., "Water Quality inlets/Oil Grit Separators," BMP Fact Sheet #11, Current Assessment of Urban Best Management Practices, March 1992.; Watershed Protection Techniques, "Hydrocarbon Hotspots in the Urban Landscape: Can They be Controlled?", Feb. 1994.

[45] WA Ecology 2014, SWMMWW, citing: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, "Selection and Design of Oil and Water Separators," August 26, 1994.

function can release previously trapped oil to the downstream receiving water, both in release from the oily sediments and from entrainment of surface oils.

Wetvaults may also be modified to function as baffle oil/water separators (see design criteria for wetvaults, Section 6.4.2.2, p. 6-86).

Consult the water quality menus in Section 6.1 (p. 6-3) for information on how baffle and coalescing plate oil/water separators may be used to meet Special Requirement # 5.

6.6.2.1 METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Background

Generally speaking, in most oil and water mixtures the degree of oil/water separation that occurs is dependent on both the time the water is detained in the separator and the oil droplet size. The sizing methods in this section are based on Stokes' law:

$$V_T = \frac{g\left(d_p - d_c\right)D_o^2}{18\mu} \qquad (6\text{-}25)$$

where V_T = rise velocity of oil droplet
g = gravitational constant
d_p = density of oil droplet to be removed
d_c = density of carrier fluid
D_o = diameter of oil droplet
μ = absolute viscosity of carrier fluid

The basic assumptions inherent in Stokes' law are: (1) flow is laminar, and (2) the oil droplets are spherical.

Traditional baffle separators are designed to provide sufficient hydraulic residence time to permit oil droplets to rise to the surface. The residence time T_r is mathematically expressed as follows:

$$T_r = \frac{V}{Q} \qquad (6\text{-}26)$$

where V = effective volume of the unit or container, or A_s x H, where
A_s = surface area of the separator unit, and
H = height of water column in the unit

Q = hydraulic capacity or flow through the separator

The time required for the oil droplet to rise to the surface within the unit is found by the relation:

$$T_T = \frac{H}{V_T} \qquad (6\text{-}27)$$

where V_T = rise velocity of the oil droplet

The oil droplet rises to the water surface if the residence time in the separator is at least equal to the oil droplet rise time. This can be expressed as follows:

$T_r = T_T$

By substituting terms and simplifying:

$$V_T = \frac{Q}{A_s} \quad (6\text{-}28)$$

where A_s = surface area of the separator unit

The ratio in Equation (6-28) is designated as the surface overflow rate or loading rate. It is this rate that governs the removal efficiency of the process and predicts whether an oil droplet will be removed by the separator.

Method for Baffle Separators

Design steps for the baffle separator are summarized below:

Step 1: Determine the WQ design flow (Q). The facility is sized based on the WQ design flow (see Section 6.2.1, p. 6-17). The separator **must be designed as an off-line facility**. That is, flows higher than the WQ design flow (i.e., the modified off-line flow rate) must bypass the separator.

Step 2: Calculate the minimum vertical cross-sectional area. Use the following equation:

$$A_c = \frac{Q}{V_H} \quad (6\text{-}29)$$

where A_c = minimum cross-sectional area (sf)
Q = modified off-line water quality design flow per Section 6.2.1 (cfs)
V_H = design horizontal velocity (fps)

Set the horizontal velocity V_H equal to 15 times the oil droplet's rise rate V_T. A **design rise rate of 0.033 feet per minute shall be used** unless it is demonstrated that conditions of the influent or performance function warrant the use of an alternative value. Using the 0.033 feet per minute rise rate results in V_H = 0.008 fps (= 0.495 fpm).

Step 3: Calculate the width and depth of the vault. Use the following equation:

$$D = \frac{A_c}{W} \quad (6\text{-}30)$$

where D = maximum depth (ft)
W = width of vault (ft)
and where A_c is from Step 2 above.

The computed depth D must meet a depth-to-width ratio r of between 0.3 and 0.5 (i.e., $0.3 \le D/W \le 0.5$).
Note: $D = (r\,A_c)^{0.5}$ and
$W = D/r$ and
r = the depth-to-width ratio

Step 4: Calculate the length of the vault. Use the following equation:

$$L = FD\left(\frac{V_H}{V_T}\right) \qquad (6\text{-}31)$$

where L = length of vault (ft)
F = turbulence and short-circuiting factor (unitless, see Figure 6.6.2.A)
V_H = horizontal velocity (ft/min)
V_T = oil droplet rise rate (ft/min)
D = depth (ft)

The turbulence factor F shall be selected using a V_H/V_T ratio of 15, so $F = 1.64$.

Therefore Equation (6-31) becomes: $L = 1.65 \times 15 \times D$

FIGURE 6.6.2.A TURBULENCE FACTOR PLOT



Step 5: Check the separator's length-to-width ratio. The length L of the vault must be at least 5 times its width in order to minimize effects from inlet and outlet disturbances. The length of the forebay shall be approximately $L/3$.

Step 6: Compute and check that the minimum horizontal surface area (A_H) criterion is satisfied. This criterion is expressed by the following equation:

$$A_H = \left(\frac{1.65Q}{0.00055}\right) \leq LW \qquad (6\text{-}32)$$

Step 7: Compute and check that the horizontal surface area of the vault forebay. This area must be greater than 20 square feet per 10,000 square feet of tributary impervious area. The length of the forebay ($L/3$) may be increased to meet this criterion without having to increase the overall length of the vault.

Step 8: Design the flow splitter and high-flow bypass. See Section 6.2.5 (p. 6-32) for information on flow splitter design.

Method for Coalescing Plate Separators

Coalescing plate separators are designed using the same basic principles as baffle separators. The major difference is that in the baffle separator, horizontal separation is related only to water surface area, while in the coalescing plate separator, horizontal separation is related to the sum of the plan-areas of the plates. The treatment area is increased by the sum of the horizontal projections of the plates being added, and is referred to as the plate *effective separation area*.

The basic procedure for designing a coalescing plate separator is to determine the effective separation area required for a given design flow. The specific vault sizing then depends on the manufacturer's plate design. The specific design, analysis, configuration, and specifications for coalescing plates are empirically based and variable. Manufacturers' recommendations may be used to vary the recommendations given below.

Step 1: Determine the WQ design flow. The coalescing plate oil/water separator must be sized based on the WQ design flow (see Section 6.2.1, p. 6-17). The separator **must be designed as an off-line facility**; flows higher than the WQ design flow (i.e., the modified off-line flow rate) must bypass the separator.

Step 2: Calculate the plate minimum effective separation area (A_h). A_h is found using the following equation:

$$A_h = \frac{60 \cdot Q}{0.00386 \cdot \left(\frac{S_w - S_o}{\mu} \right)} \qquad (6\text{-}33)$$

where S_w = specific gravity of water = 1.0
S_o = specific gravity of oil = 0.85
μ = absolute viscosity of water (poises); use 0.015674 for temp = 39° F
Q = modified off-line water quality design flow rate per Section 6.2.1 (cfs)
A_h = required effective (horizontal) surface area of plate media (sf).

Equation (6-33) is based on an oil droplet diameter of 60 microns. A graphical relation of Equation (6-33) is shown in Figure 6.6.2.B below. This graph may be used to determine the required effective separation surface area of the plate media.


REQUIRED EFFECTIVE SEPARATION AREA (SF)
4500.00
4000.00
3500.00
3000.00
2500.00
2000.00
1500.00
1000.00
500.00
0.00
0.00
0.50
1.00
1.50
2.00
2.50
3.00
FLOW RATE (CFS)
Oil Droplet Size = 60 microns
Operating Temp=40 F

FIGURE 6.6.2.B EFFECTIVE SEPARATION SURFACE VS FLOW RATE

Step 3: Calculate the collective projected surface area (A_p). A key design step needed to assure adequate performance of the separator unit is to convert the physical plate area (the surface area of the plates if laid flat) into the effective (horizontal) separation surface area A_h (calculated in step 2). The effective separation surface area A_h is based on the collective projected horizontal surface area A_p of the plates where the plates are inclined, rather than laid flat.

$$A_h = A_p = A_a (\cos H) \quad (6\text{-}34)$$

where A_a = actual collective plate area of the plate configuration (sf)
H = angle of the plates to the horizontal (degree)

This equation is represented graphically in Figure 6.6.2.C below. The designer shall make sure that the manufacturer sizes the oil/water separator using the projected surface area rather than the actual plate area. *Note: For this method, only the **lower plate surface** may be counted as effective separation surface, regardless of manufacturer's claims.*

FIGURE 6.6.2.C PROJECTED HORIZONTAL PLATE AREA FOR COALESCING PLATE OIL/WATER SEPARATOR



Step 4: Check with specific separator manufacturers. Check with specific manufacturers to choose a separator that provides the required actual collective plate area calculated in Step 3, and meets the other design criteria given in the next section, p. 6-147. The specific vault design will depend upon each

manufacturer's design. The geometric configuration and dimensions of the plate pack as well as the vault design are variable and flexible depending on each manufacturer's product.

Table 6.6.2.A (p. 6-147) provides approximate vault sizes for rough planning purposes. In reality, various manufacturers have quite different designs, both for the plate packs themselves as well as for forebay and afterbays. In addition, standard pre-cast vault dimensions vary with each manufacturer. These various factors can greatly affect the volume of vault needed to provide a given effective separation area. The numbers in Table 6.6.2.A should therefore be considered "order of magnitude" estimates only.

TABLE 6.6.2.A
APPROXIMATE COALESCING PLATE OIL/WATER SEPARATOR VAULT DIMENSIONS*

Area of Effective Separation (square feet)	Approximate vault volume required (cubic feet) for plates with 1/2 inch spacing and inclined 60 degrees from horizontal (cubic feet)
100	150
200	240
300	330
600	530
1,200	890
2,400	1150
3,200	2090
4,800	2640

** Order of magnitude estimates for planning purposes only. Actual vault volumes vary considerably depending on separator design features and pre-cast vault dimensions.*

6.6.2.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

Details for a typical baffle oil/water separator are shown in Figure 6.6.2.D (p. 6-152). **Other designs** and configurations of separator units and vaults are allowed, including above ground units. However, they must produce equivalent treatment results and treat equivalent flows as conventional units.

General Siting

1. Oil/water separators **must be installed off-line**, bypassing flows greater than the WQ design flow described in Step 1 above.
2. When a separator is required, it **shall precede other water quality treatment facilities** (except wetvaults). It may be positioned either upstream or downstream from flow control facilities, since there are both advantages and disadvantages with either placement.
3. In moderately pervious soils where **seasonal groundwater** may induce flotation, buoyancy tendencies shall be balanced by ballasting or other methods as appropriate.
4. Any **pumping devices** shall be installed downstream of the separator to prevent oil emulsification in stormwater.

Vault Structure — General

The following criteria apply to both baffle and coalescing plate separators:

1. Separator vaults shall be **watertight**. Where pipes enter and leave a vault below the WQ design water surface, they shall be sealed using a non-porous, non-shrinking grout.
2. Separator vaults shall have a **shutoff mechanism** on the outlet pipe to prevent oil discharges during maintenance and to provide emergency shut-off capability in case of a spill. A valve box and riser shall also be provided according to the design criteria for wetponds (see "Inlet and Outlet Criteria," Section 6.4.1.2, p. 6-76).

Vault Structure — Baffle Separators

In addition to the above general criteria, the following criteria apply specifically to baffle separators:

1. Baffle separators shall be divided into **three compartments**: a forebay, an oil separation cell, and an afterbay. The **forebay** is primarily to trap and collect sediments, encourage plug flow, and reduce turbulence. The **oil separation cell** traps and holds oil as it rises from the water column, and it serves as a secondary sediment collection area. The **afterbay** provides a relatively oil-free cell before the outlet, and it provides a secondary oil separation area and holds oil entrained by high flows.
2. The **length of the forebay** shall be approximately $^1/_3$ to $^1/_2$ of the length of the vault, *L*. In addition, the **surface area of the forebay** must be at least 20 square feet per 10,000 square feet of tributary impervious area draining to the separator.
3. A **removable flow-spreading baffle**, extending from the surface to a depth of up to $^1/_2$ the vault depth (*D*) is required to spread flows.
4. The **removable bottom baffle** (sediment-retaining baffle) shall be a minimum of 24 inches (see Figure 6.6.2.D, p. 6-152), and located at least 1 foot from the oil-retaining baffle. A "window wall" baffle may be used, but the area of the window opening must be at least three times greater than the area of the inflow pipe.
5. A **removable oil retaining baffle** shall be provided and located approximately $^1/_4$ *L* from the outlet wall or a minimum of 8 feet, whichever is greater (the 8-foot minimum is for maintenance purposes). The oil-retaining baffle shall extend from the elevation of the water surface to a depth of at least 50% of the design water depth. Various configurations are possible, but the baffle shall be designed to minimize turbulence and entrainment of sediment.
6. Baffles may be fixed rather than removable if additional entry ports and ladders are provided so that both sides of the baffle are accessible by maintenance crews.
7. Baffle separator vaults shall have a minimum **length-to-width ratio** of 5.
8. The **design water depth** (*D*) shall be no deeper than 8 feet unless approved by DLS-Permitting.
9. Baffle separator vaults shall have a **design water depth-to-width** ratio of between 0.3 and 0.5.

Vault Structure — Coalescing Plate Separators

In addition to the above general criteria, the following criteria apply specifically to coalescing plate separators:

1. Coalescing plate separators shall be divided by baffles or berms into **three compartments**: a forebay, an oil separation cell which houses the plate pack, and an afterbay. The **forebay** controls turbulence and traps and collects debris. The **oil separation cell** captures and holds oil. The **afterbay** provides a relatively oil-free exit cell before the outlet.
2. The **length of the forebay** shall be a minimum of $^1/_3$ the length of the vault, *L* (but $^1/_2$ *L* is recommended). In addition, it is recommended that the **surface area of the forebay** be at least 20 square feet per 10,000 square feet of tributary impervious area draining to the separator. In lieu of an

attached forebay, a separate grit chamber, sized to provide be at least 20 square feet per 10,000 square feet of tributary impervious area, may precede the oil/water separator.

3. An **oil-retaining baffle** shall be provided. If maintained by the County, the baffle must be a minimum of 8 feet from the outlet wall (for maintenance purposes). For large units, a baffle position of 0.25*L* from the outlet wall is recommended. The oil-retaining baffle shall extend from the water surface to a depth of at least 50% of the design water depth. Various configurations are possible, but the baffle shall be designed to minimize turbulence and entrainment of sediment.
4. A bottom **sediment-retaining baffle** shall be provided upstream of the plate pack. The minimum height of the sludge-retaining baffle shall be 18 inches. Window walls may be used, but the window opening must be a minimum of three times greater than the area of the inflow pipe.
5. It is recommended that entire space between the sides of the plate pack and the vault wall be filled with a solid but light-weight removable material such as a **plastic or polyethylene foam** to reduce short-circuiting around the plate pack. Rubber flaps are not effective for this purpose.
6. If a separator will be maintained by King County, the **separator plates** shall meet the following requirements:
    a) Plates shall be inclined at 45° to 60° from the horizontal. This range of angles exceeds the angle of repose of many solids and therefore provides more effective droplet separation while minimizing the accumulation of solids on the individual plates.
    b) Plates shall have a minimum plate spacing of $^1/_2$-inch and have corrugations.
    c) Plates shall be securely bundled in a plate pack so that they can be removed as a unit.
    d) The plate pack shall be a minimum of 6 inches from the vault bottom.
    e) There should be 1 foot of head space between the top of the plate pack and the bottom of the vault cover.

Inlet and Outlet

1. The **inlet shall be submerged**. A tee section may be used to submerge the incoming flow and must be at least 2 feet from the bottom of the tank and extend above the WQ design water surface.

Intent: The submerged inlet is to dissipate energy of the incoming flow. The distance from the bottom is to minimize resuspension of settled sediments. Extending the tee to the surface allows air to escape the flow, thus reducing turbulence. Alternative inlet designs that accomplish these objectives are acceptable.

2. The **vault outlet pipe** shall be sized to pass the modified off-line WQ design flow before overflow (using the pipe sizing methods in Chapter 4). The vault outlet pipe shall be back-sloped or have a tee extending
1 foot above and below the WQ design water surface to provide for secondary trapping of oils and floatables in the wetvault. *Note: The invert of the outlet pipe sets the **WQ design water surface** elevation.*

Material Requirements

1. All **metal parts shall be corrosion-resistant**. Zinc and galvanized materials shall not be used unless there is no substitute, because of aquatic toxicity potential. Painting or other coating of metal parts for corrosion resistance is not allowed due to lack of longevity and lack of standardization or assurance of non-toxic coatings.
2. **Vault baffles** shall be concrete, stainless steel or other acceptable material and shall be securely fastened to the vault.
3. **Gate valves**, if used, shall be designed for seating and unseating heads appropriate for the design conditions.

4. For coalescing plate separators, **plate packs** shall be made of stainless steel or polypropylene.

Access Requirements

Same as for **detention vaults** (see Section 5.1.3) except for the following **modifications:**

1. Access to **each compartment** is required. If the length or width of any compartment exceeds 50 feet, an additional access point for each 50 feet is required.
2. Access points for the **forebay and afterbay** shall be positioned partially over the inlet or outlet tee to allow visual inspection as well as physical access to the bottom of the vault.
3. For **coalescing plate separators**, the following also apply:
   a) Access to the **compartment containing the plate pack** shall be a removable panel or other access able to be opened wide enough to remove the entire coalescing plate bundle from the cell for cleaning or replacement. Doors or panels shall have stainless steel lifting eyes, and panels shall weigh no more than 5 tons per panel.
   b) A **parking area or access pad** (25-foot by 15-foot minimum) shall be provided near the coalescing plate bundles to allow for their removal from the vault by a truck-mounted crane or backhoe, and to allow for extracting accumulated solids and oils from the vault using a vactor truck.

Access Roads, Right of Way, and Setbacks

Same as for detention vaults (see Section 5.1.3).

Recommended Design Features

1. A **gravity drain** for maintenance is recommended if grade allows. The drain invert should be at a depth equal to the depth of the oil retaining baffle. Deeper drains are encouraged where feasible.
2. The recommended design features for wetvaults should be applied.
3. If large amounts of oil are likely to be captured, a bleed-off pipe and separate waste oil tank may be located adjacent to the vault to channel separated oils into the tank. This improves the overall effectiveness of the facility, especially if maintenance is only annually. It also improves the quality of the waste oil recovered from the facility.

Construction Considerations

1. Construction of oil/water separators shall follow and conform to the manufacturer's recommended construction procedures and installation instructions as well as Chapter 7 of the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards*. Where the possibility of vault flotation exists, the vault shall be properly anchored in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations or an engineer's design and recommendations.
2. Particular care must be taken when inserting coalescing plate packs in the vault so as not to damage or deform the plates.
3. Upon completion of installation, the oil/water separator shall be thoroughly cleaned and flushed prior to operating.

Maintenance Considerations

1. Oil/water separators must be cleaned regularly to ensure that accumulated oil does not escape from the separator. Separators should be cleaned by November 15 of each year to remove accumulation during the dry season. They must also be cleaned after spills of polluting substances such as oil, chemicals, or grease. Vaults must also be cleaned when inspection reveals any of the following conditions:
   a) Oil accumulation in the oil separation compartment equals or exceeds 1 inch, unless otherwise rated for greater oil accumulation depths recommended by the specific separator manufacturer.

b) Sediment deposits in the bottom of the vaults equals or exceeds 6 inches in depth.

2. For the first several years, oil/water separators should be checked on a quarterly basis for proper functioning and to ensure that accumulations of oil, grease, and solids in the separator are at acceptable levels. Effluent from the vault shall also be observed for an oil sheen to ensure that oil concentrations are at acceptable levels and that expected treatment is occurring. Separators should also be inspected after large storm events (about 2 inches in 24 hours).
3. Access to separators shall be maintained free of all obstructions, and units shall be readily accessible at all times for inspection and maintenance.
4. Maintenance personnel entering oil/water separator vaults should follow the state regulations pertaining to confined space entry, if applicable.

FIGURE 6.6.2.D BAFFLE OIL/WATER SEPARATOR



PLAN VIEW
NTS



SECTION VIEW
NTS

FIGURE 6.6.2.E COALESCING PLATE OIL/WATER SEPARATOR



PLAN VIEW
NTS



SECTION VIEW
NTS

6.7 PROPRIETARY FACILITY DESIGNS

Traditional public domain water quality treatment facilities such as wetponds and bioswales may not be feasible or appropriate in some situations due to size and space constraints or limited ability or inability to remove target pollutants[46]. Even where public domain facilities are feasible, development applicants may seek to use proprietary manufactured alternatives for economic, aesthetic, or other reasons. This is a narrower range of facilities than those referred ty to by WA Ecology as '***Emerging Technologies***'[47], which also include some public domain facilities that are in process of or have been approved through Ecology's TAPE program, e.g. WSDOT's Media Filter Drain. Proprietary designs have been and are continuing to be developed by the stormwater treatment industry.

Approval by Ecology through TAPE, CTAPE or Ecology's Approved as Equivalent process does not itself constitute approval by King County. An Experimental Design Adjustment is required for use of proprietary facilities approved by WA Ecology but not yet approved by King County.

Proprietary facilities which have been approved by both Ecology and King County appear in the Surface Water Design Manual, Reference 14-A.

6.7.1 ECOLOGY REQUIREMENTS

The Washington State Department of Ecology refers to proprietary facilities as *emerging technologies*, and more broadly includes under that designation stormwater treatment devices and some public domain facilities for which Ecology has required testing through its Technology Assessment Protocol – Ecology (TAPE) program. All proprietary facilities are *emerging technologies*, but not all *emerging technologies* are proprietary.

Proprietary systems include both permanent and construction-site treatment technologies. Many of these have not undergone complete performance testing so their performance claims cannot be verified. Some have been tested and approved by Ecology through its TAPE program or Chemical Technology Assessment Protocol Ecology (CTAPE) protocols See https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/Guidance-technical-assistance/Stormwater-permittee-guidance-resources/Emerging-stormwater-treatment-technologies for both TAPE and CTAPE; the latter is now covered under Construction Treatment, and Approved Technologies – Construction. A WA Ecology contact is listed at the bottom of the web page.

In addition, Ecology also has a category designated *Approved as Equivalent to Existing Technologies*, with the following description: These technologies -

> ". . . . have been approved by Ecology as equivalent to existing water quality treatment technologies that are currently listed in the 2014 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington and/or the 2004 Stormwater Management Manual for Eastern Washington. These technologies did not pass through the Technology Assessment Protocol – Ecology (TAPE) process."

---

[46] 'Traditional' target pollutants are TSS, heavy metals, phosphorus, and petroleum hydrocarbons ('high-use' oil, etc.). There are many TMDLs for bacteria, but no facilities approved by Ecology for bacteria treatment. Other pollutants of concern for which there are no designated facilities include but are not limited to e.g. nitrate, PAHs, and phthalates. The SWDM presents treatment trains for alkalinity (sphagnum bog wetland menu), but there is evidence that at least one of the allowed treatment trains does not work for alkalinity, hence, potential need for other options.

[47] 'Emerging' implies previously unknown, undeveloped, or unused. While some of these technologies are new, others are not, nor is their application for stormwater management necessarily new. While performance demonstration through TAPE is required for use of all proprietary facilities, it has also been required by Ecology for the public domain Ecology-approved Media Filter Drain and Compost Amended Bioswales (CABS), but not for the Ecology-approved Compost Amended Vegetated Filter Strips (CAVFS), or any of the legacy stormwater facilities, e.g. ponds, vaults, bioswales, or sand filters.

6.7.2 KING COUNTY REQUIREMENTS

Only water quality facilities listed in Chapter 6 of the SWDM, Reference 14 A or B, or approved via a Blanket Adjustment may be used for water quality treatment required per Core Requirement #8 without an Experimental Design Adjustment. Water quality facilities approved through Experimental Design Adjustments require monitoring to evaluate pollutant removal performance, operation and maintenance needs, and durability. See Chapter 1, Section 1.4 and Reference 8-F for full monitoring details.

6.7.2.1 GENERAL

The following requirements are expected to be applicable to any cartridge filter design for which an experimental adjustment is granted, or for inclusion in Reference 14-A, and may be applicable to other proprietary facilities depending on the details of those designs.

1. At a minimum, all proprietary facilities must meet design, construction, and maintenance requirements required by WA Ecology, as documented at Ecology's Emerging Technologies web site.[48] In addition:
2. Vaults shall conform to the **"Materials"** and **"Structural Stability"** requirements specified for detention vaults (see Section 5.1.3).

Presettling

Presettling is required for all cartridge media filter facilities. Presettling will likely be required for cartridge membrane filters and may be required for other proprietary filters not involving cartridges. The intent is to minimize frequency of replacement of filter media and/or vactoring. For any facilities allowed by experimental adjustment and/or included in Reference 14-A, presettling requirements will be described in detail within either the adjustment conditions or in the design criteria for the approved facility in Reference 14-A.

Note that where a cartridge filter system is used as the second or third facility in a treatment train for Enhanced Basic treatment, presettling is provided by the first facility. Use of a cartridge filter for Basic treatment or as the first facility (Basic) in a treatment train requires presettling . If used in another situation, an approved adjustment is required (see Section 1.4). See Section 6.5.1, for general presettling requirements for filtration facilities.

Access Requirements

1. **Access must be provided** by either removable panels or other King County approved accesses to allow for removal and replacement of the filter cartridges. Approved access examples are available in Reference Section 7C. Removable panels, if used, shall be at grade, have stainless steel lifting eyes, and weight no more than 5 tons per panel.
2. Access to the **inflow and outlet cells** must also be provided.
3. **Ladder access** is required when vault height exceeds 4 feet.
4. Required clear space for ladder access is a minimum two foot diameter floor-to-ceiling space at the ladder, and between the ladder and any cartridges or other vertical obstructions on the vault floor.
5. **Locking lids** shall be provided as specified for detention (see Section 5.1.3).
6. If removable panels or the Reference Section 7C access configurations are not used, corner **ventilation pipes** shall be provided, and the **minimum internal height and width** and **maximum depth** shall be met (see Section 5.1.3).

[48] https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/Guidance-technical-assistance/Stormwater-permittee-guidance-resources/Emerging-stormwater-treatment-technologies

Access Roads, Right of Way, and Setbacks

Same as for detention vaults (see Section 5.1.3).

Construction Considerations

Installation of a cartridge filter facility shall follow the manufacturer's recommended procedures.

Maintenance Requirements

Maintenance needs vary depending on the facility, and from ***site*** to ***site*** based on the type of land use activity, implementation of source controls, and weather conditions. The facility shall be inspected quarterly or at a frequency recommended by the supplier. Inspection and maintenance shall include the following:

1. The operation and maintenance instructions from the manufacturer shall be kept along with an inspection and maintenance log. The **maintenance log** shall be available for review by County inspectors.
2. **Routine maintenance** shall include inspecting for debris, vegetation, and sediment accumulation, flushing the underdrain, and removing or replacing media.
3. **Maintenance is required when** $^1/_4$ inch of sediment has accumulated on top of the cartridge hood, or 2 inches of sediment have accumulated on the floor. If the cartridges are in standing water more than 12 hours after rainfall has occurred, maintenance is required. The inspector should make sure that the cartridges are not submerged due to backwater conditions caused by high groundwater, plugged pipes, or high hydraulic grade lines. In other regards and if/where more stringent, maintenance is according to manufacturer's recommendations.
4. **Cartridges containing compost or other degradable material** should be replaced at a minimum every two years.
5. Media shall be disposed of in accordance with applicable regulations, including the Seattle-King County Department of Public Health solid waste regulations (Title 10) and state dangerous waste regulations (WAC 173-303). In most cases, the media may be disposed of as solid waste.

6.7.2.2 FACILITY APPROVAL

For further details on facility approval, refer to the Experimental Design Adjustment process in Chapter 1, Section 1.4.4 and Reference 8-F. The facility approval process is summarized as follows:

- Ecology may assign General Use Level Designation (GULD) or Conditional Use Level Designation (CULD) to a given facility.
- Before the County will consider adding a proprietary device or system to the list of water quality facilities approved for use without adjustments, Ecology must grant GULD approval and WLRD must determine that sufficient performance monitoring data satisfying all requirements of TAPE are met. King County approval may require that monitoring data satisfying requirements of TAPE be provided for 3 or more sites and that qualified samples equal 12 or more at each site. King County's evaluation for inclusion of facitilies into the SWDM will also consider maintenance, operation, and durability factors. For facilities to be maintained by the county, regular maintenance frequency must be no more than once per year.
- The number of allowed installations will normally be limited to two in King County, until the County has obtained sufficient evidence indicating performance meets criteria. Failure to meet performance criteria at any one site may result in denial of any further installations.
- If King County allows an experimental design adjustment for a facility approved through TAPE/Ecology at CULD or GULD level, but the facility fails to meet TAPE performance criteria, King County may restrict further installations or testing of the same design.

- If the facility was approved at the GULD level, and fails subsequent testing during an experimental adjustment, there will be no requirement to replace the facility with an approved one.

  If the County allows an experimental adjustment for a facility approved at CULD level, typically a set aside area will be required as part of the adjustment and failure to meet TAPE criteria will require that the experimental facility be replaced with an approved facility choice from the SWDM.

- During the permitting process with DLS-Permitting, an applicant for an alternative facility may apply for an experimental design adjustment to use a device or system not listed in the SWDM. There is no guarantee that an experimental adjustment will be granted, but if one is, monitoring will be required. All TAPE monitoring requirements and criteria are applicable. King County does not pay for this monitoring. The cost of monitoring commercial products is covered by the applicant and/or the facility vendor according to their agreement. The cost of testing public domain devices or systems for which an experimental adjustment is requested is borne by the applicant.

6.7.2.3 DIFFERENCES BETWEEN COUNTY MAINTAINED AND PRIVATELY MAINTAINED PROPRIETARY FACILITIES

- The County will not consider adoption of facilities for public maintenance which are likely to require maintenance more frequently than annually. A privately maintained facility may have an inspection/maintenance cycle as short as quarterly.
- Where the County will be taking over maintenance responsibilities from a developer, the County may consider maintenance costs in deciding which facilities to allow.

DEFINITIONS

Note: The following terms are provided for reference and use with this manual. They shall be superseded by any other definitions for these terms adopted by ordinance.

Acceptable discharge point means an enclosed drainage system (i.e., pipe system, culvert, or tightline) or open drainage feature (e.g., ditch, channel, swale, stream, river, pond, lake, or wetland) where concentrated runoff can be discharged without creating a significant adverse impact.

Adjustment means a department-approved variation in the application of the requirements of K.C.C. 9.04.050 and the *Surface Water Design Manual* to a particular project in accordance with K.C.C. 9.04.050C.

Agricultural project means any project located on, and proposing improvements consistent with, the permitted uses of land zoned for Agriculture (A zoned lands) as defined in KCC 21A.08

Alkalinity means a measure of the acid neutralizing capacity of water; the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing acidic input.

Alluvial soil means a soil found in valley bottoms that is generally fine-grained and often has a high seasonal water table.

Anadromous fish means fish that live as adults in saltwater and migrate up freshwater streams and rivers for spawning.

Applicant means a property owner or a public agency or public or private utility that owns a right-of-way or other easement or has been adjudicated the right to such an easement under RCW 8.12.090, or any person or entity designated or named in writing by the property or easement owner to be the applicant, in an application for a development proposal, permit, or approval.

Appurtenances means machinery, appliances, or auxiliary structures attached to a main structure, but not considered an integral part thereof, for the purpose of enabling it to function.

Aquatic area means any non-wetland water feature including all shorelines of the state, rivers, streams, marine waters, inland bodies of open water including lakes and ponds, reservoirs and conveyance systems and impoundments of these features if any portion of the feature is formed from a stream or wetland and if any stream or wetland contributing flows is not created solely as a consequence of stormwater pond construction. Aquatic area does not include water features that are entirely artificially collected or conveyed storm or wastewater systems or entirely artificial channels, ponds, pools or other similar constructed water features.

Aquifer means a geologic stratum containing groundwater that can be withdrawn and used for human purposes.

Area-specific flow control facility requirement means the requirement of an onsite flow control facility or facilities designed in accordance with the performance criteria, target surfaces, and exceptions specified for the mapped flow control area in which a proposed project is located.

Area-specific water quality facility requirement means the requirement of an onsite water quality facility or facilities designed in accordance with the treatment menu, target surfaces, and exceptions specified for the mapped water quality treatment area in which a proposed project is located.

Arterial – A road or street primarily for through traffic. The term generally includes roads or streets considered collectors. It does not include local access roads which are generally limited to providing access to abutting property.

As-built drawings means engineering plans which have been revised to reflect all changes to the plans which occurred during construction.

Back-up system means a retention/detention facility where inflows are routed through the control structure before entering the facility; they are "backed up" into the facility by the flow restrictor.

Backwater means water upstream from an obstruction that is deeper than it would normally be without the obstruction.

Bacteria problem means a stream reach, lake, or other waterbody of the state that is either (1) currently designated by the state as a Category 5, 4, or 2 Waterbody due to exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for fecal coliform bacteria as documented in the state's latest Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA Ecology's electronic database[1] and map viewer[2] for these waterbodies, or (2) is currently designated by the County as a bacteria problem based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for fecal coliform as documented in the latest published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web page[3].

Baffle means a device, usually a flow-directing or impeding panel, used to deflect, check or regulate flow.

Base flood means a flood having a one percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year; also referred to as the 100-year flood. The base flood is determined for existing conditions, unless a basin plan including projected flows under future developed conditions has been completed and adopted by King County, in which case these future flow projections shall be used. In areas where the Flood Insurance Study includes detailed base flood calculations, those calculations may be used until projections of future flows are completed and approved by King County.

Base flood elevation means the water surface elevation of the base flood. It shall be referenced to either the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88) or the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD)[4], depending on the datum used in the relative FEMA flood insurance rate map (FIRM).

Basin means a geographic area that contains and drains to a stream or river named and noted on common maps, such as the Cedar River, Sammamish River, Green River, Snoqualmie River, Skykomish River, or White River, or a geographic area that drains to a non-flowing water body named and noted on common maps, such as Lake Washington or Puget Sound.

Basin plan means a plan and all implementing regulations and procedures including, but not limited to, capital projects, public education activities, land use management adopted by ordinance for managing surface and storm water within the basin.

Berm means a constructed mound of earth or other material used to confine, control, spread, or filter water.

Best management practice (BMP) means any schedule of activities, prohibition of practices, maintenance procedure, or structural and/or managerial practice approved by King County that, when used singly or in combination, prevents or reduces the release of pollutants and other adverse impacts to surface water, stormwater and groundwater.

Bioswale means a long, gently sloped, vegetated ditch designed to remove pollutants from stormwater. Grass is the most common vegetation, but wetland vegetation can be used if the soil is saturated.

Bioretention – A stormwater best management practice consisting of a shallow landscaped depression designed to temporarily store and promote infiltration of stormwater runoff. Standards for bioretention design, including soil mix, plants, storage volume and feasibility criteria, are specified in Appendix C of the King County Surface Water Design Manual.

[1] Database Query Tool: https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/ApprovedWQA/ApprovedPages/ApprovedSearch.aspx

[2] Map Tool: https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/waterqualityatlas/wqa/startpage

[3] kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021

[4] See https://www.ngs.noaa.gov/VERTCON3/index.shtml regarding datum conversion from NGVD29 to NAVD88.

Bioretention Water Quality Facility- means a vegetated cell, swale, or planter with the bottom composed of one or more layers of specified media for pollutant mitigation. Stormwater is treated by percolation through the bioretention media. Treated stormwater is infiltrated to native soil or is collected and discharged via an underdrain system. Standards for bioretention water quality facility design are detailed in Chapter 6 and Reference 14-B of the King County Surface Water Design Manual. Media specifications are found in Reference 11-C.

Blanket adjustment means an adjustment established by the County that can be applied routinely or globally to all projects where appropriate. Blanket adjustments are usually based on a previously approved adjustment and can be used to effect minor changes or corrections to the design requirements of this manual, or to add new designs and methodologies to this manual.

Blind, blinding means to severely reduce the ability of a normally infiltrative media to pass water, usually by plugging with sediment or debris.

BMP means best management practice.

Bollard means a post used to prevent vehicular access. A bollard may or may not be removable.

BSBL means building setback line.

Buffer means a designated area contiguous to a steep slope or landslide hazard area intended to protect slope stability, attenuation of surface water flows, and landslide hazards, or a designated area contiguous to and intended to protect and be an integral part of an aquatic area or wetland

Building setback line means a line measured parallel to a property, easement, drainage facility, or buffer boundary that delineates the area (defined by the distance of separation) where buildings or other obstructions are prohibited (including decks, patios, outbuildings, or overhangs beyond 18 inches). Wooden or chain link fences and landscaping are allowable within a building setback line. In this manual the minimum building setback line shall be 5 feet.

CAO means the Critical Areas Ordinance, which amends KCC 21A.24 to establish and regulate critical areas. Most types of critical areas were previously regulated as "sensitive areas" in KCC 21A.24 prior to adoption of the CAO (see "critical area").

Catch basin insert means a device installed underneath a catch basin inlet that uses gravity, filtration, or various sorbent materials to remove pollutants from stormwater. When used with sorbent material, catch basin inserts are primarily for oil removal.

Catch line means the point where a severe slope intercepts a different, gentler slope.

Cation exchange means "The interchange between a cation in solution and another cation on the surface of any surface-active material such as clay or organic matter." (Buckman & Brady, 1969)

Cation exchange capacity (CEC) means the quantity of ammonium cations in a dry mass saturated with ammonium acetate that can be displaced by a strong solution of NaCl, measured in milliequivalents per gram or 100 grams. The test is done using EPA Laboratory Method 9081. Per that method, distinctly acidic soils require “the method of cation-exchange capacity by summation (Chapman, 1965, p. 900; see Paragraph 10.1)”.

Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead (CESCL) - means an individual who has current certification through an approved erosion and sediment control training program that meets the minimum training standards established by the Washington Department of Ecology Department (Ecology). A CESCL is knowledgeable in the principles and practices of erosion and sediment control. The CESCL must have the skills to assess ***site*** conditions and construction activities that could impact the quality of stormwater and, the effectiveness of erosion and sediment control measures used to control the quality of stormwater discharges. Certification is obtained through an Ecology approved erosion and sediment control course.

Channel means a long, narrow excavation or surface feature that conveys surface water and is open to the air.

Channel, constructed means a channel or ditch constructed to convey surface water; also includes reconstructed natural channels.

Channel, natural means a channel that has occurred naturally due to the flow of surface waters or a channel that, although originally constructed by human activity, has taken on the appearance of a natural channel including a stable route and biological community.

Civil engineer means a person licensed by the State of Washington as a professional engineer in civil engineering.

Clearing means the conversion of native vegetated surface to a non-native surface.

Closed depression means an area greater than 5,000 square feet at overflow elevation that is low-lying and that has no or such a limited surface water outlet that the area acts as a stormwater retention facility. The primary loss of water volume from a closed depression is through evapotranspiration and discharge into the ground rather than surface flow.

Commercial or industrial site, for the purposes of defining a high-use site, means that portion of a site's developed area associated with an individual commercial or industrial business (e.g., the area occupied by the business's buildings and required parking).

Commercial project (or land use) means any project or land use that requires a commercial building permit or is on or at a site where a such a permit would be required for construction of a building, excluding *industrial projects/land uses* (see definition of industrial project/land use) and *multifamily projects/land uses* (see definition of multifamily project/land use). Agricultural projects are included only if they require a commercial building permit. Single family residential projects are not included.

Common plan of development or sale means a site where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may take place at different times or on different schedules, but still under a single plan. Examples include: 1) phased projects and projects with multiple filings or lots, even if the separate phases or filings/lots will be constructed under separate contract or by separate owners (e.g. a development where lots are sold to separate builders); 2) a development plan that may be phased over multiple years, but is still under a consistent plan for long-term development; and 3) projects in a contiguous area that may be unrelated but still under the same contract, such as construction of a building extension and a new parking lot at the same facility.

Compensatory storage means new excavated storage volume equivalent to the flood storage capacity eliminated by filling or grading within the flood fringe. Equivalent shall mean that the storage removed shall be replaced by equal volume between corresponding one foot contour intervals that are hydraulically connected to the floodway through their entire depth.

Compost is a product that is used as a soil amendment, mulch, or as filter media either alone or with other media, e.g. sand. Compost is the result of the biological degradation and transformation of biological organic source materials under controlled conditions designed to promote aerobic decomposition. As applied in this manual, compost must be stable with regard to oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide generation. Compost must be mature with regard to its suitability for serving as a *soil amendment*, for *erosion control* BMP applications, for *facility media*, and for *flow control BMPs*[5]. Compost varies in chemical and biological content, and physical gradation (screen size). See Reference 11-C for compost specifications depending on application.

Construct or modify means to install a new drainage pipe or ditch or make improvements to an existing drainage pipe or ditch, for purposes other than maintenance, that either serves to concentrate previously unconcentrated surface water or stormwater runoff or serves to increase, decrease or redirect the conveyance of surface water or stormwater runoff. "Construct or modify" does not include installation or maintenance of a driveway culvert installed as part of a single-family residential building permit.

[5] Adapted from WSDOT, 2014. Standard Specifications for Road, Bridge, and Municipal Construction. M 41-10. Washington State Department of Transportation. Section 9-14.4(8) Compost

Construction stormwater pollution prevention BMP means a control or measure that prevents or reduces the discharge of pollutants and sediments resulting from construction activities.

Construction stormwater pollution prevention plan (CSWPPP) means the plan and supporting documentation for implementing construction site ESC measures, pollution prevention BMPs, and spill control. The CSWPPP is a component of the engineering plans required for drainage review and has two component plans, the ESC plan and stormwater pollution prevention and spill (SWPPS) plan. **Conveyance** means a mechanism for transporting water from one point to another, including pipes, ditches, and channels.

Conveyance system means the drainage facilities and features, both natural and constructed, that provide for the collection and transport of surface water or stormwater runoff. The natural elements of the conveyance system include swales and small drainage courses, streams, rivers, lakes and wetlands. The constructed elements of the conveyance system include gutters, ditches, pipes, catch basins, channels and most flow control and water quality facilities.

Conveyance system nuisance problem means a flooding or erosion problem that does not constitute a "severe flooding problem" or "severe erosion problem" and that results from the overflow of a constructed conveyance system for runoff events less than or equal to a 10-year event. Examples include inundation of a shoulder or lane of a roadway, overflows collecting in yards or pastures, shallow flows across driveways, minor flooding of crawl spaces or unheated garages/outbuildings, and minor erosion. See "severe flooding problem" and "severe erosion problem".

Criteria exception means a department-approved exception to the criteria for granting an adjustment from the requirements of K.C.C. 9.05.050 and the *Surface Water Design Manual* based on demonstration that meeting the criteria will deny reasonable use of the applicant's property and the applicant will implement the best practicable alternative to meeting the criteria. Criteria exceptions require approval by the director of DLS-Permitting or DNRP (depending on which department is approving the adjustment), legal public notice, and a written finding of fact.

Critical area means any area that is subject to natural hazards or a land feature that supports unique, fragile or valuable natural resources including fish, wildlife or other organisms or their habitats or such resources that carry, hold or purify water in their natural state. "Critical area" includes the following areas: aquatic areas; coal mine hazard areas; critical aquifer recharge areas; erosion hazard areas; flood hazard areas; landslide hazard areas; seismic hazard areas; steep slope hazard areas; volcanic hazard areas; wetlands; wildlife habitat conservation areas; and wildlife habitat networks.

Critical area report means the report that evaluates all probable impacts of a development proposal on critical areas as specified in KCC 21A.24.110. The report is required for any development proposal that is subject to a critical area review by DLS-Permitting under KCC 21A.24.100.

Critical aquifer recharge area means an area designated on the critical aquifer recharge area map adopted by KCC 20.70.020 as recodified and amended by the CAO that has a high susceptibility to ground water contamination that is located within a sole source aquifer or within an area approved in accordance with Chapter 246-290 WAC as a wellhead protection area for a municipal or district drinking water system. Susceptibility to ground water contamination occurs where there is a combination of permeable soils, permeable subsurface geology, and/or ground water close to the ground surface.

Critical depth means the depth that minimizes the specific energy E of the flow.

Critical Drainage Area means an area where the Department of Natural Resources and Parks (DNRP) has determined that additional drainage controls (beyond those in this manual) are needed to address a severe flooding, drainage, and/or erosion condition that poses an imminent likelihood of harm to the welfare and safety of the surrounding community. Critical Drainage Areas (CDAs) are formally adopted by administrative rule under the procedures specified in KCC 2.98. When CDAs are adopted, they are inserted in Reference Section 2 of this manual and their requirements are implemented through Special Requirement #1 (see Section 1.3.1).

Critical flow means flow at the critical depth and velocity.

Culvert means pipe or concrete box structure that drains an open channel, swale, or ditch under a roadway or embankment, typically with no catch basins or manholes along its length.

Cut slope means a slope formed by excavating overlying material to connect the original ground surface with a lower ground surface created by the excavation. A cut slope is opposed to a bermed slope, which is constructed by importing soil to create the slope.

Dead storage means the volume available in a depression in the ground below any conveyance system, or surface drainage pathway, or outlet invert elevation that could allow the discharge of surface and storm water runoff.

Dedication of land means setting aside and assigning ownership for a portion of a property for a specific use or function.

Depression storage means the amount of precipitation that is trapped in depressions on the surface of the ground.

Design engineer means the civil engineer who prepares the analysis, design, and engineering plans for an applicant's permit or approval submittal (see "civil engineer").

Detention means release of surface and storm water runoff from the site at a slower rate than it is collected by the drainage facility system, the difference being held in temporary storage.

Detention facility means a facility that collects water from developed areas and releases it at a slower rate than it enters the collection system. The excess of inflow over outflow is temporarily stored in a pond or a vault and is typically released over a few hours or a few days.

Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) means the written decision by the responsible official of the lead agency that a proposal is not likely to have a significant adverse environmental impact per the SEPA process, and therefore an EIS is not required.

Development means any activity that requires a permit or approval, including, but not limited to, a building permit, grading permit, shoreline substantial development permit, conditional use permit, special use permit, zoning variance or reclassification, subdivision, short subdivision, urban planned development, binding site plan, site development permit, or right-of-way use permit. "Development" does not include a Class I, II, III, or IV-S forest practice conducted in accordance with Chapter 76.09 RCW and Title 222 WAC or a class IV-G nonconversion forest practice, as defined in KCC 21A.06, conducted in accordance with Chapter 76.09 RCW and Title 222 WAC and a county approved forest management plan.

Development review engineer –The Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) employee responsible for the conditioning, review, inspection, and approval of right-of-way use permits, and road and drainage improvements constructed as part of development permits administered by DLS-Permitting.

Direct discharge means undetained discharge from a proposed project to a "major receiving water."

Directed drainage review means the drainage review for a proposed single-family residential project or agricultural project that is not subject to simplified or large project drainage review

Discharge means runoff, excluding offsite flows, leaving the proposed development through overland flow, built conveyance systems, or infiltration facilities.

Dissolved oxygen (DO) problem means a stream reach, lake, or other waterbody of the state that is either (1) currently designated by the state as a Category 5, 4, or 2 Waterbody due to exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for dissolved oxygen as documented in the state's latest Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA

Ecology's electronic database[6] and map viewer[7] for these waterbodies, or (2) is currently designated by the County as a DO problem based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for dissolved oxygen as documented in the latest published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web page[8].

Dispersed discharge means release of surface and storm water runoff from a drainage facility system such that the flow spreads over a wide area and is located so as not to allow flow to concentrate anywhere upstream of a drainage channel with erodible underlying granular soils or the potential to flood downstream properties.

Ditch means a constructed channel with its top width less than 10 feet at design flow.

Diversion means a change in the natural discharge location or runoff flows onto or away from an adjacent downstream property. See Core Requirement #1.

DNS means Determination of Non-Significance.

DNRP means Department of Natural Resources and Parks.

DOE means the state Department of Ecology.

DLS-Permitting means the Department of Local Services, Permitting Division, which is the King County department responsible for ***drainage review*** of proposed projects, except those projects reviewed by the Water and Land Resources Division (WLRD) as specified in KCC 9.04.070.

Drainage means the collection, conveyance, containment, or discharge, or any combination thereof, of storm water runoff or surface water.

Drainage area means an area draining to a point of interest.

Drainage basin means an area draining to a point of interest.

Drainage channel means a drainage pathway with well-defined bed and banks indicating frequent conveyance of surface and storm water runoff.

Drainage course means a pathway for watershed drainage often characterized by wet soil vegetation and often intermittent in flow.

Drainage easement means a legal encumbrance that is placed against a property's title to reserve specified privileges for the users and beneficiaries of the drainage facilities contained within the boundaries of the easement.

Drainage facility means a constructed or engineered feature that collects, conveys, stores, treats, or otherwise manages stormwater runoff or surface water. "Drainage facility" includes, but is not limited to, a constructed or engineered stream, lake, wetland, or closed depression, or a pipe, channel, ditch, gutter, flow control facility, flow control BMP, water quality facility, erosion and sediment control facility, and any other structure and appurtenance that provides for drainage.

Drainage pathway means the route that surface or storm water runoff follows downslope as it leaves any part of the site.

Drainage plan means a plan that depicts the drainage improvements and mitigation measures proposed for a particular project and includes any documentation/technical information necessary for construction and determination of compliance with drainage requirements. The drainage plan can be an "engineering plan" or "limited scope" engineering plan prepared for drainage review purposes as

[6] Database Query Tool: https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/ApprovedWQA/ApprovedPages/ApprovedSearch.aspx

[7] Map Viewer: https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/waterqualityatlas/wqa/startpage

[8] kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021

described in Chapter 2 of the *Surface Water Design Manual (SWDM)*, or it can be a "simplified project drainage plan" as described in Appendix C of the *SWDM*.

Drainage review means an evaluation by King County staff of a proposed project's compliance with the drainage requirements in the *Surface Water Design Manual*. The types of drainage review include: Simplified Drainage Review, Targeted Drainage Review, Directed Drainage Review, Full Drainage Review, and Large Project Drainage Review.

Dry Season means May 1 to September 30.

Easement means the legal right to use a parcel of land for a particular purpose. It does not include fee ownership, but it may restrict the owner's use of the land.

Effective impervious fraction means the fraction of actual total impervious area connected to a drainage system. These figures should be used in the absence of detailed surveys or physical inspection (e.g., via pipe, channel, or short sheet flow path).

Effective Impervious surface – Those impervious surfaces that are connected via sheet flow or discrete conveyance to a drainage system. Impervious surfaces are considered ineffective if: 1) the runoff is fully dispersed as described in Appendix C of this manual; 2) residential roof runoff is infiltrated in accordance with the full infiltration BMP described in Appendix C of this manual; or 3) approved continuous runoff modeling methods indicate that the entire runoff file is infiltrated.

EIS means Environmental Impact Statement.

Embankment means a structure of earth, gravel, or similar material raised to form a pond bank or foundation for a road.

Energy dissipater means any means by which the total energy of flowing water is reduced. In stormwater design, it is usually a mechanism that reduces velocity prior to, or at, discharge from an outfall in order to prevent erosion. Energy dissipaters include rock splash pads, drop manholes, concrete stilling basins or baffles, and check dams.

Energy gradient means the slope of the specific energy line (i.e., the sum of the potential and velocity heads).

Engineering geologist means a person licensed by the State of Washington as a geologist specializing in evaluating geologic site characteristics to determine the responses of geologic processes and materials to development activities, such as removal of vegetation; construction activities such as earthwork; applying loads in foundations and embankments; use of earth materials in construction; and modifying ground water flow.

Engineering plan means a plan prepared and stamped by a licensed civil engineer that depicts improvements and mitigation measures proposed for a particular site and includes supporting documentation and technical information. For drainage review purposes, an engineering plan includes a Technical Information Report (TIR), Site Improvement Plans, and a Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (CSWPPP), which are described in detail in Chapter 2 of the *Surface Water Design Manual*.

Engineering review means an evaluation by the Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (or its successor agency) of a proposed project's compliance with the drainage requirements in the *Surface Water Design Manual* and with other King County requirements.

Enhancement means an increase in ecological functions and value, desirability, or attractiveness of an environmental feature.

Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) means a document that discusses the likely significant adverse impacts of a proposal, ways to lessen the impacts, and alternatives to the proposal. It is required by the national and state environmental policy acts when projects are determined to have the potential for significant environmental impact.

Equivalent area means the area tributary to the receiving water body equal to or less than the shortest, straight-line distance from the receiving water body (or regional facility) to the farthest point of the proposed project.

Erodible or leachable materials, wastes, or chemicals are those materials or substances that, when exposed to rainfall, measurably alter the physical or chemical characteristics of the rainfall runoff (Examples include but are not limited to erodible soil, uncovered process wastes, manure, fertilizers, pesticides, oily substances, ashes, kiln dust, garbage dumpster leakage, commercial-scale vehicle and animal wash waste, galvanized structural, architectural, cabinet, and utility steel, architectural copper, bronze, brass, and lead, treated lumber, etc.).

Erodible granular soils means soil materials that are easily eroded and transported by running water, typically fine or medium grained sand with minor gravel, silt, or clay content. Such soils are commonly described as Everett or Indianola series soil types in the SCS classification. Also included are any soils showing examples of existing severe stream channel incision as indicated by unvegetated streambanks standing over two feet high above the base of the channel.

Erosion means detachment and transport of soil or rock fragments by water, wind, ice, etc.

Erosion and sediment control (ESC) means any temporary or permanent measures taken to reduce erosion, control siltation and sedimentation, and ensure that sediment-laden water does not leave the site or enter into wetlands or aquatic areas.

Erosion Hazard Area means an area underlain by soils that are subject to severe erosion when disturbed. These soils include, but are not limited to:

- Those classified as having a severe to very severe erosion hazard according to the USDA Soil Conservation Service, the 1990 Snoqualmie Pass Area Soil Survey, the 1973 King County Soils Survey (or any subsequent revisions or addition by or to these sources) such as any occurrence of River Wash (Rh) or Coastal Beaches (Cb) and any of the following when they occur on slopes inclined at 15% or more: Alderwood gravely sandy loam (AgD), Alderwood-Kitsap (AkF), Beausite gravelly sandy loam (BeD and BeF), Kitsap silt loam, (KpD), Ovall gravelly sandy loam (OvP and OvF), Ragnar fine sandy loam (Rad), and Ragnar-Indianola Association (RdE); and
- Those that represent significant risk to sensitive downstream receiving water due to proximity to those receiving waters and the size of the disturbed area.

ESC plan means the plan and supporting documentation for implementing erosion and sediment control measures on the project site. This plan is a component of the construction stormwater pollution prevention plan (CSWPPP), which is submitted with the engineering plans required for drainage review.

ESC Standards means the requirements and specifications for design, maintenance, and implementation of erosion and sediment control measures specified in Appendix D of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*.

Eutrophic means a condition of a water body in which excess nutrients, particularly phosphorous, stimulates the growth of aquatic plant life usually resulting in the depletion of dissolved oxygen. Thus, less dissolved oxygen is available to other aquatic life.

Eutrophication means the process where excess nutrients in water lead to excessive growth of aquatic plants.

Evapotranspiration is the collective term for the processes of evaporation and plant transpiration by which water is returned to the atmosphere as a vapor.

Exceedance probability means the probability that the flow will be equaled or exceeded in any given year.

Existing conditions means the conditions of drainage, vegetation, and impervious cover at the time of analysis.

Existing offsite conditions means the conditions of drainage, vegetation, and impervious cover offsite, including any problems recorded or observed in the study area (except on the proposed project site), at the time of analysis (see "existing site conditions").

Existing site conditions means the conditions of drainage, vegetation, and impervious cover onsite at present or some time in the past depending on what, if any, land conversion activity has occurred on the site since May 1979 when King County first required flow control on developments adding more than 5,000 square feet of new impervious surface. If a drainage plan has been approved by the County since May 1979 for any land conversion activity which includes the addition of more than 5,000 square feet of new impervious surface, then "existing site conditions" are those created by the site improvements and drainage facilities constructed per the approved engineering plans. Otherwise, "existing site conditions" are those that existed prior to May 1979 as determined from aerial photographs and, if necessary, on knowledge of individuals familiar with the area. The intent is to mitigate unaddressed impacts created by site alterations/improvements, such as clearing, which have occurred since May 1979 (see Core Requirement #3). *Note: Air photos flown in 1979 are available for viewing at the map counter of the King County Department of Transportation and at DLS-Permitting.*

Experimental design adjustment means an adjustment used for proposing new designs or methods which are different from those in this manual, which are not uniquely site specific, and for which data sufficient to establish functional equivalence do not exist.

Exposed means subject to direct or blown-in precipitation and/or direct or blown in runoff. Not ***fully covered***.

Exposed area or **exposed material** means not covered sufficiently to shield from rainfall and stormwater runoff. At a minimum, full coverage to not be considered ***exposed*** requires a roof with enough overhang in conjunction with walls of sufficient height to prevent rainfall blow-in; and the walls must extend into the ground or to a berm or footing to prevent runoff from being blown in or from running onto the covered area..

FEMA means Federal Emergency Management Agency

FEMA floodway means a distinct floodway definition that describes the limit to which encroachment into the natural conveyance channel can cause one foot or less rise in water surface elevation.

Fertilizer means any material or mixture used to supply one or more of the essential plant nutrient elements.

Filter strip means a grassy area with gentle slopes which treats stormwater runoff from adjacent areas before it concentrates into a discrete channel.

Financial guarantee means a form of financial security posted to do one or more of the following: ensure timely and proper completion of improvements; ensure compliance with the King County Code; or provide secured warranty of materials, workmanship of improvements and design. "Financial guarantees" include assignments of funds, cash deposit, surety bonds, or other forms of financial security acceptable to the Director of the Department of Local Services, Permitting Division. "Performance guarantee," "maintenance guarantee," and "defect guarantee" are considered subcategories of financial guarantee.

FIRM means Flood Insurance Rate Map.

Flood fringe means that portion of the floodplain outside of the floodway which is covered by floodwaters during the base flood; it is generally associated with standing water rather than rapidly flowing water.

Flood Hazard Area means any area subject to inundation by the base flood or risk from channel migration including, but not limited to, an aquatic area (e.g., streams, lakes, etc.), wetland, or closed depression. A flood hazard area may consist of the following components: 100-year floodplain, zero-rise flood fringe, zero-rise floodway, FEMA floodway, and channel migration zones.

Flood hazard management plan means a plan and all implementing programs, regulations, and procedures including, but not limited to, capital projects, public education activities and enforcement programs for reduction of flood hazards and prepared in accordance with RCW 86.12.200.

Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) means the official map on which the Federal Insurance Administration has delineated flood hazard areas, floodways, and risk premium zones.

Flood Insurance Study means the official report provided by the Federal Insurance Administration that includes flood profiles and the FIRM.

Floodplain means the total area subject to inundation by the base flood including the flood fringe and floodway.

Flood-proofing means adaptations to ensure that a structure is substantially impermeable to the passage of water below the flood protection elevation, and that it resists hydrostatic and hydrodynamic loads and effects of buoyancy.

Flood protection elevation means an elevation that is one foot above the base flood elevation.

Flood protection facility means any levee, berm, wall, enclosure, raised bank, revetment, constructed bank stabilization, or armoring that is commonly recognized by the community as providing significant protection to a property from inundation by floodwaters.

Flood routing means an analytical technique used to compute the effects of system storage and system dynamics on the shape and movement of flow; represented by a hydrograph.

Floodway means the channel of the river or stream and those portions of the adjoining floodplain which are reasonably required to carry and discharge the base flood flow (see "zero-rise floodway").

Flow control area means a geographic area of the County within which proposed projects must comply with the flow control facility requirements adopted for that area as part of this manual. There are three such areas that comprise unincorporated King County: the Basic Flow Control Area, the Conservation Flow Control Area, and the Flood Problem Flow Control Area. These areas are mapped on the Flow Control Applications Map adopted with this manual and found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap.

Flow control BMP means a small scale drainage facility or feature that is part of a development site strategy to use processes such as infiltration, dispersion, storage, evaporation, transpiration, forest retention, and reduced impervious surface footprint to mimic pre-developed hydrology and minimize stormwater runoff.

Flow control BMP design and maintenance details means the diagrams/figures, design specifications, and maintenance instructions for each flow control BMP proposed on an individual site/lot that does not contain a flow control or water quality facility. These details are intended to be recordable to facilitate attachment to the declaration of covenant and grant of easement required for implementation of flow control BMPs on individual sites/lots. DLS-Permitting may waive all or a portion of this component if they determine there is no need to specify design details or maintenance instructions for certain proposed BMPs.

Flow control BMP site plan (FCBMP site plan) means a scale drawing of an individual site/lot used to show how required flow control BMPs will be applied to the target surfaces of an individual site/lot that does not contain a flow control or water quality facility. The FCBMP site plan is intended to be a recordable document (or reducible to a recordable document) that can be attached to the declaration of covenant and grant of easement required for implementation of flow control BMPs on individual sites/lots. DLS-Permitting may allow a written version of this plan if they determine there is no need to illustrate the size and location of proposed flow control BMPs.

Flow control facility means a drainage facility designed to mitigate the impacts of increased storm water runoff generated by site development in accordance with the drainage requirements in KCC Chapter 9.04. Flow control facilities are designed either, to hold water for a considerable length of time and

then release it by evaporation, plant transpiration, or infiltration into the ground, or to hold runoff for a short period of time and then release it to the conveyance system.

Flow duration means the aggregate time that peak flows are at or above a particular flow rate (e.g., the amount of time over the last 50 years that peak flows were at or above the 2-year flow rate).

Flow frequency means the inverse of the probability that the flow will be equaled or exceeded in any given year (the *exceedance probability*). For example, if the exceedance probability is 0.01, or 1 in 100, that flow is referred to as the 100-year flow.

Flowpath means the route that surface and storm water runoff follows between two points of interest.

Flow-through system means a retention/detention facility where inflows are routed through the storage facility before discharge through the flow restrictor.

Freeboard means the vertical distance between the design water surface elevation and the elevation of the structure or facility which contains the water.

Full build-out conditions means the tributary area is developed to its full zoning potential except where there are existing sensitive areas, open space tracts, and/or native growth protection easements/covenants.

Full Drainage Review means the evaluation required by KCC 9.04.030 of a proposed project's compliance with the full range of core and special requirements in Chapter 1 of this manual. Full Drainage Review is required for any proposed project, unless the project is subject to simplified drainage review, targeted drainage review, directed drainage review, or large project drainage review, that (1) would result in two thousand square feet or more of new plus replaced impervious surface; or (2) would result in 7,000 square feet or more of land disturbing activity.

Fully covered means covered sufficiently to shield from rainfall and stormwater runoff. At a minimum, full coverage requires a roof with enough overhang in conjunction with walls of sufficient height to prevent rainfall blow-in; and the walls must extend into the ground or to a berm or footing to prevent runoff from being blown in or from running onto the covered area. Not ***exposed***.

Fully dispersed means the runoff from an impervious surface or non-native pervious surface has dispersed per the criteria for fully dispersed surface in Section 1.2.3.2.

Geologist means a person who has earned a degree in geology from an accredited college or university or who has equivalent educational training, and who has at least five years of experience as a practicing geologist or four years of experience and at least two years post-graduate study, research, or teaching. The practical experience shall include at least three years work in applied geology and landslide evaluation, in close association with qualified practicing geologists or geotechnical professional/civil engineers.

Geomorphically significant flow means a flow capable of moving sediment.

Geotechnical engineer means a civil engineer, licensed by the State of Washington, who has at least four years of professional employment as a geotechnical engineer in responsible charge, including experience with landslide evaluation. Geotechnical engineers specialize in the design and construction aspects of earth materials.

Groundwater means all water found in the soil and stratum beneath the land surface or beneath the bed of any surface water. Wells tap the groundwater for water supply uses.

Groundwater protection areas include ***critical aquifer recharge areas*** as defined in KCC 21A, sole source aquifer areas as designated by the federal Environmental Protection Agency, and wellhead protection areas as mapped by the Washington State Department of Health.

Gully means a channel caused by the concentrated flow of surface and stormwater runoff over unprotected erodible land.

Habitable building means any residential, commercial, or industrial building that is equipped with a permanent heating or cooling system and an electrical system.

Habitat means the specific area or environment in which a particular type of plant or animal lives and grows.

Hardpan means a cemented or compacted and often clay-like layer of soil that is impenetrable by roots.

Harmful pollutant means a substance that has adverse effects to an organism including death, chronic poisoning, impaired reproduction, cancer, or other effects.

High infiltration rates means those in excess of 9 inches per hour as measured by the EPA method or the double ring infiltrometer method (ASTM D 3385). These will typically be course sand or gravel soil with low silt content.

High-use site means that area within a commercial or industrial ***site*** that typically generates or is subject to runoff containing high concentrations of oil due to high traffic turnover, on-site vehicle or heavy or stationary equipment use, or the frequent transfer of liquid petroleum or coal derivative products. High-use sites include:

1. the area of a commercial or industrial site that:
    a. has an expected average daily traffic (ADT) count equal to or greater than 100 vehicles per 1,000 square feet of gross building area; or
    b. is subject to petroleum storage or transfer in excess of 1,500 gallons per year, not including heating oil storage or transfer at the end-user point of delivery; or
    c. is subject to use, storage, or maintenance of a fleet of 25 or more diesel or jet fuel vehicles that are over 10 tons net weight (trucks, buses, trains, airplanes, tugs, mobile and fuel-driven or hydraulic stationary heavy equipment, etc.); or
2. The interior of any road intersection and that portion of lanes leading into the intersection subject to braking, turning, or stopping, with a measured ADT count of 25,000 vehicles or more on the main roadway and 15,000 vehicles or more on any intersecting roadway. Projects proposing primarily pedestrian or bicycle use improvements are excluded.

Historic site conditions mean those which existed on the site prior to any development in the Puget Sound region. For lands not currently submerged (i.e., outside the ordinary high water mark of a lake, wetland, or stream), "historic site conditions" shall be assumed to be forest cover unless reasonable, historic, site-specific information is provided to demonstrate a different vegetation cover. In some stream basins, as allowed per Exception 1 of the Conservation Flow Control Areas facility requirement, historic site conditions for lands not currently submerged may be assumed to be 75% forest, 15% grass, and 10% impervious surface.

Horton overland flow means a runoff process whereby the rainfall rate exceeds the infiltration rate, and the excess precipitation flows downhill over the soil surface.

Hydraulically connected means connected through surface flow or water features such as wetlands or lakes.

Hydraulic gradient means slope of the potential head relative to a fixed datum.

Hydrogeologist means a person licensed by the State of Washington as a geologist specializing in the study and analysis of ground water and other fluids as they move within the geologic environment. This includes ground water well design, construction supervision, and testing; remediation of soil and ground water at contaminated sites; and impact analysis of proposed man made structures on the environment as they may relate to water.

Hydrograph means a graph of runoff rate, inflow rate, or discharge rate past a specific point over time.

Hydrologic cycle means the circuit of water movement from the atmosphere to the earth and return to the atmosphere through various stages or processes such as precipitation, interception, runoff, infiltration, percolation, storage, evaporation, and transpiration.

Hydrologic soil groups means a soil characteristic classification system defined by the U.S. Soil Conservation Service in which a soil may be categorized into one of four soil groups (A, B, C, or D) based upon infiltration rate and other properties.

Impact means an adverse effect or harm, or the act of adversely affecting or harming.

Impaired waterbody or impaired receiving water means where the receiving waterbody is either:

(1) listed as impaired according to Ecology's Water Quality Assessment categories 2, 4, or 5 for water or sediment, as documented in the state's Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA Ecology's electronic database and map viewer of these waterbodies, or

(2) is currently designated by the County as polluted based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard as documented in the latest published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021, or

(3) where subject to any other local, state, or federal cleanup plan or contaminated site designation.

Impervious surface means a hard surface area that either prevents or retards the entry of water into the soil mantle as under natural conditions before development; or that causes water to run off the surface in greater quantities or at an increased rate of flow compared to the flow present under natural conditions prior to development (see also "new impervious surface"). Common impervious surfaces include, but are not limited to, roof, walkways, patios, driveways, parking lots, or storage areas, areas that are paved, graveled or made of packed or oiled earthen materials or other surfaces that similarly impede the natural infiltration of surface water or stormwater. For the purposes of applying the impervious surface thresholds and exemptions contained in this manual, permeable pavement, vegetated roofs, and pervious surfaces with underdrains designed to collect stormwater runoff are considered impervious surface while an open uncovered flow control or water quality facility is not . However, for the purposes of computing runoff, uncovered flow control or water quality facilities shall be modeled as impervious surfaces as specified in Chapter 3.

Impoundment means a natural or man-made containment for surface water.

Improvement means a permanent, man-made, physical change to land or real property including, but not limited to, buildings, streets, driveways, sidewalks, crosswalks, parking lots, water mains, sanitary and storm sewers, drainage facilities, and landscaping.

Industrial project (or land use) means any project or land use that requires or would require a commercial building permit or commercial site development permit and is on an industrial-zoned site or is otherwise conducting *industrial activities*. *Industrial activities* means material handling, transportation, or storage; manufacturing; maintenance; treatment; or disposal. Areas with industrial activities include plant yards, access roads and rail lines used by carriers of raw materials, manufactured products, waste material, or by-products; material handling sites; refuse sites; sites used for the application or disposal of process waste waters; sites used for the storage and maintenance of material handling equipment; sites used for residual treatment, storage, or disposal; shipping and receiving areas; manufacturing buildings; storage areas for raw materials, and intermediate and finished products; and areas where industrial activity has taken place in the past and significant materials remain and are exposed to stormwater.

Infiltration facility means a drainage facility designed to use the hydrologic process of water soaking into the ground (commonly referred to as percolation) to dispose of surface and storm water runoff.

Ingress/egress means the points of access to and from a property.

Inlet means a form of connection between the surface of the ground and a channel or pipe for the admission of surface and stormwater runoff.

Inlet control means a flow condition where the flow is governed by the culvert's inlet geometry.

Interflow means near-surface groundwater that moves laterally through the soil horizon following the hydraulic gradient of underlying relatively impermeable soils. When interflow is expressed on the surface, it is called a spring or seepage.

KCAS means King County Aerial Survey.

KCRDCS means *King County Road Design and Construction Standards*.

Lake means an area permanently inundated by water in excess of two meters (7 ft) deep and greater than twenty acres in size as measured at the ordinary high water mark.

Lake management plan means a plan describing the lake management recommendations and requirements adopted by public rule for managing water quality within individual lake basins.

Land disturbing activity means any activity that results in a change in the existing soil cover (both vegetative and non-vegetative and/or the existing soil topography. Land disturbing activities include, but are not limited to demolition, construction, clearing, grading, filling, excavation, and compaction. Land disturbing activity does not include tilling conducted as part of agricultural practices, landscape maintenance, or gardening.

Landscape management plan means a King County approved plan for defining the layout and long-term maintenance of landscaping features to minimize the use of pesticides and fertilizers, and to reduce the discharge of suspended solids and other pollutants.

Landslide means episodic downslope movement of a mass of soil or rock; includes but is not limited to rockfalls, slumps, mudflows, and earthflows.

Landslide Hazard Area means an area subject to a severe risk of landslide such as:

1. Any area with a combination of:
   - Slopes steeper than 15%;
   - Impermeable soils, such as silt and clay, frequently interbedded with granular soils, such as sand and gravel; and
   - Springs or groundwater seepage;
2. Any area which has shown movement during the Holocene epoch, 10,000 years ago to the present, or which is underlain by mass wastage debris from that epoch;
3. Any area potentially unstable as a result of rapid stream incision, stream bank erosion or undercutting by wave action;
4. Any area which shows evidence of, or is at risk from, snow avalanches, or
5. Any area located on an alluvial fan, presently or potentially subject to inundation by debris flows or deposition of stream-transported sediments.

Landslide Hazard Drainage Area means a specially mapped area where the County has determined that overland flows from new projects will pose a significant threat to health and safety because of their close proximity to a landslide hazard area that is on a slope steeper than 15% (a delineation of the known landslide hazard areas can be found in King County's *Sensitive Areas Map Folio).* Such areas are delineated on the Landslide Hazard Drainage Areas map adopted with this manual and found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap.

Land surveyor means a person licensed by the State of Washington as a professional land surveyor.

Large Project Drainage Review means the evaluation required by KCC 9.04.030 for development proposals that are large and/or involve resources or problems of special sensitivity or complexity. Large Project Drainage Review is required of any project that (1) has an urban plan development land use designation in the King County Comprehensive Plan land use map, as defined in K.C.C. 21A.06.1340; (2) would, at full buildout of the project site, result in fifty acres or more of new impervious surface within a drainage subbasin or a number of subbasins hydraulically connected across subbasin boundaries; or (3) has a project site of fifty acres or more within a critical aquifer

recharge area, as defined in the K.C.C. Title 21A. Large Project Drainage Review entails preparation of a master drainage plan (MDP) or limited scope MDP which is reviewed and approved by DLS-Permitting.

Leaf compost filter means a treatment device that uses a specially prepared and potentially patented leaf compost product to remove pollutants from stormwater.

Level pool routing means the basic technique of storage routing used in King County for sizing and analyzing detention storage and determining water levels for ponding water bodies. The level pool routing technique is based on the continuity equation: Inflow - Outflow = Change in storage.

Local drainage system means any natural or constructed drainage feature that collects and concentrates runoff from the site and discharges it downstream.

Low Impact Development (LID) – A stormwater and land use management strategy that strives to mimic pre-disturbance hydrologic processes of infiltration, filtration, storage, evaporation and transpiration by emphasizing conservation, use of on-site natural features, site planning, and distributed stormwater management practices that are integrated into a project design.

LID Best Management Practices – Distributed stormwater management practices, integrated into a project design, that emphasize pre-disturbance hydrologic processes of infiltration, filtration, storage, evaporation and transpiration. LID BMPs are referred to as flow control BMPs in this manual and include, but are not limited to, bioretention, permeable pavements, roof downspout controls, dispersion, soil quality and depth, and minimal excavation foundations.

LID Principles – Land use management strategies that emphasize conservation, use of on-site natural features, and site planning to minimize impervious surfaces, native vegetation loss, and stormwater runoff.

Lowest floor means the lowest enclosed area (including basement) of a structure. An area other than a basement area that is used solely for parking of vehicles, building access, or storage is not considered a building's lowest floor, provided that the enclosed area meets all of the structural requirements of the flood hazard standards.

Maintenance means those usual activities taken to prevent a decline, lapse, or cessation in the use of currently serviceable structures, facilities, BMPs, equipment, or systems if there is no expansion of any of these, and there are no significant hydrologic impacts. Maintenance includes the repair or replacement of non-functional facilities and BMPs, and the replacement of existing structures with different types of structures, if the repair or replacement is required to meet current engineering standards or is required by one or more environmental permits and the functioning characteristics of the original facility or structure are not changed. For the purposes of applying this definition to the thresholds and requirements of this manual, DLS-Permitting will determine whether the functioning characteristics of the original facility, structure, or BMP will remain sufficiently unchanged to consider replacement as maintenance. Drainage review is not required for projects proposing only maintenance.

***Note**: The following pavement maintenance practices are not categorically exempt from drainage review: removing and replacing a paved surface to base course or lower (ie. "replaced impervious surfaces"), extending the edge of pavement or paving graveled shoulders, or resurfacing that meets the definition of "new impervious surface" in this manual.*

Major receiving water means a large receiving water that has been determined by King County to be safe for the direct discharge of increased runoff from a proposed project without a flow control facility, subject to the restrictions on such discharges set forth in Core Requirement #3, Section 1.2.3. A list of major receiving waters is provided in Chapter 1, Table 1.2.3.B. Major receiving waters are also considered safe for application of Basic WQ treatment in place of otherwise required Enhanced Basic WQ treatment (see Section 1.2.8.1), except where the ***receiving water*** meets the definition of **impaired waterbody** or **impaired receiving water**, specifically with regard to heavy metals.

Mass wasting means the movement of large volumes of earth material downslope.

Master Drainage Plan (MDP) means a comprehensive drainage control plan required for projects subject to large project drainage review and intended to prevent significant adverse impacts to surface water and groundwater, both onsite and offsite.

Maximum extent practicable means the use of best management practices that are available and capable of being designed, constructed and implemented in a reliable and effective manner including, but not limited to, consideration of site conditions and cost.

MDNS means a Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance per SEPA (see "DNS" and "mitigation").

Mean annual storm means a statistically derived rainfall event derived by dividing the annual rainfall in an area by the number of storm events per year.

Metals as used in this manual generally refers to heavy metals[9] such as copper, mercury, lead, nickel, zinc, cadmium, and arsenic; and alloys such as bronze, brass, and Galvalume®. Metals are of environmental concern because although some heavy metal elements are necessary micronutrients, they may also be toxic at very low levels to some organisms; are sometimes magnified in the food chain where they can be toxic to organisms at higher concentrations; and are not biodegradable.

Metals problem means a stream reach, lake, or other waterbody of the state that is either (1) currently designated by the state as a Category 5, 4, or 2 Waterbody due to exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water or sediment quality standards for metals (e.g., copper, zinc, lead, mercury, etc.) as documented in the state's latest Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA Ecology's electronic database[10] and map viewer[11] for these waterbodies, or (2) is currently designated by the County as a metals problem based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water or sediment quality standards for metals (e.g., copper, zinc, lead, mercury, etc.) as documented in the latest published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web page[12].

Mitigation means an action taken to compensate for adverse impacts to the environment resulting from a development activity or alteration.

Modified site improvement plan means a limited or simplified "site improvement plan" used for some projects in Targeted Drainage Review and/or where major improvements are not proposed.

Monitor means to systematically and repeatedly measure something in order to track changes.

Monitoring means the collection and analysis of data by various methods for the purposes of understanding natural systems and features, evaluating the impacts of development proposals on the biological, hydrologic, and geologic elements of such systems, and assessing the performance of mitigation measures imposed as conditions of development.

Mulch is a layer of material applied to cover an area of soil. As used in this manual, the purpose of mulch is to provide temporary protection from erosion, to enhance plant establishment by conserving moisture, to hold fertilizer, seed, and topsoil in place, to moderate soil temperatures, and to inhibit weeds from sprouting between established vegetation. See Reference 11-C for on allowable mulch types and further specifications.

[9] "a loosely defined subset of elements that exhibit metallic properties" (Wikipedia contributors, "Heavy metal (chemistry)," Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Heavy_metal_(chemistry)&oldid=583203892 (accessed 2021/07/13)

[10] Database Query Tool: https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/ApprovedWQA/ApprovedPages/ApprovedSearch.aspx

[11] Map Tool: https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/waterqualityatlas/wqa/startpage

[12] kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021

Multifamily project (or land use) means any project or land use that requires or would require a commercial building permit or commercial site development permit for development of residential dwelling units that are not detached single family dwelling units.

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) means the part of the federal Clean Water Act which requires point source discharges to obtain permits. These permits, referred to as NPDES permits, are administered by the Washington State Department of Ecology.

Native Growth Protection Easements (NGPE) means an easement granted to the County for the protection of native vegetation within a sensitive area or its associated buffer. This term was used prior to December 1990 when it was replaced with "sensitive area." As of January 2005, the term "sensitive" is replaced with "critical area;" thus, all references to critical areas in this manual also apply to sensitive areas and native growth protection easements.

Native growth retention area means the area of native vegetated surface set aside by a covenant, easement, or tract for purposes of implementing a flow control BMP.

Native vegetated surface means a surface in which the soil conditions, ground cover, and species of vegetation are like those of the original native condition for the site. More specifically, this means (1) the soil is either undisturbed or has been treated according to the "native vegetated landscape" specifications in Appendix C, Section C.2.1.8; (2) the ground is either naturally covered with vegetation litter or has been top-dressed between plants with 4 inches of mulch consistent with the native vegetated landscape specifications in Appendix C; and (3) the vegetation is either (a) comprised predominantly of plant species, other than noxious weeds, that are indigenous to the coastal region of the Pacific Northwest and that reasonably could have been expected to occur naturally on the site or (b) comprised of plant species specified for a native vegetated landscape in Appendix C. Examples of these plant species include trees such as Douglas fir, western hemlock, western red cedar, alder, big-leaf maple and vine maple; shrubs such as willow, elderberry, salmonberry and salal; and herbaceous plants such as sword fern, foam flower, and fireweed.

Natural channel (see "channel, natural")

Natural discharge area means an onsite area tributary to a single natural discharge location (see "natural discharge location").

Natural discharge location means the location where surface and storm water runoff leaves (or would leave if not infiltrated or retained) the site or project site under existing site conditions.

Natural hazard means a condition in land or water, or both, that arises in whole or in part out of natural processes and that creates a threat of immediate and substantial harm. A natural hazard may include, but is not limited to, a beaver dam, a debris dam in a stream, severe erosion at the base of a steep slope, or a stream displaced from its original channel.

Natural onsite drainage feature means a natural swale, channel, stream, closed depression, wetland, or lake.

NAVD means North American Vertical Datum (see "base flood elevation").

New conveyance system elements means those that are proposed to be constructed where there are no existing constructed conveyance elements.

New impervious surface means the addition of a hard or compacted surface like roofs, pavement, gravel, or dirt; or the addition of a more compacted surface, like paving over pre-existing dirt or gravel. Permeable pavement and vegetated roofs are considered new impervious surface for purposes of determining whether the thresholds for application of minimum requirements are exceeded, as are lawns, landscaping, sports fields, golf courses, and other areas that have modified runoff characteristics resulting from the addition of underdrains designed to collect stormwater runoff. Open, uncovered retention/detention facilities shall not be considered impervious surfaces for purposes of determining whether the thresholds for application of minimum requirements are exceeded. Open,

uncovered retention/detention facilities shall be considered impervious surfaces for purposes of runoff modeling.

New pervious surface means the conversion of a ***native vegetated surface*** or other native surface to a non-native pervious surface (e.g., conversion of forest or meadow to pasture land, grass land, cultivated land, lawn, landscaping, bare soil, etc.), or any alteration of existing non-native pervious surface that significantly increases stormwater runoff (e.g., conversion of pasture land, grass land, or cultivated land to lawn, landscaping, or bare soil; or alteration of soil characteristics).

New PGIS means ***new impervious surface*** that is ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** or any alteration of existing pollution-generating impervious surface that changes the type of pollutants or results in increased pollution loads and/or concentrations.

New PGPS means ***new pervious surface*** that is ***pollution-generating pervious surface*** or any alteration of existing pollution-generating pervious surface that changes the type of pollutants or results in increased pollution loads and/or concentrations.

NGPE means Native Growth Protection Easement.

NGVD means National Geodetic Vertical Datum (see "base flood elevation").

Non-native pervious surface means a pervious surface that does not meet the definition of a "native vegetated surface."

Normal depth means the depth of uniform flow. This is a unique depth of flow for any combination of channel characteristics and flow conditions. Normal depth is found from Manning's equation.

NPDES means National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System.

NTU means Nephelometric Turbidity Units, a measurement unit for ***turbidity*** in water.

Nutrient means one of the essential chemicals needed by plants or animals for growth. Excessive amounts of nutrients can lead to degradation of water quality and excessive algae growth. Some nutrients can be toxic at high concentrations.

Offsite means any area lying upstream of the site that drains onto the site and any area lying downstream of the site to which the site drains (see "site").

Offsite flows means runoff conveyed to a proposed project from adjacent properties.

Off-line systems – restrict flows to treatment facilities and bypass the incremental portion of remaining higher flows around them.

Oil/water separator means a vault, usually underground designed to provide a quiescent environment to separate oil from water. Solid floatables (e.g., styrofoam) are also removed.

One-year capture zone means the surface area overlying the portion of the aquifer which contributes water to the well within a one year period.

On-line systems – Most treatment facilities can be designed as "On-line" systems with flows above the water quality design flow or volume simply passing through the facility with lesser or no pollutant removal efficiency. However, it is sometimes desirable to restrict flows to treatment facilities and bypass the incremental portion of remaining higher flows around them. These are called "Off-line". An example of an on-line system is a wetpool that maintains a permanent pool of water for runoff treatment purposes.

Onsite means on the site that includes the proposed development (see "site").

Ordinary high water mark means the mark that will be found by examining the bed and banks of a stream, lake, pond, or tidal water and ascertaining where the presence and action of waters are so common and usual, and so long maintained in all ordinary years as to mark upon the soil a vegetative character distinct from that of the abutting upland. In an area where the ordinary high water mark cannot be found, the line of mean high water in areas adjoining freshwater or mean higher high tide in

areas adjoining saltwater is the "ordinary high water mark." In an area where neither can be found, the top of the channel bank is the "ordinary high water mark." In braided channels and alluvial fans, the ordinary high water mark or line of mean high water include the entire water or stream feature.

Orifice means an opening with closed perimeter (usually sharp-edged) and of regular form in a plate, wall, or partition through which water may flow, generally used for the purpose of measurement or control of such water.

Outfall means a point where collected and concentrated surface and storm water runoff is discharged from a pipe system or culvert.

Outlet control means a flow condition where the flow is governed by a combination of inlet geometry, barrel characteristics, and tailwater elevation.

Outwash soil means a soil formed from highly permeable sands and gravels.

Overtopping means to flow over the limits of a containment or conveyance element.

Parcel redevelopment project means a redevelopment project on a site comprised of one or more parcels of land on which an assessed value of existing site improvements has been determined by the King County Assessors Office.

Permeable pavement – Pervious concrete, porous asphalt, permeable pavers or other forms of pervious or porous paving material intended to allow passage of water through the pavement section. It often includes an aggregate base that provides structural support and acts as a stormwater reservoir.

Permeable soils means soil materials with a sufficiently rapid infiltration rate so as to greatly reduce or eliminate surface and storm water runoff.

Pervious surface – Any surface material that allows stormwater to infiltrate into the ground. Examples include lawn, landscape, pasture, and native vegetation areas. Note for purposes of threshold determination and runoff volume modeling for detention and treatment, vegetated roofs and permeable pavements are to be considered impervious surfaces along with lawns, landscaping, sports fields, golf courses, and other areas that have modified runoff characteristics resulting from the addition of underdrains.

Perviousness means related to the size and continuity of void spaces in soils; related to a soil's infiltration rate.

Pesticide means any substance (usually chemical) used to destroy or control organisms; includes herbicides, insecticides, algaecides, fungicides, and others. Many of these substances are manufactured and are not naturally found in the environment. Others, such as pyrethrum, are natural toxins which are extracted from plants and animals.

pH means a measure of the acidity or basicity of a substance found by measuring the concentration of hydrogen ions in the substance. pH is the negative $\log_{10}$ of the hydrogen ion concentration, or $-\log_{10}([H^+])$. The pH scale ranges from 1 to 14 with 1 being highly acidic, 14 highly basic, and 7 neutral. Most natural waters in King County are slightly acidic having a pH of around 6.5. The pH range of precipitation in Western Washington is between 5.1 and 5.3[13].

Phosphorus problem means a stream reach, lake, or other waterbody of the state that is either (1) currently designated by the state as a Category 5, 4, or 2 Waterbody due to exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric action standard for total phosphorus as documented in the state's latest Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA Ecology's electronic database[14] and map viewer[15] for these waterbodies, or (2) is currently designated by the County as a nutrient problem based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern for exceedance

[13] USGS, 1997. What is acid rain? http://pubs.usgs.gov/gip/acidrain/2.html, maintained by John Watson, jmwatson@usgs.gov (accessed 2021/07/13).

[14] Database Query Tool is https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/ApprovedWQA/ApprovedPages/ApprovedSearch.aspx

[15] Map Tool: https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/waterqualityatlas/wqa/startpage

of the state's numeric action standard for total phosphorus as documented in the latest published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web page[16].

Physiographic means characteristics of the natural physical environment (including hills).

Pipe system means a network of storm drain pipes, catch basins, manholes, inlets, and outfalls designed and constructed to convey surface water.

Plat means a map or representation of a subdivision showing the division of a tract or parcel of land into lots, blocks, streets, or other divisions and dedications.

Point discharge means the release of collected and/or concentrated surface and storm water runoff from a pipe, culvert, or channel.

Point of compliance means the location where detention performance standards are evaluated. In most cases, the point of compliance is the outlet of the proposed detention facility where, for example, 2- and 10-year discharges must match predevelopment 2- and 10-year peak flow rates.

Pollution-generating impervious surface (PGIS) means an impervious surface considered to be a significant source of pollutants in stormwater runoff. Such surfaces include those that are ***subject to: vehicular use***, industrial activities, or storage of ***erodible or leachable materials, wastes, or chemicals***; and that receive direct rainfall or the run-on or blow-in of rainfall. A covered parking area would be included if runoff from uphill could regularly run through it or if rainfall could regularly blow in and wet the pavement surface. PGIS includes metal roofs unless they are coated with an inert, non-leachable material (see Reference 11-E). PGIS includes roofs that are exposed to the venting of significant amounts of dusts, mists, or fumes from manufacturing, commercial, or other indoor activities. PGIS includes vegetated roofs exposed to pesticides, fertilizers, or loss of soil. Other roofing types that may pose risk but are not currently regulated are listed in Reference 11-E. Lawns, landscaping, sports fields, golf courses, and other areas that have modified runoff characteristics resulting from the addition of underdrains that have the pollution generating characteristics described under the "pollution-generating pervious surface" definition are also considered ***PGIS***.

Pollution-generating pervious surface (PGPS) means a non-impervious surface considered to be a significant source of pollutants in surface and stormwater runoff. Such surfaces include those that are ***subject to vehicular use***, industrial activities, storage of ***erodible or leachable materials, wastes, or chemicals***, and that receive direct rainfall or the run-on or blow-in of rainfall; or subject to use of pesticides and fertilizers, or loss of soil. Such surfaces include, but are not limited to, the lawn and landscaped areas of a residential, commercial, or industrial site or land use, golf courses, parks, sports fields (natural and artificial turf), cemeteries, and County-standard grassed modular grid pavement.

Porosity means the property of having pores (small openings) that allow the passage of water.

Preapplication means the meeting or form used by an applicant for a development permit to present initial project intentions to the Department of Local Services, Permitting Division. Preapplication does not mean application.

Preapplication adjustment means an adjustment that can be requested prior to permit application. It is useful for when an adjustment decision is needed to determine if a project is feasible, or when the approval conditions must be known to determine if a project is viable before funding a full application. The approval of preapplication adjustments is tied by condition to the project proposal presented at a preapplication meeting with DLS-Permitting.

Project means any proposed action to alter or develop a site that may also requires drainage review.

Project site means that portion of a site and any offsite areas subject to proposed project activities, alterations, and improvements including those required by this manual (see "site").

[16] kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021.

R/D means retention/detention facility, another term for flow control facility.

Reach means a length of channel with uniform characteristics.

Receiving waters means bodies of water, surface water systems, or groundwater receiving water from upstream man-made or natural systems.

Recharge means the flow to groundwater from the infiltration of surface and storm water runoff.

Redevelopment project means a project that proposes to add, replace, or modify impervious surface (for purposes other than a residential subdivision or maintenance) on a site that is already substantially developed in a manner consistent with its current zoning or with a legal non-conforming use or has an existing impervious surface coverage of 35% or more. The following examples illustrate the application of this definition.



Regional detention facility means a stormwater quantity control structure designed to prevent or correct the existing or future surface water runoff problems of a basin or subbasin as defined by the King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks (DNRP).

Regional scale factor means a geographically variable multiplier applied to the flow time series to account for the variations in rainfall amounts, and hence runoff, between the project site and the rainfall station (Landsburg or Sea-Tac).

Release rate means the computed peak rate of surface and storm water runoff from a site.

Replaced impervious surface means any existing impervious surface on the **project site** that is proposed to be removed and re-established as impervious surface, excluding impervious surface removed for the sole purpose of installing utilities or performing maintenance on underground infrastructure. For structures, *removed* means the removal of buildings down to the foundation. For other impervious surfaces, *removed* means the removal down to base course or bare soil. It does not include the removal of pavement material through grinding or other surface modification unless the entire layer of PCC or AC is removed. Replaced impervious surface also includes impervious surface that is moved from one location to another on the project site where the following two conditions are met: (A) the area from which the impervious surface is moved from will be restored to the same or better runoff discharge characteristics as the area being covered by the moved impervious surface, and (B) impervious surface at the new location is either designated as non- pollution generating or the pollution generating characteristics remain unchanged compared to that of the original location.

Replaced PGIS means **replaced impervious surface** that is **pollution-generating impervious surface**.

Retention means the process of collecting and holding surface and storm water runoff with no surface outflow.

Retention/detention facility (R/D) means a type of drainage facility designed either to hold water for a considerable length of time and then release it by evaporation, plant transpiration, and/or infiltration into the ground, or to hold surface and storm water runoff for a short period of time and then release it to the surface and storm water conveyance system.

Retrofitting means the renovation of an existing site, structure, or facility to meet changed conditions or to improve mitigation of stormwater flow and water quality impacts.

Riparian means pertaining to the banks of rivers and streams, and sometimes also wetlands, lakes, or tidewater.

Riprap means a facing layer or protective mound of stones placed to prevent erosion or sloughing of a structure or embankment due to the flow of surface and storm water runoff.

Runoff means that portion of water originating from rainfall and other precipitation that flows over the surface or just below the surface from where it fell and is found in drainage facilities, rivers, streams, springs, seeps, ponds, lakes, wetlands, and shallow groundwater as well as on ground surfaces.

Runoff files means a database of continuous flows pre-simulated by HSPF.

Runoff Files Method means a hydrologic modeling tool for western King County to produce results (design flows, detention pond sizing, etc.) comparable to those obtained with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's HSPF model but with significantly less effort. This is achieved by providing the user with a set of 15 minute and hourly time series files of unit area land surface runoff ("runoff files") pre-simulated with HSPF for a range of land cover conditions and soil types within King County.

Run-on or blow-in of rainfall means stormwater from uphill that could regularly run through an area, or rainfall that could regularly be blown in and wet the pavement surface.

Salmon conservation plan means a plan and all implementing regulations and procedures including, but not limited to, land use management adopted by ordinance, capital projects, public education activities and enforcement programs for conservation and recovery of salmon within a water resource inventory area designated by the state under WAC 173-500-040.

Salmonid means a member of the fish family Salmonidae. In King County salmonid species include Chinook, Coho, chum, sockeye, and pink salmon; cutthroat, rainbow, and brown trout and steelhead; Dolly Varden, brook trout, char, kokanee, and whitefish.

Sand filter means a depression, basin, or vault with the bottom made of a layer of sand. Stormwater is treated as it percolates through the sand layer and is discharged via a central collector pipe.

Scour means erosion of channel banks due to excessive velocity of the flow of surface and stormwater runoff.

SCS means Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

SCS Method means a hydrologic analysis based on the Curve Number method (*National Engineering Handbook* - Section 4: Hydrology, August 1972).

Seasonal high groundwater level means the highest elevation attained by groundwater, as measured by piezometers or wells, during any calendar year.

Sediment means fragmented material which originates from weathering and erosion of rocks or unconsolidated deposits, and which is transported by, suspended in, or deposited by water.

Sedimentation means the depositing or formation of sediment.

Sensitive area means the area delineated on a site which contains wetlands, streams, steep slopes, hazard areas, landslide hazard areas, and their required buffers. Sensitive areas are recorded as tracts or sensitive area notice on titles. *Note, effective January 1, 2005, the term "sensitive area" is replaced with the term "critical area" in KCC 21A.24.*

Sensitive area setback area means the area delineated on a site which contains wetlands, streams, steep slopes, hazard areas, landslide hazard areas, and their required buffers. This term was used from November 1990 through December 1995. References to critical areas and their required buffers in this manual shall also apply to sensitive area setback areas.

Sensitive area tract means a separate tract that is created to protect a sensitive area and its buffer, and whose ownership was assigned as provided in KCC 21A.24 prior to January 1, 2005.

Sensitive lake means a designation applied by the County to lakes that are particularly prone to eutrophication from development-induced increases in phosphorus loading. Such lakes are identified on the Water Quality Applications Map adopted with this manual and found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap.

SEPA means State Environmental Policy Act.

Severe building flooding problem means there is flooding of the *finished floor area*[17] of a *habitable building*,[18] or the electrical/heating system of a habitable building for runoff events less than or equal to a 100-year event. Examples include flooding of finished floors of homes and commercial or industrial buildings, or flooding of electrical/heating system components in the crawl space or garage of a home.

Severe erosion problem means there is an open drainage feature with evidence of or potential for erosion/incision sufficient to pose a sedimentation hazard to downstream conveyance systems or pose a landslide hazard by undercutting adjacent slopes. Severe erosion problems do not include roadway shoulder rilling or minor ditch erosion.

Severe flooding problem means a **severe building flooding problem** or a **severe roadway flooding problem**.

Severe roadway flooding problem means there is flooding over all lanes of a *roadway*,[19] or a *sole access driveway*[20] is severely impacted, for runoff events less than or equal to the 100-year event. A severely impacted sole access driveway is one in which flooding overtops a culverted section of the driveway, posing a threat of washout or unsafe access conditions due to indiscernible driveway edges, or flooding is deeper than 6 inches on the driveway, posing a severe impediment to emergency access.

Shared facility means a drainage facility designed to meet one or more of the requirements of KCC 9.04.050 for two or more separate projects contained within a basin. Shared facilities usually include shared financial commitments for those drainage facilities.

Sheet erosion means the relatively uniform removal of soil from an area without the development of conspicuous water channels.

Sheet flow means relatively uniform flow over plane surfaces without the concentration of water into conspicuous channels.

Shoreline development means the proposed projects regulated by the Shoreline Management Act. Usually this includes the construction over water or within a shoreline zone (generally 200 feet landward of the water) of structures such as buildings, piers, bulkheads, and breakwaters, including environmental alterations such as dredging and filling, or any project which interferes with public navigational rights on the surface waters.

Shredded wood mulch means a mulch made from shredded tree trimmings, usually from trees cleared on site and stockpiled until needed. It must be free of garbage and weeds and may not contain excessive resin, tannin, or other material detrimental to plant growth.

Siltation means the process by which a river, lake, or other water body becomes clogged with sediment. Silt can clog gravel beds and prevent successful survival of salmon eggs.

---

[17] *Finished floor area*, for the purposes of defining ***severe building flooding problem***, means any enclosed area of a building that is designed to be served by the building's permanent heating or cooling system.

[18] *Habitable building* means any residential, commercial, or industrial building that is equipped with a permanent heating or cooling system and an electrical system.

[19] *Roadway*, for the purposes of this definition, means the traveled portion of any public or private road or street classified as such in the *King County Road Design and Construction Standards*.

[20] *Sole access driveway* means there is no other unobstructed, flood-free route for emergency access to a habitable building.

Simplified drainage plan means a simplified form of site improvement and erosion and sediment control plans (without a technical information report) which can be prepared by a non-engineer from a set of pre-engineered design details. Simplified drainage plans are allowed for projects in Simplified Drainage Review.

Simplified Drainage Review means the drainage review for a proposed single family residential project or agricultural project that: results in less than 5,000 square feet of new plus replaced pollution generating impervious surface, results in less than ¾ acre of pollution generating pervious surface, limits target impervious and pervious surface as specified in the Section 1.1.2.1, and meets the simplified drainage requirements specified in Appendix C of the *SWDM*, including flow control best management practices, erosion and sediment control measures, and drainage plan submittal requirements.

Simplified site ESC plan means a plan and supporting documentation for implementing erosion and sediment control measures that may be prepared by a person who is not a civil engineer for projects that are exempt from drainage review or that are subject to **Simplified** Project Drainage Review but disturb less than 3 acres of a site. The plan is a scale drawing of the site or project site that shows the limits of disturbance and how required ESC measures will be applied to prevent sediment from leaving the project site. The plan must include or be accompanied by any diagrams or figures necessary for installation of proposed ESC measures. DLS-Permitting may allow a written version of this plan if they determine there is no need to illustrate the extent and location of proposed ESC measures.

Single family residential project means any project that (a) constructs or modifies a single family dwelling unit, (b) makes improvements (e.g., driveways, roads, outbuildings, play courts, etc.) or clears native vegetation on a lot that contains or will contain a single family dwelling unit, or (c) is a plat, short plat, or boundary line adjustment that creates or adjusts lots that will contain single family dwelling units.

Site means a single parcel, or either: two or more contiguous parcels that are under common ownership or documented legal control or a portion of a single parcel under documented legal control separate from the remaining parcel, used as a single parcel for a proposed project for purposes of applying for authority from King County to carry out a proposed project. For projects located primarily within dedicated rights-of-way, the length of the project site and the right-of-way boundaries define the site.

Site improvement plan means the plan that consists of all the plans, profiles, details, notes and specifications necessary to construct road, drainage structure and off-street parking improvements. See also "modified site improvement plan."

Slope means the gradient in feet (vertical) per feet (horizontal) or expressed as percent. Side slopes of drainage facilities are usually referred to with the horizontal dimension first (as in 3H:1V).

Sloughing means the sliding of overlying material. Sloughing has the same effect as caving, but it usually occurs when the bank or an underlying stratum is saturated or scoured.

Soil bioengineering means a method of soil or land stabilization that uses living plant material selected for the specific site situation as the major structural or engineering component of the stabilization.

Soil permeability means the ease with which gases, liquids, or plant roots penetrate or pass through a layer of soil.

Soil scientist means a person who has earned a degree in soil science, agronomy, or hydrogeology from an accredited college or university, or who has equivalent educational training and has at least five years of experience, or who has four years of experience and at least two years of post-graduate study. Two years of experience must be in the State of Washington with local soil types.

Soil stabilization means the use of measures such as rock lining, vegetation, or other engineering structures to prevent the movement of soil when loads are applied to the soil.

Sole access driveway means there is no other unobstructed, flood-free route for emergency access to a habitable building. *Severely impacting* means the flooding overtops a culverted section of the driveway, posing a threat of washout or unsafe access conditions due to indiscernible driveway edges, or the flooding is deeper than 6 inches on the driveway, posing a severe impediment to emergency access.

Sole access roadway means there is no other flood-free route for emergency access to one or more dwelling units.

Sole-source aquifer means an aquifer that is the only source of drinking water for a given community and that is so designated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Specific energy means the total energy within any system with respect to the channel bottom; equal to the potential head plus velocity and pressure heads.

Sphagnum bog wetland means a unique wetland having a predominance of sphagnum moss creating a substrate upon which a distinctive community of plants is established. Some of these include *Ledum groenlandicum* (Labrador tea), *Kalmia occidentalis* (bog laurel), *Drosera rotundifolia* (sundew), and *Vaccinium oxycoccos* (cranberry). Stunted evergreen trees are also sometimes present. In addition to a distinctive plant community, the water chemistry of a sphagnum bog wetland is unique. It is characterized by acidic waters (pH 3 to 5.5), low nutrient content, low alkalinity, and a buffering system composed predominantly of organic acids. In the Puget Sound area, mature sphagnum bog wetlands are typically very old, often dating back thousands of years. There are several classification schemes for wetlands dominated by sphagnum moss, and a successional series from conventional wetlands to fens to sphagnum bog is recognized by most ecologists. Some biologists use water chemistry and plant community composition to determine where in this successional series a wetland should be placed. In these classification schemes, the sphagnum wetlands defined in this manual would be bogs. Others base the wetland type on the source of water, in which case most King County sphagnum wetlands would be fens. This manual has adopted the classification scheme based on water chemistry and plant communities and hence refers to these wetlands as bogs rather than fens. The size of a sphagnum bog wetland is defined by the boundaries of the sphagnum bog plant community.

Spill control device means a Tee section or down turned elbow designed to retain a limited volume of pollutant that floats on water, such as oil or antifreeze. Spill control devices are passive and must be followed by clean-up activity for the spilled pollutant to actually be removed.

State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) means the Washington State law intended to minimize environmental damage. SEPA requires that state agencies and local governments consider environmental factors when making decisions on activities, such as development proposals over a certain size and comprehensive plans. As part of this process, environmental documents are prepared and opportunities for public comment are provided.

Steep slope hazard area means an area on a slope of 40% inclination or more within a vertical elevation change of at least ten feet. For the purpose of this definition, a slope is delineated by establishing its toe and top, and is measured by averaging the inclination over at least ten feet of vertical relief. Also, for the purpose of this definition:

- The *toe* of a slope means a distinct topographic break in slope that separates slopes inclined at less than 40% from slopes inclined at 40% or more. Where no distinct break exists, the toe of a steep slope is the lower most limit of the area where the ground surface drops ten feet or more vertically within a horizontal distance of 25 feet; AND
- The *top* of a slope is a distinct topographic break in slope that separates slopes inclined at less than 40% from slopes inclined at 40% or more. Where no distinct break exists, the top of a steep slope is the uppermost limit of the area where the ground surface drops ten feet or more vertically within a horizontal distance of 25 feet.

Storage routing means a method to account for the attenuation of peak flows passing through a detention facility or other storage feature.

Storm drains means the enclosed conduits that transport surface and storm water runoff toward points of discharge (sometimes called storm sewers).

Storm drain system means the system of gutters, pipes, streams, or ditches used to carry surface and storm water from surrounding lands to streams, lakes, or Puget Sound.

Stormwater means the water produced during precipitation or snowmelt, which runs off, soaks into the ground, or is dissipated through evapotranspiration. Stormwater that runs off or soaks into the ground ultimately becomes surface water or groundwater.

Stormwater compliance plan means a plan or study and all regulations and procedures that have been adopted by the county to implement the plan or study, including, but not limited to, capital projects, public education activities, and enforcement programs for managing stormwater quantity and quality discharged from the county's municipal separate storm sewer system in compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit program under the Clean Water Act.

Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual means the manual referenced in KCC 9.12, Water Quality, and adopted in accordance with KCC 2.98, including supporting documentation referenced or incorporated in the manual, describing best management practices and procedures for eliminating or reducing surface, storm, and ground water contamination from existing facilities and existing and new activities not covered by the *Surface Water Design Manual*.

Stormwater pollution prevention and spill (SWPPS) plan means the plan and supporting documentation for implementing pollution and spill prevention BMPs on the project site during construction as required by the *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual*. This plan is a component of the construction stormwater pollution prevention plan (CSWPPP), which is submitted with the engineering plans required for drainage review.

Stormwater runoff means stormwater that flows over, or just below, the surface where it fell or melted. Stormwater runoff contributes to and becomes surface water or groundwater.

Stormwater wetland means a wetland constructed, often in areas of upland soil, for the purpose of treating stormwater. When created in upland soils, stormwater wetlands are not considered waters of the State if they are regularly maintained. In King County, stormwater wetlands cannot currently be used to mitigate for impacts to an existing natural wetland.

Stream means an aquatic area where surface water produces a channel, not including a wholly artificial channel unless it is used by salmonids or used to convey a stream that occurred naturally before construction of the artificial channel. Those topographic features that resemble streams but have no defined channels (e.g., swales) shall be considered streams when hydrologic and hydraulic analyses done pursuant to a development proposal predict formation of a defined channel after development.

Structural engineer means a person licensed by the State of Washington as a professional civil engineer specializing in structural engineering.

Structure means a catch basin or manhole in reference to a storm drainage system or as defined in KCC zoning code 21A.

Stub-out means a length of pipe provided for future connection to the storm drainage system.

Subbasin means a geographic area that (1) drains to a stream or waterbody named and noted on common maps and (2) is contained within the basin of the stream or water body.

Subcritical flow means flow at depths greater than the critical depth.

Subject to vehicular use means the surface is regularly used by motor vehicles including but not limited to motorcycles, cars, trucks, busses, aircraft, tractors, and heavy equipment. The following surfaces are considered regularly used by motor vehicles: roads, un-vegetated road shoulders, bike lanes within the traveled lane of a roadway, driveways, parking lots, unrestricted access fire lanes, vehicular equipment storage yards, and airport taxiways and runways. The following surfaces are not considered regularly used by motor vehicles: paved bicycle pathways separated from and not subject to drainage from

roads for motor vehicles, fenced or restricted access fire lanes, and maintenance access roads with a recurring use of no more than one routine vehicle access per week.

Supercritical flow means flow at depths less than the critical depth.

Surface and storm water management system means drainage facilities and any other natural features which collect, store, control, treat, and/or convey surface and storm water.

Surface flow means that which travels over land or in an open or piped conveyance system.

Surface water means the water that exists on land surfaces before, during, and after stormwater runoff occurs and includes, but is not limited to, the water found on ground surfaces and in drainage facilities, rivers, streams, springs, seeps, ponds, lakes, wetlands, and Puget Sound. It also includes shallow groundwater.

Surface Water Design Manual means the manual, and supporting documentation referenced or incorporated in the manual, describing surface and stormwater design and analysis requirements, procedures, and guidance that has been formally adopted by rule under the procedures in KCC 2.98. The *Surface Water Design Manual* is available from the King County Department of Local Services or the Department of Natural Resources and Parks, Water and Land Resources Division, or their successor agencies.

Swale means a shallow drainage conveyance with relatively gentle side slopes, generally with flow depths less than one foot.

SWDM means *Surface Water Design Manual*.

SWM means the former Surface Water Management Division of the King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks.

Targeted Drainage Review means an abbreviated evaluation required by KCC 9.04.030 for certain types of proposed projects that are not subject to full or large project drainage review. Targeted drainage review may be required for some projects in simplified drainage review.

Target impervious surface means that portion of a site's existing, new, and replaced impervious surface from which runoff impacts are required to be mitigated by a particular set of drainage requirements.

Target surface means a developed surface from which runoff impacts are required to be mitigated by a particular set of drainage requirements.

Target PGIS means a pollution-generating impervious surface from which runoff impacts to water quality are required to be mitigated by the area-specific water quality facility requirement (see "pollution-generating impervious surface").

Target PGPS means a pollution-generating pervious surface from which runoff impacts to water quality are required to be mitigated by the area-specific water quality facility requirement (see "pollution-generating pervious surface").

Temperature problem means a stream reach, lake, or other waterbody of the state that is either (1) currently designated by the state as a Category 5, 4, or 2 Water due to exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for temperature as documented in the state's latest Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA Ecology's electronic database[21] and map viewer[22] for these waterbodies, or (2) is currently designated by the County as a temperature problem based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for temperature as documented in the latest

[21] Database Query Tool: https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/ApprovedWQA/ApprovedPages/ApprovedSearch.aspx

[22] Map Tool: https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/waterqualityatlas/wqa/startpage

published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web page[23]. .

Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC, or TESC) Measures means those erosion and sediment control measures implemented before final stabilization of the site to reduce erosion, control siltation and sedimentation, and prevent the discharge of sediment-laden water from the site.

Threshold discharge area means an onsite area draining to a single natural discharge location, or multiple natural discharge locations that combine within one-quarter-mile downstream (as determined by the shortest flowpath). The examples below illustrate this definition. This term is used to clarify how the thresholds, exemptions, and exceptions of this manual are applied to project sites with multiple discharge points.



Tightline means a continuous length of pipe that conveys water from one point to another (typically down a steep slope) with no inlets or collection points in between.

Tightline system means a continuous length of pipe used to convey flows down a steep or sensitive slope with appropriate energy dissipation at the discharge end.

Till means a layer of poorly sorted soil deposited by glacial action; in the King County area, till typically has a high silt content (see Section 3.2.2.1 for a description of soil groups).

Time of concentration means the time it takes runoff to travel overland (from the onset of precipitation) from the most hydraulically distant location in the drainage basin to the point of discharge.

Total phosphorous (TP) means a naturally occurring element essential for plant growth. Total phosphorous includes both dissolved and particulate phases of phosphorous. Excess phosphorous can

[23] kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021

cause excess algae growth in lakes and streams, resulting in aesthetic problems and threats to aquatic life.

Total suspended solids (TSS) means that portion of the solids carried by stormwater that can be caught on a standard glass filter. Additional pollutants such as metals and organics are often associated with the finer portion of the solids.

Toxic means poisonous, carcinogenic, or otherwise directly harmful to life.

Tract means a legally created parcel of property designated for special non-residential and non-commercial uses.

Transportation redevelopment project means a stand-alone transportation improvement project that proposes to add, replace, or modify impervious surface, for purposes other than maintenance, within a length of dedicated public or private road right-of-way that has an existing impervious surface coverage of thirty-five percent or more. Road right-of-way improvements required as part of a subdivision or commercial development project may not be defined as a separate transportation redevelopment project.

Travel time means the estimated time for surface water to flow between two points of interest.

Treatment train means a combination of two or more treatment facilities connected in series (i.e., the design water volume passes through each facility in turn).

Tributary means a drainage feature that collects water and conveys it to another drainage feature (e.g., a drainage channel is tributary to a stream into which it flows).

Tributary area means the geographical area (not constrained by property boundaries) that drains to the point of concern.

Turbidity means the dispersion or scattering of light in a liquid, caused by suspended solids and other factors: commonly used as a measure of suspended solids in a liquid.

Turbidity problem means a stream reach, lake, or other waterbody of the state that is either (1) currently designated by the state as a Category 5, 4, or 2 Waterbody due to exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for turbidity as documented in the state's latest Water Quality Assessment 303(d)/305(b) Integrated Report and as displayed in WA Ecology's electronic database[24] and map viewer[25] for these waterbodies, or (2) is currently designated by the County as a turbidity problem based on credible data indicating exceedance or concern for exceedance of the state's numeric water quality standard for turbidity as documented in the latest published list of King County-Identified WQ Problems (Reference Section 10) posted at King County's Surface Water Design Manual web page[26].

Undisturbed buffer means a zone where development activity, including logging and the construction of utility trenches, roads, and/or surface and storm water drainage facility systems, shall not occur.

Undisturbed low gradient uplands means forested land that is sufficiently large and flat to infiltrate surface and storm runoff without allowing the concentration of water on the surface of the ground.

Urban residential development means proposed plats or short plats in urban residential zoning per KCC 21.A12. These development proposals generally lie within the Urban Growth Area and create small (generally less than 10,000 square foot) lots.

Water quality facility means a drainage facility designed to mitigate the impacts of increased pollutants in stormwater runoff generated by site development. A water quality facility uses processes that

[24] Database Query Tool: https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/ApprovedWQA/ApprovedPages/ApprovedSearch.aspx

[25] Map Viewer: https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/waterqualityatlas/wqa/startpage

[26] kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021

include but are not limited to settling, filtration, adsorption, and absorption to decrease pollutant concentrations and loadings in stormwater runoff.

Water quality treatment area means a geographic area of the County within which proposed projects must comply with the water quality facility requirements adopted for that area as part of this manual. There are three such areas that comprise unincorporated King County: the Basic WQ Treatment Area, the Sensitive Lake WQ Treatment Area, and the Sphagnum Bog WQ Treatment Area. These areas are mapped on the Water Quality Applications Map adopted with this manual and found online at kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 or viewed via King County's iMap Interactive Mapping Tool at kingcounty.gov/services/gis/Maps/imap.

Watershed means the geographic region from which water drains toward a central collector such as a stream, river, lake, or salt water.

Wetpool means the volume of water more or less permanently contained in a pond or vault. The volume of water in a wetpool is normally lost only through natural processes such as evaporation, evapotranspiration, or slow infiltration into the ground.

Wetpond and **wetvault** mean drainage facilities for water quality treatment that contain a permanent pool of water. They are designed to optimize water quality by providing long retention times (on the order of a week or more) to settle out particles of fine sediment to which pollutants such as heavy metals may adsorb, and to allow biologic activity to occur that metabolizes nutrients and organic pollutants. For wetvaults, the permanent pool of water is covered by a lid which blocks sunlight from entering the facility, limiting light-dependent biologic activity.

Wetland means an area that is not an aquatic area and that is inundated or saturated by ground or surface water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances supports, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. For purposes of this definition:

- Where the vegetation has been removed or substantially altered, wetland is determined by the presence or evidence of hydric soil, by other documentation such as aerial photographs of the previous existence of wetland vegetation or by any other manner authorized in the wetland delineation manual required by RCW 36.70A.175; and
- Except for artificial features intentionally made for purposes of mitigation, wetland does not include an artificial feature made from a non-wetland area, which may include, but is not limited to a surface water conveyance for drainage or irrigation; a grass-lined swale; a canal; a flow control facility; a wastewater treatment facility; a farm pond; a wetpond; landscape amenities; or a wetland created after July 1, 1990, that was unintentionally made as a result of construction of a road, street or highway.

Wetland edge means the line delineating the outer edge of a wetland, consistent with the wetland delineation manual required by RCW 36.70A.175.

Wet Season means October 1 to April 30.

WQ means water quality.

Zero-rise floodway means the channel of a stream and that portion of the adjoining floodplain that is necessary to contain and discharge the base flood flow without measurable increase in the base flood elevation. For the purpose of this definition, "measurable increase in base flood elevation" means a calculated upward rise in the base flood elevation, equal to or greater than 0.01 foot, resulting from a comparison of existing conditions and changed conditions directly attributable to alterations of the topography or any other flow obstructions in the floodplain. The "zero rise floodway" is broader than that of the FEMA floodway but always includes the FEMA floodway. The "zero-rise floodway" includes the entire floodplain unless a critical areas report demonstrates otherwise.

Zinc is one of several heavy metals of concern in the aquatic environment, and is used as one indicator of a whole range of metals found in urban runoff.

References

APHA, AWWA, & WEF. (1992). *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater* (18th ed.): American Public Health Association.

Buckman, & Brady. (1969). *The Nature and Properties of Soils*. Canada Ltd., Toronto, Ontario: . Collier-Macmillan.

Chapman. (1965). Cation-exchange Capacity. In C. A. Black (Ed.), *Method of Soil Analysis, Part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties. Number 9 in the series Agronomy* (pp. 891-900). Madison, Wisconsin: American Society of Agronomy, Inc.

Cornell. (2007a). Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC), *Agronomy Fact Sheet Series, Fact Sheet #22*: Cornell University Cooperative Extension, Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences.

Cornell. (2007b). Estimating CEC from Cornell Soil Test Data, *Agronomy Fact Sheet Series, Fact Sheet #23*: Cornell University Cooperative Extension, Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences.

Rounds. (2006). *Alkalinity and acid neutralizing capacity, in Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations (3 ed.)* (Vol. Book 9, Chapter A6, Section 6.6): U.S. Geological Survey.

Stumm, & Morgan. (1981). *Aquatic chemistry--An introduction emphasizing chemical equilibria in natural waters (2 ed.)*: John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York, New York.

APPENDIX A

MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR FLOW CONTROL, CONVEYANCE, AND WATER QUALITY FACILITIES

This appendix contains the maintenance requirements for the following typical stormwater control and water quality facilities and components *(ctrl/click ► to follow the link)*:

- ► No. 1 – Detention Ponds (p. A-2)
- ► No. 2 – Infiltration Facilities (p. A-3)
- ► No. 3 – Detention Tanks and Vaults (p. A-5)
- ► No. 4 – Control Structure/Flow Restrictor (p. A-7)
- ► No. 5 – Catch Basins and Manholes (p. A-9)
- ► No. 6 – Conveyance Pipes and Ditches (p. A-11)
- ► No. 7 – Debris Barriers (e.g., Trash Racks) (p. A-12)
- ► No. 8 – Energy Dissipaters (p. A- 13)
- ► No. 9 – Fencing (p. A-14)
- ► No. 10 – Gates/Bollards/Access Barriers (p. A-15)
- ► No. 11 – Grounds (Landscaping) (p. A-16)
- ► No. 12 – Access Roads (p. A-17)
- ► No. 13 – Basic Bioswale (grass) (p. A-18)
- ► No. 14 – Wet Bioswale (p. A-19)
- ► No. 15 – Filter Strip (p. A-20)
- ► No. 16 – Wetpond (p. A-21)
- ► No. 17 – Wetvault (p. A-23)
- ► No. 18 – Stormwater Wetland (p. A-24)
- ► No. 19 – Sand Filter Pond (p. A-26)
- ► No. 20 – Sand Filter Vault (p. A-28)
- ► No. 21 – Stormfilter (Cartridge Type) (p. A-30)
- ► No. 22 – Baffle Oil/Water Separator (p. A-32)
- ► No. 23 – Coalescing Plate Oil/Water Separator (p. A-33)
- ► No. 24 – Catch Basin Insert (p. A-34)
- ► No. 25 – Drywell BMP (p. A-35)
- ► No. 26 – Gravel Filled Infiltration Trench BMP (p. A-35)
- ► No. 27 – Gravel Filled Dispersion Trench BMP (p. A-36)
- ► No. 28 – Native Vegetated Surface / Native Vegetated Landscape BMP (p. A-37)
- ► No. 29 – Perforated Pipe Connections BMP (p. A-37)
- ► No. 30 – Permeable Pavement BMP (p. A-38)
- ► No. 31 – Bioretention BMP (p. A-39)
- ► No. 32 – RainWater Harvesting BMP (p. A- 40)
- ► No. 33 – Rock Pad BMP (p. A-40)
- ► No. 34 – Sheet Flow BMP (p. A-40)
- ► No. 35 – Splash Block BMP (p. A-41)
- ► No. 36 – Vegetated Roof BMP (p. A-42)

NO. 1 – DETENTION PONDS

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance Is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance Is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Any trash and debris which exceed 1 cubic foot per 1,000 square feet (this is about equal to the amount of trash it would take to fill up one standard size office garbage can). In general, there should be no visual evidence of dumping.	Trash and debris cleared from site.
	Noxious weeds	Any noxious or nuisance vegetation which may constitute a hazard to County personnel or the public.	Noxious and nuisance vegetation removed according to applicable regulations. No danger of noxious vegetation where County personnel or the public might normally be.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
	Grass/groundcover	Grass or groundcover exceeds 18 inches in height.	Grass or groundcover mowed to a height no greater than 6 inches.
Top or Side Slopes of Dam, Berm or Embankment	Rodent holes	Any evidence of rodent holes if facility is acting as a dam or berm, or any evidence of water piping through dam or berm via rodent holes.	Rodents removed or destroyed and dam or berm repaired.
	Tree growth	Tree growth threatens integrity of slopes, does not allow maintenance access, or interferes with maintenance activity. If trees are not a threat or not interfering with access or maintenance, they do not need to be removed.	Trees do not hinder facility performance or maintenance activities.
	Erosion	Eroded damage over 2 inches deep where cause of damage is still present or where there is potential for continued erosion. Any erosion observed on a compacted slope.	Slopes stabilized using appropriate erosion control measures. If erosion is occurring on compacted slope, a licensed civil engineer should be consulted to resolve source of erosion.
	Settlement	Any part of a dam, berm or embankment that has settled 4 inches lower than the design elevation.	Top or side slope restored to design dimensions. If settlement is significant, a licensed civil engineer should be consulted to determine the cause of the settlement.
Storage Area	Sediment accumulation	Accumulated sediment that exceeds 10% of the designed pond depth.	Sediment cleaned out to designed pond shape and depth; pond reseeded if necessary to control erosion.
	Liner damaged (If Applicable)	Liner is visible or pond does not hold water as designed.	Liner repaired or replaced.
Inlet/Outlet Pipe.	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.
Emergency Overflow/Spillway	Tree growth	Tree growth impedes flow or threatens stability of spillway.	Trees removed.
	Rock missing	Only one layer of rock exists above native soil in area five square feet or larger or any exposure of native soil on the spillway.	Spillway restored to design standards.

NO. 2 – INFILTRATION FACILITIES

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance Is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance Is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Any trash and debris which exceed 1 cubic foot per 1,000 square feet (this is about equal to the amount of trash it would take to fill up one standard size office garbage can). In general, there should be no visual evidence of dumping.	Trash and debris cleared from site.
	Noxious weeds	Any noxious or nuisance vegetation which may constitute a hazard to County personnel or the public.	Noxious and nuisance vegetation removed according to applicable regulations. No danger of noxious vegetation where County personnel or the public might normally be.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
	Grass/groundcover	Grass or groundcover exceeds 18 inches in height.	Grass or groundcover mowed to a height no greater than 6 inches.
Infiltration Pond, Top or Side Slopes of Dam, Berm or Embankment	Rodent holes	Any evidence of rodent holes if facility is acting as a dam or berm, or any evidence of water piping through dam or berm via rodent holes.	Rodents removed or destroyed and dam or berm repaired.
	Tree growth	Tree growth threatens integrity of dams, berms or slopes, does not allow maintenance access, or interferes with maintenance activity. If trees are not a threat to dam, berm, or embankment integrity or not interfering with access or maintenance, they do not need to be removed.	Trees do not hinder facility performance or maintenance activities.
	Erosion	Eroded damage over 2 inches deep where cause of damage is still present or where there is potential for continued erosion. Any erosion observed on a compacted slope.	Slopes stabilized using appropriate erosion control measures. If erosion is occurring on compacted slope, a licensed civil engineer should be consulted to resolve source of erosion.
	Settlement	Any part of a dam, berm or embankment that has settled 4 inches lower than the design elevation.	Top or side slope restored to design dimensions. If settlement is significant, a licensed civil engineer should be consulted to determine the cause of the settlement.
Infiltration Pond, Tank, Vault, Trench, or Small Basin Storage Area	Sediment accumulation	If two inches or more sediment is present or a percolation test indicates facility is working at or less than 90% of design.	Facility infiltrates as designed.
	Liner damaged (If Applicable)	Liner is visible or pond does not hold water as designed.	Liner repaired or replaced.
Infiltration Tank Structure	Plugged air vent	Any blockage of the vent.	Tank or vault freely vents.
	Tank bent out of shape	Any part of tank/pipe is bent out of shape more than 10% of its design shape.	Tank repaired or replaced to design.
	Gaps between sections, damaged joints or cracks or tears in wall	A gap wider than ½-inch at the joint of any tank sections or any evidence of soil particles entering the tank at a joint or through a wall.	No water or soil entering tank through joints or walls.
Infiltration Vault Structure	Damage to wall, frame, bottom, and/or top slab	Cracks wider than ½-inch, any evidence of soil entering the structure through cracks or qualified inspection personnel determines that the vault is not structurally sound.	Vault is sealed and structurally sound.

NO. 2 – INFILTRATION FACILITIES

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance Is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance Is Performed
Inlet/Outlet Pipes	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.
Access Manhole	Cover/lid not in place	Cover/lid is missing or only partially in place. **Any open manhole requires immediate maintenance.**	Manhole access covered.
	Locking mechanism not working	Mechanism cannot be opened by one maintenance person with proper tools. Bolts cannot be seated. Self-locking cover/lid does not work.	Mechanism opens with proper tools.
	Cover/lid difficult to remove	One maintenance person cannot remove cover/lid after applying 80 lbs of lift.	Cover/lid can be removed and reinstalled by one maintenance person.
	Ladder rungs unsafe	Missing rungs, misalignment, rust, or cracks.	Ladder meets design standards. Allows maintenance person safe access.
Large access doors/plate	Damaged or difficult to open	Large access doors or plates cannot be opened/removed using normal equipment.	Replace or repair access door so it can opened as designed.
	Gaps, doesn't cover completely	Large access doors not flat and/or access opening not completely covered.	Doors close flat; covers access opening completely.
	Lifting Rings missing, rusted	Lifting rings not capable of lifting weight of door or plate.	Lifting rings sufficient to lift or remove door or plate.
Infiltration Pond, Tank, Vault, Trench, or Small Basin Filter Bags	Plugged	Filter bag more than $^1/_2$ full.	Replace filter bag or redesign system.
Infiltration Pond, Tank, Vault, Trench, or Small Basin Pre-settling Ponds and Vaults	Sediment accumulation	6" or more of sediment has accumulated.	Pre-settling occurs as designed
Infiltration Pond, Rock Filter	Plugged	High water level on upstream side of filter remains for extended period of time or little or no water flows through filter during heavy rain storms.	Rock filter replaced evaluate need for filter and remove if not necessary.
Infiltration Pond Emergency Overflow Spillway	Rock missing	Only one layer of rock exists above native soil in area five square feet or larger, or any exposure of native soil at the top of out flow path of spillway. Rip-rap on inside slopes need not be replaced.	Spillway restored to design standards.
	Tree growth	Tree growth impedes flow or threatens stability of spillway.	Trees removed.

NO. 3 – DETENTION TANKS AND VAULTS

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Any trash and debris which exceed 1 cubic foot per 1,000 square feet (this is about equal to the amount of trash it would take to fill up one standard size office garbage can). In general, there should be no visual evidence of dumping.	Trash and debris cleared from site.
	Noxious weeds	Any noxious or nuisance vegetation which may constitute a hazard to County personnel or the public.	Noxious and nuisance vegetation removed according to applicable regulations. No danger of noxious vegetation where County personnel or the public might normally be.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
	Grass/groundcover	Grass or groundcover exceeds 18 inches in height.	Grass or groundcover mowed to a height no greater than 6 inches.
Tank or Vault Storage Area	Trash and debris	Any trash and debris accumulated in vault or tank (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in vault.
	Sediment accumulation	Accumulated sediment depth exceeds 10% of the diameter of the storage area for ½ length of storage vault or any point depth exceeds 15% of diameter. Example: 72-inch storage tank would require cleaning when sediment reaches depth of 7 inches for more than ½ length of tank.	All sediment removed from storage area.
Tank Structure	Plugged air vent	Any blockage of the vent.	Tank or vault freely vents.
	Tank bent out of shape	Any part of tank/pipe is bent out of shape more than 10% of its design shape.	Tank repaired or replaced to design.
	Gaps between sections, damaged joints or cracks or tears in wall	A gap wider than ½-inch at the joint of any tank sections or any evidence of soil particles entering the tank at a joint or through a wall.	No water or soil entering tank through joints or walls.
Vault Structure	Damage to wall, frame, bottom, and/or top slab	Cracks wider than ½-inch, any evidence of soil entering the structure through cracks or qualified inspection personnel determines that the vault is not structurally sound.	Vault is sealed and structurally sound.
Inlet/Outlet Pipes	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.

NO. 3 – DETENTION TANKS AND VAULTS

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Access Manhole	Cover/lid not in place	Cover/lid is missing or only partially in place. **Any open manhole requires immediate maintenance.**	Manhole access covered.
	Locking mechanism not working	Mechanism cannot be opened by one maintenance person with proper tools. Bolts cannot be seated. Self-locking cover/lid does not work.	Mechanism opens with proper tools.
	Cover/lid difficult to remove	One maintenance person cannot remove cover/lid after applying 80 lbs of lift.	Cover/lid can be removed and reinstalled by one maintenance person.
	Ladder rungs unsafe	Missing rungs, misalignment, rust, or cracks.	Ladder meets design standards. Allows maintenance person safe access.
Large access doors/plate	Damaged or difficult to open	Large access doors or plates cannot be opened/removed using normal equipment.	Replace or repair access door so it can opened as designed.
	Gaps, doesn't cover completely	Large access doors not flat and/or access opening not completely covered.	Doors close flat; covers access opening completely.
	Lifting Rings missing, rusted	Lifting rings not capable of lifting weight of door or plate.	Lifting rings sufficient to lift or remove door or plate.

NO. 4 – CONTROL STRUCTURE/FLOW RESTRICTOR

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Structure	Trash and debris	Trash or debris of more than ½ cubic foot which is located immediately in front of the structure opening or is blocking capacity of the structure by more than 10%.	No Trash or debris blocking or potentially blocking entrance to structure.
		Trash or debris in the structure that exceeds $^1/_3$ the depth from the bottom of basin to invert the lowest pipe into or out of the basin.	No trash or debris in the structure.
		Deposits of garbage exceeding 1 cubic foot in volume.	No condition present which would attract or support the breeding of insects or rodents.
	Sediment	Sediment exceeds 60% of the depth from the bottom of the structure to the invert of the lowest pipe into or out of the structure or the bottom of the FROP-T section or is within 6 inches of the invert of the lowest pipe into or out of the structure or the bottom of the FROP-T section.	Sump of structure contains no sediment.
	Damage to frame and/or top slab	Corner of frame extends more than ¾ inch past curb face into the street (If applicable).	Frame is even with curb.
		Top slab has holes larger than 2 square inches or cracks wider than ¼ inch.	Top slab is free of holes and cracks.
		Frame not sitting flush on top slab, i.e., separation of more than ¾ inch of the frame from the top slab.	Frame is sitting flush on top slab.
	Cracks in walls or bottom	Cracks wider than ½ inch and longer than 3 feet, any evidence of soil particles entering structure through cracks, or maintenance person judges that structure is unsound.	Structure is sealed and structurally sound.
		Cracks wider than ½ inch and longer than 1 foot at the joint of any inlet/outlet pipe or any evidence of soil particles entering structure through cracks.	No cracks more than $^1/_4$ inch wide at the joint of inlet/outlet pipe.
	Settlement/ misalignment	Structure has settled more than 1 inch or has rotated more than 2 inches out of alignment.	Basin replaced or repaired to design standards.
	Damaged pipe joints	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering the structure at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of inlet/outlet pipes.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
	Ladder rungs missing or unsafe	Ladder is unsafe due to missing rungs, misalignment, rust, cracks, or sharp edges.	Ladder meets design standards and allows maintenance person safe access.
FROP-T Section	Damage	T section is not securely attached to structure wall and outlet pipe structure should support at least 1,000 lbs of up or down pressure.	T section securely attached to wall and outlet pipe.
		Structure is not in upright position (allow up to 10% from plumb).	Structure in correct position.
		Connections to outlet pipe are not watertight or show signs of deteriorated grout.	Connections to outlet pipe are water tight; structure repaired or replaced and works as designed.
		Any holes—other than designed holes—in the structure.	Structure has no holes other than designed holes.
Cleanout Gate	Damaged or missing	Cleanout gate is missing.	Replace cleanout gate.

NO. 4 – CONTROL STRUCTURE/FLOW RESTRICTOR

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
		Cleanout gate is not watertight.	Gate is watertight and works as designed.
		Gate cannot be moved up and down by one maintenance person.	Gate moves up and down easily and is watertight.
		Chain/rod leading to gate is missing or damaged.	Chain is in place and works as designed.
Orifice Plate	Damaged or missing	Control device is not working properly due to missing, out of place, or bent orifice plate.	Plate is in place and works as designed.
	Obstructions	Any trash, debris, sediment, or vegetation blocking the plate.	Plate is free of all obstructions and works as designed.
Overflow Pipe	Obstructions	Any trash or debris blocking (or having the potential of blocking) the overflow pipe.	Pipe is free of all obstructions and works as designed.
	Deformed or damaged lip	Lip of overflow pipe is bent or deformed.	Overflow pipe does not allow overflow at an elevation lower than design
Inlet/Outlet Pipe	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.
Metal Grates (If Applicable)	Unsafe grate opening	Grate with opening wider than $^{7}/_{8}$ inch.	Grate opening meets design standards.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris that is blocking more than 20% of grate surface.	Grate free of trash and debris.
	Damaged or missing	Grate missing or broken member(s) of the grate.	Grate is in place and meets design standards.
Manhole Cover/Lid	Cover/lid not in place	Cover/lid is missing or only partially in place. **Any open structure requires urgent maintenance**.	Cover/lid protects opening to structure.
	Locking mechanism Not Working	Mechanism cannot be opened by one maintenance person with proper tools. Bolts cannot be seated. Self-locking cover/lid does not work.	Mechanism opens with proper tools.
	Cover/lid difficult to Remove	One maintenance person cannot remove cover/lid after applying 80 lbs. of lift.	Cover/lid can be removed and reinstalled by one maintenance person.

NO. 5 – CATCH BASINS AND MANHOLES

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Structure	Sediment	Sediment exceeds 60% of the depth from the bottom of the catch basin to the invert of the lowest pipe into or out of the catch basin or is within 6 inches of the invert of the lowest pipe into or out of the catch basin.	Sump of catch basin contains no sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash or debris of more than ½ cubic foot which is located immediately in front of the catch basin opening or is blocking capacity of the catch basin by more than 10%.	No Trash or debris blocking or potentially blocking entrance to catch basin.
		Trash or debris in the catch basin that exceeds $^1/_3$ the depth from the bottom of basin to invert the lowest pipe into or out of the basin.	No trash or debris in the catch basin.
		Dead animals or vegetation that could generate odors that could cause complaints or dangerous gases (e.g., methane).	No dead animals or vegetation present within catch basin.
		Deposits of garbage exceeding 1 cubic foot in volume.	No condition present which would attract or support the breeding of insects or rodents.
	Damage to frame and/or top slab	Corner of frame extends more than ¾ inch past curb face into the street (If applicable).	Frame is even with curb.
		Top slab has holes larger than 2 square inches or cracks wider than ¼ inch.	Top slab is free of holes and cracks.
		Frame not sitting flush on top slab, i.e., separation of more than ¾ inch of the frame from the top slab.	Frame is sitting flush on top slab.
	Cracks in walls or bottom	Cracks wider than ½ inch and longer than 3 feet, any evidence of soil particles entering catch basin through cracks, or maintenance person judges that catch basin is unsound.	Catch basin is sealed and is structurally sound.
		Cracks wider than ½ inch and longer than 1 foot at the joint of any inlet/outlet pipe or any evidence of soil particles entering catch basin through cracks.	No cracks more than $^1/_4$ inch wide at the joint of inlet/outlet pipe.
	Settlement/ misalignment	Catch basin has settled more than 1 inch or has rotated more than 2 inches out of alignment.	Basin replaced or repaired to design standards.
	Damaged pipe joints	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering the catch basin at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of inlet/outlet pipes.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
Inlet/Outlet Pipe	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.

NO. 5 – CATCH BASINS AND MANHOLES

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Metal Grates (Catch Basins)	Unsafe grate opening	Grate with opening wider than $^{7}/_{8}$ inch.	Grate opening meets design standards.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris that is blocking more than 20% of grate surface.	Grate free of trash and debris.
	Damaged or missing	Grate missing or broken member(s) of the grate. **Any open structure requires urgent maintenance**.	Grate is in place and meets design standards.
Manhole Cover/Lid	Cover/lid not in place	Cover/lid is missing or only partially in place. **Any open structure requires urgent maintenance**.	Cover/lid protects opening to structure.
	Locking mechanism Not Working	Mechanism cannot be opened by one maintenance person with proper tools. Bolts cannot be seated. Self-locking cover/lid does not work.	Mechanism opens with proper tools.
	Cover/lid difficult to Remove	One maintenance person cannot remove cover/lid after applying 80 lbs. of lift.	Cover/lid can be removed and reinstalled by one maintenance person.

NO. 6 – CONVEYANCE PIPES AND DITCHES

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Pipes	Sediment & debris accumulation	Accumulated sediment or debris that exceeds 20% of the diameter of the pipe.	Water flows freely through pipes.
	Vegetation/roots	Vegetation/roots that reduce free movement of water through pipes.	Water flows freely through pipes.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
	Damage to protective coating or corrosion	Protective coating is damaged; rust or corrosion is weakening the structural integrity of any part of pipe.	Pipe repaired or replaced.
	Damaged	Any dent that decreases the cross section area of pipe by more than 20% or is determined to have weakened structural integrity of the pipe.	Pipe repaired or replaced.
Ditches	Trash and debris	Trash and debris exceeds 1 cubic foot per 1,000 square feet of ditch and slopes.	Trash and debris cleared from ditches.
	Sediment accumulation	Accumulated sediment that exceeds 20% of the design depth.	Ditch cleaned/flushed of all sediment and debris so that it matches design.
	Noxious weeds	Any noxious or nuisance vegetation which may constitute a hazard to County personnel or the public.	Noxious and nuisance vegetation removed according to applicable regulations. No danger of noxious vegetation where County personnel or the public might normally be.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
	Vegetation	Vegetation that reduces free movement of water through ditches.	Water flows freely through ditches.
	Erosion damage to slopes	Any erosion observed on a ditch slope.	Slopes are not eroding.
	Rock lining out of place or missing (If Applicable)	One layer or less of rock exists above native soil area 5 square feet or more, any exposed native soil.	Replace rocks to design standards.

NO. 7 – DEBRIS BARRIERS (E.G., TRASH RACKS)

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed.
Site	Trash and debris	Trash or debris plugging more than 20% of the area of the barrier.	Barrier clear to receive capacity flow.
	Sediment accumulation	Sediment accumulation of greater than 20% of the area of the barrier	Barrier clear to receive capacity flow.
Structure	Cracked broken or loose	Structure which bars attached to is damaged - pipe is loose or cracked or concrete structure is cracked, broken of loose.	Structure barrier attached to is sound.
Bars	Bar spacing	Bar spacing exceeds 6 inches.	Bars have at most 6 inches spacing.
	Damaged or missing bars	Bars are bent out of shape more than 3 inches.	Bars in place with no bends more than ¾ inch.
		Bars are missing or entire barrier missing.	Bars in place according to design.
		Bars are loose and rust is causing 50% deterioration to any part of barrier.	Repair or replace barrier to design standards.

NO. 8 – ENERGY DISSIPATERS

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed.
Site	Trash and debris	Trash and/or debris accumulation.	Dissipater clear of trash and/or debris.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
Rock Pad	Missing or moved Rock	Only one layer of rock exists above native soil in area five square feet or larger or any exposure of native soil.	Rock pad prevents erosion.
Dispersion Trench	Pipe plugged with sediment	Accumulated sediment that exceeds 20% of the design depth.	Pipe cleaned/flushed so that it matches design.
	Not discharging water properly	Visual evidence of water discharging at concentrated points along trench (normal condition is a “sheet flow” of water along trench).	Water discharges from feature by sheet flow.
	Perforations plugged.	Over 1/4 of perforations in pipe are plugged with debris or sediment.	Perforations freely discharge flow.
	Water flows out top of “distributor” catch basin.	Water flows out of distributor catch basin during any storm less than the design storm.	No flow discharges from distributor catch basin.
	Receiving area over-saturated	Water in receiving area is causing or has potential of causing landslide problems.	No danger of landslides.
Gabions	Damaged mesh	Mesh of gabion broken, twisted or deformed so structure is weakened or rock may fall out.	Mesh is intact, no rock missing.
	Corrosion	Gabion mesh shows corrosion through more than ¼ of its gage.	All gabion mesh capable of containing rock and retaining designed form.
	Collapsed or deformed baskets	Gabion basket shape deformed due to any cause.	All gabion baskets intact, structure stands as designed.
	Missing rock	Any rock missing that could cause gabion to loose structural integrity.	No rock missing.
Manhole/Chamber	Worn or damaged post, baffles or side of chamber	Structure dissipating flow deteriorates to ½ or original size or any concentrated worn spot exceeding one square foot which would make structure unsound.	Structure is in no danger of failing.
	Damage to wall, frame, bottom, and/or top slab	Cracks wider than ½-inch or any evidence of soil entering the structure through cracks, or maintenance inspection personnel determines that the structure is not structurally sound.	Manhole/chamber is sealed and structurally sound.
	Damaged pipe joints	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering the structure at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No soil or water enters and no water discharges at the joint of inlet/outlet pipes.

NO. 9 – FENCING

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Site	Erosion or holes under fence	Erosion or holes more than 4 inches high and 12-18 inches wide permitting access through an opening under a fence.	No access under the fence.
Wood Posts, Boards and Cross Members	Missing or damaged parts	Missing or broken boards, post out of plumb by more than 6 inches or cross members broken	No gaps on fence due to missing or broken boards, post plumb to within 1½ inches, cross members sound.
	Weakened by rotting or insects	Any part showing structural deterioration due to rotting or insect damage	All parts of fence are structurally sound.
	Damaged or failed post foundation	Concrete or metal attachments deteriorated or unable to support posts.	Post foundation capable of supporting posts even in strong wind.
Metal Posts, Rails and Fabric	Damaged parts	Post out of plumb more than 6 inches.	Post plumb to within 1½ inches.
		Top rails bent more than 6 inches.	Top rail free of bends greater than 1 inch.
		Any part of fence (including post, top rails, and fabric) more than 1 foot out of design alignment.	Fence is aligned and meets design standards.
		Missing or loose tension wire.	Tension wire in place and holding fabric.
	Deteriorated paint or protective coating	Part or parts that have a rusting or scaling condition that has affected structural adequacy.	Structurally adequate posts or parts with a uniform protective coating.
	Openings in fabric	Openings in fabric are such that an 8-inch diameter ball could fit through.	Fabric mesh openings within 50% of grid size.

NO. 10 – GATES/BOLLARDS/ACCESS BARRIERS

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Chain Link Fencing Gate	Damaged or missing members	Missing gate.	Gates in place.
		Broken or missing hinges such that gate cannot be easily opened and closed by a maintenance person.	Hinges intact and lubed. Gate is working freely.
		Gate is out of plumb more than 6 inches and more than 1 foot out of design alignment.	Gate is aligned and vertical.
		Missing stretcher bar, stretcher bands, and ties.	Stretcher bar, bands, and ties in place.
	Locking mechanism does not lock gate	Locking device missing, non-functioning or does not link to all parts.	Locking mechanism prevents opening of gate.
	Openings in fabric	Openings in fabric are such that an 8-inch diameter ball could fit through.	Fabric mesh openings within 50% of grid size.
Bar Gate	Damaged or missing cross bar	Cross bar does not swing open or closed, is missing or is bent to where it does not prevent vehicle access.	Cross bar swings fully open and closed and prevents vehicle access.
	Locking mechanism does not lock gate	Locking device missing, non-functioning or does not link to all parts.	Locking mechanism prevents opening of gate.
	Support post damaged	Support post does not hold cross bar up.	Cross bar held up preventing vehicle access into facility.
Bollards	Damaged or missing	Bollard broken, missing, does not fit into support hole or hinge broken or missing.	No access for motorized vehicles to get into facility.
	Does not lock	Locking assembly or lock missing or cannot be attached to lock bollard in place.	No access for motorized vehicles to get into facility.
Boulders	Dislodged	Boulders not located to prevent motorized vehicle access.	No access for motorized vehicles to get into facility.
	Circumvented	Motorized vehicles going around or between boulders.	No access for motorized vehicles to get into facility.

NO. 11 – GROUNDS (LANDSCAPING)

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Site	Trash or litter	Any trash and debris which exceed 1 cubic foot per 1,000 square feet (this is about equal to the amount of trash it would take to fill up one standard size office garbage can). In general, there should be no visual evidence of dumping.	Trash and debris cleared from site.
	Noxious weeds	Any noxious or nuisance vegetation which may constitute a hazard to County personnel or the public.	Noxious and nuisance vegetation removed according to applicable regulations. No danger of noxious vegetation where County personnel or the public might normally be.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
	Grass/groundcover	Grass or groundcover exceeds 18 inches in height.	Grass or groundcover mowed to a height no greater than 6 inches.
Trees and Shrubs	Hazard	Any tree or limb of a tree identified as having a potential to fall and cause property damage or threaten human life. **A hazard tree identified by a qualified arborist must be removed as soon as possible.**	No hazard trees in facility.
	Damaged	Limbs or parts of trees or shrubs that are split or broken which affect more than 25% of the total foliage of the tree or shrub.	Trees and shrubs with less than 5% of total foliage with split or broken limbs.
		Trees or shrubs that have been blown down or knocked over.	No blown down vegetation or knocked over vegetation. Trees or shrubs free of injury.
		Trees or shrubs which are not adequately supported or are leaning over, causing exposure of the roots.	Tree or shrub in place and adequately supported; dead or diseased trees removed.

NO. 12 – ACCESS ROADS

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Trash and debris exceeds 1 cubic foot per 1,000 square feet (i.e., trash and debris would fill up one standards size garbage can).	Roadway drivable by maintenance vehicles.
		Debris which could damage vehicle tires or prohibit use of road.	Roadway drivable by maintenance vehicles.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
	Blocked roadway	Any obstruction which reduces clearance above road surface to less than 14 feet.	Roadway overhead clear to 14 feet high.
		Any obstruction restricting the access to a 10- to 12 foot width for a distance of more than 12 feet or any point restricting access to less than a 10 foot width.	At least 12-foot of width on access road.
Road Surface	Erosion, settlement, potholes, soft spots, ruts	Any surface defect which hinders or prevents maintenance access.	Road drivable by maintenance vehicles.
	Vegetation on road surface	Trees or other vegetation prevent access to facility by maintenance vehicles.	Maintenance vehicles can access facility.
Shoulders and Ditches	Erosion	Erosion within 1 foot of the roadway more than 8 inches wide and 6 inches deep.	Shoulder free of erosion and matching the surrounding road.
	Weeds and brush	Weeds and brush exceed 18 inches in height or hinder maintenance access.	Weeds and brush cut to 2 inches in height or cleared in such a way as to allow maintenance access.
Modular Grid Pavement	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
	Damaged or missing	Access surface compacted because of broken on missing modular block.	Access road surface restored so road infiltrates.

NO. 13 – BASIC BIOSWALE (GRASS)

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Any trash and/or debris accumulated on the bioswale site.	No trash or debris on the bioswale site.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
Swale Section	Sediment accumulation	Sediment depth exceeds 2 inches in 10% of the swale treatment area.	No sediment deposits in grass treatment area of the bioswale.
		Sediment inhibits grass growth over 10% of swale length.	Grass growth not inhibited by sediment.
		Sediment inhibits even spreading of flow.	Flow spreads evenly through swale
	Erosion/scouring	Eroded or scoured swale bottom due to channelization or high flows.	No eroded or scoured areas in bioswale. Cause of erosion or scour addressed.
	Poor vegetation coverage	Grass is sparse or bare or eroded patches occur in more than 10% of the swale bottom.	Swale has no bare spots and grass is thick and healthy.
	Grass too tall	Grass excessively tall (greater than 10 inches), grass is thin or nuisance weeds and other vegetation have taken over.	Grass is between 3 and 4 inches tall, thick and healthy. No clippings left in swale. No nuisance vegetation present.
	Excessive shade	Grass growth is poor because sunlight does not reach swale.	Health grass growth or swale converted to a wet bioswale.
	Constant baseflow	Continuous flow through the swale, even when it has been dry for weeks or an eroded, muddy channel has formed in the swale bottom.	Baseflow removed from swale by a low-flow pea-gravel drain or bypassed around the swale.
	Standing water	Water pools in the swale between storms or does not drain freely.	Swale freely drains and there is no standing water in swale between storms.
	Channelization	Flow concentrates and erodes channel through swale.	No flow channels in swale.
Flow Spreader	Concentrated flow	Flow from spreader not uniformly distributed across entire swale width.	Flows are spread evenly over entire swale width.
Inlet/Outlet Pipe	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.

NO. 14 – WET BIOSWALE

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance Is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Any trash and/or debris accumulated at the site.	No trash or debris at the site.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
Swale Section	Sediment accumulation	Sediment depth exceeds 2 inches in 10% of the swale treatment area.	No sediment deposits in treatment area.
	Erosion/scouring	Eroded or scoured swale bottom due to channelization or high flows.	No eroded or scoured areas in bioswale. Cause of erosion or scour addressed.
	Water depth	Water not retained to a depth of about 4 inches during the wet season.	Water depth of 4 inches through out swale for most of wet season.
	Vegetation ineffective	Vegetation sparse, does not provide adequate filtration or crowded out by very dense clumps of cattail or nuisance vegetation.	Wetland vegetation fully covers bottom of swale and no cattails or nuisance vegetation present.
	Insufficient water	Wetland vegetation dies due to lack of water.	Wetland vegetation remains healthy (may require converting to grass lined bioswale
Flow Spreader	Concentrated flow	Flow from spreader not uniformly distributed across entire swale width.	Flows are spread evenly over entire swale width.
Inlet/Outlet Pipe	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.

NO. 15 – FILTER STRIP

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance Is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Any trash and debris accumulated on the filter strip site.	Filter strip site free of any trash or debris
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
Grass Strip	Sediment accumulation	Sediment accumulation on grass exceeds 2 inches depth.	No sediment deposits in treatment area.
	Erosion/scouring	Eroded or scoured swale bottom due to channelization or high flows.	No eroded or scoured areas in bioswale. Cause of erosion or scour addressed.
	Grass too tall	Grass excessively tall (greater than 10 inches), grass is thin or nuisance weeds and other vegetation have taken over.	Grass is between 3 and 4 inches tall, thick and healthy. No clippings left in swale. No nuisance vegetation present.
	Vegetation ineffective	Grass has died out, become excessively tall (greater than 10 inches) or nuisance vegetation is taking over.	Grass is healthy, less than 9 inches high and no nuisance vegetation present.
Flow Spreader	Concentrated flow	Flow from spreader not uniformly distributed across entire swale width.	Flows are spread evenly over entire swale width.
Inlet/Outlet Pipe	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.

NO. 16 – WETPOND

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance Is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Any trash and debris accumulated on the wetpond site.	Wetpond site free of any trash or debris.
	Noxious weeds	Any noxious or nuisance vegetation which may constitute a hazard to County personnel or the public.	Noxious and nuisance vegetation removed according to applicable regulations. No danger of noxious vegetation where County personnel or the public might normally be.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
	Grass/groundcover	Grass or groundcover exceeds 18 inches in height.	Grass or groundcover mowed to a height no greater than 6 inches.
Side Slopes of Dam, Berm, internal berm or Embankment	Rodent holes	Any evidence of rodent holes if facility is acting as a dam or berm, or any evidence of water piping through dam or berm via rodent holes.	Rodents removed or destroyed and dam or berm repaired.
	Tree growth	Tree growth threatens integrity of dams, berms or slopes, does not allow maintenance access, or interferes with maintenance activity. If trees are not a threat to dam, berm or embankment integrity, are not interfering with access or maintenance or leaves do not cause a plugging problem they do not need to be removed.	Trees do not hinder facility performance or maintenance activities.
	Erosion	Eroded damage over 2 inches deep where cause of damage is still present or where there is potential for continued erosion. Any erosion observed on a compacted slope.	Slopes stabilized using appropriate erosion control measures. If erosion is occurring on compacted slope, a licensed civil engineer should be consulted to resolve source of erosion.
Top or Side Slopes of Dam, Berm, internal berm or Embankment	Settlement	Any part of a dam, berm or embankment that has settled 4 inches lower than the design elevation.	Top or side slope restored to design dimensions. If settlement is significant, a licensed civil engineer should be consulted to determine the cause of the settlement.
	Irregular surface on internal berm	Top of berm not uniform and level.	Top of berm graded to design elevation.
Pond Areas	Sediment accumulation (except first wetpool cell)	Accumulated sediment that exceeds 10% of the designed pond depth.	Sediment cleaned out to designed pond shape and depth.
	Sediment accumulation (first wetpool cell)	Sediment accumulations in pond bottom that exceeds the depth of sediment storage (1 foot) plus 6 inches.	Sediment storage contains no sediment.
	Liner damaged (If Applicable)	Liner is visible or pond does not hold water as designed.	Liner repaired or replaced.
	Water level (all wetpool cells)	Cell level(s) drops more than 12 inches in any 7-day period.	Cell level(s) drops less than 12 inches in any 7-day period.
	Algae mats (first wetpool cell)	Algae mats develop over more than 10% of the water surface should be removed.	Algae mats removed (usually in the late summer before Fall rains, especially in Sensitive Lake Protection Areas.)

NO. 16 – WETPOND

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance Is Performed
	Design planting and vegetation survival and maintenance	Sparse or dying design planting, or when design plantings are not thriving across 80% or more of the design vegetated areas within the pond; invasive vegetation e.g., cattails	Design plantings and vegetation are thriving and appropriately spaced across 80% or more of the design vegetated areas within the pond; invasives removed including root clumps
Gravity Drain	Inoperable valve	Valve will not open and close.	Valve opens and closes normally.
	Valve won't seal	Valve does not seal completely.	Valve completely seals closed.
Emergency Overflow Spillway	Tree growth	Tree growth impedes flow or threatens stability of spillway.	Trees removed.
	Rock missing	Only one layer of rock exists above native soil in area five square feet or larger, or any exposure of native soil at the top of out flow path of spillway. Rip-rap on inside slopes need not be replaced.	Spillway restored to design standards.
Inlet/Outlet Pipe	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.

NO. 17 – WETVAULT

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated on facility site.	Trash and debris removed from facility site.
Treatment Area	Trash and debris	Any trash and debris accumulated in vault (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in vault.
	Sediment accumulation	Sediment accumulation in vault bottom exceeds the depth of the sediment zone plus 6 inches.	No sediment in vault.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
Vault Structure	Damage to wall, frame, bottom, and/or top slab	Cracks wider than ½-inch, any evidence of soil entering the structure through cracks, vault does not retain water or qualified inspection personnel determines that the vault is not structurally sound.	Vault is sealed and structurally sound.
	Baffles damaged	Baffles corroding, cracking, warping and/or showing signs of failure or baffle cannot be removed.	Repair or replace baffles or walls to specifications.
	Ventilation	Ventilation area blocked or plugged.	No reduction of ventilation area exists.
Inlet/Outlet Pipe	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.
Gravity Drain	Inoperable valve	Valve will not open and close.	Valve opens and closes normally.
	Valve won't seal	Valve does not seal completely.	Valve completely seals closed.
Access Manhole	Access cover/lid damaged or difficult to open	Access cover/lid cannot be easily opened by one person. Corrosion/deformation of cover/lid.	Access cover/lid can be opened by one person.
	Locking mechanism not working	Mechanism cannot be opened by one maintenance person with proper tools. Bolts cannot be seated. Self-locking cover/lid does not work.	Mechanism opens with proper tools.
	Cover/lid difficult to remove	One maintenance person cannot remove cover/lid after applying 80 lbs of lift.	Cover/lid can be removed and reinstalled by one maintenance person.
	Access doors/plate has gaps, doesn't cover completely	Large access doors not flat and/or access opening not completely covered.	Doors close flat; covers access opening completely.
	Lifting Rings missing, rusted	Lifting rings not capable of lifting weight of door or plate.	Lifting rings sufficient to lift or remove door or plate.
	Ladder rungs unsafe	Missing rungs, misalignment, rust, or cracks.	Ladder meets design standards. Allows maintenance person safe access.

NO. 18 – STORMWATER WETLAND

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance Is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated on facility site.	Trash and debris removed from facility site.
	Noxious weeds	Any noxious or nuisance vegetation which may constitute a hazard to County personnel or the public.	Noxious and nuisance vegetation removed according to applicable regulations. No danger of noxious vegetation where County personnel or the public might normally be.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
	Grass/groundcover	Grass or groundcover exceeds 18 inches in height.	Grass or groundcover mowed to a height no greater than 6 inches.
Side Slopes of Dam, Berm, internal berm or Embankment	Rodent holes	Any evidence of rodent holes if facility is acting as a dam or berm, or any evidence of water piping through dam or berm via rodent holes.	Rodents removed or destroyed and dam or berm repaired.
	Tree growth	Tree growth threatens integrity of dams, berms or slopes, does not allow maintenance access, or interferes with maintenance activity. If trees are not a threat to dam, berm, or embankment integrity or not interfering with access or maintenance, they do not need to be removed.	Trees do not hinder facility performance or maintenance activities.
	Erosion	Eroded damage over 2 inches deep where cause of damage is still present or where there is potential for continued erosion. Any erosion observed on a compacted slope.	Slopes stabilized using appropriate erosion control measures. If erosion is occurring on compacted slope, a licensed civil engineer should be consulted to resolve source of erosion.
Top or Side Slopes of Dam, Berm, internal berm or Embankment	Settlement	Any part of a dam, berm or embankment that has settled 4 inches lower than the design elevation.	Top or side slope restored to design dimensions. If settlement is significant, a licensed civil engineer should be consulted to determine the cause of the settlement.
	Irregular surface on internal berm	Top of berm not uniform and level.	Top of berm graded flat to design elevation.
Pond Areas	Sediment accumulation (first cell/forebay)	Sediment accumulations in pond bottom that exceeds the depth of sediment storage (1 foot) plus 6 inches.	Sediment storage contains no sediment.
	Sediment accumulation (wetland cell)	Accumulated sediment that exceeds 10% of the designed pond depth.	Sediment cleaned out to designed pond shape and depth.
	Liner damaged (If Applicable)	Liner is visible or pond does not hold water as designed.	Liner repaired or replaced.
	Water level (first cell/forebay)	Cell level drops more than 12 inches in any 7-day period.	Cell level drops no more than 12 inches in any 7-day period.
	Water level (wetland cell)	Cell does not retain water for at least 10 months of the year or wetland plants are not surviving.	Water retained at least 10 months of the year or wetland plants are surviving.
	Algae mats (first cell/forebay)	Algae mats develop over more than 10% of the water surface should be removed.	Algae mats removed (usually in the late summer before Fall rains, especially in Sensitive Lake Protection Areas.)

NO. 18 – STORMWATER WETLAND

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance Is Performed
	Vegetation	Vegetation dead, dying, or overgrown (cattails) or not meeting original planting specifications across more than 20% of the entire design vegetated area within the pond.	Plants in wetland cell surviving across 80% or more of the entire design vegetated area within the pond and not interfering with wetland function.
Gravity Drain	Inoperable valve	Valve will not open and close.	Valve opens and closes normally.
	Valve won't seal	Valve does not seal completely.	Valve completely seals closed.
Emergency Overflow Spillway	Tree growth	Tree growth impedes flow or threatens stability of spillway.	Trees removed.
	Rock missing	Only one layer of rock exists above native soil in area five square feet or larger, or any exposure of native soil at the top of out flow path of spillway. Rip-rap on inside slopes need not be replaced.	Spillway restored to design standards.
Inlet/Outlet Pipe	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.

NO. 19 – SAND FILTER POND

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance Is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated on facility site.	Trash and debris removed from facility site.
	Noxious weeds	Any noxious or nuisance vegetation which may constitute a hazard to County personnel or the public.	Noxious and nuisance vegetation removed according to applicable regulations. No danger of noxious vegetation where County personnel or the public might normally be.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
	Grass/groundcover (not in the treatment area)	Grass or groundcover exceeds 18 inches in height.	Grass or groundcover mowed to a height no greater than 6 inches.
Pre-Treatment (if applicable)	Sediment accumulation	Sediment accumulations in pond bottom that exceeds the depth of sediment storage (1 foot) plus 6 inches.	Sediment storage contains no sediment.
	Liner damaged (If Applicable)	Liner is visible or pond does not hold water as designed.	Liner repaired or replaced.
	Water level	Cell empty, doesn't hold water.	Water retained in first cell for most of the year.
	Algae mats	Algae mats develop over more than 10% of the water surface should be removed.	Algae mats removed (usually in the late summer before Fall rains, especially in Sensitive Lake Protection Areas.)
Pond Area	Sediment accumulation	Sediment or crust depth exceeds ½-inch over 10 % of surface area of sand filter.	No sediment or crust deposit on sand filter that would impede permeability of the filter section.
	Grass (if applicable)	Grass becomes excessively tall (greater than 6 inches) or when nuisance weeds and other vegetation start to take over or thatch build up occurs.	Mow vegetation and/or remove nuisance vegetation.
Side Slopes of Pond	Rodent holes	Any evidence of rodent holes if facility is acting as a dam or berm, or any evidence of water piping through dam or berm via rodent holes.	Rodents removed or destroyed and dam or berm repaired.
	Tree growth	Tree growth threatens integrity of dams, berms or slopes, does not allow maintenance access, or interferes with maintenance activity. If trees are not a threat to dam, berm, or embankment integrity or not interfering with access or maintenance, they do not need to be removed.	Trees do not hinder facility performance or maintenance activities.
	Erosion	Eroded damage over 2 inches deep where cause of damage is still present or where there is potential for continued erosion. Any erosion observed on a compacted slope.	Slopes stabilized using appropriate erosion control measures. If erosion is occurring on compacted slope, a licensed civil engineer should be consulted to resolve source of erosion.

NO. 19 – SAND FILTER POND

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance Is Performed
Sand Filter Media	Plugging	Drawdown of water through the sand filter media, takes longer than 24 hours, and/or flow through the overflow pipes occurs frequently. A sieve analysis of >4% -100 or >2% -200 requires replacing sand filter media.	Sand filter media surface is aerated or the surface is scraped and replaced, and drawdown rate is normal.
	Prolonged flows	Sand is saturated for prolonged periods of time (several weeks) and does not dry out between storms due to continuous base flow or prolonged flows from detention facilities.	Excess flows bypassed or confined to small portion of filter media surface.
	Short circuiting	Flows become concentrated over one section of the sand filter rather than dispersed or drawdown rate of pool exceeds 12 inches per hour.	Flow and percolation of water through the sand filter is uniform and dispersed across the entire filter area and drawdown rate is normal.
	Media thickness	Sand thickness is less than 18 inches.	Rebuild sand thickness to a minimum of 18 inches.
Underdrains and Clean-Outs	Sediment/debris	Underdrains or clean-outs partially plugged or filled with sediment and/or debris. Junction box/cleanout wyes not watertight.	Underdrains and clean-outs free of sediment and debris and are watertight.
Inlet/Outlet Pipe	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.
Rock Pad	Missing or out of place	Only one layer of rock exists above native soil in area five square feet or larger, or any exposure of native soil.	Rock pad restored to design standards.
Flow spreader	Concentrated flow	Flow from spreader not uniformly distributed across sand filter.	Flows spread evenly over sand filter.

NO. 20 – SAND FILTER VAULT

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated on facility site.	Trash and debris removed from facility site.
	Noxious weeds	Any noxious or nuisance vegetation which may constitute a hazard to County personnel or the public.	Noxious and nuisance vegetation removed according to applicable regulations. No danger of noxious vegetation where County personnel or the public might normally be.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oil, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
	Grass/groundcover	Grass or groundcover exceeds 18 inches in height.	Grass or groundcover mowed to a height no greater than 6 inches.
Pre-Treatment Chamber	Sediment accumulation	Sediment accumulation exceeds the depth of the sediment zone plus 6 inches.	Sediment storage contains no sediment.
Sand Filter Media	Sediment accumulation	Sediment depth exceeds ½-inch on sand filter media.	Sand filter freely drains at normal rate.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in vault (floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in vault.
	Plugging	Drawdown of water through the sand filter media, takes longer than 24 hours, and/or flow through the overflow pipes occurs frequently. A sieve analysis of >4% -100 or >2% -200 requires replacing sand filter media.	Sand filter media drawdown rate is normal.
	Short circuiting	Seepage or flow occurs along the vault walls and corners. Sand eroding near inflow area. Cleanout wyes are not watertight.	Sand filter media section re-laid and compacted along perimeter of vault to form a semi-seal. Erosion protection added to dissipate force of incoming flow and curtail erosion.
Vault Structure	Damaged to walls, frame, bottom and/or top slab.	Cracks wider than ½-inch, any evidence of soil entering the structure through cracks or qualified inspection personnel determines that the vault is not structurally sound.	Vault replaced or repaired to provide complete sealing of the structure.
	Ventilation	Ventilation area blocked or plugged.	No reduction of ventilation area exists.
Underdrains and Cleanouts	Sediment/debris	Underdrains or clean-outs partially plugged, filled with sediment and/or debris or not watertight.	Underdrains and clean-outs free of sediment and debris and sealed.
Inlet/Outlet Pipe	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.

NO. 20 – SAND FILTER VAULT

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Access Manhole	Cover/lid not in place	Cover/lid is missing or only partially in place. Any open manhole requires immediate maintenance.	Manhole access covered.
	Locking mechanism not working	Mechanism cannot be opened by one maintenance person with proper tools. Bolts cannot be seated. Self-locking cover/lid does not work.	Mechanism opens with proper tools.
	Cover/lid difficult to remove	One maintenance person cannot remove cover/lid after applying 80 lbs of lift.	Cover/lid can be removed and reinstalled by one maintenance person.
	Ladder rungs unsafe	Missing rungs, misalignment, rust, or cracks.	Ladder meets design standards. Allows maintenance person safe access.
Large access doors/plate	Damaged or difficult to open	Large access doors or plates cannot be opened/removed using normal equipment.	Replace or repair access door so it can opened as designed.
	Gaps, doesn't cover completely	Large access doors not flat and/or access opening not completely covered.	Doors close flat; covers access opening completely.
	Lifting Rings missing, rusted	Lifting rings not capable of lifting weight of door or plate.	Lifting rings sufficient to lift or remove door or plate.

NO. 21 – STORMFILTER (CARTRIDGE TYPE)

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Facility	Documentation	Update facility inspection record after each inspection.	Maintenance records are up to date.
		Provide certification of replaced filter media.	Filter media is certified to meet Stormfilter® specifications.
Site	Trash and debris	Any trash or debris which impairs the function of the facility.	Trash and debris removed from facility.
	Contaminants and pollution	Any evidence of contaminants or pollution such as oils, gasoline, concrete slurries or paint.	Materials removed and disposed of according to applicable regulations. Source control BMPs implemented if appropriate. No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
	Life cycle	System has not been inspected for three years.	Facility is re-inspected and any needed maintenance performed.
Vault Treatment Area	Sediment on vault floor	Greater than 2 inches of sediment.	Vault is free of sediment.
	Sediment on top of cartridges	Greater than ½ inch of sediment.	Vault is free of sediment.
	Multiple scum lines above top of cartridges	Thick or multiple scum lines above top of cartridges. Probably due to plugged canisters or underdrain manifold.	Cause of plugging corrected, canisters replaced if necessary.
Vault Structure	Damage to wall, Frame, Bottom, and/or Top Slab	Cracks wider than ½-inch and any evidence of soil particles entering the structure through the cracks, or qualified inspection personnel determines the vault is not structurally sound.	Vault replaced or repaired to design specifications.
	Baffles damaged	Baffles corroding, cracking warping, and/or showing signs of failure as determined by maintenance/inspection person.	Repair or replace baffles to specification.
Filter Media	Standing water in vault	9 inches or greater of static water in the vault for more than 24 hours following a rain event and/or overflow occurs frequently. Probably due to plugged filter media, underdrain or outlet pipe.	No standing water in vault 24 hours after a rain event.
	Short circuiting	Flows do not properly enter filter cartridges.	Flows go through filter media.
Underdrains and Clean-Outs	Sediment/debris	Underdrains or clean-outs partially plugged or filled with sediment and/or debris.	Underdrains and clean-outs free of sediment and debris.
Inlet/Outlet Pipe	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.
Access Manhole	Cover/lid not in place	Cover/lid is missing or only partially in place. **Any open manhole requires immediate maintenance.**	Manhole access covered.
	Locking mechanism not working	Mechanism cannot be opened by one maintenance person with proper tools. Bolts cannot be seated. Self-locking cover/lid does not work.	Mechanism opens with proper tools.
	Cover/lid difficult to remove	One maintenance person cannot remove cover/lid after applying 80 lbs of lift.	Cover/lid can be removed and reinstalled by one maintenance person.

NO. 21 – STORMFILTER (CARTRIDGE TYPE)

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
	Ladder rungs unsafe	Missing rungs, misalignment, rust, or cracks.	Ladder meets design standards. Allows maintenance person safe access.
Large access doors/plate	Damaged or difficult to open	Large access doors or plates cannot be opened/removed using normal equipment.	Replace or repair access door so it can opened as designed.
	Gaps, doesn't cover completely	Large access doors not flat and/or access opening not completely covered.	Doors close flat and cover access opening completely.
	Lifting Rings missing, rusted	Lifting rings not capable of lifting weight of door or plate.	Lifting rings sufficient to lift or remove door or plate.
Inspection	Frequency	Maintenance conditions are site-specific, depending on pollutant loading. FIRST YEAR POST CONSTRUCTION: Monthly during wet season, every other month during dry season FOLLOWING FIRST YEAR: Continue monthly until site-specific frequency is established, then follow that schedule AT A MINIMUM, FOLLOWING FIRST YEAR: Annually (or quarterly if used as primary treatment) and following significant storms.	Inspect Stormfilter facility for any maintenance deficiencies; maintain or replace as required per established site-specific schedule and manufacturer's requirements.

NO. 22 – BAFFLE OIL/WATER SEPARATOR

Maintenance Component	Defect	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Any trash or debris which impairs the function of the facility.	Trash and debris removed from facility.
	Contaminants and pollution	Floating oil in excess of 1 inch in first chamber, any oil in other chambers or other contaminants of any type in any chamber.	No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
Vault Treatment Area	Sediment accumulation	Sediment accumulates exceeds 6 inches in the vault.	No sediment in the vault.
	Discharge water not clear	Inspection of discharge water shows obvious signs of poor water quality- effluent discharge from vault shows thick visible sheen.	Effluent discharge is clear.
	Trash or debris accumulation	Any trash and debris accumulation in vault (floatables and non-floatables).	Vault is clear of trash and debris.
	Oil accumulation	Oil accumulations that exceed 1 inch, at the surface of the water in the oil/water separator chamber.	No visible oil depth on water.
Vault Structure	Damage to Wall, Frame, Bottom, and/or Top Slab	Cracks wider than ½-inch or evidence of soil particles entering the structure through the cracks, or maintenance/inspection personnel determines that the vault is not structurally sound.	Vault replaced or repaired to design specifications.
	Baffles damaged	Baffles corroding, cracking, warping and/or showing signs of failure as determined by maintenance inspection personnel.	Repair or replace baffles to specifications.
Gravity Drain	Inoperable valve	Valve will not open and close.	Valve opens and closes normally.
	Valve won't seal	Valve does not seal completely.	Valve completely seals closed.
Inlet/Outlet Pipe	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.
Access Manhole	Cover/lid not in place	Cover/lid is missing or only partially in place. **Any open manhole requires immediate maintenance.**	Manhole access covered.
	Locking mechanism not working	Mechanism cannot be opened by one maintenance person with proper tools. Bolts cannot be seated. Self-locking cover/lid does not work.	Mechanism opens with proper tools.
	Cover/lid difficult to remove	One maintenance person cannot remove cover/lid after applying 80 lbs of lift.	Cover/lid can be removed and reinstalled by one maintenance person.
	Ladder rungs unsafe	Missing rungs, misalignment, rust, or cracks.	Ladder meets design standards. Allows maintenance person safe access.
Large access doors/plate	Damaged or difficult to open	Large access doors or plates cannot be opened/removed using normal equipment.	Replace or repair access door so it can opened as designed.
	Gaps, doesn't cover completely	Large access doors not flat and/or access opening not completely covered.	Doors close flat and cover access opening completely.
	Lifting Rings missing, rusted	Lifting rings not capable of lifting weight of door or cover/lid.	Lifting rings sufficient to lift or remove cover/lid.

NO. 23 – COALESCING PLATE OIL/WATER SEPARATOR

Maintenance Component	Defect	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Any trash or debris which impairs the function of the facility.	Trash and debris removed from facility.
	Contaminants and pollution	Floating oil in excess of 1 inch in first chamber, any oil in other chambers or other contaminants of any type in any chamber.	No contaminants present other than a surface oil film.
Vault Treatment Area	Sediment accumulation in the forebay	Sediment accumulation of 6 inches or greater in the forebay.	No sediment in the forebay.
	Discharge water not clear	Inspection of discharge water shows obvious signs of poor water quality - effluent discharge from vault shows thick visible sheen.	Repair function of plates so effluent is clear.
	Trash or debris accumulation	Trash and debris accumulation in vault (floatables and non-floatables).	Trash and debris removed from vault.
	Oil accumulation	Oil accumulation that exceeds 1 inch at the water surface in the in the coalescing plate chamber.	No visible oil depth on water and coalescing plates clear of oil.
Coalescing Plates	Damaged	Plate media broken, deformed, cracked and/or showing signs of failure.	Replace that portion of media pack or entire plate pack depending on severity of failure.
	Sediment accumulation	Any sediment accumulation which interferes with the operation of the coalescing plates.	No sediment accumulation interfering with the coalescing plates.
Vault Structure	Damage to Wall, Frame, Bottom, and/or Top Slab	Cracks wider than ½-inch and any evidence of soil particles entering the structure through the cracks, or maintenance inspection personnel determines that the vault is not structurally sound.	Vault replaced or repaired to design specifications.
	Baffles damaged	Baffles corroding, cracking, warping and/or showing signs of failure as determined by maintenance/inspection person.	Repair or replace baffles to specifications.
Ventilation Pipes	Plugged	Any obstruction to the ventilation pipes.	Ventilation pipes are clear.
Shutoff Valve	Damaged or inoperable	Shutoff valve cannot be opened or closed.	Shutoff valve operates normally.
Inlet/Outlet Pipe	Sediment accumulation	Sediment filling 20% or more of the pipe.	Inlet/outlet pipes clear of sediment.
	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated in inlet/outlet pipes (includes floatables and non-floatables).	No trash or debris in pipes.
	Damaged	Cracks wider than ½-inch at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipes or any evidence of soil entering at the joints of the inlet/outlet pipes.	No cracks more than ¼-inch wide at the joint of the inlet/outlet pipe.
Access Manhole	Cover/lid not in place	Cover/lid is missing or only partially in place. **Any open manhole requires immediate maintenance.**	Manhole access covered.
	Locking mechanism not working	Mechanism cannot be opened by one maintenance person with proper tools. Bolts cannot be seated. Self-locking cover/lid does not work.	Mechanism opens with proper tools.
	Cover/lid difficult to remove	One maintenance person cannot remove cover/lid after applying 80 lbs of lift.	Cover/lid can be removed and reinstalled by one maintenance person.
	Ladder rungs unsafe	Missing rungs, misalignment, rust, or cracks.	Ladder meets design standards. Allows maintenance person safe access.

NO. 23 – COALESCING PLATE OIL/WATER SEPARATOR

Maintenance Component	Defect	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Large access doors/plate	Damaged or difficult to open	Large access doors or plates cannot be opened/removed using normal equipment.	Replace or repair access door so it can opened as designed.
	Gaps, doesn't cover completely	Large access doors not flat and/or access opening not completely covered.	Doors close flat and cover access opening completely.
	Lifting Rings missing, rusted	Lifting rings not capable of lifting weight of door or plate.	Lifting rings sufficient to lift or remove door or plate.

NO. 24 – CATCH BASIN INSERT

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Media Insert	Visible Oil	Visible oil sheen passing through media	Media inset replaced.
	Insert does not fit catch basin properly	Flow gets into catch basin without going through media.	All flow goes through media.
	Filter media plugged	Filter media plugged.	Flow through filter media is normal.
	Oil absorbent media saturated	Media oil saturated.	Oil absorbent media replaced.
	Water saturated	Catch basin insert is saturated with water, which no longer has the capacity to absorb.	Insert replaced.
	Service life exceeded	Regular interval replacement due to typical average life of media insert product, typically one month.	Media replaced at manufacturer's recommended interval.
	Seasonal maintenance	When storms occur and during the wet season.	Remove, clean and replace or install new insert after major storms, monthly during the wet season or at manufacturer's recommended interval.

NO. 25 – DRYWELL BMP

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Preventative	Plugging, obstructions	Any cause limiting flow into drywell.	Drywell able to receive full flow prior to and during wet season.
Site	Trash and debris	Trash or debris that could end up in the drywell is evident.	No trash or debris that could get into the drywell can be found.
Pipes	Inlet is plugged	The entrance to the pipe is restricted due to sediment, trash, or debris.	The entrance to the pipe is not restricted.
	Vegetation/roots	Vegetation/roots that reduce free movement of water through pipes.	Water flows freely through pipes.
	Plugged	Sediment or other material prevents free flow of water through the pipe.	Water flows freely through pipes.
	Broken or joint leaks.	Damage to the pipe or pipe joints allowing water to seep out.	Pipe does not allow water to exit other than at the outlet.
Structure	Basin leaks	Holes or breaks in the basin allow water to leave the basin at locations other than per design.	Basin is sealed and allows water to exit only where designed.
Filter Media	Filter media plugged	Filter media plugged.	Flow through filter media is normal.
Inspection	Frequency	Annually and prior to and following significant storms.	Inspect drywell system for any defects of deficiencies.

NO. 26 – GRAVEL FILLED INFILTRATION TRENCH BMP

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Preventative	Blocking, obstructions	Debris or trash limiting flow to infiltration trench.	Infiltration trench able to receive full flow prior to and during wet season.
Site	Trash and debris	Trash or debris that could end up in the infiltration trench is evident.	No trash or debris that could get into the infiltration trench can be found.
Pipes	Inlet is plugged	The entrance to the pipe is restricted due to sediment, trash, or debris.	The entrance to the pipe is not restricted.
	Vegetation/roots	Vegetation/roots that reduce free movement of water through pipes.	Water flows freely through pipes.
	Plugged	Sediment or other material prevents free flow of water through the pipe.	Water flows freely through pipes.
	Broken or joint leaks.	Damage to the pipe or pipe joints allowing water to seep out.	Pipe does not allow water to exit other than at the outlet to the trench.
Structure	Flow not reaching trench	Flows are not getting into the trench as designed.	Water enters and exits trench as designed.
	Cleanout/inspection access does not allow cleaning or inspection of trench	The cleanout/inspection access is not available.	Cleanout/inspection access is available.
Filter Media	Filter media plugged	Filter media plugged.	Flow through filter media is normal.
Inspection	Frequency	Annually and prior to and following significant storms.	Inspect infiltration trench system for any defects of deficiencies.

NO. 27 – GRAVEL FILLED DISPERSION TRENCH BMP

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Preventative	Blocking, obstructions	Debris or trash limiting flow to dispersion trench or preventing spreader function.	Dispersion trench able to receive full flow prior to and during wet season.
Site	Trash and debris	Trash or debris that could end up in the dispersion trench is evident.	No trash or debris that could get into the dispersion trench can be found.
Pipes	Inlet is plugged	The entrance to the pipe is restricted due to sediment, trash, or debris.	The entrance to the pipe is not restricted.
	Vegetation/roots	Vegetation/roots that reduce free movement of water through pipes.	Water flows freely through pipes.
	Plugged	Sediment or other material prevents free flow of water through the pipe.	Water flows freely through pipes.
	Broken joint or joint leaks.	Damage to the pipe or pipe joints allowing water to seep out.	Pipe does not allow water to exit other than at the outlet to the trench.
	Cleanout caps	Cleanout caps are broken, missing, or buried.	Cleanout caps are accessible and intact.
Structure	Flow not reaching trench	Flows are not getting into the trench as designed.	Water enters and exits trench as designed.
	Perforated pipe plugged	Flow not able to enter or properly exit from perforated pipe.	Water freely enters and exits perforated pipe.
	Flow not spreading evenly at outlet of trench	Outlet flows channelizing or not spreading evenly from trench.	Sheet flow occurs at the outlet of the trench.
	Cleanout/inspection access does not allow cleaning or inspection of perforated pipe	The cleanout/inspection access is not available.	Cleanout/inspection access is available.
Filter Media	Filter media plugged	Filter media plugged.	Flow through filter media is normal.
Inspection	Frequency	Annually and prior to and following significant storms.	Inspect dispersion trench system for any defects of deficiencies.

NO. 28 – NATIVE VEGETATED SURFACE / NATIVE VEGETATED LANDSCAPE BMP

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Condition When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance Is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated on the native vegetated surface/native vegetated landscape site.	Native vegetated surface site free of any trash or debris.
Vegetation	Native vegetation type	Less than two species each of native trees, shrubs, and groundcover occur in the design area.	A minimum of two species each of native trees, shrubs, and groundcover is established and healthy.
	Native vegetated area	Less than 90% if the required vegetated area has healthy growth.	A minimum of 90% of the required vegetated area has healthy growth.
	Undesirable vegetation	Weeds, blackberry, and other undesirable plants are invading more than 10% of vegetated area.	Less than 10% undesirable vegetation occurs in the required native vegetated surface area.
Vegetated Area	Soil compaction	Soil in the native vegetation area compacted.	Less than 8% of native vegetation area is compacted.
	Insufficient area	Less than 3.5 square feet of native vegetation area for every 1 square foot of impervious surface.	A minimum of 3.5 square feet of native vegetation area for every 1 square foot of impervious surface.
	Excess slope	Slope of native vegetation area greater than 15%.	Slope of native growth area does not exceed 15%.
Inspection	Frequency	Annually	Inspect native vegetation area for any defects of deficiencies

NO. 29 – PERFORATED PIPE CONNECTIONS BMP

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Preventative	Blocking, obstructions	Debris or trash limiting flow into perforated pipe system or outfall of BMP is plugged or otherwise nonfunctioning.	Outfall of BMP is receiving designed flows from perforated pipe connection.
Inflow	Inflow impeded	Inflow into the perforated pipe is partially or fully blocked or altered to prevent flow from getting into the pipe.	Inflow to the perforated pipe is unimpeded.
Pipe Trench Area	Surface compacted	Ground surface over the perforated pipe trench is compacted or covered with impermeable material.	Ground surface over the perforated pipe is not compacted and free of any impervious cover.
Outflow	Outflow impeded	Outflow from the perforated pipe into the public drainage system is blocked.	Outflow to the public drainage system is unimpeded.
Outfall Area	Erosion or landslides	Existence of the perforated pipe is causing or exasperating erosion or landslides.	Perforated pipe system is sealed off and an alternative BMP is implemented.
Inspection	Frequency	Annually and prior to and following significant storms.	Perforated pipe system is operating as designed.

NO. 30 – PERMEABLE PAVEMENT BMP

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Preventative	Surface cleaning/ vegetation control	Media surface vacuumed or pressure washed annually, vegetation controlled to design maximum. Weed growth suggesting sediment accumulation.	No dirt, sediment, or debris clogging porous media, or vegetation limiting infiltration.
Porous Concrete, Porous Asphaltic Concrete, and Permeable Pavers	Trash and debris	Trash and debris on the pavement interfering with infiltration; leaf drop in fall season.	No trash or debris interfering with infiltration.
	Sediment accumulation	Sediment accumulation on the pavement interfering with infiltration; runoff from adjacent areas depositing sediment/debris on pavement.	Pavement infiltrates as designed; adjacent areas stabilized.
	Infiltration rate	Pavement does not infiltrate at a rate of 10 inches per hour.	Pavement infiltrates at a rate greater than 10 inches per hour.
	Ponding	Standing water for a long period of time on the surface of the pavement.	Standing water infiltrates at the desired rate.
	Broken or cracked pavement	Pavement is broken or cracked.	No broken pavement or cracks on the surface of the pavement.
	Settlement	Uneven pavement surface indicating settlement of the subsurface layer.	Pavement surface is uniformly level.
	Moss growth	Moss growing on pavement interfering with infiltration.	No moss interferes with infiltration.
	Inflow	Inflow to the pavement is diverted, restricted, or depositing sediment and debris on the pavement.	Inflow to pavement is unobstructed and not bringing sediment or debris to the pavement.
	Underdrain	Underdrain is not flowing when pavement has been infiltrating water.	Underdrain flows freely when water is present.
	Overflow	Overflow not controlling excess water to desired location; native soil is exposed or other signs of erosion damage are present.	Overflow permits excess water to leave the site at the desired location; Overflow is stabilized and appropriately armored.
Permeable Pavers	Broken or missing pavers	Broken or missing paving blocks on surface of pavement.	No missing or broken paving blocks interfering with infiltration.
	Level surface	Uneven surface due to settlement or scour of fill in the interstices of the paving blocks.	Pavement surface is uniformly level.
	Compaction	Poor infiltration due to soil compaction between paving blocks.	No soil compaction in the interstices of the paver blocks limiting infiltration.
	Dead grass	Grass in the interstices of the paving blocks is dead.	Healthy grass is growing in the interstices of the paver blocks.
Inspection	Frequency	Annually and after large storms, and as needed seasonally to control leaf drop, evergreen needles etc.	Permeable pavement is functioning normally.

NO. 31 – BIORETENTION BMP

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Preventative	Vegetation	Vegetation to be watered and pruned as needed and mulch applied to a minimum of 2 inches to maintain healthy growth.	Healthy vegetation growth with full coverage as designed.
Bioretention Area	Trash and debris	Trash and debris in the bioretention area; leaf drop in the fall season.	No trash or debris In the bioretention area.
	Sediment accumulation	Sediment accumulation in the bioretention area interfering with infiltration.	Water in the bioretention infiltrates as designed.
	Ponding	Standing water in the bioretention area for more than two days.	Standing water infiltrates at the desired rate.
	Inflow	Inflow not getting into bioretention; debris/sediment blockage at inlet features; native soil is exposed or other signs of erosion damage is present.	Unobstructed and properly routed inflow into bioretention area; inlet is stabilized and appropriately armored.
	Overflow outlet	Overflow water not controlled by outlet features; native soil is exposed or other signs of erosion damage is present.	Outlet features control overflow; overflow is stabilized and appropriately armored.
	Underdrain	Underdrain is not flowing when bioretention area has been infiltrating water.	Underdrain flows freely when water is present.
Vegetation	Plant health	Plants not thriving across at least 80% of the entire design vegetated area within the BMP; overly dense vegetation requiring pruning.	Healthy water tolerant plants in bioretention area, plants thriving across at least 80% of the entire design vegetated area within the facility.
	Plant species	Plants not water tolerant species.	Plants are water tolerant.
	Weeds	Weeds growing in bioretention area.	No weeds in bioretention area.
	Watering	Planting schedule requires frequent watering (approx. weekly Year 1, bimonthly Years 2 and 3) for new facilities, and as needed for established plantings or dry periods	Plants are established and thriving
	Pest Control	Signs of pests, such as wilting or chewed leaves or bark, spotting or other indicators; extended ponding period encouraging mosquitoes	Plant community is pest-free when following an approved Integrated Pest Management plan; bioretention functioning normally and ponding controlled as needed for pest control
Containment Berm and Earthen Slopes	Erosion;	Erosion occurring at earthen slopes or containment berm side slope.	Erosion on the containment berm and side slopes has been repaired and the cause of the erosion corrected.
	Voids created by nuisance animals (e.g., rodents) or tree roots	Voids affecting berm integrity or creating leaky pond condition	Voids have been repaired; facility is free of nuisance animals following an approved Integrated Pest Management plan.
	Settlement	Any part of the containment berm top has less than 6 inches of freeboard from the maximum pond level to the top of the berm.	A minimum of 6 inches freeboard from the maximum pond level to the top of the berm.
Amended Soil	Soil nutrients	Soil not providing plant nutrients.	Soil providing plant nutrients.
	Bare spots	Bare spots on soil in bioretention area.	No bare spots, bioretention area covered with vegetation or mulch mixed into the underlying soil.
	Compaction	Poor infiltration due to soil compaction in the bioretention area.	No soil compaction in the bioretention area.
Inspection	Frequency	Annually and after large storms, and as needed seasonally for pruning, plant maintenance, pest control and to control leaf drop, evergreen needles etc.	Bioretention facility is functioning normally; plant community is thriving and pest-free.

NO. 32 – RAINWATER HARVESTING BMP

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Preventative	Storage volume	No rain water in storage unit at the beginning of the rain season.	Maximum storage available at the beginning of the rain season (Oct. 1st).
Collection Area	Trash and debris	Trash of debris on collection area may plug filter system	Collection area clear of trash and debris.
Filter	Restricted or plugged	Filter is partially or fully plugged preventing water from getting in to the storage unit.	Filter is allowing collection water into storage unit.
Inspection	Frequency	Annually and after large storms	Rain harvesting equipment is functioning normally.
	Maintenance log	A Maintenance log must be kept and available for review by KC staff.	Maintenance log is kept and is available to KC staff.

NO. 33 – ROCK PAD BMP

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated on rock pad site.	Rock pad site free of any trash or debris.
Rock Pad Area	Rock pad size	Rock pad is not 2 feet by 3 feet by 6 inches thick or as designed.	Rock pad is 2 feet by 3 feet by 6 inches thick or as designed.
	Vegetation	Vegetation is seen growing in or through rock pad.	No vegetation within rock pad area.
Rock	Exposed soil	Soil can be seen through the rock pad.	Full thickness of the rock pad is in place, no soil visible through rock pad.
Inspection	Frequency	Annually and after large storms	Rock pad is functioning normally.

NO. 34 – SHEET FLOW BMP

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated on the sheet flow site.	Sheet flow site free of any trash or debris.
Sheet flow area	Erosion	Soil erosion occurring in sheet flow zone.	Soil erosion is not occurring and rills and channels have been repaired.
	Concentrated flow	Sheet flow is not occurring in the sheet flow zone.	Sheet flow area is regraded to provide sheet flow.
Inspection	Frequency	Annually and after large storms	Rain harvesting equipment is functioning normally.

NO. 35 – SPLASH BLOCK BMP

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Site	Trash and debris	Trash and debris accumulated on the splash block.	Splash block site free of any trash or debris.
Splash Block	Dislodged	Splash block moved from outlet of downspout.	Splash block correctly positioned to catch discharge from downspout.
	Channeling	Water coming off the splash block causing erosion.	No erosion occurs from the splash block.
	Downspout water misdirected	Water coming from the downspout is not discharging to the dispersal area.	Water is discharging normally to the dispersal area.
Inspection	Frequency	Annually and after large storms.	Rain harvesting equipment is functioning normally.

NO. 36 – VEGETATED ROOF BMP (Retained from the 2009 SWDM for reference)

Maintenance Component	Defect or Problem	Conditions When Maintenance is Needed	Results Expected When Maintenance is Performed
Preventative	Vegetation	Vegetation to be watered and pruned as needed to maintain healthy growth.	Healthy vegetation growth with full coverage as designed.
Site	Trash and debris	Trash and debris has accumulated on the vegetated roof.	Vegetated roof free of any trash or debris.
Waterproof Membrane	Leaking	Waterproof membrane breached.	Waterproof membrane has no tears or holes allowing water through it.
Drainage Layer	Drainage pathway	Drainage layer flow plugged or obstructed.	Drainage layer passing water with no obstruction.
Drainage	Overflow	Drainage of overflow is obstructed.	Overflow has no obstruction.
Growth Media	Compaction	Soil in the growth media area compacted.	No part of the growth media is compacted.
	Erosion	Growth media washed out.	Growth media is not being washed away.
	Nutrients	Plants are not thriving.	Growth media has proper nutrients to support plant growth.
Vegetation	Vegetation Type	Vegetation species not succulents, grass, herbs, and/or wildflowers adapted to harsh conditions.	Correct species of vegetation is used.
	Vegetation Area	Healthy vegetation covers less than 90% of vegetation area.	Healthy vegetation covers more than 90% of vegetation area.
	Undesirable Vegetation	Weeds and other undesirable plants are invading more than 10% of vegetated area.	No undesirable vegetation occurs in the vegetated area. No herbicides or pesticides used to control undesirable vegetation.
	Special Vegetation	Special vegetation not thriving.	Special vegetation is kept healthy and inspected on frequent schedule.
Border Zone	Access	Border zone limited by vegetation overgrowth or other means.	Border zone is kept open so vegetated area is accessible.
Gravel Stop	Containment	Gravel stop does not contain overflow or divert it to a designed outlet.	Overflow water is only exits from the designed outlet.
Inspection	Frequency	Annually and after large storms.	Rain harvesting equipment is functioning normally.
		Vegetation inspected monthly.	Vegetation is kept healthy and thriving.

APPENDIX B

MASTER DRAINAGE PLAN OBJECTIVE, CRITERIA AND COMPONENTS, AND REVIEW PROCESS

OBJECTIVE

The objective of the Master Drainage Plan (MDP) is to propose specific drainage control systems that will prevent significant adverse impacts to the site's natural hydrologic system and to existing and planned off-site drainage systems and resources. Although this is also the objective of the Surface Water Design Manual (SWDM) generally, the County allows smaller-scale projects to rely more heavily on the "cookbook" approach of the Core and Special Requirements specified in the Manual. Land use alterations from larger scale projects have a higher potential to result in resource and flooding impacts if the "cookbook" standards miss their mark. These larger projects, then, are required to take a more detailed, site-specific look at drainage resources and associated management issues.

Larger projects are seen to entail more risk to receiving waters (including groundwater) for two reasons: (a) the relatively large area of the subbasin which is developed and (b) the shorter than typical time frame in which that development occurs. If the same area were developed as smaller parcels, rather than as a large site, development would normally be staggered over a longer period of time. This longer time frame allows a certain "grace" period for problems to be identified and corrective actions incorporated into later development proposals. Not only are formal drainage complaints called in to the County a source of information, but the SEPA public review process accompanying permit review offers an avenue for existing drainage problems to be made known. This longer time frame and input from the affected public offers certain safeguards that any problems resulting from application of the more general drainage planning approach specified in the SWDM are dealt with before additional development in the subbasin proceeds.

Some of the impacts that MDPs would be expected to address include the following:

1. Increase in flow rates and/or volumes that would result in flooding along the natural and/or constructed drainage system, or that would aggravate existing flooding problems, either on-site or downstream.
2. Increase of flow rates and/or volumes, both on- and off-site that would de-stabilize the existing geomorphic balance of the natural drainage systems. Examples would include an increase in the rate or frequency of streambank erosion resulting in bank/slope failures along stream corridors, and downstream sedimentation reducing channel capacity.
3. Alteration of natural topography and/or native vegetation that would result in unstable soil conditions, slopes, or embankments.
4. Alteration of natural hydrologic features or provision of site improvements that would reduce the functional ability of the subbasin to preserve water quality and quantity and/or in-stream and other aquatic habitat values.
5. Alteration of ground water/interflow that would adversely change downstream base flows and/or impair existing water rights.

CRITERIA

The proposed drainage plan, impact analysis and mitigation measures shall be supported by detailed technical analyses and reports as part of the MDP. In addition to the engineering plans, the MDP shall include appropriate geotechnical investigations, water quality and aquatic habitat analysis, and hydrologic computer modeling (see Section 3.2 in Chapter 3). The report shall also include maintenance and operation provisions for the existing natural drainage system and any on-site drainage facilities. Specific maintenance plans and agreements, identifying maintenance responsibilities, must be provided for any facility privately maintained.

The hydrologic and hydraulic analysis of the site, basin, and downstream system shall be done using the methods described in this Manual. Exceptions are for plans required to prepare hydrologic models using rainfall and stream gage data. Any upstream contributing systems or sub-basins shall be analyzed for both existing and future development conditions (as shown in the latest adopted Community Plan, the King County Comprehensive Plan, or other land use maps). Any agreements, contracts or work scopes for the MDP or monitoring plan are also considered a component of the MDP. Specific requirements and the order for submittal of the various components are given in a detached document entitled*: Master Drainage Planning for Large Site Developments - Process and Requirements*, May 1995.

COMPONENTS OF MASTER DRAINAGE PLANS

The MDP consists of several components. These components are typically presented in a drainage plan developed using an approved hydrological model, special reports and studies to identify impacts, mitigation measures to reduce impacts, a technical report containing engineering analyses such as the off-site and flood plain analyses, and a post-development monitoring plan. The drainage plan shall provide a comprehensive analysis of existing and proposed surface and subsurface water quantity and quality conditions for both on and offsite systems. Offsite systems may include upstream and downstream hydrology. Upstream analysis shall include the total drainage area contributing to the site. Downstream analysis shall extend to an acceptable receiving body of water.

Technical elements

At a minimum, specific technical elements of the MDP include the following:

a) A conceptual or preliminary plan of the proposed drainage collection and flow control systems, based upon accurate field topographic mapping and geologic data, should be provided, along with appropriate cross-sections and details necessary to identify drainage system elements.

b) All assumptions, parameters, and input data used in the hydrologic model. Input and output files from hydrologic and/or hydraulic computer models shall be included as an appendix.

c) Hydraulic performance data (stage, storage, discharge) for all elements of the hydrologic system, whether existing or proposed, including lakes, ponds, and wetlands. This data shall be used to route inflow hydrographs to produce outflow hydrographs.

d) Flow data for all existing and proposed conveyance facilities, including streams, swales, pipes, and ditches which will support the proposed system.

e) Floodplain analysis identifying flows, velocities, and extent of flooding for the existing and proposed conditions. Other backwater analysis required to determine existing and proposed conveyance capacity within the MDP and downstream of the MDP.

f) Erosion analysis of on-site and downstream open-drainage systems, identifying flows, velocities, areas of existing and future deposition and channel erosion, and characterization of sediment.

g) Geotechnical analysis of the site and proposed improvements which specifically addresses soils and slope stability for proposed lakes/ponds, road alignments, channel/ravine conditions, building setbacks from steep slopes, vegetative preservation and controls, existing and proposed drainage facilities, and downstream system stability.

h) Ecological analysis to include the physical and biological features of the streams, lakes, wetlands and swales. The features identified shall be keyed to the map produced in Item (2)(b), below.

i) Method and conceptual design for maintaining existing flow regimes in any swales/ravines that may be altered by the development.

j) Method, conceptual design, and location of water quality compensating facilities that may be necessary to replace naturally occurring "biofiltration" functions of site vegetation, i.e. provision and/or preservation of vegetated swales.

k) Description of maintenance design features and provisions that will ensure reliable and long-term facility operation.

l) Provide construction phasing plan that will ensure stormwater/erosion control during development of individual subbasins.

m) Establish a stream flow/rainfall gauging and stream cross section monitoring plan that will document any changes in the levels of flooding, erosion, and sedimentation, and identify responsible parties for necessary mitigation.

Mapping requirements

Mapping for the MDP must be of adequate scale and detail for accurate definition and location of all system elements, both on-site and off-site, and must provide support for hydrologic model characterization. In general, the following are required:

a) Delineation of sub-basins of appropriate size/land use for computer model characterization and hydraulic analysis of all tributary flows.

b) Location and size of all existing and proposed hydrologic features and facilities in the basins. This includes lakes, ponds, wetlands, swales, streams, pipes, and culverts.

c) Overall plan/profile and cross-sections of conveyance systems and identification of the floodplain and floodway and frequency of flooding for existing and developed conditions.

d) Identify areas of in-stream erosion, sedimentation and/or unstable slopes.

e) Identify site soils for use in hydrologic modeling and preliminary analysis for controlling erosion during construction.

f) Identify upstream and downstream habitat condition, i.e., spawning, rearing, and transport areas; pools, riffles, and other in-stream habitat features; and species and populations observed. Locations shall be keyed to the map produced in Item (2)(b), above.

g) Identify general required building setbacks, clearing limits and Native Growth Protection Easements in areas of steep slopes and drainage features.

REVIEW PROCESS

Master Drainage Plans (MDPs) are submitted directly to the Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) for review. They must, however, be a part of a permit application that has been filed with DLS-Permitting (see Section 1.1.1). The MDP process should commence concurrently with the SEPA process.

King County has prepared MDP guidelines entitled*: Master Drainage Planning for Large Site Developments - Process and Requirements*, May 1995, which provide technical guidance to implement the requirements of Section 1.2.2.5 and this Appendix B. The *MDP Guidelines* may be obtained by contacting DLS-Permitting.

Prior to commencing preparation of the MDP, the applicant and design engineer (including the team that includes other design professionals such as geotechnical engineers and/or biologists, etc.) should request a MDP pre-application meeting for general information followed by a scoping meeting with DLS-Permitting

in order to coordinate the requirements for the hydrologic modeling and other special analysis which may be required. At these meetings, the applicant should present an outline for the proposed MDP that includes a description of the proposed project, a schedule for preparation of the MDP, and a description of any known environmental or regulatory issues related to the proposal.

DLS-Permitting will review the components of the MDP as submitted, in a timely manner. The fees for review of the MDP will be determined from resources required (e.g., consultant, staff, and equipment) and will be billed to the applicant by King County. Review fees shall be paid by the applicant to King County prior to receiving MDP plan approval.

Following approval in concept of all of the MDP components, the applicant will submit four complete final MDP packages to DLS-Permitting for approval. Three copies will be kept by DLS-Permitting, and one copy will be returned to the applicant for their records.

Questions regarding the MDP review process should be directed to DLS-Permitting.

APPENDIX C

SIMPLIFIED DRAINAGE REQUIREMENTS

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

King County
Department of Natural Resources and Parks

July 23, 2021
Amended 2024

APPENDIX C
SIMPLIFIED DRAINAGE REQUIREMENTS

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

Section C.1	**Simplified Drainage Review Requirements**	**C-7**
Section C.1.1	Procedure for Determining Requirements	C-9
Section C.1.2	Targeted Drainage Review Requirements	C-12
Section C.1.3	Application of Flow Control BMPs	C-16
Section C.1.4	Application of CSWPP Measures	C-26
Section C.1.5	Simplified Submittal Requirements	C-30
Section C.2	**Flow Control BMPs**	**C-31**
Section C.2.1	Full Dispersion	C-32
Section C.2.2	Full Infiltration	C-48
Section C.2.3	Limited Infiltration	C-57
Section C.2.4	Basic Dispersion	C-60
Section C.2.5	Farmland Dispersion	C-70
Section C.2.6	Bioretention	C-73
Section C.2.7	Permeable Pavement	C-87
Section C.2.8	Rainwater Harvesting	C-95
Section C.2.9	Reduced Impervious Surface Credit	C-98
Section C.2.10	Native Growth Retention Credit	C-103
Section C.2.11	Perforated Pipe Connection	C-105
Section C.3	**Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention (CSWPP) Measures**	**C-107**
Section C.3.1	Stabilized Construction Entrance	C-108
Section C.3.2	Mulching	C-110
Section C.3.3	Nets and Blankets	C-112
Section C.3.4	Plastic Covering	C-114
Section C.3.5	Mark Clearing Limits/Minimize Clearing	C-115
Section C.3.6	Silt Fence	C-116
Section C.3.7	Vegetated Strip	C-118
Section C.3.8	Triangular Silt Dike	C-119
Section C.3.9	Storm Drain Inlet Protection	C-120
Section C.3.10	Seeding	C-125
Section C.3.11	Sodding	C-127
Section C.3.12	Interceptor Dikes and Swales	C-128
Section C.3.13	Ditches	C-130
Section C.3.14	Pipe Slope Drain	C-132
Section C.3.15	Dewatering Control	C-133
Section C.3.16	Control of Other Pollutants (SWPPS)	C-134
Section C.4	**Simplified Drainage Plan Specifications**	**C-137**
Section C.4.1	Components of Simplified Drainage Plans	C-137
Section C.4.2	Specifications for Site Plans	C-139
Section C.4.3	BMP Design and Maintenance Details	C-143
Section C.4.4	Written Drainage Assessment	C-144
Section C.5	**Reference Section**	**C-147**
Section C.5.1	Simplified Drainage Review Process	C-147
Section C.5.2	Declaration of Covenant	C-149

APPENDIX C
SIMPLIFIED DRAINAGE REQUIREMENTS

The drainage requirements in this appendix are for residential and ***agricultural projects*** that are subject to **Simplified Drainage Review** as determined in Section 1.1.2 of the *Surface Water Design Manual* (*SWDM*). ***Simplified Drainage Review*** is a simplified alternative to the Full Drainage Review process normally conducted by the County as part of its review of permits to evaluate a project's compliance with the core and special requirements of the *SWDM*. The thrust of these requirements is to provide for mitigation and control of increased runoff and pollution from development sites. For larger developments, this typically involves engineering analysis and design of flow control facilities (e.g., detention ponds) to mitigate increased runoff, water quality treatment facilities (e.g., wetponds) to remove pollutants from the runoff, and erosion and sediment controls (ESC) to minimize the discharge of sediment-laden runoff and other pollutants during construction.

For projects in Simplified Drainage Review, required mitigation of runoff impacts can usually be achieved with measures that can be applied by a non-engineer. These include **flow control BMPs** (Best Management Practices) such as splash blocks or gravel trenches to disperse or infiltrate runoff from impervious areas, or reducing the amount of impervious area and preserving native vegetation. Such measures provide both flow and water quality mitigation. Also included are simpler **erosion and sediment control (ESC) measures** to prevent the discharge of sediment and other pollutants during construction. Examples of ESC measures include phasing or minimizing clearing, installing silt fences to contain sediment on site, and placing straw or other mulching materials on exposed soils. It also includes implementation of **stormwater pollution prevention and spill control (SWPPS)** practices applicable to specific construction activities such as proper use, handling, and storage of materials, chemicals, equipment, and fuel.

In most cases, the **Simplified Drainage** requirements in this appendix can be met with submittals prepared by contractors, architects, or homeowners without the involvement of a professional engineer. For more information on the **Simplified Drainage Review** Process, see Reference Section C.5.1 (p. C-147).

Why Flow Control BMPs are Necessary

On undeveloped land, most rainwater soaks into the ground and flows slowly to nearby lakes and streams through the upper layers of soil. When that same area is cleared or covered with an impervious surface, the rainwater is no longer captured by dense vegetation and forest duff, but flows quickly and in greater quantities across the site and through pipes and channels to streams and lakes. Also, as it flows over developed surfaces (e.g., driveways, roads, lawns, and pastures), various pollutants generated by human uses of the land are picked up and carried downstream. The increased quantities of runoff from the site, when combined with increases from other sites, results in increased flooding and erosion of downstream properties and damage to aquatic areas habitat. And, the pollutants collected by the runoff degrade the water quality and habitat functions of streams, lakes, and wetlands. Larger developments address these impacts by both storing the runoff in engineered flow control facilities (e.g., detention or infiltration ponds or vaults) that slowly release the runoff downstream and by treating the runoff in engineered water quality

facilities (e.g., wet ponds, biofiltration swales, or sand filters) and by application of flow control BMPs to maximum extent feasible.

Controlling flows from smaller projects is just as important as controlling flows from large developments, because the cumulative effect of uncontrolled flows from many small projects can be equivalent to those from a single large project. For projects that qualify as Simplified drainage review projects, however, engineered flow control facilities may not be practicable or even warranted if the quantity of runoff from developed surfaces can be minimized, dispersed, or otherwise infiltrated onsite through the use of flow control BMPs. The same holds true for water quality facilities. While the primary focus of flow control BMPs is to mitigate increased runoff quantities, they are also effective in mitigating increased pollution generated by developed surfaces.

Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention (CSWPP), and Why CSWPP is Necessary

Construction stormwater pollution prevention (CSWPP) is the combined strategies of ESC and SWPPS to control pollutants on construction sites. ESC measures are necessary because land disturbing activity associated with clearing and grading exposes a site's soils to erosion by stormwater. The soil eroded from disturbed areas is referred to as *sediment*, which is washed downstream and deposited in pipes, ditches, streams and lakes. Sediment deposited in a pipe or ditch reduces its capacity to convey flows and can increase the likelihood of flooding. Sediment deposited in streams clog the gravels that salmon use for spawning. Nutrients contained in the eroded soil that reach lakes can upset the chemical balance of the lake, causing excessive growth of algae, milfoil, and other plants, and decreasing recreational uses such as swimming, boating, and fishing. ESC measures are typically used during construction to prevent soil erosion and/or transport of sediment downstream until the site can be stabilized with vegetation cover/landscaping. Other construction activities such as the use, handling, and storage of materials, chemicals, equipment, and fuel can result in contaminates coming into contact with stormwater and potentially washed downstream. Therefore, stormwater pollution prevention and spill control (SWPPS) measures applicable to specific construction activities need to be implemented to avoid the discharge of pollutants from the construction site.

Utility of Appendix C

For projects in **Simplified Drainage Review**, this appendix outlines the drainage requirements, flow control BMPs, and CSWPP measures necessary to mitigate the stormwater impacts of development without the construction of expensive stormwater facilities (i.e., flow control and water quality facilities). For projects in Full Drainage Review or other types of drainage review, the flow control BMPs contained in this appendix are referenced for application to any size or type of project as specified in the *SWDM*. In fact, because flow control facilities cannot mitigate all the impacts from developed surfaces, flow control BMPs are required on all projects in drainage review regardless of whether stormwater facilities are required (see *SWDM* Core Requirement #9, Section 1.2.9, "Flow Control BMPs").

Organization of Appendix C

The information presented in this appendix is organized into five main sections as follows:

- Section C.1, "Simplified Drainage Review Requirements" (p. C-7)
- Section C.2, "Flow Control BMPs" (p. C-31)
- Section C.3, "Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention (CSWPP) Measures" (p. C-107)
- Section C.4, "Simplified Drainage Plan Specifications" (p. C-137)
- Section C.5, "Reference Section" (p. C-147)

Definitions of Key Terms

Proper application of the Simplified drainage requirements in this appendix requires an understanding of the following key terms and their definitions. These terms are highlighted in **bold italic** throughout Appendix C. Other important terms are defined in the text when they are first introduced. These are highlighted in italic when they are first introduced but are not highlighted throughout the appendix as are key terms.

Agricultural project means any project located on, and proposing improvements consistent with, the permitted uses of land zoned for Agriculture (A zoned lands) as defined in KCC 21A.08.

Civil engineer means a person licensed by the State of Washington as a professional engineer in civil engineering.

Erosion hazard area is the *critical area*[1] designation, defined and regulated in KCC 21A, that is applied to areas underlain by soils that are subject to severe erosion when disturbed. See the definition of this term in KCC 21A.06 for more details.

Flood hazard area is the critical area designation, defined and regulated in KCC 21A, that is applied to areas subject to inundation by a 100-year flood event or areas at risk from channel migration. See the definition of this term in KCC 21A.06 for more details. Flood hazard areas generally include, but are not limited to, aquatic areas (e.g., streams or lakes), wetlands, or closed depressions. A flood hazard area may consist of the following components as determined by DLS-Permitting: 100-year floodplain, zero-rise flood fringe, zero-rise floodway, FEMA floodway, and channel migration zones.

Geotechnical engineer means a civil engineer licensed by the State of Washington who has at least four years of professional employment as a geotechnical engineer in responsible charge, including experience with landslide evaluation. Geotechnical engineers specialize in the design and construction aspects of earth materials.

Impervious surface means a hard surface area that either prevents or retards the entry of water into the soil mantle as under natural conditions before development; or that causes water to run off the surface in greater quantities or at an increased rate of flow compared to the flow present under natural conditions prior to development (see also "new impervious surface"). Common impervious surfaces include, but are not limited to, roof, walkways, patios, driveways, parking lots, or storage areas, areas that are paved, graveled or made of packed or oiled earthen materials or other surfaces that similarly impede the natural infiltration of surface water or stormwater. For the purposes of applying the impervious surface thresholds and exemptions contained in this manual, permeable pavement, vegetated roofs, and underdrained pervious surfaces are considered impervious surface while an open uncovered flow control or water quality facility is not. However, for the purposes of computing runoff, uncovered flow control or water quality facilities shall be modeled as impervious surfaces as specified in Chapter 3.

Land disturbing activity means any activity that results in a change in the existing soil cover, both vegetative and non-vegetative, or the existing soil topography. Land disturbing activities include, but are not limited to demolition, construction, clearing, grading, filling, excavation, and compaction. Land disturbing activity does not include tilling conducted as part of agricultural practices, landscape maintenance, or gardening.

Landslide hazard area is the critical area designation, defined and regulated in KCC 21A, that is applied to areas subject to severe risk of landslide due to topography, soil conditions, and geology. See the definition of this term in KCC 21A.06 for more details.

Engineering geologist means a person licensed by the State of Washington as a geologist specializing in evaluating geologic site characteristics to determine the responses of geologic processes and materials to development activities, such as removal of vegetation; construction activities such as earthwork;

[1] *Critical area* includes the following types of hazard or habitat areas defined and regulated in KCC 21A: coal mine hazard area, erosion hazard area, flood hazard area, landslide hazard area, seismic hazard area, steep slope hazard area, volcanic hazard area, aquatic area, wetland, wildlife habitat conservation area, wildlife habitat network, and critical aquifer recharge area.

applying loads in foundations and embankments; use of earth materials in construction; and modifying ground water flow.

Native vegetated surface means a surface in which the soil conditions, ground cover, and species of vegetation are like those of the original native condition for the ***site***. More specifically, this means (1) the soil is either undisturbed or has been treated according to the "native vegetated landscape" specifications in Appendix C, Section C.2.1.8; (2) the ground is either naturally covered with vegetation litter or has been top-dressed between plants with 4 inches of mulch consistent with the native vegetated landscape specifications in Appendix C; and (3) the vegetation is either (a) comprised predominantly of plant species, other than noxious weeds, that are indigenous to the coastal region of the Pacific Northwest and that reasonably could have been expected to occur naturally on the ***site*** or (b) comprised of plant species specified for a native vegetated landscape in Appendix C. Examples of these plant species include trees such as Douglas fir, western hemlock, western red cedar, alder, big-leaf maple and vine maple; shrubs such as willow, elderberry, salmonberry and salal; and herbaceous plants such as sword fern, foam flower, and fireweed.

New impervious surface means the addition of a hard or compacted surface like roofs, pavement, gravel, or dirt; or the addition of a more compacted surface, like paving over pre-existing dirt or gravel. Permeable pavement and vegetated roofs are considered new impervious surface for purposes of determining whether the thresholds for application of minimum requirements are exceeded, as are lawns, landscaping, sports fields, golf courses, and other areas that have modified runoff characteristics resulting from the addition of underdrains designed to collect stormwater runoff. Open, uncovered retention/detention facilities shall not be considered impervious surfaces for purposes of determining whether the thresholds for application of minimum requirements are exceeded. Open, uncovered retention/detention facilities shall be considered impervious surfaces for purposes of runoff modeling.

New pervious surface means the conversion of a native vegetated surface or other native surface to a non-native pervious surface (e.g., conversion of forest or meadow to pasture land, grass land, cultivated land, lawn, landscaping, bare soil, etc.), or any alteration of existing non-native pervious surface that significantly increases surface and storm water runoff (e.g., conversion of pasture land, grass land, or cultivated land to lawn, landscaping, or bare soil; or alteration of soil characteristics).

Pollution-generating impervious surface means an impervious surface considered to be a significant source of pollutants in stormwater runoff. Such surfaces include those that are ***subject to: vehicular use***, industrial activities, or storage of ***erodible or leachable materials, wastes, or chemicals***; and that receive direct rainfall or the run-on or blow-in of rainfall. A covered parking area would be included if runoff from uphill could regularly run through it or if rainfall could regularly blow in and wet the pavement surface. PGIS includes metal roofs unless they are coated with an inert, non-leachable material (see Reference 11-E). PGIS includes roofs that are exposed to the venting of significant amounts of dusts, mists, or fumes from manufacturing, commercial, or other indoor activities. PGIS includes vegetated roofs exposed to pesticides, fertilizers, or loss of soil. Other roofing types that may pose risk but are not currently regulated are listed in Reference 11-E. Lawns, landscaping, sports fields, golf courses, and other areas that have modified runoff characteristics resulting from the addition of underdrains that have the pollution generating characteristics described under the "pollution-generating pervious surface" definition are also considered ***PGIS***.

Pollution-generating pervious surface* (*PGPS*)** means a non-impervious surface considered to be a significant source of pollutants in surface and storm water runoff. Such surfaces include those that are ***subject to vehicular use, industrial activities, storage of ***erodible or leachable materials, wastes, or chemicals***, and that receive direct rainfall or the run-on or blow-in of rainfall; or subject to use of pesticides and fertilizers, or loss of soil. Such surfaces include, but are not limited to, the lawn and landscaped areas of residential, commercial, and industrial sites or land uses, golf courses, parks, sports fields (natural and artificial turf), cemeteries, and County-standard grassed modular grid pavement.

Project site means that portion of a ***site*** and any offsite areas subject to proposed project activities, alterations, and improvements including those required by this appendix.

Replaced impervious surface means any existing impervious surface on the ***project site*** that is proposed to be removed and re-established as impervious surface, excluding impervious surface removed for the sole purpose of installing utilities or performing maintenance on underground infrastructure. For structures, *removed* means the removal of buildings down to the foundation. For other impervious surfaces, *removed* means the removal down to base course or bare soil. It does not include the removal of pavement material through grinding or other surface modification unless the entire layer of PCC or AC is removed. Replaced impervious surface also includes impervious surface that is moved from one location to another on the project site where the following two conditions are met: (A) the area from which the impervious surface is moved from will be restored to the same or better runoff discharge characteristics as the area being covered by the moved impervious surface, and (B) impervious surface at the new location is either designated as non- pollution generating or the pollution generating characteristics remain unchanged compared to that of the original location. See the "Definitions" section of the *SWDM* for more details on this term.

Single family residential project means any project that (a) constructs or modifies a single family dwelling unit, (b) makes improvements (e.g., driveways, roads, outbuildings, play courts, etc.) or clears native vegetation on a lot that contains or will contain a single family dwelling unit, or (c) is a plat, short plat, or boundary line adjustment that creates or adjusts lots that will contain single family dwelling units.

Site (a.k.a. ***development site***)**,** as used in this appendix, means a single parcel, or either: two or more contiguous parcels that are under common ownership or documented legal control or a portion of a single parcel under documented legal control separate from the remaining parcel, used as a single parcel for a proposed project for purposes of applying for authority from King County to carry out a proposed project.

Steep slope hazard area is the critical area designation, defined and regulated in KCC 21A, that is applied to areas on a slope of 40% or more within a vertical elevation change of at least 10 feet. See the definition of this term in KCC 21A.06 for more details.

Target impervious surface, as used in this appendix, means that portion of a ***site's*** impervious surface required to be mitigated by flow control BMPs. For all projects, target impervious surface is all ***new impervious surface*** and ***replaced impervious surface*** together with any existing impervious surface added on or after January 8, 2001 (the effective date of the Endangered Species Act "take prohibition" issued by the federal government to protect Puget Sound Chinook salmon). *Note: any impervious surface on the* ***site*** *other than target impervious surface may be mitigated by flow control BMPs in trade for not mitigating an equivalent-sized area of target impervious surface.*

(This page intentionally left blank)

C.1 SIMPLIFIED DRAINAGE REVIEW REQUIREMENTS

Threshold

THRESHOLD

Simplified Drainage Review is required for any ***single family residential project*** or ***agricultural project*** that will result in 2,000 square feet[2] or more of ***new impervious surface***, ***replaced impervious surface***, or ***new*** plus ***replaced impervious surface***, or 7,000 square feet or more of ***land disturbing activity***, AND that meets the following criteria:

The project will result in less than 5,000 square feet of new plus replaced pollution generating impervious surface, result in less than ¾ acre of new pollution generating pervious surfaces, AND meets one of the following six additional criteria:

1. The project meets the Basic Exemption from flow control in Core Requirement #3. *Note the Basic Exemption thresholds are applied by project site.*
2. For projects inside the Urban Growth Area on predominately till soils:

   The project results in no more than 7,947 square feet of target impervious surfaces (see below) as defined in Section 1.1.2.1 AND proposed pervious area is equal to or less than 14,941 – 1.88 x (total target impervious surfaces).
3. For projects inside the Urban Growth Area on predominately outwash soils:

   The project results in no more than 6,872 square feet of target impervious surfaces (see below) as defined in Section 1.1.2.1 AND proposed pervious area is equal to or less than 20,343 – 2.96 x (total target impervious surfaces).
4. For outside the Urban Growth Area on predominately till soils:

   The project results in no more than 5,074 square feet of target impervious surfaces (see below) as defined in Section 1.1.2.1 AND proposed pervious area is equal to or less than 11,570 – 2.28 x (total target impervious surfaces).
5. For outside the Urban Growth Area on predominately outwash soils:

   The project results in no more than 4,000 square feet of target impervious surfaces (see below) as defined in Section 1.1.2.1 AND proposed pervious area is equal to or less than 10,720 – 2.68 x (total target impervious surfaces).
6. The project is an agricultural project located within an Agricultural Production District (APD), Farmland Preservation Program (FPP), or site zoned A, that meets all of the following conditions:
   a) the total (new, replaced, and existing) amount of impervious surface that is not fully dispersed per the criteria on page 1-46 must be no more than 4%, AND
   b) new impervious surfaces and new pervious surfaces must not disturb, impact, or replace native vegetation, AND
   c) flow control BMPs must be applied to new impervious surfaces as specified in Core Requirement #9, AND
   d) all impervious surface area, except 10,000 square feet of it, must be set back from its natural location of discharge from the site at least 100 feet for every 10,000 square feet of total

[2] The thresholds of 2,000 and 7,000 square feet shall be applied by project site. All other thresholds specified in terms of square feet of impervious or pervious surface shall be applied by ***threshold discharge area*** and in accordance with the definitions of these surfaces in the preamble to Section C.1 above. *Note: the calculation of total impervious surface added on after January 8, 2001 may exclude any such added impervious surface that is confirmed by DLS-Permitting engineering staff to be already mitigated by a County approved and inspected flow control facility or BMP.*

THRESHOLD

impervious surface and its runoff must be discharged in an unconcentrated manner that promotes infiltration and evapotranspiration, AND

e) increased runoff from the new impervious surface and new pervious surface must not significantly impact a critical area, severe flooding problem, or severe erosion problem, AND

f) the manner in which runoff is discharged from the project site must not create a significant adverse impact per Core Requirement #1.

Determination of Target Impervious Surface

- If the project is a New Development project,
  then target impervious surfaces include new ***plus proposed replaced impervious surface*** plus existing impervious surface added on or after January 8, 2001.
- If the project is a Redevelopment project ***where***
  - New impervious surface is less than 5,000 square feet ***or***
  - Valuation of improvements is less than 50% of the **assessed value** of the existing site improvements.

  then target impervious surfaces include new impervious surface plus existing impervious added on or after January 8, 2001.
- If the project is a Redevelopment project ***where***
  - New impervious surface is greater than or equal to 5,000 square feet ***and***
  - Valuation of improvements is greater than or equal to 50% of the **assessed value** of the existing site improvements

  then target impervious surfaces include new ***plus proposed replaced impervious surface*** plus existing impervious surface added on or after January 8, 2001.

*Note: for the purposes applying this threshold to a proposed single family residential subdivision (i.e., plat or short plat project), the impervious surface coverage assumed on each created lot shall be 4,000 square feet (8,000 square feet if the **site** is zoned as RA) or the maximum allowed by KCC 21A.12.030, whichever is less. A lower impervious surface coverage may be assumed for any lot in which the lower impervious surface coverage is set as the maximum through a declaration of covenant recorded for the lot. Also, the **new pervious surface** assumed on each created lot shall be the entire lot area, except the assumed impervious portion and any portion in which native conditions are preserved by a clearing limit per KCC 16.82, a covenant or easement recorded for the lot, or a tract dedicated by the proposed subdivision.*

All projects subject to Simplified Drainage Review must comply with the following two basic mitigation requirements in this appendix:

1. Apply **flow control BMPs** to developed surfaces as directed in Section C.1.3 (p. C-16), and
2. Apply **erosion and sediment control (ESC) measures** to disturbed areas during construction and applicable **stormwater pollution prevention and spill control (SWPPS)** measures as directed in Section C.1.4 (p. C-26).

To show how these requirements will be met, project applicants must submit drainage plans and supporting documentation as directed in Section C.1.5 (p. C-30).

In addition, some Simplified drainage review projects may have ***site-***specific or project-specific drainage concerns or requirements that must be addressed by a ***civil engineer*** or County engineering review staff. Examples include the presence of flood, erosion, or landslide hazards on or near the ***site***, safe conveyance of stormwater through the ***site***, and application of special drainage requirements. The County will identify any such issues during Simplified Drainage Review and will require a separate **Targeted Drainage Review** in addition to Simplified Drainage Review to address them (see Section C.1.2, p. C-12). This may require the additional submittal of ***site*** information, reports, and/or engineering plans signed and stamped

by a ***civil engineer***. For more information on how Targeted Drainage Review relates to Simplified Drainage Review, see Reference Section C.5.1 (p. C-147).

Use Section C.1.1 (below) to determine the scope of requirements, if any, that must be addressed by a ***civil engineer*** and/or County engineering review staff under Targeted Drainage Review, and learn where to look to determine the scope of requirements for application of flow control BMPs and CSWPP measures and submittal of information necessary for Simplified Drainage Review.

C.1.1 PROCEDURE FOR DETERMINING REQUIREMENTS

The following questionnaire/flow chart (Table C.1.1.A) is intended to be a guide for determining the scope of requirements that will apply to a project in Simplified Drainage Review, and Targeted Drainage Review if applicable. It will refer or direct you to more specific information on the application of requirements found in subsequent subsections, and in some cases, King County Code.

TABLE C.1.1.A QUESTIONNAIRE/FLOW CHART FOR DETERMINING REQUIREMENTS

No.	Question	If YES	If NO
1.	Is the proposed project subject to drainage review as determined by consulting *DLS-Permitting*[3] or Section 1.1.1 of the *SWDM*?	Go to the next question.	The project does not need to meet the requirements of the *SWDM* or this appendix.
2.	Is the project subject to Simplified Drainage Review as determined in Section C.1 (p. C-7) and confirmed with DLS-Permitting?	Step through the following questions to (1) determine the scope of requirements, if any, that must be addressed by a ***civil engineer*** and/or DLS-Permitting under Targeted Drainage Review, and (2) learn where to look to determine the scope of requirements for application of flow control BMPs and CSWPP measures and submittal of information necessary for Simplified Drainage Review.	Directed Drainage Review, Full Drainage Review, Targeted Drainage Review, or Large Project Drainage Review is required as specified in the *SWDM*, and engineering plans signed and stamped by a ***civil engineer*** must be submitted to DLS-Permitting. Use the *SWDM* and not this appendix to determine drainage review requirements.
3.	Does the ***site*** contain or is it adjacent to a ***flood hazard area*** as determined by DLS-Permitting through a "critical area review" per KCC 21A.24.100?	A notice on title will be required as specified in KCC 21A.24.170 and associated public rule, and any proposed structures or substantial improvements within the 100-year floodplain will require a FEMA Elevation Certificate completed by a ***civil engineer*** or ***land surveyor*** per KCC 21A.24.270. See Section C.1.2.1 (p. C-13) for further details. Go to the next question.	Skip to Question 7 (p. C-11).

[3] *DLS-Permitting* means the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division which is the department responsible for conducting drainage review of proposed projects that are subject to a King County development permit or approval. Applicants for a permit or approval should contact DLS-Permitting permit review staff prior to submittal to determine/confirm that drainage review is required, and if so, what type of drainage review is appropriate. Applicants may also arrange a predesign meeting with DLS-Permitting permit review staff to confirm the type of drainage review and scope of drainage requirements that apply to the proposed project.

TABLE C.1.1.A QUESTIONNAIRE/FLOW CHART FOR DETERMINING REQUIREMENTS

No.	Question	If YES	If NO
4.	Has the 100-year floodplain boundary and *base flood elevation*[4] been determined for the ***flood hazard area*** based on available flood hazard data and deemed acceptable by DLS-Permitting in accordance with KCC 21A.24.230?	The floodplain boundary and base flood elevation must be shown on the project's ***site*** plans and on the face of any recorded documents if the project is a subdivision. See Section C.1.2.1 (p. C-13) for further details. Go to the next question.	A floodplain study in accordance with Section 4.4.2 of the *SWDM* must be completed by a ***civil engineer*** (or authorized agency) to determine the appropriate floodplain boundary and base flood elevation that will be used by DLS-Permitting to evaluate the proposed project's compliance with the ***flood hazard area*** development standards in KCC 21A.24. See Section C.1.2.1 (p. C-13) for further details and requirements. Go to the next question.
5.	Is the ***project site*** portion of the ***site*** located on land that is entirely outside of the 100-year floodplain boundary and above the base flood elevation determined in Question 1?	Go to the next question.	The ***project site*** must be relocated to land that is outside of the 100-year floodplain and above the base flood elevation, or a ***civil engineer*** must evaluate and modify the project as needed to comply with the standards in KCC 21A.24 for development within the floodplain. This may require a major floodplain study in accordance with Section 4.4.2 of the *SWDM* to determine the floodway boundary of the ***flood hazard area***. See Section C.1.2.1 (p. C-13) for further details and requirements. Go to the next question.
6.	Has a *channel migration zone*[5] been mapped by King County for the ***flood hazard area***?	The severe and moderate channel migration hazard area boundaries must be delineated on the project's ***site*** plans and on any recorded documents if the project is a subdivision. DLS-Permitting will review the proposed project for compliance with the channel migration zone development standards in KCC 21A.24.275. Go to the next question.	Go to the next question.

[4] *Base flood elevation* is the elevation of the 100-year floodplain, at the ***project site***, that has been determined in accordance with the standards in KCC 21A.24.230.

[5] *Channel migration zone* means those areas within the lateral extent of likely stream channel movement that are subject to risk due to stream bank destabilization, rapid stream incision, stream bank erosion and shifts in the location of stream channels, as shown on King County's Channel Migration Zone maps. The channel migration zone includes two additional components, the *severe channel migration hazard area*, which includes the present channel width plus the area at greatest risk of lateral movement, and the *moderate channel migration hazard area*, which is the remaining portion of the channel migration zone.

TABLE C.1.1.A QUESTIONNAIRE/FLOW CHART FOR DETERMINING REQUIREMENTS

No.	Question	If YES	If NO
7.	Does the ***site*** contain or is it adjacent to an ***erosion hazard area*** as determined by DLS-Permitting through a "critical area review" per KCC 21A.24.100?	DLS-Permitting may require additional flow control or ESC measures designed by a ***civil engineer*** to avoid impacts to these areas. See Section C.1.2.2 (p. C-14) for further details. Go to the next question.	Go to the next question.
8.	Does the ***site*** contain or is it adjacent to a ***steep slope hazard area*** or ***landslide hazard area*** as determined by DLS-Permitting through a "critical area review" per KCC 21A.24.100?	DLS-Permitting will review the project for compliance with the develop-ment standards for these hazard areas as specified in KCC 21A.24. The DLS-Permitting staff geologist must approve all drainage systems for the project and may require a geotechnical analysis. A tightline designed by a ***civil engineer*** may be required to safely convey any concentrated runoff through the hazard area. See Section C.1.2.3 (p. C-14) for further details. Go to the next question.	Go to the next question.
9.	Is the project located in a basin planning area, community planning area, Critical Drainage Area (CDA), or other area with adopted area-specific drainage requirements AND does the project exceed the minimum thresholds for these drainage requirements as determined by DLS-Permitting (see Section C.1.2.4, p. C-15)?	The project must meet the area-specific drainage requirements, some of which may require drainage systems or measures designed by a ***civil engineer***. DLS-Permitting will determine which requirements are applicable and if engineering plans signed and stamped by a ***civil engineer*** are required. Go to the next question	Go to the next question.
10.	Is the project proposing 1 acre or more of ***land disturbing activity*** (see Section C.1.2.5, p. C-15)?	CSWPP plans signed and stamped by a ***civil engineer*** are required to address compliance with the ESC standards for larger projects specified in the *SWDM*. Go to the next question.	Go to the next question.
11.	Is the project proposing to construct or modify a drainage pipe or ditch that is 12 inches or more in diameter/depth, or does the ***project site*** receive surface or storm water from a drainage pipe or ditch that is 12 inches or more in diameter/depth (see Section C.1.2.6, p. C-15)?	Engineering plans signed and stamped by a ***civil engineer*** are required to address compliance with the Targeted Drainage Review requirements pertaining to constructed or modified conveyance systems in the *SWDM*. Go to the next question.	Go to the next question.

TABLE C.1.1.A QUESTIONNAIRE/FLOW CHART FOR DETERMINING REQUIREMENTS

No.	Question	If YES	If NO
12.	Are there any other drainage features onsite (swales, ditches, etc.) that may impact the proposed project or downstream properties or be impacted by the project?	Engineering analysis by a ***civil engineer*** may be required. DLS-Permitting staff will need to assess features. Go to the next question.	Go to the next question.
13.	Is the proposed project on a ***site*/lot** smaller than 22,000 square feet?	Apply flow control BMPs in accordance with the Small Lot BMP Requirements in Section C.1.3.1 (p. C-18) and the Flow Control BMP Implementation Requirements in Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). Apply ESC and SWPPS measures in accordance with Section C.1.4 (p. C-26). Comply with the Simplified submittal requirements in Section C.1.5 (p. C-30)	Apply flow control BMPs in compliance with either the Large Lot BMP Requirements Large Lot BMP Requirements, OR the Large Rural Lot BMP Requirements (if the lot is 5 acres or larger and located outside the UGA) in Section C.1.3 and the Flow Control BMP Implementation Requirements in Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). Apply ESC and SWPPS measures in accordance with Section C.1.4 (p. C-26). Comply with the Simplified submittal requirements in Section C.1.5 (p. C-30)

C.1.2 TARGETED DRAINAGE REVIEW REQUIREMENTS

Targeted Drainage Review is usually required in addition to Simplified Drainage Review for any projects that have one or more of the following characteristics as determined by DLS-Permitting:

- The project's drainage or improvements may impact or be impacted by the presence of certain critical areas (i.e., streams, lakes, wetlands, ***flood hazard areas***, ***erosion hazard areas***, ***steep slope hazard areas***, and ***landslide hazard areas***).
- The project is subject to additional drainage requirements by virtue of its location in areas where special drainage requirements have been adopted.
- The project proposes 1 acre or more of ***land disturbing activity***.
- The project proposes to construct or modify a drainage pipe/ditch that is 12 inches or more in size or depth or receives runoff from a drainage pipe/ditch that is 12 inches or more in size or depth.
- The project has other concerns that require evaluation, analysis, and/or design by ***civil engineer***.

For some projects in Targeted Drainage Review, DLS-Permitting permit review staff may be able to address some of the above concerns/requirements without a ***civil engineer*** through approval of the flow control BMPs/ CSWPP measures in this appendix combined with increased setbacks, geotechnical review, or permit approval conditions. In other cases, a ***civil engineer*** will be required to address specific requirements in the *SWDM* and submit engineering plans.

Note: Targeted Drainage Review is not a substitute for a Critical Area Review. Simplified Drainage Review project proposals are not exempted from applicable requirements of KCC 21A.24 (critical areas

regulations) including critical area reports, notices on title, buffers, building setbacks, and development standards/alterations.

C.1.2.1 FLOOD HAZARD AREAS

Some Simplified Drainage Review projects may be on ***sites*** that contain or are adjacent to a ***flood hazard area*** for a stream, lake, wetland, *closed depression*,[6] marine shoreline, or other water feature as determined by DLS-Permitting through a critical area review. If the project is on such a ***site***, the 100-year **floodplain boundary** and **base flood elevation**, at a minimum, must be determined and shown on the project's ***site*** plans[7] and on the face of any recorded documents for a subdivision. If the ***flood hazard area*** includes a channel migration zone mapped by King County, the severe and moderate channel migration hazard area boundaries must also be delineated on the project's ***site*** plans and on any recorded documents if the project is a subdivision. DLS-Permitting will review the proposed project for compliance with the channel migration zone development standards in KCC 21A.24.275.

The floodplain and base flood elevation may be identified from an **already completed 100-year floodplain study** approved by DLS-Permitting in accordance with KCC 21A.24.230. Examples of approved floodplain studies include the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) mapping of the 100-year floodplain and base flood elevation, and floodplain mapping completed by the King County Department of Natural Resources, Water and Land Resources Division (WLRD).

If an **approved floodplain study does not exist** for the ***site***, one must be prepared by a ***civil engineer*** in accordance with the methods and procedures in Section 4.4.2 of the *SWDM*. For some ***sites***, if the ***project site*** is at least 10 feet above the ordinary high water mark or 2 feet above the downstream overflow elevation of a water feature, a Simplified study per Section 4.4.2 may be used to identify an "approximate" floodplain boundary and base flood elevation. In some cases, DLS-Permitting engineering review staff, in lieu of a ***civil engineer***, may identify this approximate floodplain boundary and base flood elevation based on elevation information provided by the applicant's *land surveyor*.[8]

If any portion of the project is within the 100-year floodplain, the 100-year **floodway** boundary must also be determined and shown on the project's ***site*** plans and on the face of any recorded documents for a subdivision. As with the floodplain boundary and base flood elevation, a floodplain study may be required in accordance with Section 4.4.2 of the *SWDM* to determine the floodway if one has not already been completed and approved by DLS-Permitting for the ***site***.

If the ***flood hazard area*** includes a King County-mapped channel migration zone, the two component channel migration hazard area boundaries (severe and moderate) must be shown on the project's ***site*** plans and on the face of any recorded documents for a subdivision.

DLS-Permitting uses all of the ***flood hazard area*** **information** determined above to review a project for compliance with FEMA regulations and the County's ***flood hazard area*** regulations in KCC 21A.24. If DLS-Permitting staff determines that the proposed project meets these regulations for building in or near a floodplain, the permit may be approved with specific conditions to ensure the project does not impact the floodplain and that a flood will have minimal impact on the ***project site***. Examples of conditions could include:

- Building on a portion of the ***site*** where the existing ground is higher than the 100-year flood elevation,

[6] *Closed depression* means an area greater than 5,000 square feet at overflow elevation that is low-lying and that has no or such a limited surface water outlet that the area acts as a stormwater retention facility. The primary loss of water volume from a closed depression is through evapotranspiration and discharge into the ground rather than surface flow.

[7] *Note: for **single family residential permits** and permits for **agricultural projects**, DLS-Permitting may waive the requirement for floodplain delineation on the site plan, provided the plan notes that a floodplain exists and indicates the base flood elevation.*

[8] *Land surveyor* means a person licensed by the State of Washington as a professional land surveyor.

- Building within the flood fringe using a pier or pile foundation to provide unrestricted flow through the foundation area,
- Placing no fill within any portion of the floodplain without providing equivalent compensating storage.

For permits proposing a building within the 100-year floodplain, a "**FEMA Elevation Certificate**" must be completed by a ***civil engineer*** or **land surveyor** and submitted to DLS-Permitting after the foundation is constructed but prior to the framing approval. The certificate documents the elevation of the lowest finished floor of the building as determined by or under the direction of a ***civil engineer*** **or land surveyor**. This certificate is often required by mortgage companies, and it helps the homeowner obtain proper flood insurance and maintain accurate insurance ratings for flood-prone areas.

Prior to permit approval (or in the case of a short plat, at or before recording), a **notice on title** must be recorded for the ***site*** (or the individual lots of a short plat project) as specified in KCC 21A.24.170 and its associated public rule. The notice on title must note that a ***flood hazard area*** exists and that no fill or alteration is allowed within the ***flood hazard area***. The base flood elevation must also be noted.

If DLS-Permitting staff determines that the proposed project does not meet FEMA or County regulations for building in or near a floodplain, the applicant may be required to hire a ***civil engineer*** to address compliance with these regulations. If the ***project site*** is partially or fully located in the mapped 100-year floodway, the permit may be denied as federal and County regulations prohibit building structures in the floodway.

C.1.2.2 EROSION HAZARD AREAS

Some projects may drain to or are on ***sites*** that contain an ***erosion hazard area***, which is a critical area defined and regulated in KCC 21A. Vegetation removal and grading make ***erosion hazard areas*** prone to erosion and sediment transport, and the *point discharge*[9] of stormwater runoff can cause erosion in such areas even if they are well vegetated. Projects in Simplified Drainage Review that are determined to drain to these areas may be required to provide additional flow control BMPs or other measures that must be engineered. In addition, more strict ESC measures may be required as well as a notice on title as specified in KCC 21A.24. If flow control and erosion and sediment control cannot be adequately addressed by the flow control BMPs and ESC measures in this appendix, DLS-Permitting may require a ***civil engineer*** to provide a ***site-***specific construction sequence and engineered site improvement/ESC plans.

C.1.2.3 STEEP SLOPE AND LANDSLIDE HAZARD AREAS

Some projects may drain to or are on ***sites*** that contain or are adjacent to a ***steep slope hazard area*** or a ***landslide hazard area***. Storm runoff not properly controlled can cause erosion, landslides, raveling, and instability. Point discharge of runoff is not allowed near or onto these areas. Flow control BMPs may be allowed if installed according to the design requirements and specifications in Section C.2.

All drainage systems on or near ***steep slope hazard areas*** *or* ***landslide hazard areas*** *must be approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist.*

If flow control BMPs are not adequate to prevent adverse impacts to a ***steep slope hazard area*** or ***landslide hazard area*** as determined by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist, a *tightline*[10] may be constructed to convey the runoff to a stable discharge point with adequate energy dissipation. The location of the discharge point must be onsite or within a drainage easement or public right-of-way. The tightline must conform to the materials and design requirements of Section 4.2 of the *Surface Water Design Manual*

[9] *Point discharge* means a concentrated flow from a pipe, ditch, or other similar drainage feature.

[10] *Tightline* means a continuous length of pipe that conveys water from one point to another (typically down a steep slope) with no inlets or collection points in between.

and must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer***, ***engineering geologist***, or the DLS-Permitting staff geologist.

Tightlines typically require design by a ***civil engineer*** in accordance with Section 4.2.2.1 of the *Surface Water Design Manual*. However, for a simple installation serving one lot, DLS-Permitting engineering and/or geotechnical staff may provide a standardized design if they determine that the standardized tightline and energy dissipation system is appropriate. *Note: For most projects in Simplified Drainage Review with less than 10,000 square feet of impervious surface, the tightline system may be constructed using 6-inch diameter solid wall polyethylene (solid wall PE) pipe with a standard outfall. See Figure 4.2.2.D of the Surface Water Design Manual for an example tightline outfall.*

C.1.2.4 ADOPTED AREA-SPECIFIC DRAINAGE REQUIREMENTS

Some projects may be subject to additional drainage requirements and/or engineering analysis by virtue of their location in an area where special drainage requirements have been adopted via a basin plan, salmon conservation plan, stormwater compliance plan, lake management plan, flood hazard reduction plan, etc. Projects located in such areas must comply with these requirements if the requirements are more stringent than the requirements of this appendix as determined by DLS-Permitting.

Engineering analysis and/or engineering plans signed and stamped by a ***civil engineer*** may be required to comply with these requirements or show that the project is exempt or below the threshold for application of specific requirements. DLS-Permitting staff will determine which requirements apply and the extent of engineering analysis required. When engineering analysis shows that a requirement threshold will not be exceeded, the project's applicant, contractors, and inspectors must be notified of any actions or limitations necessary to prevent that threshold from being exceeded.

C.1.2.5 ONE ACRE OR MORE OF LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITY

Projects in Simplified Drainage Review that are proposing 1 acre or more of ***land disturbing activity*** typically necessitate more expert attention to required ESC and SWPPS pollution prevention measures. Consequently, a construction stormwater pollution prevention (CSWPP) plan will need to be prepared in accordance with the CSWPP plan requirements for larger projects specified in Chapter 2 of the *SWDM*. In order to comply with these requirements, an engineered CSWPP plan and limited scope Technical Information Report (TIR) signed and stamped by a ***civil engineer*** will be required. DLS-Permitting may waive this requirement if the ***site's*** topography is such that no more than 1 acre of disturbed area will drain to one location.

C.1.2.6 PIPES/DITCHES TWELVE INCHES OR MORE IN SIZE/DEPTH

Projects in Simplified Drainage Review that propose to construct or modify a drainage pipe that is 12 inches or more in size or a ditch that is 12 inches or more in depth, or modify a drainage pipe/ditch that receives runoff from a drainage pipe/ditch that is 12 inches or more in size/depth, must submit engineering plans meeting the requirements outlined for Targeted Drainage Review Category #2 (see Section 1.1.2.2 of the *Surface Water Design Manual*). This requirement may be waived by DLS-Permitting for driveway culverts less than 25 feet in length that match downstream and upstream culvert sizes.

C.1.3 APPLICATION OF FLOW CONTROL BMPS

Flow control BMPs are methods and designs for dispersing, infiltrating, or otherwise reducing or preventing development-related increases in runoff at or near the sources of those increases. Flow control BMPs include, but are not limited to, preservation and use of ***native vegetated surfaces*** to fully disperse runoff; use of other pervious surfaces to disperse runoff; roof downspout infiltration; permeable pavements; bioretention; and reduction of development footprint.

For projects subject to Simplified Drainage Review, the application of flow control BMPs is mandatory. For individual lot projects, flow control BMPs must be applied as specified by one of the following three sets of BMP requirements, whichever is applicable based on the size of ***site*/lot,** the extent of impervious surface coverage resulting from the project on the ***site*/lot,** and the location of the project relative to Urban Growth Area boundaries:

- Small Lot BMP Requirements (for ***sites*/lots** <22,000 square feet), Section C.1.3.1
- Large Lot BMP Requirements (for ***sites*/lots** ≥22,000 square feet and **either** less than 5 acres or inside the UGA), Section C.1.3.2
- Large Rural Lot BMP Requirements (for sites/lots ≥ 5 acres and located outside the UGA), Section C.1.3.3

These requirements specify both the order of preference for selection of flow control BMPs and their extent of application to the developed surfaces of an **individual lot project**. The implementation of flow control BMPs by projects on the individual ***site*/lot** must be in accordance with the "Flow Control BMP Implementation Requirements" detailed in Section C.1.3.4.

Flow Control BMP requirements for **subdivision projects** and **projects within rights of way** (e.g. road improvements are detailed in Section 1.2.9 of the SWDM.

❑ EVALUATING WHETHER A BMP IS REQUIRED: INFEASIBILITY AND COMPETING NEEDS

Proper application of the requirements is intended to result in flow control BMPs implemented to the *maximum extent feasible* on the site/lot. Whether a BMP is considered feasible or not is determined by evaluation of specific criteria provided for each BMP in the detailed BMP sections of this manual. In addition to a determination of infeasibility, a BMP may not be required if implementation of the BMP would be in conflict with:

- Requirements of the following federal or state laws, rules, and standards: Historic Preservation Laws and Archaeology Laws as listed at https://dahp.wa.gov/project-review/preservation-laws, Federal Superfund or Washington State Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) requirements for airports, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).
- Where a BMP requirement has been found to be in conflict with special zoning district design criteria adopted and being implemented pursuant to a community planning process, the existing local codes may supersede or reduce the BMP requirement.
- Public health and safety standards.
- Transportation regulations to maintain the option for future expansion or multi-modal use of public rights-of-way.
- A local Critical Area Ordinance that provides protection of tree species.

❑ REQUIRED SOIL REPORT, INVESTIGATION AND INFILTRATION RATE TESTING

Determining the feasibility of infiltrative BMPs requires soils investigation and testing of the subgrade to identify soil types, soil characteristics, depth to impermeable layers (hardpan) or maximum wet season groundwater level, and infiltration rates of the native soil.

Specific requirements for the soils report and infiltration testing are as follows:

Required Soil Report

Where full dispersion of runoff from target surfaces for each site/lot is not feasible or applicable as specified in Section C.2.1.1 and C.2.1.2, a soils report is a required for the purpose of determining the feasibility of full infiltration of roof runoff and other infiltrative BMPs that may need to be evaluated to meet the project's defined BMP requirements.

Soils reports must be prepared by or under the direction of a licensed onsite sewage system designer or geotechnical professional (e.g. licensed engineer with geotechnical and/or hydrogeologic experience, licensed geologist, hydrogeologist, or engineering geologist).

A soils report produced for siting and design of an **onsite sewage system** may also be used to satisfy this soils report requirement, provided that the report includes all of the information required and described below.

- The soils report shall reference a sufficient number of soils logs to establish the type and limits of soils on the project site. The report should at a minimum identify the limits of any outwash type soils (e.g. textural classes ranging from coarse sand and cobbles to medium sand). Soils reports for individual lots must include at least one **soils log** for each proposed infiltration location. Each log shall be a minimum of 4 feet deep and at least 1 foot below the expected bottom elevation of the infiltrative BMP. The report shall describe the SCS series of the soil and the textural class of each horizon through the depth of the log, and it shall include notes of any evidence of a high groundwater table, such as mottling.
- Evaluation of native soils for determination of groundwater protective characteristics (see Section 5.2.1 "Groundwater Protection" in the SWDM) is required in the soils report to demonstrate the feasibility of full infiltration, limited infiltration, and permeable pavement BMPs where treating pollution generating surfaces. This evaluation is not required if treating non-pollution generating surfaces only, or if a water quality facility chosen from the Basic Water Quality Treatment menu in Chapter 6 of the SWDM provides treatment prior to infiltration.
- Infiltration testing, as described below, is required to be included in the soils report to demonstrate the feasibility of bioretention and permeable pavement BMPs for the site/lot. The report on infiltration testing should include a recommended correction factor to be applied to the required in-situ, small-scale infiltration test results. The correction factor recommended should be determined by considering the number of infiltration tests performed in relation to the number of bioretention areas and site variability. Correction factors can range from 0.33 to 1 (no correction) and are determined by a geotechnical professional.

Note: *For either a single infiltration system or an infiltrative BMP serving:*

- *more than one lot, 10,000 square feet or more of impervious surface,*
- *¾ acre or more of pervious surface,*
- *5,000 square feet or more of pollution generating impervious surface, OR*
- *for any infiltrative BMP used in modeling to demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard (see Section 1.2.9 of SWDM),*

the requirements detailed in Section 5.2.1 of the SWDM ***shall be met*** *for the following: "Soils, Measured Infiltration Rates, and Design Infiltration Rate". Those sections detail higher level requirements for soils investigation, permeable soil and depth to seasonal high groundwater, determination of initial infiltration*

rates, calculation of design infiltration rates, and preparation of soils reports consistent with the larger size and risk associated with these systems.

Infiltration Testing Requirements

The testing procedure described below is for bioretention and permeable pavement serving a single lot, less than 10,000 square feet of impervious area, less than 5,000 square feet of pollution generating impervious surface, less than ¾ acre of pervious area, and less than 1 acre total tributary area.

Where required to determine feasibility/infeasibility based on native soil infiltration rates, the following test procedure is required:

Infiltration Testing Procedure

1. Excavations shall be made to the bottom elevation of the proposed infiltration BMP. The measured infiltration rate of the underlying soil shall be determined using one of the following: the **EPA falling head percolation test procedure** (*Onsite Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Systems*, EPA, 1980; see Reference Section 6-A), the **double ring infiltrometer test** (ASTM D3385), a **single ring percolation test** using a ring at least 3 feet in diameter (see Reference Section 6-A), or a small or large scale **Pilot Infiltration Test (PIT)** as described in the 2014 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington and Reference 6A of this manual. The larger PIT tests have been shown to more closely match actual full-scale facility performance than the single ring and smaller test methods.
2. The test hole or apparatus shall be filled with water and maintained at depths above the test elevation for the **saturation periods** specified for the appropriate test.
3. Following the saturation period, the rate shall be determined in accordance with the specified test procedures, with a **head** of 6 inches of water.
4. The design engineer shall perform sufficient tests at multiple locations in a proposed BMP footprint to determine a representative infiltration rate. At least two **tests** shall be performed (three if using the smaller-sized EPA falling head or ASTM D3385 double ring infiltrometer test methods) for each proposed bioretention BMP location. Proposed bioretention swales require 1 test per 200 linear feet of swale with a minimum of two tests (3 if using the smaller-sized EPA falling head or ASTM D3385 double ring infiltrometer test methods) performed. Proposed permeable pavement requires 1 test per 5,000 square feet of proposed footprint with a minimum of two tests (3 if using the smaller-sized EPA falling head or ASTM D3385 double ring infiltrometer test methods) performed.

❑ MITIGATION OF WATER QUALITY IMPACTS

For projects subject to Simplified Drainage review, most water quality impacts will be adequately addressed through proper application of flow control BMPs to impervious and pervious surface as specified below. Projects in Simplified Drainage Review are required to have less than 5,000 square feet targeted pollution generating impervious surfaces and less than ¾ acres of new pollution generating pervious surfaces-- therefore, water quality facilities are not required.

C.1.3.1 SMALL LOT BMP REQUIREMENTS

IF the proposed project is on a ***site*/lot smaller than 22,000 square feet**, THEN flow control BMPs must be applied as specified in the requirements below OR the project must demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard (described in Section 1.2.9 of SWDM) using an approved continuous runoff model. Projects on small lots are typically single family residential improvements (e.g., homes, outbuildings, etc.) but could be a small commercial development.

1. The feasibility and applicability of full dispersion as detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.1 must be evaluated for all target impervious surfaces. If feasible and applicable, **full dispersion** must be implemented as part of the proposed project. Typically, small lot full dispersion will be applicable

only in subdivisions where enough forest was preserved by tract, easement, or covenant to meet the minimum requirements for full dispersion in Appendix C, Section C.2.1.1

2. Where full dispersion of target impervious roof areas is not feasible or applicable, or will cause flooding or erosion impacts, the feasibility and applicability of full infiltration as detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.2 must be evaluated (*note, this will require a soils report for the **site/lot***). If feasible and applicable, **full infiltration of roof runoff** must be implemented as part of the proposed project.

3. All target impervious surfaces not mitigated by Requirements 1 and 2 above, must be mitigated to the maximum extent feasible using one or more BMPs from the following list. Use of a given BMP is subject to evaluation of its feasibility and applicability as detailed in Appendix C. Feasible BMPs are required to be implemented. The BMPs listed below may be located anywhere on the ***site*/lot** subject to the limitations and design specifications for each BMP. These BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project.

    - **Full Infiltration** per Appendix C, Section C.2.2, or per Section 5.2, whichever is applicable
    - **Limited Infiltration** per Appendix C, Section C.2.3,
    - **Bioretention** per Appendix C, Section C.2.6, sized as follows:
        - Inside the UGA (Rainfall region SeaTac 1.0 and less): In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.6 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils provide bioretention volume based on 0.1 inches of equivalent storage depth,
        - Inside the UGA (Rainfall regions greater than SeaTac 1.0): In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.8 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.4 inches of equivalent storage depth,
        - Outside the UGA: In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 1.9 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils provide bioretention volume based on 1.0 inches of equivalent storage depth,
    - **Permeable Pavement** per Appendix C, Section C.2.7,

4. All target impervious surfaces not mitigated by Requirements 1,2 and 3 above, must be mitigated to the maximum extent feasible using the Basic Dispersion BMP described below. Use of Basic Dispersion is subject to evaluation of its feasibility and applicability as detailed in Appendix C. Feasible BMPs are required to be implemented. Basic Dispersion BMPs may be located anywhere on the ***site*/lot** subject to the limitations and design specifications cited in Appendix C. The BMP must be implemented as part of the proposed project.

    - **Basic Dispersion** per Appendix C, Section C.2.4,

5. BMPs must be implemented, at minimum, for an impervious area equal to at least 10% of the ***site*/lot** for ***site*/lot sizes up to 11,000 square feet** and at least 20% of the ***site*/lot** for ***site*/lot sizes between 11,000 and 22,000 square feet**. For projects located in ***critical aquifer recharge areas***, these impervious area amounts must be doubled. Doubling of the minimum impervious area required for BMP implementation in a CARA is not required for projects located within 200 ft. of a **steep slope hazard**, **landslide hazard area**, or **erosion hazard area**. If these minimum areas are not mitigated using feasible BMPs from Requirements 1, 2, 3, and 4 above, either a fee in lieu of the required minimum BMPs must be paid (requires that King County Water and Land Resources Division has established a program for determining and utilizing the fees for stormwater focused retrofit projects) OR one or more BMPs from the following list are required to be implemented to achieve compliance. These BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project.

    - **Reduced Impervious Surface Credit** per Appendix C, Section C.2.9,
    - **Native Growth Retention Credit** per Appendix C, Section C.2.10.

6. The soil moisture holding capacity of **new pervious surfaces** must be protected in accordance with KCC 16.82.100 (F) and (G). KCC 16.82.100(F) requires that the duff layer or native topsoil be

retained to the maximum extent practicable. KCC 16.82.100(G) requires soil amendment to mitigate for lost moisture holding capacity where compaction or removal of some or all of the duff layer or underlying topsoil has occurred. The specifications for compost for soil amendment can be found in Reference 11-C.

7. Any proposed connection of roof downspouts to the *local drainage system* must be via a **perforated pipe connection** as detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.11.

C.1.3.2 LARGE LOT BMP REQUIREMENTS

IF the proposed project is on a ***site*/lot** that is **22,000 square feet or larger, but is not a Large Rural Lot as defined in Section 1.3.3,** THEN flow control BMPs must be applied as specified in the requirements below OR the project must demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard (described in Core Requirement #9, Section 1.2.9 of the SWDM) using an approved continuous runoff model.

1. The feasibility and applicability of **full dispersion** as detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.1 must be evaluated for all ***target impervious surfaces***. If feasible and applicable for any such surface, then full dispersion must be applied to that surface and implemented as part of the proposed project. Typically, full dispersion will be applicable only on the largest ***sites*/lots** where there may be enough forest area available within a ***threshold discharge area*** to meet the 15% ratio of ***fully dispersed*** impervious area to ***native vegetated surface***.
2. Where full dispersion of target impervious roof areas is not feasible or applicable, or will cause flooding or erosion impacts, the feasibility and applicability of **full infiltration of roof runoff** must be evaluated in accordance with Appendix C, Section C.2.2, or Section 5.2 of the SWDM, whichever is applicable based on the type of project.[11] If feasible and applicable, full infiltration of roof runoff must be implemented as part of the proposed project.
3. All target impervious surfaces not mitigated by Requirements 1 and 2 above, must be mitigated to the maximum extent feasible using one or more BMPs from the following list. Use of a given BMP is subject to evaluation of its feasibility and applicability as detailed in Appendix C. Feasible BMPs are required to be implemented. The BMPs listed below may be located anywhere on the site/lot subject to the limitations and design specifications for each BMP. These BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project.

    - **Full Infiltration** per Appendix C, Section C.2.2, or per SWDM Section 5.2, whichever is applicable
    - **Limited Infiltration** per Appendix C, Section C.2.3,
    - **Bioretention** per Appendix C, Section C.2.6, sized as follows:
        - Inside the UGA (Rainfall region SeaTac 1.0 and less): In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.6 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils provide bioretention volume based on 0.1 inches of equivalent storage depth
        - Inside the UGA (Rainfall regions greater than SeaTac 1.0): In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.8 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.4 inches of equivalent storage depth,
        - Outside the UGA: In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 1.9 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils provide bioretention volume based on 1.0 inches of equivalent storage depth,
    - **Permeable Pavement** per Appendix C, Section C.2.7,

[11] For projects subject to Simplified Drainage Review, and for any ***single family residential project*** subject to Full or Large Project Drainage Review, the design requirements and specifications in Appendix C, Section C.2.2 may be used for evaluation and design of full infiltration on individual lots. For all other projects, full infiltration must be evaluated and designed in accordance with the infiltration facility standards in Section 5.2.

4. All target impervious surfaces not mitigated by Requirements 1,2 and 3 above, must be mitigated to the maximum extent feasible using the Basic Dispersion BMP described below. Use of Basic Dispersion is subject to evaluation of its feasibility and applicability as detailed in Appendix C. Feasible BMPs are required to be implemented. Basic Dispersion BMPs may be located anywhere on the ***site*/lot** subject the limitations and design specifications cited in Appendix C. The BMP must be implemented as part of the proposed project.

    - **Basic Dispersion** per Appendix C, Section C.2.4,

5. BMPs must be implemented, at minimum, for impervious area amounts defined as follows:

    a) For projects that will result in an impervious surface coverage on the buildable portion of the site/lot of less than 45%, flow control BMPs must be applied to 50% of target impervious surfaces.

    b) For projects that will result in an impervious surface coverage of 45% to 65% on the buildable portion of the site/lot, flow control BMPs must be applied to 50% of target impervious surfaces reduced by 1.5% for each 1% of impervious surface coverage above 45% (e.g., impervious coverage of 55% results in a requirement of FCBMPs applied to 35% of target impervious surfaces).

    c) For projects that will result in an impervious surface coverage greater than 65% on the buildable portion of the site/lot, flow control BMPs must be applied to 20% of the target impervious surfaces or to an impervious area equal to at least 10% of the site/lot, whichever is less.

    The buildable portion of the site/lot is the total area of the site/lot minus any critical areas and minus 200 ft. buffer areas from **a steep slope hazard**, **landslide hazard area**, or **erosion hazard area**. If these minimum areas are not mitigated using feasible BMPs from Requirements 1, 2, 3, and 4 above, either a fee in lieu of the required minimum BMPs must be paid (requires that King County Water and Land Resources Division has established a program for determining and utilizing the fees for stormwater focused retrofit projects) OR one or more BMPs from the following list are required to be implemented to achieve compliance. These BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project.

    - **Reduced Impervious Surface Credit** per Appendix C, Section C.2.9,
    - **Native Growth Retention Credit** per Appendix C, Section C.2.10.

6. The soil moisture holding capacity of **new pervious surfaces** must be protected in accordance with KCC 16.82.100 (F) and (G). KCC 16.82.100(F) requires that the duff layer or native topsoil be retained to the maximum extent practicable. KCC 16.82.100(G) requires soil amendment to mitigate for lost moisture holding capacity where compaction or removal of some or all of the duff layer or underlying topsoil has occurred. The specifications for compost for soil amendment can be found in Reference 11-C.

7. Any proposed connection of roof downspouts to the drainage system must be via a **perforated pipe connection** as detailed in Appendix C, Section C.2.11.

C.1.3.3 LARGE RURAL LOT BMP REQUIREMENTS

IF the proposed project is on a ***site*/lot** that is **5 acres or larger and is located outside the Urban Growth Area (UGA),** THEN the project must demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard (described in Section 1.2.9 of SWDM) using an approved continuous runoff model.

As an alternative to demonstrating compliance with the LID Performance Standard via modeling as described above, agricultural projects and single family residential projects (excluding subdivisions creating 10 lots or more) are given the option to apply flow control BMPs as specified in the requirements below.

1. The feasibility and applicability of full dispersion as detailed in Section C.2.1 must be evaluated for all target impervious surfaces. If feasible and applicable, **full dispersion** must be implemented as part of the proposed project. Typically, full dispersion will be applicable only to ***sites*/lots** or portions of ***sites*/lots** where enough forest is preserved by a clearing limit per KCC 16.82 or by recorded tract, easement, or covenant to meet the minimum requirements for full dispersion in Section C.2.1.1.

2. Where full dispersion of target impervious roof areas is not feasible or applicable, or will cause flooding or erosion impacts, the feasibility and applicability of **full infiltration of roof runoff** must be evaluated in accordance with Section C.2.2, or Section 5.2, whichever is applicable based on the type of project.[12] If feasible and applicable, full infiltration of roof runoff must be implemented as part of the proposed project.

3. All target impervious surfaces not mitigated by Requirements 1 and 2 above, must be mitigated using one or more BMPs from the following list. Use of a given BMP is subject to evaluation of its feasibility and applicability as detailed in Appendix C. The BMPs listed below may be located anywhere on the site/lot subject to the limitations and design specifications for each BMP. These BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project.

    - **Full Infiltration** per Appendix C, Section C.2.2, or per SWDM Section 5.2, whichever is applicable,
    - **Limited Infiltration** per Appendix C, Section C.2.3,
    - **Bioretention** per Appendix C, Section C.2.6, sized as follows: In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 1.9 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils, provide bioretention volume based on 1.0 inches of equivalent storage depth,
    - **Basic Dispersion** per Appendix C, Section C.2.4 followed by **Bioretention** per Section C.2.6., with bioretention sized as follows: In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.9 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.2 inches of equivalent storage depth,
    - **Permeable Pavement** per Appendix C, Section C.2.7

4. The soil moisture holding capacity of **new pervious surfaces** must be protected in accordance with KCC 16.82.100 (F) and (G). KCC 16.82.100(F) requires that the duff layer or native topsoil be retained to the maximum extent practicable. KCC 16.82.100(G) requires soil amendment to mitigate for lost moisture holding capacity where compaction or removal of some or all of the duff layer or underlying topsoil has occurred. The amendment must be such that the replaced topsoil is a minimum of 8 inches thick, unless the applicant demonstrates that a different thickness will provide conditions equivalent to the soil moisture holding capacity native to the site. The replaced topsoil must have an organic content of 5-10% dry weight and a pH suitable for the proposed surface vegetation (for most soils in King County, 4 inches of well-rotted compost tilled into the top 8 inches of soil is sufficient to achieve the organic content standard.) The amendment must take place between May 1 and October 1. The specifications for compost for soil amendment can be found in Reference 11-C.

5. BMPs must be applied to all new pervious surfaces according to the order of preference and extent of application specified in the following requirements:

    a) The feasibility and applicability of full dispersion as detailed in Section C.2.1 (p. C-32) must be evaluated for all new pervious surface. If feasible and applicable, full dispersion must be implemented as part of the proposed project. Typically, full dispersion will be applicable only to sites/lots or portions of sites/lots where enough forest is preserved by a clearing limit per KCC

[12] For projects subject to Simplified Drainage Review, and for any ***single family residential project*** subject to Full, Directed, or Large Project Drainage Review, the design requirements and specifications in Appendix C, Section C.2.2 may be used for evaluation and design of full infiltration on individual lots. For all other projects and any project proposing a full infiltration system serving more than one lot, full infiltration must be evaluated and designed in accordance with the infiltration facility standards in Section 5.2.

16.82 or by recorded tract, easement, or covenant to meet the minimum requirements for full dispersion in Section C.2.1.1 (p. C-32).

b) For that portion of new pervious surface not addressed in Requirement 5.a above, one or more of the following BMPs must be implemented as part of the proposed project.

- **Basic Dispersion** per Appendix C, Section C.2.4, onto native vegetated surfaces only,
- **Bioretention** per Appendix C, Section C.2.6, sized as follows: In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.7 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.006 inches of equivalent storage depth.
- **Limited Infiltration** per Appendix C, Section C.2.3

C.1.3.4 FLOW CONTROL BMP IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

The flow control BMPs required in Section C.1.3.1, C.1.3.2, and C.1.3.3 above must be implemented in accordance with the following requirements:

1. **Implementation Responsibility.** All flow control BMPs required for the ***site*/lot** must be implemented (installed) by the applicant as part of the proposed project unless they have already implemented as part of a subdivision project (e.g., plat or short plat) that created the lot.
2. **Maintenance Responsibility.** Maintenance of all required flow control BMPs is the responsibility of the owner of the ***site*/lot** served by these BMPs. The responsibility for such maintenance must be clearly assigned to the current and future owners of the ***site*/lot** through a "declaration of covenant and grant of easement" as described in Requirement 3 below.
3. **Declaration of Covenant and Grant of Easement.** A declaration of covenant and grant of easement must be recorded for each ***site*/lot** that contains flow control BMPs. A draft of the proposed covenant must be reviewed and approved by DLS-Permitting prior to recording. All required covenants must be recorded prior to final construction approval for the proposed project. The covenant in Reference Section C.5.2, p. C-149, (or equivalent) must be used, and is designed to achieve the following:

   a) Provide **notice** to future owners of the presence of flow control BMPs on the lot and the responsibility of the owner to retain, uphold, and protect the flow control BMP devices, features, pathways, limits, and restrictions.

   b) Include as an **exhibit**, a *recordable version*[13] of the following drainage plan information:

   - The **flow control BMP site plan** showing all developed surfaces (impervious and pervious) and the location and dimensions of flow control BMP devices, features, flowpaths (if applicable), and limits of native growth retention areas (if applicable). This plan(s) must be to scale and include ***site*** topography in accordance with the specifications for such plans in Section C.4.2 (p. C-139). Also indicate any areas where County access is excluded (see paragraph 3.d below). *Note: DLS-Permitting may waive this element if, for example, the only flow control BMP proposed is a limit on impervious surface (reduced footprint).*
   - The **flow control BMP design and maintenance details** for each flow control BMP per Section C.4.3 (p. C-143). This includes a diagram (if applicable) of each flow control BMP device or feature and written maintenance and operation instructions and restrictions for each device, feature, flowpath (if applicable), native growth retention area (if applicable) and impervious surface coverage (if applicable). See Reference M for prepared 8-1/2”x11” maintenance instruction sheets. See https://www.kingcounty.gov/swdm/2021 for downloadable BMP details.

[13] *Recordable version* means one that meets King County's "Standard Formatting Requirements for Recording Documents" pursuant to RCW 36.18.010 and 65.04.045, available online and from the King County Recorder's Office. These requirements include specifications for such things as page size ($8^1/_2$" x 14" or smaller), font size (at least 8-point), and margin width (1" on all sides of every page if there is a standard cover sheet).

Assure the exhibits are correctly cross-referenced in the declaration of covenant (the site plan is typically Exhibit A and the design/maintenance details are typically Exhibit B).

c) Require that each flow control BMP be operated and **maintained at the owner's expense** in accordance with the above exhibit.

d) Grant King County or its successor the **right to enter** the property at reasonable times for purposes of inspecting the flow control BMPs and to perform any corrective maintenance, repair, restoration, or mitigation work on the flow control BMPs that has not been performed by the property owner within a reasonable time set by DNRP, and to charge the property owner for the cost of any maintenance, repair, restoration, or mitigation work performed by King County.

The right to enter typically applies to the entire property, but occasionally excepts areas on the property agreed upon by the County to be excluded from access. Such areas are to be shown on the site plan described above.

e) Prohibit any **modification or removal** of flow control BMPs without written approval from King County. In cases where the modification or removal is done under a King County development permit, the approval must be obtained from DLS-Permitting (or its successor) and a covenant must be recorded to reflect the changes. In all other cases, the approval must be obtained from DNRP (or its successor) and a covenant must be recorded to reflect the changes. Approval will be granted only if equivalent protection in terms of hydrologic performance is provided by other means.

4. **Timing of Implementation.** All required flow control BMPs must be installed prior to final inspection approval of constructed improvements. For BMPs that rely on vegetation, the vegetation must be planted and starting to grow prior to final construction approval.

5. **Acceptance Standards.** Flow control BMPs may be inspected during and/or following construction. Approval of the constructed BMPs will be based on verification that the materials and placement appear to meet the specifications and that the BMPs appear to function as designed. Onsite observations may be used to verify that materials are as specified and material receipts checked. Performance may be evaluated by a ***site*** visit while it is raining or by testing with a bucket of water or garden hose to check pavement permeability or proper connection to BMP devices/features, etc.

6. **Drainage Concerns.** If DLS-Permitting determines that there is a potential for drainage impacts to a neighboring property or critical area, then additional measures may be required. Some flow control BMPs may not be appropriate in certain situations, and will not be allowed by DLS-Permitting where they may cause drainage problems.

7. **Geotechnical Concerns.** A geotechnical engineer, engineering geologist, or DLS-Permitting staff geologist must evaluate and approve flow control BMPs that are proposed: (A) on slopes steeper than 15%; (B) within a setback from the top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15%; or (C) within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area.*** In addition, DLS-Permitting may require review by a geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist of any proposed BMP that infiltrates, disperses, or directs overflow adjacent to or towards a steep ***slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area.*** DLS-Permitting may also require some projects to route flows down or around such slopes using non-perforated pipes. Some flow control BMPs may not be appropriate for these locations, and will not be allowed by DLS-Permitting where flows may cause erosion problems.

8. **Sewage System Concerns.** If DLS-Permitting determines that there is a potential conflict between onsite sewage systems and flow control BMPs, additional measures may be required. Some projects may need to route flows past onsite sewage systems using non-perforated pipes. Also, some flow control BMPs may not be appropriate for these ***sites***, and will not be allowed where sewage systems may be impacted.

9. **Engineering Concerns.** While most of the flow control BMPs in this appendix can be implemented by a non-engineer, there are some that have structural components that must be designed or evaluated

by a ***civil engineer*** or *structural engineer*.[14] When a BMP is proposed that has such components as identified in Section C.2, DLS-Permitting may require submittal of engineering plans for that component signed and stamped by a ***civil engineer*** or structural engineer.

10. **Connection to Subsurface Drains.** Flow control BMPs **should not** be connected to subsurface drains (e.g., footing drains) as these connections may adversely affect the performance of the BMPs, and in some cases may cause reverse flow into the footing drains during storm events.

11. **Simplified Drainage Plan**. The type, size, and placement of proposed flow control BMPs are to be shown on the **flow control BMP site plan** portion of the Simplified drainage plan submitted for the proposed project. This plan must be in accordance with the specifications for such plans outlined in Section C.4 (p. C-137) unless otherwise directed by DLS-Permitting.

[14] *Structural engineer* means a person licensed by the State of Washington as a professional civil engineer specializing in structural engineering.

C.1.4 APPLICATION OF CSWPP MEASURES

It is the responsibility of both the applicant and contractor to prevent the erosion and transport of sediment and other construction-related pollutants to the maximum extent practicable. Erosion and sediment control (ESC) measures and stormwater pollution prevention and spill control (SWPPS) measures must be used both during and after construction as specified in this section.

For projects subject to Simplified Drainage Review and disturbing less than 1 acre of land, the application of ESC and SWPPS measures must be in accordance with the "Simplified Site CSWPP Requirements" in Section C.1.4.1 and the "CSWPP Implementation Requirements" in Section C.1.4.2. The CSWPP Simplified Site Requirements specify the types of measures that must be considered for application to the construction site based on project-specific ***site*** features, soil conditions, weather conditions, time of year, and construction activities. The CSWPP implementation requirements are generally applicable to all projects in Simplified Drainage Review disturbing less than 1 acre.

C.1.4.1 SIMPLIFIED SITE CSWPP REQUIREMENTS

For projects in Simplified Drainage Review that disturb less than 1 acre of land, all of the following Simplified site ESC and SWPPS requirements must be evaluated for applicability to the proposed project:

A. MARK CLEARING LIMITS/MINIMIZE CLEARING

Prior to beginning land disturbing activities, all clearing limits, sensitive areas and their buffers, and trees that are to be preserved within the construction area and any existing or proposed LID facility areas shall be clearly marked, both in the field and on the Simplified site CSWPP plan, to prevent damage and offsite impacts. Also, clearing shall be minimized to the maximum extent practicable. See "**Mark Clearing Limits/Minimize Clearing**," Section C.3.5, for more detailed specifications.

B. MINIMIZE SEDIMENT TRACKED OFFSITE

1. Establish a stabilized entrance for construction vehicle access to minimize the tracking of sediment onto public roads. Entrance and exit shall be limited to one route, if possible. See "**Stabilized Construction Entrance**," Section C.3.1, for detailed specifications.
2. If sediment is tracked offsite, public roads shall be cleaned thoroughly at the end of each day, or more frequently during wet weather, if necessary to prevent sediment from entering waters of the state. Sediment shall be removed from roads by shoveling or pickup sweeping and shall be transported to a controlled sediment disposal area. Street washing will be allowed only after sediment is removed in this manner. Street wash wastewater shall be controlled by pumping back onsite, or otherwise be prevented from discharging into drainage systems tributary to surface waters. This requirement **shall be included as a note** on the Simplified site CSWPP plan.

C. CONTROL SEDIMENT

Runoff from disturbed areas must pass through a sediment control measure to prevent the transport of sediment downstream until the disturbed area is fully stabilized. Sediment controls must be installed as one of the first steps in grading and shall be functional before other land disturbing activities take place. One or more the following sediment controls may be used to meet this requirement:

- **Silt Fence** (See Section C.3.6)
- **Vegetated Strip** (See Section C.3.7)
- **Triangular Silt Dike** (See Section C.3.8)
- **Storm Drain Inlet Protection** (See Section C.3.9)

D. STABILIZE EXPOSED SOILS

All exposed and unworked soils shall be stabilized through the application of **cover measures** to protect the soil from the erosive forces of raindrop impact, flowing water, and wind erosion. One or more of the following **cover measures** may be used to meet this requirement during the construction phase:

- **Mulching** (See Section C.3.2)
- **Nets and Blankets** (See Section C.3.3)
- **Plastic Covering** (See Section C.3.4)
- **Seeding** (See Section C.3.10)
- **Sodding** (See Section C.3.11)

Cover measures shall be **applied in accordance with the following requirements**:

1. Cover measures must be installed if an area is to remain unworked for more than seven days during the dry season (May 1 to September 30) or for more than two consecutive working days during the wet season (October 1 to April 30). These time limits may be relaxed if an area poses a low risk of erosion due to soil type, slope gradient, anticipated weather conditions, or other factors. Conversely, the County may reduce these time limits if site conditions warrant greater protection (e.g., adjacent to significant aquatic resources or highly erosive soils) or if significant precipitation is expected.
2. Any area to remain unworked for more than 30 days shall be seeded or sodded unless the County determines that winter weather makes vegetation establishment infeasible. During the wet season, exposed ground slopes and stockpile slopes with an incline of 3 horizontal to 1 vertical (3H:1V) or steeper and with more than ten feet of vertical relief shall be covered if they are to remain unworked for more than 12 hours. Also during the wet season, the material necessary to cover all disturbed areas must be stockpiled on site. The intent of these cover requirements is to have as much area as possible covered during any period of precipitation.

E. CONTROL RUNOFF

Stormwater runoff originating on the ***site*** and/or entering the ***site*** from offsite areas must be controlled so as to minimize erosion of disturbed areas and exposed cut and fill slopes, and to minimize erosive impacts on existing or proposed LID facility areas. The following runoff control measures shall be used as needed per the conditions of use and specifications for each measure:

- **Interceptor Dikes and Swales** (see Section C.3.12 for conditions of use and specifications)
- **Ditches** (see Section C.3.13 for conditions of use and specifications)
- **Pipe Slope Drain** (see Section C.3.14 for conditions of use and specifications)

F. CONTROL DEWATERING

Accumulated water in foundation areas, excavations, and utility trenches shall be removed and disposed of in a manner that does not pollute surface waters or cause downstream erosion or flooding. See "**Dewatering Control**," Section C.3.15 for detailed specifications.

G. CONTROL OTHER POLLUTANTS (SWPPS)

All construction activities shall be done in a manner that prevents pollution of surface waters and ground waters as specified in the *Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Standards* (detached Appendix D of the SWDM) and King County's *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual (SPPM)*. See "**Control of Other Pollutants (SWPPS)** ," Section C.3.16, for specific measures and references to Appendix D and applicable activity sheets in the *SPPM*. References to applicable measures in Appendix D and activity sheets in *SPPM* shall be included in the Simplified site CSWPP plan.

H. PROTECT EXISTING AND PROPOSED FLOW CONTROL BMPS

Protection measures shall be applied/installed and maintained so as to prevent adverse impacts to existing flow control BMPs and areas of proposed flow control BMPs for the project. Adverse impacts can prompt the requirement to restore or replace affected BMPs.

Purpose: The purpose of protecting existing and proposed flow control BMP areas is to avoid sedimentation and soil compaction that would adversely affect infiltration, and also avoid contamination by other pollutants.

When to Install: Flow control BMP area protection shall be installed or otherwise provided prior to any clearing and/or grading of the site, except that required to construct flow control BMPs.

Measures to Use:

1. Protect all flow control BMPs and proposed BMP footprints from sedimentation through installation and maintenance of erosion and sediment control BMPs on portions of the site that drain into the flow control BMPs.
2. BMPs shall be restored to their fully functioning condition if they accumulate sediment during construction. Restoring the BMP shall include, at a minimum, removal of sediment and any sediment-laden bioretention soils, and replacing the removed soils with soils meeting the design specification. Replacement with a new fully-functioning facility may be required if restoration to the fully-functioning condition can't be accomplished.
3. Prevent compacting Bioretention BMPs by excluding construction equipment and foot traffic. Protect completed lawn and landscaped areas from compaction due to construction equipment.
4. Control erosion and avoid introducing sediment from surrounding land uses onto permeable pavements. Do not allow muddy construction equipment on the base material or pavement. Do not allow sediment-laden runoff onto permeable pavements.
5. Pavements fouled with sediments or no longer passing an initial infiltration text must be cleaned using procedures from the local stormwater manual or the manufacturer's procedures.
6. Keep all heavy equipment off existing soils under flow control BMPs that have been excavated to final grade to retain the infiltration rate of the soils.

I. MAINTAIN BMPS DURING CONSTRUCTION AND FINAL SITE STABILIZATION

1. TESC BMPs shall be maintained and repaired as needed throughout construction to assure continued performance of their intended function in accordance with the BMP specifications.
2. Prior to final construction approval, the ***project site*** shall be stabilized to prevent sediment-laden water from leaving the ***project site*** after project completion. All disturbed areas of the ***project site*** shall be vegetated or otherwise permanently stabilized. At a minimum, disturbed areas must be seeded and mulched to ensure that sufficient cover will develop shortly after final approval. Mulch without seeding is adequate for small areas to be landscaped before October 1.
3. All temporary ESC and SWPPS measures shall be removed within 30 days after final site stabilization is achieved or after the temporary measures are no longer needed. Trapped sediment shall be removed or stabilized onsite. LID facilities impacted during construction shall be restored. Disturbed soil areas resulting from removal of measures or vegetation shall be permanently stabilized with seeding or sodding.

C.1.4.2 CSWPP IMPLEMENTATION AND MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

Projects in Simplified Drainage Review that disturb less than 1 acre of land must implement the CSWPP measures determined necessary in Section C.1.4.1 in accordance with the following requirements:

1. The placement and type of proposed ESC and SWPPS measures are to be shown on the **Simplified site CSWPP plan** portion of the Simplified drainage plan submitted for the proposed project. This plan must be in accordance with the specifications for such plans outlined in Section C.4 (p. C-137) unless otherwise directed by DLS-Permitting.
2. If DLS-Permitting finds that implementation of the proposed Simplified site **CSWPP plan is insufficient** to prevent the discharge of sediment or other pollutants to the maximum extent practicable, additional measures will be required by DLS-Permitting. In some cases, an ESC plan or a complete Construction Stormwater Pollution Plan prepared by a ***civil engineer*** per Chapter 2 of the *SWDM* may be required.
3. The contractor or other persons performing construction activities shall comply with the **stormwater pollution prevention and spill control measures/BMPs** specified for such activities in Appendix D and/or the King County *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual*. A note to this effect must be put on the approved CSWPP plan.
4. Prior to commencing construction, the applicant must identify to the County a **contact person** responsible for overseeing the installation and maintenance of required ESC and SWPPS measures and compliance with Appendix D and the *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual* during construction. The name and contact information for this person must be on or attached to Simplified site CSWPP plan at the time of construction.
5. Both the **applicant and contractor are responsible** for implementation and maintenance of the approved Simplified site CSWPP plan and any additional measures required by the County.
6. The **Simplified site** CSWPP **plan shall be retained onsite** or within reasonable access to the site. The plan shall be modified whenever there is a significant change in the design, construction, operation, or maintenance at the construction site that has, or could have, a significant effect on the discharge of pollutants to surface waters. The plan shall be modified, if during inspections or investigations conducted by the County, it is determined that the plan is ineffective in eliminating or significantly minimizing pollutants in stormwater discharges from the site. The plan shall be modified as necessary to include additional or modified measures designed to correct problems identified.

C.1.5 SIMPLIFIED SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

For projects in Simplified Drainage Review, the items listed below must be submitted to DLS-Permitting for review unless the item is not applicable or necessary as specified for that item below or as determined by DLS-Permitting. See Section C.5.1 (p. C-147) for a description of the DLS-Permitting permit review process for Simplified Drainage Review.

1. A **Simplified drainage plan**, which includes the components specified in Section C.4 (p. C-137) unless otherwise directed by DLS-Permitting. These components vary depending on whether the project is an individual lot development or a subdivision. For most projects in Simplified Drainage Review (i.e., individual lot projects), the required components of a Simplified drainage plan include a flow control BMP site plan, flow control BMP design and maintenance details, a Simplified site CSWPP plan, and a written drainage assessment with supporting documentation (e.g., soils report, special studies, etc.). *Note: subdivisions in Simplified Drainage Review that do not require engineering plans or analysis will typically be asked to complete Simplified drainage plans prior to preliminary approval of the subdivision. Projects submitting Simplified drainage plans after preliminary approval must include in the written assessment a discussion of any changes made to the project after preliminary approval.*
2. A draft of the **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** required to be recorded for application of flow control BMPs on an individual ***site*/lot** in accordance with Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4.
3. A copy of any **recorded covenant** that limits the amount of impervious surface or clearing on the ***site*** for purposes of qualifying for Simplified Drainage Review. If one does not exist or does not include provisions for County inspection, it must be combined with the covenant required for application of flow control BMPs.
4. An **engineering plan** and **technical information report** signed and stamped by a ***civil engineer*** if needed to address targeted drainage review requirements identified in Section C.1.1 and described in Section C.1.2. The specifications for such plans and reports are found in Chapter 2 of the *SWDM*.

C.2 FLOW CONTROL BMPs

This section presents the minimum design requirements, specifications, and infeasibility criteria for each type of flow control BMP. *Flow control BMPs* are methods and designs for dispersing, infiltrating, or otherwise reducing or preventing development-related increases in runoff at or near the sources of those increases. Flow control BMPs include, but are not limited to, preservation and use of ***native vegetated surface*** to fully disperse runoff; use of other pervious surfaces to disperse runoff; roof downspout infiltration; permeable pavements; rainwater harvesting; bioretention; and reduction of development footprint.

Intent of Flow Control BMPs

The primary intent of flow control BMPs is to mitigate the hydrologic impacts of impervious surface, which means preventing or reducing the increased runoff peaks, volumes, and loss of groundwater recharge associated with conversion of forest or grassland to impervious surface. Such impacts are known to cause or contribute to downstream flooding, erosion, sedimentation, loss of aquatic habitat, and loss of groundwater available for human consumption and summer stream flows necessary for fish use.

The secondary intent of flow control BMPs is to mitigate the water quality impacts of impervious surface and in some cases, ***new pervious surface***, where the ***new pervious surface*** exceeds 3/4 acre.

Some flow control BMPs are more effective than others at minimizing hydrologic impacts and are given preference in the BMP application requirements. For example, where substantial amounts of native vegetation are being retained onsite, "full dispersion" of runoff is the preferred or required BMP if it can be implemented onsite per the minimum design requirements and specifications in Section C.2.1 (p. C-32).

Organization of Section C.2

Section C.2 is organized as follows:

- Section C.2.1, "Full Dispersion" (p. C-32)
- Section C.2.2, "Full Infiltration" (p. C-48)
- Section C.2.3, "Limited Infiltration" (p. C-57)
- Section C.2.4, "Basic Dispersion" (p. C-60)
- Section C.2.5, “Farmland Dispersion” (p. C-70)
- Section C.2.6, "Bioretention" (p. C-73)
- Section C.2.7, "Permeable Pavement" (p. C-87)
- Section C.2.8, "Rainwater Harvesting" (p. C-95)
- Section C.2.9, "Reduced Impervious Surface Credit" (p. C-98)
- Section C.2.10, "Native Growth Retention Credit" (p. C-103)
- Section C.2.11, "Perforated Pipe Connection" (p. C-105)

Other Resources

Other resources are available that may be helpful in the design of flow control BMPs. The Washington State Department of Ecology *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington* (2014) and the Puget Sound Water Quality Action Team's *Low Impact Development Technical Guidance Manual for Puget Sound* (December 2012) are both good references and sources of ideas. For many of the above BMPs, these manuals may provide much more design information than is presented in this appendix. Where such information conflicts with the minimum design requirements and specifications contained herein, King County's standards shall govern.

C.2.1 FULL DISPERSION

Full dispersion is a BMP strategy for minimizing the area of onsite developed surface (i.e., impervious or non-native pervious surface) relative to ***native vegetated surface*** (e.g., forested surface) together with the application of dispersion techniques that utilize the natural capacity of the ***native vegetated surface*** to mitigate the runoff quantity and quality impacts of the developed surfaces. Developed surfaces that meet the minimum requirements and specifications for full dispersion as set forth in this section are referred to as *fully dispersed surfaces*. As specified in the *SWDM*, fully dispersed impervious surface is not subject to the "flow control facility requirement." Similarly, any ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** or pervious surface that is fully dispersed is not subject to the water quality facility requirements of the *SWDM*.

Applicable Surfaces

Full dispersion may be applied to any impervious surface such as a roof, driveway, parking area, or road, and to any non-native pervious surface such as a lawn, landscaped area, or pasture.

Infeasibility Criteria

Full dispersion is considered infeasible and not required for projects that cannot meet the minimum design requirements listed below. Where geotechnical evaluation and approval is required for full dispersion that proposes to discharge towards or is within described setbacks of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, ***landslide hazard area, or slopes greater than 15%,*** full dispersion is considered infeasible and not required. Though not required in these circumstances, a project proponent may still opt to use full dispersion as long as the geotechnical evaluation and approval requirement is met.

Operation and Maintenance

See Section C.2.1.9 (p. C-46).

C.2.1.1 MINIMUM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS FOR FULL DISPERSION

All of the following requirements must be met in order for full dispersion to be feasible and applicable to a ***target impervious surface*** or ***new pervious surface***:

1. The **total area of impervious surface** being fully dispersed must be no more than 15% of the total area of ***native vegetated surface*** being preserved by a clearing limit per KCC 16.82 or by a recorded tract, easement, or covenant on the ***site***. This area of ***native vegetated surface*** must be delineated on the ***site*** as specified in and subject to the restrictions in Section C.2.1.2. The total area of impervious surface plus *non-native pervious surface*[15] being fully dispersed must be no more than 35% of the ***site***. *Note: for **sites** that span the divide between two or more stream basins, DLS-Permitting may require that these percentages be applied by "threshold discharge area" as defined in the Surface Water Design Manual.*
2. The runoff from a fully dispersed surface must be discharged using one of the following **dispersion devices** in accordance with the design specifications and maximum area of fully dispersed surface for each device as set forth in Sections C.2.1.3 through C.2.1.6:
    - Splash blocks (see Section C.2.1.3, p. C-34)
    - Rock pads (see Section C.2.1.4, p. C-35)
    - Gravel filled trenches (see Section C.2.1.5, p. C-35)
    - Sheet flow (see Section C.2.1.6, p. C-37)

[15] *Non-native pervious surface* means a pervious surface that does not meet the definition of a ***native vegetated surface*** and is not a natural water body or critical area.

3. A **native vegetated flowpath segment** of at least 100 feet in length (25 feet for sheet flow from a non-native pervious surface) must be available along the flowpath that runoff would follow upon discharge from a dispersion device listed in Minimum Requirement 2 above (*note that the 100-foot minimum flowpath length may be reduced on projects located outside of the Urban Growth Area and where sheet flow is used with a native vegetated flowpath segment over outwash soils as specified in Section C.2.1.6*). The native vegetated flowpath segment **must meet all of the following criteria:**

   a) The flowpath segment must be over ***native vegetated surface***.

   b) The flowpath segment must be **onsite** or in an **offsite tract or easement area** reserved for such dispersion.

   c) The **slope** of the flowpath segment must be no steeper than 15% for any 20-foot reach of the flowpath segment.

   d) The flowpath segment must be located between the dispersion device and any **downstream drainage feature** such as a pipe, ditch, stream, river, pond, lake, or wetland. All or a portion of the flowpath segment may be located within a critical area buffer.

   e) The flowpath segments for adjacent dispersion devices must be sufficiently spaced in order to prevent overlap of flows in the segment areas. The **minimum spacing** between flowpath segments is specified for each dispersion device in subsequent sections, and includes an exception made in cases where sheet flow from a non-native pervious surface overlaps with other flowpath segments.

4. For ***sites*** with **septic systems**, the discharge of runoff from dispersion devices must be located down slope of the primary and reserve drainfield areas. DLS-Permitting permit review staff can waive this requirement if site topography clearly prohibits discharged flows from intersecting the drainfield.

5. Dispersion devices are not allowed in critical area **buffers** (unless approved by DLS-Permitting) or on **slopes** steeper than 20%.

6. Dispersion devices are not allowed within 50 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area***.

7. Dispersion devices proposed on slopes steeper than 15% must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

8. Dispersion devices proposed near slopes steeper than 15% must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist if the facility is located within a setback from the top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15%. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built- out conditions.

9. Dispersion devices that direct runoff toward a slope steeper than 15% may require evaluation and approval of the proposal by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** as determined by DLS-Permitting. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

10. Dispersion devices proposed within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area*** must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

11. The dispersion of runoff must not create **flooding or erosion impacts** as determined by the DLS-Permitting. If runoff is discharged toward a ***landslide hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***steep slope hazard area***, DLS-Permitting may require evaluation and approval of the proposal by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist***. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

C.2.1.2 DELINEATION OF NATIVE VEGETATED AREA FOR FULL DISPERSION

The area of ***native vegetated surface*** used for full dispersion must be delineated as a "**native growth retention area**" on the flow control BMP site plan that will be attached to the required declaration of covenant and grant of easement per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4. Delineation of the native growth retention area is subject to the following **restrictions:**

1. The principle restriction on native growth retention areas is **removal of vegetation and trees**. All trees within the native growth retention area at the time of permit application shall be retained, aside from approved timber harvest activities and the removal of dangerous and diseased trees.
2. The native growth retention area may include any *unsubmerged*[16] critical areas and any critical area buffers.
3. The native growth retention area may include previously cleared areas replanted by the proposed project in accordance with the **native vegetated landscape** specifications in Section C.2.1.8 (p. C-44).
4. The native growth retention area **may be used for passive recreation** and related facilities, including pedestrian and bicycle trails, nature viewing areas, fishing and camping areas, and other similar activities that do not require permanent structures, provided that cleared areas and areas of compacted soil associated with these areas and facilities do not exceed eight percent of the native growth retention area.
5. The native growth retention area **may contain utilities and utility easements**, including other flow control BMPs, but not septic systems.

Note: the Public Benefit Rating System (PBRS) provides tax credit for properties that preserve 4 acres or more of contiguous open space in rural areas. Additional credits are granted under the forested open space category, provided a Forest Management Plan is developed that maintains the open space in a fully forested condition.

C.2.1.3 USE OF SPLASH BLOCKS FOR FULL DISPERSION

Splash blocks such as that shown in Figure C.2.1.A (p. C-39) may be used to disperse the runoff collected from small amounts of roof area and discharged via a downspout.

Design Specifications

1. No more than 700 square feet of roof area may be drained to a single splash block unless the native vegetated flowpath segment is longer than the 100-foot minimum length specified in Minimum Requirement 3 of Section C.2.1.1.
2. A maximum roof area of 1,400 square feet may be discharged to a single splash block if the native vegetated flowpath segment is at least 200 feet in length. *Note: for roof areas larger than 700 square feet, the splash block should be located away from building or other provisions should be made to prevent flooding/erosion problems.*
3. For roof areas of between 700 square feet and 1,400 square feet, the length of the flowpath segment may vary proportionally between 100 and 200 feet.
4. For purposes of maintaining adequate separation of flows discharged from adjacent dispersion devices, the native vegetated flowpath segment for the splash block must have at least 50 feet of separation from an adjacent flowpath segment at the downstream end of whichever segment is the shorter. **Exception:** where sheet flow from a non-native pervious surface overlaps with the flowpath of a splash block, the splash block flowpath segment must be extended at least 1 foot for every 3 feet of non-native pervious surface area **width** draining to the same flowpath. *Note that width is measured in the general direction that runoff flows across the non-native pervious surface.*

[16] *Unsubmerged* means outside the ordinary high water mark of streams, lakes, and wetlands.

C.2.1.4 USE OF ROCK PADS FOR FULL DISPERSION

Pads of crushed rock, 2 feet wide (perpendicular to flow) by 3 feet long by 6 inches deep, may be used as a dispersion device to discharge small amounts of concentrated runoff from impervious surface or non-native pervious surface.

Design Specifications

1. No more than 700 square feet of impervious surface may be drained to a single rock pad unless the native vegetated flowpath segment is longer than the 100-foot minimum length specified in Minimum Requirement 3 of Section C.2.1.1 above. If the developed surface is non-native pervious surface other than pasture, no more than 2,500 square feet may be drained to a single rock pad with a 100-foot native vegetated flowpath segment. For pasture, the maximum is 8,000 square feet. Combinations of different surfaces draining to a single rock pad are allowed provided that the sum of each surface area divided by its maximum (e.g., impervious area divided by 700) is less than or equal to 1.0.
2. A maximum impervious surface area of 1,400 square feet may be drained to a single rock pad if the native vegetated flowpath segment is at least 200 feet in length. For non-native pervious surface other than pasture, the maximum area is 5,000 square feet. For pasture, the maximum is 16,000 square feet. Again, combinations of different surfaces are allowed as explained in Item 1 above.
3. For impervious surface areas of between 700 and 1,400 square feet, the length of the flowpath segment may vary proportionally between 100 and 200 feet. This variation is also allowed for non-native pervious surfaces (i.e., between 2,500 and 5,000 square feet for surfaces other than pasture, and between 8,000 and 16,000 square feet for pasture).
4. For purposes of maintaining adequate separation of flows discharged from adjacent dispersion devices, the native vegetated flowpath segment for the rock pad must have at least 50 feet of separation from an adjacent flowpath segment at the downstream end of whichever segment is the shorter. **Exception:** where sheet flow from a non-native pervious surface overlaps with the flowpath of a rock pad, the rock pad flowpath segment must be extended at least 1 foot for every 3 feet of non-native pervious surface area **width** draining to the same flowpath. *Note that width is measured in the general direction that runoff flows across the non-native pervious surface.*

C.2.1.5 USE OF GRAVEL FILLED TRENCHES FOR FULL DISPERSION

Either of the two types of gravel filled trenches (also called "**dispersion trenches**") shown in Figure C.2.1.C (p. C-41) and Figure C.2.1.D (p. C-42) may be used as a dispersion device to spread the discharge of concentrated runoff from any type of developed surface.

General Design Specifications

1. All trenches are filled with $^3/_4$-inch to $1^1/_2$-inch washed rock.
2. In outwash soils, a treatment liner to protect groundwater quality per Section 6.2.4 should be provided underneath the trench.
3. All trenches must be placed at least 10 feet from any building and must be as parallel as possible to the contour of the ground.
4. For purposes of maintaining adequate separation of flows discharged from adjacent dispersion devices, the outer edge of the native vegetated flowpath segment for the dispersion trench must have at least 50 feet of separation from an adjacent flowpath segment at the downstream end of whichever segment is the shorter. **Exception:** where sheet flow from a non-native pervious surface overlaps with the flowpath of a dispersion trench, the dispersion trench flowpath segment must be extended at least 1 foot for every 3 feet of non-native pervious surface area **width** draining to the same flowpath. *Note that width is measured in the general direction that runoff flows across the non-native pervious surface.*

Design Specifications for Simple 10-Foot Trench (Full Dispersion)

1. The simple 10-foot-long trench illustrated in Figure C.2.1.B (p. C-40) and Figure C.2.1.C (p. C-41) must be at least 2-feet-wide by 18-inches deep.
2. The 10-foot trench length is the maximum allowed without a notch grade board as shown in Figure C.2.1.D (p. C-42).
3. No more than 1,400 square feet of impervious area may be drained to a single 10-foot trench unless the native vegetated flowpath segment is longer than the 100-foot minimum length specified in Minimum Requirement 3 of Section C.2.1.1 above. If the developed surface is non-native pervious surface other than pasture, no more than 5,000 square feet may be drained to a single 10-foot trench with a 100-foot native vegetated flowpath segment. For pasture, the maximum is 16,000 square feet. Combinations of different surfaces draining to a single 10-foot trench are allowed provided that the sum of each surface area divided by its maximum (e.g., impervious area divided by 1,400) is less than or equal to 1.0.
4. A maximum impervious surface area of 2,800 square feet may be drained to a single 10-foot trench if the native vegetated flowpath segment is at least 200 feet in length. For non-native pervious surface other than pasture, the maximum area is 10,000 square feet. For pasture, the maximum is 32,000 square feet. Again, combinations of different surfaces are allowed as explained in Item 3 above.
5. For impervious surface areas of between 1,400 and 2,800 square feet, the length of the flowpath segment may vary proportionally between 100 and 200 feet. This variation is also allowed for non-native pervious surfaces (i.e., between 5,000 and 10,000 square feet for surfaces other than pasture, and between 16,000 and 32,000 square feet for pasture).

Design Specifications for 50-Foot Trench with Notch Board (Full Dispersion)

1. The 50-foot-long trench with notch grade board detailed in Figure C.2.1.D (p. C-42) must be at least 2-feet wide by 24-inches deep.
2. The 50-foot trench length is the longest allowed.
3. No more than 5,000 square feet of impervious area may be drained to a single 50-foot trench unless the native vegetated flowpath segment is longer than the 100-foot minimum length specified in Minimum Requirement 3 of Section C.2.1.1 above. If the developed surface is non-native pervious surface other than pasture, no more than 17,500 square feet may be drained to a single 50-foot trench with a 100-foot native vegetated flowpath segment. For pasture, the maximum is 1.25 acres (54,450 square feet). Combinations of different surfaces draining to a single 50-foot trench are allowed provided that the sum of each surface area divided by its maximum (e.g., impervious area divided by 5,000) is less than or equal to 1.0.
4. A maximum impervious surface area of 10,000 square feet may be drained to a single 50-foot trench if the native vegetated flowpath segment is at least 200 feet in length. For non-native pervious surface other than pasture, the maximum area is 35,000 square feet. For pasture, the maximum is 2.5 acres (108,900 square feet). Again, combinations of different surfaces are allowed as explained in Item 3 above.
5. For between 5,000 square feet and 10,000 square feet of impervious area, the length of the flowpath segment may vary proportionally between 100 and 200 feet for a 50-foot trench. The trench length may also vary proportionally between the 10-foot trench values above and the 50-foot values given here. For impervious surface areas of between 5,000 and 10,000 square feet, the length of the flowpath segment may vary proportionally between 100 and 200 feet. This variation is also allowed for non-native pervious surfaces (i.e., between 17,500 and 35,000 square feet for surfaces other than pasture, and between 1.25 and 2.5 acres for pasture).
6. Manifolds may be used to split flows between up to four 50-foot trenches.

C.2.1.6 USE OF SHEET FLOW FOR FULL DISPERSION

Sheet flow, as a dispersion device, is the grading of a developed surface (either a strip of impervious surface or a patch of non-native pervious surface) as needed to avoid the concentration of runoff before and after discharge from the surface. Two types of sheet flow, one for impervious surface and one for pervious surface, are detailed below.

Design Specifications for Impervious Surface Sheet Flow (Full Dispersion)

1. The strip of impervious surface may be either roof (with no gutter) or pavement. The edge of the impervious strip and the ground adjacent to or immediately below the edge must be level or sloped no more than 5% along the edge as shown in Figure C.2.1.E (p. C-43).
2. A 2-foot-wide, 4-to-6 inch-deep, strip of crushed rock or the extended base course of a road or driveway must be provided at or below the edge of the impervious strip to facilitate dispersal of runoff. In outwash soils, a treatment liner to protect groundwater quality per Section 6.2.4 should be provided underneath this flow spreader.
3. No more than a 25-foot-wide strip of impervious surface may be sheet flowed in this manner unless the native vegetated flowpath segment is longer than the 100-foot minimum length specified in Minimum Requirement 3 of Section C.2.1.1 above.
4. A maximum 50-foot-wide strip may be sheet flowed if the flowpath segment is at least 200 feet in length.
5. For strip widths of between 25 and 50 feet, the length of the flowpath segment may vary proportionally between 100 and 200 feet in length.
6. For projects located outside of the Urban Growth Area, the length of the flowpath segment may be reduced to 10 feet for each 20 feet of strip width up to maximum of strip width of 40 feet, provided the following criteria are met:
   a) The native vegetated flowpath segment is over outwash soils that have a measured infiltration rate of 4 inches per hour or greater for all the soil within a three foot depth, and
   b) The depth to the maximum groundwater elevation is at least 3 feet
7. For purposes of maintaining adequate separation of flows discharged from adjacent dispersion devices, the outer edge of the native vegetated flowpath segment for the strip of impervious surface must have at least 50 feet of separation from an adjacent flowpath segment at the downstream end of whichever segment is the shorter. **Exception:** where sheet flow from a non-native pervious surface overlaps with the flowpath for sheet flow from an impervious surface, the impervious surface flowpath segment must be extended at least 1 foot for every 3 feet of non-native pervious surface area **width** draining to the same flowpath. *Note that width is measured in the general direction that runoff flows across the non-native pervious surface.*

Design Specifications for Pervious Surface Sheet Flow (Full Dispersion)

1. The area of non-native pervious surface being dispersed by sheet flow must have a *width*[17] of no more than 25 feet unless the native vegetated flowpath segment is longer than the 25-foot minimum length specified in Minimum Requirement 3 of Section C.2.1.1 above.
2. If the width of the non-native pervious surface is greater than 25 feet, the vegetated flowpath segment must be extended 1 foot for every 3 feet of width beyond 25 feet up to a maximum width of 250 feet.
3. The topography of the non-native pervious surface must be such that runoff will not concentrate prior to discharge from surface.

[17] *Width* is measured in the general direction that runoff flows across the area of non-native pervious surface. For irregular-shaped areas, the width may be an average of distances along multiple paths of runoff across the non-native pervious surface.

4. The vegetated flowpath segment for sheet flow from non-native pervious surface may overlap with the flowpath segments for other dispersion devices provided adjustments are made to the length of those segments as specified in the design specifications for each dispersion device.

C.2.1.7 FULL DISPERSION FIGURES AND SUMMARY INFORMATION

This section contains the figures referenced in the preceding sections for details on full dispersion devices and includes a useful summary table of the flowpath lengths and capacities of the dispersion devices.

TABLE C.2.1.A SUMMARY OF DISPERSION DEVICE FLOWPATH LENGTHS & CAPACITIES

Full Dispersion Device	Native Vegetated Flowpath Length	Maximum Impervious Surface Amount	Maximum Non-Native Pervious Surface Amount	
			Non-Pasture	Pasture
Splash Block	100 feet	700 sq ft	NA	NA
	200 feet	1,400 sq ft	NA	NA
Rock Pad	100 feet	700 sq ft	2,500 sq ft	8,000 sq ft
	200 feet	1,400 sq ft	5,000 sq ft	16,000 sq ft
10-foot Gravel Trench	100 feet	1,400 sq ft	5,000 sq ft	16,000 sq ft
	200 feet	2,800 sq ft	10,000 sq ft	32,000 sq ft
50-foot Gravel Trench	100 feet	5,000 sq ft	17,500 sq ft	54,450 sq ft
	200 feet	10,000 sq ft	35,000 sq ft	108,900 sq ft
Sheet Flow from Impervious Surface	100 feet*	25-ft strip width	NA	NA
	200 feet*	50-ft strip width	NA	NA
Sheet Flow from Non-Native Pervious Surface	25 feet	NA	25 feet of width in direction of flow	
	50 feet	NA	100 feet of width in direction of flow	
	75 feet	NA	175 feet of width in direction of flow	
	100 feet	NA	250 feet of width in direction of flow	

* Reducible to 10 feet for each 20 feet of strip width when dispersing over outwash soils outside the UGA.

FIGURE C.2.1.A TYPICAL SPLASH BLOCK



FIGURE C.2.1.B DRIVEWAY APPLICATION OF DISPERSION TRENCH AND ROCK PAD



PLAN VIEW OF DRIVEWAY
NTS

FIGURE C.2.1.C 10-FOOT DISPERSION TRENCH CROSS-SECTION AND ROOF APPLICATION



TRENCH SECTION
NTS



PLAN VIEW OF ROOF
NTS

FIGURE C.2.1.D 50-FOOT DISPERSION TRENCH WITH NOTCHED BOARD





*FOR WATER QUALITY FACILITIES, SEE SECTION 6.2.6.1, OPTION A FOR NOTCH DIMENSIONS AND SPACING



NOTES:

1. THIS TRENCH SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED TO PREVENT POINT DISCHARGE AND/OR EROSION.
2. TRENCHES MAY BE PLACED NO CLOSER THAN 50 FEET TO ONE ANOTHER (100 FEET ALONG FLOWLINE).
3. TRENCH AND GRADE BOARD MUST BE LEVEL. ALIGN TO FOLLOW CONTOURS OF SITE.
4. SUPPORT POST SPACING AS REQUIRED BY SOIL CONDITIONS TO ENSURE GRADE BOARD REMAINS LEVEL.
5. 15% MAX UNLESS OTHERWISE EVALUATED AND APPROVED, SEE SECTION C.2.1.1

FIGURE C.2.1.E APPLICATION OF SHEET FLOW DISPERSION



PLAN VIEW
NTS

C.2.1.8 NATIVE VEGETATED LANDSCAPE SPECIFICATIONS

Native vegetated landscape is basically the **manmade version of a *native vegetated surface***. It may be used in situations where an applicant wishes to convert a previously developed surface to a ***native vegetated surface*** for purposes of meeting full dispersion requirements or code requirements for forest retention. Native vegetated landscape is intended to have the soil, vegetation, and runoff characteristics approaching that of natural forestland.

Conversion of a developed surface to native vegetated landscape requires the removal of impervious surface, de-compaction of soils, and the planting of native trees, shrubs, and ground cover in compost-amended soil according to all of the following specifications:

1. **Existing impervious surface** and any underlying base course (e.g., crushed rock, gravel, etc.) must be completely removed from the conversion area(s).
2. **Underlying soils** must be broken up to a depth of 18 inches. This can be accomplished by excavation or ripping with either a backhoe equipped with a bucket with teeth, or a ripper towed behind a tractor.
3. At least 4 inches of well-decomposed **compost** must be tilled into the broken up soil as deeply as possible. The finished surface should be gently undulating and must be only lightly compacted.
4. The area of native vegetated landscape must be **planted with native species** trees, shrubs, and ground cover from Table C.2.1.B (p. C-45). Species must be selected as appropriate for ***site*** shade and moisture conditions, and in accordance with the following requirements:
    a) **Trees:** a minimum of two species of trees must be planted, one of which is a conifer. Conifer and other tree species must cover the entire landscape area at the spacing given in Table 1.
    b) **Shrubs:** a minimum of two species of shrubs should be planted. Space plants to cover the entire landscape area, excluding points where trees are planted.
    c) **Groundcover:** a minimum of two species of ground cover should be planted. Space plants so as to cover the entire landscape area, excluding points where trees or shrubs are planted.

    Note: for landscape areas larger than 10,000 square feet, planting a greater variety of species than the minimum suggested above is strongly encouraged. For example, an acre could easily accommodate three tree species, three species of shrubs, and two or three species of groundcover.
5. At least 4 inches of **hog fuel** or other suitable mulch must be placed between plants as mulch for weed control. It is also possible to mulch the entire area before planting; however, an 18-inch diameter circle must be cleared for each plant when it is planted in the underlying amended soil. *Note: plants and their root systems that come in contact with hog fuel or raw bark have a poor chance of survival.*
6. Plantings must be **watered** consistently once per week during the dry season for the first two years.
7. The **plantings must be well established** on at least 90% of the converted area in order to be considered a ***native vegetated surface***. A minimum of 90% plant survival is required after 3 years.

Conversion of an area that was under cultivation to native vegetated landscape requires a different treatment. Elimination of cultivated plants, grasses and weeds is required before planting and will be required on an on-going basis until native plants are well-established. The soil should be tilled to a depth of 18 inches. A minimum of 8 inches of soil having an organic content of 6 to 12 percent is required, or a four inch layer of compost may be placed on the surface before planting, or 4 inches of clean wood chips may be tilled into the soil, as recommended by a landscape architect or forester. After soil preparation is complete, continue with steps 4 through 7 above. Placing 4 inches of compost on the surface may be substituted for the hog fuel or mulch. For large areas where frequent watering is not practical, bare-root stock may be substituted at a variable spacing from 10 to 12 feet o.c. (with an average of 360 trees per acre) to allow for natural groupings and 4 to 6 feet o.c. for shrubs. Allowable bare-root stock types are 1-1, 2-1, P-1 and P-2. Live stakes at 4 feet o.c. may be substituted for willow and red-osier dogwood in wet areas.

TABLE C.2.1.B SELECTED NATIVE VEGETATION, SIZE, AND SPACING REQUIREMENTS

Species	Type	Sun and Moisture Preferences	Planted Size	Spacing
TREES				
Douglas fir (*Psedotsuga menziesii*)	conifer	Sun, dry to moist soil	5 gallon, 6'-7' B&B	12' o.c.
Western red cedar (*Thuja plicata)*	conifer	Sun or shade, moist to wet soil	5 gallon, 6'-7' B&B	12' o.c.
Western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla)*	conifer	Sun or shade, well-drained soil	5 gallon, 6'-7' B&B	12' o.c.
Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*)	conifer	Sun or shade, moist mineral soils to wet soils	5 gallon, 6'-7' B&B	12' o.c.
Shore Pine (*Pinus contorta var. contorta)*	Conifer	Sun to partial shade, dry to wet	5 gallon, 6'-7' B&B	12' o.c.
Western white pine (*Pinus monticola)*	Conifer	Sun to part shade, dry to moist	5 gallon, 6'-7' B&B	12' o.c.
Grand fir (*Abies grandis)*	Conifer	Sun to shade, dry to moist	5 gallon, 6'-7' B&B	12' o.c.
Red alder (*Alnus rubra*)	tree	Sun, a Nitrogen fixer,	5 gallon, 5'-6' B&B	12' o.c.
Bigleaf maple (*Acer macrophyllum)*	tree	Sun or shade, dry to moist soil	5 gallon, 5'-6' B&B	12' o.c.
Black cottonwood (*Populus trichocarpa)*	tree	Sun, wet soil	5 gallon, 5'-6' B&B	12' o.c.
Cascara (*Rhamnus purshiana*)	tree/shrub	Sun to partial shade, dry to moist soil	5 gallon, 5'-6' B&B	8' o.c.
Pacific willow (*Salix lucida*)	tree/shrub	Sun, damp soil	1 gallon 2 gallon 5 gallon	4' o.c. 6' o.c. 8' o.c.
SHRUBS				
Sitka willow (*Salix sitchensis)*	shrub	Sun or shade, dry to damp soil	1 gallon 2 gallon 5 gallon	4' o.c. 6' o.c. 8' o.c.
Vine maple (*Acer circinatum*)	shrub	Shade, moist to damp soils	1 gallon 2 gallon 5 gallon	4' o.c. 6' o.c. 8' o.c.
Filbert (hazelnut) (*Corylus cornuta*)	shrub	Sun to shade, dry soil	1 gallon 2 gallon 5 gallon	4' o.c. 6' o.c. 8' o.c.
Red-osier dogwood (Cornus sericea)	Shrub	Sun to shade, moist to wet soil	1 gallon 2 gallon 5 gallon	4' o.c. 6' o.c. 8' o.c.
Salmonberry (*Rubus spectabilis*)	shrub	Sun to shade, moist to wet soil	1 gallon 2 gallon 5 gallon	4' o.c. 6' o.c. 8' o.c.
Thimbleberry (*Rubus parviflorus*)	shrub	Sun to partial shade, dry to moist soil	1 gallon 2 gallon 5 gallon	4' o.c. 6' o.c. 8' o.c.
Ocean spray (*Holodiscus discolor*)	shrub	Sun to partial shade, dry	1 gallon 2 gallon 5 gallon	4' o.c. 6' o.c. 8' o.c.

TABLE C.2.1.B SELECTED NATIVE VEGETATION, SIZE, AND SPACING REQUIREMENTS

Species	Type	Sun and Moisture Preferences	Planted Size	Spacing
Tall Oregon grape (*Berberis aquifolium*)	shrub	Sun to shade, dry to moist soil	1 gallon	4' o.c.
Snowberry (*Symphoricarpos albus*)	shrub	Sun to shade, dry to wet soil	1 gallon, 30-36"	4' o.c.
Service berry *(Amelanchier alnifolia*)	shrub	Sun to shade, dry to wet soil	1 gallon	6' o.c.
Indian plum (*Oemleria cerasiformis*)	shrub	Sun to shade, moist soil	1 gallon	4' o.c.
Twinberry (*Lonicera involucrata*)	shrub	Sun to partial shade, moist soil	1 gallon	4' o.c.
GROUND COVER				
Evergreen huckleberry (*Vaccinium ovatum*)	groundcover	Sun to partial shade, moist soil	1 gallon	2' o.c.
Kinnikinick (*Arctostaphyllos uva-ursa*)	groundcover	Sun to partial shade, dry soil	1 gallon	2' o.c.
Salal (*Gaultheria shallon*)	groundcover	Sun to shade, dry to moist soil	1 gallon	18" o.c.
Low Oregon grape (*Mahonia repens*)	groundcover	Sun to partial shade, dry to moist soil	9-12"	18" o.c.
Sword fern (*Polystichum munitum*)	groundcover	Sun to deep shade, dry to moist soil	2 gallon	3' o.c.

C.2.1.9 MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR FULL DISPERSION

If the full dispersion flow control BMP is proposed for a project, the following maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; DLS-Permitting may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. Also, as the County gains more experience with the maintenance and operation of these BMPs, future updates to the instructions will be posted on King County's *Surface Water Design Manual* website.

A reproducible copy of the instructions, prepared for inclusion with the declaration of covenant, is located in Reference M.

❑ TEXT OF INSTRUCTIONS

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called "full dispersion." Full dispersion is a strategy for minimizing the area disturbed by development (i.e., impervious or non-native pervious surfaces, such as concrete areas, roofs, and lawns) relative to native vegetated areas (e.g., forested surface) together with the application of dispersion techniques that utilize the natural capacity of the native vegetated areas to mitigate the stormwater runoff quantity and quality impacts of the developed surfaces. This flow control BMP has two primary components that must be maintained: (1) the devices that disperse runoff from the developed surfaces and (2) the native vegetated area.

Dispersion Devices

The dispersion devices used on your property include the following as indicated on the flow control BMP site plan: ❑ splash blocks, ❑ rock pads, ❑ gravel filled trenches, ❑ sheet flow. The size, placement, composition, and downstream flowpaths of these devices as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

Dispersion devices must be inspected annually and after major storm events to identify and repair any physical defects. When native soil is exposed or erosion channels are present, the sources of the erosion or concentrated flow need to be identified and mitigated. Bare spots should be re-vegetated with native

vegetation. Concentrated flow can be mitigated by leveling the edge of the pervious area and/or regrading or replenishing the rock in the dispersion device, such as in rock pads and gravel-filled trenches.

Native Growth Retention Area

The native vegetated surface required for full dispersion is delineated as a "native growth retention area" on the flow control BMP site plan. The trees, vegetation, ground cover, and soil conditions in this area may not be disturbed, except as allowed by the following provisions for that portion of the native growth retention area outside of critical areas and critical area buffers:

1. Trees may be harvested in accordance with a King County-approved forest management plan.
2. Individual trees that have a structural defect due to disease or other defects, and which threaten to damage a structure, road, parking area, utility, or place of employment or public assembly, or block emergency access, may be topped, pruned, or removed as needed to eliminate the threat.
3. Dead or fallen trees, tree limbs within ten feet of the ground, and branches overhanging a residence may be removed to reduce the danger of wildfire.
4. Noxious weeds (i.e., plant species listed on the State noxious weed list in Chapter 16-750 WAC) and invasive vegetation (i.e., plant species listed as obnoxious weeds on the noxious weed list adopted by the King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks) may be removed.
5. Passive recreation uses and related facilities, including pedestrian, equestrian community and bicycle trails, nature viewing areas, fishing and camping areas, and other similar uses that do not require permanent structures, are allowed if clearing and soil compaction associated with these uses and facilities does not exceed eight percent of the native growth retention area.

C.2.2 FULL INFILTRATION

This section presents the minimum requirements and design specifications for "full infiltration" of runoff from impervious surfaces. *Full infiltration* means the use of BMPs that can "fully and reliably" infiltrate (i.e., soak) runoff into the ground. "Fully" in this context means all the runoff from nearly all storm events is soaked into the ground. "Reliably" means that the soil conditions are favorable enough to assure that the device used to soak water into the ground (e.g., gravel filled trench, drywell, etc.) will perform as expected for a reasonable number of years before having to be replaced.

Applicable Surfaces

Subject to the minimum design requirements and specifications in this section, full infiltration may be applied to any non- pollution generating impervious surface (e.g. approved non-pollution generating roof systems, sidewalks or patios) and to those pollution generating impervious surfaces (e.g. driveways, roads, parking areas, and pollution generating roof systems) where either the soil beneath the infiltration BMP has properties that reduce the risk of groundwater contamination from typical stormwater runoff or where a water quality facility provides treatment prior to infiltration as specified in Core Requirement #8 and Special Requirement #5 of the King County Surface Water Design Manual.

Infeasibility Criteria

Full infiltration is considered infeasible and not required for projects that cannot meet the minimum design requirements listed below. Where geotechnical evaluation and approval is required for full infiltration that proposes to discharge towards or is within described setbacks of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, ***landslide hazard area, or slopes greater than 15%,*** full infiltration is considered infeasible and not required. Though not required in these circumstances, a project proponent may still opt to use full infiltration as long as the geotechnical evaluation and approval requirement is met.

Operation and Maintenance

See Section C.2.2.6 (p. C-52).

C.2.2.1 REQUIRED SOILS REPORT

Many locations in King County have soils that are underlain by a compacted layer of soil (i.e., glacial till or hardpan) which severely limits soaking capacity and causes water to perch on the relatively impervious layer during the wet season. In other areas, soil does not have the properties that reduce the risk of groundwater contamination from typical stormwater runoff from pollution generating surfaces. These factors can make full infiltration of runoff impracticable, cost prohibitive, unreliable, or deleterious to groundwater quality. Thus, a soils report is necessary to identify soil types, soil characteristics, and depth to impermeable layers (hardpan) or the maximum wet season groundwater level.

For the purposes of determining if full infiltration of roof runoff is **mandatory** as outlined in Section C.1.3, the report is a requirement for any ***site*/lot** where full dispersion of runoff from ***target impervious surface*** is not feasible or applicable as specified in the individual lot BMP requirements in Sections C.1.3.1, C.1.3.2 and C.1.3.3. The report is also required for any **optional** use of full infiltration.

Detailed requirements for the soils report are found under “Required Soils Report” in Section 1.3.

C.2.2.2 MINIMUM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS FOR FULL INFILTRATION

All of the following requirements must be met in order for full infiltration to be feasible and applicable to a ***target impervious surface***:

1. As determined from the soils report required in Section C.2.2.1, all of the following soil conditions must be met in vicinity of where the infiltration system would be located:

a) Existing soils must be **coarse sands or cobbles or medium sands** and cannot be comprised of fill materials where the infiltration device will be located. *Note: full infiltration may be possible in other types of soils or fill materials if designed by a **civil engineer** in accordance with the infiltration facility standards in Section 5.2 of the SWDM.*

b) For purposes of determining whether full infiltration of roof runoff is mandatory as outlined in Section C.1.3, the distance measured down from the bottom of the infiltration device to the maximum wet season water table or hardpan must be at least 3 feet. For any optional or mandatory application of full infiltration, the distance measured down from the bottom must be at least1 foot for a gravel filled infiltration system and 3 feet for a ground surface depression.

2. For purposes of determining whether full infiltration of roof runoff is mandatory as outlined in Section C.1.3, one of the following **infiltration devices** must be used in accordance with the design specifications for each device set forth in Sections C.2.2.3, C.2.2.4, and C.2.2.5. *Note: full infiltration may be possible using other types and sizes of infiltration devices if designed by a **civil engineer** in accordance with the infiltration facility standards in Section 5.2 of the SWDM.*

   - **Gravel filled trenches** (see Section C.2.2.3, p. C-50)
   - **Drywells** (see Section C.2.2.4, p. C-51)
   - **Ground surface depressions** (see Section C.2.2.5, p.C-51)

3. A minimum 5-foot **setback** shall be maintained between any part of an infiltration device and any structure or property line. Larger setbacks from structures may be specified in the design specifications for each infiltration device.

4. For ***sites*** with **septic systems**, infiltration devices must be located downgradient of the primary and reserve drainfield areas. DLS-Permitting permit review staff can waive this requirement if site topography clearly prohibits subsurface flows from intersecting the drainfield.

5. Infiltration devices may not be placed in sensitive area buffers. Infiltration devices are not allowed in critical area **buffers** or on **slopes** steeper than 25% (4 horizontal to 1 vertical).

6. Infiltration devices are not allowed within 50 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area***.

7. Infiltration devices proposed on slopes steeper than 15% must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

8. Infiltration devices proposed near slopes steeper than 15% must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** if the facility is located within a setback from the top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15% unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

9. Infiltration devices that direct overflow toward a slope steeper than 15% may require evaluation and approval of the proposal by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** as determined by DLS-Permitting. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

10. Infiltration devices proposed within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area*** must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

11. The infiltration of runoff must not create **flooding or erosion impacts** as determined by DLS-Permitting. If runoff is infiltrated near or directs overflow towards a ***landslide hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***steep slope hazard area***, DLS-Permitting may require evaluation and approval of the

proposal by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist***. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

12. Infiltration of runoff from pollution generating surfaces (e.g. roadways, driveways, parking areas, and pollution generating roof systems) is only allowed when soil beneath the BMP has properties that reduce the risk of groundwater contamination from typical stormwater runoff. Such properties are defined in Section 5.2.1 of the King County Surface Water Design Manual. Where soils do not have the required groundwater protection properties, applicants have the option to provide a water quality facility that provides treatment prior to infiltration as specified in Core Requirement #8 and Special Requirement #5 of the King County Surface Water Design Manual. However, for determining feasibility of this BMP, this option is not required to be considered.

13. Underground Injection Control Program (UIC) administered by WA Ecology protects groundwater quality by regulating discharges to UIC wells. WA Ecology adopted revisions to Chapter 173-218 WAC, the Underground Injection Control (UIC) program rules, on January 3, 2006. The newly adopted revisions went into effect on February 3, 2006. These rules require the registration of new injection wells that manage stormwater. Information regarding these new regulations may be found at Ecology's Underground Injection Control Program website,https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/Guidance-technical-assistance/Underground-injection-control-program.

    UIC wells are manmade structures used to discharge fluids into the subsurface. Examples are drywells, infiltration trenches with perforated pipe, and any structure deeper than the widest surface dimension (see Reference 6 or Ecology's UIC Program website for the Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program Class V Well Identification Guide provided by WA Ecology). In general, infiltration systems that have buried pipe, tanks, or vaults would be considered injection wells, but systems managing runoff only from single-family or duplex roofs, or used to control basement flooding, are exempt. Open ponds are not considered injection wells.

    If Underground Injection Control (UIC) registration is required by Ecology for the proposed design, a copy of the registration, or the Ecology-issued System ID provided at registration, shall be provided by the applicant prior to plan approval or permit issuance by King County (see Section 2.3.1.1 Technical Information Report (TIR), TIR Section 7 Other Permits and Section 5.4.1).

C.2.2.3 USE OF GRAVEL FILLED TRENCHES FOR FULL INFILTRATION

Gravel filled trenches (also called "**infiltration trenches**") are a good option where the depth to the maximum wet-season water table or hardpan is between 3 and 6 feet. Figure C.2.2.A (p. C-53) and Figure C.2.2.B (p. C-54) illustrate the specifications for gravel filled trench systems as outlined below:

1. When located in coarse sands or cobbles, infiltration trenches must be at least 20 feet in **length** per 1,000 square feet of impervious surface served. When located in medium sands, infiltration trenches must be at least 30 feet in length per 1,000 square feet of impervious surface served.
2. **Maximum trench length** must not exceed 100 feet from the inlet sump.
3. The **trench width** must be a minimum of 2 feet.
4. The trench must be filled with at least 18 inches of $^{3}/_{4}$-inch to $1^{1}/_{2}$-inch **washed drain rock**. The drain rock may be covered with backfill material as shown in Figure C.2.2.A or remain exposed at least 6 inches below the lowest surrounding ground surface as shown in Figure C.2.2.B.
5. **Filter fabric** (geotextile) must be placed on top of the drain rock (if proposed to be covered with backfill material) and on the trench sides prior to filling with the drain rock.
6. **Spacing** between trench centerlines must be at least 6 feet.
7. Infiltration trenches must be **setback** at least 15 feet from buildings with crawl space or basement elevations that are below the overflow point of the infiltration system.

8. To prevent damage to overlying pavement, **trenches located beneath pavement** shall be constructed such that the trench pipe is connected to a small yard drain or catch basin with a grate cover so that if the trench infiltration capacity is exceeded, the overflow would occur out of the catch basin at an elevation at least one foot below that of any overlying pavement, and in a location that provides a safe path for the overflow.
9. Runoff from roadways, driveways, and parking areas shall pass through a yard drain or catch basin fitted with a **down-turned elbow** prior to entering the infiltration trench (see Figure C.2.2.A, p. C-53). The elbow is intended to trap spilled material in the catch basin sump so that the spilled material can be cleaned up more easily by the homeowner.

C.2.2.4 USE OF DRYWELLS FOR FULL INFILTRATION

Drywells are gravel filled holes as opposed to trenches and therefore may allow for a more compact design in areas where the depth to the maximum wet-season water table is relatively deep (e.g., 6 feet or greater). Figure C.2.2.C (p. C-55) illustrates the specifications for drywell infiltration systems as outlined below:

1. When located in coarse sands and cobbles, drywells must contain a **volume of gravel** equal to or greater than 60 cubic feet per 1,000 square feet of impervious surface served. When located in medium sands, drywells must contain at least 90 cubic feet of gravel per 1,000 square feet of impervious surface served.
2. Drywells must be at least 48 inches in **diameter** and deep enough to contain the gravel amounts specified above for the soil type and impervious surface area served.
3. The gravel used for drywells must be $1^1/_2$-inch to 3-inch **washed drain rock**. The drain rock may be covered with backfill material as shown in Figure C.2.2.C (p. C-55) or remain exposed at least 6 inches below the lowest surrounding ground surface.
4. **Filter fabric** (geotextile) must be placed on top of the drain rock (if proposed to be covered with backfill material) and on the drywell sides prior to filling with the drain rock.
5. **Spacing** between drywells shall be a minimum of 10 feet.
6. Drywells must be **setback** at least 15 feet from buildings with crawl space or basement elevations that are below the overflow point of the drywell.

C.2.2.5 USE OF GROUND SURFACE DEPRESSIONS FOR FULL INFILTRATION

Ground surface depressions (also called "**infiltration depressions**") are another option for full infiltration if the maximum wet-season water table or hardpan is at least 3 feet below the bottom of the depression. Figure C.2.2.D illustrates the specifications for infiltration depressions as outlined below:

1. When located in coarse sands or cobbles, infiltration depressions must be able to store at least 40 cubic feet of stormwater per 1,000 square feet of impervious surface served. When located in medium sands, ground surface depressions must be able to store at least 60 cubic feet of stormwater per 1,000 square feet of impervious surface served. This **volume of water storage** must be achieved through the excavation of existing native soil, not through the construction of berms.
2. The stormwater storage areas of infiltration depressions must be at least 12 inches in **depth** with a minimum 6 inches of freeboard before overflow.
3. The depression **overflow point** must be at least 6 inches below any adjacent pavement area and must be situated so that overflow does not cause erosion damage or unplanned inundation.
4. The depression **side slopes** must be no steeper than 3 horizontal to 1 vertical.
5. **Spacing** between multiple infiltration depressions shall be a minimum of 4 feet.

6. Infiltration depressions must be **setback** at least 15 feet from buildings with crawl space or basement elevations that are below the overflow point of the infiltration depression.
7. Infiltration depressions may be any **size** or **shape** provided the above specifications and the minimum requirements in Sections C.2.2.2 and C.2.2.2 are met.
8. The ground surface of the infiltration depression must be **vegetated** with grass or other dense ground cover.

C.2.2.6 MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR FULL INFILTRATION

If the full infiltration flow control BMP is proposed for a project, the following maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; DLS-Permitting may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. Also, as the County gains more experience with the maintenance and operation of these BMPs, future updates to the instructions will be posted on King County's *Surface Water Design Manual* website.

A reproducible copy of the instructions, prepared for inclusion with the declaration of covenant, is located in Reference M.

❑ TEXT OF INSTRUCTIONS

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called "full infiltration," which was installed to mitigate the stormwater quantity and quality impacts of some or all of the impervious surfaces on your property. Full infiltration is a method of soaking runoff from impervious area (such as paved areas and roofs) into the ground. If properly installed and maintained, full infiltration can manage runoff so that a majority of precipitation events are absorbed. Infiltration devices, such as gravel filled trenches, drywells, and ground surface depressions, facilitate this process by putting runoff in direct contact with the soil and holding the runoff long enough to soak most of it into the ground. To be successful, the soil condition around the infiltration device must be reliably able to soak water into the ground for a reasonable number of years.

The **infiltration devices** used on your property include the following as indicated on the flow control BMP site plan: ❑ gravel filled trenches, ❑ drywells, ❑ ground surface depressions. The size, placement, and composition of these devices as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

Infiltration devices must be inspected annually and after major storm events to identify and repair any physical defects. Maintenance and operation of the system should focus on ensuring the system's viability by preventing sediment-laden flows from entering the device. Excessive sedimentation will result in a plugged or non-functioning facility. If the infiltration device has a catch basin, sediment accumulation must be removed on a yearly basis or more frequently if necessary. Prolonged ponding around or atop a device may indicate a plugged facility. If the device becomes plugged, it must be replaced. Keeping the areas that drain to infiltration devices well swept and clean will enhance the longevity of these devices. For roofs, frequent cleaning of gutters will reduce sediment loads to these devices.

FIGURE C.2.2.A TYPICAL TRENCH INFILTRATION SYSTEM



FIGURE C.2.2.B ALTERNATIVE TRENCH INFILTRATION SYSTEM





FIGURE C.2.2.C TYPICAL DRYWELL INFILTRATION SYSTEM



PLAN VIEW
NTS



SECTION
NTS

FIGURE C.2.2.D TYPICAL GROUND SURFACE DEPRESSION INFILTRATION SYSTEM



SECTION
NTS



SECTION
NTS

C.2.3 LIMITED INFILTRATION

Limited infiltration is the use of infiltration devices from Section C.2.2 in soils that are not as permeable as the medium sands or coarse sands/cobbles targeted for full infiltration in Section C.2.2. These less desirable soils include fine sands, loamy sands, sandy loams, and loams, which tend to be more variable in permeability, more frequently saturated during the wet season, and more prone to plugging over time. While full infiltration may be possible under the best of these soil conditions, in the long run, these conditions will conspire to limit average infiltration capacity to something much less than that of full infiltration. Therefore, using limited infiltration as specified in this section will not be credited the same as using full infiltration as specified in Section C.2.2.

Applicable Surfaces

Subject to the minimum design requirements and specifications in this section, limited infiltration may be applied to any non- pollution generating impervious surface (e.g. approved roofs, sidewalks, or patio) and to those pollution generating impervious surfaces (e.g. driveway, road, or parking area) where the soil beneath the infiltration BMP has properties that reduce the risk of groundwater contamination from typical stormwater runoff or where a water quality facility provides treatment prior to infiltration as specified in Core Requirement #8 and Special Requirement #5 of the King County Surface Water Design Manual.

Infeasibility Criteria

Limited infiltration is considered infeasible and not required for projects that cannot meet the minimum design requirements listed below. Where geotechnical evaluation and approval is required for limited infiltration that proposes to discharge towards or is within described setbacks of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, ***landslide hazard area, or slopes greater than 15%,*** limited infiltration is considered infeasible and not required. Though not required in these circumstances, a project proponent may still opt to use limited infiltration as long as the geotechnical evaluation and approval requirement is met.

Operation and Maintenance

See Section C.2.3.5 (p. C-59).

C.2.3.1 REQUIRED SOILS REPORT

In order to properly design limited infiltration devices, a soils report is required to identify soil types, soil characteristics, the depth to impermeable layers (i.e., hardpan) and to the maximum wet season groundwater level. Detailed requirements for the soils report are found under “Required Soils Report” in Section C.1.3. In many cases, this report will have already been prepared as required in Sections C.1.3.1, C.1.3.2 and C.1.3.3for lots where full dispersion is not feasible or applicable to ***target impervious surface*** per Section C.2.1.

C.2.3.2 MINIMUM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS FOR LIMITED INFILTRATION

The minimum requirements for limited infiltration are the same as those for full infiltration, except infiltration depressions are excluded and existing soils in the location of the infiltration device may be fine sands, loamy sands, sandy loams, or loams as opposed to only medium sands or better. *Note that gravel and medium sand soils used for full infiltration correspond to Soil Types 1A, 1B, 2A and 2B in the Soil Textural Classification system used for onsite septic system design; fine sands are Type 3; and loamy sands, sandy loams and loams are Type 4 soils. Silt and clay loams, and cemented till (hardpan) are not suitable for limited infiltration systems.*

C.2.3.3 USE OF GRAVEL FILLED TRENCHES FOR LIMITED INFILTRATION

The specifications for use of gravel filled trenches for limited infiltration are the same as those used for full infiltration, except that the required **trench lengths** are as follows:

For each 1,000 square feet of tributary impervious surface:

Inside the UGA (Rainfall region Seatac 1.0 and less): (a) 21 feet if the soil is a fine sand/loamy sand, (b) 36 feet if the soil is a sandy loam, or (c) 52 feet if the soil is a loam.

Inside the UGA (Rainfall regions greater than Seatac 1.0)): (d) 48.3 feet if the soil is a fine sand/loamy sand, (e) 60.5 feet if the soil is a sandy loam, or (f) 73 feet if the soil is a loam.

Outside the UGA: (g) 70 feet if the soil is a fine sand/loamy sand, (h) 121 feet if the soil is a sandy loam, or (i) 172 feet if the soil is a loam.

For each 1,000 square feet of tributary pervious surface:

Outside the UGA: (j) 3 feet if the soil is a fine sand/loamy sand, (k) 11 feet if the soil is a sandy loam, or (l) 19 feet if the soil is a loam.

C.2.3.4 USE OF DRYWELLS FOR LIMITED INFILTRATION

The specifications for use of drywells for limited infiltration are the same as those used for full infiltration, except that the required **gravel volumes** are as follows:

For each 1,000 square feet of tributary impervious surface:

Inside the UGA: (a) 315 cubic feet if the soil is a fine sand/loamy sand, (b) 360 cubic feet if the soil is a sandy loam, or (c) 407 cubic feet if the soil is a loam.

Outside the UGA: (d) 406 cubic feet if the soil is a fine sand/loamy sand, (e) 560 cubic feet if the soil is a sandy loam, (f) 714 cubic feet if the soil is a loam.

For each 1,000 square feet of tributary pervious surface:

Outside the UGA: (g) 36 cubic feet if the soil is a fine sand/loamy sand, (h) 218 cubic feet if the soil is a sandy loam, or (i) 400 cubic feet if the soil is a loam.

NOTE: For projects using the BMP list approach to comply with Core Requirement #9 (Flow Control BMPs), the drywell sizing cited is restricted to configurations with a maximum depth of 5 feet and a minimum area footprint of 12.56 square feet. Projects that are using hydraulic modeling to demonstrate compliance with the LID Performance Standard to meet Core Requirement #9 (Flow Control BMPs) may propose deeper configurations subject to other applicable design criteria and limitations.

C.2.3.5 MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR LIMITED INFILTRATION

If the limited infiltration flow control BMP is proposed for a project, the following maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; DLS-Permitting may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. Also, as the County gains more experience with the maintenance and operation of these BMPs, future updates to the instructions will be posted on King County's *Surface Water Design Manual* website.

A reproducible copy of the instructions, prepared for inclusion with the declaration of covenant, is located in Reference M.

❑ TEXT OF INSTRUCTIONS

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called "limited infiltration," which was installed to mitigate the stormwater quantity and quality impacts of some or all of the impervious surfaces on your property. Limited infiltration is a method of soaking runoff from impervious area (such as paved areas and roofs) into the ground. Infiltration devices, such as gravel filled trenches, drywells, and ground surface depressions, facilitate this process by putting runoff in direct contact with the soil and holding the runoff long enough to soak most of it into the ground. To be successful, the soil condition around the infiltration device must be able to soak water into the ground for a reasonable number of years.

The **infiltration devices** used on your property include the following as indicated on the flow control BMP site plan: ❑ gravel filled trenches, ❑ drywells. The size, placement, and composition of these devices as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

Infiltration devices must be inspected annually and after major storm events to identify and repair any physical defects. Maintenance and operation of the system should focus on ensuring the system's viability by preventing sediment-laden flows from entering the device. Excessive sedimentation will result in a plugged or non-functioning facility. If the infiltration device has a catch basin, sediment accumulation must be removed on a yearly basis or more frequently if necessary. Prolonged ponding around or atop a device may indicate a plugged facility. If the device becomes plugged, it must be replaced. Keeping the areas that drain to infiltration devices well swept and clean will enhance the longevity of these devices. For roofs, frequent cleaning of gutters will reduce sediment loads to these devices.

C.2.4 BASIC DISPERSION

Basic dispersion is the application of dispersion devices that utilize any available capacity of onsite vegetated areas to mitigate the runoff quantity and quality impacts from developed surfaces (i.e., impervious and non-native pervious surfaces). The requirements for basic dispersion are less restrictive than full dispersion (Section C.2.1) in that any type of vegetation cover is allowed, the flowpath lengths through the vegetation are shorter, and there is no requirement to preserve ***native vegetated surface*** (e.g., forested surface) or minimize developed surface. As such, its mitigation of runoff impacts is significantly lower than that of full dispersion.

Applicable Surfaces

Subject to the minimum design requirements and specifications in this section, basic dispersion may be applied to any impervious surface such as a roof, driveway, parking area, road, or sidewalk. Basic dispersion may also be used to disperse runoff from non-native pervious surface (e.g., lawns, landscaped areas, or pastures) if runoff from these surfaces is concentrated.

Infeasibility Criteria

Basic dispersion is considered infeasible and not required for projects that cannot meet the minimum design requirements listed below. Where geotechnical evaluation and approval is required for basic dispersion that proposes to discharge towards or is within described setbacks of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, ***landslide hazard area, or slopes greater than 15%,*** basic dispersion is considered infeasible and not required. Though not required in these circumstances, a project proponent may still opt to use basic dispersion as long as the geotechnical evaluation and approval requirement is met.

Operation and Maintenance

See Section C.2.4.6 (p. C-65).

C.2.4.1 MINIMUM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS FOR BASIC DISPERSION

All of the following requirements must be met in order for basic dispersion to be applicable to a target impervious or pervious surface:

1. Runoff from the target impervious or pervious surface must be discharged using one of the following **dispersion devices** in accordance with the design specifications and maximum area of developed surface for each device as set forth in Sections C.2.4.2 through C.2.4.5:
    - **Splash blocks** (see Section C.2.4.2, p. C-61)
    - **Rock pads** (see Section C.2.4.3, p. C-62)
    - **Gravel filled trenches** (see Section C.2.4.4, p. C-62)
    - **Sheet flow** (see Section C.2.4.5, p. C-63)
2. Each device must discharge runoff such that it flows over a minimum distance of vegetated area called the "**vegetated flowpath segment**." The minimum distance, or length of the flowpath segment, is specified in the design specifications for each device. The "vegetated flowpath segment" itself must meet all of the following criteria:
    a) The flowpath segment must be over well-established lawn or pasture, landscaping with well-established groundcover, or native vegetation with natural groundcover. The groundcover must be dense enough to help disperse and infiltrate flows and to prevent erosion.
    b) The flowpath segment must be **onsite or in an offsite tract or easement** area reserved for such dispersion.

c) The **slope** of the flowpath segment must be no steeper than 15% for any 20-foot reach of the flowpath segment.

d) The flowpath segment must be **located** between the dispersion device and any downstream impervious surface or drainage feature such as a pipe, ditch, stream, river, pond, lake, or wetland. All or a portion of the flowpath segment may be located within a critical area buffer.

3. For ***sites*** with **septic systems**, the discharge of runoff from dispersion devices must be located down slope of the primary and reserve drainfield areas. DLS-Permitting permit review staff may waive this requirement if ***site*** topography clearly prohibits discharged flows from intersecting the drainfield.
4. Dispersion devices are not allowed in critical area **buffers** or on **slopes** steeper than 20%.
5. Dispersion devices are not allowed within 50 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area***.
6. Dispersion devices proposed on slopes steeper than 15% must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
7. Dispersion devices proposed near slopes steeper than 15% must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** if the facility is located within a setback from the top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15% unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
8. Dispersion devices that direct runoff toward a slope steeper than 15% may require evaluation and approval of the proposal by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** as determined by DLS-Permitting. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
9. Dispersion devices proposed within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area*** must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
10. The dispersion of runoff must not create **flooding or erosion impacts** as determined by the DLS-Permitting. If runoff is discharged toward a ***landslide hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***steep slope hazard area***, DLS-Permitting may require evaluation and approval of the proposal by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist***. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

C.2.4.2 USE OF SPLASH BLOCKS FOR BASIC DISPERSION

Splash blocks are the simplest way to disperse flows from a roof area. Downspout splash blocks or downspout/drain extensions with splash blocks are often the only hardware required for this type of system. Vegetated flowpaths do the work of slowing and cleaning stormwater runoff. In general, if the ground is sloped away from the foundation, and there is adequate vegetation and area for effective dispersion, splash blocks will adequately disperse storm runoff. If the ground is fairly level, or if the structure includes a basement, or if foundation drains are proposed, splash blocks with downspout extensions may be a better choice because the discharge point is moved away from the foundation. Downspout extensions may include piping to a splash block that is a considerable distance from the roof downspout, provided the runoff can travel through a well-vegetated area as described below.

Uses: Roofs where runoff is collected and discharged via downspouts.

Design Specifications

Figure C.2.4.A (p. C-66) shows details of a roof downspout and splash block. The following specifications apply to use of splash blocks for basic dispersion:

1. No more than 700 square feet of roof area may be drained to a single splash block.
2. A "vegetated flowpath segment" of at least 50 feet in length must be available along the flowpath that runoff would follow upon discharge from the splash block.
3. For purposes of maintaining adequate separation of flows discharged from adjacent dispersion devices, the vegetated flowpath segment for the splash block must not overlap with other flowpath segments, except those associated with sheet flow from a non-native pervious surface.

C.2.4.3 USE OF ROCK PADS FOR BASIC DISPERSION

Pads of crushed rock, 2 feet wide (perpendicular to flow) by 3 feet long by 6 inches deep, may be used as a dispersion device to discharge concentrated runoff from small amounts of impervious surface or non-native pervious surface.

Uses: Roofs, driveways, lawns, pasture, etc. from which runoff is concentrated in a downspout, gutter, pipe, yard drain, ditch, swale, etc.

Design Specifications

Figure C.2.4.C (p. C-68) shows two possible ways of spreading flows from steep driveways. The following specifications apply to use of rock pads for basic dispersion:

1. No more than 700 square feet of impervious surface (or 5,000 square feet of non-native pervious surface) may be drained to a single rock pad.
2. A "vegetated flowpath segment" of at least 50 feet in length as illustrated in Figure C.2.4.C (p. C-68) must be available along the flowpath that runoff would follow upon discharge from the rock pad.
3. The pad of crushed rock shall be 2 feet wide by 3 feet long by 6 inches deep.
4. For purposes of maintaining adequate separation of flows discharged from adjacent dispersion devices, the vegetated flowpath segment for the rock pad must not overlap with other flowpath segments, except those associated with sheet flow from a non-native pervious surface.

C.2.4.4 USE OF GRAVEL FILLED TRENCHES FOR BASIC DISPERSION

Where dispersion of concentrated flows through 50 feet of vegetation is not feasible, such as on a small or highly constrained ***site***, a gravel filled trench (also called a **dispersion trench)** may be used to "unconcentrate" flows. Dispersion trenches provide some storage for runoff, promote infiltration, and spread concentrated flows so that a shorter vegetated flowpath length can be used at the trench outlet. This BMP is more expensive than the simple dispersion systems described above, and must be carefully constructed to be effective.

Uses: Roofs, driveways, lawns, pasture, etc. from which runoff is concentrated in a downspout, yard drain, pipe, drainage tile, etc.

Design Specifications

Figure C.2.4.B (p. C-67) shows two types of dispersion trenches that may be used, a "simple 10-foot trench" and a maximum "50-foot trench with notch board." The 50-foot trench with notch board is further detailed in Figure C.2.1.D (p. C-42). These gravel filled trenches must meet the following specifications for basic dispersion:

1. No more than 700 square feet of impervious surface (or 5,000 square feet of non-native pervious surface) may be drained to a simple 10-foot dispersion trench. Up to 3,500 square feet of impervious

surface (or 25,000 square feet of non-native pervious surface) may be drained to a 50-foot trench with notch board. Smaller lengths of trench with notch board may be used at a ratio of 10 feet of trench per 700 square feet of impervious surface (or 5,000 square feet of non-native pervious surface). The 10-foot trench length is the maximum allowed without a notch grade board as shown in Figure C.2.1.D.

2. A "vegetated flowpath segment" of at least 25 feet in length must be available along the flowpath that runoff would follow upon discharge from a dispersion trench. This length must be increased to 50 feet if the discharge is toward a ***steep slope hazard area*** or a ***landslide hazard area*** steeper than 15%. All or a portion of the vegetated flowpath segment may be within the buffer for the ***steep slope hazard area*** or ***landslide hazard area***.
3. The simple 10-foot trench illustrated in Figure C.2.4.B must be at least 2-feet wide by 18-inches deep. The maximum 50-foot trench with notch board detailed in Figure C.2.1.D must be at least 2-feet wide by 24-inches deep.
4. All trenches must be filled with $^{3}/_{4}$ to $1^{1}/_{2}$-inch washed rock.
5. All trenches must be placed at least 10 feet from any building and must be parallel as possible to the contour of the ground. A setback of at least 5 feet must be maintained between any edge of a trench and the property line.
6. For purposes of maintaining adequate separation of flows discharged from adjacent dispersion devices, the outer edge of the vegetated flowpath segment for the dispersion trench must not overlap with other flowpath segments, except those associated with sheet flow from a non-native pervious surface.

C.2.4.5 USE OF SHEET FLOW FOR BASIC DISPERSION

Sheet flow, as a dispersion device, is the grading of a developed surface (either a strip of impervious surface or a width of non-native pervious surface) as needed to avoid the concentration of runoff before and after discharge from the surface. Two types of sheet flow, one for impervious surface and one for pervious surface, are detailed in this section.

Uses: Flat or moderately sloping surfaces (<15% slope) such as driveways, sport courts, patios, roofs without gutters, lawns, pastures, etc.; or any situation where concentration of flows can be avoided.

Design Specifications for Impervious Surface Sheet Flow (Basic Dispersion)

Figure C.2.4.D (p. C-69) illustrates a typical use of sheet flow dispersion for impervious surface in accordance with the following specifications:

1. The strip of impervious surface may be either roof (with no gutter) or pavement. The edge of the target impervious strip and the ground adjacent to or immediately below the edge must be either level or sloped such that the direction of sheet flow is perpendicular to the edge or no more than 45 degrees from perpendicular.
2. A 2-foot-wide, 4-to-6 inch-deep, strip of crushed rock or the extended base course of a road or driveway must be provided at or below the edge of the impervious strip to facilitate dispersal of runoff. This requirement may be waived for use of *reverse slope sidewalks*[18] and other impervious strips that are 10-feet wide or less.
3. A "vegetated flowpath segment" of at least 10 feet in length must be available along the flowpath that runoff would follow upon discharge from the strip of crushed rock.

[18] *Reverse slope sidewalk* is one that slopes away from rather than onto the roadway it abuts as required by County road standards. If this technique is proposed within County right-of-way, a Road Variance will be required for its use.

4. No more than a 20-foot-wide strip of impervious surface may be sheet flowed in this manner unless the length of vegetated flowpath segment is increased 10 feet for each additional 20 feet of impervious surface width or fraction thereof.
5. For purposes of maintaining adequate separation of flows discharged from adjacent dispersion devices, the outer edge of the vegetated flowpath segment for the strip of impervious surface must not overlap with other flowpath segments, except those associated with sheet flow from a non-native pervious surface.

Design Specifications for Pervious Surface Sheet Flow (Basic Dispersion)

The runoff from any ***new pervious surface*** is considered dispersed by sheet flow if the runoff is not concentrated by a manmade or natural conveyance system (e.g., pipe, yard drain, drain tile, ditch, swale, etc.) within 25 feet of leaving the ***new pervious surface*** area or prior to leaving the ***site*** or entering a critical area buffer on the ***site***.

C.2.4.6 MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR BASIC DISPERSION

If the basic dispersion flow control BMP is proposed for a project, the following maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; DLS-Permitting may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. Also, as the County gains more experience with the maintenance and operation of these BMPs, future updates to the instructions will be posted on King County's *Surface Water Design Manual* website.

A reproducible copy of the instructions, prepared for inclusion with the declaration of covenant, is located in Reference M.

❑ TEXT OF INSTRUCTIONS FOR BASIC DISPERSION

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called "basic dispersion," which was installed to mitigate the stormwater quantity and quality impacts of some or all of the impervious surfaces or non-native pervious surfaces on your property. Basic dispersion is a strategy for utilizing any available capacity of onsite vegetated areas to retain, absorb, and filter the runoff from developed surfaces. This flow control BMP has two primary components that must be maintained: (1) the devices that disperse runoff from the developed surfaces and (2) the vegetated area over which runoff is dispersed.

Dispersion Devices

The dispersion devices used on your property include the following as indicated on the flow control BMP site plan: ❑ splash blocks, ❑ rock pads, ❑ gravel filled trenches, ❑ sheet flow. The size, placement, composition, and downstream flowpaths of these devices as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

Dispersion devices must be inspected annually and after major storm events to identify and repair any physical defects. When native soil is exposed or erosion channels are present, the sources of the erosion or concentrated flow need to be identified and mitigated. Concentrated flow can be mitigated by leveling the edge of the pervious area and/or realigning or replenishing the rocks in the dispersion device, such as in rock pads and gravel filled trenches.

Vegetated Flowpaths

The vegetated area over which runoff is dispersed must be maintained in good condition free of bare spots and obstructions that would concentrate flows.

FIGURE C.2.4.A TYPICAL SPLASHBLOCK FOR BASIC DISPERSION



FIGURE C.2.4.B TYPICAL GRAVEL-FILLED DISPERSION TRENCH FOR BASIC DISPERSION



TRENCH SECTION
NTS



PLAN VIEW OF ROOF
NTS

FIGURE C.2.4.C EXAMPLES OF BASIC DISPERSION FOR STEEP DRIVEWAYS



BERM DETAIL
NTS



PLAN - STEEP DRIVEWAY WITH DIAGONAL BERMS
NTS



PLAN - STEEP DRIVEWAY WITH SLOTTED DRAINS
NTS

FIGURE C.2.4.D EXAMPLES OF BASIC DISPERSION FOR DRIVEWAYS



PLAN - DRIVEWAY DISPERSION TRENCH
DRIVEWAY SLOPE VARIES AND SLOPES TOWARD STREET
NTS



PLAN - SHEET FLOW DISPERSION FROM A DRIVEWAY
FLAT TO MODERATELY STOPING DRIVEWAYS
NTS

C.2.5 FARMLAND DISPERSION

Farmland dispersion is the application of dispersion devices that utilize any available capacity of onsite pasture or croplands to mitigate the runoff quantity and quality impacts from developed surfaces (i.e., impervious and non-native pervious surfaces).

Applicable Surfaces

Subject to the minimum design requirements and specifications in this section, farmland dispersion may be applied to any impervious surface or pervious surfaces related to an agricultural operation on sites within an Agricultural Production District (APD), Farmland Preservation Program (FPP), or sites zoned "A" .

Infeasibility Criteria

Farmland dispersion is an optional BMP. Projects proposing to use farmland dispersion must meet the minimum design requirements listed below.

Operation and Maintenance

The area of cropland or pasture used for dispersion must be delineated as a "pasture/cropland retention area" on the flow control BMP site plan that will be attached to the required declaration of covenant and grant of easement per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 The required declaration of covenant and grant of easement must include an attachment that includes instructions for operating and maintaining dispersion devices as detailed in Section C.2.4.6.

C.2.5.1 MINIMUM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS FOR FARMLAND DISPERSION

Farmland dispersion onto pasture and/or cropland is an allowed option if all of the following requirements are met for ***targeted impervious and pervious surfaces:***

1. The project where farmland dispersion onto pasture or cropland is being considered must be currently in agricultural use and be within an Agricultural Production District (APD), Farmland Preservation Program (FPP), or site zoned "A".
2. The impervious or pervious surface being dispersed onto pasture/cropland must be directly related to the agricultural operation.
3. New impervious and/or pervious surfaces being dispersed onto pasture/cropland must not disturb, impact, or replace native vegetation.
4. The soil moisture holding capacity of **new pervious surfaces** must be protected in accordance with KCC 16.82.100(F) and (G). KCC 16.82.100(F) requires that the duff layer or native topsoil be retained to the maximum extent practicable. KCC 16.82.100(G) requires soil amendment to mitigate for lost moisture holding capacity where compaction or removal of some or all of the duff layer or underlying topsoil has occurred. The specifications for compost in the soil amendment can be found in Reference 11-C.
5. Dispersion of pollution generating impervious surfaces and/or pollution generating pervious surfaces exceeding the water quality facility thresholds of Core Requirement #8 onto pasture/cropland is only allowed without a water quality facility where the site has a functioning farm management plan that emphasizes water quality improvement. Where there is no active farm management plan, the pollution generating surface dispersed is considered a target surface for water quality treatment and a facility from the SWDM must be provided per Core Requirement #8.
6. The runoff being dispersed must be discharged using one of the following **dispersion devices** in accordance with the design specifications and maximum area of dispersed surface for each device as set forth in Sections C.2.1.3 through C.2.1.6:
    - Splash blocks (see Section C.2.1.3, p. C-34)

- Rock pads (see Section C.2.1.4, p. C-35)
- Gravel filled trenches (see Section C.2.1.5, p. C-35)
- Sheet flow (see Section C.2.1.6, p. C-37)

7. A pasture/cropland **flowpath segment** of at least 100 feet in length must be available along the flowpath that runoff would follow upon discharge from a dispersion device. The flowpath segment **must meet all of the following criteria:**

   a) The flowpath segment must be over ***pasture/cropland***.

   b) The width of the flowpath segment shall be as specified in #6 above based on the type of dispersion device being used.

   c) The minimum length of the flowpath segment shall be as specified in #6 above based on the amount of impervious area being dispersed and the type of dispersion device being used. This length shall be extended as needed to achieve a total dispersion area (length times width) that is at least 10 times the area of impervious surface being dispersed.

   d) The flowpath segment must be **onsite** or in an **offsite tract or easement area** reserved for such dispersion.

   e) The **slope** of the flowpath segment must be no steeper than 15% for any 20-foot reach of the flowpath segment.

   f) The flowpath segment must be located between the dispersion device and any **downstream drainage feature** such as a pipe, ditch, stream, river, pond, lake, or wetland.

   g) The flowpath segments for adjacent dispersion devices must be sufficiently spaced in order to prevent overlap of flows in the segment areas. The **minimum spacing** between flowpath segments is specified for each dispersion device in previous sections, and includes an exception made in cases where sheet flow from a non-native pervious surface overlaps with other flowpath segments.

8. The area of cropland or pasture used for dispersion must be delineated as a "pasture/cropland retention area" on the flow control BMP site plan that will be attached to the required declaration of covenant and grant of easement per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23).

9. For ***sites*** with **septic systems**, the discharge of runoff from dispersion devices must be located down slope of the primary and reserve drainfield areas. DLS-Permitting permit review staff can waive this requirement if site topography clearly prohibits discharged flows from intersecting the drainfield.

10. Dispersion devices are not allowed in critical area **buffers** (unless approved by DLS-Permitting) or on **slopes** steeper than 20%.

11. Dispersion devices are not allowed within 50 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area***.

12. Dispersion devices proposed on slopes steeper than 15% must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

13. Dispersion devices proposed near slopes steeper than 15% must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist if the facility is located within a setback from the top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15%. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

14. Dispersion devices that direct runoff toward a slope steeper than 15% may require evaluation and approval of the proposal by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** as determined by DLS-

Permitting. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

15. Dispersion devices proposed within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area*** must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
16. The dispersion of runoff must not create flooding or erosion impacts as determined by the DLS-Permitting. If runoff is discharged toward a landslide hazard area, erosion hazard area, or steep slope hazard area, DLS-Permitting may require evaluation and approval of the proposal by a geotechnical engineer or engineering geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

C.2.6 BIORETENTION

Bioretention areas are shallow landscaped depressions, with a designed soil mix and plants adapted to the local climate and soil moisture conditions that receive stormwater from a contributing area. Four types of bioretention designs are discussed in this section: bioretention cells, bioretention swales, bioretention planters, and a road-side ditch bioretention alternative design. These are briefly described below:

Bioretention cells:

Shallow depressions with a designed planting soil mix and a variety of plant material, including trees, shrubs, grasses, and/or other herbaceous plants. Bioretention cells are not designed as a conveyance system. (See Figures 2.6.A and 2.6.B)

Bioretention swales:

Incorporate the same design features as bioretention cells; however, bioretention swales are designed as part of a system that can convey stormwater when maximum ponding depth is exceeded. Bioretention swales have relatively gentle side slopes and ponding depths that are typically 6 to 12 inches.

Bioretention planters:

Designed soil mix and a variety of plant material including trees, shrubs, grasses, and/or other herbaceous plants within a vertical walled container usually constructed from formed concrete, but could include other materials. Planters have an open bottom and allow infiltration to the subgrade. These designs are often used in ultra-urban settings.

Road-side bioretention ditch:

This feature uses a designed soil mix underlain by drain rock for increased storage, low maintenance vegetation typical of road-side ditches, and requires no permanent ponding-- allowing for road side conveyance to function per current design and maintenance standards without need for weirs or excess standing water along the road. Where a bioretention cell or swale would be deemed infeasible for a project, the road side bioretention ditch is considered as optional, not required.

Applicable Surfaces

Subject to the minimum design requirements and specifications in this section, bioretention may be applied to any impervious surface such as a roof, driveway, parking area, road, or sidewalk, and to any non-native pervious surface such as a lawn, landscaped area, or pasture. Bioretention proposed in road right-of-ways must be per the King County Road Design and Construction Standards (KCRDCS) or as otherwise approved by the King County Road Engineer.

Infeasibility Criteria

Bioretention is considered infeasible and not required for projects that cannot meet the minimum design requirements for bioretention or via the bioretention infeasibility criteria list that follows in this section. Where the minimum design requirements call for geotechnical evaluation and approval for bioretention that proposes to discharge towards or is within described setbacks of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, ***landslide hazard area, or slopes greater than 15%,*** bioretention is considered infeasible and not required. Though not required in these circumstances, a project proponent may still opt to use bioretention as long as the geotechnical evaluation and approval requirement is met.

Additional Requirements for Large Bioretention Facilities:

Bioretention that receives runoff from:

- impervious surfaces totaling 10,000 square feet or more; *or*
- new pervious surfaces totaling ¾ acre or more, *or*

- a combination of impervious and pervious surfaces that results in a 0.15 cfs increase (using 15 minute time steps) or 0.1 cfs (using 1 hour time steps) in the 100 year peak flow when comparing predeveloped (historic) and developed conditions,

must meet the following additional requirements to address their larger size, risk, and maintenance needs:

Bioretention Cells:

- **SWDM Section 5.2:** 100 year overflow conveyance (if applicable); Spill Control Device; Presettling, Protection from Upstream Erosion; Facility Construction Guidelines; Offsite Groundwater Impacts; Groundwater Protection; Infiltration near Water Supply Wells; and Infiltration near Steep Slopes and Landslide Hazard Areas.
- **SWDM Section 5.2.2.1** Infiltration Ponds Design Criteria: General, Setbacks.

Bioretention Swales:

- **SWDM Section 5.2:** 100 year overflow conveyance (if applicable); Protection from Upstream Erosion; Facility Construction Guidelines; Offsite Groundwater Impacts; Groundwater Protection; Infiltration near Water Supply Wells; and Infiltration near Steep Slopes and Landslide Hazard Areas.
- **SWDM Section 6.3:** Vegetated Flowpath Facility Designs: Access; Construction Considerations; Flow Velocity, Energy Dissipation, and Flow Spreading (#2, 3, 4 and 5)

Other Site Suitability Factors:

Utility conflicts: Consult local jurisdiction requirements for horizontal and vertical separation required for publicly-owned utilities, such as water and sewer. Consult the appropriate franchise utility owners for separation requirements from their utilities, which may include communications and gas. When separation requirements cannot be met, designs should include appropriate mitigation measures, such as impermeable liners over the utility, sleeving utilities, fixing known leaky joints or cracked conduits, and/or adding an underdrain to the bioretention.

Transportation safety: The design configuration and selected plant types should provide adequate sight distances, clear zones, and appropriate setbacks for roadway applications in accordance with local jurisdiction requirements.

Ponding depth and surface water draw-down: Flow control needs, as well as location in the development, and mosquito breeding cycles will determine draw-down timing. For example, front yards and entrances to residential or commercial developments may require rapid surface dewatering for aesthetics.

Impacts of surrounding activities: Human activity influences the location of the facility in the development. For example, locate bioretention areas away from traveled areas on individual lots to prevent soil compaction and damage to vegetation or provide elevated or bermed pathways in areas where foot traffic is inevitable, and provide barriers, such as wheel stops, to restrict vehicle access in roadside applications.

Visual buffering: Bioretention facilities can be used to buffer structures from roads, enhance privacy among residences, and for an aesthetic site feature.

Site growing characteristics and plant selection: Appropriate plants should be selected for sun exposure, soil moisture, and adjacent plant communities. Native species or hardy cultivars are recommended and can flourish in the properly designed and placed Bioretention Soil Mix with no nutrient or pesticide inputs and 2-3 years irrigation for establishment. Invasive species control may be necessary.

Required Soils Report

Many locations in King County have soils that are underlain by a compacted layer of soil (i.e., glacial till or hardpan) which severely limits soaking capacity and causes water to perch on the relatively impervious layer during the wet season. This can make bioretention impracticable, unreliable, and reduce plant

survivability in the bioretention system. Thus, a soils report is necessary to identify soil types, depth to impermeable layers (hardpan) or the maximum wet season groundwater level, and infiltration rates. Detailed requirements for the soils report are found under "Required Soils Report" in Section C.1.3.

Operation and Maintenance

See Section 2.6.3

Bioretention Infeasibility Criteria List

These are conditions that make bioretention not required to be implemented as part of the prescriptive BMP lists detailed in Core Requirement #9 of the SWDM and Section 1.3 of Appendix C. The lists require BMPs to be evaluated and installed to the maximum extent feasible. Where determined infeasible by these criteria, an applicant has the option to propose a functional design via the adjustment process described in Section 1.4 of the SWDM in order to: (a) use the FCBMP facility modeling credits described in SWDM Section 1.2.9.4; (b) to use in achieving the LID Performance Standard (where required or optional);or (c) to meet the minimum FCBMP implementation requirements ensconced in the "Small Lot, Large Lot, and Large Rural Lot requirements" lists located in SWDM Section 1.2.9.2 and Appendix C, Section 1.3.

Note: Criteria with setback distances are as measured from the bottom edge of the bioretention soil mix.

Citation of any of the following infeasibility criteria (#1-7) must be based on an evaluation of site-specific conditions and a written recommendation from an appropriate licensed professional (e.g., engineer, geologist, hydrogeologist):

1. Where professional geotechnical evaluation recommends infiltration not be used due to reasonable concerns about erosion, slope failure, or down gradient flooding.
2. Within an area whose ground water drains into an erosion hazard, or landslide hazard area.
3. Where the only area available for siting would threaten the safety or reliability of pre-existing underground utilities, pre-existing underground storage tanks, pre-existing structures, or pre-existing road or parking lot surfaces.
4. Where the only area available for siting does not allow for a safe overflow pathway to the municipal separate storm sewer system or private storm sewer system.
5. Where there is a lack of usable space for bioretention facilities at re-development sites, or where there is insufficient space within the existing public right-of-way on public road projects.
6. Where infiltrating water would threaten existing below grade basements.
7. Where infiltrating water would threaten shoreline structures such as bulkheads.

The following criteria can be cited as reasons for a finding of infeasibility without further justification (though some require professional services):

8. Within setbacks from structures as established by the local government with jurisdiction.
9. Where they are not compatible with surrounding drainage system as determined by the local government with jurisdiction (e.g., project drains to an existing stormwater collection system whose elevation or location precludes connection to a properly functioning bioretention facility).
10. Where land for bioretention is within area designated as an erosion hazard, or landslide hazard.
11. Where the site cannot be reasonably designed to locate bioretention facilities on slopes less than 8%.
12. Within 50 feet from the top of slopes that are greater than 20% and over 10 feet of vertical relief.
13. For properties with known soil or ground water contamination (typically federal Superfund sites or state cleanup sites under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA)):

a) Within 100 feet of an area known to have deep soil contamination;

b) Where ground water modeling indicates infiltration will likely increase or change the direction of the migration of pollutants in the ground water;

c) Wherever surface soils have been found to be contaminated unless those soils are removed within 10 horizontal feet from the infiltration area;

d) Any area where these facilities are prohibited by an approved cleanup plan under the state Model Toxics Control Act or Federal Superfund Law, or an environmental covenant under Chapter 64.70 RCW.

14. Within 100 feet of a closed or active landfill.
15. Within 100 feet of a drinking water well, or a spring used for drinking water supply.
16. Within 10 feet of small on-site sewage disposal drainfield, including reserve areas, and grey water reuse systems. For setbacks from a "large on-site sewage disposal system", see Chapter 246-272B WAC.
17. Within 10 feet of an underground storage tank and connecting underground pipes when the capacity of the tank and pipe system is 1100 gallons or less. (As used in these criteria, an underground storage tank means any tank used to store petroleum products, chemicals, or liquid hazardous wastes of which 10% or more of the storage volume (including volume in the connecting piping system) is beneath the ground surface.
18. Within 100 feet of an underground storage tank and connecting underground pipes when the capacity of the tank and pipe system is greater than 1100 gallons.
19. Where the minimum vertical separation of 1 foot to the seasonal high water table, bedrock, or other impervious layer would not be achieved below bioretention that would serve a drainage area that is: 1) less than 5,000 sq. ft. of pollution-generating impervious surface, and 2) less than 10,000 sq. ft. of impervious surface; and, 3) less than ¾ acres of pervious surface.
20. Where the a minimum vertical separation of 3 feet to the seasonal high water table, bedrock or other impervious layer would not be achieved below bioretention that: 1) would serve a drainage area that meets or exceeds: a) 5,000 square feet of pollution-generating impervious surface, or b) 10,000 square feet of impervious surface, or c) three-quarter (3/4) acres of pervious surfaces; and 2) cannot reasonably be broken down into amounts smaller than indicated in (1).
21. Where the field testing indicates potential bioretention sites have a measured (a.k.a., initial) native soil saturated hydraulic conductivity less than 0.30 inches per hour.

C.2.6.1 MINIMUM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS (CELLS, SWALES, AND PLANTERS)

All of the following requirements must be met in order for bioretention to be applicable to a target impervious or pervious surface:

1. A **minimum water storage volume** shall be provided in the bioretention BMP that is calculated by multiplying the equivalent storage depth by the square footage of the target surface area served.

   For target impervious areas:

   - Inside the UGA (Rainfall region SeaTac 1.0 and less): In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.6 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils provide bioretention volume based on 0.1 inches of equivalent storage depth
   - Inside the UGA (Rainfall regions greater than SeaTac 1.0): In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.8 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.4 inches of equivalent storage depth,
   - Outside the UGA: In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 1.9 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils provide bioretention volume based on 1.0 inches of equivalent storage depth

   For target pervious areas: In till soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.7 inches of equivalent storage depth; in outwash soils, provide bioretention volume based on 0.006 inches of equivalent storage depth.

2. The **water storage area**, containing the minimum required storage volume, shall be 6-12 inches deep at overflow and have side slopes no steeper than 3 horizontal to 1 vertical (except where the storage area is structurally contained, e.g. a planter configuration). The overflow point of the water storage area shall be at least 6 inches below any adjacent pavement area. The overflow point must be situated so that overflow does not cause erosion damage or unplanned inundation.

3. The bioretention footprint as measured at the overflow elevation shall be a minimum of 5% of the impervious surface directed to the bioretention BMP.

4. The maximum allowable drawdown time of the water storage area is 24 hours. A correction factor of 0.33 to 1 (no correction factor) as recommended by a licensed geotechnical professional should be applied to initial measured infiltration rates of the in situ soils to determine the design rate for this drawdown calculation. The designed depth of ponding (6" minimum to 12" maximum) must be considered in light of the drawdown time requirement (e.g. in slow draining soils, the designed ponding depth may need to be decreased to the minimum 6" in order to meet the drawdown criteria). As an example, a 6" deep pool with an initial measured rate of .5"/hour and a correction factor of 0.5 applied will achieve drawdown in exactly 24 hours (0.5 in/hour x 0.5 correction factor x 24 hours = 6 inches).

5. Bioretention with underdrains is not allowed unless approved via a SWDM adjustment per Section 1.4 that, at minimum, addresses comparable hydraulic performance, water quality concerns, and maintainability.

6. Bioretention areas should have a minimum shoulder of 12 inches between the road edge and beginning of the bioretention side slope where flush curbs are used.

7. Flow entrance techniques for energy dissipation shall be utilized and may include: flow spreaders described in Section 6.2.6 of SWDM, gravel flow spreaders described in Section 6.3.4.2 of SWDM, rock pads for pipe flow entrances, and/or catch basins preceding bioretention where high sediment loads are anticipated. Other equivalent options may be considered.

8. If a **containment berm** is used to form the water storage area, the berm must be at least 2 feet wide and 6 inches above the 12 inches of water depth. A **catch basin** or **rock pad** must be provided to release water when the pond's water level exceeds the 12 inches of water depth. The catch basin may

discharge to the local drainage system or other acceptable discharge location via a 4-inch rigid pipe. The rock pad may be used with or without a constructed drainage system downstream. If a rock pad is used, it must be composed of crushed or fractured rock, 6-inches deep and 2 feet wide (perpendicular to flow) and must extend at least 4 feet or beyond the containment berm, whichever is greater. The rock pad must be situated so that overflow does not cause erosion damage or unplanned inundation.

9. Bioretention media composed as follows shall be placed and extend up slopes to the maximum storage depth in the bioretention cell, swale, or planter:

   For the standard bioretention design (60/40 sand/compost)**:** 18" thickness of the 60/40 mix.

   For Type 1 HPBSM design: 18" thickness of HPBSM Primary Layer consisting of 70% sand, 20% coir, and 10% high carbon wood ash (biochar) by volume. 3" of Arborist's Woodchip Mulch (per Reference 11-C) shall be placed over the primary layer in the ponding area of the BMP.

   For Type 2 HPBSM design: 18" thickness of HPBSM Primary layer plus 12" thickness of HPBSM Polishing Layer. HPBSM Polishing layer consists of 90% sand, 7.5% activated alumina, and 2.5% iron aggregate by volume. 3" of Arborist's Woodchip Mulch (per Reference 11-C) shall be placed over the primary layer in the ponding area of the BMP.

   For Type 3 HPBSM design: HPBSM Primary Layer plus 12" thickness of HPBSM Polishing Layer plus 2" Compost Surface Layer.

10. The bioretention soil media shall be per Reference 11-C. If the design includes compost, the Compost shall meet Specification 1 described in Reference 11-C.

11. Do not use filter fabrics between the subgrade and the Bioretention Soil Mix. The gradation between existing soils and Bioretention Soil Mix is not great enough to allow significant migration of fines into the Bioretention Soil Mix. Additionally, filter fabrics may clog with downward migration of fines from the Bioretention Soil Mix.

12. On-site soil mixing or placement shall not be performed if Bioretention Soil Mix or subgrade soil is saturated. The bioretention soil mixture should be placed and graded by machinery operating adjacent to the bioretention facility.

13. If machinery must operate in the bioretention cell for soil placement, use light weight equipment with low ground-contact pressure. The soil mixture shall be placed in horizontal layers not to exceed 12 inches per lift for the entire area of the bioretention facility.

14. Compact the Bioretention Soil Mix to a relative compaction of 85 percent of modified maximum dry density (ASTM D 1557). Compaction can be achieved by boot packing (simply walking over all areas of each lift), and then apply 0.2 inches (0.5 cm) of water per 1 inch (2.5 cm) of Bioretention Soil Mix depth. Water for settling should be applied by spraying or sprinkling.

15. Prior to placement of the BSM, the finished subgrade shall: (a) Be scarified to a minimum depth of 3 inches; (b) have any sediment deposited from construction runoff removed (to remove all introduced sediment, subgrade soil should be removed to a depth of 3-6 inches and replaced with BSM); and (c) be inspected by the responsible engineer to verify required subgrade condition.

16. When using either the standard bioretention design (60:40 sand/compost) or the high performance bioretention designs (Reference 14-B) with component media specifications described in Reference 11-C, pre-placement laboratory analysis for saturated hydraulic conductivity of the bioretention soil mix or layered designs is not required. Verification of the mineral aggregate gradation is required, and media mix component ratios must be certified and provided. For FCBMP bioretention using 60:40 media, the aggregate and Type 1 compost must meet Reference 11-C specifications as certified by the vendor. For HPBSM facility and HPBSM FCBMP designs, all primary layer and polishing layer materials must meet Reference 11-C test specifications, and the test results must be provided..

17. Custom bioretention soil mixes may be considered under the adjustment process described in Section 1.4.

18. **Water tolerant plants** such as those in Table C.2.6.A shall be planted in the pond bottom. Plants native to Western Washington are preferred.
19. A minimum 5-foot **setback** shall be maintained between any part of a bioretention cell, swale, or planter and any structure or property line.
20. Bioretention constructed with compost materials are not allowed within one-quarter mile of a sensitive lake if the underlying native soil does not meet the soil suitability criteria for treatment in Section 5.2.1. This disallowance does not apply to bioretention using HPBSM Type 1 without any compost layer, or Type 2 or 3.
21. Bioretention constructed with compost materials are not allowed within ¼ mile of those waterbodies listed as category 2, 4, or 5 for either nutrients or low DO determined to be caused by nutrients. These waterbodies are found on Ecology's combined 303(d)/305(b) Water Quality Assessment list. The exception to this prohibition is where phosphorous is the identified nutrient and the underlying native soil meets soil suitability criteria for treatment described in Section 5.2.1. This disallowance does not apply to bioretention using HPBSM Type 1 without any compost layer, or Type 2 or 3.
22. Bioretention swales shall have a minimum 2- foot bottom width. Swales shall be flat in cross section to promote even flow across the width of the swale. See KCRDCS for design details for bioretention swales in the ROW.
23. Bioretention swales shall meet the conveyance requirements described in Section 1.2.4.1 of the SWDM. Maximum 100 year peak flow velocity through bioretention swales is 3 feet per second.
24. Maximum longitudinal (along direction of flow) slope of bioretention swales shall be 6%.
25. For ***sites*** with **septic systems**, bioretention must be located downgradient of the primary and reserve drainfield areas. DLS-Permitting permit review staff can waive this requirement if site topography clearly prohibits subsurface flows from intersecting the drainfield.
26. Bioretention is not allowed in critical area **buffers** or on **slopes** steeper than 20%.
27. Bioretention is not allowed within 50 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area.***
28. Bioretention proposed on slopes steeper than 15% must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
29. Bioretention proposed near slopes steeper than 15% must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** if the facility is located within a setback from the top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15% unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
30. Bioretention that directs overflow towards slopes steeper than 15% may require evaluation and approval of the proposal by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** as determined by DLS-Permitting. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
31. Bioretention proposed within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area*** must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
32. Bioretention must not create **flooding or erosion impacts** as determined by DLS-Permitting. If bioretention is proposed near or directs overflows towards a ***landslide hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or a ***steep slope hazard area***, DLS-Permitting may require evaluation and approval of the

proposal by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist***. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

C.2.6.2 MINIMUM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS (ROADSIDE BIORETENTION DITCH)

All of the following requirements must be met in order for bioretention to be applicable to a target impervious surface:

1. The roadside bioretention ditch is only allowed to serve road and sidewalk improvements.
2. The roadside bioretention ditch is considered optional only and not required for purposes of complying with the prescriptive BMP list approach from Core Requirement #9 where a standard bioretention cell is deemed infeasible.
3. The longitudinal slope of the road side bioretention ditch shall be consistent with KCRDCS 7.02.A standards for grass-lined ditches which allow a maximum slope of 6%. Grades between 3% and 6% may require check dams to reduce potential erosion.
4. The roadside bioretention ditch shall meet the conveyance requirements described in Section 1.2.4.1 of the SWDM.
5. Flow entrance techniques for energy dissipation shall be utilized and may include where applicable: flow spreaders described in Section 6.2.6 of SWDM, gravel flow spreaders described in Section 6.3.4.2 of SWDM, rock pads for pipe flow entrances, and/or catch basins preceding bioretention where high sediment loads are anticipated. Other equivalent options may be considered. Consideration should be given as to whether the design details specified in Section 4.2.2 (Outfalls) are applicable to a given design.
6. Side slopes shall be per KCRDCS standards for roadside ditches.
7. Vegetation in the roadside bioretention ditch shall be as approved by the King County Road Engineer when in King County right of way.
8. Bioretention media is required across the entire bottom width (2 foot minimum) of the roadside bioretention ditch through its full length. Bioretention media shall be composed as follows:

   For the standard bioretention **design** (60/40 sand/compost)**:** 18" thickness of the 60/40 mix.

   For Type 1 HPBSM design: 18" thickness of HPBSM Primary Layer consisting of 70% sand, 20% coir, and 10% high carbon wood ash (biochar) by volume. 3" of Arborist's Woodchip Mulch (per Reference 11-C) shall be placed over the primary layer in the ponding area of the BMP.

   For Type 2 HPBSM design: 18" thickness of HPBSM Primary layer plus 12" thickness of HPBSM Polishing Layer. HPBSM Polishing layer consists of 90% sand, 7.5% activated alumina, and 2.5% iron aggregate by volume. 3" of Arborist's Woodchip Mulch (per Reference 11-C) shall be placed over the primary layer in the ponding area of the BMP.

   For Type 3 HPBSM design: HPBSM Primary Layer plus 12" thickness of HPBSM Polishing Layer plus 2" Compost Surface Layer.
9. The bioretention soil media shall be per Reference 11-C. If the design includes compost, the Compost shall meet Specification 1 described in Reference 11-C.
10. A linear trench of washed rock that is 1.5 ft. deep x 2 ft. wide (typical ditch bottom width) shall be placed underneath the bioretention media. The length of the trench shall be that specified in Section C.2.3.3 "Use of Gravel Filled Trenches for Limited Infiltration" based on the tributary area to the roadside bioretention ditch. Where this length is not achievable, the width of the gravel trench may be widened as allowed by ROW or tract width to provide an equivalent area footprint. To slow flows and encourage infiltration, the gravel filled trench shall be broken up along every 50 feet its length by a minimum 4 foot long plug of native, unexcavated soil or native material (or equivalent) free of

wood waste, debris, clods or rocks greater than 6" in any dimension, compacted to 95% maximum density (ASTM D1557).

11. Do not use filter fabrics between the subgrade and the Bioretention Soil Mix. The gradation between existing soils and Bioretention Soil Mix is not great enough to allow significant migration of fines into the Bioretention Soil Mix. Additionally, filter fabrics may clog with downward migration of fines from the Bioretention Soil Mix.
12. On-site soil mixing or placement shall not be performed if Bioretention Soil Mix or subgrade soil is saturated. The bioretention soil mixture should be placed and graded by machinery operating adjacent to the bioretention facility.
13. If machinery must operate in the bioretention cell for soil placement, use light weight equipment with low ground-contact pressure. The soil mixture shall be placed in horizontal layers not to exceed 12 inches per lift for the entire area of the bioretention facility.
14. Compact the Bioretention Soil Mix to a relative compaction of 85 percent of modified maximum dry density (ASTM D 1557). Compaction can be achieved by boot packing (simply walking over all areas of each lift), and then apply 0.2 inches (0.5 cm) of water per 1 inch (2.5 cm) of Bioretention Soil Mix depth. Water for settling should be applied by spraying or sprinkling.
15. Prior to placement of the BSM, the finished subgrade shall: (a) Be scarified to a minimum depth of 3 inches; (b) have any sediment deposited from construction runoff removed (To remove all introduced sediment, subgrade soil should be removed to a depth of 3-6 inches and replaced with BSM); and (c) be inspected by the responsible engineer to verify required subgrade condition.
16. When using either the standard bioretention design (60/40 sand/compost) or the high performance bioretention designs (Reference 14-B) with component media specifications described in Reference 11-C, pre-placement laboratory analysis for saturated hydraulic conductivity of the bioretention soil mix or layered designs is not required. Verification of the mineral aggregate gradation is required, and media mix component ratios must be certified and provided. For FCBMP bioretention using 60:40 media, the aggregate and Type 1 compost must meet Reference 11-C specifications as certified by the vendor. For HPBSM facility and HPBSM FCBMP designs, all primary layer and polishing layer materials must meet Reference 11-C test specifications, and the test results must be provided..
17. Custom bioretention soil mixes may be considered under the adjustment process described in Section 1.4.
18. Bioretention with underdrains is not allowed unless approved via a SWDM adjustment per Section 1.4 that, at minimum, addresses comparable hydraulic performance, water quality concerns, and maintainability.
19. Bioretention constructed with compost materials are not allowed within one-quarter mile of a sensitive lake if the underlying native soil does not meet the soil suitability criteria for treatment in Section 5.2.1. This disallowance does not apply to bioretention using HPBSM Type 1 without any compost layer, or Type 2 or 3.
20. Bioretention constructed with compost materials are not allowed within ¼ mile of those waterbodies listed as category 2, 4, or 5 for either nutrients or low DO determined to be caused by nutrients. These waterbodies are found on Ecology's combined 303(d)/305(b) Water Quality Assessment list. The exception to this prohibition is where phosphorous is the identified nutrient and the underlying native soil meets soil suitability criteria for treatment described in Section 5.2.1. This disallowance does not apply to bioretention using HPBSM Type 1 without any compost layer, or Type 2 or 3.
21. For ***sites*** with **septic systems**, bioretention must be located downgradient of the primary and reserve drainfield areas. DLS-Permitting permit review staff can waive this requirement if site topography clearly prohibits subsurface flows from intersecting the drainfield.
22. Bioretention is not allowed in critical area **buffers** or on **slopes** steeper than 20%.

23. Bioretention is not allowed within 50 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard*** area, or ***landslide hazard area***.

24. Bioretention proposed on slopes steeper than 15% must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

25. Bioretention proposed near slopes steeper than 15% must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** if the facility is located within a setback from the top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15% unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

26. Bioretention that directs overflow towards slopes steeper than 15% may require evaluation and approval of the proposal by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** as determined by DLS-Permitting.

27. Bioretention proposed within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area*** must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

28. Bioretention must not create **flooding or erosion impacts** as determined by DLS-Permitting. If bioretention is proposed near or directs overflow towards a ***landslide hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or a ***steep slope hazard area***, DLS-Permitting may require evaluation and approval of the proposal by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist***. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

C.2.6.3 MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR BIORETENTION

If a bioretention flow control BMP is proposed for a project, the following maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; DLS-Permitting may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. Also, as the County gains more experience with the maintenance and operation of these BMPs, future updates to the instructions will be posted on King County's *Surface Water Design Manual* website.

A reproducible copy of the instructions, prepared for inclusion with the declaration of covenant, is located in Reference 8-M.

❑ TEXT OF INSTRUCTIONS

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called "bioretention," which was installed to mitigate the stormwater quantity and quality impacts of some or all of the impervious or non-native pervious surfaces on your property. Bioretention includes vegetated closed depressions (ponds), swales, and/or planters that retain and filter stormwater from an area of impervious surface or non-native pervious surface. The soil in the bioretention area has been enhanced to encourage and support vigorous plant growth that serves to filter the water and sustain infiltration capacity. Depending on soil conditions, the bioretention area may have water in them throughout the wet season and may overflow during major storm events.

The size, placement, and design of the bioretention BMP as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County. Plant materials may be changed to suit tastes, but chemical fertilizers and pesticides must not be used. Mulch may be added and additional compost should be worked into the soil over time. Mulch must comply with Reference 11-C. Compost must comply with Reference 11-C specification 1 Compost.

Bioretention BMPs must be inspected annually for physical defects. After major storm events, the system should be checked to see that the overflow system is working properly. If erosion channels or bare spots are evident, they should be stabilized with soil, plant material, mulch, or landscape rock. A supplemental watering program may be needed the first year to ensure the long-term survival of the bioretention BMP. Vegetation should be maintained as follows: 1) replace all dead vegetation as soon as possible; 2) remove fallen leaves and debris as needed; 3) remove all noxious vegetation when discovered; 4) manually weed without herbicides or pesticides; 5) during drought conditions, use mulch to prevent excess solar damage and water loss.

TABLE C.2.6.A WATER TOLERANT PLANTS		
Common Name	**Scientific Name**	**Spacing (on center)**
Western manna grass	*Glyceria occidentalis*	seed
Velvetgrass	*Holcus mollis*	seed
Shortawn foxtail	*Alopecurus aequalis*	seed
Water foxtail	*Alopecurus geniculatus*	seed
Spike rush	*Eleocharis spp.*	4 inches
Slough sedge	*Carex obnupta*	6 inches or seed
Sawbeak sedge	*Carex stipata*	6 inches
Sedge	*Carex* spp.	6 inches
Slender rush	*Juncus tenuis*	6 inches
Water parsley	*Oenanthe sarmentosa*	6 inches
Hardstem bulrush	*Scirpus acutus*	6 inches
Watercress	*Rorippa nasturtium-aquaticum*	12 inches
Small-fruited bulrush	*Scirpus microcarpus*	12 inches

C.2.6.4 EXAMPLE SIZING CALCULATION

Runoff Source: 20-foot by 20-foot driveway

Equivalent Storage Depth = 1.0 inches (0.083 feet)

Bioretention Storage Volume Needed = 20 feet x 20 feet x 0.083 feet = 33 cubic feet

Note that if the design soil percolation rate is 0.5 inches per hour, a pond 1-foot deep will take 24 hours to drain when filled.

FIGURE C.2.6.A TYPICAL BIORETENTION CELL



PLAN VIEW
NTS

NOTES:

- WATER STORAGE VOLUME PER SECTION C.2.6.1.
- WATER SURFACE AREA AT OVERFLOW ELEVATION MUST BE EQUAL TO AT LEAST 5% OF THE IMPERVIOUS AREA TRIBUTARY TO THE FACILITY.
- BIORETENTION THAT RECEIVES DRAINAGE FROM IMPERVIOUS AND/OR PERVIOUS AREA EXCEEDING THE THRESHOLDS IN SECTION C.2.6 MUST MEET "ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR LARGE BIORETENTION FACILITIES" DESCRIBED IN THAT SECTION.



SECTION A-A
NTS

FIGURE C.2.6.B TYPICAL BIORETENTION WITH CONTAINMENT BERM



PLAN VIEW
NTS

NOTES:

- WATER STORAGE VOLUME PER SECTION C.2.6.1.
- WATER SURFACE AREA AT OVERFLOW ELEVATION MUST BE EQUAL TO AT LEAST 5% OF THE IMPERVIOUS AREA TRIBUTARY TO THE FACILITY.
- BIORETENTION THAT RECEIVES DRAINAGE FROM IMPERVIOUS AND/OR PERVIOUS AREA EXCEEDING THE THRESHOLDS IN SECTION C.2.6 MUST MEET "ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR LARGE BIORETENTION FACILITIES" DESCRIBED IN THAT SECTION.



SECTION A-A
NTS

C.2.7 PERMEABLE PAVEMENT

Permeable pavements include porous concrete, porous asphalt, cellular confinement gravel systems, unit pavers with a gravel bed, and grassed modular grid systems. There are many types of permeable pavement on the market today. Permeable pavement systems require careful design, construction, and maintenance in order to provide good service life and proper drainage. Manufacturer's recommendations should be strictly followed for proprietary systems.

Applicable Surfaces

Subject to the minimum design requirements and specifications in this section, permeable pavement may be applied to non- pollution generating impervious surface (sidewalks or patio) and to those pollution generating impervious surfaces (e.g. driveway, road, or parking area) where the soil beneath the infiltration BMP has properties that reduce the risk of groundwater contamination from typical stormwater runoff . Note that where the soil beneath the infiltration BMP does not have properties that reduce the risk of groundwater contamination, the applicant has the option of using permeable pavement for residential driveways serving 2 or less households that are not within a groundwater protection area if a 6" sand liner beneath the permeable pavement is included in the design. Permeable pavement proposed for roadway improvements (e.g. roads and sidewalks) shall be per the King County Road Design and Construction Standards (KCRDCS) or as otherwise approved by the King County Road Engineer.

Design Considerations

Application of permeable pavement on steeper slopes may not be suitable because water draining through permeable base may daylight downslope. Ideally, permeable pavement slopes should be less than 5%. Areas with a high water table or highly impervious soils may be unsuitable for this pavement type.

Runoff from other impervious areas ("run on") may be directed to permeable pavements that meet the following criteria:

Inside Urban Growth Area boundary: Run-on area is limited to a maximum of 5 times the permeable pavement area to which the run-on is directed, of which no more than 2 times may be from pollution generating impervious surfaces.

Outside the Urban Growth Area boundary: In till soils, run-on area (including both pollution generating and non-pollution generating impervious surfaces) is limited to a maximum of 2 times the permeable pavement area to which the run-on is directed. In outwash soils, run-on area is limited a maximum of 5 times the permeable pavement area to which the run-on is directed, of which no more than 2 times may be from pollution generating impervious surfaces.

Run-on from pollution generating impervious surfaces is only allowed in areas where the soil beneath the permeable pavement has properties that reduce the risk of groundwater contamination.

For permeable pavement installations on slopes greater than 5%, impermeable check dams are required underneath the pavement. The surface area of the impermeable check dams shall not be counted as part of the permeable pavement when determining the allowable area of run-on.

Target impervious surfaces from which runoff is directed to permeable pavements are considered to have met the minimum FCBMP implementation requirements contained in the "Small Lot, Large Lot, and Large Rural Lot requirements" lists located in SWDM Section 1.2.9.2 and Appendix C, Section 1.3. No FCBMP modeling credits for sizing flow control or water quality facilities are given for surfaces that are run-on to permeable pavements.

Infeasibility Criteria

Permeable pavement is considered infeasible and not required for projects that cannot meet the minimum design requirements for permeable pavement described or via the permeable pavement infeasibility criteria list below. Where the minimum design requirements call for geotechnical evaluation and approval for

permeable pavement that proposes to discharge towards or is within described setbacks of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, ***landslide hazard area, or slopes greater than 15%,*** permeable pavement is considered infeasible and not required. Though not required in these circumstances, a project proponent may still opt to use permeable pavement as long as the geotechnical evaluation and approval requirement is met. Functionally equivalent design alternatives to permeable pavement may be considered to meet BMP requirements if approved by both WLRD and DLS-Permitting. A functionally equivalent design will provide the same infiltrative capacity (storage and infiltration rate) or be demonstrated via modeling to meet the LID Performance Standard. An example of an equivalent design includes a standard impermeable pavement design where runoff is collected and redistributed /infiltrated below or adjacent to the pavement.

Required Soils Report

Many locations in King County have soils that are underlain by a compacted layer of soil (i.e., glacial till or hardpan) which severely limits soaking capacity and causes water to perch on the relatively impervious layer during the wet season. In other areas, soil does not have the properties that reduce the risk of groundwater contamination from typical stormwater runoff from pollution generating surfaces. These factors can make permeable pavements impractical, unreliable, or deleterious to groundwater quality. Thus, a soils report is necessary to identify soil types, soil characteristics, depth to impermeable layers (hardpan) or the maximum wet season groundwater level, and infiltration rates.

Detailed requirements for the soils report are found under “Required Soils Report” in Section 1.3.

Operation and Maintenance

See Section C.2.7.7 (p. C-94).

Permeable Pavement Infeasibility Criteria List

These are conditions that make permeable pavement not required to be implemented as part of the prescriptive BMP lists detailed in Core Requirement #9 of the SWDM and Section 1.3 of Appendix C. The lists require BMPs be evaluated and installed to the maximum extent feasible. Where determined infeasible by these criteria, an applicant has the option to propose a functional design via the adjustment process described in Section 1.4 of the SWDM in order to: (a) use the FCBMP facility modeling credits described in SWDM Section 1.2.9.4; (b) to use in achieving the LID Performance Standard (where required or optional);or (c) to meet the minimum FCBMP implementation requirements contained in the “Small Lot, Large Lot, and Large Rural Lot requirements” lists located in SWDM Section 1.2.9.2 and Appendix C, Section 1.3.

These criteria also apply to impervious pavements that would employ stormwater collection from the surface of impervious pavement with redistribution below the pavement.

Citation of any of the following infeasibility criteria must be based on an evaluation of site-specific conditions and a written recommendation from an appropriate licensed professional (e.g., engineer, geologist, hydrogeologist).

1. Where professional geotechnical evaluation recommends infiltration not be used due to reasonable concerns about erosion, slope failure, or down gradient flooding.
2. Within an area whose ground water drains into an erosion hazard, or landslide hazard area.
3. Where infiltrating and ponded water below new permeable pavement area would compromise adjacent impervious pavements.
4. Where infiltrating water below a new permeable pavement area would threaten existing below grade basements.
5. Where infiltrating water would threaten shoreline structures such as bulkheads.
6. Down slope of steep, erosion prone areas that are likely to deliver sediment.

7. Where fill soils are used that can become unstable when saturated.
8. Excessively steep slopes where water within the aggregate base layer or at the sub-grade surface cannot be controlled by detention structures and may cause erosion and structural failure, or where surface runoff velocities may preclude adequate infiltration at the pavement surface.
9. Where permeable pavements cannot provide sufficient strength to support heavy loads at industrial facilities such as ports.
10. Where installation of permeable pavement would threaten the safety or reliability of pre-existing underground utilities, pre-existing underground storage tanks, or pre-existing road sub-grades.

The following criteria can be cited as reasons for a finding of infeasibility without further justification (though some require professional services to make the observation):

11. Within an area designated as an erosion hazard, or landslide hazard.
12. Within 50 feet from the top of slopes that are greater than 20%.
13. For properties with known soil or ground water contamination (typically federal Superfund sites or state cleanup sites under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA)):
    a) Within 100 feet of an area known to have deep soil contamination;
    b) Where ground water modeling indicates infiltration will likely increase or change the direction of the migration of pollutants in the ground water;
    c) Wherever surface soils have been found to be contaminated unless those soils are removed within 10 horizontal feet from the infiltration area;
    d) Any area where these facilities are prohibited by an approved cleanup plan under the state Model Toxics Control Act or Federal Superfund Law, or an environmental covenant under Chapter 64.70 RCW.
14. Within 100 feet of a closed or active landfill.
15. Within 100 feet of a drinking water well, or a spring used for drinking water supply, if the pavement is a pollution-generating surface.
16. Within 10 feet of a small on-site sewage disposal drainfield, including reserve areas, and grey water reuse systems. For setbacks from a “large on-site sewage disposal system”, see Chapter 246-272B WAC.
17. Within 10 feet of any underground storage tank and connecting underground pipes, regardless of tank size. As used in these criteria, an underground storage tank means any tank used to store petroleum products, chemicals, or liquid hazardous wastes of which 10% or more of the storage volume (including volume in the connecting piping system) is beneath the ground surface.
18. At multi-level parking garages, and over culverts and bridges.
19. Where the site design cannot avoid putting pavement in areas likely to have long-term excessive sediment deposition after construction (e.g., construction and landscaping material yards).
20. Where the site cannot reasonably be designed to have a porous asphalt surface at less than 5 percent slope, or a pervious concrete surface at less than 10 percent slope, or a permeable interlocking concrete pavement surface (where appropriate) at less than 12 percent slope. Grid systems upper slope limit can range from 6 to 12 percent; check with manufacturer and local supplier.
21. Where the native soils below a pollution-generating permeable pavement (e.g., road or parking lot) do not meet the soil suitability criteria for providing treatment (See Section 5.2.1). Note that where the soil beneath the infiltration BMP does not have properties that reduce the risk of groundwater contamination, the applicant has the option of using permeable pavement for residential driveways serving 2 or less households that are not within a groundwater protection area if a 6” sand liner

beneath the permeable pavement is included in the design. This approach is optional and does not make permeable pavement required to be implemented as part of the prescriptive BMP lists detailed in Core Requirement #9 of the SWDM and Section 1.3 of Appendix C.

22. Where seasonal high ground water or an underlying impermeable/low permeable layer would create saturated conditions within one foot of the bottom of the lowest gravel base course.
23. Where underlying soils are unsuitable for supporting traffic loads when saturated. Soils meeting a California Bearing Ratio of 5% are considered suitable for residential access roads.
24. Where appropriate field testing indicates soils have a measured (a.k.a., initial) native soil saturated hydraulic conductivity less than 0.3 inches per hour.
25. Roads that receive more than very low traffic volumes, and areas having more than very low truck traffic. Roads with a projected average daily traffic volume of 400 vehicles or less are very low volume roads (AASHTO, 2001) (U.S. Dept. of Transportation, 2013). Areas with very low truck traffic volumes are roads and other areas not subject to through truck traffic but may receive up to weekly use by utility trucks (e.g., garbage, recycling), daily school bus use, and multiple daily use by pick-up trucks, mail/parcel delivery trucks, and maintenance vehicles. Note: This infeasibility criterion does not extend to sidewalks and other non-traffic bearing surfaces.
26. Where replacing existing impervious surfaces unless the existing surface is a non-pollution generating surface over an outwash soil with a saturated hydraulic conductivity of four inches per hour or greater.
27. At sites defined as “high use sites”.
28. In areas with “industrial activity” as identified in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14).
29. Where the risk of concentrated pollutant spills is more likely such as gas stations, truck stops, and industrial chemical storage sites.
30. Where routine, heavy applications of sand occur in frequent snow zones to maintain traction during weeks of snow and ice accumulation.

C.2.7.1 MINIMUM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

All of the following requirements must be met in order for permeable pavement to be applicable to a ***target impervious surface***:

1. One of the following types of permeable pavement must be used in accordance with the design specifications for each type as set forth in Sections C.2.7.2 through C.2.7.6:
    - **Porous concrete** (see Section C.2.7.2, p. C-91)
    - **Porous asphaltic** concrete (see Section C.2.7.3, p. C-92)
    - **Permeable pavers** (see Section C.2.7.4, p. C-92)
    - **Modular grid pavement** (see Section C.2.7.5, p. C-92)
    - **Grassed modular grid pavement** (see Section C.2.7.6, p. C-93)
2. Permeable pavements shall be installed in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications, except when such specifications are less stringent than those set forth in Sections C.2.7.2 through C.2.7.6.
3. Permeable pavements shall not be placed on slopes steeper than 5% for porous asphaltic concrete and 10% for all other types.
4. For permeable pavements placed on slopes steeper than 5%, impermeable check dams shall be placed on the subgrade and below the pavement surface with the intent to increase infiltration, improve flow attenuation, and reduce structural problems associated with subgrade erosion on slopes. Check dams should have an overflow drain invert placed at the maximum ponding depth created by the check dam. Based on an assumed 5” check dam height in a 6” thick gravel storage base, check dam spacing shall range from 4 feet on center for 10% slopes to 8 feet on center for 5% slopes and interpolated linearly

for slopes in between. At minimum, one check dam shall be provided at the toe of the subgrade/downstream limit of the permeable pavement section. Check dams used to achieve LID Performance modeling shall be placed as required by the design—note that the area of the check dams is not counted as part of the infiltrative footprint when modeling.

5. Permeable pavements that are pollution generating are only allowed where the underlying soils meet criteria for groundwater protection defined in Section 5.2.1 with the single exception that where the soil beneath the infiltration BMP does not have properties that reduce the risk of groundwater contamination, the applicant has the option of using permeable pavement for residential driveways serving 2 or less households that are not within a groundwater protection area if a 6" sand liner beneath the permeable pavement is included in the design. This approach is optional and does not prompt the requirement that the permeable pavement be implemented as part of the prescriptive BMP lists detailed in Core Requirement #9 of the SWDM and Section 1.3 of Appendix C.
6. Permeable pavement is not allowed within 50 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area, erosion hazard area,*** or ***landslide hazard area.***
7. Permeable pavement proposed near slopes steeper than 15% must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist if the facility is located within a setback from the top of slope equal to the total vertical height of the slope area that is steeper than 15%. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
8. Permeable pavement that directs overflow towards slopes steeper than 15% may require evaluation and approval of the proposal by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.
9. Permeable pavement proposed within 200 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or ***landslide hazard area*** must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist.
10. Permeable pavement must not create **flooding or erosion impacts** as determined by DLS-Permitting. If permeable pavement is proposed near or directs overflow towards a ***landslide hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, or a ***steep slope hazard area***, DLS-Permitting may require evaluation and approval of the proposal by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist***. The geotechnical analysis must consider cumulative impacts from the project and surrounding areas under full built-out conditions.

C.2.7.2 POROUS CONCRETE

Porous concrete consists of a mix of aggregate, cement paste, water and admixtures, but contains less fine aggregate than conventional concrete. Voids in the hardened concrete allow water to drain through the surface into the subgrade.

Uses: Sidewalks, patios, parking areas, roads and driveways.

Design Specifications

1. A minimum of 6 inches of free-draining sand or gravel base is required under the porous concrete surface.
2. The free-draining base shall have less than 5% fines (material passing the #200 sieve) based on the fraction passing the #4 sieve.
3. The porous concrete pavement shall be permeable enough to absorb water at a minimum rate of 10 inches per hour immediately after the pavement surface has been wetted continuously for at least 10 minutes. Compliance with this minimum rate shall be checked prior to construction approval of the pavement. Compliance may be checked using a simple bucket test in which 5 gallons of water are poured onto the pavement surface all at once from a 5-gallon bucket. If nothing but a scant amount of

water puddles or runs off the surface, then the pavement is considered to meet the minimum rate of absorption. If this test is not conclusive, then a 6-inch ring sealed at the base to the pavement surface shall be used to measure the actual rate of absorption. At least one test should be conducted per 1,000 sqft of porous surfacing. As an alternative for large areas (e.g., parking areas), testing observation may be accomplished while walking behind a slowly moving water truck discharging water at an acceptable rate for the test (observable volume and rate of application similar, at least, to the bucket test).

4. Porous concrete proposed for roadway improvements (e.g. roads and sidewalks) shall be per the King County Road Design and Construction Standards (KCRDCS) or as otherwise approved by the King County Road Engineer.
5. Sidewalks and patios on private property not subject to vehicles shall be a minimum of 4 inches thick and should have a minimum 28-day compressive strength of 2000 psi.

C.2.7.3 POROUS ASPHALTIC CONCRETE

Porous asphaltic concrete uses a mix that contains less fine aggregate than conventional asphaltic concrete. Voids in the pavement allow water to drain through the surface into the subgrade.

Uses: Sidewalks, patios, parking areas, roads and driveways.

Design Specifications

Same as for porous concrete.

C.2.7.4 PERMEABLE PAVERS

Permeable pavers provide a solid surface but allow natural drainage and migration of water into the earth by permitting water to drain through the spaces between the pavers. On the Internet, there are a number of manufacturers and installers.

Uses: Sidewalks, patios, parking areas, and driveways.

Design Specifications

Same as for porous concrete, except that the pavement thickness shall be as specified by the manufacturer or a ***civil engineer***.

C.2.7.5 MODULAR GRID PAVEMENT

Modular grid pavement consists of a lattice of concrete, plastic, or other load bearing material over a permeable base of gravel or sand (or both). On the Internet, there is information on several manufacturers of the modular grid materials used for such pavement. These materials include plastic lattice, concrete pavers, or special forms for a cast-in-place concrete grid. These systems use a confining structure incorporated into the subgrade with an engineered fill material.

Uses: Low-traffic or infrequently used areas such as low-traffic driveways, overflow parking, event parking, church parking, employee parking, maintenance access roads, etc.; they are not allowed road right-of-ways.

Design Specifications

1. A minimum of 6 inches of free draining base material (sand or gravel) is required under the modular grid material.
2. The modular grid material must be installed according to the manufacturer's instructions.
3. The surface area of the modular grid openings must be at least 50% of the total surface area of the modular grid pavement.

4. The modular grid openings must be filled with gravel, sand, or a mixture of both.
5. Smooth surface walkways may be run across modular grid pavements, provided the impervious surfaced walkways do not exceed 10 percent of the total pavement surface.

C.2.7.6 GRASSED MODULAR GRID PAVEMENT

Grassed modular grid pavement is basically a modular grid pavement with grass planted in the openings or in a thin layer of soil over the grid material. The benefits of this measure are reduced runoff peaks and volumes resulting from the increased infiltration of stormwater, the increased water storage provided in the grid soil and base, and the increased evapotranspiration provided by the grass. The grassed surface also helps remove pollutants that are left behind by vehicles.

Uses: Low-traffic or infrequently used areas such as low-traffic driveways, overflow parking, event parking, church parking, employee parking, maintenance access roads, etc.; they are not allowed in road right-of-ways.

Design Specifications

1. A minimum of 6 inches of free draining base material (sand or gravel) is required under the modular grid material.
2. The modular grid material must be installed according to the manufacturer's instructions.
3. The surface area of the modular grid openings must be at least 50% of the total surface area of the modular grid pavement.
4. The modular grid openings must be filled with a sandy soil mix suitable for growing grass as specified by the manufacturer's instructions or a landscape architect.
5. Smooth surface walkways may be run across modular grid pavements, provided the impervious surfaced walkways do not exceed 10 percent of the total pavement area.

C.2.7.7 MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR PERMEABLE PAVEMENT

If the permeable pavement flow control BMP is proposed for a project, the following maintenance and operation instructions, plus any provided by the manufacturer or installer, must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; DLS-Permitting may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions or manufacturer's recommendations. Also, as the County gains more experience with the maintenance and operation of these BMPs, future updates to these instructions will be posted on King County's *Surface Water Design Manual* website.

A reproducible copy of the instructions, prepared for inclusion with the declaration of covenant, is located in Reference M.

❑ TEXT OF INSTRUCTIONS FOR NON-VEGETATED PERMEABLE PAVEMENT

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called "permeable pavement," which was installed to minimize the stormwater quantity and quality impacts of some or all of the paved surfaces on your property. Permeable pavements reduce the amount of rainfall that becomes runoff by allowing water to seep through the pavement into a free-draining gravel or sand bed, where it can be infiltrated into the ground.

The type(s) of permeable pavement used on your property is: ❑ porous concrete, ❑ porous asphaltic concrete, ❑ permeable pavers, ❑ modular grid pavement.

The area covered by permeable pavement as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained as permeable pavement and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

Permeable pavements must be inspected after one major storm each year to make sure it is working properly. Prolonged ponding or standing water on the pavement surface is a sign that the system is defective and may need to be replaced. If this occurs, contact the pavement installer or the King County Water and Land Resources Division for further instructions. A typical permeable pavement system has a life expectancy of approximately 25-years. To help extend the useful life of the system, the surface of the permeable pavement should be kept clean and free of leaves, debris, and sediment through regular sweeping or vacuum sweeping. The owner is responsible for the repair of all ruts, deformation, and/or broken paving units.

❑ TEXT OF INSTRUCTIONS FOR VEGETATED PERMEABLE PAVEMENT

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called "grassed modular grid pavement," which was installed to minimize the stormwater quantity and quality impacts of some or all of the paved surfaces on your property. Grassed modular grid pavement has the runoff characteristics of a lawn while providing the weight-bearing capacity of concrete pavement. The grassed surface not only minimizes runoff quantity, it helps to filter pollutants generating by vehicular use of the surface.

The composition and area of grassed modular grid pavement as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

Grassed modular grid pavement must be inspected after one major storm each year to make sure it is working properly. Prolonged ponding or standing water on the pavement surface is a sign that the system defective and may need to be replaced. If this occurs, contact the pavement installer or the King County Water and Land Resources Division for further instructions. The grassed surface of the pavement must be regularly mowed and maintained in a good condition. Bare spots must be replanted in the spring or fall.

C.2.8 RAINWATER HARVESTING

Rainwater harvesting means the collection and storage of roof runoff for domestic or irrigation uses. Rainwater harvesting systems include a collection area, a filter, a storage device and an outflow device. Storage may be above ground or below grade and may consist of tanks or vaults. Example configurations are shown in Figure C.2.8.A (p. C-96) and Figure C.2.8.B (p. C-96). The stored water may be used for any domestic purpose including irrigation. The distribution system may be gravity or pumped.

Applicable Surfaces

Subject to the minimum design requirements and specifications in this section, rainwater harvesting systems may be used with any roof area.

Design Considerations

Provisional specifications available in the State UBC for commercial rainwater systems may be used for guidance in designing the various elements of a non-commercial system. *Note that a water right may be required to collect and use rainwater.*

Operation and Maintenance

See Section C.2.8.2 (p. C-97) and Minimum Design Requirement 2 below.

C.2.8.1 MINIMUM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

All of the following requirements must be met in order for rainwater harvesting to be applicable to a ***target impervious surface***:

1. For a rainwater harvesting system proposed to be used towards meeting Core Requirement #3 (Flow Control) or Core Requirement #9 (Flow Control BMPs) requirements, an approved drainage adjustment is required that demonstrates the system's impact/benefit and specifies conditions of use to achieve the same.
2. To assure the system functions as designed and provides the required stormwater management, **system-specific maintenance and operation instructions** must be submitted and approved by DLS-Permitting. Such instructions should be prepared by the system's manufacturer or installer.
3. A minimum 5-foot **setback** shall be maintained between any part of the rainwater harvesting system and any property line.

FIGURE C.2.8.A TYPICAL ABOVE GROUND RESERVOIR CONFIGURATION (STENSROD, 1978)



FIGURE C.2.8.B VARIOUS POSSIBLE RESERVOIR CONFIGURATIONS (STENSROD, 1978)



RESERVED ABOVE GROUND
(INSULATE IF NECESSARY)



PARIALLY BURIED RESERVOIR



RESERVOIR BURIED
OUTSIDE BASEMENT



RESERVOIR IN BASEMENT

C.2.8.2 MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR RAINWATER HARVESTING

If the rainwater harvesting flow control BMP is proposed for a project, the following maintenance and operation instructions must be incorporated into the maintenance and operation instructions required per Minimum Design Requirement 2. All such instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; DLS-Permitting may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions or manufacturer's recommendations. Also, as the County gains more experience with the maintenance and operation of these BMPs, future updates to these instructions will be posted on King County's *Surface Water Design Manual* website.

A reproducible copy of the instructions, prepared for inclusion with the declaration of covenant, is located in Reference M.

❑ TEXT OF INSTRUCTIONS

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called "rainwater harvesting," which was installed to minimize the stormwater runoff impacts of impervious surface on your property. Rainwater harvesting is a means for the collection and storage of roof runoff for domestic or irrigation use. Rainwater harvesting systems include a collection area, a filtering system, a storage device, and an outflow device.

The size, components, and configuration of the rainwater system as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

The collection area (e.g., roof) should be routinely inspected for debris and other material that could impede the entrance and/or exit of surface flows. The filtering system should be periodically inspected for effectiveness and replaced or replenished as recommended by the manufacturer. The storage device must be drained completely during the dry season (May 1st - September 30th) and/or as otherwise described in the SWDM adjustment approval in order to provide the needed capacity for an entire wet season. A maintenance log should be kept on site with the aforementioned information and dates of maintenance performance. King County inspection staff may request to view the maintenance log at any time.

C.2.9 REDUCED IMPERVIOUS SURFACE CREDIT

Reduced impervious surface credit means a credit toward meeting impervious surface flow control BMP requirements through application of techniques that result in less impervious surface coverage than is typically constructed or allowed for a particular land use. The credit may be used to mitigate for impervious surface to which flow control BMPs must be applied as specified by BMP Requirement 3 in either Section C.1.3.1 (p. C-18) or Section C.1.3.2 (p. C-20).

To receive the credit, a reduction in impervious surface below established norms must be assured through covenant and/or alternative design of impervious surfaces as described in the subsections below. For every square foot of reduced impervious surface assured, an equal area of **actual proposed *target impervious surface*** may be credited as mitigated, provided the runoff from the credited area is either directed to vegetated pervious areas on the ***site*** or discharged through a perforated pipe connection per Section C.2.11 (p. C-105).

Applicable Surfaces

Subject to the minimum design requirements and specifications in this section, the reduced impervious surface credit may be applied to any impervious surface such as a roof, driveway, parking area, or road.

Operation and Maintenance

See Section C.2.9.7 (p. C-101).

C.2.9.1 MINIMUM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

All of the following requirements must be met in order for the reduced impervious surface credit to be applicable to a ***target impervious surface***:

1. **One or more of the following techniques** must be used to reduce, restrict, or otherwise mitigate for impervious surface subject to flow control BMPs:
    - **Restricted footprint** (see Section C.2.9.2 below)
    - **Wheel strip driveways** (see Section C.2.9.3, p. C-99)
    - **Minimum disturbance foundation** (see Section C.2.9.4, p. C-99)
    - **Open grid decking over pervious surface** (see Section C.2.9.5, p. C-99)
2. The area of ***target impervious surface*** **credited as mitigated** by the reduced impervious surface credit must meet all of the following requirements:
    a) The area credited as mitigated must be no more than 10,000 square feet on any one ***site*****/lot** unless the surface is served by a flow control facility designed by a ***civil engineer*** in accordance with Section 1.2.3 of the *SWDM*.
    b) Any runoff from the area credited as mitigated must be directed to vegetated pervious areas on the ***site*****/lot** or discharged through a perforated pipe connection per Section C.2.11 (p. C-105).
    c) Any portion of the area credited as mitigated that is ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** must be less than 5,000 square feet on any one ***site*****/lot** unless the surface is served by a water quality treatment facility designed by a ***civil engineer*** in accordance with Section 1.2.8 of the *SWDM*.

C.2.9.2 RESTRICTED FOOTPRINT

Restricted footprint means the recording of a covenant that limits the amount of future impervious surface coverage on a ***site*/lot** to an amount less than the norm as specified below:

1. For ***sites*/lots** that are **smaller than 22,000 square feet**, any recorded limit on total impervious surface less than a norm of 4,000 square feet or the maximum allowed by the ***site*/lot's** zoning, whichever is smaller, qualifies for a restricted footprint credit equal to the difference in square footage. In other words, for every square foot that the recorded limit is below the norm, an equal area of **actual proposed *target impervious surface*** is credited as mitigated subject to Minimum Design Requirement 2 in Section C.2.9.1.
2. For ***sites*/lots** that are **between 22,000 square feet and 250,000 square feet**, any recorded limit of total impervious surface in an amount less than a norm of 4,000 square feet or 4% of the ***site*/lot** area, whichever is greater, qualifies for a restricted footprint credit equal to the difference in square footage. For every square foot that the recorded limit is below the norm, an equal area of **actual proposed *target impervious surface*** is credited as mitigated subject to Minimum Design Requirement 2 in Section C.2.9.1.
3. For ***sites*/lots** that are **greater than 250,000 square feet**, no restricted footprint credit is available.

C.2.9.3 WHEEL STRIP DRIVEWAYS

Wheel strip driveways consist of two pavement strips and grass planted in amended soil, all within a "driveway width norm" of 10 feet. Subject to Minimum Design Requirement 2 in Section C.2.9.1, an area of **actual proposed *target impervious surface*** equal to the driveway length times 10 feet of width is credited as mitigated if all of the following requirements are met:

1. The **pavement strips** must be no more than 2.5-feet wide.
2. At least 4 feet of the driveway width must be **amended soil planted with grass**. The amended soil must consist of at least 4 inches of well-rotted compost tilled into the upper 8 inches of the soil between the impervious strips.

C.2.9.4 MINIMUM DISTURBANCE FOUNDATION

Minimum disturbance foundations consist of pile or pier supports such that all or a portion of the finished living space is elevated over a pervious surface. The intent of this system is to provide additional storage for runoff in the soil beneath the structure. Subject to Minimum Design Requirement 2 in Section C.2.9.1, this technique qualifies for a 100% credit if the following requirements are met:

1. The **pervious surface beneath** the elevated portion of the structure must be either undisturbed native soil or amended soil. Any amended soil must consist of at least 4 inches of well-rotted compost tilled into the upper 8 inches of the soil.
2. **Runoff** from the structure must be discharged via downspouts or sheet flow onto a vegetated surface or into a 4 to 6-inch gravel bed within close proximity of the elevated structure. Runoff discharging from downspouts onto a vegetated surface must be via splash blocks.

C.2.9.5 OPEN GRID DECKING OVER PERVIOUS SURFACE

Open grid decking over pervious surface is a steel, plastic, or wood deck with regularly spaced openings suspended over a pervious surface. Such decking may be used as an alternative to impervious surface for such applications as parking or other vehicle use, and/or walkways, etc. This technique qualifies for a 100% credit if the following requirements are met:

1. The pervious surface beneath the decking must be either undisturbed native soil, or amended soil in accordance with KCC 16.82.100 (G) which requires soil amendment to mitigate for lost moisture

holding capacity where compaction or removal of some or all of the duff layer or underlying topsoil has occurred. The amendment must be such that the replaced topsoil is a minimum of 8 inches thick, unless the applicant demonstrates that a different thickness will provide conditions equivalent to the soil moisture holding capacity native to the site. The replaced topsoil must have an organic content of 5-10% dry weight and a pH suitable for the proposed surface vegetation (for most soils in King County, 4 inches of well-rotted compost tilled into the top 8 inches of soil is sufficient to achieve the organic content standard.) The amendment must take place between May 1 and October 1. The specifications for compost for soil amendment can be found in Reference 11-C.

2. The full area of decking over pervious surface may be used to qualify for a reduced impervious surface credit subject to Minimum Design Requirement 2 in Section C.2.9.1. This means that for every square foot of decking over pervious surface, an equal amount of ***target impervious surface*** is considered mitigated, except as restricted by Minimum Design Requirement 2 in Section C.2.9.1.
3. The **openings** in the decking must be at least 8% of the surface area and must be evenly distributed across the surface such that there are at least 2 openings per square foot.
4. The decking and its supports shall be designed by a ***civil engineer*** or structural engineer as deemed necessary by DLS-Permitting.

C.2.9.6 EXAMPLE CREDIT CALCULATIONS

A project proposes to add 3,500 square feet of impervious surface to a 2-acre lot, which includes a 2,575 square-foot house (footprint) with a 1,600 square foot minimum disturbance foundation, a 600 square-foot parking pad, 25-foot-long wheel strip driveway (125 square feet), and a 200 square-foot wood deck. The 3,500 sf of impervious surface includes the two concrete wheel strips, which are each 2.5 feet wide (125 sf). The project is subject to the Large Lot BMP Requirements in Section C.1.3.2, so all new impervious surface (i.e., ***target impervious surface***) must be mitigated with flow control BMPs. Full dispersion and full infiltration are not applicable or feasible. Therefore, other flow control BMPs in Section C.2 must be used to mitigate all 3,500 square feet of proposed ***target impervious surface***.

Restricted Footprint

Because the 3,500 square feet of proposed impervious surface is less than the established norm for the lot of 4,000 square feet, the project is eligible for a reduced footprint credit if a covenant is recorded that limits impervious surface coverage to the 3,500 square feet proposed.

Therefore, the area of ***target impervious surface*** credited as mitigated is: 4,000 sf – 3,500 sf = **500 sf**

This leaves a remaining impervious area of 3,000 sf that must be mitigated by other flow control BMPs.

Wheel Strip Driveway

If the wheel strip driveway complies with the specifications in Section C.2.9.3, an area of actual proposed ***target impervious surface*** equal to the area that would be covered by a 10-foot-wide driveway (i.e., the established "driveway width norm") is credited as mitigated. The wheel strips themselves are considered part of the actual proposed ***target impervious surface*** area being credited as mitigated.

Thus, the area of ***target impervious surface*** credited as mitigated is: 10 ft x 25 ft = **250 sf**

This leaves a remaining impervious area of 2,750 sf that must be mitigated by other flow control BMPs.

Minimum Disturbance Foundation

If the 1,600 square foot minimum disturbance foundation complies with the specifications in Section C.2.9.4, the foundation area is credited at 100%.

Thus, the area of ***target impervious surface*** credited as mitigated is: **1,600 sf**

This leaves a remaining impervious area of 1,150 sf that must be mitigated by other flow control BMPs.

Open Grid Decking Over Pervious Surface

If the 200 square-foot wood deck complies with the specifications in C.2.9.5, the deck is credited at 100%.

Thus, the total area of ***target impervious surface*** credited as mitigated is: **200 sf**

This leaves a remaining impervious area of 950 sf that must be mitigated by other flow control BMPs.

C.2.9.7 MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS

If a reduced impervious surface flow control BMP is proposed for a project, one or more of the following sets of maintenance and operation instructions, whichever are applicable, must be recorded in or as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; DLS-Permitting may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. Also, as the County gains more experience with the maintenance and operation of these BMPs, future updates to the instructions will be posted on the King County's *Surface Water Design Manual* website.

A reproducible copy of the instructions, prepared for inclusion with the declaration of covenant, is located in Reference M.

❑ TEXT OF INSTRUCTIONS FOR RESTRICTED FOOTPRINT

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) known as "restricted footprint," the practice of restricting the amount of impervious surface that may be added to a property so as to minimize the stormwater runoff impacts caused by impervious surface. The total impervious surface on your property may not exceed _____ square feet without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

❑ TEXT OF INSTRUCTIONS FOR WHEEL STRIP DRIVEWAYS

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called a "wheel strip driveway," which was installed to minimize or mitigate for the stormwater runoff impacts of some or all of the impervious surfaces on your property. The placement and composition of the wheel strip driveway as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

❑ TEXT OF INSTRUCTIONS FOR MINIMUM DISTURBANCE FOUNDATIONS

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) known as a "minimum disturbance foundation," which was installed to minimize or mitigate for the stormwater runoff impacts of some or all of the impervious surfaces on your property. This means that all or a portion of the finished living space in your house is elevated over a pervious surface through the use of piers or piles. The pervious surface is intended to provide additional capacity to absorb and store the stormwater runoff from your roof and surrounding areas.

The design of this system as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County. In addition, the pervious surface beneath the elevated portion of your house must not be used in manner that compacts the soil.

❑ TEXT OF INSTRUCTIONS FOR OPEN GRID DECKING OVER PERVIOUS SURFACE

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called "open grid decking over pervious surface," which was installed to minimize or mitigate for the stormwater

runoff impacts of some or all of the impervious surfaces on your property. The decking has evenly spaced openings that allow rain water to reach the uncompacted soil below, where it has an opportunity to soak into the ground.

The area and openings of the decking as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County. In addition, the pervious surface beneath the decking must not be used in manner that compacts the soil.

C.2.10 NATIVE GROWTH RETENTION CREDIT

Native growth retention credit means a credit toward meeting impervious surface flow control BMP requirements through voluntary preservation of ***native vegetated surface*** on otherwise unencumbered land that is flat to moderately sloped. The credit may be used to mitigate for impervious surface to which flow control BMPs must be applied as specified and allowed in Section C.1.3 and Section 1.2.9 of the SWDM.

To receive the credit, at least 3.5 square feet of ***native vegetated surface*** must be preserved for every square foot of impervious surface to be mitigated by the ***native vegetated surface***. In other words, for every 3.5 square feet of native vegetated pervious surface preserved, one square foot of ***target impervious surface*** may be credited as mitigated, provided the runoff from the credited area is either directed to vegetated pervious areas on the ***site*** or discharged through a perforated pipe connection per Section C.2.11 (p. C-105).

Applicable Surfaces

Subject to the minimum design requirements and specifications in this section, the native growth retention credit may be applied to any impervious surface such as a roof, driveway, parking area, or road.

Operation and Maintenance

See Section C.2.10.3 (p. C-104).

C.2.10.1 MINIMUM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

All of the following requirements must be met in order for the native growth retention credit to be applicable to a ***target impervious surface***:

1. The area of ***native vegetated surface*** being preserved (*donor area*) to mitigate for ***target impervious surface*** must meet all of the following criteria:
    a) The donor area must be 3.5 times the area of impervious surface being credited as mitigated by the ***native vegetated surface***.
    b) The donor area must consist of ***native vegetated surface***, which means that the area may be either existing forest or forest created in accordance with the specifications for "native vegetated landscape" in Section C.2.1.8 (p. C-44).
    c) The donor area must be **in addition to any required set-asides** for recreation, critical areas (and applicable buffers), or to meet the clearing standards in KCC 16.82.150.
    d) The donor area must not **slope** more than 15%.
    e) The donor area must be set aside as "**native growth retention area**" as specified in Section C.2.1.9 (p. C-46). *Note this area may be used to manage dispersed stormwater from other areas within the **site**.*
2. The area of ***target impervious surface*** **credited as being mitigated** by the native growth retention credit must meet all of the following requirements:
    a) The area credited as mitigated must be no more than 10,000 square feet on any one ***site*** unless the surface is served by a flow control facility designed by a ***civil engineer*** in accordance with Section 1.2.3 of the *SWDM*.
    b) Any runoff from the area credited as mitigated must be directed to vegetated pervious areas on the ***site*****/lot** or discharged through a perforated pipe connection per Section C.2.11 (p. C-105).
    c) Any portion of the area credited as mitigated that is ***pollution-generating impervious surface*** must be less than 5,000 square feet on any one ***site*** unless the surface is served by a water quality treatment facility designed by a ***civil engineer*** in accordance with Section 1.2.8 of the *SWDM*.

C.2.10.2 EXAMPLE SIZING CALCULATION

Area of ***target impervious surface*** to be credited as mitigated: 500 square feet

Donor area of ***native vegetated surface*** that must be preserved: 500 x 3.5 = 1,750 square feet

C.2.10.3 MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS

If the native growth retention credit flow control BMP is proposed for a project, the following maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; DLS-Permitting may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. Also, as the County gains more experience with the maintenance and operation of these BMPs, future updates to the instructions will be posted on King County's *Surface Water Design Manual* website.

A reproducible copy of the instructions, prepared for inclusion with the declaration of covenant, is located in Reference M

❑ TEXT OF INSTRUCTIONS

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) known as "native growth retention," the practice of preserving a portion of a property in a native vegetated condition (e.g., forest) so as to minimize increases in stormwater runoff from clearing and to offset the stormwater runoff impacts caused by impervious surfaces on your property. This native vegetated area on your property was set aside by covenant as "native growth retention area."

The "native growth retention area" is delineated on the flow control BMP site plan attached to the covenant. The trees, vegetation, ground cover, and soil conditions in this area may not be disturbed, except as allowed by the following provisions:

1. Trees may be harvested in accordance with a King County-approved forest management plan.
2. Individual trees that have a structural defect due to disease or other defects, and which threaten to damage a structure, road, parking area, utility, or place of employment or public assembly, or block emergency access, may be topped, pruned, or removed as needed to eliminate the threat.
3. Dead or fallen trees, tree limbs within ten feet of the ground, and branches overhanging a residence may be removed to reduce the danger of wildfire.
4. Noxious weeds (i.e., plant species listed on the State noxious weed list in Chapter 16-750 WAC) and invasive vegetation (i.e., plant species listed as obnoxious weeds on the noxious weed list adopted by the King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks) may be removed.
5. Passive recreation uses and related facilities, including pedestrian, equestrian community and bicycle trails, nature viewing areas, fishing and camping areas, and other similar uses that do not require permanent structures, are allowed if clearing and soil compaction associated with these uses and facilities does not exceed eight percent of the native growth retention area.

C.2.11 PERFORATED PIPE CONNECTION

Perforated pipe connections are intended to convey concentrated runoff from impervious surfaces (usually roof runoff) directly to a local drainage system while providing some infiltration of that runoff in the process. They are required for any pipe connection of roof downspouts to the local drainage system regardless of the extent to which flow control BMPs are required or being used onsite. Perforated pipe connections are intended to provide for some infiltration during drier periods (late spring through early fall), which may help dampen the flashiness of stream flows in developed areas and provide some groundwater recharge. During the wet winter months, however, this BMP likely provides little or no flow control benefits. Therefore, it is not credited with mitigating ***target impervious surface***.

Applicable Surfaces

Subject to the minimum design requirements below, the perforated pipe connection may be applied to concentrated runoff from any impervious surface or non-native pervious surface.

Operation and Maintenance

See Section C.2.11.2 (below).

C.2.11.1 MINIMUM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

Figure C.2.11.A (p. C-106) illustrates a perforated pipe connection for a typical single family residence. Impervious areas larger than 10,000 square feet and non-native pervious areas larger than 35,000 square feet may require larger pipe to adequately convey flows and should be designed by a ***civil engineer***. Perforated pipe connections must be installed according to the following requirements:

1. Where possible, the perforated pipe connection must be **placed in native soil** to maximize infiltration of water, and must not be located under impervious surfaces, except as a last resort.
2. The **gravel filled trench** must be at least 10-feet in length for every 5,000 square feet of impervious surface or 35,000 square feet of non-native pervious surface from which runoff is conveyed.
3. The perforated portion of the system may not be placed in a critical area **buffer** or on **slopes** steeper than 25%. Any proposed placement of the perforated portion on slopes steeper than 15% or within 50 feet of a ***steep slope hazard area*** or ***landslide hazard area*** must be approved by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist*** unless otherwise approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist.
4. For ***sites*** with **septic systems**, the perforated portion of the system must be down slope of the drainfield primary and reserve areas. DLS-Permitting permit review staff can waive this requirement if ***site*** topography clearly prohibits subsurface flows from intersecting the drainfield.
5. The perforated pipe connection must not create **flooding or erosion impacts** as determined by DLS-Permitting. If the system discharges toward or is near a ***landslide hazard area***, ***erosion hazard area***, ***steep slope hazard area***, or a slope steeper than 15%, DLS-Permitting may require evaluation and approval of the proposal by a ***geotechnical engineer*** or ***engineering geologist***.
6. A minimum of a 5 foot setback is required between any part of the perforated pipe trench and any property line.

C.2.11.2 MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS

If the perforated pipe connection flow control BMP is required for a project, the following maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; DLS-Permitting may require additional instructions based on

site-specific conditions. Also, as the County gains more experience with the maintenance and operation of these BMPs, future updates to the instructions will be posted on King County's *Surface Water Design Manual* website.

A reproducible copy of the instructions, prepared for inclusion with the declaration of covenant, is located in Reference M.

❑ TEXT OF INSTRUCTIONS

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called a "perforated pipe connection," which was installed to reduce the stormwater runoff impacts of some or all of the impervious surface on your property. A perforated pipe connection is a length of drainage conveyance pipe with holes in the bottom, designed to "leak" runoff, conveyed by the pipe, into a gravel filled trench where it can be soaked into the surrounding soil. The connection is intended to provide opportunity for infiltration of any runoff that is being conveyed from an impervious surface (usually a roof) to a local drainage system such as a ditch or roadway pipe system.

The size and composition of the perforated pipe connection as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County. The soil overtop of the perforated portion of the system must not be compacted or covered with impervious materials.

FIGURE C.2.11.A PERFORATED PIPE CONNECTION FOR A SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE



TRENCH X-SECTION
NTS



PLAN VIEW OF ROOF
NTS

C.3 CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION (CSWPP) MEASURES

This section presents the specifications for the kinds of the ESC and SWPPS measures applicable to **Simplified *project sites*** as allowed by the County's *Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention (CSWPP) Standards* (Detached Appendix D of the *King County Surface Water Design Manual*). For projects in Simplified Drainage Review, these ESC measures may be used if no more than 1 acre of soil will be disturbed by the project. Other ESC measures may be allowed or required by DLS-Permitting if these are inappropriate for the project or fail to contain sediment on the ***project site***. A description of other measures and a more detailed description of those included here can be found in the *CSWPP Standards.* Projects that **disturb 1 acre or more** of soil will require an ESC plan developed by a ***civil engineer*** under a Targeted Drainage Review.

CSWPP: Intent of ESC and SWPPS Measures

The intent of ESC measures is to prevent, to the maximum extent practicable, the transport of sediment and other construction-related pollutants to streams, wetlands, lakes, drainage systems, and adjacent properties during and after construction. Erosion of disturbed areas on construction sites can result in excessive sediment transport to adjacent properties and to surface waters. This sediment can result in major adverse impacts, such as flooding due to obstructed drainage ways, smothering of salmonid spawning beds, creation of algal blooms in lakes, and violation of State water quality standards for turbidity. In addition to sediment, SWPPS measures address other construction-related pollutants that can be generated by uncovered waste materials, stockpiles, and demolition debris; de-watering; maintenance and operation of heavy equipment; chemical spills; oil spills; placement of concrete; and application of fertilizers and pesticides. Such pollutants can be toxic to both fish and humans and may violate State water quality standards and the prohibited discharges section of KCC 9.12. Stabilization of the ***site*** after construction and prior to the wet season is also important to preventing these impacts.

Organization of Section C.3

This section is organized as follows:

- "Stabilized Construction Entrance," Section C.3.1 (p. C-108)
- "Mulching," Section C.3.2 (p. C-110)
- "Nets and Blankets," Section C.3.3 (p. C-112)
- "Plastic Covering," Section C.3.4 (p. C-114)
- "Mark Clearing Limits/Minimize Clearing," Section C.3.5 (p. C-115)
- "Silt Fence," Section C.3.6 (p. C-116)
- "Vegetated Strip," Section C.3.7 (p. C-118)
- "Triangular Silt Dike," Section C.3.8 (p. C-119)
- "Storm Drain Inlet Protection," Section C.3.9 (p. C-120)
- "Seeding," Section C.3.10 (p. C-125)
- "Sodding," Section C.3.11 (p. C-127)
- "Interceptor Dikes and Swales," Section C.3.12 (p. C-128)
- "Ditches," Section C.3.13 (p. C-130)
- "Pipe Slope Drain," Section C.3.14 (p. C-132)
- "Dewatering Control," Section C.3.15 (p. C-133)
- "Control of Other Pollutants (SWPPS)," Section C.3.16 (p. C-134)

The above measures must be implemented as needed to prevent the discharge of sediment-laden water and other pollutants from the construction site. Proposed measures must be shown on the Simplified site CSWPP plan required to be submitted with the Simplified drainage plans. Site-specific conditions during construction may require additional measures as deemed necessary by DLS-Permitting. As noted in Section C.3 above, other ESC and/or SWPPS measures found in Appendix D of the *SWDM* may be needed or may be more appropriate for the ***project site*** as determined by DLS-Permitting.

C.3.1 STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE

Purpose

Construction entrances are stabilized to reduce the amount of sediment transported onto paved roads by motor vehicles or runoff by constructing a stabilized pad of quarry spalls at the entrances to construction sites.

Application

Construction entrances shall be stabilized wherever traffic will be leaving a construction site and traveling on paved roads or other paved areas within 1,000 feet of the ***site***.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure C.3.1.A for details.
2. A separation geotextile shall be placed under the spalls to prevent fine sediment from pumping up into the rock pad. The geotextile shall meet the following standards:

Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM D4632)	200 lbs min.
Grab Tensile Elongation (ASTM D4632)	30% max.(woven)
Puncture Strength (ASTM D6241)	495 lbs min.
AOS (ASTM D4751)	20-45 (U.S. standard sieve size)

3. Hog fuel (wood based mulch) may be substituted for or combined with quarry spalls in areas that will not be used for permanent roads. The effectiveness of hog fuel is highly variable, but it has been used successfully on many construction sites. It generally requires more maintenance than quarry spalls. Hog fuel is not recommended for entrance stabilization in urban areas. The inspector may at any time require the use of quarry spalls if the hog fuel is not preventing sediment from being tracked onto pavement or if the hog fuel is being carried onto pavement. Hog fuel is prohibited in permanent roadbeds because organics in the subgrade soils cause difficulties with compaction.
4. Whenever possible, the entrance shall be constructed on a firm, compacted subgrade. This can substantially increase the effectiveness of the pad and reduce the need for maintenance.

Maintenance

1. Quarry spalls (or hog fuel) shall be added if the pad is no longer in accordance with the specifications.
2. If the entrance is not preventing sediment from being tracked onto pavement, then alternative measures to keep the streets free of sediment shall be used. This may include street sweeping, an increase in the dimensions of the entrance, or the installation of a wheel wash. If washing is used, it shall be done on an area covered with crushed rock, and wash water shall drain to a sediment trap or pond.
3. Any sediment that is tracked onto pavement shall be removed immediately by sweeping. The sediment collected by sweeping shall be removed or stabilized onsite. The pavement shall not be

cleaned by washing down the street, except when sweeping is ineffective and there is a threat to public safety. If it is necessary to wash the streets, a small sump must be constructed. The sediment would then be washed into the sump where it can be controlled. Wash water must be pumped back onto the ***site*** and cannot discharge to systems tributary to surface waters.

4. Any quarry spalls that are loosened from the pad and end up on the roadway shall be removed immediately.

FIGURE C.3.1.A STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE



NOTES:

- PER KING COUNTY ROAD DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS (KCRDCS), DRIVEWAYS SHALL BE PAVED TO EDGE OF R-O-W PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF THE CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE TO AVOID DAMAGING OF THE ROADWAY.
- IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE ENTRANCE BE CROWNED SO THAT RUNOFF DRAINS OFF THE PAD.

C.3.2 MULCHING

Purpose

The purpose of mulching soils is to provide immediate temporary protection from erosion. Mulch also enhances plant establishment by conserving moisture, holding fertilizer, seed, and topsoil in place, and moderating soil temperatures. There is an enormous variety of mulches that may be used. Only the most common types are discussed in this section.

Conditions of Use

As a temporary cover measure, mulch should be used:

1. On disturbed areas that require cover measures for less than 30 days
2. As a cover for seed during the wet season and during the hot summer months
3. During the wet season on slopes steeper than 3H:1V with more than 10 feet of vertical relief.

Design and Installation Specifications

For mulch materials, application rates, and specifications, see Table C.3.2.A. *Note: Thicknesses may be increased for disturbed areas in or near critical areas or other areas highly susceptible to erosion.*

Maintenance Standards

1. The thickness of the mulch cover must be maintained.
2. Any areas that experience erosion shall be re-mulched and/or protected with a net or blanket. If the erosion problem is drainage related, then the drainage problem shall be assessed and alternate drainage such as interceptor swales may be needed to fix the problem and the eroded area re-mulched.

TABLE C.3.2.A MULCH STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES

Mulch Material	Quality Standards	Application Rates	Remarks
Straw	Air-dried; free from undesirable seed and coarse material	2"-3" thick; 2-3 bales per 1000 sf or 2-3 tons per acre	Cost-effective protection when applied with adequate thickness. Hand-application generally requires greater thickness than blown straw. Straw should be crimped to avoid wind blow. The thickness of straw may be reduced by half when used in conjunction with seeding.
Wood Fiber Cellulose	No growth inhibiting factors	Approx. 25-30 lbs per 1000 sf or 1000-1500 lbs per acre	Shall be applied with hydromulcher. Shall not be used without seed and tackifier unless the application rate is at least doubled. Some wood fiber with very long fibers can be effective at lower application rates and without seed or tackifier.
Compost	No visible water or dust during handling. Must be purchased from supplier with Solid Waste Handling Permit.	2" thick min.; approx. 100 tons per acre (approx. 800 lbs per cubic yard)	More effective control can be obtained by increasing thickness to 3". Excellent mulch for protecting final grades until landscaping because it can be directly seeded or tilled into soil as an amendment.
Hydraulic Matrices (Bonded Fiber Matrix)	This mulch category includes hydraulic slurries composed of wood fiber, paper fiber or a combination of the two held together by a binding system. The BFM shall be a mixture of long wood fibers and various bonding agents.	Apply at rates from 3,000 lbs per acre to 4,000 lbs per acre and based on manufacturers recommendations	The BFM shall not be applied immediately before, during or immediately after rainfall so that the matrix will have an opportunity to dry for 24 hours after installation. Application rates beyond 2,500 pounds may interfere with germination and are not usually recommended for turf establishment. BFM is generally a matrix where all fiber and binders are in one bag, rather than having to mix components from various manufacturers to create a matrix. BFMs can be installed via helicopter in remote areas. They are approximately $1,000 per acre cheaper to install.
Chipped Site Vegetation	Average size shall be several inches.	2" minimum thickness	This is a cost-effective way to dispose of debris from clearing and grubbing, and it eliminates the problems associated with burning. Generally, it should not be used on slopes above approx. 10% because of its tendency to be transported by runoff. It is not recommended within 200 feet of surface waters. If seeding is expected shortly after mulch, the decomposition of the chipped vegetation may tie up nutrients important to grass establishment.

C.3.3 NETS AND BLANKETS

Purpose

Erosion control nets and blankets are intended to prevent erosion and hold seed and mulch in place on steep slopes and in channels so that vegetation can become well established. In addition, some nets and blankets can be used to permanently reinforce turf to protect drainage ways during high flows. Nets are strands of material woven into an open, but high-tensile strength net (for example, jute matting). Blankets are strands of material that are not tightly woven, but instead form a layer of interlocking fibers, typically held together by a biodegradable or photodegradable netting (for example, excelsior or straw blankets). They generally have lower tensile strength than nets, but cover the ground more completely. Coir (coconut fiber) fabric comes as both nets and blankets.

Conditions of Use

Erosion control nets and blankets should be used:

1. For permanent stabilization of slopes 2H:1V or greater and with more than 10 feet of vertical relief.
2. In conjunction with seed for final stabilization of a slope, not for temporary cover. However, they may be used for temporary applications as long as the product is not damaged by repeated handling. In fact, this method of slope protection is superior to plastic sheeting, which generates high-velocity runoff.
3. For drainage ditches and swales (highly recommended). The application of appropriate netting or blanket to drainage ditches and swales can protect bare soil from channelized runoff while vegetation is established. Nets and blankets also can capture a great deal of sediment due to their open, porous structure. Synthetic nets and blankets may be used to permanently stabilize channels and may provide a cost-effective, environmentally preferable alternative to riprap.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure C.3.3.A and Figure C.3.3.B for typical orientation and installation of nettings and blankets. Note: Installation is critical to the effectiveness of these products. If good ground contact is not achieved, runoff can concentrate under the product, resulting in significant erosion.
2. Jute matting must be used in conjunction with mulch. Excelsior, woven straw blankets, and coir (coconut fiber) blankets may be installed without mulch. There are many other types of **erosion control nets and blankets** on the market that may be appropriate in certain circumstances. Other types of products will have to be evaluated individually. In general, most nets (e.g., jute matting) require mulch in order to prevent erosion because they have a fairly open structure. Blankets typically do not require mulch because they usually provide complete protection of the surface.
3. Purely synthetic blankets are allowed but shall only be used for long-term stabilization of waterways. The organic blankets authorized above are better for slope protection and short-term waterway protection because they retain moisture and provide organic matter to the soil, substantially improving the speed and success of re-vegetation.

Maintenance Standards

1. Good contact with the ground must be maintained, and there must not be erosion beneath the net or blanket.
2. Any areas of the net or blanket that are damaged or not in close contact with the ground shall be repaired and stapled.
3. If erosion occurs due to poorly controlled drainage, the problem shall be fixed and the eroded area protected.

FIGURE C.3.3.A WATERWAY INSTALLATION

- DO NOT STRETCH BLANKETS/MATTINGS TIGHT - ALLOW THE ROLLS TO MOLD TO ANY IRREGULARITIES.
- SLOPE SURFACE SHALL BE SMOOTH BEFORE PLACEMENT FOR PROPER SOIL CONTACT.
- ANCHOR, STAPLE, AND INSTALL CHECK SLOTS AS PER MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.
- AVOID JOINING MATERIAL IN THE CENTER OF THE DITCH.
- LIME, FERTILIZE AND SEED BEFORE INSTALLATION.



FIGURE C.3.3.B SLOPE INSTALLATION



C.3.4 PLASTIC COVERING

Purpose

Plastic covering provides immediate, short-term erosion protection to slopes and disturbed areas.

Conditions of Use

1. Plastic covering may be used on disturbed areas that require cover measures for less than 30 days.
2. Plastic is particularly useful for protecting cut and fill slopes and stockpiles. *Note: The relatively rapid breakdown of most polyethylene sheeting makes it unsuitable for long-term applications.*
3. Clear plastic sheeting may be used over newly-seeded areas to create a greenhouse effect and encourage grass growth. Clear plastic should not be used for this purpose during the summer months because the resulting high temperatures can kill the grass.
4. Due to rapid runoff caused by plastic sheeting, this method shall not be used upslope of areas that might be adversely impacted by concentrated runoff. Such areas include steep and/or unstable slopes.

Note: There have been many problems with plastic, usually attributable to poor installation and maintenance. However, the material itself can cause problems, even when correctly installed and maintained, because it generates high-velocity runoff and breaks down quickly due to ultraviolet radiation. In addition, if the plastic is not completely removed, it can clog drainage system inlets and outlets. It is highly recommended that alternatives to plastic sheeting be used whenever possible and that its use be limited.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure C.3.4.A for details.
2. Plastic sheeting shall have a minimum thickness of 0.06 millimeters.
3. If erosion at the toe of a slope is likely, a gravel berm, riprap, or other suitable protection shall be installed at the toe of the slope in order to reduce the velocity of runoff.

FIGURE C.3.4.A PLASTIC COVERING



Maintenance Standards for Plastic Covering

1. Torn sheets must be replaced and open seams repaired.
2. If the plastic begins to deteriorate due to ultraviolet radiation, it must be completely removed and replaced.

C.3.5 MARK CLEARING LIMITS/MINIMIZE CLEARING

Purpose

Minimizing clearing is the most effective method of erosion control. Undisturbed vegetation intercepts and slows rainwater. Plant roots hold soil in place, and dead vegetation on the ground acts as a mulch.

Applications

Clearing limits shall be marked and clearing minimized on any ***site*** where significant areas of undisturbed vegetation will be retained.

Design Specifications

1. Minimizing clearing should be incorporated into the ***site*** design. Clearing limits must be marked on the Simplified site CSWPP plan.
2. On the ground, clearing limits must be clearly marked with brightly colored tape or plastic or metal safety fencing. If tape is used, it should be supported by vegetation or stakes, and should be about 3 to 6 feet high and highly visible. Equipment operators should be informed of areas of vegetation that are to be left undisturbed.
3. The duff layer, native top soil, and natural vegetation shall be retained in an undisturbed state to the maximum extent practicable. If it is not practicable to retain the duff layer in place, it should be stockpiled on-site, covered to prevent erosion, and replaced immediately upon completion of the ground disturbing activities.

Maintenance

Fencing shall be inspected regularly and repaired or replaced as needed.

C.3.6 SILT FENCE

Purpose

Use of a silt fence reduces the transport of coarse sediment from a construction site by providing a temporary physical barrier to sediment and reducing the runoff velocities of overland flow.

Conditions of Use

Silt fence may be used downslope of all disturbed areas. Silt fence is not intended to treat concentrated flows, nor is it intended to treat substantial amounts of overland flow.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure C.3.6.A and Figure C.3.6.B for details.
2. The geotextile used must meet the standards listed below. A copy of the manufacturer's fabric specifications must be available onsite.

AOS (ASTM D4751)	30-100 sieve size (0.60-0.15 mm) for slit film 50-100 sieve size (0.30-0.15 mm) for other fabrics
Water Permittivity (ASTM D4491)	0.02 sec^{-1} minimum
Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM D4632) (see Specification Note 3)	180 lbs. min. for extra strength fabric 100 lbs. min. for standard strength fabric
Grab Tensile Elongation (ASTM D4632)	30% max. (woven)
Ultraviolet Resistance (ASTM D4355)	70% min.

3. Standard strength fabric requires wire backing to increase the strength of the fence. Wire backing or closer post spacing may be required for extra strength fabric if field performance warrants a stronger fence.
4. Where the fence is installed, the slope shall be no steeper than 2H:1V.
5. If a typical silt fence (per Figure C.3.6.A) is used, the standard 4 x 4 trench may not be reduced as long as the bottom 8 inches of the silt fence is well buried and secured in a trench that stabilizes the fence and does not allow water to bypass or undermine the silt fence.
6. Silt fences shall be located so as to avoid interfering with the movement of juvenile salmonids attempting to enter off-channel areas or drainages.

Maintenance Standards

1. Any damage shall be repaired immediately.
2. If concentrated flows are evident uphill of the fence, they must be intercepted and conveyed to a sediment trap or pond.
3. It is important to check the uphill side of the fence for signs of the fence clogging and acting as a barrier to flow and then causing channelization of flows parallel to the fence. If this occurs, replace the fence or remove the trapped sediment.
4. Sediment must be removed when the sediment is 6 inches high.
5. If the filter fabric (geotextile) has deteriorated due to ultraviolet breakdown, it shall be replaced.

FIGURE C.3.6.A SILT FENCE



FIGURE C.3.6.B SILT FENCE INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE



C.3.7 VEGETATED STRIP

Purpose

Vegetated strips reduce the transport of coarse sediment from a construction site by providing a temporary physical barrier to sediment and reducing the runoff velocities of overland flow.

Conditions of Use

Vegetated strips may be used downslope of all disturbed areas. Vegetated strips are not intended to treat concentrated flows, nor are they intended to treat substantial amounts of overland flow.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. The vegetated strip shall consist of a 25-foot minimum width continuous strip of dense vegetation with a permeable topsoil. Grass-covered, landscaped areas are generally not adequate because the volume of sediment overwhelms the grass. Ideally, vegetated strips shall consist of undisturbed native growth with a well-developed soil that allows for infiltration of runoff.
2. The slope within the strip shall not exceed 4H:1V.
3. The uphill boundary of the vegetated strip shall be delineated with clearing limits as specified in Section C.3.5 (p. C-115).

Maintenance Standards

1. Any areas damaged by erosion or construction activity shall be seeded immediately and protected by mulch.
2. If more than 5 feet of the original vegetated strip width has had vegetation removed or is being eroded, sod must be installed.

C.3.8 TRIANGULAR SILT DIKE

Purpose

Triangular silt dikes (TSDs) may be used as check dams, for perimeter protection, for temporary soil stockpile protection, for drop inlet protection, or as a temporary interceptor dike. Silt dikes, if attached to impervious surfaces with tack or other adhesive agent may also be used as temporary wheel wash areas, or concrete washout collection areas.

Conditions of Use

1. May be used for temporary check dams in ditches.
2. May be used on soil or pavement with adhesive or staples.
3. TSDs have been used to build temporary sediment ponds, diversion ditches, concrete washout facilities, curbing, water bars, level spreaders, and berms.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. TSDs must be made of urethane foam sewn into a woven geosynthetic fabric.
2. TSDs are triangular, 10 inches to 14 inches high in the center, with a 20-inch to 28-inch base. A 2-foot apron extends beyond both sides of the triangle along its standard section of 7 feet. A sleeve at one end allows attachment of additional sections as needed
3. Install TSDs with ends curved up to prevent water from flowing around the ends
4. Attach the TSDs and their fabric flaps to the ground with wire staples. Wire staples must be No. 11 gauge wire or stronger and shall be 200 mm to 300 mm in length.
5. When multiple units are installed, the sleeve of fabric at the end of the unit shall overlap the abutting unit and be stapled.
6. TSDs must be located and installed as soon as construction will allow.
7. TSDs must be placed perpendicular to the flow of water.
8. When used as check dams, the leading edge must be secured with rocks, sandbags, or a small key slot and staples.
9. When used in grass-lined ditches and swales, the TSD check dams and accumulated sediment shall be removed when the grass has matured sufficiently to protect the ditch or swale unless the slope of the swale is greater than 4 percent. The area beneath the TSD check dams shall be seeded and mulched immediately after dam removal.

Maintenance Standards

1. Triangular silt dikes shall be monitored for performance and sediment accumulation during and after each runoff producing rainfall event. Sediment shall be removed when it reaches one half the height of the silt dike.
2. Anticipate submergence and deposition above the triangular silt dike and erosion from high flows around the edges of the dike/dam. Immediately repair any damage or any undercutting of the dike/dam.

C.3.9 STORM DRAIN INLET PROTECTION

Purpose

Storm drain inlets are protected to prevent coarse sediment from entering storm drainage systems. Temporary devices around storm drains assist in improving the quality of water discharged to inlets or catch basins by ponding sediment-laden water. These devices are effective only for relatively small drainage areas.

Conditions of Use

1. Protection shall be provided for all storm drain inlets downslope and within 500 feet of a disturbed or construction area, unless the runoff that enters the catch basin will be conveyed to a sediment pond or trap.
2. Inlet protection may be used anywhere at the applicant's discretion to protect the drainage system. This will, however, require more maintenance, and it is highly likely that the drainage system will still require some cleaning.
3. The contributing drainage area must not be larger than one acre.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. There are many options **for protecting storm drain inlets**. Two commonly used options are filter fabric protection and catch basin inserts. *Filter fabric protection* (see Figure C.3.9.A) is filter fabric (geotextile) placed *over* the grate. This method is generally very ineffective and requires intense maintenance efforts. *Catch basin inserts* (see Figure C.3.9.B) are manufactured devices that nest inside a catch basin. This method also requires a high frequency of maintenance to be effective. Both options provide adequate protection, but filter fabric is likely to result in ponding of water above the catch basin, while the insert will not. Thus, filter fabric is only allowed where ponding will not be a traffic concern and where slope erosion will not result if the curb is overtopped by ponded water.

   Trapping sediment in the catch basins is unlikely to improve the water quality of runoff if it is treated in a pond or trap because the coarse particles that are trapped at the catch basin settle out very quickly in the pond or trap. **Catch basin protection normally only improves water quality where there is no treatment facility downstream**. In these circumstances, catch basin protection is an important last line of defense. It is not, however, a substitute for preventing erosion.

   The placement of filter fabric *under* grates is generally prohibited and the use of filter fabric over grates is strictly limited and discouraged.
2. It is sometimes possible to construct a small sump around the catch basin before final surfacing of the road. This is allowed because it can be a very effective method of sediment control.
3. Block and gravel filters, gravel and wire mesh filter barriers, and bag barriers filled with various filtering media placed around catch basins can be effective when the drainage area is 1 acre or less and flows do not exceed 0.5 cfs. It is necessary to allow for overtopping to prevent flooding. Many manufacturers have various inlet protection filters that are very effective in keeping sediment-laden water from entering the storm drainage system. The following are examples of a few common methods.

   a) **Block and gravel filters** (Figure C.3.9.C) are a barrier formed around an inlet with standard concrete block and gravel, installed as follows:

      - Height is 1 to 2 feet above the inlet.
      - Recess the first row of blocks 2 inches into the ground for stability.
      - Support subsequent rows by placing a 2x4 through the concrete block opening.
      - Do not use mortar.

- Lay some blocks in the bottom row on their side for dewatering the pooled water.
- Place cloth or mesh with ½ inch openings over all block openings.
- Place gravel below the top of blocks on slopes of 2:1 or flatter.
- An alternate design is a gravel donut.

b) **Gravel and wire mesh filters** consist of a gravel barrier placed over the top of an inlet. This structure generally does not provide overflow. Install as follows:

- Cloth or comparable wire mesh with ½ inch openings is placed over inlet.
- Coarse aggregate covers the cloth or mesh.
- Height/depth of gravel should be 1 foot or more, 18 inches wider than inlet on all sides.

c) **Curb inlet protection with a wooden weir** is a barrier formed around an inlet with a wooden frame and gravel, installed as follows:

- Construct a frame and attach wire mesh (½ inch openings) and filter fabric to the frame.
- Pile coarse washed aggregate against the wire/fabric.
- Place weight on frame anchors.

d) **Curb and gutter sediment barriers** (Figure C.3.9.D) consist of sandbags or rock berms (riprap and aggregate) 3 feet high and 3 feet wide in a horseshoe shape, installed as follows:

- Bags of either burlap or woven geotextile fabric, filled with a variety of media such as gravel, wood chips, compost or sand stacked tightly allows water to pond and allows sediment to separate from runoff.
- Leave a "one bag gap" in the top row of the barrier to provide a spillway for overflow.
- Construct a horseshoe shaped berm, faced with coarse aggregate if using riprap, 3 x 3 and at least 2 feet from the inlet.
- Construct a horseshoe shaped sedimentation trap on the outside of the berm to sediment trap standards for protecting a culvert inlet.

4. **Excavated drop inlet sediment traps** are appropriate where relatively heavy flows are expected and overflow capability is needed. If emergency overflow is provided, additional end-of-pipe treatment may be required. Excavated drop inlets consist of an excavated impoundment area around a storm drain. Sediment settles out of the stormwater prior to enter the drain. Install according to the following specifications:

a) The impoundment area should have a depth of 1 - 2 feet measured from the crest of the inlet structure.

b) Side slopes of the excavated area must be no steeper than 2:1.

c) Minimum volume of the excavated area should be 35 cubic yards.

d) Install provisions for draining the area to prevent standing water problems.

e) Keep the area clear of debris.

f) Weep holes may be drilled into the side of the inlet.

g) Protect weep holes with wire mesh and washed aggregate.

h) Weep holes must be sealed when removing and stabilizing excavated area.

i) A temporary dike may be necessary on the down slope side of the structure to prevent bypass flow.

Maintenance Standards

1. Any accumulated sediment on or around inlet protection shall be removed immediately. Sediment shall not be removed with water, and all sediment must be disposed of as fill on site or hauled off site.
2. Any sediment in the catch basin insert shall be removed when the sediment has filled one-third of the available storage. The filter media for the insert shall be cleaned or replaced at least monthly.
3. Regular maintenance is critical for all forms of catch basin/inlet protection. Unlike many forms of protection that fail gradually, catch basin protection will fail suddenly and completely if not maintained properly.

FIGURE C.3.9.A FILTER FABRIC PROTECTION



NOTE: ONLY TO BE USED WHERE PONDING OF WATER ABOVE THE CATCH BASIN WILL NOT CAUSE TRAFFIC PROBLEMS AND WHERE OVERFLOW WILL NOT RESULT IN EROSION OF SLOPES.

FIGURE C.3.9.B CATCH BASIN INSERT



NOTE: THIS DETAIL IS ONLY SCHEMATIC. ANY INSERT IS ALLOWED THAT HAS:

- A MIN. 0.5 C.F. OF STORAGE,
- THE MEANS TO DEWATER THE STORED SEDIMENT,
- AN OVERFLOW, AND
- CAN BE EASILY MAINTAINED.

FIGURE C.3.9.C BLOCK AND GRAVEL CURB INLET PROTECTION



PLAN VIEW
NTS



SECTION A-A
NTS

NOTES:

1. USE BLOCK AND GRAVEL TYPE SEDIMENT BARRIER WHEN CURB INLET IS LOCATED IN GENTLY SLOPING SEGMENT, WHERE WATER CAN POND AND ALLOW SEDIMENT TO SEPARATE FROM RUNOFF.
2. BARRIER SHALL ALLOW FOR OVERFLOW FROM SEVERE STORM EVENT.
3. INSPECT BARRIERS AND REMOVE SEDIMENT AFTER EACH STORM EVENT. SEDIMENT AND GRAVEL MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE TRAVELED WAY IMMEDIATELY.

BLOCK AND GRAVEL CURB INLET PROTECTION
NTS

FIGURE C.3.9.D CURB AND GUTTER BARRIER PROTECTION



PLAN VIEW
NTS

NOTES:

1. PLACE CURB-TYPE SEDIMENT BARRIERS ON GENTLY SLOPING STREET SEGMENTS, WHERE WATER CAN POND AND ALLOW SEDIMENT TO SEPARATE FROM RUNOFF.
2. SANDBAGS OF EITHER BURLAP OR WOVEN GEOTEXTILE FABRIC ARE FILLED WITH GRAVEL, LAYERED AND PACKED TIGHTLY.
3. LEAVE A ONE-SANDBAG GAP IN THE TOP ROW TO PROVIDE A SPILLWAY FOR OVERFLOW.
4. INSPECT BARRIERS AND REMOVE SEDIMENT AFTER EACH STORM EVENT. SEDIMENT AND GRAVEL MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE TRAVELED WAY IMMEDIATELY.

CURB AND GUTTER BARRIER
NTS

C.3.10 SEEDING

Purpose

Seeding is intended to reduce erosion by stabilizing exposed soils. A well-established vegetative cover is one of the most effective methods of reducing erosion.

Conditions of Use

1. Seeding shall be used throughout the project on **disturbed areas** that have reached final grade or that will remain unworked for more than 30 days.
2. At the County's discretion, seeding without mulch during the **dry season** is allowed even though it will take more than seven days to develop an effective cover. Mulch is, however, recommended at all times because it protects seeds from heat, moisture loss, and transport due to runoff.
3. At final site stabilization, all disturbed areas not otherwise vegetated or stabilized shall be seeded and mulched.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. The best **time to seed** is April 1 through June 30, and September 1 through October 15. Areas may be seeded between July 1 and August 31, but irrigation may be required in order to grow adequate cover. Areas may also be seeded during the winter months, but it may take several months to develop a dense groundcover due to cold temperatures. The application and maintenance of mulch is critical for winter seeding.
2. To prevent seed from being washed away, confirm that **all required surface water control measures** have been installed.
3. The **seedbed** should be firm but not compacted because soils that are well compacted will not vegetate as quickly or thoroughly.
4. In general, 10-20-20 N-P-K (nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium) **fertilizer** may be used at a rate of 90 pounds per acre. Slow-release fertilizers are preferred because they are more efficient and have fewer environmental impacts. It is recommended that areas being seeded for final landscaping conduct soil tests to determine the exact type and quantity of fertilizer needed. This will prevent the over-application of fertilizer. Disturbed areas within 200 feet of water bodies and wetlands must use slow-release low-phosphorus fertilizer (typical proportions 3-1-2 N-P-K).
5. The following requirements apply to **mulching**:
    a) Mulch is always required for seeding slopes greater than 3H:1V (see Section D.4.2.1).
    b) If seeding during the wet season, mulch is required.
    c) The use of mulch may be required during the dry season at the County's discretion if grass growth is expected to be slow, the soils are highly erodible due to soil type or gradient, there is a water body close to the disturbed area, or significant precipitation is anticipated before the grass will provide effective cover.
    d) Mulch may be applied on top of the seed or simultaneously by hydroseeding.
6. **Hydroseeding** is allowed as long as tackifier is included. Hydroseeding with wood fiber mulch is adequate during the dry season. During the wet season, the application rate shall be doubled because the mulch and tackifier used in hydroseeding break down fairly rapidly. It may be necessary in some applications to include straw with the wood fiber, but this can be detrimental to germination.
7. Areas to be permanently landscaped shall use **soil amendments**. Good quality topsoil shall be tilled into the top six inches to reduce the need for fertilizer and improve the overall soil quality. Most

native soils will require the addition of four inches of well-rotted compost to be tilled into the soil to provide a good quality topsoil. Compost used should meet specifications in Reference 11-C.

8. The **seed mixes** listed below include recommended mixes for both temporary and permanent seeding. These mixes, with the exception of the wetland mix, shall be applied at a rate of 120 pounds per acre. This rate may be reduced if soil amendments or slow-release fertilizers are used. Local suppliers should be consulted for their recommendations because the appropriate mix depends on a variety of factors, including exposure, soil type, slope, and expected foot traffic. Alternative seed mixes approved by the County may be used.

TABLE C.3.10.A TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL SEED MIX

	% Weight	% Purity	% Germination
Chewings or red fescue (*Festuca rubra var. commutata* or *Festuca rubra*)	40	98	90
Annual or perennial rye (*Lolium multiflorum* or *Lolium perenne*)	40	98	90
Redtop or colonial bentgrass (*Agrostis alba* or *Agrostis tenuis)*	10	92	85
White dutch clover (*Trifolium repens*}	10	98	90

TABLE C.3.10.B LANDSCAPING SEED MIX

	% Weight	% Purity	% Germination
Perennial rye blend (*Lolium perenne*)	70	98	90
Chewings and red fescue blend (*Festuca rubra var. commutata* or *Festuca rubra*)	30	98	90

Maintenance Standards for Seeding

1. Any seeded areas that fail to establish at least 80 percent cover within one month shall be reseeded. If reseeding is ineffective, an alternate method, such as sodding or nets/blankets, shall be used. If winter weather prevents adequate grass growth, this time limit may be relaxed at the discretion of the County when critical areas would otherwise be protected.
2. After adequate cover is achieved, any areas that experience erosion shall be re-seeded and protected by mulch. If the erosion problem is drainage related, the problem shall be fixed and the eroded area re-seeded and protected by mulch.
3. Seeded areas shall be supplied with adequate moisture, but not watered to the extent that it causes runoff.

C.3.11 SODDING

Purpose

The purpose of sodding is to establish permanent turf for immediate erosion protection and to stabilize drainage ways where concentrated overland flow will occur.

Conditions of Use

Sodding may be used in the following areas:

1. Disturbed areas that require short-term or long-term cover
2. Disturbed areas that require immediate vegetative cover

Design and Installation Specifications

Sod shall be free of weeds, of uniform thickness (approximately 1-inch thick), and shall have a dense root mat for mechanical strength.

The following steps are recommended for sod installation:

1. Shape and smooth the surface to final grade in accordance with the approved grading plan.
2. Amend two inches (minimum) of well-rotted compost into the top six inches of the soil if the organic content of the soil is less than ten percent. Compost used should meet specifications in Reference 11-C.
3. Fertilize according to the supplier's recommendations. Disturbed areas within 200 feet of water bodies and wetlands must use non-phosphorus fertilizer.
4. Work lime and fertilizer 1 to 2 inches into the soil, and smooth the surface.
5. Lay strips of sod beginning at the lowest area to be sodded and perpendicular to the direction of water flow. Wedge strips securely into place. Square the ends of each strip to provide for a close, tight fit. Stagger joints at least 12 inches. Staple on slopes steeper than 3H:1V.
6. Roll the sodded area and irrigate.
7. When sodding is carried out in alternating strips or other patterns, seed the areas between the sod immediately after sodding.

Maintenance Standards

If the grass is unhealthy, the cause shall be determined and appropriate action taken to reestablish a healthy groundcover. If it is impossible to establish a healthy groundcover due to frequent saturation, instability, or some other cause, the sod shall be removed, the area seeded with an appropriate mix, and protected with a net or blanket.

C.3.12 INTERCEPTOR DIKES AND SWALES

Purpose

Interceptor dikes and swales intercept storm runoff from drainage areas on or above disturbed slopes and convey it to a sediment pond or trap. They may also be used to intercept runoff from undisturbed areas and convey the runoff to a point below any exposed soils. Interception of surface water reduces the possibility of slope erosion.

Conditions of Use

Interceptor dikes and swales are required in the following situations:

1. At the top of all slopes in excess of 3H:1V and with more than 20 feet of vertical relief.
2. At intervals on any slope that exceeds the dimensions specified in this section for the horizontal spacing of dikes and swales.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure C.3.12.A for details of an interceptor dike and Figure C.3.12.B for an interceptor swale.
2. Interceptor dikes and swales shall be **spaced horizontally** as follows:

Average Slope	Slope Percent	Flowpath Length
20H:1V or less	3-5%	300 feet
(10 to 20)H:1V	5-10%	200 feet
(4 to 10)H:1V	10-25%	100 feet
(2 to 4)H:1V	25-50%	50 feet

3. For slopes steeper than 2H:1V with more than 10 feet of vertical relief, **benches** may be constructed or closer spaced interceptor dikes or swales may be used. Whichever measure is chosen, the spacing and capacity of the measures must be designed by the engineer and the design must include provisions for effectively intercepting the high velocity runoff associated with steep slopes.
4. If the dike or swale intercepts runoff from **disturbed areas**, it shall discharge to a stable conveyance system that routes the runoff to a sediment pond or trap. If the dike or swale intercepts runoff that originates from **undisturbed areas**, it shall discharge to a stable conveyance system that routes the runoff downslope of any disturbed areas and releases the water at a stabilized outlet.

Maintenance Standards

1. Damage resulting from runoff or construction activity shall be repaired immediately.
2. If the facilities do not regularly retain storm runoff, the capacity and/or frequency of the dikes/swales shall be increased.

FIGURE C.3.12.A INTERCEPTOR DIKE



FIGURE C.3.12.B INTERCEPTOR SWALE



C.3.13 DITCHES

Purpose

Ditches intercept and convey runoff from disturbed areas to sediment control locations. They also convey runoff intercepted from undisturbed areas around the construction site to a non-erosive discharge point.

Conditions of Use

Ditches may be used anywhere that concentrated runoff is to be conveyed on or around the construction site. Temporary pipe systems may also be used to convey runoff.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. Up to 30,000 square feet may be drained by a 12-inch deep trapezoidal ditch with a 1-foot bottom width. Up to 2 acres may be drained by an 18-inch deep trapezoidal ditch with a 1-foot bottom width. Ditches draining larger areas will need to be sized by a ***civil engineer***.
2. Ditch side slopes shall be no steeper than 2H:1V.
3. Ditches on 5% or steeper grades shall be armored with rip rap or contain crushed rock check dams spaced such that the crest of each dam is even with the toe of the next upstream dam. See Figure C.3.13.A for details on check dam installation.

Maintenance Standards

1. Any sediment deposition of more than 0.5 feet shall be removed so that the channel is restored to its design capacity.
2. If the channel capacity is insufficient for the design flow, it must be determined whether the problem is local (e.g., a constriction or bend) or the channel is under-designed. If the problem is local, the channel capacity must be increased through construction of a berm(s) or by excavation. If the problem is under-design, the design engineer shall be notified and the channel redesigned to a more conservative standard to be approved by King County.
3. The channel shall be examined for signs of scouring and erosion of the bed and banks. If scouring or erosion has occurred, affected areas shall be protected by riprap or an erosion control blanket or net.

FIGURE C.3.13.A CHECK DAMS



CROSS SECTION



CHECK DAM SPACING

C.3.14 PIPE SLOPE DRAIN

Purpose

Pipe slope drains are designed to carry concentrated runoff down steep slopes without causing erosion, or saturation of slide-prone soils. Pipe slope drains may be used to divert water away from or over bare soil to prevent gullies, channel erosion, and saturation of slide prone soils

Conditions of Use

Pipe slope drains should be used when a temporary or permanent stormwater conveyance is needed to move water down a steep slope to avoid erosion. Pipe slope drains may be:

1. Used on any slope with a gradient of 2H:1V or greater and with at least 10 feet of vertical relief.
2. Used to drain water collected from aquifers exposed on cut slopes and convey it to the base of the slope.
3. Used to collect clean runoff from plastic sheet cover and direct away from any exposed soils.
4. Installed in conjunction with silt fence to drain collected water to a controlled area.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure C.3.14.A for details.
2. Up to 30,000 square feet may be drained by each 6-inch minimum diameter pipe. Up to 2 acres may be drained by each 12-inch minimum diameter pipe.
3. The **maximum drainage area** allowed for any 12-inch pipe is 2 acres. For larger areas, more than one pipe shall be used.
4. The **soil** around and under the pipe and entrance section shall be thoroughly compacted.
5. The **flared inlet section** shall be securely connected to the slope drain and be fused or welded, or have flange-bolted mechanical joints to ensure a watertight seal. Ensure that the entrance area is stable and large enough to direct flow into the pipe.
6. Slope drains shall be continuously fused, welded, or flange-bolted mechanical joint pipe systems with proper anchoring to the soil.
7. Re-establish cover immediately on areas disturbed by the installation.

Maintenance Standards

1. The inlet shall not be undercut or bypassed by water. If there are problems, the head wall shall be appropriately reinforced.
2. No erosion shall occur at the outlet point. If erosion occurs, additional protection shall be added.

FIGURE C.3.14.A PIPE SLOPE DRAIN



C.3.15 DEWATERING CONTROL

Purpose

To prevent the untreated discharge of sediment-laden water from dewatering of utility trenches, excavated areas, foundations, etc.

Conditions of Use

Dewatering control measures shall be used whenever there is a potential for runoff from dewatering of utility trenches, excavations, foundations, etc.

Design Specifications

1. Foundation, vault, excavation, and trench dewatering water that has similar characteristics to stormwater runoff at the site shall be discharged into a controlled conveyance system prior to discharge to a sediment trap or sediment pond. Foundation and trench dewatering water, which has similar characteristics to stormwater runoff at the site, must be disposed of through one of the following options depending on site constraints:
   a) Infiltration,
   b) Transport offsite in a vehicle, such as a vacuum flush truck, for legal disposal in a manner that does not pollute surface waters,
   c) Discharge to the sanitary sewer discharge with local sewer district approval if there is no other option, or
   d) Use of a sedimentation bag with outfall to a ditch or swale for small volumes of localized dewatering.
2. Clean, non-turbid dewatering water, such as well-point ground water, may be discharged via stable conveyance to systems tributary to surface waters, provided the dewatering flow does not cause erosion or flooding of receiving waters.
3. Highly turbid or contaminated dewatering water shall be handled separately from stormwater.

C.3.16 CONTROL OF OTHER POLLUTANTS (SWPPS)

Purpose

To prevent the discharge of pollutants resulting from construction activities.

SWPPS measures **are not modified** for Simplified sites; however, the scope and complexity may be lessened in accordance with the scope of the Simplified site project. Construction activity shall not allow pollutant discharge to surface waters or water resources. The measures listed below provide summary guidance on typical issues and associated BMPs. See Section D.2.2 in Appendix D for additional SWPPS information.

The Simplified Site CSWPP plan is not complete if this section is not included in the planning and implementation of CSWPP for the Simplified Site project.

Application

Pollution control measures shall be used whenever there is a potential for the discharge to ground or surface water of any pollutants used on the site.

Pollution Control Measures

The following pollution control measures shall be implemented as applicable using activity-specific BMPs detailed in SWDM Appendix D (*CSWPP Standards*) and the King County *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual (SPPM)*:

1. All pollutants, including waste materials, that occur onsite shall be handled and disposed of in a manner that does not cause contamination of stormwater. See Section D.2.2 in the *CWSPP Standards* and *SPPM* Activity Sheets A-8, A-11, A-12, A-16, A-17, A-22, A-29, A-38, and A-41.
2. Cover, containment, and protection from vandalism shall be provided for all chemicals, liquid products, petroleum products, and non-inert wastes present on the site (see Chapter 173-304 WAC for the definition of inert waste). Onsite fueling tanks shall include secondary containment. See Section D.2.2 in the *CWSPP Standards* and *SPPM* Activity Sheets A-2, A-3, A-4, A-6, A-8, and A-9.
3. Maintenance and repair of heavy equipment and vehicles involving oil changes, hydraulic system drain down, solvent and de-greasing cleaning operations, fuel tank drain down and removal, and other activities which may result in discharge or spillage of pollutants to the ground or into stormwater runoff must be conducted using spill prevention measures, such as drip pans. Contaminated surfaces shall be cleaned immediately following any discharge or spill incident. Emergency repairs may be performed onsite using temporary plastic placed beneath and, if raining, over the vehicle. See Section D.2.2 in the *CWSPP Standards* and *SPPM* Activity Sheets A-13, A-17, and A-18.
4. Application of agricultural chemicals, including fertilizers and pesticides, shall be conducted in a manner and at application rates that will not result in loss of chemical to stormwater runoff. Manufacturers' recommendations for application rates and procedures shall be followed. See Section D.2.2 in the *CWSPP Standards* and *SPPM* Activity Sheets A-5, A-25, and A-26.
5. Measures shall be used to prevent or treat contamination of stormwater runoff by pH modifying sources. These sources include, but are not limited to, bulk cement, cement kiln dust, fly ash, new concrete washing and curing waters, waste streams generated from concrete grinding and sawing, exposed aggregate processes, and concrete pumping and mixer washout waters. Stormwater discharges shall not cause or contribute to a violation of the water quality standard for pH in the receiving water. See Section D.2.2 in the *CWSPP Standards* and *SPPM* Activity Sheets A-19, A-20, A-29, A-43, and A-44.
6. For full compliance with KCC 9.12, Water Quality, the project may need to include measures for the permanent structures and features constructed under associated permits (e.g., permanent canopy requirement for permanent outdoor vehicle maintenance areas constructed under a building permit). See the *SPPM* for Activity Sheets describing issues and measures to address them.

(This page intentionally left blank)

C.4 SIMPLIFIED DRAINAGE PLAN SPECIFICATIONS

This section presents the specifications of drainage plans and supporting documentation that must be submitted for projects in Simplified Drainage Review. See Section C.1.5 (p. C-30) for a complete list of items that must be submitted. See Reference Section C.5.1 (p. C-147) for a description of the Simplified Drainage Review Process.

Organization of Section C.4

This section is organized as follows:

- Section C.4.1, "Components of Simplified Drainage Plans" (p. C-137)
- Section C.4.2, "Specifications for Site Plans" (p. C-139)
- Section C.4.3, "BMP Design and Maintenance Details" (p. C-143)
- Section C.4.4, "Written Drainage Assessment" (p. C-144)

C.4.1 COMPONENTS OF SIMPLIFIED DRAINAGE PLANS

Unless otherwise directed by DLS-Permitting, Simplified drainage plans **for projects on individual *sites*/lots** typically include a flow control BMP site plan, flow control BMP design and maintenance details, a Simplified site CSWPP plan, and a written drainage assessment as described and illustrated in this section. If the project is a **subdivision**, a site improvement plan is typically required, but if flow control BMPs are proposed to be installed by the subdivision project on the individual lots, a flow control BMP site plan may substitute for the site improvement plan as allowed by DLS-Permitting. This should be determined in a preapplication meeting with DLS-Permitting.

*Note: if there are any **site** conditions or drainage issues that must be addressed by a **civil engineer** as determined by DLS-Permitting, an engineering plan as described in Chapter 2 of the SWDM will be required in addition to the Simplified drainage plan.*

C.4.1.1 COMPONENTS REQUIRED FOR INDIVIDUAL LOT PROJECTS

Most of the information required for the Simplified drainage plan is also required for a residential site plan, which must be submitted with the permit application (see DLS-Permitting Bulletin No. 9, "Obtaining a Residential Building Permit"). In fact, the residential site plan may be used as a base map for the flow control BMP site plan and Simplified site CSWPP plan components of the Simplified drainage plan.

Required components for all individual lot projects are as follows:

1. **Flow Control BMP Site Plan**. This is a scale drawing of the individual ***site*/lot** used to show how required flow control BMPs will be applied to the ***target impervious surface*** and ***new pervious surface*** proposed on the ***site*/lot**. The flow control BMP (FCBMP) site plan is intended to be a recordable document (or reducible to a recordable document) that can be attached to the declaration of covenant and grant of easement required for implementation of flow control BMPs on individual ***sites*/lots**. DLS-Permitting may allow a written version of this plan if they determine there is no need to illustrate the size and location of proposed flow control BMPs.
2. **Flow Control BMP Design and Maintenance Details** (required whenever flow control BMPs are proposed by a project). These are diagrams/figures, design specifications, and maintenance instructions for each flow control BMP proposed. FCBMP design and maintenance details are intended to be recordable to facilitate attachment to the declaration of covenant and grant of easement required for implementation of flow control BMPs on individual ***sites*/lots**. DLS-Permitting may

waive all or a portion of this component if they determine there is no need to specify design details or maintenance instructions for certain proposed BMPs (e.g., the reduced footprint BMP).

3. **Simplified Site CSWPP Plan**. This is a scale drawing of the ***site*** or ***project site*** used to show the limits of disturbance by the project and how required ESC measures will be applied to prevent sediment from leaving the ***project site***. Additionally, the plan identifies construction activities requiring SWPPS measures and how these measures will be applied. The plan must include or be accompanied by any necessary standard details for installation of proposed ESC and SWPPS measures. The figures in Section C.3 may be used as details. DLS-Permitting may allow a written version of this plan if they determine there is no need to illustrate the extent and location of proposed ESC and SWPPS measures. *Note: if the project proposes to disturb 1 or more acre, a CSWPP plan prepared by a **civil engineer** will be required in accordance with the County's CSWPP Standards (Appendix D of the SWDM).*
4. **Written Drainage Assessment**.[19] This is an overview of the proposed project and its compliance with the drainage requirements of this appendix. It includes a description of proposed ***site*** improvements, existing ***site*** conditions, critical areas, existing drainage features, proposed flow control BMPs, and ESC and SWPPS measures, including how they were selected and sized. The drainage assessment should also discuss the results and implications of any soils reports or special studies completed for the ***site***.

C.4.1.2 COMPONENTS REQUIRED FOR SUBDIVISION PROJECTS

The components required for a subdivision project depend on whether flow control BMPs are proposed to be installed as part of the project as described below.

A. NO FLOW CONTROL BMPS PROPOSED

1. **Site Improvement Plan**. This is a scale drawing of the ***site*** used to show the proposed lot layout, building restrictions, road and drainage improvements, and any measures necessary to mitigate the stormwater impacts of road improvements.
2. **Simplified Site CSWPP Plan**. Same as for individual lot projects in Section C.4.1.1.
3. **Written Drainage Assessment**. Same as for individual lot projects in Section C.4.1.1.

B. FLOW CONTROL BMPS PROPOSED

1. **Flow Control BMP Site Plan** (required for each lot). This is a scale drawing of each lot used to show how required flow control BMPs will be applied to the **future anticipated *target impervious surface*** and ***new pervious surface*** on the ***site*****/lot**. All other aspects of this plan are the same as for individual lot projects in Section C.4.1.1.
2. **Flow Control BMP Design and Maintenance Details** (required for each lot). Same as for individual lot projects in Section C.4.1.1.
3. **Site Improvement Plan**. May be waived by DLS-Permitting if they determine the FCBMP site plan and design details are an adequate substitute or if no road or drainage improvements are proposed.
4. **Simplified Site CSWPP Plan**. Same as for individual lot projects in Section C.4.1.1.
5. **Written Drainage Assessment**. Same as for individual lot projects in Section C.4.1.1.

[19] If engineering plans are required, the information requested in the written drainage assessment should be incorporated in the technical information report.

C.4.2 SPECIFICATIONS FOR SITE PLANS

FCBMP site plans, subdivision site improvement plans, and Simplified site CSWPP plans are drawings of the entire ***site*** that contains the project. They should be drawn on 8½" x 11", 8½" x 14", or 11" x 17" paper, although the applicant is advised to draw **FCBMP site plans** on 8½" x 11"or 8½" x 14" paper with 1-inch margins so they can be directly recorded as attachments to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23).

Site plans for projects in Simplified Drainage Review must include the information specified in the following subsections.

C.4.2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

The following information must be included on **all** FCBMP site plans, subdivision site improvement plans, and Simplified site CSWPP plans, unless otherwise directed by DLS-Permitting:

Identification

- Name, address, and phone number of applicant
- Parcel number
- Dimension of all property lines, easements, and building setback lines
- Street names and existing or proposed property address
- Section, township, and range of proposal.
- North arrow
- Legend if needed
- **Scale**—use a scale that clearly illustrates drainage features and BMPs/measures (1"=20' is standard scale; minimum acceptable scale is 1"=50'). If necessary, split the ***site*** plan into two or more plan sheets and indicate a "match line" on each sheet to show how the sheets splice together. A variation of this approach is to use separate scales for the ***project site*** versus the entire ***site*** and draw them on separate plan sheets.

Building and Site Development Features

- Footprint of all structures (existing and proposed)
- Parking, roads, and driveways (existing and proposed)
- Sport courts and any other paved or impervious surfaces (existing and proposed)
- Pervious surface land cover (existing and proposed)
- Location of any retaining walls and rockeries (existing and proposed)
- Existing or proposed septic system, including all system components and both primary and reserve drainfields
- Utility structures (poles, fire hydrants, etc.)
- Existing wells or wells to be abandoned.

Topography

- Corner elevations of the ***site*****/lot**
- Benchmark (a permanent mark indicating elevation and serving as a reference in the topographic survey)

- Datum [assumed datum is acceptable in many cases (i.e., fire hydrant base = 100'); datum for projects in or near FEMA floodplain should be per the relevant Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) (typically NGVD 1929, or NAVD 1988 on many recently updated maps, see https://msc.fema.gov/portal/search?AddressQuery=7732%2020th%20ave%20nw%2C%20seattle%20wa%2098117). Datum for projects in or near unmapped floodplain shall use NAVD 1988.]
- Show 5-foot contours for all slopes steeper than 15% and delineate the top and bottom of these slopes
- For ***sites*/lots** that are 22,000 square feet and larger, show 2-foot or 5-foot contours as needed to design and demonstrate compliance with the minimum requirements and specifications for proposed flow control BMPs and ESC and SWPPS measures.

Drainage Features and Critical Areas

- Location of all existing and proposed ditches, swales, pipes, etc.
- Delineation of all streams, wetlands, lakes, closed depressions, or other water features (including any required buffer widths)
- Delineation of all ***flood hazard areas***, ***erosion hazard areas***, ***steep slope hazard areas***, ***landslide hazard areas***, and their buffers and building setback lines
- Delineation of all drainage easements, tracts, and right-of-way
- Delineation of all critical areas as shown on any recorded critical areas notice on title.

C.4.2.2 INFORMATION SPECIFIC TO FLOW CONTROL BMPS

In addition to the general information required in Section C.4.2.1, the following additional information is required on FCBMP site plans and on subdivision site improvement plans that include installation of flow control BMPs within a dedicated tract or road right-of-way:

- Location and dimensions of flow control BMP **devices** such as dispersion trenches, infiltration trenches, drywells, ground surface depressions, bioretention, permeable pavements, rain water storage tanks, and perforated pipe connections
- Delineation and dimensions of ***target impervious surface*** and ***new pervious surface***
- Delineation and dimensions of **vegetated flowpath segments** if applicable
- Delineation of ***native vegetated surface*** to be created and preserved
- **Setback lengths** between flow control BMPs and any property line, structure, steep slope, stream, wetland, or septic system.

C.4.2.3 INFORMATION SPECIFIC TO SIMPLIFIED SITE CSWPP PLANS

In addition to the general information required in Section C.4.2.1, the following additional information is required on Simplified site CSWPP plans, unless otherwise directed by DLS-Permitting:

- Delineation of proposed **clearing limits** (i.e., area to be disturbed)
- Type and location of **ESC and SWPPS measures**
- Notes indicating the location of any **significant offsite drainage features** within 200 feet of the discharge point(s) for the ***site*/lot**, including streams, lakes, roadside ditches, etc.

C.4.2.4 EXAMPLE SITE PLANS

Presented in this section is an example of a **flow control BMP site plan** (Figure C.4.2.A) and **a Simplified site CSWPP plan** (Figure C.4.2.B) for construction of a single family residence.

FIGURE C.4.2.A SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE – FLOW CONTROL BMP SITE PLAN



FIGURE C.4.2.B SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE – SIMPLIFIED SITE CSWPP PLAN



C.4.3 BMP DESIGN AND MAINTENANCE DETAILS

For each flow control BMP shown on a FCBMP site plan or in the right-of-way or tract of a subdivision site improvement plan, design details and maintenance instructions must be provided to clarify the design, installation, and long term maintenance and operation of the BMP.

C.4.3.1 DESIGN DETAILS

Design details are typically diagrams with notes that show a close-up view and/or cross-section of the BMP device and provide dimensions and specifications of size, placement, materials, components, downstream vegetation requirements, etc. Although diagrams are typical, there are many BMP devices (e.g., rock pads) for which only written details and specifications are more than sufficient. For some BMPs, design details may not be needed at all (e.g., the reduced footprint BMP).

Design details should be displayed on 8½" x 11" or 8½" x 14" paper with 1-inch margins so they can be directly recorded as attachments to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). No scale is required for the diagrams used. The design details for each flow control BMP may be combined with its maintenance instructions on the same sheet or multiple sheets. Design details may also be placed on the flow control BMP site plan if there is room. To be recordable, however, the font size must be no less than 8-pt.

The BMP-specific figures and minimum design specifications presented in Section C.2 can be used to prepare the design details for a specific application of a flow control BMP to a particular ***site***/project. Also, the King County Water and Land Resources Division *Surface Water Design Manual* website contains downloadable, electronic versions of these and other figures/design specifications that can be adapted to a ***site***/project as well. The 2005 *Puget Sound Low Impact Development Manual* is yet another source of figures and design specifications. If a **proprietary version** of a flow control BMP is used, the manufacturer or installer will usually provide a diagram and ***site***-specific design specifications.

C.4.3.2 MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS

Maintenance instructions are intended to explain to future property owners, the purpose of each flow control BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. A set of **minimum** maintenance instructions is provided for each flow control BMP presented in Section C.2. As King County gains more experience with the maintenance of these BMPs, updated maintenance instructions will be posted on the King County Water and Land Resources Division *Surface Water Design Manual* website. Also, the 2005 *Puget Sound Low Impact Development Manual* is yet another source of maintenance instructions. If a **proprietary version** of a flow control BMP is used, system-specific maintenance instructions from the manufacturer or installer must be included.

Maintenance instructions should be displayed on 8½" x 11" or 8½" x 14" paper with 1-inch margins so they can be directly recorded as attachments to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). Instructions prepared in this format are located in Reference M. The maintenance instructions for each flow control BMP may be combined with its design details on the same sheet or multiple sheets. Maintenance instructions may also be placed on the flow control BMP site plan if there is room. To be recordable, however, the font size must be no less than 8-pt.

C.4.4 WRITTEN DRAINAGE ASSESSMENT

The written drainage assessment is a supporting document of the Simplified drainage plan and typically includes the following information:

- A **narrative** description of proposed project
- Any proposed **changes** to the project after preliminary approval
- A description of **proposed flow control BMPs** shown on the FCBMP site plan and how they were selected.
- Supporting documentation (e.g. soil tests, infiltration rates, geotechnical recommendations, etc.) where BMPs were not selected based upon determinations of infeasibility
- A description of **proposed ESC and SWPPS measures** shown or noted on the plans and how they were selected
- A description of any necessary **special studies** or **soils reports**
- A description of any **other information** required by DLS-Permitting.

C.4.4.1 EXAMPLE ASSESSMENT FOR A SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE

The purpose of this section is to illustrate the application of Simplified drainage requirements to a house being built on an existing lot. The flow control BMP site plan for this example is shown in Figure C.4.2.A (p.C-141), and the Simplified site CSWPP plan is shown in Figure C.4.2.B (p. C-142). The written drainage assessment is as follows:

Drainage Assessment
Malene McResident Residence
7519 NE Q Street
Permit # B05R7184

The project is located east of Duvall on a 1.69-acre lot that is zoned RA. The lot is mostly forested with a wetland on the northeast corner of the property. The lot slopes down from Q Street on the south to P Street on the north. The slope on the south portion of the property is 6-14%. The house and its septic drainfields are proposed on the southern portion of the lot. The driveway will be approximately 10 feet by 120 feet (1,200 square feet) of impervious surface, the parking area 1,200 square feet, and the house 3,750 square feet. The total proposed impervious surface is 6,150 square feet. The total proposed clearing for the house, yard, drainfield areas, and driveway is 0.84 acres, which complies with the maximum of 50% allowed under KCC 16.82.150(C).

The wetland is a Category III wetland with a minimum required buffer width of 60 feet as determined in the preapplication meeting with DLS-Permitting. By definition, the wetland is also a flood hazard area for which a floodplain and base flood elevation must be determined. Subject to DLS-Permitting review and approval, an approximate base flood elevation of 452 has been estimated based on a downstream overflow elevation of 450 (see attached notes from the project's land surveyor). This elevation is well below the proposed house and driveway location.

The portion of the lot that is on a 40-50% slope is a steep slope hazard area as determined in the preapplication meeting with DLS-Permitting. A 15-foot building setback as shown on the flow control BMP plan is required. Dispersion and infiltrative BMPs are not allowed within 200 feet of a steep slope hazard area without approval by a geotechnical engineer unless approved by the DLS-Permitting staff geologist. The DLS-Permitting staff geologist determined that dispersing of the roof runoff toward the steep slope was acceptable, as shown on the plan.

Because the lot is larger than 22,000 square feet, it is subject to the Large Lot BMP Requirements in Appendix C of the *Surface Water Design Manual*. As mandated by these requirements, all proposed impervious surface (6,150 square feet) is targeted for application of flow control BMPs. As for new pervious surface (totaling 30,440 square feet), it is less than 3/4 acre; therefore, no flow control BMPs are required for this surface beyond the requirement that the existing native topsoil be retained to the maximum extent practicable. Soil amendments will be provided to mitigate for lost moisture holding capacity where compaction or removal of underlying topsoil occurs.

To address the requirements for mitigation of target impervious surface, the applicability and feasibility of full dispersion was considered first. After subtracting out the wetland and the 50% portion of the lot that may be cleared per KCC 16.82, about 34,000 square feet of the lot will remain as unsubmerged native vegetated surface. This means that full dispersion could be applicable to up to 5,100 square feet of the target impervious surface (15% of 34,000). However, because of the lot's topography and the location of proposed clearing, there is no way to achieve the minimum required 100 feet of native vegetated flowpath segment that has a slope of 15% or flatter. Therefore, full dispersion is not feasible.

Full infiltration of roof runoff was considered next. According to the attached soils report for the septic system design, the soil on the project site is a sandy loam underlain by dense glacial till. Therefore, full infiltration is not applicable. Permeable pavement was considered for the driveway and parking area, but the geotechnical report recommended against this since the underlying soils do not have properties considered adequate to protect groundwater. This allowed for selection of basic dispersion as the flow control BMP of choice for application to the target impervious surfaces of this project.

To implement basic dispersion, the roof downspouts of the proposed house will discharge via splash blocks to minimum 50-foot vegetated flowpath segments located on slopes no steeper than 15% as shown on the flow control BMP site plan. No more than 700 square feet of roof area will discharge to any one splash block and vegetated flowpath segment. All of the flowpaths will be downslope of septic tank and drainfields.

The northern portion of the driveway will be discharged via sheet flow over a 10-foot vegetated flowpath segment to the north, as shown on the site plan. Runoff from approximately 700 square feet of the southern portion of the driveway will be collected by a rock-lined ditch and a concrete driveway berm and discharged to a 12-foot-long dispersion trench with notch board and then over a 25-foot vegetated flowpath segment toward the north. The 30-foot wide parking area will be discharged via sheet flow over a 2-foot-wide crushed rock strip and a vegetated flowpath segment of 15 feet as shown on the FCBMP site plan.

In order to prevent erosion and trap sediments within the project site, the following BMPs will be used approximately as shown in the ESC details on the CSWPP plan:

- Clearing limits will be marked by fencing or other means on the ground.
- The driveway will be constructed and graveled immediately. A rocked construction entrance will be placed at the end of the driveway. Dispersion trenches will be placed according to flow control requirements. Cleared areas accepting sheet flow from the driveway and parking area will be seeded and mulched.
- Runoff will not be allowed to concentrate and no water will be allowed to point discharge onto the slopes.
- Silt fencing will be placed along slope contours at the downslope limit of clearing.
- Mulch will be spread over all cleared areas of the site when they are not being worked. Mulch will consist of air-dried straw and chipped site vegetation.

(This page intentionally left blank)

C.5 REFERENCE SECTION

This section contains background and supplemental information on the **Simplified Drainage Review process** and an example **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** required for individual lot developments. The materials in this section are for reference only and may be updated over time. For the latest versions of these materials, see the King County Water and Land Resources Division, *Surface Water Design Manual* website.

C.5.1 SIMPLIFIED DRAINAGE REVIEW PROCESS

Drainage review, when required, is one of several reviews conducted by the Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) as part of its review process for County development permits and approvals. The process used for drainage review depends largely on the permit review process already established for different types of developments (e.g., subdivision, single family residence, or commercial building). For projects in Simplified Drainage Review, the review process primarily depends on whether the project is a proposed short plat or just proposed ***site*** improvements to an existing parcel or combination of parcels (individual lot project). Below is a description of the Simplified Drainage Review process for each of these development types.

C.5.1.1 INDIVIDUAL LOT PROJECTS

This section describes the Simplified Drainage Review process for ***single family residential projects*** and ***agricultural projects*** that apply for a permit or approval to make specific ***site*** improvements such as construction of buildings, additions, driveways, or other impervious surfaces, or clearing of native vegetation.

When a permit/approval for a ***single family residential project*** or ***agricultural project*** requires drainage review as specified in the *SWDM*, DLS-Permitting Site Engineering staff plot the project location on various maps (Assessor's, Kroll, topography, soils, etc.), research critical areas on or near the ***site***, and check for adopted area-specific conditions that might affect the drainage requirements for the ***site***. A DLS-Permitting engineer reviews this information with respect to the proposed application. In most cases, a visit to the ***site*** is made to check existing conditions and drainage concerns.

The DLS-Permitting engineer makes a determination of the type of drainage review required for the project and will either:

- Request additional information as needed,
- Request that a Simplified drainage plan (see Section C.4, p. C-137) be submitted,
- Determine the project is subject to Directed Drainage Review as described in Chapter 1 of the *Surface Water Design Manual,* request additional information as needed*,* specify which core and special requirements are applicable to the project, and specify the corresponding applicable drainage plan submittal requirements,
- Request that an engineered drainage plan be submitted in accordance with Chapter 2 of the *Surface Water Design Manual*, OR
- Approve the permit subject to complying with an approved Simplified drainage plan or engineered drainage plan,
- Deny the permit application because it cannot meet required codes (e.g., a proposed new residence located in a FEMA floodway or in a channel migration hazard area).

C.5.1.2 SUBDIVISION PROJECTS

For ***single family residential projects*** that are subdivisions, the Simplified Drainage Review process generally includes the following elements:

Preapplication

The short plat process requires a mandatory preapplication meeting prior to formal submittal. The purpose of the preapplication meeting is to identify potential ***site*** constraints and regulatory requirements for the proposed project. If the short plat is subject to Simplified Drainage Review, the applicant may use this appendix or other information necessary to complete the small project drainage plan.

If the drainage requirements for a specific short plat are determined during a preapplication meeting, Simplified drainage plans (see Section C.4) may be submitted with the application. Section C.1.1 contains a series of questions that may help assess the requirements for a potential Simplified short plat. Submitting plans with the short plat permit application may expedite the review of the proposed application. However, there is risk that the plans prepared may exceed, or not adequately address, the yet-to-be-determined conditions of preliminary approval.

Preliminary Approval

After formal permit application, a more detailed review of the ***site*** and a determination of the type of drainage review required for the proposed subdivision are made. If subject to Simplified Drainage Review, the application may be placed on hold pending the completion of a Simplified drainage plan.

The applicant is responsible for submitting a Simplified drainage plan as detailed in Section C.4 (p. C-137). Upon completion and approval of the Simplified drainage plan (and other application requirements), preliminary approval may be granted, subject to the conditions of the Simplified drainage plan. For simple short plats that have no drainage issues triggering Targeted Drainage Review, engineered drainage plans are not usually required.

For proposed short plats requiring some engineering analysis, preliminary approval may be granted subject to the approval of engineering plans and a Simplified drainage plan. The applicant may choose to have the Simplified drainage plan incorporated into the engineered plans (prepared by a ***civil engineer***) or may elect to have a separate Simplified drainage plan that is not prepared by an engineer.

Proposed short plats that are subject to Simplified Drainage Review but cannot comply with the Simplified drainage requirements will be subject to Full Drainage Review. Any proposed short plats unable to comply with all applicable regulations (drainage or non-drainage) may be denied.

Engineering Review

Short plats receiving preliminary approval subject to the completion of a Simplified drainage plan and/or engineering plans are subject to engineering review. When separate plans are being prepared, submittals for engineering review should include both sets of plans to minimize review time and re-submittal fees.

Final Recording

All short plat applications must complete the requirements of final recording. Simplified projects may require additional note(s) be placed on the recorded documents that reference the approved Simplified drainage plan for future lot construction.

Note: Future building permit applications that do not comply with the conditions of the approved Simplified drainage plan (e.g., impervious coverage limits, location of BMPs, etc.) may be subject to Full Drainage Review.

C.5.1.3 CHANGES FROM THE ORIGINAL APPROVED PLAN

Approval of Simplified drainage plans is based on a specific proposed project. Changes from the original approved Simplified drainage plan (e.g., additional impervious surface or clearing, right-of-way improvements) may require additional review by DLS-Permitting engineering staff, or they may initiate Full Drainage Review, where a ***civil engineer*** must analyze the project and submit engineering plans. This will add additional review time and expense to the review process.

Changes proposed prior to permit issuance must be submitted through the standard revision process for the type of application.

Changes proposed after permit issuance (during construction) must be submitted through the DLS-Permitting site inspector. Proposed changes shall not be implemented prior to DLS-Permitting engineering approval. Changes performed without engineering approval may be subject to Stop Work notices and accompanying fees and reviews.

C.5.2 DECLARATION OF COVENANT

The following covenant form or an equivalent form may be used to achieve the objectives specified in Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 (p. C-23). In either case, the completed form must be reviewed and approved by DLS-Permitting prior to recording. If a different form is used, DLS-Permitting may require review and approval of the alternative form by DNRP. When preparing any form that grants an interest in real property, applicants are encouraged to seek legal advice from a professional qualified in real estate matters.

(This page intentionally left blank)

RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND
WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

_____

_____

_____

_____

DECLARATION OF COVENANT

FOR MAINTENANCE AND INSPECTION OF FLOW CONTROL BMPS

Grantor: _____

Grantee: King County

Legal Description: _____

_____

_____

Additional Legal(s) on: _____

Assessor's Tax Parcel ID#: _____

IN CONSIDERATION of the approved King County (check one of the following) ❑ residential building permit, ❑ commercial building permit, ❑ clearing and grading permit, ❑ subdivision permit, or ❑ short subdivision permit for Application No. _____ relating to the real property ("Property") described above, the Grantor(s), the owner(s) in fee of that Property, hereby covenants(covenant) with King County, a political subdivision of the state of Washington, and its municipal successors in interest and assigns ("King County" and "the County", or "its municipal successor"), that he/she(they) will observe, consent to, and abide by the conditions and obligations set forth and described in Paragraphs 1 through 8 below with regard to the Property. Grantor(s) hereby grants(grant), covenants(covenant), and agrees(agree) as follows:

1. Grantor(s) or his/her(their) successors in interest and assigns ("Owners") shall retain, uphold, and protect the stormwater management devices, features, pathways, limits, and restrictions, known as flow control best management practices ("BMPs"), shown on the approved Flow Control BMP Site Plan for the Property attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A.

2. The Owners shall at their own cost, operate, maintain, and keep in good repair, the Property's BMPs as described in the approved Design and Maintenance Details for each BMP attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit B.

3. King County shall provide at least 30 days written notice to the Owners that entry on the Property is planned for the inspection of the BMPs. After the 30 days, the Owners shall allow King County to enter for the sole purpose of inspecting the BMPs. In lieu of inspection by the County, the Owners may elect to engage a licensed civil engineer registered in the state of Washington who has expertise in drainage to inspect the BMPs and provide a written report describing their condition. If the engineer option is chosen, the Owners shall provide written notice to the Director of the Water and Land Resources Division or its municipal successor in interest ("WLR") within fifteen days of receiving the County's notice of inspection. Within 30 days of giving this notice, the Owners, or the engineer on behalf of the Owners, shall provide the engineer's report to WLR. If the report is not provided in a timely manner as specified above, the County may inspect the BMPs without further notice.

4. If King County determines from its inspection, or from an engineer's report provided in accordance with Paragraph 3, that maintenance, repair, restoration, and/or mitigation work is required for the BMPs, WLR shall notify the Owners of the specific maintenance, repair, restoration, and/or mitigation work (Work) required under Title 9 of the King County Code ("KCC"). WLR shall also set a reasonable deadline for completing the Work or providing an engineer's report that verifies completion of the Work. After the deadline has passed, the Owners shall allow the County access to re-inspect the BMPs unless an engineer's report has been provided verifying completion of the Work. If the work is not completed properly within the time frame set by WLR, King County may initiate an enforcement action. Failure to

properly maintain the BMPs is a violation of KCC Chapter 9.04 and may subject the Owners to enforcement under the KCC, including fines and penalties.

5. Apart from performing routine landscape maintenance, the Owners are hereby required to obtain written approval from WLR before performing any alterations or modifications to the BMPs.

6. Any notice or approval required to be given by one party to the other under the provisions of this Declaration of Covenant shall be effective upon personal delivery to the other party, or after three (3) days from the date that the notice or approval is mailed with delivery confirmation to the current address on record with each Party. The parties shall notify each other of any change to their addresses.

7. This Declaration of Covenant is intended to promote the efficient and effective management of surface water drainage on the Property, and it shall inure to the benefit of all the citizens of King County and its municipal successors and assigns. This Declaration of Covenant shall run with the land and be binding upon Grantor(s), and Grantor's(s') successors in interest and assigns.

8. This Declaration of Covenant may be terminated by execution of a written agreement by the Owners and King County that is recorded by King County in its real property records.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Declaration of Covenant for the Maintenance and Inspection of Flow Control BMPs is executed this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____
GRANTOR, owner of the Property

_____
GRANTOR, owner of the Property

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
COUNTY OF KING)ss.

On this day personally appeared before me:

_____, to me known to be the individual(s) described in and who executed the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged that they signed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed, for the uses and purposes therein stated.

Given under my hand and official seal this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____

_____
Printed name
Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at

_____

My appointment expires _____

APPENDIX D

CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION (CSWPP) STANDARDS

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL (ESC) STANDARDS

STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION AND SPILL CONTROL (SWPPS) STANDARDS

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

King County
Department of Natural Resources and Parks

July 23, 2021
Amended 2024

APPENDIX D

CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION STANDARDS

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

SECTION D.1	**PRINCIPLES OF CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION (CSWPP)**	D-5
Section D.1.1	Erosion and Sediment Control Principles	D-5
Section D.1.2	Stormwater Pollution Prevention and Spill Control Principles	**D-7**
SECTION D.2	**GENERAL CSWPP REQUIREMENTS**	D-9
Section D.2.1	**ESC Measures**	D-10
Section D.2.1.1	Clearing Limits	D-11
Section D.2.1.2	Cover Measures	D-12
Section D.2.1.3	Perimeter Protection	D-33
Section D.2.1.4	Traffic Area Stabilization	D-41
Section D.2.1.5	Sediment Retention	D-47
Section D.2.1.6	Surface Water Collection	D-59
Section D.2.1.7	Dewatering Control	D-68
Section D.2.1.8	Dust Control	D-69
Section D.2.1.9	Flow Control	D-71
Section D.2.1.10	Protect Existing and Proposed Flow Control BMPs	D-71
Section D.2.1.11	Maintain Protective BMPs	D-72
Section D.2.1.12	Manage the Project	D-72
Section D.2.2	**SWPPS Measures**	D-74
Section D.2.2.1	Concrete Handling	D-75
Section D.2.2.2	Concrete Washout Area	D-76
Section D.2.2.3	Sawcutting and Surfacing Pollution Prevention	D-81
Section D.2.2.4	Material Delivery, Storage and Containment	D-82
Section D.2.2.5	Construction Stormwater Chemical Treatment	D-84
Section D.2.2.6	Construction Stormwater Filtration	D-90
Section D.2.2.7	High pH Neutralization Using CO2	D-94
Section D.2.2.8	pH Control for High pH Water	D-97
Section D.2.2.9	Use of High pH Soil Amendments on Construction Sites	D-98
Section D.2.2.10	Maintain Protective BMPs	D-107
Section D.2.2.11	Manage the Project	D-108
Section D.2.3	**CSWPP Performance and Compliance Provisions**	D-110
Section D.2.3.1	CSWPP Supervisor	D-110
Section D.2.3.2	Monitoring of Discharges	D-111

Section D.2.3.3	ESC Performance	D-111
Section D.2.3.4	SWPPS Performance	D-112
Section D.2.3.5	Flexible Compliance	D-112
Section D.2.3.6	Roads and Utilities Compliance	D-112
Section D.2.3.7	Alternative and Experimental Measures	D-113
Section D.2.4	**CSWPP Implementation Requirements**	D-114
Section D.2.4.1	CSWPP Plan	D-114
Section D.2.4.2	Wet Season Requirements	D-115
Section D.2.4.3	Critical Areas Restrictions	D-115
Section D.2.4.4	Maintenance Requirements	D-116
Section D.2.4.5	Final Stabilization	D-117
Section D.2.4.6	NPDES Requirements	D-117
Section D.2.4.7	Forest Practice Permit Requirements	D-118
Section D.2.5	**Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans**	D-119
Section D.2.5.1	ESC Plan	D-119
Section D.2.5.2	SWPPS Plan	D-122
SECTION D.3	**SMALL SITE CSWPP**	D-127
Section D.3.1	Introduction to Small Site CSWPP	D-127
Section D.3.2	Small Site CSWPP Requirements	D-128
Section D.3.3	Small Site CSWPP Implementation Requirements	D-130
Section D.3.4	**ESC Measures Modified for Small Sites**	D-131
Section D.3.4.1	Mark Clearing Limits / Minimize Clearing	D-131
Section D.3.4.2	Ditches	D-131
Section D.3.4.3	Pipe Slope Drain	D-132
Section D.3.5	Control of Other Pollutants (SWPPS)	D-133
Section D.3.6	Small Site CSWPP Submittal Requirements	D-133
Section D.3.6.1	Small Site CSWPP Plan Map	D-135
Section D.3.6.2	Features Required on Small Site CSWPP Plans	D-135
Section D.3.6.3	Sample Small Site CSWPP Plan	D-136
SECTION D.4	**REFERENCE SECTION**	D-139
Section D.4.1	Standard ESC and SWPPS Plan Notes	D-139
Section D.4.2	Recommended Construction Sequence	D-141
Section D.4.3	ESC and SWPPS Maintenance Reports	D-141

APPENDIX D

CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL (ESC)

STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION AND SPILL CONTROL (SWPPS)

Construction sites have a potential to pollute stormwater by sediment erosion and by ineffective management of onsite construction-related activities and materials storage. The Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention (CSWPP) Plan is comprised of the Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Plan to address erosion and sediment control requirements, and the Stormwater Pollution Prevention and Spill Control (SWPPS) Plan to aid effective management of onsite activities and materials.

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL (ESC)

The purpose of erosion and sediment control (ESC) is to prevent to the maximum extent practicable,[1] the transport of sediment to streams, wetlands, lakes, drainage systems, and adjacent properties during and following construction of a proposed project or other *land disturbing activity*.[2] In many circumstances it is difficult to completely prevent the transport of sediment to these features, either because of the difficulty in removing silt and clay-sized particles from runoff or because of large, infrequent storms that overwhelm the ESC facilities. It is the responsibility of those involved in the design and construction of any project to utilize a variety of strategies to minimize erosion and the transport of sediment to the maximum extent practicable. These strategies shall include overall project planning that reduces the risk of erosion through appropriate design and scheduling (see Section D.1) and traditional structural and cover measures, such as those described in Section D.2.1.

Erosion and sediment control is necessary because erosion rates associated with uncontrolled construction sites are much higher than normal rates—often a thousand or more times that of undeveloped land. The erosion rates increase during construction due to the removal of soil cover, alteration of soil characteristics, and changes in site topography. These vastly accelerated erosion rates, together with the higher rates typical of urbanized areas, result in excessive deposition of sediment in water resources and drainage facilities. This excessive erosion and consequent sediment deposition can result in devastating impacts to surface waters such as smothering of salmonid spawning beds, algal blooms in lakes, and flooding due to obstruction of drainage ways.

---

[1] *Maximum extent practicable* means the use of best management practices that are available and capable of being designed, constructed and implemented in a reliable and effective manner including, but not limited to, consideration of site conditions and cost.

[2] *Land disturbing activity* means any activity that results in a change in the existing soil cover (both vegetative and non-vegetative and/or the existing soil topography. Land disturbing activities include, but are not limited to demolition, construction, clearing, grading, filling, excavation, and compaction. Land disturbing activity does not include tilling conducted as part of agricultural practices, landscape maintenance, or gardening.

Applying erosion and sediment controls to construction sites can greatly reduce the delivery of sediment to surface waters. The chart on the next page shows how controls can significantly reduce the concentration of sediment leaving the project site.[3] Even with good controls, the concentration of sediment leaving the site will still be significantly higher than either undeveloped or developed conditions and this may result in significant adverse impacts; however, the likelihood of such impacts are dramatically less than if no controls are used.

STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION AND SPILL CONTROL (SWPPS)

The purpose of stormwater pollution prevention and spill control is to prevent, reduce, or eliminate the discharge of pollutants to onsite or adjacent stormwater systems or watercourses from construction-related activities such as materials delivery and storage, onsite equipment fueling and maintenance, demolition of existing buildings and disposition of demolition materials and other waste, and concrete handling, washout and disposal.

Construction activities usually necessitate the onsite storage of earth-moving vehicles and provision for parking for contractors and employees. Parking and typical fueling and maintenance can result in fuel spills and dripping vehicular fluids. Construction practices often involve the use and storage of materials such as soil treatments, flocculant chemicals, toxic solvents and building materials, and caustic concrete materials that could cause negative impacts if allowed to leak, drip or otherwise escape into surface and ground waters or become airborne. Concrete delivery, preparation, handling and disposal occur on most construction sites. The resultant high pH concrete wastewater from placement and washout operations can be toxic to aquatic resources and groundwater if left uncaptured or untreated or improperly disposed,

It is the responsibility of those involved in the design and construction of any project to utilize a variety of strategies to prevent pollutants from entering stormwater runoff. These strategies shall include overall project planning that reduces the risk of pollution through appropriate site management and project scheduling (see Section D.1.1) and structural, cover, containment and handling measures, such as those described in Section D.2.2.

Compliance with Surface Water Quality Requirements KCC 9.12

The King County *Surface Water Design Manual*, (including this Appendix D, *Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Standards*) and the King County *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual (SPPM)* collectively address the surface water quality requirements of King County Code (KCC) 9.12. While the SWDM addresses impacts from construction, the SPPM spans construction and post-construction time frames. For full compliance with KCC 9.12, the applicant must address the requirements of the SPPM, which may include permanent structural elements requiring separate permit review.

[3] *Project site* means that portion of a site and any offsite areas subject to proposed project activities, alterations, and improvements. *Site* means a single parcel, or two or more contiguous parcels that are under common ownership or documented legal control, used as a single parcel for purposes of applying for authority from King County to carry out a development/project proposal. For projects located primarily within dedicated rights-of-way, site includes the entire width of right-of-way within the total length of right-of-way subject to improvements proposed by the project.

Organization of Appendix D

Appendix D is organized as follows:

- Section D.1, "Principles of Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention (CSWPP)" (p. D-5)
- Section D.2, "General CSWPP Requirements" (p. D-9)
- Section D.3, "Small Site CSWPP" (p. D-127)
- Section D.4, "Reference Section" (p. D-139)

MEDIAN STORM SEDIMENT CONCENTRATIONS
(METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS, 1990)



(This page intentionally left blank)

D.1 PRINCIPLES OF CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION (CSWPP)

D.1.1 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PRINCIPLES

This section provides basic information on the principles of erosion and sediment control that shall be applied to all projects in King County. This section is intended to highlight certain principles that are particularly critical to achieving effective control and that are the basis for the erosion and sediment control requirements of the *Surface Water Design Manual's* Core Requirement #5: Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention. Projects that are consistent with these principles will generally meet the intent of the ESC aspects of Core Requirement #5 and this appendix, even if the details of the project are not entirely consistent with County standards. If a more complete treatment of ESC is needed, there are a number of useful references available (for example, *Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook,* Goldman et al., 1986). Additionally, information on permanent erosion control in natural channels is available in the *Guidelines for Bank Stabilization Projects* (King County, 1993).

- **Design the project to fit the natural topography, soils, and drainage patterns.** Through such practices as limiting disturbance of steeper slopes, avoiding disturbance of natural drainage ways, or using soils with a high infiltration rate to treat polluted runoff, the characteristics of the site can be used to minimize erosion and sediment transport.

- **Emphasize erosion control rather than sediment control.** Erosion control minimizes the entrainment of sediment by runoff or in the air due to wind, while sediment control removes entrained sediment from runoff. Erosion control is more efficient and cost-effective because it is nearly impossible to entirely remove sediment from runoff once it is entrained. Examples of erosion control include covering disturbed soils and controlling surface runoff using measures such as dikes and lined ditches. One illustration of the relative effectiveness of erosion control is straw mulch, which can reduce sediment concentrations in runoff over 90%.

  Since it is nearly impossible to entirely prevent erosion, it will also be necessary to incorporate sediment control facilities such as sedimentation ponds and silt fences. Sediment controls vary in their effectiveness, but typically reduce sediment concentrations 50 to 75%. However, sediment controls have little effect on the very fine sediment that causes turbidity, whereas cover measures, such as straw mulch, can be highly effective in reducing turbidity.

- **Minimize the extent and duration of area exposed.** Restricting clearing to only those areas necessary for construction is probably the single most effective form of erosion control. Additionally, exposing areas only as long as necessary reduces the risk of erosion substantially. This can be accomplished by planning the project so that areas are disturbed only when construction is imminent, and by mulching or seeding disturbed areas as soon as grading is completed.

- **Keep runoff velocities low.** While erosion of exposed soil begins with a single raindrop or the wind, the largest volumes of eroded materials are typically associated with concentrated runoff forming rills and gullies. One of the best ways to minimize erosion, therefore, is to reduce the possibility of concentrated runoff by intercepting runoff and conveying it in a non-erosive manner to a sediment pond or trap. This can include the use of dikes, swales, and benches to intercept runoff on slopes and ditches or drains to convey the intercepted runoff.

- **Retain sediment on site.** Sediment retention is less effective than erosion control measures, such as cover, but it is nevertheless a vital part of most projects because it is impossible to completely prevent erosion and the entrainment of sediment by runoff. Sediment can be retained by allowing it to settle out in ponds and traps or by filtering runoff from small areas through vegetation or use of a silt fence. Note that settling and filtration typically only remove sand-sized and coarse silt particles. Fine silts

and clays cannot be removed in these ways unless the runoff is released to vegetated areas, or unless chemical treatment (e.g., with alum or chitosan introduction) or electroflocculation are used.

- **Protect all existing and proposed Low Impact Development (LID) flow control BMPs from sedimentation and compaction during construction.** Flow control BMPs rely on infiltration into the native soils onsite. Sedimentation can clog and compaction can reduce the void space in the soil, lessening the soil's ability to infiltrate. Effective ESC measures can protect existing LID systems from sedimentation and intrusion by construction activities, while assuring the areas planned for new flow control BMPs retain their infiltrative capacity
- **Thoroughly monitor the site and maintain all ESC measures.** Maintenance and vigilance are the most vital components of effective ESC management. All measures require regular maintenance, monitoring and inspection. The overall site also needs to be constantly examined to ensure that all areas are protected, that the measures are working together to provide maximum protection, and that all areas are mulched and/or vegetated as soon as possible.
- **Schedule major earthwork during the dry season.** The climate in the Puget Sound region is unique in that there are generally well-defined wet and dry seasons (see Figure D.1.1.A) and the *wet season*[4] is characterized by a large number of low-intensity, but frequent and long-lasting, storms. As a result, construction in the dry season[5] is a very effective form of erosion control. If construction does occur in the wet season, the need for regular maintenance is even more imperative.

FIGURE D.1.1.A YEARLY RAINFALL PATTERN



[4] *Wet season* means October 1st to April 30th.

[5] *Dry season* means May 1st to September 30th.

D.1.2 STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION AND SPILL CONTROL PRINCIPLES

This section provides basic information on the principles of stormwater pollution prevention and control that shall be applied to all projects in King County. This section is intended to highlight certain principles that commonly apply to construction sites and are particularly critical to achieving effective control. These principles are the basis for the stormwater pollution prevention and spill control requirements of the *Surface Water Design Manual's* Core Requirement #5: Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention. Projects that are consistent with these principles will generally meet the intent of the SWPPS aspects of Core Requirement #5 and this appendix, even if the details of the project are not entirely consistent with County standards. Additional information and BMP options other than those listed below can be found in the King County *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual (SPPM)* or Ecology's *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington (SWMMWW).*

- **Follow effective pollutant handling and disposal procedures.** Conduct handling and disposal of all pollutants that occur onsite, including waste materials, in a manner that does not cause contamination of stormwater. Ensure employees are following handling and waste protocols.
- **Provide cover and containment for materials, fuel and other pollutants.** Cover, contain and protect from vandalism all chemicals, liquid products, petroleum products, and non-inert wastes present on the site (see Chapter 173-304 WAC for the definition of inert waste). Provide secondary containment for onsite fueling tanks and as required for other materials.
- **Manage the project site to maximize pollutant control and minimize pollutant sources.** Limit onsite parking for construction equipment and contractor vehicles to a designated and controllable area. Provide drip pans for fueling operations and disallow onsite parking for leaky vehicles. Schedule construction and maintenance operations to avoid exposing pollutant sources to inclement weather. Anticipate and prepare traffic routes through the site and limit traffic to those locations.
- **Protect from spills and drips of petroleum products and other pollutants**. Limit maintenance and repair of heavy equipment and vehicles to minor maintenance and fueling as much as possible. If conducting maintenance or repair involving oil changes, hydraulic system drain down, solvent and de-greasing cleaning operations, fuel tank drain down and removal, and other activities that may result in discharge or spillage of pollutants to the ground or into stormwater runoff , provide spill prevention measures, such as drip pans and temporary plastic sheet placed beneath the vehicle. Clean contaminated surfaces immediately following any discharge or spill incident.
- **Avoid overapplication or untimely application of chemicals and fertilizers.** Apply agricultural chemicals, including fertilizers and pesticides, in a manner and at application rates that will not result in loss of chemical to stormwater runoff. Plan ahead to avoid application prior to or during inclement weather.
- **Prevent or treat contamination of stormwater runoff by pH modifying sources.** These sources include, but are not limited to, bulk cement, cement kiln dust, fly ash, new concrete washing and curing waters, waste streams generated from concrete grinding and sawing, exposed aggregate processes, and concrete pumping and mixer washout waters. Stormwater discharges shall not cause or contribute to a violation of the water quality standard for pH in the receiving water.

(This page intentionally left blank)

D.2 GENERAL CSWPP REQUIREMENTS

To satisfy the King County requirements for CSWPP, the following steps are required of all construction projects:

1. **Design the plan:** In accordance with Sections 2.3.1 and 2.3.3 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual* (*SWDM*), prepare and submit a technical information report (TIR) and a CSWPP plan (comprised of the ESC plan and the SWPPS plan) for King County review. Utilize the standards and details for ESC (Section D.2.1) and SWPPS control (Section D.2.2) of this appendix. Incorporate any King County review comments as necessary to comply with Core Requirement #5, Section 1.2.5 of the *SWDM,* the Erosion and Sediment Control and Stormwater Pollution Prevention and Spill Control Standards in this appendix.
2. **Construct the approved plan:** Construct initial ESC, SWPPS and flow control BMP protection measures on site according to the approved CSWPP plan.
3. **Maintain the BMPs:** Inspect and maintain all CSWPP measures and flow control BMP protection throughout construction in accordance with the inspection and maintenance standards of Section D.2.4.4 (p. D-116). Keep current any required documentation and reporting.
4. **Manage the project:** Make any changes or additions necessary during construction to ensure that CSWPP measures and flow control BMP protection perform in accordance with Core Requirement #5 and Sections D.2.1, D.2.2 and D.2.4. Coordinate construction in consideration of the applied BMP strategies. Assure pollutant controls, facility processes and reporting requirements are met in accordance with Section D.2.3. The CSWPP supervisor is the primary point of contact for all ESC and SWPPP issues (see Section D.2.3.1).
5. **Conclude the plan:** Prior to final construction approval, meet all the conditions in Section D.2.4.5 (p. D-117) for final stabilization.

Proposed projects subject to Simplified Drainage Review as determined in SWDM Section 1.1.2.1 may satisfy King County CSWPP requirements by meeting the Small Site CSWPP requirements specified in Section D.3 (p. D-127) and reiterated in Appendix C of the *Surface Water Design Manual* titled, "Simplified Drainage Requirements."

D.2.1 ESC MEASURES

This section details the ESC measures that are required to minimize erosion and sediment transport off a construction site and protect areas of existing and proposed flow control BMPs. These ESC measures represent Best Management Practices (BMPs)[6] for the control of erosion and entrained sediment as well as other impacts related to construction such as increased runoff due to land disturbing activities. The measures and practices are grouped into nine sections corresponding to each of the nine categories of ESC measures in Core Requirement #5, Section 1.2.5 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The introductory paragraphs at the beginning each section present the basic requirement for that category of measures, the purpose of those measures, installation requirements relative to construction activity, guidelines for the conditions of use, and other information relevant to all measures in the section/category. Compliance with each of the nine categories of the ESC measures, to the extent applicable and necessary to meet the performance criteria in Section D.2.1, and compliance with the ESC implementation requirements in Section D.2.4, constitutes overall compliance with King County's ESC Standards.

Note: Additional measures shall be required by the County if the existing standards are insufficient to protect adjacent properties, drainage facilities, or water resources.

The standards for each individual ESC measure are divided into four sections:

1. Purpose
2. Conditions of Use
3. Design and Installation Specifications
4. Maintenance Requirements.

A code and symbol for each measure have also been included for ease of use on ESC plans. Note that the "Conditions of Use" always refers to site conditions. As site conditions change, ESC measures must be changed to remain in compliance with the requirements of this appendix.

Whenever compliance with King County ESC Standards is required, all of the following categories of ESC measures must be considered for application to the project site as detailed in the following sections:

1. **Clearing Limits:** Prior to any site clearing or grading, areas to remain undisturbed during project construction shall be delineated on the project's ESC plan and physically marked on the project site.
2. **Cover Measures:** Temporary and permanent cover measures shall be provided when necessary to protect disturbed areas. The intent of these measures is to prevent erosion by having as much area as possible covered during any period of precipitation.
3. **Perimeter Protection:** Perimeter protection to filter sediment from sheet flow shall be provided downstream of **all** disturbed areas prior to upslope grading.
4. **Traffic Area Stabilization:** Unsurfaced entrances, roads, and parking areas used by construction traffic shall be stabilized to minimize erosion and tracking of sediment offsite.
5. **Sediment Retention:** Surface water collected from all disturbed areas of the site shall be routed through a sediment pond or trap prior to release from the site, except those areas at the perimeter of the site small enough to be treated solely with perimeter protection. Sediment retention facilities shall be installed prior to grading any contributing area.
6. **Surface Water Collection:** Surface water collection measures (e.g., ditches, berms, etc.) shall be installed to intercept all surface water from disturbed areas, convey it to a sediment pond or trap, and discharge it downstream of any disturbed areas. Areas at the perimeter of the site, which are small enough to be treated solely with perimeter protection, do not require surface water collection.

[6] *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* means the best available and reasonable physical, structural, managerial, or behavioral activities, that when singly or in combination, eliminate or reduce the contamination of surface and/or ground waters.

Significant sources of upstream surface water that drain onto disturbed areas shall be intercepted and conveyed to a stabilized discharge point downstream of the disturbed areas. Surface water collection measures shall be installed concurrently with or immediately following rough grading and shall be designed, constructed, and stabilized as needed to minimize erosion.

7. **Dewatering Control:** The water resulting from construction site de-watering activities must be treated prior to discharge or disposed of as specified.
8. **Dust Control:** Preventative measures to minimize wind transport of soil shall be implemented when a traffic hazard may be created or when sediment transported by wind is likely to be deposited in water resources.
9. **Flow Control:** Surface water from disturbed areas must be routed through the project's onsite flow control facility or other provisions must be made to prevent increases in the existing site conditions 2-year and 10-year runoff peaks discharging from the project site during construction (flow control BMP areas (existing or proposed) shall not be used for this purpose).
10. **Control Pollutants:** Stormwater pollution prevention (SWPPS) measures are required to prevent, reduce, or eliminate the discharge of pollutants to onsite or adjacent stormwater systems or watercourses from construction-related activities such as materials delivery and storage, onsite equipment fueling and maintenance, demolition of existing buildings and disposition of demolition materials and other waste, and concrete handling, washout and disposal. Section D.2.2 describes BMPs specific to this purpose; additionally, several of the ESC BMPs described herein are applicable.
11. **Protect Existing and Proposed Flow Control BMPs:** Sedimentation and soil compaction reduce the infiltration capacity of native and engineered soils. Protection measures shall be applied/installed and maintained so as to prevent adverse impacts to existing flow control BMPs and areas of proposed flow control BMPs for the project. Adverse impacts can prompt the requirement to restore or replace affected BMPs.
12. **Maintain BMPs:** Protection measures shall be maintained to assure continued performance of their intended function, to prevent adverse impacts to existing flow control BMPs and areas of proposed flow control BMPs, and protect other disturbed areas of the project.
13. **Manage the Project:** Coordination and timing of site development activities relative to ESC concerns, and timely inspection, maintenance and update of protective measures are necessary to effectively manage the project and assure the success of protective ESC and SWPPS design and implementation.

D.2.1.1 CLEARING LIMITS

Prior to any site clearing or grading, those areas that are to remain undisturbed during project construction shall be delineated. At a minimum, clearing limits shall be installed at the edges of all critical area buffers and any other areas required to be left uncleared such as portions of the site subject to clearing limits under KCC 16.82.150, areas around significant trees identified to be retained, flow control BMP areas to be protected, and other areas identified to be left undisturbed to protect sensitive features.

Purpose: The purpose of clearing limits is to prevent disturbance of those areas of the project site that are not designated for clearing or grading. This is important because limiting site disturbance is the single most effective method for reducing erosion. Clearing limits may also be used to control construction traffic, thus reducing the disturbance of soil and limiting the amount of sediment tracked off site.

When to Install: Clearing limits shall be installed prior to the clearing and/or grading of the site.

Measures to Use: Marking clearing limits by delineating the site with a continuous length of brightly colored survey tape is sometimes sufficient. The tape may be supported by vegetation or stakes, and it shall be 3 to 6 feet high and highly visible. Critical areas and their buffers require more substantial protection and shall be delineated with plastic or metal safety fences or stake and wire fences. Fencing may be required at the County's discretion to control construction traffic or at any location where greater

protection is warranted. Permanent fencing may also be used if desired by the applicant. Silt fence, in combination with survey flagging, is also an acceptable method of marking critical areas and their buffers.

D.2.1.1.1 PLASTIC OR METAL FENCE

Code: FE Symbol:

Purpose

Fencing is intended to (1) restrict clearing to approved limits; (2) prevent disturbance of critical areas, their buffers, and other areas required to be left undisturbed; (3) limit construction traffic to designated construction entrances or roads; and (4) protect areas where marking with survey tape may not provide adequate protection.

Conditions of Use

To establish clearing limits, plastic or metal fence may be used:

1. At the boundary of critical areas, their buffers, and other areas required to be left uncleared.
2. As necessary to control vehicle access to and on the site (see Sections D.2.1.4.1 and D.2.1.4.2).

Design and Installation Specifications

1. The fence shall be designed and installed according to the manufacturer's specifications.
2. The fence shall be at least 3 feet high and must be highly visible.
3. The fence shall not be wired or stapled to trees.

Maintenance Requirements

1. If the fence has been damaged or visibility reduced, it shall be repaired or replaced immediately and visibility restored.
2. Disturbance of a critical area, critical area buffer, native growth retention area, or any other area required to be left undisturbed shall be reported to the County for resolution.

D.2.1.2 COVER MEASURES

Temporary and permanent cover measures shall be provided to protect all disturbed areas, including the faces of cut and fill slopes. Temporary cover shall be installed if an area is to remain unworked for more than seven days during the dry season (May 1 to September 30) or for more than two consecutive working days during the wet season (October 1 to April 30). These time limits may be relaxed if an area poses a low risk of erosion due to soil type, slope gradient, anticipated weather conditions, or other factors. Conversely, the County may reduce these time limits if site conditions warrant greater protection (e.g., adjacent to significant aquatic resources or highly erosive soils) or if significant precipitation (see Section D.2.4.2) is expected. Any area to remain unworked for more than 30 days shall be seeded or sodded, unless the County determines that winter weather makes vegetation establishment infeasible. During the wet season, slopes and stockpiles at 3H:1V or steeper and with more than ten feet of vertical relief shall be covered if they are to remain unworked for more than 12 hours. Also during the wet season, the material necessary to cover all disturbed areas must be stockpiled on site. The intent of these cover requirements is to have as much area as possible covered during any period of precipitation.

Purpose: The purpose of covering exposed soils is to prevent erosion, thus reducing reliance on less effective methods that remove sediment after it is entrained in runoff. Cover is the only practical method of reducing turbidity in runoff. Structural measures, such as silt fences and sediment ponds, are only capable of removing coarse particles and in most circumstances have little to no effect on turbidity.

When to Install: Any exposed soils that will remain unworked for more than the time limit set above shall be covered by the end of the working day. If the exposed area is to remain unworked for more than 30 days, the area shall be seeded with the temporary seed mix or an equivalent mix that will provide rapid protection (see Section D.2.1.2.6). If the disturbed area is to remain unworked for a year or more or if the area has reached final grade, permanent seed mix or an equivalent mix shall be applied.

Measures to Use: Cover methods include the use of surface roughening, mulch, erosion control nets and blankets, plastic covering, seeding, and sodding. Mulch and plastic sheeting are primarily intended to protect disturbed areas for a short period of time, typically days to a few months. Seeding and sodding are measures for areas that are to remain unworked for months. Erosion nets and blankets are to be used in conjunction with seeding steep slopes. The choice of measures is left to the designer; however, there are restrictions on the use of these methods, which are listed in the "Conditions of Use" and the "Design and Installation Specifications" sections for each measure.

The methods listed are by no means exhaustive. Variations on the standards presented here are encouraged if other cost-effective products or methods provide substantially equivalent or superior performance. Also, the details of installation can, and should, vary with the site conditions. A useful reference on the application of cover measures in the Puget Sound area is *Improving the Cost Effectiveness of Highway Construction Site Erosion and Pollution Control,* Horner, Guedry, and Kortenhof (1990).

D.2.1.2.1 SURFACE ROUGHENING

Purpose

The purpose of surface roughening is to aid in the establishment of vegetative cover and to reduce runoff velocity, increase infiltration, and provide for sediment trapping through the provision of a rough soil surface. The rough soil surface may be created by operating a tiller or other equipment on the contour to form horizontal depressions or by leaving slopes in a roughened condition by not fine grading.

Conditions of Use

1. All slopes steeper than 3H:1V and greater than 5 vertical feet require surface roughening.
2. Areas with grades steeper than 3H:1V should be roughened to a depth of 2 to 4 inches prior to seeding.
3. Areas that will not be stabilized immediately may be roughened to reduce runoff velocity until seeding takes place.
4. Slopes with a stable rock face do not require roughening.
5. Slopes where mowing is planned should not be excessively roughened.

Design and Installation Specifications

There are different methods for achieving a roughened soil surface on a slope, and the selection of an appropriate method depends upon the type of slope. Roughening methods include stair-step grading, grooving, contour furrows, and tracking. See Figure D.2.1.2.A for information on tracking and contour furrows. Factors to be considered in choosing a method are slope steepness, mowing requirements, and whether the slope is formed by cutting or filling. Sole reliance on roughening for temporary erosion control is of limited effectiveness in intense rainfall events. Stair-step grading may not be practical for sandy, steep, or shallow soils.

1. Disturbed areas that will not require mowing may be stair-step graded, grooved, or left rough after filling
2. Stair Step grading is particularly appropriate in soils containing large amounts of soft rock. Each "step" catches material that sloughs from above, and provides a level site where vegetation can

become established. Stairs should be wide enough to work with standard earth moving equipment. Stair steps must be on contour or gullies will form on the slope.

3. Areas that will be mowed (slopes less steep than 3H:1V) may have small furrows left by disking, harrowing, raking, or seed-planting machinery operated on the contour.
4. Graded areas with slopes greater than 3H:1V but less than 2H:1V should be roughened before seeding. This can be accomplished in a variety of ways, including "track walking" or driving a crawler tractor up and down the slope, leaving a pattern of cleat imprints parallel to slope contours.
5. Tracking is done by operating equipment up and down the slope to leave horizontal depressions in the soil.

Maintenance Standards

Periodically check roughened, seeded, planted, and mulched slopes for rills and gullies, particularly after a significant storm event. Fill these areas slightly above the original grade, then re-seed and mulch as soon as possible.

FIGURE D.2.1.2.A SURFACE ROUGHENING





SURFACE ROUGHENING BY TRACKING AND CONTOUR FURROWS
NTS

D.2.1.2.2 MULCHING

Code: MU Symbol:

Purpose

The purpose of mulching soils is to provide immediate temporary protection from erosion. Mulch also enhances plant establishment by conserving moisture, holding fertilizer, seed, and topsoil in place, and moderating soil temperatures. There is an enormous variety of mulches that may be used. Only the most common types are discussed in this section.

Conditions of Use

As a temporary cover measure, mulch should be used:

1. On disturbed areas that require cover measures for less than 30 days
2. As a cover for seed during the wet season and during the hot summer months
3. During the wet season on slopes steeper than 3H:1V with more than 10 feet of vertical relief.

Design and Installation Specifications

For mulch materials, application rates, and specifications, see Table D.2.1.2.A. *Note: Thicknesses may be increased for disturbed areas in or near critical areas or other areas highly susceptible to erosion.*

Maintenance Standards

1. The thickness of the cover must be maintained.
2. Any areas that experience erosion shall be remulched and/or protected with a net or blanket. If the erosion problem is drainage related, then the drainage problem shall be assessed and alternate drainage such as interceptor swales may be needed to fix the problem and the eroded area remulched.

TABLE D.2.1.2.A MULCH STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES

Mulch Material	Quality Standards	Application Rates	Remarks
Straw	Air-dried; free from undesirable seed and coarse material	2"-3" thick; 5 bales per 1000 sf or 2-3 tons per acre	Cost-effective protection when applied with adequate thickness. Hand-application generally requires greater thickness than blown straw. Straw should be crimped to avoid wind blow. The thickness of straw may be reduced by half when used in conjunction with seeding.
Wood Fiber Cellulose	No growth inhibiting factors	Approx. 25-30 lbs per 1000 sf or 1500-2000 lbs per acre	Shall be applied with hydromulcher. Shall not be used without seed and tackifier unless the application rate is at least doubled. Some wood fiber with very long fibers can be effective at lower application rates and without seed or tackifier.
Compost	No visible water or dust during handling. Must be purchased from supplier with Solid Waste Handling Permit.	2" thick min.; approx. 100 tons per acre (approx. 1.5 cubic feet per square yard)	More effective control can be obtained by increasing thickness to 3" (2.25 cubic feet per square yard). Excellent mulch for protecting final grades until landscaping because it can be directly seeded or tilled into soil as an amendment. Compost may not be used in Sensitive Lake[7] basins unless analysis of the compost shows no phosphorous release.
Hydraulic Matrices (Bonded Fiber Matrix)	This mulch category includes hydraulic slurries composed of wood fiber, paper fiber or a combination of the two held together by a binding system. The BFM shall be a mixture of long wood fibers and various bonding agents.	Apply at rates from 3,000 lbs per acre to 4,000 lbs per acre and based on manufacturers recommendations	The BFM shall not be applied immediately before, during or immediately after rainfall so that the matrix will have an opportunity to dry for 24 hours after installation. Application rates beyond 2,500 pounds may interfere with germination and are not usually recommended for turf establishment. BFM is generally a matrix where all fiber and binders are in one bag, rather than having to mix components from various manufacturers to create a matrix. BFMs can be installed via helicopter in remote areas. They are approximately $1,000 per acre cheaper to install.
Chipped Site Vegetation	Average size shall be several inches.	2" minimum thickness	This is a cost-effective way to dispose of debris from clearing and grubbing, and it eliminates the problems associated with burning. Generally, it should not be used on slopes above approx. 10% because of its tendency to be transported by runoff. It is not recommended within 200 feet of surface waters. If seeding is expected shortly after mulch, the decomposition of the chipped vegetation may tie up nutrients important to grass establishment.

[7] *Sensitive lake* means a lake that has proved to be particularly prone to eutrophication; the County gives this designation when an active input plan has been adopted to limit the amount of phosphorous entering the lake.

D.2.1.2.3 NETS AND BLANKETS

Code: NE Symbol:

Purpose

Erosion control nets and blankets are intended to prevent erosion and hold seed and mulch in place on steep slopes and in channels so that vegetation can become well established. In addition, some nets and blankets can be used to permanently reinforce turf to protect drainage ways during high flows. Nets are strands of material woven into an open, but high-tensile strength net (for example, jute matting). Blankets are strands of material that are not tightly woven, but instead form a layer of interlocking fibers, typically held together by a biodegradable or photodegradable netting (for example, excelsior or straw blankets). They generally have lower tensile strength than nets, but cover the ground more completely. Coir (coconut fiber) fabric comes as both nets and blankets.

Conditions of Use

Erosion control nets and blankets should be used:

1. For permanent stabilization of slopes 2H:1V or greater and with more than 10 feet of vertical relief.
2. In conjunction with seed for final stabilization of a slope, not for temporary cover. However, they may be used for temporary applications as long as the product is not damaged by repeated handling. In fact, this method of slope protection is superior to plastic sheeting, which generates high-velocity runoff (see Section D.2.1.2.4).
3. For drainage ditches and swales (highly recommended). The application of appropriate netting or blanket to drainage ditches and swales can protect bare soil from channelized runoff while vegetation is established. Nets and blankets also can capture a great deal of sediment due to their open, porous structure. Synthetic nets and blankets may be used to permanently stabilize channels and may provide a cost-effective, environmentally preferable alternative to riprap.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure D.2.1.2.B and Figure D.2.1.2.C for **typical orientation and installation** of nettings and blankets. *Note: Installation is critical to the effectiveness of these products. If good ground contact is not achieved, runoff can concentrate under the product, resulting in significant erosion.*
2. With the variety of products available, it is impossible to cover all the details of appropriate use and installation. Therefore, it is critical that the design engineer thoroughly consults the **manufacturer's information** and that a site visit takes place in order to insure that the product specified is appropriate.
3. Jute matting must be used in conjunction with mulch (Section D.2.1.2.2). Excelsior, woven straw blankets, and coir (coconut fiber) blankets may be installed without mulch. There are many other types of **erosion control nets and blankets** on the market that may be appropriate in certain circumstances. Other types of products will have to be evaluated individually. In general, most nets (e.g., jute matting) require mulch in order to prevent erosion because they have a fairly open structure. Blankets typically do not require mulch because they usually provide complete protection of the surface.
4. Purely synthetic blankets are allowed but shall only be used for long-term stabilization of waterways. The organic blankets authorized above are better for slope protection and short-term waterway protection because they retain moisture and provide organic matter to the soil, substantially improving the speed and success of re-vegetation.

Maintenance Standards

1. Good contact with the ground must be maintained, and there must not be erosion beneath the net or

blanket.

2. Any areas of the net or blanket that are damaged or not in close contact with the ground shall be repaired and stapled.
3. If erosion occurs due to poorly controlled drainage, the problem shall be fixed and the eroded area protected.

FIGURE D.2.1.2.B WATERWAY INSTALLATION

- DO NOT STRETCH BLANKETS/MATTINGS TIGHT - ALLOW THE ROLLS TO MOLD TO ANY IRREGULARITIES.
- SLOPE SURFACE SHALL BE SMOOTH BEFORE PLACEMENT FOR PROPER SOIL CONTACT.
- ANCHOR, STAPLE, AND INSTALL CHECK SLOTS AS PER MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.
- AVOID JOINING MATERIAL IN THE CENTER OF THE DITCH.
- LIME, FERTILIZE AND SEED BEFORE INSTALLATION.



FIGURE D.2.1.2.C SLOPE INSTALLATION



DO NOT STRETCH BLANKETS/MATTINGS TIGHT - ALLOW THE ROLLS TO MOLD TO ANY IRREGULARITIES

FOR SLOPES LESS THAN 3H:1V, ROLLS MAY BE PLACED IN HORIZONTAL STRIPS

LIME, FERTILIZE AND SEED BEFORE INSTALLATION. PLANTING OF SHRUBS, TREES, ETC. SHOULD OCCUR AFTER INSTALLATION

D.2.1.2.4 PLASTIC COVERING

Code: PC Symbol: 

Purpose

Plastic covering provides immediate, short-term erosion protection to slopes and disturbed areas.

Conditions of Use

1. Plastic covering may be used on disturbed areas that require cover measures for less than 30 days.
2. Plastic is particularly useful for protecting cut and fill slopes and stockpiles. *Note: The relatively rapid breakdown of most polyethylene sheeting makes it unsuitable for long-term applications.*
3. Clear plastic sheeting may be used over newly-seeded areas to create a greenhouse effect and encourage grass growth. Clear plastic should not be used for this purpose during the summer months because the resulting high temperatures can kill the grass.
4. Due to rapid runoff caused by plastic sheeting, this method shall not be used upslope of areas that might be adversely impacted by concentrated runoff. Such areas include steep and/or unstable slopes.

Note: There have been many problems with plastic, usually attributable to poor installation and maintenance. However, the material itself can cause problems, even when correctly installed and maintained, because it generates high-velocity runoff and breaks down quickly due to ultraviolet radiation. In addition, if the plastic is not completely removed, it can clog drainage system inlets and outlets. It is highly recommended that alternatives to plastic sheeting be used whenever possible and that its use be limited.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure D.2.1.2.D for details.
2. Plastic sheeting shall have a minimum thickness of 0.06 millimeters.
3. If erosion at the toe of a slope is likely, a gravel berm, riprap, or other suitable protection shall be installed at the toe of the slope in order to reduce the velocity of runoff.

FIGURE D.2.1.2.D PLASTIC COVERING



Maintenance Standards for Plastic Covering

1. Torn sheets must be replaced and open seams repaired.
2. If the plastic begins to deteriorate due to ultraviolet radiation, it must be completely removed and replaced.
3. When the plastic is no longer needed, it shall be completely removed.

D.2.1.2.5 STRAW WATTLES

Code: SW Symbol:

Purpose

Wattles are erosion and sediment control barriers consisting of straw wrapped in biodegradable tubular plastic or similar encasing material. Wattles may reduce the velocity and can spread the flow of rill and sheet runoff, and can capture and retain sediment. Straw wattles are typically 8 to 10 inches in diameter and 25 to 30 feet in length. The wattles are placed in shallow trenches and staked along the contour of disturbed or newly constructed slopes.

Conditions of Use

1. Install on disturbed areas that require immediate erosion protection.
2. Use on slopes requiring stabilization until permanent vegetation can be established.
3. Can be used along the perimeter of a project, as a check dam in unlined ditches and around temporary stockpiles
4. Wattles can be staked to the ground using willow cuttings for added revegetation.
5. Rilling can occur beneath and between wattles if not properly entrenched, allowing water to pass below and between wattles

Design and Installation Specifications

1. It is critical that wattles are installed perpendicular to the flow direction and parallel to the slope contour.
2. Narrow trenches should be dug across the slope, on contour, to a depth of 3 to 5 inches on clay soils and soils with gradual slopes. On loose soils, steep slopes, and during high rainfall events, the trenches should be dug to a depth of 5 to 7 inches, or ½ to 2/3 of the thickness of the wattle.
3. Start construction of trenches and installing wattles from the base of the slope and work uphill. Excavated material should be spread evenly along the uphill slope and compacted using hand tamping or other method. Construct trenches at contour intervals of 3 to 30 feet apart depending on the steepness of the slope, soil type, and rainfall. The steeper the slope the closer together the trenches should be constructed.
4. Install the wattles snugly into the trenches and abut tightly end to end. Do not overlap the ends.
5. Install stakes at each end of the wattle, and at 4 foot centers along the entire length of the wattle.
6. If required, install pilot holes for the stakes using a straight bar to drive holes through the wattle and into the soil.
7. At a minimum, wooden stakes should be approximately ¾ x ¾ x 24 inches. Willow cuttings or 3/8 inch rebar can also be used for stakes.

8. Stakes should be driven through the middle of the wattle, leaving 2 to 3 inches of the stake protruding above the wattle.

Maintenance Standards

1. Inspect wattles prior to forecasted rain, daily during extended rain events, after rain events, weekly during the wet season, and at two week intervals at all other times of the year.
2. Repair or replace split, torn, raveling, or slumping wattles
3. Remove sediment accumulations when exceeding ½ the height between the top of the wattle and the ground surface.

FIGURE D.2.1.2.E STRAW WATTLES



STRAW WATTLES
NTS

NOTES:

1. STRAW ROLL INSTALLATION REQUIRES THE PLACEMENT AND SECURE STAKING OF THE ROLL IN A TRENCH, 3" x 5" (75-125mm) DEEP, DUG ON CONTOUR.
2. RUNOFF MUST NOT BE ALLOWED TO RUN UNDER OR AROUND ROLL.

D.2.1.2.6 TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT SEEDING

Code: SE Symbol:

Purpose

Seeding is intended to reduce erosion by stabilizing exposed soils. A well-established vegetative cover is one of the most effective methods of reducing erosion.

Conditions of Use

1. Seeding shall be used throughout the project on **disturbed areas** that have reached final grade or that will remain unworked for more than 30 days.
2. **Vegetation-lined channels** shall be seeded. Channels that will be vegetated should be installed before major earthwork and hydroseeded or covered with a Bonded Fiber Matrix (BFM).
3. **Retention/detention ponds** shall be seeded as required.
4. At the County's discretion, seeding without mulch during the **dry season** is allowed even though it will take more than seven days to develop an effective cover. Mulch is, however, recommended at all times because it protects seeds from heat, moisture loss, and transport due to runoff.
5. At the beginning of the **wet season**, all disturbed areas shall be reviewed to identify which ones can be seeded in preparation for the winter rains (see Section D.2.4.2). Disturbed areas shall be seeded within one week of the beginning of the wet season. A sketch map of those areas to be seeded and those areas to remain uncovered shall be submitted to the DLS-Permitting inspector. The DLS-Permitting inspector may require seeding of additional areas in order to protect surface waters, adjacent properties, or drainage facilities.
6. At final site stabilization, all disturbed areas not otherwise vegetated or stabilized shall be seeded and mulched (see Section D.2.4.5).

Design and Installation Specifications

1. The best **time to seed** is April 1 through June 30, and September 1 through October 15. Areas may be seeded between July 1 and August 31, but irrigation may be required in order to grow adequate cover. Areas may also be seeded during the winter months, but it may take several months to develop a dense groundcover due to cold temperatures. The application and maintenance of mulch is critical for winter seeding.
2. To prevent seed from being washed away, confirm that **all required surface water control measures** have been installed.
3. The **seedbed** should be firm but not compacted because soils that are well compacted will not vegetate as quickly or thoroughly. Slopes steeper than 3H:1V shall be surface roughened. Roughening can be accomplished in a variety of ways, but the typical method is track walking, or driving a crawling tractor up and down the slope, leaving cleat imprints parallel to the slope contours.
4. In general, 10-20-20 N-P-K (nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium) **fertilizer** may be used at a rate of 90 pounds per acre. Slow-release fertilizers are preferred because they are more efficient and have fewer environmental impacts. It is recommended that areas being seeded for final landscaping conduct soil tests to determine the exact type and quantity of fertilizer needed. This will prevent the over-application of fertilizer. Disturbed areas within 200 feet of water bodies and wetlands must use slow-release low-phosphorus fertilizer (typical proportions 3-1-2 N-P-K).
5. The following requirements apply to **mulching**:
   a) Mulch is always required for seeding slopes greater than 3H:1V (see Section D.2.1.2.2).

b) If seeding during the wet season, mulch is required.

c) The use of mulch may be required during the dry season at the County's discretion if grass growth is expected to be slow, the soils are highly erodible due to soil type or gradient, there is a water body close to the disturbed area, or significant precipitation (see Section D.2.4.2) is anticipated before the grass will provide effective cover.

d) Mulch may be applied on top of the seed or simultaneously by hydroseeding.

6. **Hydroseeding** is allowed as long as tackifier is included. Hydroseeding with wood fiber mulch is adequate during the dry season. During the wet season, the application rate shall be doubled because the mulch and tackifier used in hydroseeding break down fairly rapidly. It may be necessary in some applications to include straw with the wood fiber, but this can be detrimental to germination.

7. Areas to be permanently landscaped shall use **soil amendments**. Good quality topsoil shall be tilled into the top six inches to reduce the need for fertilizer and improve the overall soil quality. Most native soils will require the addition of four inches of well-rotted compost to be tilled into the soil to provide a good quality topsoil. Compost used should meet specifications provided in Reference 11-C of the *SWDM*.

8. The **seed mixes** listed below include recommended mixes for both temporary and permanent seeding. These mixes, with the exception of the wetland mix, shall be applied at a rate of 120 pounds per acre. This rate may be reduced if soil amendments or slow-release fertilizers are used. Local suppliers should be consulted for their recommendations because the appropriate mix depends on a variety of factors, including exposure, soil type, slope, and expected foot traffic. Alternative seed mixes approved by the County may be used.

Table D.2.1.2.B presents the standard mix for those areas where just a temporary vegetative cover is required.

TABLE D.2.1.2.B TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL SEED MIX

	% Weight	% Purity	% Germination
Chewings or red fescue *Festuca rubra var. commutata* or *Festuca rubra*	40	98	90
Annual or perennial rye *Lolium multiflorum* or *Lolium perenne*	40	98	90
Redtop or colonial bentgrass *Agrostis alba* or *Agrostis tenuis*	10	92	85
White dutch clover *Trifolium repens*	10	98	90

Table D.2.1.2.C provides just one recommended possibility for landscaping seed.

TABLE D.2.1.2.C LANDSCAPING SEED MIX			
	% Weight	**% Purity**	**% Germination**
Perennial rye blend *Lolium perenne*	70	98	90
Chewings and red fescue blend *Festuca rubra var. commutata* or *Festuca rubra*	30	98	90

This turf seed mix in Table D.2.1.2.D is for dry situations where there is no need for much water. The advantage is that this mix requires very little maintenance.

TABLE D.2.1.2.D LOW-GROWING TURF SEED MIX			
	% Weight	**% Purity**	**% Germination**
Dwarf tall fescue (several varieties) *Festuca arundinacea var.*	45	98	90
Dwarf perennial rye (Barclay) *Lolium perenne var. barclay*	30	98	90
Red fescue *Festuca rubra*	20	98	90
Colonial bentgrass *Agrostis tenuis*	5	98	90

Table D.2.1.2.E presents a mix recommended for bioswales and other intermittently wet areas. Sod shall generally not be used for bioswales because the seed mix is inappropriate for this application. Sod may be used for lining ditches to prevent erosion, but it will provide little water quality benefit during the wet season.

TABLE D.2.1.2.E BIOSWALE SEED MIX*			
	% Weight	**% Purity**	**% Germination**
Tall or meadow fescue *Festuca arundinacea* or *Festuca elatior*	75-80	98	90
Seaside/Creeping bentgrass *Agrostis palustris*	10-15	92	85
Redtop bentgrass *Agrostis alba* or *Agrostis gigantea*	5-10	90	80
* Modified Briargreen, Inc. Hydroseeding Guide Wetlands Seed Mix			

The seed mix shown in Table D.2.1.2.F is a recommended low-growing, relatively non-invasive seed mix appropriate for very wet areas that are not regulated wetlands (if planting in wetland areas, see Section 6.3.1 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*). Other mixes may be appropriate, depending on the soil type and hydrology of the area. Apply this mixture at a rate of 60 pounds per acre.

TABLE D.2.1.2.F WET AREA SEED MIX*

	% Weight	% Purity	% Germination
Tall or meadow fescue *Festuca arundinacea* or *Festuca elatior*	60-70	98	90
Seaside/Creeping bentgrass *Agrostis palustris*	10-15	98	85
Meadow foxtail *Alepocurus pratensis*	10-15	90	80
Alsike clover *Trifolium hybridum*	1-6	98	90
Redtop bentgrass *Agrostis alba*	1-6	92	85

* Modified Briargreen, Inc. Hydroseeding Guide Wetlands Seed Mix

The meadow seed mix in Table D.2.1.2.G is recommended for areas that will be maintained infrequently or not at all and where colonization by native plants is desirable. Likely applications include rural road and utility right-of-way. Seeding should take place in September or very early October in order to obtain adequate establishment prior to the winter months. The appropriateness of clover in the mix may need to be considered as this can be a fairly invasive species. If the soil is amended, the addition of clover may not be necessary.

TABLE D.2.1.2.G MEADOW SEED MIX

	% Weight	% Purity	% Germination
Redtop or Oregon bentgrass *Agrostis alba* or *Agrostis oregonensis*	40	92	85
Red fescue *Festuca rubra*	40	98	90
White dutch clover *Trifolium repens*	20	98	90

Maintenance Standards for Temporary and Permanent Seeding

1. Any seeded areas that fail to establish at least 80 percent cover within one month shall be reseeded. If reseeding is ineffective, an alternate method, such as sodding or nets/blankets, shall be used. If winter weather prevents adequate grass growth, this time limit may be relaxed at the discretion of the County when critical areas would otherwise be protected.

2. After adequate cover is achieved, any areas that experience erosion shall be re-seeded and protected by mulch. If the erosion problem is drainage related, the problem shall be fixed and the eroded area re-seeded and protected by mulch.
3. Seeded areas shall be supplied with adequate moisture, but not watered to the extent that it causes runoff.

D.2.1.2.7 SODDING

Code: SO Symbol:

Purpose

The purpose of sodding is to establish permanent turf for immediate erosion protection and to stabilize drainage ways where concentrated overland flow will occur.

Conditions of Use

Sodding may be used in the following areas:

1. Disturbed areas that require short-term or long-term cover
2. Disturbed areas that require immediate vegetative cover
3. All waterways that require vegetative lining (except biofiltration swales—the seed mix used in most sod is not appropriate for biofiltration swales). Waterways may also be seeded rather than sodded, and protected with a net or blanket (see Section D.2.1.2.3).

Design and Installation Specifications

Sod shall be free of weeds, of uniform thickness (approximately 1-inch thick), and shall have a dense root mat for mechanical strength.

The following steps are recommended for sod installation:

1. Shape and smooth the surface to final grade in accordance with the approved grading plan.
2. Amend four inches (minimum) of well-rotted compost into the top eight inches of the soil if the organic content of the soil is less than ten percent. Compost used shall meet compost specifications per *SWDM* Reference 11-C.
3. Fertilize according to the supplier's recommendations. Disturbed areas within 200 feet of water bodies and wetlands must use non-phosphorus fertilizer.
4. Work lime and fertilizer 1 to 2 inches into the soil, and smooth the surface.
5. Lay strips of sod beginning at the lowest area to be sodded and perpendicular to the direction of water flow. Wedge strips securely into place. Square the ends of each strip to provide for a close, tight fit. Stagger joints at least 12 inches. Staple on slopes steeper than 3H:1V.
6. Roll the sodded area and irrigate.
7. When sodding is carried out in alternating strips or other patterns, seed the areas between the sod immediately after sodding.

Maintenance Standards

If the grass is unhealthy, the cause shall be determined and appropriate action taken to reestablish a healthy groundcover. If it is impossible to establish a healthy groundcover due to frequent saturation, instability, or some other cause, the sod shall be removed, the area seeded with an appropriate mix, and protected with a net or blanket.

D.2.1.2.8 POLYACRYLAMIDE FOR SOIL EROSION PROTECTION

Purpose

Polyacrylamide (PAM) is used on construction sites to prevent soil erosion. Applying PAM to bare soil in advance of a rain event significantly reduces erosion and controls sediment in two ways. First, PAM increases the soil's available pore volume, thus increasing infiltration through flocculation and reducing the quantity of stormwater runoff. Second, it increases flocculation of suspended particles and aids in their deposition, thus reducing stormwater runoff turbidity and improving water quality.

Conditions of Use

1. PAM shall not be directly applied to water or allowed to enter a water body.
2. PAM may be applied to wet soil, but dry soil is preferred due to less sediment loss.
3. PAM will work when applied to saturated soil but is not as effective as applications to dry or damp soil.
4. PAM may be applied only to the following types of bare soil areas that drain to a sediment trap or a sediment pond:
    - Staging areas
    - Stockpiles
    - Pit sites
    - Balanced cut and fill earthwork
    - Haul roads prior to placement of crushed rock surfacing
    - Compacted soil road base
5. PAM may be applied only during the following phases of construction:
    - During rough grading operations
    - After final grade and before paving or final seeding and planting
    - During a winter shut down of site work. In the case of winter shut down, or where soil will remain unworked for several months, PAM should be used together with mulch.
6. Do not use PAM on a slope that flows directly to a stream or wetland. The stormwater runoff shall pass through a sediment control measure prior to discharging to surface waters.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. PAM must be applied using one of two methods of application, "preferred" or "alternative." The specifications for these methods are described under separate headings below.
2. PAM may be applied in dissolved form with water, or it may be applied in dry, granular or powdered form. The preferred application method is the dissolved form.
3. PAM is to be applied at a maximum rate of ½ pound PAM per 1000 gallons of water per 1 acre of bare soil. Table D.2.1.2.H may be used to determine the PAM and water application rate for disturbed soil areas. Higher concentrations of PAM **do not** provide any additional effectiveness.
4. Do not add PAM to water discharging from the site.
5. PAM shall be used in conjunction with other ESC measures and not in place of them. When the total drainage area is greater than or equal to 3 acres, PAM treated areas shall drain to a sediment pond per Section D.2.1.5.2. For drainage areas less than 3 acres, PAM treated areas must drain to a sediment trap per Section D.2.1.5.1. Other normally required sediment control measures such as perimeter

protection measures (Section D.2.1.3) and surface water collection measures (Section D.2.1.6) shall be applied to PAM treated areas.

6. All areas not being actively worked shall be covered and protected from rainfall. PAM shall not be the only cover BMP used.
7. Keep the granular PAM supply out of the sun. Granular PAM loses its effectiveness in three months after exposure to sunlight and air.
8. Care must be taken to prevent spills of PAM powder onto paved surfaces. PAM, combined with water, is very slippery and can be a safety hazard. During an application of PAM, prevent over-spray from reaching pavement as the pavement will become slippery. If PAM powder gets on skin or clothing, wipe it off with a rough towel rather than washing with water. Washing with water only makes cleanup more difficult, messier, and time consuming.
9. The specific PAM copolymer formulation must be anionic. Cationic PAM shall not be used in any application because of known aquatic toxicity concerns. Only the highest drinking water grade PAM, certified for compliance with ANSI/NSF Standard 60 for drinking water treatment, may be used for soil applications. The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) lists approved PAM products on their web page. All PAM use shall be reviewed and approved by DLS-Permitting.
10. The PAM anionic charge density may vary from 2 – 30 percent; a value of 18 percent is typical. Studies conducted by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)/ARS demonstrated that soil stabilization was optimized by using very high molecular weight (12 – 15 mg/mole), highly anionic (>20% hydrolysis) PAM.
11. PAM must be "water soluble" or "linear" or "non-cross-linked." Cross-linked or water absorbent PAM, polymerized in highly acidic (pH<2) conditions, are used to maintain soil moisture content.

TABLE D.2.1.2.H PAM AND WATER APPLICATION RATES

Disturbed Area (ac)	PAM (lbs)	Water (gal)
0.50	0.25	500
1.00	0.50	1,000
1.50	0.75	1,500
2.00	1.00	2,000
2.50	1.25	2,500
3.00	1.50	3,000
3.50	1.75	3,500
4.00	2.00	4,000
4.50	2.25	4,500
5.00	2.50	5,000

Preferred Application Method

1. Pre-measure the area where PAM is to be applied and calculate the amount of product and water necessary to provide coverage at the specified application rate (1/2 pound PAM/1,000 gallons/acre).
2. Dissolve pre-measured dry granular PAM with a known quantity of clean water in a bucket several hours or overnight. PAM has infinite solubility in water, but dissolves very slowly. Mechanical mixing will help dissolve PAM. Always add PAM to water – not water to PAM.

3. Pre-fill the water truck about 1/8 full with water. The water does not have to be potable, but it must have relatively low turbidity – in the range of 20 NTU or less.
4. Add PAM/Water mixture to the truck.
5. Completely fill the water truck to specified volume.
6. Spray PAM/Water mixture onto dry soil until the soil surface is uniformly and completely wetted.

Alternate Application Method

PAM may also be applied as a powder at the rate of 5 pounds per acre. This must be applied on a day that is dry. For areas less than 5-10 acres, a hand-held "organ grinder" fertilized spreader set to the smallest setting will work. Tractor mounted spreaders will work for larger areas.

Maintenance Standards

1. PAM may be reapplied on actively worked areas after a 48-hour period
2. Reapplication is not required unless PAM treated soil is disturbed or unless turbidity levels show the need for an additional application. If PAM treated soil is left undisturbed, a reapplication may be necessary after two months. More PAM applications may be required for steep slopes, silty and clay soils, (USDA classification Type "C" and "D" soils), long grades, and high precipitation areas. When PAM is applied first to bare soil and then covered with straw, a reapplication may not be necessary for several months.

D.2.1.2.9 COMPOST BLANKETS

Code: COBL

Symbol:

Purpose

Compost blankets are intended to:

- Provide immediate temporary protection from erosion by protecting soil from rainfall and slowing flow velocity over the soil surface.
- Enhance temporary or permanent plant establishment by conserving moisture, holding seed and topsoil in place, providing nutrients and soil microorganisms, and moderating soil temperatures.
- Compost blankets, applied at the proper thickness and tilled into the soil, are also an option for amending soils for permanent landscaping.
- Compost generally releases and adds phosphorous to stormwater. Therefore, compost blankets are not recommended for use in watersheds where phosphorous sensitive water resources are located. Unless prior approval is given by the County, they should not be used in Sensitive Lake Watersheds.

Conditions of Use

1. Compost blankets may be used unseeded on disturbed areas that require temporary cover measures up to 1 year. Compost applied as temporary cover may be reclaimed and re-used for permanent cover.
2. Compost provides cover for protecting final grades until landscaping can be completed as it can be directly seeded or tilled into soil as an amendment.
3. Compost blankets meet mulch requirements for seed.
4. Seed may be applied to a compost blanket at any time for permanent or temporary stabilization of disturbed areas. Seed may be applied prior to blanket application, on top of blankets, or injected and mixed into the compost as it is applied.

5. Compost blankets may be applied on slopes up to 2H:1V.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. Compost shall be applied at a minimum of 2 inches thick, unless otherwise directed by an ESC supervisor or King County. At an application of 2 inches, this will equal approximately 100 tons per acre (compost generally weighs approximately 800 lbs per cubic yard). Thickness shall be increased at the direction of the design engineer for disturbed areas in or near critical areas or other areas highly susceptible to erosion.
2. Compost shall meet criteria in Reference 11-C of the *SWDM*.
3. Compost shall be obtained from a supplier meeting the requirements in Reference 11-C.
4. Compost blankets shall be applied over the top of the slope to which it is applied, to prevent water from running under the blanket
5. Compost blankets shall not be used in areas exposed to concentrated flow (e.g. channels, ditches, dikes)

Maintenance Standards

1. The specified thickness of the blanket/cover must be maintained.
2. Any areas that show signs of erosion must be re-mulched. If the erosion problem is drainage related, then the drainage problem must first be remedied and then the eroded area re-mulched.

D.2.1.3 PERIMETER PROTECTION

Perimeter protection to filter sediment from sheetwash shall be located downslope of all disturbed areas and shall be installed prior to upslope grading. Perimeter protection includes the use of vegetated strips as well as, constructed measures, such as silt fences, fiber rolls, sand/gravel barriers, brush or rock filters, triangular silt dikes and other methods. During the wet season, 50 linear feet of silt fence (and the necessary stakes) per acre of disturbed area must be stockpiled on site.

Purpose: The purpose of perimeter protection is to reduce the amount of sediment transported beyond the disturbed areas of the construction site. Perimeter protection is primarily a backup means of sediment control. Most, if not all, sediment-laden water is to be treated in a sediment trap or pond. The only circumstances in which perimeter control is to be used as a primary means of sediment removal is when the catchment is very small (see below).

When to Install: Perimeter protection is to be installed prior to any upslope clearing and grading.

Measures to Use: The above measures may be used interchangeably and are not the only perimeter protection measures available. If surface water is collected by an interceptor dike or swale and routed to a sediment pond or trap, there may be no need for the perimeter protection measures specified in this section.

Criteria for Use as Primary Treatment: At the boundary of a site, perimeter protection may be used as the sole form of treatment when the flowpath meets the criteria listed below. If these criteria are not met, perimeter protection shall only be used as a backup to a sediment trap or pond.

Average Slope	Slope Percent	Flowpath Length
1.5H:1V or less	67% or less	100 feet
2H:1V or less	50% or less	115 feet
4H:1V or less	25% or less	150 feet
6H:1V or less	16.7% or less	200 feet
10H:1V or less	10% or less	250 feet

D.2.1.3.1 SILT FENCE

Code: SF Symbol:

Purpose

Use of a silt fence reduces the transport of coarse sediment from a construction site by providing a temporary physical barrier to sediment and reducing the runoff velocities of overland flow.

Conditions of Use

1. Silt fence may be used downslope of all disturbed areas.
2. Silt fence is not intended to treat concentrated flows, nor is it intended to treat substantial amounts of overland flow. Any concentrated flows must be conveyed through the drainage system to a sediment trap or pond. The only circumstance in which overland flow may be treated solely by a silt fence, rather than by a sediment trap or pond, is when the area draining to the fence is small (see "**Criteria for Use as Primary Treatment**" in Section D.2.1.3 above).

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure D.2.1.3.A and Figure D.2.1.3.B for details.

2. The geotextile used must meet the standards listed below. A copy of the manufacturer's fabric specifications must be available on site.

AOS (ASTM D4751)	30-100 sieve size (0.60-0.15 mm) for slit film 50-100 sieve size (0.30-0.15 mm) for other fabrics
Water Permittivity (ASTM D4491)	0.02 sec^{-1} minimum
Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM D4632) (see Specification Note 3)	180 lbs. min. for extra strength fabric 100 lbs. min. for standard strength fabric
Grab Tensile Elongation (ASTM D4632)	30% max. (woven)
Ultraviolet Resistance (ASTM D4355)	70% min.

3. Standard strength fabric requires wire backing to increase the strength of the fence. Wire backing or closer post spacing may be required for extra strength fabric if field performance warrants a stronger fence.
4. Where the fence is installed, the slope shall be no steeper than 2H:1V.
5. If a typical silt fence (per Figure D.2.1.3.A) is used, the standard 4 x 4 trench may be reduced as long as the bottom 8 inches of the silt fence is well buried and secured in a trench that stabilizes the fence and does not allow water to bypass or undermine the silt fence.

Maintenance Standards

1. Any damage shall be repaired immediately.
2. If concentrated flows are evident uphill of the fence, they must be intercepted and conveyed to a sediment trap or pond.
3. It is important to check the uphill side of the fence for signs of the fence clogging and acting as a barrier to flow and then causing channelization of flows parallel to the fence. If this occurs, replace the fence or remove the trapped sediment.
4. Sediment must be removed when the sediment is 6 inches high.
5. If the filter fabric (geotextile) has deteriorated due to ultraviolet breakdown, it shall be replaced.

FIGURE D.2.1.3.A SILT FENCE



FIGURE D.2.1.3.B SILT FENCE INSTALLATION BY SLICING





ATTACHMENT DETAILS:

1. GATHER FABRIC AT POSTS, IF NEEDED.
2. UTILIZE THREE TIES PER POST, ALL WITHIN TOP 8" OF FABRIC.
3. POSITION EACH TIE DIAGONALLY, PUNCTURING HOLES VERTICALLY A MINIMUM OF 1" APART.
4. HANG EACH TIE ON A POST NIPPLE AND TIGHTEN SECURELY. USE CABLE TIES (50 LBS) OF SOFT WIRE.

NOTES:

1. POST SPACING: 7' MAX. ON OPEN RUNS 4' MAX. ON POOLING AREAS.
2. POST DEPTH: AS MUCH BELOW GROUND AS FABRIC ABOVE GROUND.
3. PONDING HEIGHT MAX. 24" ATTACH FABRIC TO UPSTREAM SIDE OF POST.
4. DRIVE OVER EACH SIDE OF SILT FENCE 2 TO 4 TIMES WITH DEVICE EXERTING 60 P.S.I. OR GREATER.
5. NO MORE THAN 24" OF A 36" FABRIC IS ALLOWED ABOVE GROUND.
6. VIBRATORY PLOW IS NOT ACCEPTABLE BECAUSE OF HORIZONTAL COMPACTION.



SILT FENCE INSTALLATION BY SLICING METHOD

NTS

D.2.1.3.2 BRUSH BARRIER

Code: BB Symbol:

Purpose

The purpose of brush barriers is to reduce the transport of coarse sediment from a construction site by providing a temporary physical barrier to sediment and reducing the runoff velocities of overland flow.

Conditions of Use

1. Brush barriers may be used downslope of all disturbed areas.
2. Brush barriers are not intended to treat concentrated flows, nor are they intended to treat substantial amounts of overland flow. Any concentrated flows must be conveyed through the drainage system to a sediment trap or pond. The only circumstance in which overland flow may be treated solely by a barrier, rather than by a sediment trap or pond, is when the area draining to the barrier is small (see "**Criteria for Use as Primary Treatment**" on page D-33).

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure D.2.1.3.C for details.
2. King County may require filter fabric (geotextile) anchored over the brush berm to enhance the filtration ability of the barrier.

Maintenance Standards

1. There shall be no signs of erosion or concentrated runoff under or around the barrier. If concentrated flows are bypassing the barrier, it must be expanded or augmented by toed-in filter fabric.
2. The dimensions of the barrier must be maintained.

FIGURE D.2.1.3.C BRUSH BARRIER



D.2.1.3.3 VEGETATED STRIP

Code: VS Symbol: 

Purpose

Vegetated strips reduce the transport of coarse sediment from a construction site by providing a temporary physical barrier to sediment and reducing the runoff velocities of overland flow.

Conditions of Use

1. Vegetated strips may be used downslope of all disturbed areas.
2. Vegetated strips are not intended to treat concentrated flows, nor are they intended to treat substantial amounts of overland flow. Any concentrated flows must be conveyed through the drainage system to a sediment trap or pond. The only circumstance in which overland flow may be treated solely by a strip, rather than by a sediment trap or pond, is when the area draining to the strip is small (see "**Criteria for Use as Primary Treatment**" on page D-33).

Design and Installation Specifications

1. The vegetated strip shall consist of a 25-foot minimum width continuous strip of dense vegetation with a permeable topsoil. Grass-covered, landscaped areas are generally not adequate because the volume of sediment overwhelms the grass. Ideally, vegetated strips shall consist of undisturbed native growth with a well-developed soil that allows for infiltration of runoff.
2. The slope within the strip shall not exceed 4H:1V.
3. The uphill boundary of the vegetated strip shall be delineated with clearing limits as specified in Section D.2.1.1 (p. D-11).

Maintenance Standards

1. Any areas damaged by erosion or construction activity shall be seeded immediately and protected by mulch.
2. If more than 5 feet of the original vegetated strip width has had vegetation removed or is being eroded, sod must be installed using the standards for installation found in Section D.2.1.2.7.

If there are indications that concentrated flows are traveling across the buffer, surface water controls must be installed to reduce the flows entering the buffer, or additional perimeter protection must be installed.

D.2.1.3.4 TRIANGULAR SILT DIKE (GEOTEXTILE ENCASED CHECK DAM)

Code: TSD Symbol:

Purpose

Triangular silt dikes (TSDs) may be used as check dams, for perimeter protection, for temporary soil stockpile protection, for drop inlet protection, or as a temporary interceptor dike. Silt dikes, if attached to impervious surfaces with tack or other adhesive agent may also be used as temporary wheel wash areas, or concrete washout collection areas.

Conditions of Use

1. May be used for temporary check dams in ditches.
2. May be used on soil or pavement with adhesive or staples.
3. TSDs have been used to build temporary sediment ponds, diversion ditches, concrete washout facilities, curbing, water bars, level spreaders, and berms.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. TSDs must be made of urethane foam sewn into a woven geosynthetic fabric.
2. TSDs are triangular, 10 inches to 14 inches high in the center, with a 20-inch to 28-inch base. A 2-foot apron extends beyond both sides of the triangle along its standard section of 7 feet. A sleeve at one end allows attachment of additional sections as needed
3. Install TSDs with ends curved up to prevent water from flowing around the ends
4. Attach the TSDs and their fabric flaps to the ground with wire staples. Wire staples must be No. 11 gauge wire or stronger and shall be 200 mm to 300 mm in length.
5. When multiple units are installed, the sleeve of fabric at the end of the unit shall overlap the abutting unit and be stapled.
6. TSDs must be located and installed as soon as construction will allow.
7. TSDs must be placed perpendicular to the flow of water.
8. When used as check dams, the leading edge must be secured with rocks, sandbags, or a small key slot and staples.
9. When used in grass-lined ditches and swales, the TSD check dams and accumulated sediment shall be removed when the grass has matured sufficiently to protect the ditch or swale unless the slope of the swale is greater than 4 percent. The area beneath the TSD check dams shall be seeded and mulched immediately after dam removal.

Maintenance Standards

1. Triangular silt dikes shall be monitored for performance and sediment accumulation during and after each runoff producing rainfall event. Sediment shall be removed when it reaches one half the height of the silt dike.
2. Anticipate submergence and deposition above the triangular silt dike and erosion from high flows around the edges of the dike/dam. Immediately repair any damage or any undercutting of the dike/dam.

D.2.1.3.5 COMPOST BERMS

Code: COBE Symbol: 

Purpose

Compost berms are an option to meet the requirements of perimeter protection. Compost berms may reduce the transport of sediment from a construction site by providing a temporary physical barrier to sediment and reducing the runoff velocities of overland flow. Compost berms trap sediment by filtering water passing through the berm and allowing water to pond, creating a settling area for solids behind the berm. Organic materials in the compost can also reduce concentrations of metals and petroleum hydrocarbons from construction runoff. Due to the increase in phosphorous seen in the effluent data from compost berms, they should be used with some cautions in areas that drain to phosphorus sensitive water

bodies, and should only be used in Sensitive Lake watersheds, such as Lake Sammamish, with the approval from the County or the local jurisdiction.

Conditions of Use

1. Compost berms may be used in most areas requiring sediment or erosion control where runoff is in the form of sheet flow or in areas where silt fence is normally considered acceptable. Compost berms may be used in areas where migration of aquatic life such as turtles and salamanders are impeded by the use of silt fence.
2. Compost berms are not intended to treat concentrated flows, nor are they intended to treat substantial amounts of overland flow. Any concentrated flows must be conveyed via a drainage system to a sediment pond or trap.
3. For purposes of long-term sediment control objectives, berms may be seeded at the time of installation to create an additional vegetated filtering component.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. Compost berms shall be applied using a pneumatic blower device or equivalent, to produce a uniform cross-section and berm density.
2. Compost berms shall be triangular in cross-section. The ratio of base to height dimensions shall be 2:1.
3. The minimum size of a compost berm is a 2-foot base with a 1-foot height.
4. Compost berms shall be sized and spaced as indicated in the table below.

SLOPE	SLOPE	Maximum Slope Length or Berm Spacing (linear feet)	Berm Size Required (height x base width)
0% - 2%	Flatter than 50:1	250	1 ft x 2 ft
2% - 10%	50:1 – 10:1	125	1 ft x 2 ft
10% - 20%	10:1 – 5:1	100	1 ft x 2 ft
20% - 33%	5:1 – 3:1	75	1 ft x 2 ft
33% - 50%	3:1 – 2:1	50	1.5 ft x 3 ft

5. Compost berms shall not be used on slopes greater than 2H:1V.
6. Compost shall meet criteria in Reference 11-C of the *SWDM* except for the particle size distribution (see Bullet 8).
7. Compost shall be obtained from a supplier meeting the requirements in Reference 11-C.
8. Compost particle size distribution shall be as follows: 99% passing a 1 inch sieve, 90% passing a ¾ inch sieve and a minimum of 70% greater than the 3/8 inch sieve. A total of 98% shall not exceed 3 inches in length.
9. Berms shall be placed on level contours to assist in dissipating flow into sheet flow rather than concentrated flows. Berms shall not be constructed to concentrate runoff or channel water. Sheet flow of water shall be perpendicular to the berm at impact. No concentrated flow shall be directed towards compost berms.
10. Where possible, berms shall be placed 5 feet or more from the toe of slopes to allow space for sediment deposition and collection.
11. In order to prevent water from flowing around the ends of the berms, the ends of the berm shall be constructed pointing upslope so the ends are at a higher elevation than the rest of the berm.

12. A compost blanket extending 10 – 15 feet above the berm is recommended where the surface above the berm is rutted or uneven, to reduce concentrated flow and promote sheet flow into the berm.

Maintenance Standards

1. Compost berms shall be regularly inspected to make sure they retain their shape and allow adequate flow-through of stormwater.
2. When construction is completed on site, the berms shall be dispersed for incorporation into the soil or left on top of the site for final seeding to occur.
3. Any damage to berms must be repaired immediately. Damage includes flattening, compacting, rills, eroded areas due to overtopping.
4. If concentrated flows are evident uphill of the berm, the flows must be intercepted and conveyed to a sediment trap or pond.
5. The uphill side of the berm shall be inspected for signs of the berm clogging and acting as a barrier to flows and causing channelization of flows parallel to the berm. If this occurs, replace the berm or remove the trapped sediment.
6. Sediment that collects behind the berm must be removed when the sediment is more than 6 inches deep.

D.2.1.3.6 COMPOST SOCKS

Code: COSO Symbol:

Purpose

Compost socks reduce the transport of sediment from a construction site by providing a temporary physical barrier to sediment-laden water and reducing the runoff velocities of overland flow. Compost socks trap sediment by filtering water that passes through the sock and allows water to pond behind the sock, creating a settling area for solids. Organic materials in the compost also may reduce metal and petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in construction runoff. Compost socks function similarly to compost berms; however, because the compost is contained in a mesh tube, they are appropriate for both concentrated flow and sheet flow. Compost socks may be used to channel concentrated flow on hard surfaces.

Conditions of Use

1. Compost socks may be used in areas requiring sediment or erosion control where runoff is in the form of sheet flow or in areas that silt fence is normally considered acceptable. Compost socks may also be used in sensitive environmental areas where migration of aquatic life, including turtles, salamanders and other aquatic life may be impeded by the used of silt fence.
2. Compost socks are not intended to treat substantial amounts of overland flow. However, compost socks may be subjected to some ponding and concentrated flows. If intended primarily as a filtration device, the socks should be sized and placed so that flows do not overtop the socks.
3. For purposes of long term sediment control objectives, compost socks may be seeded at the time of installation to create an additional vegetated filtering component.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. Compost socks shall be produced using a pneumatic blower hose or equivalent to fill a mesh tube with compost to create a uniform cross-section and berm density.

2. Socks shall be filled so they are firmly – packed yet flexible. Upon initial filling, the socks shall be filled to have a round cross-section. Once placed on the ground, it is recommended to apply weight to the sock to improve contact with the underlying surface. This may cause the sock to assume an oval shape.
3. Compost socks shall be a minimum of 8 inches in diameter. Larger diameter socks are recommended for areas where ponding is expected behind the sock.
4. Compost socks shall not be used on slopes greater than 2H:1V.
5. Compost shall meet criteria in Reference 11-C of the *SWDM,* except for the particle size distribution (see Bullet 7).
6. Compost shall be obtained from a supplier meeting the requirements in Reference 11-C.
7. Compost particle size distribution shall be as follows: 99% passing a 1 inch sieve, 90% passing a ¾ inch sieve and a minimum of 70% greater than the 3/8 inch sieve. A total of 98% shall not exceed 3 inches in length.
8. In order to prevent water from flowing around the ends of compost socks, the ends must be pointed upslope so the ends of the socks are at a higher elevation than the remainder of the sock.

Maintenance Standards

1. Compost socks shall be regularly inspected to make sure the mesh tube remains undamaged, the socks retain their shape, and allow adequate flow through of surface water. If the mesh tube is torn, it shall be repaired using twine, zip-ties, or wire. Large sections of damaged socks must be replaced. Any damage must be repaired immediately upon discovery of damage.
2. When the sock is no longer needed, the socks shall be cut open and the compost dispersed to be incorporated into the soil or left on top of the soil for final seeding to occur. The mesh material must be disposed of properly as solid waste. If spills of oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, or other equipment fluids have occurred that have saturated the sock, the compost must be disposed of properly as a waste.
3. Sediment must be removed when sediment accumulations are within 3 inches of the top of the sock.

D.2.1.4 TRAFFIC AREA STABILIZATION

Unsurfaced entrances, roads, and parking areas used by construction traffic shall be stabilized to minimize erosion and tracking of sediment off site. Stabilized construction entrances shall be installed as the first step in clearing and grading. At the County's discretion, road and parking area stabilization is not required during the dry season (unless dust is a concern) or if the site is underlain by coarse-grained soils. Roads and parking areas shall be stabilized immediately after initial grading.

Purpose: The purpose of traffic area stabilization is to reduce the amount of sediment transported off site by construction vehicles and to reduce the erosion of areas disturbed by vehicle traffic. Sediment transported off site onto paved streets is a significant problem because it is difficult to effectively remove, and any sediment not removed ends up in the drainage system. Additionally, sediment on public right-of-way can pose a serious traffic hazard. Construction road and parking area stabilization is important because the combination of wet soil and heavy equipment traffic typically forms a slurry of easily erodible mud. Finally, stabilization also is an excellent form of dust control in the summer months.

When to Install: The construction entrance is to be installed as the first step in clearing and grading. Construction road stabilization shall occur immediately after initial grading of the construction roads and parking areas.

Measures to Use: There are two types of traffic area stabilization: (1) a stabilized construction entrance and (2) construction road/parking area stabilization. Both measures must be used as specified under "Conditions of Use" for each measure.

D.2.1.4.1 STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE

Code: CE Symbol: 

Purpose

Construction entrances are stabilized to reduce the amount of sediment transported onto paved roads by motor vehicles or runoff by constructing a stabilized pad of quarry spalls at entrances to construction sites.

Conditions of Use

Construction entrances shall be stabilized wherever traffic will be leaving a construction site and traveling on paved roads or other paved areas within 1,000 feet of the site. Access and exits shall be limited to one route if possible, or two for linear projects such as roadway where more than one access/exit is necessary for maneuvering large equipment.

For residential construction provide stabilized construction entrances for each residence in addition to the main subdivision entrance. Stabilized surfaces shall be of sufficient length/width to provide vehicle access/parking, based on lot size/configuration.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure D.2.1.4.A for details.
2. A separation geotextile shall be placed under the spalls to prevent fine sediment from pumping up into the rock pad. The geotextile shall meet the following standards:

Grab Tensile Strength (ASTM D4632)	200 lbs min.
Grab Tensile Elongation (ASTM D4632)	30% max.(woven)
Puncture Strength (ASTM D6241)	495 lbs min.
AOS (ASTM D4751)	20-45 (U.S. standard sieve size)

3. Do not use crushed concrete, cement, or calcium chloride for construction entrance stabilization because these products raise pH levels in stormwater and concrete discharge to surface waters of the State is prohibited.
4. Hog fuel (wood based mulch) may be substituted for or combined with quarry spalls in areas that will not be used for permanent roads. The effectiveness of hog fuel is highly variable, but it has been used successfully on many sites. It generally requires more maintenance than quarry spalls. Hog fuel is not recommended for entrance stabilization in urban areas. The inspector may at any time require the use of quarry spalls if the hog fuel is not preventing sediment from being tracked onto pavement or if the hog fuel is being carried onto pavement. Hog fuel is prohibited in permanent roadbeds because organics in the subgrade soils cause difficulties with compaction.
5. Fencing (see Section D.2.1.1) shall be installed as necessary to restrict traffic to the construction entrance.
6. Whenever possible, the entrance shall be constructed on a firm, compacted subgrade. This can substantially increase the effectiveness of the pad and reduce the need for maintenance.

Maintenance Standards

1. Quarry spalls (or hog fuel) shall be added if the pad is no longer in accordance with the specifications.

2. If the entrance is not preventing sediment from being tracked onto pavement, then alternative measures to keep the streets free of sediment shall be used. This may include street sweeping, an increase in the dimensions of the entrance, or the installation of a wheel wash. If washing is used, it shall be done on an area covered with crushed rock, and wash water shall drain to a sediment trap or pond.
3. Any sediment that is tracked onto pavement shall be removed immediately by sweeping. The sediment collected by sweeping shall be removed or stabilized on site. The pavement shall not be cleaned by washing down the street, except when sweeping is ineffective and there is a threat to public safety. If it is necessary to wash the streets, a small sump must be constructed. The sediment would then be washed into the sump where it can be controlled. Wash water must be pumped back onto the ***site*** and cannot discharge to systems tributary to surface waters.
4. Any quarry spalls that are loosened from the pad and end up on the roadway shall be removed immediately.
5. If vehicles are entering or exiting the site at points other than the construction entrance(s), fencing (see Section D.2.1.1) shall be installed to control traffic.

FIGURE D.2.1.4.A STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE



NOTES:

- PER KING COUNTY ROAD DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS (KCRDCS), DRIVEWAYS SHALL BE PAVED TO EDGE OF R-O-W PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF THE CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE TO AVOID DAMAGING OF THE ROADWAY.
- IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE ENTRANCE BE CROWNED SO THAT RUNOFF DRAINS OFF THE PAD.

D.2.1.4.2 CONSTRUCTION ROAD/PARKING AREA STABILIZATION

Code: CRS Symbol: 

Purpose

Stabilizing subdivision roads, parking areas and other onsite vehicle transportation routes immediately after grading reduces erosion caused by construction traffic or runoff.

Conditions of Use

1. Roads or parking areas shall be stabilized wherever they are constructed, whether permanent or temporary, for use by construction traffic.
2. Fencing (see Section D.2.1.1) shall be installed, if necessary, to limit the access of vehicles to only those roads and parking areas that are stabilized.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. A 6-inch depth of 2- to 4-inch **crushed rock, gravel base, or crushed surfacing base course** shall be applied immediately after grading or utility installation. A 4-inch course of asphalt treated base (ATB) may also be used, or the road/parking area may be paved. It may also be possible to use cement or calcium chloride for soil stabilization. If the area will not be used for permanent roads, parking areas, or structures, a 6-inch depth of hog fuel may also be used, but this is likely to require more maintenance. Whenever possible, construction roads and parking areas shall be placed on a firm, compacted subgrade. *Note: If the area will be used for permanent road or parking installation later in the project, the subgrade will be subject to inspection.*
2. **Temporary road gradients** shall not exceed 15 percent. Roadways shall be carefully graded to drain transversely. Drainage ditches shall be provided on each side of the roadway in the case of a crowned section, or on one side in the case of a super-elevated section. Drainage ditches shall be designed in accordance with the standards given in Section D.2.1.6.4 (p. D-64) and directed to a sediment pond or trap.
3. Rather than relying on ditches, it may also be possible to **grade the road** so that runoff sheet-flows into a heavily vegetated area with a well-developed topsoil. Landscaped areas are not adequate. If this area has at least 50 feet of vegetation, then it is generally preferable to use the vegetation to treat runoff, rather than a sediment pond or trap. The 50 feet shall not include vegetated wetlands. If runoff is allowed to sheet flow through adjacent vegetated areas, it is vital to design the roadways and parking areas so that no concentrated runoff is created.
4. In order to control construction traffic, the County may require that **signs** be erected on site informing construction personnel that vehicles, other than those performing clearing and grading, are restricted to stabilized areas.
5. If construction roads do not adequately reduce trackout to adjacent property or roadways, a wheel wash system will be required.

Maintenance Standards

Crushed rock, gravel base, hog fuel, etc. shall be added as required to maintain a stable driving surface and to stabilize any areas that have eroded.

D.2.1.4.3 WHEEL WASH

Code: WW Symbol: 

Purpose

Wheel wash systems reduce the amount of sediment transported onto paved roadways and into surface water systems by construction vehicles.

Conditions of Use

When a stabilized construction entrance is not preventing sediment from being tracked onto pavement:

- Wheel washing is generally an effective erosion and sediment control method and BMP when installed with careful attention to topography. For example, a wheel wash can be detrimental if installed at the top of a slope abutting a right-of-way where the water from the dripping truck wheels and undercarriage can run unimpeded into the street.
- Pressure washing combined with an adequately sized and properly surfaced wash pad with direct drainage discharge to a large 10 foot x 10-foot sump can be very effective.

Design and Installation Specifications

A suggested detail is shown in Figure D.2.1.4.B.

1. A minimum of 6inches of asphalt treated base (ATB) over crushed base material or 8 inches over a good subgrade is recommended to pave the wheel wash area.
2. Use a low clearance truck to test the wheel wash before paving. Either a belly dump or lowboy will work well to test clearance.
3. Keep the water level from 12 to 14 inches deep to avoid damage to truck hubs and filling the truck tongues with water.
4. Midpoint spray nozzles are only needed in very muddy conditions.
5. Wheel wash systems should be designed with a small grade change, 6 to 12 inches for a 10-foot wide pond, to allow sediment to flow to the low side of the pond and to help prevent re-suspension of sediment.
6. A drainpipe with a 2 to 3 foot riser should be installed on the low side of the wheel wash pond to allow for easy cleaning and refilling. Polymers may be used to promote coagulation and flocculation in a closed-loop system.
7. Polyacrylamide (PAM) added to the wheel washwater at a rate of 0.25 – 0.5 pounds per 1,000 gallons of water increases effectiveness and reduces cleanup time. If PAM is already being used for dust or erosion control and is being applied by a water truck, the same truck may be used to change the washwater.

Maintenance Standards

1. The wheel wash should start out each day with clean, fresh water.
2. The washwater should be changed a minimum of once per day. On large earthwork jobs where more than 10-20 trucks per hour are expected, the washwater will need to be changed more often.
3. Wheel wash or tire bath wastewater shall be discharged to a separate on-site treatment system that prevents discharge to surface water, or to the sanitary sewer system with proper local sewer district approval or permits.

FIGURE D.2.1.4.B WHEEL WASH AND PAVED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE



PLAN VIEW
NTS



ELEVATION VIEW
NTS



NOTE:
BUILD 8'x8' SUMP TO ACCOMODATE CLEANING BY TRACKHOE.

SECTION A-A
NTS

D.2.1.5 SEDIMENT RETENTION

Surface water collected from disturbed areas of the site shall be routed through a sediment pond or trap prior to release from the site. An exception is for areas at the perimeter of the site with drainage areas small enough to be treated solely with perimeter protection (see Section D.2.1.3, p. D-33). Also, if the soils and topography are such that no offsite discharge of surface water is anticipated up to and including the developed 2-year runoff event, sediment ponds and traps are not required. A 10-year peak flow using the approved model with 15-minute time steps shall be used for sediment pond/trap sizing if the project size, expected timing and duration of construction, or downstream conditions warrant a higher level of protection (see below). At the County's discretion, sites may be worked during the dry season without sediment ponds and traps if there is some other form of protection of surface waters, such as a 100-foot forested buffer between the disturbed areas and adjacent surface waters. For small sites, use the criteria defined in Section D.2.1.3, Perimeter Protection to determine minimum flow path length. If the site work has to be extended into the wet season, a back-up plan must be identified in the CSWPP plan and implemented. Protection of catch basins is required for inlets that are likely to be impacted by sediment generated by the project and that do not drain to an onsite sediment pond or trap. Sediment retention facilities shall be installed prior to grading of any contributing area and shall be located so as to avoid interference with the movement of juvenile salmonids attempting to enter off-channel areas or drainages.

Purpose: The purpose of sediment retention facilities is to remove sediment from runoff generated from disturbed areas.

When to Install: The facilities shall be constructed as the first step in the clearing and grading of the site. The surface water conveyances may then be connected to the facilities as site development proceeds.

Measures to Use: There are three sediment retention measures in this section. The first two, sediment traps and ponds, serve the same function but for different size catchments. All runoff from disturbed areas must be routed through a trap or pond except for very small areas at the perimeter of the site small enough to be treated solely with perimeter protection (see Section D.2.1.3, p. D-33). The third measure is for catch basin protection. It is only to be used in limited circumstances and is not a primary sediment treatment facility. It is only intended as a backup in the event of failure of other onsite systems.

Use of Permanent Drainage Facilities: All projects that are constructing permanent facilities for runoff quantity control are strongly encouraged to use the rough-graded or final-graded permanent facilities for ponds and traps. This includes combined facilities and infiltration facilities. When permanent facilities are used as temporary sedimentation facilities, the surface area requirements of sediment traps (for drainages less than 3 acres) or sediment ponds (more than 3 acres) must be met. If the surface area requirements are larger than the surface area of the permanent facility, then the pond shall be enlarged to comply with the surface area requirement. The permanent pond shall also be divided into two cells as required for sediment ponds. Either a permanent control structure or the temporary control structure described in Section D.2.1.5.2 may be used. If a permanent control structure is used, it may be advisable to partially restrict the lower orifice with gravel to increase residence time while still allowing dewatering of the pond.

If infiltration facilities are to be used, the sides and bottom of the facility must only be rough excavated to a minimum of three feet above final grade. Excavation should be done with a backhoe working at "arms length" to minimize disturbance and compaction of the infiltration surface. Additionally, any required pretreatment facilities shall be fully constructed prior to any release of sediment-laden water to the facility. Pretreatment and shallow excavation are intended to prevent the clogging of soil with fines. Final grading of the infiltration facility shall occur only when all contributing drainage areas are fully stabilized (see Section D.2.4.5, p. D-117).

Selection of the Design Storm: In most circumstances, the developed condition 2-year peak flow using the approved model with 15-minute time steps is sufficient for calculating surface area for ponds and traps and for determining exemptions from the sediment retention and surface water collection requirements

(Sections D.2.1.5 and D.2.1.6, respectively). In some circumstances, however, the approved model 10-year 15-minute peak flow should be used. Examples of such circumstances include the following:

- Sites that are within ¼ mile of salmonid streams, wetlands, and designated sensitive lakes such as Lake Sammamish
- Sites where significant clearing and grading is likely to occur during the wet season
- Sites with downstream erosion or sedimentation problems.

Natural Vegetation: Whenever possible, sediment-laden water shall be discharged into onsite, relatively level, vegetated areas. This is the only way to effectively remove fine particles from runoff. This can be particularly useful after initial treatment in a sediment retention facility. The areas of release must be evaluated on a site-by-site basis in order to determine appropriate locations for and methods of releasing runoff. Vegetated wetlands shall not be used for this purpose. Frequently, it may be possible to pump water from the collection point at the downhill end of the site to an upslope vegetated area. Pumping shall only augment the treatment system, not replace it because of the possibility of pump failure or runoff volume in excess of pump capacity.

D.2.1.5.1 SEDIMENT TRAP

Code: ST Symbol: 

Purpose

Sediment traps remove sediment from runoff originating from disturbed areas of the site. Sediment traps are typically designed to only remove sediment as small as medium silt (0.02 mm). As a consequence, they usually only result in a small reduction in turbidity.

Conditions of Use

A sediment trap shall be used where the contributing drainage area is 3 acres or less.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure D.2.1.5.A for details.
2. If permanent runoff control facilities are part of the project, they should be used for sediment retention (see "**Use of Permanent Drainage Facilities**" on page D-47).
3. To determine the trap geometry, first calculate the design surface area (*SA*) of the trap, measured at the invert of the weir. Use the following equation:

$$SA = FS(Q_2/V_S)$$

where Q_2 = Design inflow (cfs) from the contributing drainage area based on the developed condition 2-year or 10-year peak discharge using the approved model with 15-minute time steps as computed in the hydrologic analysis. The approved model 10-year 15-minute peak flow shall be used if the project size, expected timing and duration of construction, or downstream conditions warrant a higher level of protection, or if the pond discharge path leaves the ***site*** (note provisions must made to prevent increases in the existing site conditions 2-year and 10-year runoff peaks discharging from the project ***site*** during construction, see Section D.3.9, Flow Control). If no hydrologic analysis is required, the Rational Method may be used (Section 3.2.1 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*).

V_S = The settling velocity (ft/sec) of the soil particle of interest. The 0.02 mm (medium silt) particle with an assumed density of 2.65 g/cm^3 has been selected as the particle of interest and has a settling velocity (V_S) of 0.00096 ft/sec.

FS = A safety factor of 2 to account for non-ideal settling.

Therefore, the equation for computing surface area becomes:

$SA = 2 \times Q_2/0.00096$ or 2080 square feet per cfs of inflow

Note: Even if permanent facilities are used, they must still have a surface area that is at least as large as that derived from the above formula. If they do not, the pond must be enlarged.

4. To aid in determining sediment depth, all traps shall have a staff gage with a prominent mark one foot above the bottom of the trap.

Maintenance Standards

1. Sediment shall be removed from the trap when it reaches 1 foot in depth.
2. Any damage to the trap embankments or slopes shall be repaired.

FIGURE D.2.1.5.A SEDIMENT TRAP



CROSS SECTION



TRAP OUTLET

D.2.1.5.2 SEDIMENT POND

Code: SP Symbol: 

Purpose

Sediment ponds remove sediment from runoff originating from disturbed areas of the site. Sediment ponds are typically designed to only remove sediment as small as medium silt (0.02 mm). As a consequence, they usually reduce turbidity only slightly.

Conditions of Use

A sediment pond shall be used where the contributing drainage area is 3 acres or more.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure D.2.1.5.B, Figure D.2.1.5.C, and Figure D.2.1.5.D for details.
2. If permanent runoff control facilities are part of the project, they should be used for sediment retention (see "**Use of Permanent Drainage Facilities**" on page D-47).

Determining Pond Geometry

1. Obtain the discharge from the hydrologic calculations for the 2-year and 10-year peak flows using the approved model with 15-minute time steps (Q_2 and Q_{10}). The approved model 10-year 15-minute peak flow shall be used if the project size, expected timing and duration of construction, or downstream conditions warrant a higher level of protection, or if the pond discharge path leaves the ***site*** (note provisions must made to prevent increases in the existing site conditions 2-year and 10-year runoff peaks discharging from the project ***site*** during construction, see Section D.3.9, Flow Control). If no hydrologic analysis is required, the Rational Method may be used (Section 3.2.1 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*).
2. Determine the required surface area at the top of the riser pipe with the equation:

   $SA = 2 \times Q_{10}/0.00096$ or 2080 square feet per cfs of inflow

   See Section D.2.1.5.1 (p. D-48) for more information on the derivation of the surface area calculation.
3. The basic geometry of the pond can now be determined using the following design criteria:
   - Required surface area *SA* (from Step 2 above) at top of riser
   - Minimum 3.5-foot depth from top of riser to bottom of pond
   - Maximum 3:1 interior side slopes and maximum 2:1 exterior slopes. The interior slopes may be increased to a maximum of 2:1 if fencing is provided at or above the maximum water surface
   - One foot of freeboard between the top of the riser and the crest of the emergency spillway
   - Flat bottom
   - Minimum one foot deep spillway
   - Length-to-width ratio between 3:1 and 6:1.

Sizing of Discharge Mechanisms

Principal Spillway: Determine the required diameter for the principal spillway (riser pipe). The diameter shall be the minimum necessary to pass the developed condition 10-year peak flow using the approved model with 15-minute time steps (Q_{10}). Use Figure 5.1.4.H (*SWDM* Chapter 5) to determine this diameter (h = one foot). *Note: A permanent control structure may be used instead of a temporary riser.*

Emergency Overflow Spillway: Determine the required size and design of the emergency overflow spillway for the developed condition 100-year approved model 15-minute peak flow using the procedure in Section 5.1.1 ("Emergency Overflow Spillway" subsection) of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*.

Dewatering Orifice: Determine the size of the dewatering orifice(s) (minimum 1-inch diameter) using a modified version of the discharge equation for a vertical orifice and a basic equation for the area of a circular orifice.

1. Determine the required area of the orifice with the following equation:

$$A_o = \frac{A_s(2h)^{0.5}}{0.6\text{x}3600Tg^{0.5}} = 4.81(10^{-6})A_s\sqrt{h}$$

where A_O = orifice area (square feet)
A_S = pond surface area (square feet)
h = head of water above orifice (height of riser in feet)
T = dewatering time (24 hours)
g = acceleration of gravity (32.2 feet/second^2)

2. Convert the required surface area to the required diameter D (inches) of the orifice:

$$D = 24\text{x}\sqrt{\frac{A_o}{\pi}} = 13.54\text{x}\sqrt{A_o}$$

3. The vertical, perforated tubing connected to the dewatering orifice must be at least 2 inches larger in diameter than the orifice to improve flow characteristics. The size and number of perforations in the tubing should be large enough so that the tubing does not restrict flow. The flow rate should be controlled by the orifice.

Additional Design Specifications

- The **pond shall be divided** into two roughly equal volume cells by a permeable divider that will reduce turbulence while allowing movement of water between cells. The divider shall be at least one-half the height of the riser and a minimum of one foot below the top of the riser. Wire-backed, 2- to 3-foot high, extra strength filter fabric (see Section D.2.1.3.1) supported by treated 4"x4"s may be used as a divider. Alternatively, staked straw bales wrapped with filter fabric (geotextile) may be used.

  If the pond is more than 6 feet deep, a different mechanism must be proposed. A riprap embankment is one acceptable method of separation for deeper ponds. Other designs that satisfy the intent of this provision are allowed as long as the divider is permeable, structurally sound, and designed to prevent erosion under or around the barrier.

- To aid in determining sediment depth, **one-foot intervals** shall be prominently marked on the riser.

- If an **embankment** of more than 6 feet is proposed, the pond must comply with the criteria under "Embankments" in Section 5.1.1 of the *Surface Water Design Manual*.

Maintenance Standards

1. Sediment shall be removed from the pond when it reaches 1 foot in depth.
2. Any damage to the pond embankments or slopes shall be repaired.

FIGURE D.2.1.5.B SEDIMENT POND PLAN VIEW



FIGURE D.2.1.5.C SEDIMENT POND CROSS SECTION



FIGURE D.2.1.5.D SEDIMENT POND RISER DETAIL



D.2.1.5.3 STORM DRAIN INLET PROTECTION

Code: FFP or CBI or CBP Symbol:  or or

Purpose

Storm drain inlets are protected to prevent coarse sediment from entering storm drainage systems. Temporary devices around storm drains assist in improving the quality of water discharged to inlets or catch basins by ponding sediment-laden water. These devices are effective only for relatively small drainage areas.

Conditions of Use

1. Protection shall be provided for all storm drain inlets downslope and within 500 feet of a disturbed or construction area, unless the runoff that enters the catch basin will be conveyed to a sediment pond or trap.
2. Inlet protection may be used anywhere at the applicant's discretion to protect the drainage system. This will, however, require more maintenance, and it is highly likely that the drainage system will still require some cleaning.
3. The contributing drainage area must not be larger than one acre.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. There are many options **for protecting storm drain inlets**. Two commonly used options are filter fabric protection and catch basin inserts. *Filter fabric protection* (see Figure D.2.1.5.E) is filter fabric (geotextile) placed *over* the grate. This method is generally very ineffective and requires intense maintenance efforts. *Catch basin inserts* (see Figure D.2.1.5.F) are manufactured devices that nest inside a catch basin. This method also requires a high frequency of maintenance to be effective. Both

options provide adequate protection, but filter fabric is likely to result in ponding of water above the catch basin, while the insert will not. Thus, filter fabric is only allowed where ponding will not be a traffic concern and where slope erosion will not result if the curb is overtopped by ponded water.

Trapping sediment in the catch basins is unlikely to improve the water quality of runoff if it is treated in a pond or trap because the coarse particles that are trapped at the catch basin settle out very quickly in the pond or trap. **Catch basin protection normally only improves water quality where there is no treatment facility downstream**. In these circumstances, catch basin protection is an important last line of defense. It is not, however, a substitute for preventing erosion.

The placement of filter fabric *under* grates is generally prohibited and the use of filter fabric over grates is strictly limited and discouraged.

2. It is sometimes possible to construct a small sump around the catch basin before final surfacing of the road. This is allowed because it can be a very effective method of sediment control.

3. Block and gravel filters, gravel and wire mesh filter barriers, and bag barriers filled with various filtering media placed around catch basins can be effective when the drainage area is 1 acre or less and flows do not exceed 0.5 cfs. It is necessary to allow for overtopping to prevent flooding. Many manufacturers have various inlet protection filters that are very effective in keeping sediment-laden water from entering the storm drainage system. The following are examples of a few common methods.

   a) **Block and gravel filters** (Figure D.2.1.5.G) are a barrier formed around an inlet with standard concrete block and gravel, installed as follows:

      - Height is 1 to 2 feet above the inlet.
      - Recess the first row of blocks 2 inches into the ground for stability.
      - Support subsequent rows by placing a 2x4 through the concrete block opening.
      - Do not use mortar.
      - Lay some blocks in the bottom row on their side for dewatering the pooled water.
      - Place cloth or mesh with ½ inch openings over all block openings.
      - Place gravel below the top of blocks on slopes of 2:1 or flatter.
      - An alternate design is a gravel donut.

   b) **Gravel and wire mesh filters** consist of a gravel barrier placed over the top of an inlet. This structure generally does not provide overflow. Install as follows:

      - Cloth or comparable wire mesh with ½ inch openings is placed over inlet.
      - Coarse aggregate covers the cloth or mesh.
      - Height/depth of gravel should be 1 foot or more, 18 inches wider than inlet on all sides.

   c) **Curb inlet protection with a wooden weir** is a barrier formed around an inlet with a wooden frame and gravel, installed as follows:

      - Construct a frame and attach wire mesh (½ inch openings) and filter fabric to the frame.
      - Pile coarse washed aggregate against the wire/fabric.
      - Place weight on frame anchors.

   d) **Curb and gutter sediment barriers** (Figure D.2.1.5.H) consist of sandbags or rock berms (riprap and aggregate) 3 feet high and 3 feet wide in a horseshoe shape, installed as follows:

      - Bags of either burlap or woven geotextile fabric, filled with a variety of media such as gravel, wood chips, compost or sand stacked tightly allows water to pond and allows sediment to separate from runoff.

- Leave a "one bag gap" in the top row of the barrier to provide a spillway for overflow.
- Construct a horseshoe shaped berm, faced with coarse aggregate if using riprap, 3 x 3 and at least 2 feet from the inlet.
- Construct a horseshoe shaped sedimentation trap on the outside of the berm to sediment trap standards for protecting a culvert inlet.

4. **Excavated drop inlet sediment traps** are appropriate where relatively heavy flows are expected and overflow capability is needed. If emergency overflow is provided, additional end-of-pipe treatment may be required. Excavated drop inlets consist of an excavated impoundment area around a storm drain. Sediment settles out of the stormwater prior to enter the drain. Install according to the following specifications:

   a) The impoundment area should have a depth of 1 - 2 feet measured from the crest of the inlet structure.

   b) Side slopes of the excavated area must be no steeper than 2:1.

   c) Minimum volume of the excavated area should be 35 cubic yards.

   d) Install provisions for draining the area to prevent standing water problems.

   e) Keep the area clear of debris.

   f) Weep holes may be drilled into the side of the inlet.

   g) Protect weep holes with wire mesh and washed aggregate.

   h) Weep holes must be sealed when removing and stabilizing excavated area.

   i) A temporary dike may be necessary on the down slope side of the structure to prevent bypass flow.

Maintenance Standards

1. Any accumulated sediment on or around inlet protection shall be removed immediately. Sediment shall not be removed with water, and all sediment must be disposed of as fill on site or hauled off site.
2. Any sediment in the catch basin insert shall be removed when the sediment has filled one-third of the available storage. The filter media for the insert shall be cleaned or replaced at least monthly.
3. Regular maintenance is critical for all forms of catch basin/inlet protection. Unlike many forms of protection that fail gradually, catch basin protection will fail suddenly and completely if not maintained properly.

FIGURE D.2.1.5.E FILTER FABRIC PROTECTION



NOTE: ONLY TO BE USED WHERE PONDING OF WATER ABOVE THE CATCH BASIN WILL NOT CAUSE TRAFFIC PROBLEMS AND WHERE OVERFLOW WILL NOT RESULT IN EROSION OF SLOPES.

FIGURE D.2.1.5.F CATCH BASIN INSERT



NOTE: THIS DETAIL IS ONLY SCHEMATIC. ANY INSERT IS ALLOWED THAT HAS:

- A MIN. 0.5 C.F. OF STORAGE,
- THE MEANS TO DEWATER THE STORED SEDIMENT,
- AN OVERFLOW, AND
- CAN BE EASILY MAINTAINED.

FIGURE D.2.1.5.G BLOCK AND GRAVEL CURB INLET PROTECTION



PLAN VIEW
NTS



SECTION A-A
NTS

NOTES:

1. USE BLOCK AND GRAVEL TYPE SEDIMENT BARRIER WHEN CURB INLET IS LOCATED IN GENTLY SLOPING SEGMENT, WHERE WATER CAN POND AND ALLOW SEDIMENT TO SEPARATE FROM RUNOFF.
2. BARRIER SHALL ALLOW FOR OVERFLOW FROM SEVERE STORM EVENT.
3. INSPECT BARRIERS AND REMOVE SEDIMENT AFTER EACH STORM EVENT. SEDIMENT AND GRAVEL MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE TRAVELED WAY IMMEDIATELY.

BLOCK AND GRAVEL CURB INLET PROTECTION
NTS

FIGURE D.2.1.5.H CURB AND GUTTER BARRIER PROTECTION



PLAN VIEW
NTS

NOTES:

1. PLACE CURB-TYPE SEDIMENT BARRIERS ON GENTLY SLOPING STREET SEGMENTS, WHERE WATER CAN POND AND ALLOW SEDIMENT TO SEPARATE FROM RUNOFF.
2. SANDBAGS OF EITHER BURLAP OR WOVEN GEOTEXTILE FABRIC ARE FILLED WITH GRAVEL, LAYERED AND PACKED TIGHTLY.
3. LEAVE A ONE-SANDBAG GAP IN THE TOP ROW TO PROVIDE A SPILLWAY FOR OVERFLOW.
4. INSPECT BARRIERS AND REMOVE SEDIMENT AFTER EACH STORM EVENT. SEDIMENT AND GRAVEL MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE TRAVELED WAY IMMEDIATELY.

CURB AND GUTTER BARRIER
NTS

D.2.1.6 SURFACE WATER COLLECTION

All surface water from disturbed areas shall be intercepted, conveyed to a sediment pond or trap, and discharged downslope of any disturbed areas. An exception is for areas at the perimeter of the site with drainage areas small enough to be treated solely with perimeter protection (see Section D.2.1.3). Also, if the soils and topography are such that no offsite discharge of surface water is anticipated up to and including the developed 2-year runoff event, surface water controls are not required. A 10-year approved model 15-minute peak flow shall be used for sizing surface water controls if the project size, expected timing and duration of construction, or downstream conditions warrant a higher level of protection (see the introduction to Section D.2.1.5). At the County's discretion, sites may be worked during the dry season without surface water controls, if there is some other form of protection of surface waters, such as a 100-foot forested buffer between the disturbed areas and adjacent surface waters. Significant sources of upslope surface water that drain onto disturbed areas shall be intercepted and conveyed to a stabilized discharge point downslope of the disturbed areas. Surface water controls shall be installed concurrently with rough grading.

Purpose: The purpose of surface water control is to collect and convey surface water so that erosion is minimized, and runoff from disturbed areas is treated by a sediment pond or trap. Surface water control essentially consists of three elements:

1. Interception of runoff on and above slopes
2. Conveyance of the runoff to a sediment pond or trap (if the runoff was collected from a disturbed area)
3. Release of the runoff downslope of any disturbed areas.

When to Install: Surface water controls shall be constructed during the initial grading of an area and must be in place before there is any opportunity for storm runoff to cause erosion.

Measures to Install: Interceptor dikes/swales intercept runoff, ditches and pipe slope drains convey the runoff, and riprap or level spreaders help release the runoff in a non-erosive manner. Each measure is to be used under different circumstances so there is very little overlap. However, the two options for releasing water in a non-erosive manner, outlet protection and level spreaders, can be somewhat interchangeable. See Figure D.2.1.6.A for a schematic drawing demonstrating the use of these measures.

FIGURE D.2.1.6.A SKETCH PLAN OF SURFACE WATER CONTROLS



D.2.1.6.1 INTERCEPTOR DIKE AND SWALE

Code: ID or IS Symbol:  or

Purpose

Interceptor dikes and swales intercept storm runoff from drainage areas on or above disturbed slopes and convey it to a sediment pond or trap. They may also be used to intercept runoff from undisturbed areas and convey the runoff to a point below any exposed soils. Interception of surface water reduces the possibility of slope erosion. Interceptor dikes and swales differ from ditches (see Section D.2.1.6.4) in that they are intended to convey smaller flows along low-gradient drainage ways to larger conveyance systems such as ditches or pipe slope drains.

Conditions of Use

Interceptor dikes and swales are required in the following situations:

1. At the top of all slopes in excess of 3H:1V and with more than 20 feet of vertical relief.
2. At intervals on any slope that exceeds the dimensions specified in this section for the horizontal spacing of dikes and swales.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure D.2.1.6.B for details of an interceptor dike and Figure D.2.1.6.C for an interceptor swale.

2. Interceptor dikes and swales shall be **spaced horizontally** as follows:

Average Slope	Slope Percent	Flowpath Length
20H:1V or less	3-5%	300 feet
(10 to 20)H:1V	5-10%	200 feet
(4 to 10)H:1V	10-25%	100 feet
(2 to 4)H:1V	25-50%	50 feet

3. For slopes steeper than 2H:1V with more than 10 feet of vertical relief, **benches** may be constructed or closer spaced interceptor dikes or swales may be used. Whichever measure is chosen, the spacing and capacity of the measures must be designed by the engineer and the design must include provisions for effectively intercepting the high velocity runoff associated with steep slopes.
4. If the dike or swale intercepts runoff from **disturbed areas**, it shall discharge to a stable conveyance system that routes the runoff to a sediment pond or trap (see Section D.2.1.5). If the dike or swale intercepts runoff that originates from **undisturbed areas**, it shall discharge to a stable conveyance system that routes the runoff downslope of any disturbed areas and releases the water at a stabilized outlet.
5. **Construction traffic** over temporary dikes and swales shall be minimized.

Maintenance Standards

1. Damage resulting from runoff or construction activity shall be repaired immediately.
2. If the facilities do not regularly retain storm runoff, the capacity and/or frequency of the dikes/swales shall be increased.

FIGURE D.2.1.6.B INTERCEPTOR DIKE



FIGURE D.2.1.6.C INTERCEPTOR SWALE



D.2.1.6.2 PIPE SLOPE DRAINS

Code: PD Symbol: 

Purpose

Pipe slope drains are designed to carry concentrated runoff down steep slopes without causing erosion, or saturation of slide-prone soils. Pipe slope drains may be used to divert water away from or over bare soil to prevent gullies, channel erosion, and saturation of slide prone soils

Conditions of Use

Pipe slope drains should be used when a temporary or permanent stormwater conveyance is needed to move water down a steep slope to avoid erosion. Pipe slope drains may be:

1. Connected to new catch basins and used temporarily until all permanent piping is installed.
2. Used on any slope with a gradient of 2H:1V or greater and with at least 10 feet of vertical relief.
3. Used to drain water collected from aquifers exposed on cut slopes and convey it to the base of the slope.
4. Used to collect clean runoff from plastic sheet cover and direct away from any exposed soils.
5. Installed in conjunction with silt fence to drain collected water to a controlled area.
6. Used to divert small seasonal streams away from construction. Pipe slope drains have been used successfully on culvert replacement and extension projects. Large flex pipe may be used on larger streams during culvert removal, repair, or replacement.
7. Connected to existing downspouts and roof drains used to divert water away from work areas during building renovation, demolition, and construction projects.
8. Rock-lined ditches or other permanent, non-erosive conveyances used to convey runoff down steep slopes that are not steep slope hazard areas.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure D.2.1.6.D for details.
2. The **capacity** for temporary drains shall be sufficient to handle the developed 10-year peak flow using the approved model with 15-minute time steps. Up to 30,000 square feet may be drained by each 6-inch minimum diameter pipe without computation of the peak flow. Up to 2 acres may be drained by each 12-inch minimum diameter pipe without computation of the peak flow. Otherwise, the peak flow will need to be computed using the approved model with 15-minute timesteps. If no hydrologic analysis is required for the site, the Rational Method described in Section 3.2.1 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual* (*SWDM*) may be used.
3. The **maximum drainage area** allowed for any sized pipe is 10 acres. For larger areas, more than one pipe shall be used or a rock-lined channel shall be installed (see *SWDM* Section 4.4.1, "Open Channels").
4. The **soil** around and under the pipe and entrance section shall be thoroughly compacted.
5. The **flared inlet section** shall be securely connected to the slope drain and be fused or welded, or have flange-bolted mechanical joints to ensure a watertight seal. Ensure that the entrance area is stable and large enough to direct flow into the pipe.
6. Slope drains shall be continuously fused, welded, or flange-bolted mechanical joint pipe systems with proper anchoring to the soil.

7. Where slope drains cross steep **slope hazard areas** or their associated buffers, the installation shall be on the ground surface, accomplished with minimum alteration. In most circumstances, this requires that slope drains be constructed of corrugated metal, CPE, or equivalent pipe and installed by hand (see *SWDM* Section 4.2.1). Any area disturbed during installation or maintenance must be immediately stabilized.
8. If the pipe slope drain will convey **sediment-laden runoff**, the runoff must be directed to a sediment retention facility (see Section D.2.1.5). If the runoff is not from a disturbed area or is conveyed from a sediment trap or pond, it must be conveyed to a stabilized discharge point (see Section D.2.1.6.5).
9. Re-establish cover immediately on areas disturbed by the installation.

Maintenance Standards

1. The inlet shall not be undercut or bypassed by water. If there are problems, the head wall shall be appropriately reinforced.
2. No erosion shall occur at the outlet point. If erosion occurs, additional protection shall be added.

FIGURE D.2.1.6.D PIPE SLOPE DRAIN



D.2.1.6.3 SUBSURFACE DRAINS

Purpose

To intercept, collect, and convey ground water to a satisfactory outlet, using a perforated pipe or conduit below the ground surface. Subsurface drains are also known as "French Drains." The perforated pipe provides a dewatering mechanism to drain excessively wet soils, provide a stable base for construction, improve stability of structures with shallow foundations, or to reduce hydrostatic pressure and to improve slope stability.

Conditions of Use

Use when excessive water must be removed from the soil. The soil permeability, depth to water table, and impervious layers are all factors that may govern the use of subsurface drains.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. Two types of drains may be used as follows:
   a) **Relief drains** are used either to lower the water table in large, relatively flat areas, improve the growth of vegetation, or to remove surface water. They are installed along a slope and drain in the direction of the slope. They may be installed in a grid pattern, a herringbone pattern, or a random pattern.
   b) **Interceptor drains** are used to remove excess groundwater from a slope, stabilize steep slopes, and lower the water table below a slope to prevent the soil from becoming saturated. They are installed perpendicular to a slope and drain to the side of the slope. They usually consist of a single pipe or single pipes instead of a patterned layout.
2. **Size of Drains** – Size subsurface drains to carry the required capacity without pressurized flow. Minimum diameter for a subsurface drain is 4 inches.
3. **Outlet** – Ensure that the outlet of a drain empties into a channel or other watercourse above the normal water level.

Maintenance Standards

1. Subsurface drains shall be checked periodically to ensure that they are free flowing and not clogged with sediment or roots.
2. The outlet shall be kept clear and free of debris.
3. Surface inlets shall be kept open and free of sediment and other debris.
4. Trees located too close to a subsurface drain often clog the system with roots. If a drain becomes clogged, relocate the drain or remove the trees as a last resort. Drain placement should be planned to minimize this problem.
5. Where drains are crossed by heavy equipment, the line shall be checked to ensure that it is not crushed and have adequate cover protection.

D.2.1.6.4 DITCHES

Code: DI Symbol:

Purpose

Ditches convey intercepted runoff from disturbed areas to and from sediment ponds or traps. They also convey runoff intercepted from undisturbed areas around the site to a non-erosive discharge point.

Conditions of Use

Ditches may be used anywhere that concentrated runoff is to be conveyed on or around the construction site. Temporary pipe systems may also be used to convey runoff.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. Channels and ditches shall be sized to accommodate the developed condition 10-year approved model 15-minute peak flow with 0.5 feet of freeboard. If no hydrologic analysis is required for the site, the Rational Method may be used [see Section 3.2.1 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual* (*SWDM*)].
2. See *SWDM* Section 4.4.1 for open-channel design requirements.

3. The only exception to the requirements of *SWDM* Section 4.4.1 is the use of check dams, rather than grass lining, for channels in which the design flow velocity does not exceed 5 fps. See Figure D.2.1.6.E for details on check dam installation.

Maintenance Standards

1. Any sediment deposition of more than 0.5 feet shall be removed so that the channel is restored to its design capacity.
2. If the channel capacity is insufficient for the design flow, it must be determined whether the problem is local (e.g., a constriction or bend) or the channel is under-designed. If the problem is local, the channel capacity must be increased through construction of a berm(s) or by excavation. If the problem is under-design, the design engineer shall be notified and the channel redesigned to a more conservative standard to be approved by King County.
3. The channel shall be examined for signs of scouring and erosion of the bed and banks. If scouring or erosion has occurred, affected areas shall be protected by riprap or an erosion control blanket or net.

FIGURE D.2.1.6.E CHECK DAMS



CROSS SECTION



CHECK DAM SPACING

D.2.1.6.5 OUTLET PROTECTION

Code: OP Symbol: 

Purpose

Outlet protection prevents scour at conveyance outlets.

Conditions of Use

Outlet protection is required at the outlets of all ponds, pipes, ditches, or other approved conveyances, and where runoff is conveyed to a natural or manmade drainage feature such as a stream, wetland, lake, or ditch.

Design and Installation Specifications

For the standard pipe slope drains in Section D.2.1.6.2 and other smaller conveyance systems, the standard rock pad (6 feet by 8 feet) made of 1-foot thick quarry spall is adequate. For all other outlets, the outlet protection shall meet the requirements of the "Outfalls" section of Core Requirement #4 and Section 4.2.2 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*.

Maintenance Standards for Outlet Protection

If there is scour at the outlet, the eroded area shall be protected with more conservative measures proposed by the design engineer and approved by King County.

D.2.1.6.6 LEVEL SPREADER

Code: LS Symbol: 

Purpose

Level spreaders convert concentrated runoff to sheet flow and release it onto areas stabilized by existing vegetation.

Conditions of Use

Level spreaders may be used where runoff from undisturbed areas or sediment retention facilities is discharged. This practice applies only where the spreader can be constructed on undisturbed soil and the area below the level lip is vegetated and low gradient (see below).

Note: Level spreaders are conceptually an ideal way to release stormwater since the vegetation and soil allow for the removal of fines from runoff that cannot be removed by settling or filtration. Unfortunately, the performance record of spreaders in the field is dismal. They are frequently under-designed and, despite the best installations, are rarely perfectly level, which results in the release of stormwater at a particular point. This concentrated runoff can result in catastrophic erosion downslope. Given such design failures, ***the use of spreaders is not encouraged****. However, where slopes are gentle and the water volume is relatively low, spreaders may still be the best method. When proposing their use, the designer shall carefully evaluate the site for possible concerns.*

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure D.2.1.6.F for detail. Other designs may be used subject to County approval.

2. If runoff velocity as it enters the level spreader is more than 4 fps for the developed condition10-year approved model 15-minute peak flow, a **riprap apron** must be provided to dissipate energy before the runoff enters the spreader (Section D.2.1.6.5).
3. The **total spreader length** shall be at least the square root of the catchment area. The maximum length for an individual spreader is 50 feet, limiting the catchment area that a single spreader may serve to 2500 square feet. Although this is very small, four 50-foot level spreaders next to one another could serve nearly an acre (40,000 square feet). Multiple spreaders shall not be placed uphill or downhill from one another in a configuration that would allow water released from one spreader to enter a downslope spreader.
4. The area below the spreader for a horizontal distance of 100 feet shall not exceed 20 percent and shall be completely vegetated with no areas of instability or erosion. The topography for a horizontal distance of 50 feet below the spreader shall be uniform so that runoff is not funneled into a swale or channel immediately after its release.
5. The area downslope of level spreader shall be **seeded and mulched** in accordance with Section D.2.1.2 (p. D-12).

Maintenance Standards

1. Any damage to the spreader shall be immediately repaired. Assure flows do not bypass the spreader at the ends of the spreader.
2. The downslope area shall be checked for signs of erosion and to verify that the spreader is not functioning as a point discharge. Any eroded areas shall be immediately stabilized, and the cause determined and eliminated if possible. If the erosion is recurrent and the design, even when properly installed and maintained, is not adequate to prevent erosion, a new method of releasing runoff shall be installed in accordance with the standards of this appendix. Any new design must be approved by King County.

FIGURE D.2.1.6.F LEVEL SPREADER



CROSS SECTION



DETAIL OF SPREADER

D.2.1.7 DEWATERING CONTROL

Any runoff generated by dewatering shall be treated through construction of a sediment trap (Section D.2.1.5.1) when there is sufficient space or by releasing the water to a well vegetated, gently sloping area. Since pumps are used for dewatering, it may be possible to pump the sediment-laden water well away from the surface water so that vegetation can be more effectively utilized for treatment. Discharge of sediment-laden water from dewatering activities to surface and storm waters is prohibited. If dewatering occurs from areas where the water has come in contact with new concrete, such as tanks, vaults, or foundations, the pH of the water must be monitored and must be neutralized prior to discharge. Clean non-turbid dewatering water, such as well point ground water can be discharged to systems tributary to, or directly to surface waters provided the flows are controlled so no erosion or flooding occurs. Clean water must not be routed through a stormwater sediment pond. Highly turbid or contaminated dewatering water must be handled separately from stormwater.

Purpose: To prevent the untreated discharge of sediment-laden water from dewatering of utilities, excavated areas, foundations, etc.

When to Install: Dewatering control measures shall be used whenever there is a potential for runoff from dewatering of utilities, excavations, foundations, etc.

Measures to install:

1. Foundation, vault, excavation, and trench dewatering water that has similar characteristics to stormwater runoff at the site shall be discharged into a controlled conveyance system prior to discharge to a sediment trap or sediment pond. Foundation and trench dewatering water **that has similar characteristics to stormwater runoff** at the site must be disposed of through one of the following options depending on site constraints:
   a) Infiltration,
   b) Transport offsite in a vehicle, such as a vacuum flush truck, for legal disposal in a manner that does not pollute surface waters,
   c) Discharge to the sanitary sewer discharge with local sewer district approval if there is no other option, or
   d) Use of a sedimentation bag with outfall to a ditch or swale for small volumes of localized dewatering.
2. Clean, non-turbid dewatering water, such as well-point ground water, may be discharged via stable conveyance to systems tributary to surface waters, provided the dewatering flow does not cause erosion or flooding of receiving waters.
3. **Highly turbid or contaminated dewatering water (high pH or other) shall be handled separately** from stormwater. See Section D.2.2 (p. D-74), *SWPPS Measures*.

D.2.1.8 DUST CONTROL

Preventative measures to minimize the wind transport of soil shall be taken when a traffic hazard may be created or when sediment transported by wind is likely to be deposited in water resources or adjacent properties.

Purpose: To prevent wind transport of dust from exposed soil surfaces onto roadways, drainage ways, and surface waters.

When to Install: Dust control shall be implemented when exposed soils are dry to the point that wind transport is possible and roadways, drainage ways, or surface waters are likely to be impacted. Dust control measures may consist of chemical, structural, or mechanical methods.

Measures to Install: Water is the most common dust control (or palliative) used in the area. When using water for dust control, the exposed soils shall be sprayed until wet, but runoff shall not be generated by spraying. Calcium chloride, Magnesium chloride, Lignin derivatives, Tree Resin Emulsions, and Synthetic Polymer Emulsions may also be used for dust control. Exposed areas shall be re-sprayed as needed. Oil shall not be used for dust control. The following table lists many common dust control measures. Some of the measures are not recommended for use in King County and must have prior approval prior to use from the DLS-Permitting inspector assigned to specific projects.

TABLE D.2.1.8.A DUST CONTROL MEASURES

METHOD	CONSIDERATIONS	SITE PREPARATION	RECOMMENDED APPLICATION RATE
Water	-Most commonly used practice -Evaporates quickly -Lasts less than 1 day	For all liquid agents: -Blade a small surface -Crown or slope surface to avoid ponding -Compact soils if needed -Uniformly pre-wet at 0.03 – 0.3 gal/sq yd -Apply solution under pressure. Overlap solution 6 – 12 inches -Allow treated area to cure 0 – 4 hours -Compact area after curing -Apply second treatment before first treatment becomes ineffective	0.125 gal/sq yd every 20 to 30 minutes
Salts Calcium Chloride (CaCl)	-Restricts evaporation -Lasts 6-12 months -Can be corrosive -Less effective in low humidity -Can build up in soils and leach by rain		Apply 38% solution at 1.21L/m2 (0.27 gal/yd2) or as loose dry granules per manufacturer
Magnesium Chloride (MgCl)	-Restricts evaporation -Works at higher temperatures and lower humidity than CaCl -May be more costly than CaCl		Apply 26 – 32% solution at 2.3 L/m2 (0.5 gal/yd2)
Sodium Chloride (NaCl)	-Effective over smaller range of conditions -Less expensive -Can be corrosive -Less effective in low humidity		Per Manufacturer
Silicates	-Generally expensive -Available in small quantities -Require Second application		
Surfactants	-High evaporation rates -Effective for short time periods -Must apply frequently		
Copolymers	-Forms semi-permeable transparent crust -Resists ultraviolet radiation and moisture induced breakdown -Last 1 to 2 years		750 – 940 L/ha (80 – 100 gal/ac)
Petroleum Products	-Used oil is prohibited as a dust control method -Bind soil particles -May hinder foliage growth -Environmental and aesthetic concerns -Higher cost		Use 57 – 63% resins as base. Apply at 750 – 940 L/ha (80-100 gal/ac)
Lignin Sulfonate	-Paper industry waste product -Acts as dispersing agent -Best in dry climates -Can be slippery -Will decrease Dissolved Oxygen in waterways therefore cannot be used adjacent to surface water systems		Loosen surface 25-50 mm (1 – 2 inches) Need 4-8% fines
Vegetable Oils	-Coat grains of soils, so limited binding ability -May become brittle -Limited availability		Per Manufacturer
Spray on Adhesives	-Available as organic or synthetic -Effective on dry, hard soils -Forms a crust -Can last 3 to 4 years		Per Manufacturer

D.2.1.9 FLOW CONTROL

Surface water from disturbed areas must be routed through the project's onsite flow control facility or other provisions must made to prevent increases in the existing site conditions 2-year and 10-year runoff peaks discharging from the project site during construction.

Purpose: The purpose of surface water flow control is to mitigate increases in runoff peaks that occur during construction as a result of clearing vegetation, compacting the soil, and adding impervious surface. Such increases can cause or aggravate downstream flooding and erosion.

When to Install: Surface water flow control shall be installed or otherwise provided prior to any clearing and/or grading of the site, except that required to construct the surface water flow control facilities.

Measures to Use: The project's onsite flow control facility or other equivalent storage facility that meets the peak-matching performance criteria stated above.

D.2.1.10 PROTECT EXISTING AND PROPOSED FLOW CONTROL BMPS

Protection measures shall be applied/installed and maintained so as to prevent adverse impacts to existing flow control BMPs and areas of proposed flow control BMPs for the project. Adverse impacts can prompt the requirement to restore or replace affected BMPs.

Purpose: The purpose of protecting existing and proposed flow control BMP areas is to avoid sedimentation and soil compaction that would adversely affect infiltration, and also avoid contamination by other pollutants.

When to Install: Flow control BMP area protection shall be installed or otherwise provided prior to any clearing and/or grading of the site, except that required to construct flow control BMPs.

Measures to Use:

1. Protect all flow control BMPs and proposed BMP footprints from sedimentation through installation and maintenance of erosion and sediment control BMPs on portions of the site that drain into the flow control BMPs.
2. BMPs shall be restored to their fully functioning condition if they accumulate sediment during construction. Restoring the BMP shall include, at a minimum, removal of sediment and any sediment-laden bioretention soils, and replacing the removed soils with soils meeting the design specification. Replacement with a new fully-functioning BMP may be required if restoration to the fully-functioning condition can’t be accomplished.
3. Prevent compacting Bioretention BMPs by excluding construction equipment and foot traffic. Protect completed lawn and landscaped areas from compaction due to construction equipment.
4. Control erosion and avoid introducing sediment from surrounding land uses onto permeable pavements. Do not allow muddy construction equipment on the base material or pavement. Do not allow sediment-laden runoff onto permeable pavements.
5. Pavements fouled with sediments or no longer passing an initial infiltration text must be cleaned using procedures from the local stormwater manual or the manufacturer’s procedures.
6. Keep all heavy equipment off existing soils under flow control BMPs that have been excavated to final grade to retain the infiltration rate of the soils.

Additional Guidance

See Chapter 5: Precision Site Preparation and Construction in the *LID Technical Guidance Manual for Puget Sound* for more detail on protecting LID integrated management practices. Note that the LID Technical Guidance Manual for Puget Sound (2012) is for additional informational purposes only. The

guidance within this manual must be followed if there are any discrepancies between this manual and the LID Technical Guidance Manual for Puget Sound (2012).

D.2.1.11 MAINTAIN PROTECTIVE BMPS

Protection measures shall be maintained to assure continued performance of their intended function, to prevent adverse impacts to existing flow control BMPs and areas of proposed flow control BMPs, and protect other disturbed areas of the project.

Purpose: The purpose of maintaining protective BMPs is to provide continuous erosion and sediment control protection throughout the life of the project, and avoid sedimentation, soil compaction and contamination by other pollutants that would adversely affect infiltration and surface runoff.

When to Maintain: Protection measures shall be monitored per Section D.2.4.4 at a minimum, and promptly maintained to fully functioning condition as necessary to assure continued performance of their intended function.

Measures to Use:

1. Maintain and repair all temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control BMPs as needed to assure continued performance of their intended function in accordance with BMP specifications.
2. Remove all temporary erosion and sediment control BMPs prior to final construction approval, or within 30 days after achieving *final* site stabilization or after the temporary BMPs are no longer needed.
3. Provide protection to all BMPs installed for the permanent control of stormwater from sediment and compaction. All BMPs that are to remain in place following completion of construction shall be examined and placed in full operating conditions. If sediment enters the BMPs during construction, it shall be removed and the BMP shall be returned to the conditions specified in the construction documents or as required for full BMP replacement.
4. Remove or stabilize trapped sediment on site. Permanently stabilize disturbed soil resulting from removal of BMPs or vegetation.

D.2.1.12 MANAGE THE PROJECT

Coordination and timing of site development activities relative to ESC concerns (Section D.2.4), and timely inspection, maintenance and update of protective measures (Section D.2.3) are necessary to effectively manage the project and assure the success of protective ESC and SWPPS design and implementation.

Projects shall assign a qualified CSWPP Supervisor (Section D.2.3.1) to be the primary contact for ESC and SWPPP issues and reporting, coordination with subcontractors and implementation of the CSWPP plan as a whole.

Measures to Use:

1. Phase development projects to the maximum degree practicable and take into account seasonal work limits.
2. Inspection and monitoring – Inspect, maintain, and repair all BMPs as needed to assure continued performance of their intended function. Conduct site inspections and monitoring in accordance with the Construction Stormwater General Permit and King County requirements.
3. Maintaining an updated construction SWPPP – Maintain, update, and implement the SWPPP in accordance with the Construction Stormwater General Permit and King County requirements.
4. Projects that disturb one or more acres must have, site inspections conducted by a Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead (CESCL) (see Section D.2.3.1). Project sites less than one acre (not part

of a larger common plan of development or sale) may have a person without CESCL certification conduct inspections. By the initiation of construction, the SWPPP must identify the CESCL or inspector, who shall be present on-site or on-call at all times.

The CESCL or inspector (project sites less than one acre) must have the skills to assess the:

- Site conditions and construction activities that could impact the quality of stormwater.
- Effectiveness of erosion and sediment control measures used to control the quality of stormwater discharges.
- The CESCL or inspector must examine stormwater visually for the presence of suspended sediment, turbidity, discoloration, and oil sheen. They must evaluate the effectiveness of BMPs and determine if it is necessary to install, maintain, or repair BMPs to improve the quality of stormwater discharges.

Based on the results of the inspection, construction site operators must correct the problems identified by:

- Reviewing the SWPPP for compliance with all construction SWPPP elements and making appropriate revisions within 7 days of the inspection.
- Immediately beginning the process of fully implementing and maintaining appropriate source control and/or treatment BMPs as soon as possible, addressing the problems not later than within 10 days of the inspection. If installation of necessary treatment BMPs is not feasible within 10 days, the construction site operator may request an extension within the initial 10-day response period.
- Documenting BMP implementation and maintenance in the site log book (applies only to sites that have coverage under the Construction Stormwater General Permit).
- The CESCL or inspector must inspect all areas disturbed by construction activities, all BMPs, and all stormwater discharge points at least once every calendar week and within 24 hours of any discharge from the site. (For purposes of this condition, individual discharge events that last more than one day do not require daily inspections. For example, if a stormwater pond discharges continuously over the course of a week, only one inspection is required that week.) The CESCL or inspector may reduce the inspection frequency for temporary stabilized, inactive sites to once every calendar month

D.2.2 SWPPS MEASURES

This section details the SWPPS measures that are required to prevent, reduce, or eliminate the discharge of pollutants to onsite or adjacent stormwater systems or watercourses from construction-related activities such as materials delivery and storage, onsite equipment fueling and maintenance, demolition of existing buildings and disposition of demolition materials and other waste, and concrete handling, washout and disposal.. These SWPPS measures represent *Best Management Practices (BMPs)*[8] for the control of pollutant drips and spills as well as other impacts related to construction such as increased pH in concrete construction and handling activities. Compliance with each of the SWPPS measures, and with any project-specific control measures, to the extent applicable and necessary to meet the performance criteria in Section D.2.2, and compliance with the CSWPP implementation requirements in Section D.2.4, constitutes overall compliance with King County's CSWPP Standards.

Note: Additional measures shall be required by the County if the existing standards are insufficient to protect adjacent properties, drainage facilities, or water resources.

The standards for each individual SWPPS measure are divided into four sections:

1. Purpose
2. Conditions of Use
3. Design and Installation Specifications
4. Maintenance Requirements.

Note that the "Conditions of Use" always refers to site conditions. As site conditions change, SWPPS measures must be changed to remain in compliance with the requirements of this appendix.

Whenever compliance with King County SWPPS Standards is required, all of the following SWPPS measures must be considered for application to the project site as detailed in the following sections. The construction pollutant generating concerns addressed by the BMPs that follow include:

- Concrete handling, washout and disposal(specifically portland cement concrete)
- Sawcutting and surfacing activities
- Materials delivery, storage and containment
- Filtration and chemical treatment of construction water to facilitate disposal or discharge to approved locations
- Reporting requirements and documentation availability for specific BMP processes

Additionally, several of the ESC BMPs described in Section D.2.1 can be applicable to the SWPPS plan, e.g., use of cover, fencing and access protection to protect temporary materials storage locations. The applicant's material supplier may be a resource (subject to King County approval) for BMPs to address specific project applications or proposals. Conditions of approval on adjustments may also specify additional requirements for the SWPPS plan.

[8] *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* means the best available and reasonable physical, structural, managerial, or behavioral activities, that when singly or in combination, eliminate or reduce the contamination of surface and/or ground waters.

D.2.2.1 CONCRETE HANDLING

Purpose

Concrete work can generate process water and slurry that contain fine particles and high pH, both of which can violate water quality standards in the receiving water. Concrete spillage or concrete discharge to surface waters of the State is prohibited. Use this BMP to minimize and eliminate concrete, concrete process water, and concrete slurry from entering waters of the state.

Conditions of Use

Any time concrete is used, utilize these management practices. Concrete construction projects include, but are not limited to, curbs, sidewalks, roads, bridges, foundations, floors, stormwater vaults, retaining walls, driveways and runways.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. Assure that washout of concrete trucks, chutes, pumps, and internals is performed at an approved off-site location or in designated concrete washout areas. Do not wash out concrete trucks, chutes, pumps, or internals onto the ground, or into storm drains, open ditches, streets, or streams. Refer to BMP D.2.2.2 (p. D-76) for information on concrete washout areas.
2. Return unused concrete remaining in the truck and pump to the originating batch plant for recycling. Do not dump excess concrete on site, except in designated concrete washout areas.
3. Wash off hand tools including, but not limited to, screeds, shovels, rakes, floats, and trowels into formed areas awaiting future concrete pours only.
4. Do not wash out to formed areas awaiting infiltration BMPs.
5. Wash equipment difficult to move, such as concrete pavers in areas that do not directly drain to natural or constructed stormwater conveyances.
6. Do not allow washdown from areas, such as concrete aggregate driveways, to drain directly to natural or constructed stormwater conveyances.
7. Contain washwater and leftover product in a lined container when no formed areas are available,. Dispose of contained concrete in a manner that does not violate ground water or surface water quality standards.
8. Always use forms or solid barriers for concrete pours, such as pilings, within 15-feet of surface waters.
9. Refer to BMPs D.2.2.7 and D.2.2.8 for pH adjustment requirements.
10. Refer to the Construction Stormwater General Permit for pH monitoring requirements if the project involves one of the following activities:
    - Significant concrete work (greater than 1,000 cubic yards poured concrete or recycled concrete used over the life of a project).
    - The use of engineered soils amended with (but not limited to) Portland cement-treated base, cement kiln dust or fly ash.
    - Discharging stormwater to segments of water bodies on the 303(d) list (Category 5) for high pH.

Maintenance Standards

Check containers for holes in the liner daily during concrete pours and repair the same day.

D.2.2.2 CONCRETE WASHOUT AREA

Purpose

Prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to stormwater from concrete waste by conducting washout off-site, or performing on-site washout in a designated area to prevent pollutants from entering surface waters or ground water.

Conditions of Use

Concrete washout area best management practices are implemented on construction projects where:

- Concrete is used as a construction material
- It is not possible to dispose of all concrete wastewater and washout off-site (ready mix plant, etc.).
- Concrete trucks, pumpers, or other concrete coated equipment are washed on-site.

Note: If less than 10 concrete trucks or pumpers need to be washed out on-site, the washwater may be disposed of in a formed area awaiting concrete or an upland disposal site where it will not contaminate surface or ground water. The upland disposal site shall be at least 50 feet from sensitive areas such as storm drains, open ditches, or water bodies, including wetlands.

Design and Installation Specifications

Implementation

The following steps will help reduce stormwater pollution from concrete wastes:

1. Perform washout of concrete trucks at an approved off-site location or in designated concrete washout areas only.
2. Do not wash out concrete trucks onto the ground, or into storm drains, open ditches, streets, or streams.
3. Do not allow excess concrete to be dumped on-site, except in designated concrete washout areas.
4. Concrete washout areas may be prefabricated concrete washout containers, or self-installed structures (above-grade or below-grade).
5. Prefabricated containers are most resistant to damage and protect against spills and leaks. Companies may offer delivery service and provide regular maintenance and disposal of solid and liquid waste.
6. If self-installed concrete washout areas are used, below-grade structures are preferred over above-grade structures because they are less prone to spills and leaks.
7. Self-installed above-grade structures should only be used if excavation is not practical.

Education

1. Discuss the concrete management techniques described in this BMP with the ready-mix concrete supplier before any deliveries are made.
2. Educate employees and subcontractors on the concrete waste management techniques described in this BMP.
3. Arrange for contractor’s superintendent or Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead (CESCL) to oversee and enforce concrete waste management procedures.
4. A sign should be installed adjacent to each temporary concrete washout facility to inform concrete equipment operators to utilize the proper facilities.

Contracts

Incorporate requirements for concrete waste management into concrete supplier and subcontractor agreements.

Location and Placement

1. Locate washout area at least 50 feet from sensitive areas such as storm drains, open ditches, or water bodies, including wetlands.
2. Allow convenient access for concrete trucks, preferably near the area where the concrete is being poured.
3. If trucks need to leave a paved area to access washout, prevent track-out with a pad of rock or quarry spalls (see BMP D.2.1.4.2 (p. D-44)). These areas should be far enough away from other construction traffic to reduce the likelihood of accidental damage and spills.
4. The number of facilities you install should depend on the expected demand for storage capacity.
5. On large sites with extensive concrete work, washouts should be placed in multiple locations for ease of use by concrete truck drivers.

On-site Temporary Concrete Washout Facility, Transit Truck Washout Procedures:

1. Temporary concrete washout facilities shall be located a minimum of 50 ft from sensitive areas including storm drain inlets, open drainage facilities, and watercourses. (See Figures D.2.2.2.A, D.2.2.2.B and D.2.2.2.C).
2. Concrete washout facilities shall be constructed and maintained in sufficient quantity and size to contain all liquid and concrete waste generated by washout operations.
3. Washout of concrete trucks shall be performed in designated areas only.
4. Concrete washout from concrete pumper bins can be washed into concrete pumper trucks and discharged into designated washout area or properly disposed of off-site.
5. Once concrete wastes are washed into the designated area and allowed to harden, the concrete should be broken up, removed, and disposed of per applicable solid waste regulations. Dispose of hardened concrete on a regular basis.
6. Temporary Above-Grade Concrete Washout Facility
    a. Temporary concrete washout facility (type above grade) should be constructed as shown on the details below, with a recommended minimum length and minimum width of 10 ft, but with sufficient quantity and volume to contain all liquid and concrete waste generated by washout operations.
    b. Plastic lining material should be a minimum of 10 mil polyethylene sheeting and should be free of holes, tears, or other defects that compromise the impermeability of the material.
7. Temporary Below-Grade Concrete Washout Facility
    a. Temporary concrete washout facilities (type below grade) should be constructed as shown on the details below, with a recommended minimum length and minimum width of 10 ft. The quantity and volume should be sufficient to contain all liquid and concrete waste generated by washout operations.
    b. Lath and flagging should be commercial type.
    c. Plastic lining material shall be a minimum of 10 mil polyethylene sheeting and should be free of holes, tears, or other defects that compromise the impermeability of the material.
    d. Liner seams shall be installed in accordance with manufacturers' recommendations.
    e. Soil base shall be prepared free of rocks or other debris that may cause tears or holes in the plastic lining material.

Maintenance Standards

Inspection and Maintenance

1. Inspect and verify that concrete washout BMPs are in place prior to the commencement of concrete work.
2. During periods of concrete work, inspect daily to verify continued performance.
    a. Check overall condition and performance.
    b. Check remaining capacity (% full).
    c. If using self-installed washout facilities, verify plastic liners are intact and sidewalls are not damaged.
    d. If using prefabricated containers, check for leaks.
3. Washout facilities shall be maintained to provide adequate holding capacity with a minimum freeboard of 12 inches.
4. Washout facilities must be cleaned, or new facilities must be constructed and ready for use once the washout is 75% full.
5. If the washout is nearing capacity, vacuum and dispose of the waste material in an approved manner.
    a. Do not discharge liquid or slurry to waterways, storm drains or directly onto ground.
    b. Do not use sanitary sewer without local approval.
    c. Place a secure, non-collapsing, non-water collecting cover over the concrete washout facility prior to predicted wet weather to prevent accumulation and overflow of precipitation.
    d. Remove and dispose of hardened concrete and return the structure to a functional condition. Concrete may be reused on-site or hauled away for disposal or recycling.
6. When you remove materials from the self-installed concrete washout, build a new structure; or, if the previous structure is still intact, inspect for signs of weakening or damage, and make any necessary repairs. Re-line the structure with new plastic after each cleaning.

Removal of Temporary Concrete Washout Facilities

1. When temporary concrete washout facilities are no longer required for the work, the hardened concrete, slurries and liquids shall be removed and properly disposed of.
2. Materials used to construct temporary concrete washout facilities shall be removed from the site of the work and disposed of or recycled.
3. Holes, depressions or other ground disturbance caused by the removal of the temporary concrete washout facilities shall be backfilled, repaired, and stabilized to prevent erosion.

FIGURE D.2.2.2.A CONCRETE WASHOUT AREA (ABOVE GRADE)



ABOVE GRADE TEMPORARY CONCRETE WASHOUT FACILITY
NTS

Adapted from CalTrans Fig4-14 SAC 8-14-02

FIGURE D.2.2.2.B CONCRETE WASHOUT AREA (BELOW GRADE)



BELOW GRADE TEMPORARY CONCRETE WASHOUT FACILITY
NTS

Adapted from CalTrans Fig4-14 SAC 8-14-02

FIGURE D.2.2.2.C PREFABRICATED CONCRETE WASHOUT CONTAINER W/RAMP



D.2.2.3 SAWCUTTING AND SURFACING POLLUTION PREVENTION

Purpose

Sawcutting and surfacing operations generate slurry and process water that contains fine particles and high pH (concrete cutting), both of which can violate the water quality standards in the receiving water. Concrete spillage or concrete discharge to surface waters of the State is prohibited. Use this BMP to minimize and eliminate process water and slurry created through sawcutting or surfacing from entering waters of the State.

Conditions of Use

Utilize these management practices anytime sawcutting or surfacing operations take place. Sawcutting and surfacing operations include, but are not limited to, sawing, coring, grinding, roughening, hydro-demolition, bridge and road surfacing

Design and Installation Specifications

1. Vacuum slurry and cuttings during cutting and surfacing operations.
2. Slurry and cuttings shall not remain on permanent concrete or asphalt pavement overnight.
3. Slurry and cuttings shall not drain to any natural or constructed drainage conveyance including stormwater systems. This may require temporarily blocking catch basins.
4. Dispose of collected slurry and cuttings in a manner that does not violate ground water or surface water quality standards.
5. Do not allow process water generated during hydro-demolition, surface roughening or similar operations to drain to any natural or constructed drainage conveyance including stormwater systems. Dispose process water in a manner that does not violate ground water or surface water quality standards.
6. Handle and dispose cleaning waste material and demolition debris in a manner that does not cause contamination of water. Dispose of sweeping material from a pick-up sweeper at an appropriate disposal site.

Maintenance Standards

Continually monitor operations to determine whether slurry, cuttings, or process water could enter waters of the state. If inspections show that a violation of water quality standards could occur, stop operations and immediately implement preventive measures such as berms, barriers, secondary containment, and vacuum trucks.

D.2.2.4 MATERIAL DELIVERY, STORAGE AND CONTAINMENT

Purpose

Prevent, reduce, or eliminate the discharge of pollutants to the stormwater system or watercourses from material delivery and storage. Minimize the storage of hazardous materials on-site, store materials in a designated area, and install secondary containment.

Conditions of Use

These procedures are suitable for use at all construction sites with delivery and storage of the following materials:

- Petroleum products such as fuel, oil and grease
- Soil stabilizers and binders (e.g. Polyacrylamide)
- Fertilizers, pesticides and herbicides
- Detergents
- Asphalt and concrete compounds
- Hazardous chemicals such as acids, lime, adhesives, paints, solvents and curing compounds
- Any other material that may be detrimental if released to the environment

Design and Installation Specifications

The following steps should be taken to minimize risk:

1. Temporary storage area should be located away from vehicular traffic, near the construction entrance(s), and away from waterways or storm drains.
2. Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) should be supplied for all materials stored. Chemicals should be kept in their original labeled containers.
3. Hazardous material storage on-site should be minimized.
4. Hazardous materials should be handled as infrequently as possible.
5. During the wet weather season (Oct 1 – April 30), consider storing materials in a covered area.
6. Materials should be stored in secondary containments, such as earthen dike, horse trough, or even a children's wading pool for non-reactive materials such as detergents, oil, grease, and paints. Small amounts of material may be secondarily contained in "bus boy" trays or concrete mixing trays.
7. Do not store chemicals, drums, or bagged materials directly on the ground. Place these items on a pallet and, when possible, and within secondary containment.
8. If drums must be kept uncovered, store them at a slight angle to reduce ponding of rainwater on the lids to reduce corrosion. Domed plastic covers are inexpensive and snap to the top of drums, preventing water from collecting.

Material Storage Areas and Secondary Containment Practices:

1. Liquids, petroleum products, and substances listed in 40 CFR Parts 110, 117, or 302 shall be stored in approved containers and drums and shall not be overfilled. Containers and drums shall be stored in temporary secondary containment facilities.
2. Temporary secondary containment facilities shall provide for a spill containment volume able to contain 10% of the total enclosed container volume of all containers, or 110% of the capacity of the largest container within its boundary, whichever is greater.

3. Secondary containment facilities shall be impervious to the materials stored therein for a minimum contact time of 72 hours.
4. Secondary containment facilities shall be maintained free of accumulated rainwater and spills. In the event of spills or leaks, accumulated rainwater and spills shall be collected and placed into drums. These liquids shall be handled as hazardous waste unless testing determines them to be non-hazardous.
5. Sufficient separation should be provided between stored containers to allow for spill cleanup and emergency response access.
6. During the wet weather season (Oct 1 – April 30), each secondary containment facility shall be covered during non-working days, prior to and during rain events.
7. Keep material storage areas clean, organized and equipped with an ample supply of appropriate spill clean-up material (spill kit).
8. The spill kit should include, at a minimum:
    - 1-Water Resistant Nylon Bag
    - 3-Oil Absorbent Socks 3”x 4’
    - 2-Oil Absorbent Socks 3”x 10’
    - 12-Oil Absorbent Pads 17”x19”
    - 1-Pair Splash Resistant Goggles
    - 3-Pair Nitrile Gloves
    - 10-Disposable Bags with Ties
    - Instructions

D.2.2.5 CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER CHEMICAL TREATMENT

Purpose

This BMP applies when using stormwater chemicals in batch treatment or flow-through treatment.

Turbidity is difficult to control once fine particles are suspended in stormwater runoff from a construction site. Sedimentation ponds are effective at removing larger particulate matter by gravity settling, but are ineffective at removing smaller particulates such as clay and fine silt. Traditional erosion and sediment control BMPs may not be adequate to ensure compliance with the water quality standards for turbidity in receiving water.

Chemical treatment can reliably provide exceptional reductions of turbidity and associated pollutants. Chemical treatment may be required to meet turbidity stormwater discharge requirements, especially when construction is to proceed through the wet season.

Conditions of Use

Formal written approval from Ecology is required for the use of chemical treatment regardless of site size. Accordingly, the requirements herein reflect Ecology's review and approval process, including references to the *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington* (*SMMWW)*. The Local Permitting Authority may also require review and approval. When approved, the chemical treatment systems must be included in the SWPPS portion of the project's Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention plan (CSWPP).

Design and Installation Specifications

(See Appendix II-B in the *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington* for background information on chemical treatment.)

Criteria for Chemical Treatment Product Use:

Chemically treated stormwater discharged from construction sites must be nontoxic to aquatic organisms. The Chemical Technology Assessment Protocol (CTAPE) must be used to evaluate chemicals proposed for stormwater treatment. Only chemicals approved by Ecology under the CTAPE may be used for stormwater treatment. The approved chemicals, their allowable application techniques (batch treatment or flow-through treatment), allowable application rates, and conditions of use can be found at the Department of Ecology Emerging Technologies website:
http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/stormwater/newtech/technologies.html .

Treatment System Design Considerations:

The design and operation of a chemical treatment system should take into consideration the factors that determine optimum, cost-effective performance. It is important to recognize the following:

- Only Ecology approved chemicals may be used and must follow approved dose rate.
- The pH of the stormwater must be in the proper range for the polymers to be effective, which is typically 6.5 to 8.5
- The coagulant must be mixed rapidly into the water to ensure proper dispersion.
- A flocculation step is important to increase the rate of settling, to produce the lowest turbidity, and to keep the dosage rate as low as possible.
- Too little energy input into the water during the flocculation phase results in flocs that are too small and/or insufficiently dense. Too much energy can rapidly destroy floc as it is formed.
- Care must be taken in the design of the withdrawal system to minimize outflow velocities and to prevent floc discharge. Discharge from a batch treatment system should be directed through a physical

filter such as a vegetated swale that would catch any unintended floc discharge. Currently, flow-through systems always discharge through the chemically enhanced sand filtration system.

- System discharge rates must take into account downstream conveyance integrity.

Polymer Batch Treatment Process Description:

A batch chemical treatment system consists of the stormwater collection system (either temporary diversion or the permanent site drainage system), a storage pond, pumps, a chemical feed system, treatment cells, and interconnecting piping.

The batch treatment system shall use a minimum of two lined treatment cells in addition to an untreated stormwater storage pond. Multiple treatment cells allow for clarification of treated water while other cells are being filled or emptied. Treatment cells may be ponds or tanks. Ponds with constructed earthen embankments greater than six feet high or which impound more than 10 acre-feet require special engineering analyses. The Ecology Dam Safety Section has specific design criteria for dams in Washington State (see http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wr/dams/GuidanceDocs.html).

Stormwater is collected at interception point(s) on the site and is diverted by gravity or by pumping to an untreated stormwater storage pond or other untreated stormwater holding area. The stormwater is stored until treatment occurs. It is important that the holding pond be large enough to provide adequate storage.

The first step in the treatment sequence is to check the pH of the stormwater in the untreated stormwater storage pond. The pH is adjusted by the application of carbon dioxide to mitigate high pH or a base to mitigate low pH until the stormwater in the storage pond is within the desired pH range, 6.5 to 8.5. When used, carbon dioxide is added immediately downstream of the transfer pump. Typically sodium bicarbonate (baking soda) is used as a base, although other bases may be used. When needed, base is added directly to the untreated stormwater storage pond. The stormwater is recirculated with the treatment pump to provide mixing in the storage pond. Initial pH adjustments should be based on daily bench tests. Further pH adjustments can be made at any point in the process.

Once the stormwater is within the desired pH range (dependent on polymer being used), the stormwater is pumped from the untreated stormwater storage pond to a treatment cell as polymer is added. The polymer is added upstream of the pump to facilitate rapid mixing.

After polymer addition, the water is kept in a lined treatment cell for clarification of the sediment-floc. In a batch mode process, clarification typically takes from 30 minutes to several hours. Prior to discharge samples are withdrawn for analysis of pH, flocculent chemical concentration, and turbidity. If both are acceptable, the treated water is discharged.

Several configurations have been developed to withdraw treated water from the treatment cell. The original configuration is a device that withdraws the treated water from just beneath the water surface using a float with adjustable struts that prevent the float from settling on the cell bottom. This reduces the possibility of picking up sediment-floc from the bottom of the pond. The struts are usually set at a minimum clearance of about 12 inches; that is, the float will come within 12 inches of the bottom of the cell. Other systems have used vertical guides or cables which constrain the float, allowing it to drift up and down with the water level. More recent designs have an H-shaped array of pipes, set on the horizontal.

This scheme provides for withdrawal from four points rather than one. This configuration reduces the likelihood of sucking settled solids from the bottom. It also reduces the tendency for a vortex to form. Inlet diffusers, a long floating or fixed pipe with many small holes in it, are also an option.

Safety is a primary concern. Design should consider the hazards associated with operations, such as sampling. Facilities should be designed to reduce slip hazards and drowning. Tanks and ponds should have life rings, ladders, or steps extending from the bottom to the top.

Polymer Flow-Through Treatment Process Description:

At a minimum, a flow-through chemical treatment system consists of the stormwater collection system (either temporary diversion or the permanent site drainage system), an untreated stormwater storage pond, and the chemically enhanced sand filtration system.

Stormwater is collected at interception point(s) on the site and is diverted by gravity or by pumping to an untreated stormwater storage pond or other untreated stormwater holding area. The stormwater is stored until treatment occurs. It is important that the holding pond be large enough to provide adequate storage.

Stormwater is then pumped from the untreated stormwater storage pond to the chemically enhanced sand filtration system where polymer is added. Adjustments to pH may be necessary before chemical addition. The sand filtration system continually monitors the stormwater for turbidity and pH. If the discharge water is ever out of an acceptable range for turbidity or pH, the water is recycled to the untreated stormwater pond where it can be retreated.

For batch treatment and flow-through treatment, the following equipment should be located in a lockable shed:

- The chemical injector.
- Secondary containment for acid, caustic, buffering compound, and treatment chemical.
- Emergency shower and eyewash.
- Monitoring equipment which consists of a pH meter and a turbidimeter.

System Sizing:

Certain sites are required to implement flow control for the developed sites. These sites must also control stormwater release rates during construction. Generally, these are sites that discharge stormwater directly, or indirectly, through a conveyance system, into a fresh water. System sizing is dependent on flow control requirements.

Sizing Criteria for Batch Treatment Systems for Flow Control Exempt Water Bodies:

The total volume of the untreated stormwater storage pond and treatment ponds or tanks must be large enough to treat stormwater that is produced during multiple day storm events. It is recommended that at a minimum the untreated stormwater storage pond be sized to hold 1.5 times the runoff volume of the 10-year, 24-hour storm event. Bypass should be provided around the chemical treatment system to accommodate extreme storm events. Runoff volume shall be calculated using the methods presented in Volume 3, Chapter 2 of the *SWMMWW*. Worst-case land cover conditions (i.e., producing the most runoff) should be used for analyses (in most cases, this would be the land cover conditions just prior to final landscaping).

Primary settling should be encouraged in the untreated stormwater storage pond. A forebay with access for maintenance may be beneficial.

There are two opposing considerations in sizing the treatment cells. A larger cell is able to treat a larger volume of water each time a batch is processed. However, the larger the cell the longer the time required to empty the cell. A larger cell may also be less effective at flocculation and therefore require a longer settling time. The simplest approach to sizing the treatment cell is to multiply the allowable discharge flow rate times the desired drawdown time. A 4-hour drawdown time allows one batch per cell per 8-hour work period, given 1 hour of flocculation followed by two hours of settling.

If the discharge is directly to a flow control exempt receiving water listed in Appendix I-E of Volume I of the *SWMMWW*, or to an infiltration system, there is no discharge flow limit.

Ponds sized for flow control water bodies must at a minimum meet the sizing criteria for flow control exempt waters.

Sizing Criteria for Flow-Through Treatment Systems for Flow Control Exempt Water Bodies:

When sizing storage ponds or tanks for flow-through systems for flow control exempt water bodies, the treatment system capacity should be a factor. The untreated stormwater storage pond or tank should be sized to hold 1.5 times the runoff volume of the 10-year, 24-hour storm event minus the treatment system flowrate for an 8-hour period. For a chitosan-enhanced sand filtration system, the treatment system flowrate should be sized using a hydraulic loading rate between 6-8 gpm/ft². Other hydraulic loading rates may be more appropriate for other systems. Bypass should be provided around the chemical treatment system to accommodate extreme storms. Runoff volume shall be calculated using the methods presented in Volume 3, Chapter 2 of the *SWMMWW*. Worst-case land cover conditions (i.e., producing the most runoff) should be used for analyses (in most cases, this would be the land cover conditions just prior to final landscaping).

Sizing Criteria for Flow Control Water Bodies:

Sites that must implement flow control for the developed site condition must also control stormwater release rates during construction. Construction site stormwater discharges shall not exceed the discharge durations of the pre-developed condition for the range of pre-developed discharge rates from ½ of the 2-year flow through the 10-year flow as predicted by an approved continuous runoff model. The pre-developed condition to be matched shall be the land cover condition immediately prior to the development project. This restriction on release rates can affect the size of the storage pond and treatment cells.

The following is how WWHM can be used to determine the release rates from the chemical treatment systems:

1. Determine the pre-developed flow durations to be matched by entering the existing land use area under the "Pre-developed" scenario in WWHM. The default flow range is from ½ of the 2-year flow through the 10-year flow.
2. Enter the post developed land use area in the "Developed Unmitigated" scenario in WWHM.
3. Copy the land use information from the "Developed Unmitigated" to "Developed Mitigated" scenario.
4. While in the "Developed Mitigated" scenario, add a pond element under the basin element containing the post-developed land use areas. This pond element represents information on the available untreated stormwater storage and discharge from the chemical treatment system. In cases where the discharge from the chemical treatment system is controlled by a pump, a stage/storage/discharge (SSD) table representing the pond must be generated outside WWHM and imported into WWHM. WWHM can route the runoff from the post-developed condition through this SSD table (the pond) and determine compliance with the flow duration standard. This would be an iterative design procedure where if the initial SSD table proved to be inadequate, the designer would have to modify the SSD table outside WWHM and re-import in WWHM and route the runoff through it again. The iteration will continue until a pond that complies with the flow duration standard is correctly sized.

   Notes on SSD table characteristics:

   - The pump discharge rate would likely be initially set at just below ½ of the 2-year flow from the pre-developed condition. As runoff coming into the untreated stormwater storage pond increases and the available untreated stormwater storage volume gets used up, it would be necessary to increase the pump discharge rate above ½ of the 2-year. The increase(s) above ½ of the 2-year must be such that they provide some relief to the untreated stormwater storage needs but at the same time will not cause violations of the flow duration standard at the higher flows. The final design SSD table will identify the appropriate pumping rates and the corresponding stage and storages.
   - When building such a flow control system, the design must ensure that any automatic adjustments to the pumping rates will be as a result of changes to the available storage in accordance with the final design SSD table.

5. It should be noted that the above procedures would be used to meet the flow control requirements. The chemical treatment system must be able to meet the runoff treatment requirements. It is likely that the discharge flow rate of ½ of the 2-year or more may exceed the treatment capacity of the system. If that is the case, the untreated stormwater discharge rate(s) (i.e., influent to the treatment system) must be reduced to allow proper treatment. Any reduction in the flows would likely result in the need for a larger untreated stormwater storage volume.

If the discharge is to a municipal storm drainage system, the allowable discharge rate may be limited by the capacity of the public system. It may be necessary to clean the municipal storm drainage system prior to the start of the discharge to prevent scouring solids from the drainage system. If the municipal storm drainage system discharges to a water body not on the flow control exempt list, the project site is subject to flow control requirements. Obtain permission from the owner of the collection system before discharging to it.

If system design does not allow you to discharge at the slower rates as described above and if the site has a retention or detention pond that will serve the planned development, the discharge from the treatment system may be directed to the permanent retention/detention pond to comply with the flow control requirement. In this case, the untreated stormwater storage pond and treatment system will be sized according to the sizing criteria for flow-through treatment systems for flow control exempt water bodies described earlier except all discharge (water passing through the treatment system and stormwater bypassing the treatment system) will be directed into the permanent retention/detention pond. If site constraints make locating the untreated stormwater storage pond difficult, the permanent retention/detention pond may be divided to serve as the untreated stormwater storage pond and the post-treatment flow control pond. A berm or barrier must be used in this case so the untreated water does not mix with the treated water. Both untreated stormwater storage requirements, and adequate post-treatment flow control must be achieved. The post-treatment flow control pond's revised dimensions must be entered into the WWHM and the WWHM must be run to confirm compliance with the flow control requirement.

Maintenance Standards

Monitoring:

At a minimum, the following monitoring shall be conducted. Test results shall be recorded on a daily log kept on site. Additional testing may be required by the NPDES permit based on site conditions.

Operational Monitoring:

- Total volume treated and discharged.
- Flow must be continuously monitored and recorded at not greater than 15-minute intervals.
- Type and amount of chemical used for pH adjustment.
- Amount of polymer used for treatment.
- Settling time.

Compliance Monitoring:

Influent and effluent pH, flocculent chemical concentration, and turbidity must be continuously monitored and recorded at not greater than 15-minute intervals. pH and turbidity of the receiving water.

Biomonitoring:

Treated stormwater must be non-toxic to aquatic organisms. Treated stormwater must be tested for aquatic toxicity or residual chemicals. Frequency of biomonitoring will be determined by Ecology.

Residual chemical tests must be approved by Ecology prior to their use.

If testing treated stormwater for aquatic toxicity, you must test for acute (lethal) toxicity. Bioassays shall be conducted by a laboratory accredited by Ecology, unless otherwise approved by Ecology. Acute toxicity tests shall be conducted per the CTAPE protocol.

Discharge Compliance:

Prior to discharge, treated stormwater must be sampled and tested for compliance with pH, flocculent chemical concentration, and turbidity limits. These limits may be established by the Construction Stormwater General Permit or a site-specific discharge permit. Sampling and testing for other pollutants may also be necessary at some sites. pH must be within the range of 6.5 to 8.5 standard units and not cause a change in the pH of the receiving water of more than 0.2 standard units. Treated stormwater samples and measurements shall be taken from the discharge pipe or another location representative of the nature of the treated stormwater discharge. Samples used for determining compliance with the water quality standards in the receiving water shall not be taken from the treatment pond prior to decanting. Compliance with the water quality standards is determined in the receiving water.

Operator Training:

Each contractor who intends to use chemical treatment shall be trained by an experienced contractor. Each site using chemical treatment must have an operator trained and certified by an organization approved by Ecology.

Standard BMPs:

Surface stabilization BMPs should be implemented on site to prevent significant erosion. All sites shall use a truck wheel wash to prevent tracking of sediment off site.

Sediment Removal and Disposal:

- Sediment shall be removed from the storage or treatment cells as necessary. Typically, sediment removal is required at least once during a wet season and at the decommissioning of the cells. Sediment remaining in the cells between batches may enhance the settling process and reduce the required chemical dosage.
- Sediment that is known to be non-toxic may be incorporated into the site away from drainages.

D.2.2.6 CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER FILTRATION

Purpose

Filtration removes sediment from runoff originating from disturbed areas of the site.

Background Information:

Filtration with sand media has been used for over a century to treat water and wastewater. The use of sand filtration for treatment of stormwater has developed recently, generally to treat runoff from streets, parking lots, and residential areas. The application of filtration to construction stormwater treatment is currently under development.

Conditions of Use

Traditional BMPs used to control soil erosion and sediment loss from sites under development may not be adequate to ensure compliance with the water quality standard for turbidity in the receiving water. Filtration may be used in conjunction with gravity settling to remove sediment as small as fine silt (0.5 μm). The reduction in turbidity will be dependent on the particle size distribution of the sediment in the stormwater. In some circumstances, sedimentation and filtration may achieve compliance with the water quality standard for turbidity.

The use of construction stormwater filtration does not require approval from Ecology as long as treatment chemicals are not used. Filtration in conjunction with polymer treatment requires testing under the Chemical Technology Assessment Protocol – Ecology (CTAPE) before it can be initiated. Approval from the appropriate regional Ecology office must be obtained at each site where polymers use is proposed prior to use. For more guidance on stormwater chemical treatment see BMP D.2.2.5.

Design and Installation Specifications

Two types of filtration systems may be applied to construction stormwater treatment: rapid and slow. Rapid sand filters are the typical system used for water and wastewater treatment. They can achieve relatively high hydraulic flow rates, on the order of 2 to 20 gpm/sf, because they have automatic backwash systems to remove accumulated solids. In contrast, slow sand filters have very low hydraulic rates, on the order of 0.02 gpm/sf, because they do not have backwash systems. Slow sand filtration has generally been used to treat stormwater. Slow sand filtration is mechanically simple in comparison to rapid sand filtration but requires a much larger filter area.

Filtration Equipment.

Sand media filters are available with automatic backwashing features that can filter to 50 μm particle size. Screen or bag filters can filter down to 5 μm. Fiber wound filters can remove particles down to 0.5 μm. Filters should be sequenced from the largest to the smallest pore opening. Sediment removal efficiency will be related to particle size distribution in the stormwater.

Treatment Process Description.

Stormwater is collected at interception point(s) on the site and is diverted to an untreated stormwater sediment pond or tank for removal of large sediment and storage of the stormwater before it is treated by the filtration system. The untreated stormwater is pumped from the trap, pond, or tank through the filtration system in a rapid sand filtration system. Slow sand filtration systems are designed as flow through systems using gravity.

Maintenance Standards

Rapid sand filters typically have automatic backwash systems that are triggered by a pre-set pressure drop across the filter. If the backwash water volume is not large or substantially more turbid than the untreated stormwater stored in the holding pond or tank, backwash return to the untreated stormwater pond or tank may be appropriate. However, other means of treatment and disposal may be necessary.

- Screen, bag, and fiber filters must be cleaned and/or replaced when they become clogged.
- Sediment shall be removed from the storage and/or treatment ponds as necessary. Typically, sediment removal is required once or twice during a wet season and at the decommissioning of the ponds.

Sizing Criteria for Flow-Through Treatment Systems for Flow Control Exempt Water Bodies:

When sizing storage ponds or tanks for flow-through systems for flow control exempt water bodies the treatment system capacity should be a factor. The untreated stormwater storage pond or tank should be sized to hold 1.5 times the runoff volume of the 10-year, 24-hour storm event minus the treatment system flowrate for an 8-hour period. For a chitosan-enhanced sand filtration system, the treatment system flowrate should be sized using a hydraulic loading rate between 6-8 gpm/ft². Other hydraulic loading rates may be more appropriate for other systems. Bypass should be provided around the chemical treatment system to accommodate extreme storms. Runoff volume shall be calculated using the methods presented in Volume 3, Chapter 2 of the *SWMMWW* (or Chapter 4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual* if no chemicals are proposed for use). Worst-case conditions (i.e., producing the most runoff) should be used for analyses (most likely conditions present prior to final landscaping).

Sizing Criteria for Flow Control Water Bodies:

Sites that must implement flow control for the developed site condition must also control stormwater release rates during construction. Construction site stormwater discharges shall not exceed the discharge durations of the pre-developed condition for the range of pre-developed discharge rates from 1/2 of the 2-year flow through the 10-year flow as predicted by an approved continuous runoff model. The pre-developed condition to be matched shall be the land cover condition immediately prior to the development project. This restriction on release rates can affect the size of the storage pond, the filtration system, and the flow rate through the filter system.

The following is how WWHM can be used to determine the release rates from the filtration systems:

1. Determine the pre-developed flow durations to be matched by entering the land use area under the "Pre-developed" scenario in WWHM. The default flow range is from ½ of the 2-year flow through the 10-year flow.
2. Enter the post developed land use area in the "Developed Unmitigated" scenario in WWHM.
3. Copy the land use information from the "Developed Unmitigated" to "Developed Mitigated" scenario.
4. There are two possible ways to model stormwater filtration systems:
    a. The stormwater filtration system uses an untreated stormwater storage pond/tank and the discharge from this pond/tank is pumped to one or more filters. In-line filtration chemicals would be added to the flow right after the pond/tank and before the filter(s). Because the discharge is pumped, WWHM can't generate a stage/storage /discharge (SSD) table for this system. This system is modeled the same way as described Ecology's BMP C250 (or BMP D.2.2.5 when seeking King County approval for non-chemical treatment) and is as follows:

        While in the "Developed Mitigated" scenario, add a pond element under the basin element containing the post-developed land use areas. This pond element represents information on the available untreated stormwater storage and discharge from the filtration system. In cases where the discharge from the filtration system is controlled by a pump, a stage/storage/discharge (SSD) table representing the pond must be generated outside WWHM and imported into WWHM. WWHM can route the runoff from the post-developed condition through this SSD table (the pond) and determine compliance with the flow duration standard. This would be an iterative design procedure where if the initial SSD table proved to be out of compliance, the designer would have to modify the SSD table outside WWHM and re-import in WWHM and route the runoff through it again. The iteration will continue until a pond that enables compliance with the flow duration standard is designed.

        Notes on SSD table characteristics:

- The pump discharge rate would likely be initially set at just below ½ if the 2-year flow from the pre-developed condition. As runoff coming into the untreated stormwater storage pond increases and the available untreated stormwater storage volume gets used up, it would be necessary to increase the pump discharge rate above ½ of the 2-year. The increase(s) above ½ of the 2-year must be such that they provide some relief to the untreated stormwater storage needs but at the same time they will not cause violations of the flow duration standard at the higher flows. The final design SSD table will identify the appropriate pumping rates and the corresponding stage and storages.
- When building such a flow control system, the design must ensure that any automatic adjustments to the pumping rates will be as a result of changes to the available storage in accordance with the final design SSD table.

b. The stormwater filtration system uses a storage pond/tank and the discharge from this pond/tank gravity flows to the filter. This is usually a slow sand filter system and it is possible to model it in WWHM as a Filter element or as a combination of Pond and Filter element placed in series. The stage/storage/discharge table(s) may then be generated within WWHM as follows:

   i. While in the "Developed Mitigated" scenario, add a Filter element under the basin element containing the post-developed land use areas. The length and width of this filter element would have to be the same as the bottom length and width of the upstream untreated stormwater storage pond/tank.

   ii. In cases where the length and width of the filter is not the same as those for the bottom of the upstream untreated stormwater storage tank/pond, the treatment system may be modeled as a Pond element followed by a Filter element. By having these two elements, WWHM would then generate a SSD table for the storage pond which then gravity flows to the Filter element. The Filter element downstream of the untreated stormwater storage pond would have a storage component through the media, and an overflow component for when the filtration capacity is exceeded.

   WWHM can route the runoff from the post-developed condition through the treatment systems in 4b and determine compliance with the flow duration standard. This would be an iterative design procedure where if the initial sizing estimates for the treatment system proved to be inadequate, the designer would have to modify the system and route the runoff through it again. The iteration would continue until compliance with the flow duration standard is achieved.

5. It should be noted that the above procedures would be used to meet the flow control requirements. The filtration system must be able to meet the runoff treatment requirements. It is likely that the discharge flow rate of ½ of the 2-year or more may exceed the treatment capacity of the system. If that is the case, the untreated stormwater discharge rate(s) (i.e., influent to the treatment system) must be reduced to allow proper treatment. Any reduction in the flows would likely result in the need for a larger untreated stormwater storage volume.

If system design does not allow you to discharge at the slower rates as described above and if the site has a retention or detention pond that will serve the planned development, the discharge from the treatment system may be directed to the permanent retention/detention pond to comply with the flow control requirements. In this case, the untreated stormwater storage pond and treatment system will be sized according to the sizing criteria for flow-through treatment systems for flow control exempt waterbodies described earlier except all discharges (water passing through the treatment system and stormwater bypassing the treatment system) will be directed into the permanent retention/detention pond. If site constraints make locating the untreated stormwater storage pond difficult, the permanent retention/detention pond may be divided to serve as the untreated stormwater discharge pond and the post-treatment flow control pond. A berm or barrier must be used in this case so the untreated water does not mix with the treated water. Both untreated stormwater storage requirements, and adequate post-treatment flow control must be achieved. The post-treatment flow control pond's revised dimensions must be

entered into the WWHM and the WWHM must be run to confirm compliance with the flow control requirement.

D.2.2.7 HIGH pH NEUTRALIZATION USING CO_2

Purpose

When pH levels in stormwater rise above 8.5 it is necessary to lower the pH levels to the acceptable range of 6.5 to 8.5, this process is called pH neutralization. pH neutralization involves the use of solid or compressed carbon dioxide gas in water requiring neutralization. Neutralized stormwater may be discharged to surface waters under the General Construction NPDES permit.

Neutralized process water such as concrete truck wash-out, hydro-demolition, or saw-cutting slurry must be managed to prevent discharge to surface waters. Any stormwater contaminated during concrete work is considered process wastewater and must not be discharged to surface waters.

Reason for pH Neutralization:

A pH level range of 6.5 to 8.5 is typical for most natural watercourses, and this neutral pH is required for the survival of aquatic organisms. Should the pH rise or drop out of this range, fish and other aquatic organisms may become stressed and may die.

Calcium hardness can contribute to high pH values and cause toxicity that is associated with high pH conditions. A high level of calcium hardness in waters of the state is not allowed.

The water quality standard for pH in Washington State is in the range of 6.5 to 8.5. Ground water standard for calcium and other dissolved solids in Washington State is less than 500 mg/l.

Conditions of Use

Causes of High pH:

High pH at construction sites is most commonly caused by the contact of stormwater with poured or recycled concrete, cement, mortars, and other Portland cement or lime containing construction materials. (See BMP D.2.2.1, Concrete Handling for more information on concrete handling procedures). The principal caustic agent in cement is calcium hydroxide (free lime).

Advantages of CO2 Sparging:

- Rapidly neutralizes high pH water.
- Cost effective and safer to handle than acid compounds.
- CO2 is self-buffering. It is difficult to overdose and create harmfully low pH levels.
- Material is readily available.

The Chemical Process:

When carbon dioxide (CO2) is added to water (H2O), carbonic acid (H2CO3) is formed which can further dissociate into a proton (H+) and a bicarbonate anion (HCO3-) as shown below:

$CO2 + H2O \leftrightarrow H2CO3 \leftrightarrow H+ + HCO3-$

The free proton is a weak acid that can lower the pH. Water temperature has an effect on the reaction as well. The colder the water temperature is the slower the reaction occurs and the warmer the water temperature is the quicker the reaction occurs. Most construction applications in Washington State have water temperatures in the 50°F or higher range so the reaction is almost simultaneous.

Design and Installation Specifications

Treatment Process:

High pH water may be treated using continuous treatment, continuous discharge systems. These manufactured systems continuously monitor influent and effluent pH to ensure that pH values are within an acceptable range before being discharged. All systems must have fail safe automatic shut off switches in the event that pH is not within the acceptable discharge range. Only trained operators may operate manufactured systems. System manufacturers often provide trained operators or training on their devices.

The following procedure may be used when not using a continuous discharge system:

1. Prior to treatment, the appropriate jurisdiction should be notified in accordance with the regulations set by the jurisdiction.
2. Every effort should be made to isolate the potential high pH water in order to treat it separately from other stormwater on-site.
3. Water should be stored in an acceptable storage facility, detention pond, or containment cell prior to treatment.
4. Transfer water to be treated to the treatment structure. Ensure that treatment structure size is sufficient to hold the amount of water that is to be treated. Do not fill tank completely, allow at least 2 feet of freeboard.
5. The operator samples the water for pH and notes the clarity of the water. As a rule of thumb, less CO2 is necessary for clearer water. This information should be recorded.
6. In the pH adjustment structure, add CO2 until the pH falls in the range of 6.9-7.1. Remember that pH water quality standards apply so adjusting pH to within 0.2 pH units of receiving water (background pH) is recommended. It is unlikely that pH can be adjusted to within 0.2 pH units using dry ice. Compressed carbon dioxide gas should be introduced to the water using a carbon dioxide diffuser located near the bottom of the tank, this will allow carbon dioxide to bubble up through the water and diffuse more evenly.
7. Slowly discharge the water making sure water does not get stirred up in the process. Release about 80% of the water from the structure leaving any sludge behind.
8. Discharge treated water through a pond or drainage system.
9. Excess sludge needs to be disposed of properly as concrete waste. If several batches of water are undergoing pH treatment, sludge can be left in treatment structure for the next batch treatment. Dispose of sludge when it fills 50% of tank volume.

Sites that must implement flow control for the developed site must also control stormwater release rates during construction. All treated stormwater must go through a flow control facility before being released to surface waters which require flow control.

Maintenance Standards

Safety and Materials Handling:

- All equipment should be handled in accordance with OSHA rules and regulations.
- Follow manufacturer guidelines for materials handling.

Operator Records:

Each operator should provide:

- A diagram of the monitoring and treatment equipment.
- A description of the pumping rates and capacity the treatment equipment is capable of treating.

Each operator should keep a written record of the following:

- Client name and phone number.
- Date of treatment.
- Weather conditions.
- Project name and location.
- Volume of water treated.
- pH of untreated water.
- Amount of CO2 needed to adjust water to a pH range of 6.9-7.1.
- pH of treated water.
- Discharge point location and description.

A copy of this record should be given to the client/contractor who should retain the record for three years.

D.2.2.8 pH CONTROL FOR HIGH pH WATER

Purpose

When pH levels in stormwater rise above 8.5 it is necessary to lower the pH levels to the acceptable range of 6.5 to 8.5, this process is called pH neutralization. Stormwater with pH levels exceeding water quality standards may be treated by infiltration, dispersion in vegetation or compost, pumping to a sanitary sewer, disposal at a permitted concrete batch plant with pH neutralization capabilities, or carbon dioxide sparging. BMP D.2.2.7, High pH Neutralization Using CO2 gives guidelines for carbon dioxide sparging.

Reason for pH Neutralization:

A pH level range of 6.5 to 8.5 is typical for most natural watercourses, and this pH range is required for the survival of aquatic organisms. Should the pH rise or drop out of this range, fish and other aquatic organisms may become stressed and may die.

Conditions of Use

Causes of High pH:

High pH levels at construction sites are most commonly caused by the contact of stormwater with poured or recycled concrete, cement, mortars, and other Portland cement or lime containing construction materials. (See BMP D.2.2.1, Concrete Handling for more information on concrete handling procedures). The principal caustic agent in cement is calcium hydroxide (free lime).

Design and Installation Specifications

Disposal Methods:

Infiltration

- Infiltration is only allowed if soil type allows all water to infiltrate (no surface runoff) without causing or contributing to a violation of surface or ground water quality standards.
- Infiltration techniques should be consistent with Chapter 5 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*

Dispersion

- Dispersion techniques should be consistent with Chapter 5 and Appendix C of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*

Sanitary Sewer Disposal

- Local sewer authority approval is required prior to disposal via the sanitary sewer.

Concrete Batch Plant Disposal

- Only permitted facilities may accept high pH water.
- Facility should be contacted before treatment to ensure they can accept the high pH water.

Stormwater Discharge

Any pH treatment options that generate treated water that must be discharged off site are subject to flow control requirements. Sites that must implement flow control for the developed site must also control stormwater release rates during construction. All treated stormwater must go through a flow control facility before being released to surface waters which require flow control.

D.2.2.9 USE OF HIGH pH SOIL AMENDMENTS ON CONSTRUCTION SITES

The use of soil amendments (including cement treated base (CTB) and cement kiln dust (CKD)) on development sites must be approved by King County. The approval process is described in "Processing Requirements for Use of Soil Amendments on Construction Sites" below.

Use of Soil Amendments

It is sometimes a construction practice to add soil amendments to the surfaces of some construction areas in order to stabilize the ground for building. This practice includes placing an additive on the ground then mixing with the soil to a specified depth and finally compacting the mix. When mixed with the soil, the moisture in the ground may allow these additives to create a chemical reaction that cures similar to concrete and may absorb excessive moisture to allow soils to be compacted. The end result is a stable site for constructing a road or building pad.

Because soil amendments may be rich in lime content and other material, water runoff from these areas can be affected. If not controlled and treated, this could result in a degradation of water quality and natural drainage systems. Because these additives come in a fine powder form, the actual application can create fugitive dust. When mixed with water, some additives can become corrosive.

Definitions

The following are definitions of soil amendment products that are allowed for use under these procedures:

1. Cement Kiln Dust (CKD) is a by-product in the manufacturing of cement[9].
2. Cement Treated Base (CTB) utilizes Portland Cement Type II as the soil additive.

CTB/CKD Soil Amendment BMPs

Table D.2.2.9.A on the following pages lists twelve BMP categories of action and specific BMPs for each category to be applied when proposing CTB/CKD soil amendments or using soil amendments onsite.

Note: Additional BMPs may be required to prevent adverse impacts to the public and/or the environment. It is the responsibility of the permit holder to remain in compliance with all other applicable local, state, and federal regulations.

[9] CKD is collected by air pollution control devices used to clean kiln exhaust during the manufacturing of Portland Cement. EPA has classified CKD a non-hazardous waste product provided management standards are followed for groundwater protection and control of fugitive dust releases.

CKD should not to be confused with Fly Ash, which is a by-product of burning coal or wood and incineration of other material. Fly ash can contain major oxides and trace metals, depending upon the fuel source, and is considered too hazardous for use as a soil amendment. Using this product is not authorized or endorsed by Ecology or King County.

TABLE D.2.2.9.A CTB/CKD Soil Amendment BMPs		
Category of Action	**Specific Action**	**CTB/CKD Best Management Practices**
1. Materials Source Analysis	Solubility Testing & Specifications	A. If CKD is proposed, a chemical analysis of soluble pollutants of the product to be used will be provided to the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) and the King County Department Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) in advance of any product is applied. B. CTB/CKD mixing percentage is anticipated to be approximately 3 percent to 5 percent. C. A Geotechnical Engineer will establish the mixing percentage for the on-site soils. D. All treatment procedures shall be directed, monitored, and verified by a Geotechnical Engineer. E. Soil amendments will never occur in excess of the ability of the on-site equipment and resources to meet all BMP requirements specified herein.
2. Site Preparation	Runoff Collection System	A. Areas that are to be treated as shown on the plan are flagged off to prevent equipment from leaving treated area and going onto untreated areas, and to prevent unauthorized equipment from entering the treated area. B. Assessment of surface runoff collection points are noted. C. Cutoff trenches, collection sumps, and pumps are installed. D. Sealed storage tanks will be properly sized to contain all runoff from treated areas. E. Sealed storage tanks shall be set up and ready for use to treat contact water. F. An approved wheel wash will be constructed at the construction exit, typically a paved ramp sump that utilizes high-pressure washers. G. Copies of Treatment Plan, Approval, and Contingency Plan area are required to be located on site.

TABLE D.2.2.9.A CTB/CKD Soil Amendment BMPs		
Category of Action	**Specific Action**	**CTB/CKD Best Management Practices**
3. Lay-down Mixing Equipment		A. Exposure of CTB/CKD materials to air to be minimized. Delivery tankers shall be set up to place CTB/CKD directly into spreading trucks or equipment. B. CTB/CKD operations are only allowed during daylight hours. C. Tarps or dust bags will be used over the discharge truck hose at unloading to prevent dust particles for becoming airborne. D. Unloading will occur at the lowest possible pump pressure. E. Unloading and mixing will be avoided on high wind days. PSAPCA Section 9.15 prohibits visible emissions of fugitive dust. F. CTB/CKD to be placed on ground by large wheeled spreaders designed for this purpose capable of measuring application. G. When spreading CTB/CKD it shall be kept 2-3 feet away from untreated areas boundaries to prevent the material from migration and contaminating outside the treatment zone. H. Treatment area will be kept damp/wet at all times CTB/CKD is being spread and mixed. Skirting around applicator/spreader and mixer is required to minimize CTB/CKD dust. I. CTB/CKD is to be roto-tilled into soil immediately after being spread onto soils and shall be done with a skirted tiller. J. Direct auguring machine that measures, spreads, and mixes CTB/CKD in one operation is preferred. K. Compaction will be complete within 2 hours after CTB/CKD application.

TABLE D.2.2.9.A CTB/CKD Soil Amendment BMPs		
Category of Action	**Specific Action**	**CTB/CKD Best Management Practices**
4. Site Management	Work Progress and Weather Conditions	A. Dust suppression by use of water trucks shall be used on areas where work on dry soil is performed and potential airborne contamination may occur. B. The volume of CTB/CKD allowed on site will be limited to the amount that can be used within a normal workday. Every effort will be made to forecast the daily delivery rate to match the daily on-site use rate. C. CTB/CKD will not be added to soils at a rate that exceeds the ability of on-site resources to immediately commence mixing and compacting. D. No work will occur in rain heavier than drizzle, or under drizzle that exceeds 6 hours duration, or under any rainfall which generates runoff from the areas being worked. E. Should the weather change to stop the application, remaining CTB/CKD will be covered and contained to prevent stormwater from entering storage containment, and causing runoff. F. All vehicles and equipment leaving the treatment area/site must be cleaned/washed to prevent CTB/CKD from leaving site. Wash water will be contained and treated as needed. G. CTB/CKD contact water in the wheel wash will be removed from the site via a vactor truck for transport to an approved off-site treatment or disposal facility in accordance with all federal, state, and local laws and regulations; or, if permitted, to the sanitary sewer system.
5. Surface Water Collection		A. Surface runoff from the treated areas is to be collected and stored in onsite sealed treatment tanks. B. A rigid schedule of TESC inspection, maintenance, and drainage controls will be maintained. C. Temporarily plugging and using detention facilities is not allowed as a storage practice. D. Runoff from compacted areas amended with CTB/CKD will be directed to previously sealed tank(s) until pH levels of water are verified to be within acceptable background water limits. No uncontrolled discharge or infiltration from the sealed tank(s) will be allowed. E. Drainage from areas amended with CTB/CKD within the past 72 hours will be prevented from co-mingling with any other project drainage.

TABLE D.2.2.9.A CTB/CKD Soil Amendment BMPs		
Category of Action	**Specific Action**	**CTB/CKD Best Management Practices**
6. Discharge Compliance	Applicable Regulations	A. Any and all discharges from this site will be in compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations pertaining to health and safety, water, air, waste, and wildlife, including the Federal Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, and Endangered Species Act. Laboratory analysis of water is required prior to discharge to verify compliance. B. No infiltration is allowed to occur if pH readings are above 8.5 standard pH units, or below 6.5 standard pH units. C. A pH meter must be used to determine levels. pH meter is to be calibrated following proper QA/QC procedures. Fresh buffers are to be available to re-calibrate as needed. D. A log of turbidity and pH readings will be kept on site for inspection. E. All treatment of water must be directed, bench tested, monitored and verified by a qualified water quality specialist. F. Treated area water runoff shall not enter the permanent stormwater system. G. Stormwater drainage system within treatment area is to be cleaned out prior to use for regular water runoff conveyance from untreated areas. Water from cleanout is to be tested and treated following the approved treatment criteria.
7. Natural Treatment and Discharge		A. The preferred method of disposal of the treatment water will be discharge to the sanitary sewer, provided a permit is obtained to do so. B. If infiltration is proposed, the area of infiltration is to be identified, capacity confirmed, and a contingency discharge plan in place in the event facilities fail to infiltrate. C. For infiltration, pH limits shall be strictly adhered to. D. If a permit to discharge to the sanitary sewer is not obtained, a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) discharge permit is required from Ecology. The retention volume of the lined pond(s) will also be increased to ensure complete control of the retained volume. Monitoring, bench testing, and controlled discharge rates, with prior approval by Ecology, would be needed prior to discharge to an approved off-site surface drainage system. Sites that currently have NPDES permits will need to amend permit prior to discharge to cover this action. County approval is still required. E. Per KCC 9.12, discharges into receiving drainage systems shall not have acid or basic pH levels. F. Sealed storage tanks shall be used to reduce turbidity and pH before discharge.

TABLE D.2.2.9.A CTB/CKD Soil Amendment BMPs		
Category of Action	**Specific Action**	**CTB/CKD Best Management Practices**
8. Chemical Treatment		A. Carbon dioxide sparging (dry ice pellets) may be used as the chemical treatment agent to reduce the water pH. B. Any means of water treatment to reduce pH will require an NPDES discharge permit from Ecology. Permit would only be granted after bench testing performed by an independent qualified party. C. Active mixing will cease if the residual retention water volume falls below the ability to treat and properly dispose of contact storm water. D. Discharge would only occur after the approval of Ecology, following bench testing and consultation with Ecology. E. All materials for chemical treatment will be on site and property stored, during all phases of CTB/CKD treatment.
9. Water Quality	Monitoring	A. Turbidity and pH will be monitored on a twice-daily basis, prior to operations and immediately upon ceasing operations, and these measurements will be recorded. Monitoring will also occur immediately after any storm event of ½ inch in 24 hours, or water migration to the retention pond(s), and the measurements recorded. If the pH approaches 8.0, monitoring frequency will increase. B. Turbidity and pH monitoring will occur in all treatment facilities, stormwater detention facilities, infiltration areas (if infiltration is used), and in all surface water areas adjacent to site where stormwater potentially discharges. Additional upstream surface water sites will be established to determine background levels of turbidity and pH. C. All water quality monitoring data will be conducted and evaluated by an independent, qualified party and conducted using professionally supportable test protocols and QA/QC procedures.
10. Reporting	Ecology and DLS-Permitting	A. All water quality monitoring data will be included in weekly DLS-Permitting TESC reports to DLS-Permitting, and in weekly NPDES reports to Ecology. B. All work, testing, and monitoring associated with the application of CTB/CKD shall be observed by engineer. The engineer shall prepare and submit a report to the assigned DLS-Permitting project inspector indicating BMPs were/were not being met. C. Copies of all reports and logs will be available on site during the soil and surface runoff treatment activities.
Other elements to consider:		

TABLE D.2.2.9.A CTB/CKD Soil Amendment BMPs		
Category of Action	**Specific Action**	**CTB/CKD Best Management Practices**
. Water Quality – Soils	Source Controls	A. There may be very small amounts of concrete washout produced onsite as a result of construction of erosion control measures during reclamation. Concrete washout, if any, would be retained in a lined enclosure of at least 6-ml visqueen or plastic sheeting, with no outlet. The washout retention enclosure would be isolated and separate from any CTB/CKD area runoff. Contents of the lined concrete washout enclosure will be removed from the site via a vactor truck for disposal in an approved off-site treatment or disposal facility in accordance with all federal, state, and local laws and regulations. Signed trip tickets, as proof of proper disposal, will be provided to Ecology and DLS-Permitting.
. Water Quality – pH	Cover Measures	A. Areas amended with CTB/CKD for compaction after CTB/CKD addition will be covered with plastic or visqueen sheeting, or other impervious material by the end of each working day. B. Temporary cover will be maintained over all compacted areas amended with CTB/CKD until testing confirms that pH levels are stabilized to background measurements. [Note: Curing to avoid pH effects has no relationship to the rate at which material can be compacted in multiple lifts. Compaction will commence immediately after application and mixing, and multiple lifts will occur as quickly as each lift is compacted and ready to accept the next.] C. Should weather conditions prevent mixing, any unmixed CTB/CKD remaining on site will be enclosed in a sealed containment, such as portable silo, or removed from site.

Processing Requirements for Use of High pH Soil Amendments on Construction Sites[10]

Purpose

This section establishes procedures for implementing BMPs when using high pH soil amendments on construction sites. See Table D.2.2.9.A for a description of the BMPs. This section outlines an expedited review process and typical approval conditions that will allow contractors and builders to use soil amendments without impacting water quality. Additional BMPs may be required based upon site specific conditions that may warrant more protection. This policy is limited to those amendments, defined below, commonly known to add stability to sloppy soil conditions but which can alter water runoff quality.

Authority: KCC 9.12.025 prohibits discharges of polluted or contaminated water into surface or storm water drainage systems. The purpose of this statute is to protect surface and ground water by regulating the discharge of potentially contaminated surface water. If soil amendments are proposed with an initial application, an environmental review is required, under SEPA, which assesses impacts, provides public input and mitigated conditions for its use.

[10] Excerpted from the King County *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual (SPPM)*, BMP Info Sheet #11

King County Road Design and Construction Standards, Sections 4.04 and 4.05 also require an engineered design for use of a soil amendment on road surfaces or around drainage systems. The design may incorporate a thorough assessment of soil composition and laboratory analysis. The *Surface Water Design Manual* authorizes DLS-Permitting to adopt BMPs for the control and protection of surface water. Currently, for all sites, the BMPs established in this policy are the minimum standards that shall be applied.

Procedure

An applicant may apply for use of soil amendments allowed under this policy anytime during the permit application review or after the permit has been issued and site construction is underway. After making a submittal to DLS-Permitting, the applicant may receive approval conditions. Conditions may vary from site to site, but typically will include many of the BMPs included in this policy.

Applicants should identify any use of soil amendments as early in the process as possible to avoid delays in obtaining approval for use during the construction phase. If a site has known soil and water conditions that might make work during rainy periods difficult, they may want to plan to use soil amendments on their site. Obviously, if this issue is addressed at the permit review phase, implementation in the field can occur without delay. However, because of the potential risks of surface water pollution discharge and required treatment, an environmental assessment will be necessary before conditions for use can be established.

Limitations

This policy applies to the intended use of soil amendments in areas that will be covered by impervious surfaces. For areas not covered by impervious surfaces, additional reviews, study, and BMPs may be required. In addition, alterations to original approved use plans will require a resubmittal for approval. Approval for the use of the soil amendments in unincorporated King County can only occur by strictly following the procedures contained herein and not by any other approval obtained from DLS-Permitting.

Submittal Requirements

To obtain approval for the use of soil amendments allowed under this policy, the applicant shall prepare a submittal package to DLS-Permitting that includes the following:

- Letter to DLS-Permitting requesting use of soil amendments at a construction site allowed under this policy.
- Document or letter attachment that identifies source of materials and description of mixing and laydown process, plan for disposal of treated contact water, sanitary sewer permits and/or BMPs, and special precautions proposed to prevent the contamination of surface or stormwater drainage systems, other than 'sealed' drainage systems.
- Site Plan: Show a site plan map which:
    1) Shows overall grading plan showing existing and proposed contours.
    2) Identifies sensitive areas and permanent or temporary drainage facilities.
    3) Identifies areas that soil amendment is planned.
    4) Shows depths of application and percent of amendment to be used.
    5) Shows location of special wheel wash facility.
    6) Shows location of collection and conveyance swales or pipes for contact water.
    7) Shows location of sealed storage/treatment tanks or temporary ponds (fully lined).
    8) Identifies any discharge point from the site into natural drainage systems.
    9) Includes soil log locations that identify seasonal high groundwater areas.

- Report and analysis of engineering mix design which includes depths of application and percent of amendment usage.
- For proposals that use CKD and CKD additive, provide analysis of source material for soluble contaminants. Include a description of fuel source.
- Monitoring criteria, including locations for pH and turbidity testing.
- Provide contingency plan should use of soil amendment and site and weather conditions result in polluted or contact water entering natural drainage systems.
- Provide contact information or water quality specialist assigned to monitor application of soil amendments and BMPs.

If the project is under construction, the applicant shall contact the DLS-Permitting inspector assigned to the project to initiate a review for compliance with the BMPs and requirements herein. Otherwise contact the planner or engineer assigned to review the permit or land use application.

Review and Approval

Once the review has been completed, the applicant shall be notified by letter which stipulates the conditions of approval. Prior to authorizing the use of soil amendments at the site, the applicant shall provide a special restoration financial guarantee cash deposit in the amount as determined by the existing, established processes. Note: It remains the applicant/contractor's responsibility to comply with any other applicable state or federal regulations such as use of NIOSH respiratory protection, safety goggles, gloves and protective clothing whenever using hazardous materials.

Applicable Standards

Typically, all proposals using soil amendments in unincorporated King County shall have these conditions as standard requirements:

1. Prior to any application of CKD/CTB, the general contract shall hold a preconstruction meeting with the assigned DLS-Permitting inspector at least 3 working days in advance.
2. CKD will not be permitted for use in areas adjacent to or in proximity to wetlands and streams areas. CTB may or may not be permitted in these areas.
3. Areas not covered by impervious surfaces:
    - CKD will not be permitted in areas that will not be covered by impervious surfaces.
    - If CTB is proposed in these areas, an analysis of whether or not the soil amendment will change the post-development runoff characteristics and the permanent stormwater facilities were sized appropriately shall be submitted for review. Use of CTB in areas not permanently covered by impervious surface may require re-sizing of the permanent stormwater facilities.
4. If CKD is proposed, the contractor shall provide mill certificates verifying the product composition. The contractor/developer must be prepared to follow BMPs during and after soil treatment and be prepared to treat runoff from the treatment area(s) immediately. All stormwater collection systems must be in place and all equipment (pH meters, dry ice, etc.) must be onsite.
5. Collection of stormwater (see BMP #5 in Table D.2.2.9.A):
    - Stormwater from the application area shall be kept separate from and prevented from comingling with uncontaminated stormwater.
    - During the application of CKD/CTB, stormwater runoff shall be collected in temporary collection systems and shall not be allowed to enter the permanent facilities. Permanent drainage systems shall be capped to prevent contact stormwater from entering the inlets of the catch basins. Stormwater from the application area shall not be collected in the temporary/permanent detention ponds, even if the underlying soils are 'impermeable'.

6. Treatment: If necessary, pH adjustment shall be done in the collection tanks or temporary ponds and not in the permanent detention ponds.
7. Disposal options: The proposal to use CKD/CTB must contain a disposal plan that may include one or a combination of sanitary sewer or approved offsite disposal. Treated contact water may be discharged to the sanitary sewer if authorizations are obtained from the King County Industrial Waste Program (206-477-5300) and the local sewer district. All discharge conditions (e.g. pH, settleable solids) must be followed. If a sanitary sewer is not available at the site, contact water may be transported offsite to an approved site for disposal and proof of proper disposal must be submitted to King County. All authorizations for disposal shall be obtained prior to CKD/CTB application.
    - Infiltration: Depending on the site conditions, pH-adjusted stormwater may be infiltrated. Prior to infiltration, pH must be between 6.5 and 8.5.
    - Surface Water: Contact water from the application area shall not be discharged to surface waters, even if treatment has adjusted the pH.
8. Emergency backup plan: An emergency backup plan must be prepared and ready to implement to handle large quantities of stormwater.
9. Monitoring shall be conducted to determine that contact stormwater is not leaving the site. Offsite monitoring shall also be conducted to identify impacts to adjacent water bodies. Bonding may be required to cover mitigation of impacts and restoration.
10. A soils specialist will establish the mixing percentage for onsite soils. Soil amendments will never occur in excess of the ability of the onsite equipment and resources to meet all BMP requirements.
11. For sites one acre or larger, a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Construction Stormwater permit must be obtained from Ecology. NPDES permits and 'Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPPs) must be amended and the use of CKD/CTB must be approved by Ecology prior to application.

The contractor/developer shall comply will all federal, state, and local regulations. A health and safety plan may be required for the protection of King County inspectors.

Additional BMPs may be applicable depending on mix design, proximity of wetlands or streams (e.g. within 300 feet of class/type I and 100 feet or less for other types) and site conditions.

D.2.2.10 MAINTAIN PROTECTIVE BMPS

Pollutant protection measures shall be maintained to assure continued performance of their intended function. Reporting and documentation shall be kept current and made available to DLS-Permitting as indicated.

Purpose: The purpose of maintaining protective BMPs is to provide effective pollutant protection when and where required by the plan and the project, and to provide timely and relevant project information.

When to Maintain: Protection measures shall be monitored per Section D.2.4.4 at a minimum, continuously during operation, and promptly maintained to fully functioning condition as necessary to assure continued performance of their intended function. Documentation shall be kept current per specific BMP requirements.

Measures to Use:

1. Maintain and repair all pollutant control BMPs as needed to assure continued performance of their intended function in accordance with BMP specifications.
2. Maintain and repair storage locations for equipment and materials associated with BMP processes. Conduct materials disposal in compliance with County regulatory requirements.

3. As required, provide current reporting and performance documentation at an accessible location for the site inspector and other DLS-Permitting staff.
4. Remove all temporary pollutant control BMPs prior to final construction approval, or within 30 days after achieving final site stabilization or after the temporary BMPs are no longer needed.

D.2.2.11 MANAGE THE PROJECT

SWPPP requirements shall be implemented and managed as part of the overall CSWPP plan. Concrete construction and its impacts are primary among pollutant concerns on site development projects. Fueling operations and materials containment of treatment chemicals and other project materials are also typical pollutant concerns. Operations that produce these and other pollutants are often conducted by subcontractors and their laborers, yet may require specific protective measures, documentation and reporting. Protective measures and BMPs need to be made available prior to construction and suitable oversight provided to assure inspection, monitoring and documentation requirements are met.

Projects shall assign a qualified CSWPP Supervisor (Section D.2.3.1) to be the primary contact for SWPPP and ESC issues and reporting, coordination with subcontractors and implementation of the CSWPP plan as a whole.

Measures to Use:

1. Phase development projects to the maximum degree practicable and take into account seasonal work limits.
2. Inspection and monitoring – Inspect, maintain, and repair all BMPs as needed to assure continued performance of their intended function. Conduct site inspections and monitoring in accordance with the Construction Stormwater General Permit and King County requirements. Coordinate with subcontractors and laborers to assure the SWPPP measures are followed.
3. Documentation and reporting: – Inspect, maintain, and repair all BMPs as needed to assure continued performance of their intended function. Document site inspections and monitoring in accordance with the Construction Stormwater General Permit, specific BMP conditions and King County requirements. Log sheets provided in Reference Section 8 may be used if appropriate. Follow reporting requirements and provide documentation as requested to DLS-Permitting staff.
4. Maintaining an updated construction SWPPP – Maintain, update, and implement the SWPPP in accordance with the Construction Stormwater General Permit and King County requirements. Obtain approval for specific SWPPP measures (e.g., chemical treatments of stormwater) well in advance of need. Coordinate SWPPP plan updates with the site inspector (see Section D.2.4.1).

D.2.3 CSWPP PERFORMANCE AND COMPLIANCE PROVISIONS

The changing conditions typical of construction sites call for frequent field adjustments of existing ESC and SWPPS measures or additional ESC and SWPPS measures in order to meet required performance. In some cases, strict adherence to specified measures may not be necessary or practicable based on site conditions or project type. In other cases, immediate action may be needed to avoid severe impacts. Therefore, careful attention must be paid to ESC and SWPPS performance and compliance in accordance with the provisions contained in this section.

D.2.3.1 CSWPP SUPERVISOR

For projects in Targeted, Full, or Large Project Drainage Review, or projects in Directed Drainage Review as determined by the DLS-Permitting permit reviewer, the applicant must designate an **CSWPP supervisor** who shall be responsible for the performance, maintenance, and review of ESC and SWPPS measures and for compliance with all permit conditions relating to CSWPP as described in the *CSWPP Standards*. The applicant's selection of a CSWPP supervisor must be approved by King County. (County approval may be rescinded for non-compliance, requiring the applicant to select another CSWPP supervisor and obtain County approval prior to continuing work on the project site.)

For projects that disturb one acre or more of land, the CSWPP supervisor must be a **Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control** (see www.cpesc.net for more information) or a **Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead** whose certification is recognized by King County.[11] King County may also require a certified ESC professional for sites smaller than one acre of disturbance if DLS-Permitting determines that onsite ESC measures are inadequately installed, located, or maintained.

For **larger, more sensitive sites**, King County may require a certified ESC professional with several years of experience in construction supervision/inspection and a background in geology, soil science, or agronomy. Typically, if a geotechnical consultant is already working on the project, the consultant may also be a certified ESC professional designated as the CSWPP supervisor. The design engineer may also be qualified for this position. This requirement shall only be used for sensitive sites that pose an unusually high risk of impact to surface waters as determined by DLS-Permitting. At a minimum, the project site must meet all of the following conditions in order to require the applicant to designate as the CSWPP supervisor a certified ESC professional with such expertise:

- Alderwood soils or other soils of Hydrologic Group C or D
- Five acres of disturbance
- Large areas (i.e., two or more acres) with slopes in excess of 10 percent.

Proximity to streams or wetlands or phosphorus-sensitive lakes, such as Lake Sammamish, shall also be a factor in determining if such expertise in the CSWPP supervisor is warranted. However, proximity alone shall not be a determining factor because even projects that are a considerable distance from surface waters can result in significant impacts if there is a natural or constructed drainage system with direct connections to surface waters.

The name, address, and phone number of the CSWPP supervisor shall be supplied to the County prior to the start of construction. A sign shall be posted at all primary entrances to the site identifying the CSWPP supervisor and his/her phone number. The requirement for a CSWPP supervisor does not relieve the applicant of ultimate responsibility for the project and compliance with King County Code.

[11] King County recognition of certification means that the individual has taken a King County-approved third party training program and has passed the King County-approved test for that training program.

D.2.3.2 MONITORING OF DISCHARGES

The CSWPP supervisor shall have a turbidity meter onsite and shall use it to monitor surface and storm water discharges from the ***project site*** and into onsite wetlands, streams, or lakes whenever runoff occurs from onsite activities and during storm events. The CSWPP supervisor shall keep a log of all turbidity measurements taken onsite and make it available to DLS-Permitting upon request. If the ***project site*** is subject to a NPDES general permit for construction issued by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), then the project must comply with the monitoring requirements of that permit.

The CSWPP supervisor shall also use the specific SWPPS BMP procedures for monitoring surface and stormwater discharge for pollutants and acceptable discharge levels. The CSWPP supervisor shall keep logs as required by the procedures of all measurements taken onsite and make them available to DLS-Permitting on request.

D.2.3.3 ESC PERFORMANCE

ESC measures shall be applied/installed and maintained so as to prevent, to the maximum extent practicable, the transport of sediment from the project site to downstream drainage systems or surface waters or into onsite wetlands, streams, or lakes or onto adjacent properties. This performance is intended to be achieved through proper selection, installation, and operation of the above ESC measures as detailed in the *CSWPP Standards* (detached Appendix D) and approved by the County. However, the CSWPP supervisor designated per Section D.2.3.1 or the County may determine at any time during construction that such approved measures are not sufficient and additional action is required based on one of the following criteria:

1. IF a turbidity test of surface and storm water discharges leaving the project site is greater than the benchmark value of 25 NTU (nephelometric turbidity units) set by the Washington State Department of Ecology, but less than 250 NTU, the CSWPP Supervisor shall do all of the following:
    a) Review the ESC plan for compliance and make appropriate revisions within 7 days of the discharge that exceeded the benchmark of 25 NTU, AND
    b) Fully implement and maintain appropriate ESC measures as soon as possible but no later than 10 days after the discharge that exceeded the benchmark, AND
    c) Document ESC implementation and maintenance in the site log book.
2. IF a turbidity test of surface or storm water entering onsite wetlands, streams, or lakes indicates a turbidity level greater than 5 NTU above background when the background turbidity is 50 NTU or less, or 10% above background when the background turbidity is greater than 50 NTU, then corrective actions and/or additional measures beyond those specified in *SWDM* Section 1.2.5.1 shall be implemented as deemed necessary by the County inspector or onsite CSWPP supervisor.
3. IF discharge turbidity is 250 NTU or greater, the CSWPP Supervisor shall do all of the following:
    a) Notify the County by telephone, AND
    b) Review the ESC plan for compliance and make appropriate revisions within 7 days of the discharge that exceeded the benchmark of 25 NTU, AND
    c) Fully implement and maintain appropriate ESC measures as soon as possible but no later than 10 days after the discharge that exceeded the benchmark, AND
    d) Document ESC implementation and maintenance in the site log book. AND
    e) Continue to sample discharges until turbidity is 25 NTU or lower, or the turbidity is no more than 10% over background turbidity.

4. IF the County determines that the condition of the construction site poses a **hazard to adjacent property** or may **adversely impact drainage facilities or water resources**, THEN additional measures beyond those specified in *SWDM* Section 1.2.5.1 may be required by the County.

D.2.3.4 SWPPS PERFORMANCE

SWPPS measures shall be applied/installed and maintained so as to prevent, reduce, or eliminate the discharge of pollutants to onsite or adjacent stormwater systems or watercourses or onto adjacent properties. This performance is intended to be achieved through proper selection, installation, and operation of the above SWPPS measures as detailed in the *CSWPP Standards* (detached Appendix D) and approved by the County. However, the CSWPP supervisor designated per Section D.2.3.1 or the County may determine at any time during construction that such approved measures are not sufficient and additional action is required based on the criteria described in the specific SWPPS BMP standard and/or conditions of an approved adjustment.

D.2.3.5 FLEXIBLE COMPLIANCE

Some projects may meet the intent of Core Requirement #5 while varying from specific CSWPP requirements in this appendix. If a project is designed and constructed such that it meets the intent of core requirement, the County may determine that strict adherence to a specific ESC requirement is unnecessary; an approved adjustment (see Section 1.4) from the *SWDM* is not required in these circumstances. Certain types of projects are particularly likely to warrant this greater level of flexibility; for instance, projects on relatively flat, well drained soils, projects that are constructed in closed depressions, or projects that only disturb a small percentage of a forested site may meet the intent of this requirement with very few ESC measures. Note, however, that SWPPS requirements may actually be emphasized on well-drained soils, particularly in groundwater protection or well-protection areas, or in close proximity to water bodies.

D.2.3.6 ROADS AND UTILITIES COMPLIANCE

Road and utility projects often pose difficult erosion control challenges because they frequently cross surface waters and because narrow right-of-way constrains areas available to store and treat sediment-laden water. In most cases, the standards of this appendix may be applied to such linear projects without modification. For instance, the ability to use perimeter control rather than a sediment retention facility for small drainage areas (see Section D.2.1.3) will apply to many of these projects.

However, there may be some projects that cannot reasonably meet the standards of Core Requirement #5 and this appendix. In these cases, other measures may be proposed that will provide reasonable protection. An adjustment is not required for such projects, unless the County determines that measures proposed by the applicant fail to meet the intent of Core Requirement #5 and this appendix, and that significant adverse impacts to surface water may result. Examples of other measures that may be taken in lieu of the standards of this appendix are:

1. Phasing the project so that the site is worked progressively from end to end, rather than clearing and grubbing the entire length of the project. This results in smaller exposed areas for shorter durations, thus reducing the erosion risk. It is recommended that there be no more than 500 feet of open trench during any phase of construction.
2. Placement of excavated materials from utility trenches on the upslope side of the excavation, to minimize transport of sediment outside of the project area.
3. Mulching and vegetating cut and fill slopes as soon as they are graded. Frequently, this is done at the end of construction when paving or utility installation is complete. Vegetating these areas at the start of the project stabilizes those areas most susceptible to erosion.

4. Protecting all catch basin inlets with catch basin inserts or other inlet protection when these do not drain to ponds or traps. This will not provide the same level of protection as a sediment pond or trap, but can remove most of the sand-sized material entrained in the runoff.
5. Phasing the project so that all clearing and grading in critical area buffers occurs in the dry season. This substantially reduces the chance of erosion and allows for rapid revegetation in the late summer and early fall.
6. Using approved flocculent or other chemical treatment approved by King County to reduce the turbidity of water released from sediment ponds.
7. Hiring a private consultant with expertise in ESC to review and monitor the site.
8. Limiting employee/contractor parking and overnight/weekend parking of construction vehicles to dedicated and controlled areas prepared for drip and spill control. Options in the right-of-way for such areas can be limited.

If alternatives are used, it may be appropriate to develop a monitoring program that would monitor compliance with the performance standard of Core Requirement #5 and/or impacts to nearby water resources. Of particular concern are impacts to salmonid spawning gravels. McNeil sampling is a possible method of sampling to determine impacts to spawning gravels (see Section D.2.4.3).

D.2.3.7 ALTERNATIVE AND EXPERIMENTAL MEASURES

In general, the King County *Surface Water Design Manual* only contains those BMPs that are standards of the local industry. There are a variety of other BMPs available that may also be used, even though they are not included in this appendix. Such alternatives may be approved without an adjustment if the alternative will produce a compensating or comparable result with the measures in this appendix. Variations on or modifications of the BMPs in this appendix may also be granted based on the same criteria. Technical support will be provided by WLRD when requested by DLS-Permitting.

An adjustment is only required for those products or techniques that are so new and untested as to be experimental. If the County determines that a proposed alternative is experimental, then an experimental adjustment must be obtained (see Section 1.4.4 of the *SWDM*). In addition, the new product or technique must be approved through the state Department of Ecology's *CTAPE program*.[12] The intent of this requirement is not to discourage new techniques, but to insure that new techniques are monitored and documented for adequacy and possible inclusion in subsequent versions of the *SWDM*. An example of a product that would have required an experimental adjustment prior to this version of the manual is the catch basin insert (see Section D.2.1.5.3) because it was not equivalent to any existing measure.

[12] CTAPE stands for Chemical Technology Assessment Protocol – Ecology. For more information, see Approved Technologies - Construction at Ecology's website: http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/stormwater/newtech/technologies.html.

D.2.4 CSWPP IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

This section describes the CSWPP implementation requirements that are required at each construction site. The measures and practices correspond to the implementation requirements in Core Requirement #5. Three of the sections (the CSWPP report (Section D.2.4.1, below), CSWPP maintenance requirements (Section D.2.4.4, p. D-116), and final site stabilization (Section D.2.4.5, p. D-117)) are required of every project. The rest of the sections are special requirements that may apply to the project depending on site conditions and project type. The introductory paragraphs at the beginning of most sections present the purpose of the measures and when they should be applied to the site. Compliance with the implementation requirements (as appropriate for the site) ensures compliance with the CSWPP measures. Note, however, that additional measures shall be required by the County if the existing standards are insufficient to protect adjacent properties, drainage facilities, or water resources.

D.2.4.1 CSWPP PLAN

A ***CSWPP plan***, containing the ESC plan and the SWPPS plan, and showing the location and details of ESC and SWPPS measures, is required for all proposed projects. It shall include a ***CSWPP report***, which includes supporting information for providing ESC and SWPPS measures and meeting CSWPP implementation requirements. A copy of the CSWPP plan with CSWPP report shall be kept at the project site throughout all phases of construction. All of the materials required for the CSWPP report are standard parts of engineering plan submittals for projects requiring drainage review. The simplest approach to preparing this report is to compile the pieces during preparation for submittal and include the report as a separate part of the CSWPP plan submittal package. The CSWPP report shall include the following:

1. A detailed **construction sequence**, as proposed by the design engineer or erosion control specialist, identifying required ESC measures and implementation requirements;
2. A **technical information report** (TIR) and ESC and SWPPS plans for King County review in accordance with Sections 2.3.1 and 2.3.3 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual (SWDM)*. Incorporate any King County review comments as necessary to comply with Core Requirement #5 of the *SWDM* (Section 1.2.5) and the *Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Standards* in this appendix;
3. Any **calculations** or information necessary to size ESC measures and demonstrate compliance with Core Requirement #5;
4. Descriptions and any supporting documentation, operating procedures, precautions, logging and reporting requirements etc. for the project's SWPPS BMPs,
5. An **inspection and maintenance program** in accordance with Section D.2.4.4 (p. D-116) that includes the designation of a certified CSWPP supervisor as point of contact; and
6. **Anticipated changes or additions** necessary during construction to ensure that ESC and SWPPS measures perform in accordance with Core Requirement #5 and Sections D.2.1 (p. D-10) and D.2.2 (p. D-74).

While the CSWPP plan focuses on the initial measures to be applied to the site, any changes or additions necessary during construction to ensure that ESC and SWPPS measures perform in accordance with Core Requirement #5 and Sections D.2.1, D.2.2 and D.2.4 must be identified in the CSWPP report. The County may require large, complex projects to phase construction and submit multiple ESC plans for different stages of construction. Development of new CSWPP plans is not required for changes that are necessary during construction.

D.2.4.2 WET SEASON REQUIREMENTS

Any site with exposed soils during the wet season (October 1 to April 30) shall be subject to the special provisions below. In addition to the ESC cover measures (see Section D.2.1.2, p. D-12), these provisions include covering any newly seeded areas with mulch and identifying and seeding as much disturbed area as possible prior to September 23 in order to provide grass cover for the wet season. A "wet season ESC plan" must be submitted and approved by the County before work proceeds or continues.

Wet Season Special Provisions

All of the following provisions for wet season construction are detailed in the referenced sections. These requirements are listed here for the convenience of the designer and the reviewer.

1. The allowed time that a disturbed area may remain unworked without cover measures is reduced to two consecutive working days, rather than seven (Section D.2.1.2).
2. Stockpiles and steep cut and fill slopes are to be protected if unworked for more than 12 hours (Section D.2.1.2).
3. Cover materials sufficient to cover all disturbed areas shall be stockpiled on site (Section D.2.1.2).
4. All areas that are to be unworked during the wet season shall be seeded within one week of the beginning of the wet season (Section D.2.1.2.6).
5. Mulch is required to protect all seeded areas (Section D.2.1.2.2).
6. Fifty linear feet of silt fence (and the necessary stakes) per acre of disturbance must be stockpiled on site (Section D.2.1.3.1).
7. Construction road and parking lot stabilization are required for all sites unless the site is underlain by coarse-grained soil (Section D.2.1.4.2).
8. Sediment retention is required unless no offsite discharge is anticipated for the specified design flow (Section D.2.1.5).
9. Surface water controls are required unless no offsite discharge is anticipated for the specified design flow (Section D.2.1.6).
10. Phasing and more conservative BMPs must be evaluated for construction activity near surface waters (Section D.2.4.3).
11. Any runoff generated by dewatering may be required to discharge to the sanitary sewer (with appropriate discharge authorization), portable sand filter systems, or holding tanks (Section D.2.2).

D.2.4.3 CRITICAL AREAS RESTRICTIONS

Any construction that will result in disturbed areas on or within a stream or associated buffer, a wetland or associated buffer, or within 50 feet of a lake shall be subject to the special provisions below. These provisions include, whenever possible, phasing the project so that construction in these areas is limited to the dry season. The County may require more conservative BMPs, including more stringent cover requirements, in order to protect surface water quality. Any project proposing work within 50 feet of a steep slope hazard area shall evaluate the need for diverting runoff that might flow over the top of the slope.

Critical Areas Special Provisions

Any project that disturbs areas on or within a stream or associated buffer, wetland or associated buffer, or within 50 feet of a lake has the potential to seriously damage water resources, even if the project is relatively small. While it is difficult to require specific measures for such projects because the CSWPP

plan must be very site specific, the following recommendations shall be incorporated into the plan where appropriate:

1. Whenever possible, phase all or part of the project so that it occurs during the dry season. If this is impossible, November through February shall be avoided since this is the most likely period for large, high-intensity storms.
2. All projects shall be completed and stabilized as quickly as possible. Limiting the size and duration of a project is probably the most effective form of erosion control.
3. Where appropriate, sandbags or an equivalent barrier shall be constructed between the project area and the surface water in order to isolate the construction area from high water that might result due to precipitation.
4. Additional perimeter protection shall be considered to reduce the likelihood of sediment entering the surface waters. Such protection might include multiple silt fences, silt fences with a higher AOS, construction of a berm, or a thick layer of organic mulch upslope of a silt fence.
5. If work is to occur within the ordinary high water mark of a stream, most projects must isolate the work area from the stream by diverting the stream or constructing a cofferdam. Certain small projects that propose only a small amount of grading may not require isolation since diversions typically result in disturbance and the release of some sediment to the stream. For such small projects, the potential impacts from construction with and without a diversion must be weighed.
6. If a stream must be crossed, a temporary bridge shall be considered rather than allowing equipment to utilize the streambed for a crossing.

For projects in or near a salmonid stream, it may be appropriate to monitor the composition of any spawning gravels within a quarter-mile of the site with a McNeil sampler or similar method approved by King County before, during, and after construction. The purpose of such monitoring would be to determine if the fine content of the gravels increases as a result of construction impacts. Monitoring results could be used to guide erosion control efforts during construction and as a threshold for replacing spawning gravels if the fine content rises significantly.

D.2.4.4 MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS

All ESC and SWPPS measures shall be maintained and reviewed on a regular basis as prescribed in the maintenance requirements for each BMP and in this section. The CSWPP supervisor shall review the site for ESC and SWPPS at least weekly and within 24 hours of significant storms. The CWSPP supervisor shall also review the site for ESC and SWPPS during periods of active construction where maintenance conditions change with construction activity (e.g., site grading operations, or concrete construction and dewatering operations for a detention vault). The County requires a written record of these reviews be kept on site with copies submitted to DLS-Permitting within 48 hours.

Documentation

If DLS-Permitting requires that a written record be maintained, standard ESC and SWPPS Maintenance Reports forms, included in Section D.4.1 (p. D-139), may be used. A copy of all the required maintenance reports shall be kept on site throughout the duration of construction. Detailed maintenance requirements for each ESC measure are provided in Section D.2.1. Maintenance requirements for SWPPS BMPs are specified in Section D.2.2 (as in the case of BMPs related to concrete handling or material containment) or may be specified as part of a treatment or monitoring program, often accompanied with adjustment conditions of approval.

Review Timing

Weekly reviews shall be carried out every 6 to 8 calendar days. Reviews shall also take place within 24 hours of significant storms. In general, a significant storm is one with more than 0.5 inches of rain in 24

hours or less. Other indications that a storm is "significant" are if the sediment ponds or traps are filled with water, or if gullies form as a result of the runoff.

Note: The site is to be in compliance with the regulations of this appendix at all times. The requirement for periodic reviews does not remove the applicant's responsibility for having the site constantly in compliance with Core Requirement #5 and the requirements of this appendix. The reviews are a mechanism to ensure that all measures are thoroughly checked on a regular basis and that there is documentation of compliance. The requirement for these reviews does not mean that CSWPP is to be ignored in between.

D.2.4.5 FINAL STABILIZATION

Prior to obtaining final construction approval, the site shall be stabilized, the structural ESC and SWPPS measures (such as silt fences, sediment traps, and concrete waste collection pits) removed, and drainage facilities cleaned. The removal of ESC and SWPPS measures is not required for those projects, such as plats, that will be followed by additional construction under a different permit. In these circumstances, the need for removing or retaining the measures must be evaluated on a site-specific basis.

To obtain final construction approval, the following conditions must be met:

1. All disturbed areas of the site shall be **vegetated or otherwise permanently stabilized**. At a minimum, disturbed areas shall be seeded and mulched (see Section D.2.1.2.6) with a high likelihood that sufficient cover will develop shortly after final approval. Mulch without seeding is not adequate to allow final approval of the permit, except for small areas of mulch used for landscaping. The only exceptions to these requirements are lots within a plat that are to be developed under an approved residential permit immediately following plat approval. In these cases, mulch and/or temporary seeding are adequate for cover.
2. Structural measures such as, but not limited to, silt fences, pipe slope drains, construction entrances, storm drain inlet protection, sediment traps and ponds, concrete washout and collection pits, and pollutant storage shall be removed from the site. Measures that will quickly decompose, such as brush barriers and organic mulches, may be left in place. In the case of silt fences, it may be best to remove fences in conjunction with the seeding, since it may be necessary to bring machinery back in to remove them. This will result in disturbed soils that will again require protection. The DLS-Permitting inspector must approve an applicant's proposal to remove fencing prior to the establishment of vegetation. In some cases, such as residential building following plat development, it shall be appropriate to leave some or all ESC measures for use during subsequent development. This shall be determined on a site-specific basis.
3. All permanent surface water facilities, including catch basins, manholes, pipes, ditches, channels, flow control facilities, and water quality facilities, shall be cleaned. Existing and newly constructed flow control BMP facilities shall be cleaned and/or mitigated as necessary to restore functionality. Any offsite catch basin that required protection during construction (see Section D.2.1.5.3) shall also be cleaned.
4. If only the infrastructure of the site has been developed (e.g., subdivisions and short plats) with building construction to occur under a different permit, then the critical area buffers, Critical Area Tracts, or Critical Area Setback Areas shall be clearly marked as described in Section D.2.1.1 (p. D-11) in order to alert future buyers and builders.

D.2.4.6 NPDES REQUIREMENTS

As part of the implementation of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), projects that will disturb one or more acres for purposes of constructing or allowing for construction of a development, or projects disturbing less than one acre that are part of a larger *common plan of*

development or sale[13] that will ultimately disturb one or more acres, must apply for coverage under the Washington State Department of Ecology's (*Ecology*) Construction Stormwater General Permit. In general, the construction stormwater pollution prevention plan required by the King County *Surface Water Design Manual* is equivalent to that required by the State through the *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington* (Ecology, 2014). The Ecology stormwater permit application requires the filing of a Notice of Intent (NOI) at least 30 days prior to the start of construction. The only major requirement of the stormwater permit that is not included in the *SWDM* is a public notice requirement. Note that this public notice for Ecology's stormwater permit may be published concurrently with other public notices required for permits or SEPA. Contact Ecology at (360) 407-7156 for complete information on permit thresholds, applications, and requirements.

D.2.4.7 FOREST PRACTICE PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

Projects that will clear more than two acres of forest or 5,000 board feet of timber must apply for a Class IV Special Forest Practice permit from the Washington State Department of Natural Resources (WSDNR). All such clearing is also subject to the State Environmental Policy Act (RCW 43.21C) and will require SEPA review. King County assumes lead agency status for Class IV permits and the application may be consolidated with the associated King County development permit or approval. The permit must be initiated with WSDNR, but will then be transferred over to King County to conduct the SEPA review and grant the permit. Contact the WSDNR for complete information on permit thresholds, applications, and requirements.

[13] ***Common plan of development or sale*** means a site where multiple separate and distinct construction activities may take place at different times or on different schedules, but still under a single plan. Examples include: 1) phased projects and projects with multiple filings or lots, even if the separate phases or filings/lots will be constructed under separate contract or by separate owners (e.g. a development where lots are sold to separate builders); 2) a development plan that may be phased over multiple years, but is still under a consistent plan for long-term development; and 3) projects in a contiguous area that may be unrelated but still under the same contract, such as construction of a building extension and a new parking lot at the same facility.

D.2.5 CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLANS

This section details the specifications and contents for construction stormwater pollution prevention (CSWPP) plans, containing erosion and sediment control (ESC) plans and stormwater pollution prevention and spill control (SWPPS) plans. A CSWPP plan includes the plan's drawings plus a CSWPP report, which provides all supporting information and any additional direction necessary for implementing ESC and SWPPS measures and meeting CSWPP implementation requirements. The CSWPP plan must be submitted to DLS-Permitting as part of a complete engineering plan to facilitate proper drainage review. A copy of the approved CSWPP plan (with CSWPP report) must be kept on the project site (see Section D.2.4.1, p. D-114) at all times during the construction phase.

D.2.5.1 ESC PLAN

ESC Plan General Specifications

The **site improvement plan** shall be used as the base of the ESC plan. Certain detailed information (e.g., pipe catch basin size, stub-out locations, etc.) that is not relevant may be omitted to make the ESC plan easier to comprehend. At a minimum, the ESC plan shall include all of the information required for the **base map of a site improvement plan** (see Table 2.3.1A of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*), as well as existing and proposed roads, driveways, parking areas, buildings and drainage facilities (including existing and proposed flow control BMPs), utility corridors not associated with roadways, *relevant critical areas*[14] and associated buffers, and proposed final topography. A smaller scale may be used to provide better comprehension and understanding.

The ESC plan shall generally be designed for proposed topography, not existing topography, since rough grading is usually the first step in site disturbance. The ESC plan shall **address all phases of construction** (e.g., clearing, grading, installation of utilities, surfacing, and final stabilization). The County may require large, complex projects to phase construction and submit multiple ESC plans for different stages of construction.

The ESC plan outlines the minimum requirements for anticipated site conditions. During construction, **ESC plans shall be revised as necessary** by the CSWPP supervisor or as directed by King County to address changing site conditions, unexpected storm events, or non-compliance with the ESC performance criteria in Section D.2.3.3 (p. D-111). If non-compliance with the ESC performance criteria occurs, the plan must be updated within 7 days of inspections or investigations. Implementation of the onsite changes must occur within 10 days.

The following list provides the basic information requirements for the ESC plan and its supporting documentation. This information shall be consistent with that in Section 8 of the plan's technical information report (TIR) required in the engineering plan submittal (see Section 2.3.1 of the *SWDM*). Note that the ESC plan's drawings may be simplified by the use of the symbols and codes provided for each ESC measure in Section D.2.1. In general, the ESC plan's drawings shall be submitted as a separate plan sheet(s). However, there may be some relatively simple projects where providing a separate grading and ESC plan drawing is unnecessary.

1. Identify areas with a high **susceptibility to erosion**.
2. Provide all details necessary to clearly illustrate the **intent of the ESC design**.
3. Include ESC measures for all on- and offsite **utility construction** included in the permit.

[14] *Relevant critical areas*, for the purposes of drainage review, include aquatic areas, wetlands, flood hazard areas, erosion hazard areas, landslide hazard areas, steep slope hazard areas, and critical aquifer recharge areas.

4. Specify the **construction sequence**. The construction sequence shall be specifically written for the proposed project. An example construction sequence is provided in Reference Section D.4.2 (p. D-141).
5. Include **standard ESC plan notes.** Standard ESC and SWPPS Notes are provided in Reference Section D.4.1 (p. D-139).
6. Include an **inspection and maintenance program** for ESC measures, including designation of a certified ESC supervisor and identification of phone numbers for 24-hour contact.
7. Include the **basis and calculations** for selection and sizing of ESC measures.
8. Include documentation, conditions of approval and discussion of approvals from other agencies for alternative treatment and/or disposal methods.

ESC Plan Measure-Specific Information

The ESC plan must include the following information specific to applicable ESC measures and implementation requirements. As noted above, this information may need to be updated or revised during the life of the project by the CSWPP supervisor or as directed by King County.

Clearing Limits

1. **Delineate** clearing limits (areas to remain uncleared) and flow control BMP area protection limits.
2. Provide **details** sufficient for installation of markings for maintenance of clearing limits and protection limits.

Cover Measures

1. Specify the type and location of **temporary cover measures** to be used on site.
2. If **more than one type of cover measure** is to be used on site, indicate the areas where the different measures shall be used, including steep cut and fill slopes.
3. If the type of cover measures to be used will vary depending on the time of year, soil type, gradient, or some other factor, **specify the conditions that control the use of the different measures**.
4. Specify the nature and location of **permanent cover measures**. If a landscaping plan is prepared, this may not be necessary.
5. Specify the approximate amount of cover measures necessary to cover all disturbed areas.
6. If **netting, blankets, or plastic sheeting** are specified, provide typical details sufficient for installation and maintenance.
7. Specify the **mulch types, seed mixes, fertilizers, and soil amendments** to be used, as well as the application rate for each item. (Also include fertilizer and application rate information in the SWPPS documents)
8. For **surface roughening**, describe methods, equipment and areas where surface roughening will be use.
9. If **PAM** is used on a site, show location(s) and describe application method.
10. When **compost blankets** are used, show site location, application rates, and the name of the supplier to document that compost meets quality specifications per *SWDM* Reference 11-C.

Perimeter Protection

1. Specify the **location and type** of perimeter protection to be used.
2. Provide **typical details** sufficient for installation and maintenance of perimeter protection.

3. If a **silt fence** is to be used, specify the type of fabric.
4. If **compost berms or socks** are used, documentation must be provide to assure the supplier meets quality specifications per *SWDM* Reference 11-C.

Traffic Area Stabilization

1. Locate the **construction entrance**(s).
2. Provide **typical details** sufficient for installation and maintenance of the construction entrance.
3. Locate the **construction roads and parking areas**.
4. Specify the measure(s) that will be used to create **stabilized construction roads and parking areas**. Provide sufficient detail to install and maintain.
5. If a **wheel wash** or **tire bath system** will be installed, provide location, typical details for installation and maintenance.
6. Provide a list of **dust control** products that will be used onsite and the location of potential application areas.

Sediment Retention

1. Show the **locations** of all sedimentation ponds and traps.
2. Dimension pond **berm widths** and all inside and outside pond slopes.
3. Indicate the **trap/pond storage** required and the depth, length, and width dimensions.
4. Provide typical **section views** throughout the pond and outlet structure.
5. If **chemical or electrocoagulation treatment** of sediment-laden waters will be used, approval documentation from Ecology must be included.
6. Provide details for **disposal of contaminated or chemically treated waters** (e.g., where Chitosan or CO2 have been used).
7. Include here and in the SWPPS plan appropriate **approval documentation from local sewer districts** if contaminated or chemically treated water will be discharged to the sanitary sewer.
8. Provide typical details of the **control structure** and **dewatering mechanism**.
9. Detail **stabilization techniques** for the outlet/inlet protection.
10. Provide details sufficient to install **cell dividers**.
11. Specify mulch and/or recommended **cover of berms and slopes**.
12. Indicate the **required depth gage** with a prominent mark at 1-foot depth for sediment removal.
13. Indicate **catch basins** that are to be protected.
14. Indicate **existing and proposed flow control BMP areas** that are to be protected.
15. Provide **details of the catch basin and flow control BMP protection** sufficient to install and maintain.

Surface Water Control

1. **Locate** all pipes, ditches, and interceptor ditches, dikes, and swales that will be used to convey stormwater.
2. Provide **details** sufficient to install and maintain all **conveyances**.
3. Indicate locations of **outlet protection** and provide detail of protections.

4. Indicate locations and outlets of any possible **dewatering systems**. Provide details of alternative discharge methods from dewatering systems if adequate infiltration rates cannot be achieved. Alternative dewatering systems may also require documentation per the SWPPS plan.
5. Indicate the location of any **level spreaders** and provide details sufficient to install and maintain.
6. Provide all **temporary pipe inverts**.
7. Provide location and specifications for the **interception of runoff from disturbed areas** and the conveyance of the runoff to a non-erosive discharge point.
8. Provide **locations** of **rock check dams**.
9. Provide **details**, including front and side sections, of typical **rock check dams**.

Wet Season Requirements

1. Provide a **list** of all applicable wet season requirements.
2. Clearly identify that from October 1st through April 30th, no soils shall be exposed for more than two consecutive working days. Also note that this **two-day requirement** may be applied at other times of the year if storm events warrant more conservative measures.
3. Clearly identify that **exposed soils shall be stabilized** at the end of the workday prior to a weekend, holiday, or predicted rain event.

Critical Areas Restrictions

1. **Delineate and label the following critical areas**, and any applicable buffers, that are on or adjacent to the project site: aquatic areas, wetlands, flood hazard areas, erosion hazard areas, landslide hazard areas, steep slope hazard areas, and critical aquifer recharge areas.
2. If construction creates disturbed areas within any of the above listed critical areas or associated buffers, specify the type, locations, and details of any **measures or other provisions necessary to comply with the critical area restrictions** in Appendix D and protect surface waters and steep slopes.

D.2.5.2 SWPPS PLAN

SWPPS Plan General Specifications

The **SWPPS plan**, together with the ESC plan, comprise the construction stormwater pollution prevention plan (CSWPP) that must be submitted as part of the engineering plans required for drainage review.

The SWPPS plan shall **address all phases of construction** (e.g., clearing, grading, installation of utilities, surfacing, and final stabilization). The County may require large, complex projects to phase construction and submit multiple SWPPS plans for different stages of construction.

The SWPPS plan outlines the minimum requirements for anticipated site conditions and construction activity. During construction, **SWPPS plan shall be revised as necessary** by the CSWPP supervisor or as directed by King County to address changing site conditions or construction activity, unexpected storm events, or non-compliance with the SWPPS performance criteria in Section D.2.3.4 (p. D-112). If non-compliance with the SWPPS performance criteria occurs (e.g., a pollutant spill), immediate action may be necessary to address the occurrence; otherwise, the plan must be updated within 7 days of inspections or investigations. Implementation of the onsite changes must occur within 10 days.

The SWPPS plan must be kept on ***site*** during all phases of construction and shall **address the construction-related pollution-generating activities outlined in Subsection A below**. The plan must include a description of the methods the general contractor will use to ensure sub-contractors are aware of

the SWPPS plan. A **form or record** must be provided that states all sub-contractors have read and agree to the SWPPS plan.

A SWPPS plan consists of the following three elements, which are further described in Subsections B, C, and D below:

1. A **site plan** with supporting documentation, showing the location and description of BMPs required to prevent pollution and control spills from construction activities and from chemicals and other materials used and stored on the construction site. **Supporting documentation** (see the TIR Section 8 discussion in Section 2.3.1.1 of the *KCSWDM*) shall include:
   - all details necessary to clearly illustrate the intent of the SWPPS design;
   - the basis, supporting documentation and approvals, and any calculations for selection and sizing of SWPPS measures; and
   - an inspection and maintenance program for SWPPS measures, including designation of a certified ESC professional and CSWPP supervisor and identification of phone numbers for 24-hour contact.
   - documentation, conditions of approval and discussion of approvals from other agencies for treatment and/or disposal methods (e.g., discharge to sanitary sewer, Ecology-approved chemical treatments)
   - The SWPPS plan shall also **discuss the receiving waters**, especially if the receiving water body is listed on the **303d list**. Information must be provided that shows the plan meets **TMDL requirements**. Discuss the 303(d) listed pollutant generated or used onsite and any special handling requirements or BMPs.

   See Subsection B below for more specifics on the SWPPS site plan.
2. A **pollution prevention report** listing the potential sources of pollution and identifying the operational, source control, and treatment BMPs necessary to prevent/mitigate pollution from these sources. See Subsection C below for more specifics on the SWPPS pollution prevention report.
3. A **spill prevention and cleanup report** describing the procedures and BMPs for spill prevention and including provisions for cleanup of spills should they occur. See Subsection D below for more specifics on the SWPPS spill prevention and cleanup report.

A. ACTIVITY-SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED

At a minimum, the SWPPS plan shall address, if applicable, the following pollution-generating activities typically associated with construction and include the information specified below for each activity. If other pollution-generating activities associated with construction of the proposed project are identified, the SWPPS plan must address those activities in a similar manner.

Storage and Handling of Liquids

1. Identify liquids that will be handled or stored onsite, including but not limited to **petroleum products, fuel, solvents, detergents, paint, pesticides, concrete admixtures, and form oils**.
2. Specify **types and sizes of containers** of liquids that will be stored/handled onsite. Show locations on the SWPPS site plan.
3. Describe **secondary containment methods** adequately sized to provide containment for all liquids stored onsite. Show the locations of containment areas on the SWPPS site plan.

Storage and Stockpiling of Construction Materials and Wastes

1. **Identify** construction materials and wastes that may be generated or stockpiled onsite. Show the **locations** where these materials and wastes will be generated and stockpiled on the SWPPS site plan.

2. Specify type of **cover measures** to be used to keep rainwater from contacting construction materials and wastes that can contribute pollutants to storm, surface, and ground water.
3. If wastes are kept in **containers**, describe how rainwater will be kept out of the containers.

Fueling

1. Specify **method of onsite fueling** for construction equipment (i.e. stationary tanks, truck mounted tanks, wet hosing, etc.). If stationary tanks will be used, show their location on the SWPPS site plan.
2. Describe **type and size of tanks**.
3. Describe **containment methods for fuel spills** and make reference to the SWPPS site plan for location information.
4. If fueling occurs **during evening hours**, describe lighting and signage plan. Make reference to the SWPPS site plan for location information.

Maintenance, Repairs, and Storage of Vehicles and Equipment

1. Identify **maintenance and repair areas** and show their locations on the SWPPS site plan. Use of drip pans or plastic beneath vehicles is required. A note to this effect must be shown on the SWPPS site plan.
2. Describe method for collection, storage, and disposal of **vehicle fluids**.
3. If an area is designated for vehicle maintenance, **signs must be posted** that state no vehicle washing may occur in the area. A note to this effect must be shown on the SWPPS site plan.

Concrete Saw Cutting, Slurry, and Washwater Disposal

1. Identify **truck washout areas** to assure such areas are not within a ***critical aquifer recharge area***. If they are, the washout area must be lined with an impervious membrane. Show location information on the SWPPS site plan.
2. Specify **size of sumps** needed to collect and contain slurry and washwater. Show location information on the SWPPS site plan.
3. Identify **areas for rinsing hand tools** including but not limited to screeds, shovels, rakes, floats and trowels. Show the locations of these areas on the SWPPS site plan.
4. Describe **methods for collecting, treating, and disposal** of waste water from exposed aggregate processes, concrete grinding and saw cutting, and new concrete washing and curing water. Do not use upland land applications for discharging wastewater from concrete washout areas.

Handling of pH Elevated Water

New concrete vaults/structures may cause collected water to have an elevated pH. This water cannot be discharged to storm or surface water until neutralized.

1. Provide details on **treating/neutralizing water** when pH is not within neutral parameters.
2. Provide details on **disposal of water** with elevated pH or of the treated water.
3. If **approvals from other agencies for treatment and/or disposal methods** (e.g., discharge to sanitary sewer, Ecology-approved chemical treatments) have been obtained, indicate such approvals on the plan.

Application of Chemicals including Pesticides and Fertilizers

1. Provide a **list of chemicals** that may be used on the ***project site*** and the application rates.

2. Describe **where and how chemicals will be applied**. Show location information on the SWPPS site plan.
3. Describe **where and how chemicals will be stored**. Show location information on the SWPPS site plan.

B. SWPPS SITE PLAN

The ***site*** plan element of the SWPPS plan shall include all of the information required for the base map (see SWDM Table 2.3.1.A), as well as existing and proposed roads, driveways, parking areas, buildings, drainage facilities, utility corridors not associated with roadways, *relevant critical areas*[15] and associated buffers, and proposed final topography. A smaller scale may be used to provide more comprehensive details on specific locations of each activity and specific prevention measure. In addition to this information, the following items, at a minimum, shall be provided as applicable:

1. Include SWPPS measures for all on- and offsite **utility and roadway construction** included in the permit.
2. Specify the **construction sequence**. The construction sequence shall be specifically written for the proposed project. An example construction sequence is provided in Reference Section D.4.2 (p. D-141).
3. Append to the **standard ESC plan notes any site specific SWPPS notes** (see ESC Plans General Specifications above) and specify the construction sequence, including offsite roadway/utility construction and periods of concentrated construction of concrete structures (e.g., detention vaults). Standard ESC and SWPPS Notes are provided in the Reference Section. D.4.1 (p. D-139).
4. Identify locations where **liquids will be stored** and delineate secondary containment areas that will be provided. (*Secondary containment* means placing tanks or containers within an impervious structure capable of containing 110% of the volume contained in the largest tank within the containment structure. Double-walled tanks do not require additional secondary containment.)
5. Identify locations where **construction materials and wastes** will be generated and stockpiled.
6. Identify location of **fueling for vehicles and equipment** if stationary tanks will be used.
7. Delineate **containment areas** for fuel spills.
8. Show location of **lighting and signage** for fueling during evening hours.
9. Delineate **maintenance and repair areas** and clearly note that drip pans or plastic shall be used beneath vehicles. Also, clearly note that signs must be posted that state no **vehicle washing** may occur in the area.
10. Delineate **truck washout areas** and identify the location of **slurry/washwater sumps and rinsing areas** for tools. To assure the wheel wash/tire bath from the ESC plan and the concrete washout areas are at separate locations, show the location of the wheel wash or tire bath per the ESC plan. (ESC wheel wash or tire bath wastewater shall not include wastewater from concrete washout areas.)
11. Delineate where **chemicals** will be applied and identify where they will be stored.
12. Identify where **spill response materials** will be stored.
13. Indicate whether written approval from Ecology has been obtained for the use of chemical treatment other than CO_2 or dry ice to adjust pH, and provide necessary details and conditions.

[15] *Relevant critical areas*, for the purposes of drainage review, include aquatic areas, wetlands, ***flood hazard areas***, ***erosion hazard areas***, ***landslide hazard areas***, ***steep slope hazard areas***, and ***critical aquifer recharge areas***.

C. POLLUTION PREVENTION REPORT

This report provides the specifics on pollution prevention and must include the following information in addition to the activity-specific information specified in Subsection A above:

1. List the possible **sources of pollution** per Subsection A above and identify the BMPs to be used for each source to prevent pollution. Include any **supporting information** (site conditions, calculations, etc.) for the selection and sizing of pollution prevention BMPs.
2. Identify the **personnel** responsible for pollution prevention and clearly list the responsibilities of each person identified. **Contact information** for these personnel must be clearly identified in the report and on the SWPPS site plan.
3. Describe the **procedures** to be used for monitoring pollution prevention BMPs and for responding to a BMP that needs attention, including keeping records/reports of all inspections of pollution prevent BMPs (see Reference Section 4.3 in this appendix) for examples of worksheets that may be used).

D. SPILL PREVENTION AND CLEANUP REPORT

This report provides the specifics on spill prevention and cleanup and must include the following information in addition to any activity-specific information in Subsection A above related to spill prevention:

1. List the possible **sources of a spill** and identify the BMPs to be used for each source to prevent a spill.
2. Identify **personnel** responsible for spill prevention and cleanup and clearly list the responsibilities of each person identified. **Contact information** for these personnel must be clearly identified in the report and on the SWPPS site plan.
3. Describe the **procedures** to be used for monitoring spill prevention BMPs and for responding to a spill incident, including keeping records/reports of all inspections and spills (see Reference Section 4.3 for examples of worksheets that may be used).
4. Identify where **spill response materials** will be stored. Make reference to the SWPPS site plan for location information.
5. Identify **disposal methods** for contaminated water and soil after a spill.

D.3 SMALL SITE CSWPP

Smaller project sites have similar erosion and sediment control (ESC) and stormwater pollution prevention and spill control (SWPPS) needs. This section offers a simplified set of requirements for applying erosion and sediment and pollutant/spill controls to certain smaller project sites and guides the user through the preparation and submittal of a Small Site CSWPP Plan with the permit application.

D.3.1 INTRODUCTION TO SMALL SITE CSWPP

What is CSWPP, ESC and SWPPS, and Why is it Required for My Site?

ESC - for erosion and sediment control and *SWPPS* - for stormwater pollution prevention and spill control are two strategies comprising the *Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention (CSWPP)* plan requirement on construction sites in King County.

The basic erosion and sediment control requirement—that sediment transport and other construction related pollutants shall be prevented to the maximum extent practicable from leaving the site—**applies to all projects in King County**. All projects, including those with small project sites, are required to use erosion and sediment control (ESC) measures. ESC measures prevent soil erosion during development of the site. The types of measures required for small sites are generally simple to construct and easy to maintain, and with few exceptions do not require engineering or formal design. Examples of such measures include silt fences, phasing or minimizing clearing, routing water around exposed soils, and placing straw or other mulching materials and cover exposed soils.

ESC is required because soils eroded from the site are *always* deposited downstream in pipes, streams, or lakes. Soils deposited in a pipe or channel reduce its capacity to convey flows and can increase the likelihood of flooding. Soils deposited in flow control BMP facilities can significantly reduce their infiltrative capacity. Soils deposited in streams can clog the gravels that salmon use for spawning. Nutrients associated with soils that reach lakes can upset the chemical balance of the lake, causing excessive growth of algae and decreasing recreational uses such as swimming, boating, and fishing.

While the majority of small sites will have less need for the type and extent of construction activity found on large projects, some activities and the materials used are common to all site construction. Stormwater pollution prevention and spill control (SWPPS) measures are required to assure effective planning and implementation of proper handling, spill prevention and cleanup for equipment fuels and petroleum products, paints and solvents, high pH wastewater from concrete construction operations and other pollutants common on small sites. Measures on small sites are usually straightforward and easily implemented

Which Projects May Use Small Site Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Requirements?

All projects that do any amount of land disturbing activity are subject to the ESC standards in this appendix per KCC 16.82.095(A) regardless of whether a permit is required or drainage review under the King County *Surface Water Design Manual (SWDM)* is triggered. Any such project that is not subject to drainage review under the *SWDM* and that disturbs soil on less than 1 acre of land may use the Small Site CSWPP requirements contained in this section. In addition, these projects must apply erosion and sediment control in accordance with KCC 16.62.

Any proposed project subject to Simplified Drainage Review as determined in Section 1.1.2.1 of the *SWDM*, and which disturbs soil on less than 1 acre of land, may use the Small Site CSWPP requirements contained in this section. These same requirements are contained in Appendix C of the *SWDM*, which details the drainage requirements for small agricultural and single family residential building or subdivision projects subject to Simplified Drainage Review.

What Will I Be Required To Do?

It is the responsibility of both the applicant and the contractor to minimize erosion and the transport of sediment and pollutants to the greatest extent possible. You and/or your contractor will be required to evaluate each of the small site ESC and SWPPS requirements specified in Section D.3.2 below for applicability to your project site. This evaluation and the proposed ESC and SWPPS measures to be used to meet these requirements will need to be documented in a Small Site CSWPP Plan that must be submitted to DLS-Permitting for approval prior to commencing land disturbing activities. See the submittal requirements in Section D.3.6.

D.3.2 SMALL SITE CSWPP REQUIREMENTS

For projects that disturb less than 1 acre of land, all of the following small site ESC and SWPPS requirements must be evaluated for applicability to the proposed project:

A. MARK CLEARING LIMITS/MINIMIZE CLEARING

Prior to beginning land disturbing activities, all clearing limits, sensitive areas and their buffers, trees that are to be preserved within the construction area and any existing or proposed flow control BMP areas shall be clearly marked, both in the field and on the small site CSWPP plan, to prevent damage and offsite impacts. Also, clearing shall be minimized to the maximum extent practicable. See "**Mark Clearing Limits/Minimize Clearing**," Section D.3.4.1 (p. D-131), for more detailed specifications.

B. MINIMIZE SEDIMENT TRACKED OFFSITE

1. Establish a stabilized entrance for construction vehicle access to minimize the tracking of sediment onto public roads. Entrance and exit shall be limited to one route, if possible. See "**Stabilized Construction Entrance**," Section D.2.1.4.1 (p. D-42), for detailed specifications.
2. If sediment is tracked offsite, public roads shall be cleaned thoroughly at the end of each day, or more frequently during wet weather, if necessary to prevent sediment from entering waters of the state. Sediment shall be removed from roads by shoveling or pickup sweeping and shall be transported to a controlled sediment disposal area. Street washing will be allowed only after sediment is removed in this manner. Street wash wastewater shall be controlled by pumping back onsite, or otherwise be prevented from discharging into drainage systems tributary to surface waters. This requirement **shall be included as a note** on the small site CSWPP plan.

C. CONTROL SEDIMENT

Runoff from disturbed areas must pass through a sediment control measure to prevent the transport of sediment downstream until the disturbed area is fully stabilized. Sediment controls must be installed as one of the first steps in grading and shall be functional before other land disturbing activities take place. One or more the following sediment controls may be used to meet this requirement:

- **Silt Fence** (See Section D.2.1.3.1, p. D-33)
- **Vegetated Strip** (See Section D.2.1.3.3, p. D-37)
- **Triangular Silt Dike** (See Section D.2.1.3.4, p. D-37)
- **Storm Drain Inlet Protection** (See Section D.2.1.5.3, p. D-53)

D. STABILIZE EXPOSED SOILS

All exposed and unworked soils shall be stabilized through the application of **cover measures** to protect the soil from the erosive forces of raindrop impact, flowing water, and wind erosion. One or more of the following **cover measures** may be used to meet this requirement during the construction phase:

- **Mulching** (See Section D.2.1.2.2, p. D-16)
- **Plastic Covering** (See Section D.2.1.2.4, p. D-20)
- **Nets and Blankets** (See Section D.2.1.2.3, p. D-18)
- **Seeding** (See Section D.2.1.2.6, p. D-24)
- **Sodding** (See Section D.2.1.2.7, p. D-28)

Cover measures shall be **applied in accordance with the following requirements**:

1. Cover measures must be installed if an area is to remain unworked for more than seven days during the dry season (May 1 to September 30) or for more than two consecutive working days during the wet season (October 1 to April 30). These time limits may be relaxed if an area poses a low risk of erosion due to soil type, slope gradient, anticipated weather conditions, or other factors. Conversely, the County may reduce these time limits if site conditions warrant greater protection (e.g., adjacent to significant aquatic resources or highly erosive soils) or if significant precipitation is expected.
2. Any area to remain unworked for more than 30 days shall be seeded or sodded unless the County determines that winter weather makes vegetation establishment infeasible. During the wet season, exposed ground slopes and stockpile slopes with an incline of 3 horizontal to 1 vertical (3H:1V) or steeper and with more than ten feet of vertical relief shall be covered if they are to remain unworked for more than 12 hours. Also during the wet season, the material necessary to cover all disturbed areas must be stockpiled on site. The intent of these cover requirements is to have as much area as possible covered during any period of precipitation.

E. CONTROL RUNOFF

Stormwater runoff originating on the ***site*** and/or entering the ***site*** from offsite areas must be controlled so as to minimize erosion of disturbed areas and exposed cut and fill slopes, and to minimize erosive impacts on existing or proposed flow control BMP areas. The following runoff control measures shall be used as needed per the conditions of use and specifications for each measure:

- **Interceptor Dikes and Swales** (see Section D.2.1.6.1, p. D-60 for conditions of use and specifications)
- **Ditches** (see Section D.3.4.2, p. D-131 for conditions of use and specifications)
- **Pipe Slope Drain** (see Section D.3.4.3, p. D-132 for conditions of use and specifications)

F. CONTROL DEWATERING

Accumulated water in foundation areas, excavations, and utility trenches shall be removed and disposed of in a manner that does not pollute surface waters or cause downstream erosion or flooding. See "**Dewatering Control**," Section D.2.1.7 (p. D-68), for detailed specifications.

G. CONTROL OTHER POLLUTANTS (SWPPS)

All construction activities shall be done in a manner that prevents pollution of surface waters and ground waters as specified in this appendix and King County's *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual (SPPM)*. See "**Control of Other Pollutants (SWPPS)**" (Section D.3.5, p. D-133) for specific measures and references to applicable activity sheets in the *SPPM*. References to SWPPS BMPs from this appendix and applicable activity sheets in *SPPM* shall be included in the small site CSWPP plan.

H. FINAL STABILIZATION

1. Prior to final construction approval, the ***project site*** shall be stabilized to prevent sediment-laden water from leaving the ***project site*** after project completion. All disturbed areas of the ***project site*** shall be vegetated or otherwise permanently stabilized. At a minimum, disturbed areas must be seeded and

mulched to ensure that sufficient cover will develop shortly after final approval. Mulch without seeding is adequate for small areas to be landscaped before October 1.

2. All temporary ESC and SWPPS measures shall be removed within 30 days after final site stabilization is achieved or after the temporary measures are no longer needed. Trapped sediment shall be removed or stabilized onsite. Flow control BMPs impacted during construction shall be restored. Disturbed soil areas resulting from removal of measures or vegetation shall be permanently stabilized with seeding or sodding.

D.3.3 SMALL SITE CSWPP IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

Projects that disturb less than 1 acre of land must implement the CSWPP measures determined necessary in Section D.3.2 in accordance with the following requirements:

1. The placement and type of proposed ESC and SWPPS measures are to be shown on a **Small Site CSWPP plan**. This plan must be in accordance with the specifications for such plans outlined in Section D.3.6 unless otherwise directed by DLS-Permitting.
2. If DLS-Permitting finds that implementation of the proposed **Small Site CSWPP plan is insufficient** to prevent the discharge of sediment or other pollutants to the maximum extent practicable, additional measures will be required by DLS-Permitting. In some cases, an ESC plan or a complete Construction Stormwater Pollution Plan prepared by a ***civil engineer*** per Chapter 2 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual* may be required.
3. The contractor or other persons performing construction activities shall comply with the **stormwater pollution prevention and spill control measures/BMPs** specified for such activities in Section D.3.5 and/or the King County *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual*. A note to this effect must be put on the approved Small Site CSWPP plan.
4. Prior to commencing construction, the applicant must identify to the County a **contact person** responsible for overseeing the installation and maintenance of required ESC and SWPPS measures and compliance with this appendix and the *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual* during construction. The name and contact information for this person must be on or attached to Small Site CSWPP plan at the time of construction.
5. Both the **applicant and contractor are responsible** for implementation and maintenance of the approved CSWPP plan and any additional measures required by the County.
6. The **Small Site CSWPP plan shall be retained onsite** or within reasonable access to the site. The plan shall be modified whenever there is a significant change in the design, construction, operation, or maintenance at the construction site that has, or could have, a significant effect on the discharge of pollutants to surface waters. The plan shall be modified, if during inspections or investigations conducted by the County, it is determined that the plan is ineffective in eliminating or significantly minimizing pollutants in stormwater discharges from the site. The plan shall be modified as necessary to include additional or modified measures designed to correct problems identified.

D.3.4 ESC MEASURES MODIFIED FOR SMALL SITES

ESC for Small Sites. This section presents **supplementary or modified ESC measure**s for use on small sites (i.e., construction sites of projects disturbing less than 1 acre of land). General ESC measures can be found in Section D.2.1.

D.3.4.1 MARK CLEARING LIMITS / MINIMIZE CLEARING

Purpose

Minimizing clearing is the most effective method of erosion control. Undisturbed vegetation intercepts and slows rainwater. Plant roots hold soil in place, and dead vegetation on the ground acts as a mulch. Marking clearing limits around existing or proposed flow control BMP areas helps protect their infiltrative soil characteristics from construction activity.

Applications

Clearing limits shall be marked and clearing minimized on any ***site*** where significant areas of undisturbed vegetation will be retained, or where existing or proposed flow control BMP areas require protection from construction activities.

Design Specifications

1. Minimizing clearing should be incorporated into the ***site*** design. Clearing limits must be marked on the small site ESC plan.
2. On the ground, clearing limits must be clearly marked with brightly colored tape or plastic or metal safety fencing. If tape is used, it should be supported by vegetation or stakes, and should be about 3 to 6 feet high and highly visible. Flow control BMP areas to be protected should be marked with brightly colored silt fence to add sedimentation protection. Equipment operators should be informed of areas of vegetation that are to be left undisturbed and flow control BMP areas that are to be protected.
3. The duff layer, native top soil, and natural vegetation shall be retained in an undisturbed state to the maximum extent practicable. If it is not practicable to retain the duff layer in place, it should be stockpiled on-site, covered to prevent erosion, and replaced immediately upon completion of the ground disturbing activities.

Maintenance

Fencing shall be inspected regularly and repaired or replaced as needed.

D.3.4.2 DITCHES

Purpose

Ditches intercept and convey runoff from disturbed areas to sediment control locations. They also convey runoff intercepted from undisturbed areas around the construction site to a non-erosive discharge point.

Conditions of Use

Ditches may be used anywhere that concentrated runoff is to be conveyed on or around the construction site. Temporary pipe systems may also be used to convey runoff.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. Up to 30,000 square feet may be drained by a 12-inch deep trapezoidal ditch with a 1-foot bottom width. Up to 2 acres may be drained by an 18-inch deep trapezoidal ditch with a 1-foot bottom width. Ditches draining larger areas will need to be sized by a ***civil engineer***.
2. Ditch side slopes shall be no steeper than 2H:1V.
3. Ditches on 5% or steeper grades shall be armored with rip rap or contain crushed rock check dams spaced such that the crest of each dam is even with the toe of the next upstream dam. See Figure D.2.1.6.E (p. D-65) for details on check dam installation.

Maintenance Standards

1. Any sediment deposition of more than 0.5 feet shall be removed so that the channel is restored to its design capacity.
2. If the channel capacity is insufficient for the design flow, it must be determined whether the problem is local (e.g., a constriction or bend) or the channel is under-designed. If the problem is local, the channel capacity must be increased through construction of a berm(s) or by excavation. If the problem is under-design, the design engineer shall be notified and the channel redesigned to a more conservative standard to be approved by King County.
3. The channel shall be examined for signs of scouring and erosion of the bed and banks. If scouring or erosion has occurred, affected areas shall be protected by riprap or an erosion control blanket or net.

D.3.4.3 PIPE SLOPE DRAIN

Purpose

Pipe slope drains are designed to carry concentrated runoff down steep slopes without causing erosion, or saturation of slide-prone soils. Pipe slope drains may be used to divert water away from or over bare soil to prevent gullies, channel erosion, and saturation of slide prone soils

Conditions of Use

Pipe slope drains should be used when a temporary or permanent stormwater conveyance is needed to move water down a steep slope to avoid erosion. Pipe slope drains may be:

1. Used on any slope with a gradient of 2H:1V or greater and with at least 10 feet of vertical relief.
2. Used to drain water collected from aquifers exposed on cut slopes and convey it to the base of the slope.
3. Used to collect clean runoff from plastic sheet cover and direct away from any exposed soils.
4. Installed in conjunction with silt fence to drain collected water to a controlled area.

Design and Installation Specifications

1. See Figure D.2.1.6.D (p. D-63) for details.
2. Up to 30,000 square feet may be drained by each 6-inch minimum diameter pipe. Up to 2 acres may be drained by each 12-inch minimum diameter pipe.
3. The **maximum drainage area** allowed for any 12-inch pipe is 2 acres. For larger areas, more than one pipe shall be used.
4. The **soil** around and under the pipe and entrance section shall be thoroughly compacted.

5. The **flared inlet section** shall be securely connected to the slope drain and be fused or welded, or have flange-bolted mechanical joints to ensure a watertight seal. Ensure that the entrance area is stable and large enough to direct flow into the pipe.
6. Slope drains shall be continuously fused, welded, or flange-bolted mechanical joint pipe systems with proper anchoring to the soil.
7. Re-establish cover immediately on areas disturbed by the installation.

Maintenance Standards

1. The inlet shall not be undercut or bypassed by water. If there are problems, the head wall shall be appropriately reinforced.
2. No erosion shall occur at the outlet point. If erosion occurs, additional protection shall be added.

D.3.5 CONTROL OF OTHER POLLUTANTS (SWPPS)

SWPPS for Small Sites. SWPPS measures **are not modified** for small sites; however, the scope and complexity may be lessened in accordance with the scope of the small site project. Construction activity shall not allow pollutant discharge to surface waters or water resources. The measures listed below provide summary guidance on typical issues and associated BMPs. See Section D.2.2 for additional SWPPS information and measures.

Purpose

To prevent the discharge of pollutants resulting from construction activities. The Small Site CSWPP plan is not complete if this section is not included in the planning and implementation of CSWPP for the Small Site project.

Application

Pollution control measures shall be used whenever there is a potential for the discharge to ground or surface water of any pollutants used on the site.

Pollution Control Measures

The following pollution control measures shall be implemented as applicable using activity-specific BMPs detailed in Section D.2.2 (and Section D.2.1 as applicable) of this appendix and the King County *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual (SPPM) (listed Activity Sheets are viewable at https://www.kingcounty.gov/sppm)*:

1. All pollutants, including waste materials, that occur onsite shall be handled and disposed of in a manner that does not cause contamination of stormwater. See BMPs D.2.2.1 "Concrete Handling" on p. D-75 and D.2.2.4 "Material Delivery, Storage and Containment" on p. D-82 of Section D.2.2 of this appendix and *SPPM* Activity Sheets A-8, A-11, A-12, A-16, A-17, A-22, A-29, A-38, A-41, and A47.
2. Cover, containment, and protection from vandalism shall be provided for all chemicals, liquid products, petroleum products, and non-inert wastes present on the site (see Chapter 173-304 WAC for the definition of inert waste). Onsite fueling tanks shall include secondary containment. See BMP D.2.2.4 "Material Delivery, Storage and Containment" on p. D-82 in Section D.2.2 of this appendix and *SPPM* Activity Sheets A-2, A-3, A-4, A-6, A-8, and A-9.
3. Maintenance and repair of heavy equipment and vehicles involving oil changes, hydraulic system drain down, solvent and de-greasing cleaning operations, fuel tank drain down and removal, and other activities which may result in discharge or spillage of pollutants to the ground or into stormwater runoff must be conducted using spill prevention measures, such as drip pans. Contaminated surfaces shall be cleaned immediately following any discharge or spill incident. Emergency repairs may be performed onsite using temporary plastic placed beneath and, if raining, over the vehicle. See BMP

D.2.2.4 "Material Delivery, Storage and Containment" on p. D-82 in Section D.2.2 of this appendix and *SPPM* Activity Sheets A-11, A-13, A-17, A-18, A-22, A-30, A-47, and A-48.

4. Application of agricultural chemicals, including fertilizers and pesticides, shall be conducted in a manner and at application rates that will not result in loss of chemical to stormwater runoff. Manufacturers' recommendations for application rates and procedures shall be followed. See *SPPM* Activity Sheets A-5, A-25, A-26, and A-49.

5. Stormwater discharges shall not cause or contribute to a violation of the water quality standard for pH in the receiving water. Measures shall be used to prevent or treat contamination of stormwater runoff by pH modifying sources. These sources include, but are not limited to:

   - bulk cement (see *SPPM* Activity Sheets A-19, A-20, A-43, and BMPs D.2.2.1 "Concrete Handling" and D.2.2.4 "Material Delivery, Storage and Containment" in this appendix)
   - cement kiln dust, fly ash (see *SPPM* Activity Sheet A-19, and BMPs D.2.2.1 "Concrete Handling" and D.2.2.9 "Use of High pH Soil Amendments on Construction Sites" in this appendix)
   - new concrete washing and curing waters (see BMPs D.2.2.5 through D.2.2.8 in this appendix for high pH treatment and wastewater disposal requirements)
   - waste streams generated from concrete grinding and sawing (see *SPPM* Activity Sheets A-19, A-20, A-29, A-44 and BMP D.2.2.3 "Sawcutting and Surfacing Pollution Prevention" in this appendix)
   - exposed aggregate processes, and concrete pumping and mixer washout waters (see *SPPM* Activity Sheets A-19, A-44 and BMPs D.2.2.2 "Concrete Washout Area" and D.2.2.1 "Concrete Handling")

   Also see Section D.2.1 of this appendix for ESC measures that will assist in containment of high pH runoff.

6. For full compliance with KCC 9.12 Water Quality, the project may need to include measures for the permanent structures and features constructed under other permits. See the *SPPM* for Activity Sheets describing issues and measures to address them. Common issues include:

   - Containment area planning for storage of liquid materials in stationary or portable tanks, storage of solid waste and food wastes including cooking grease, and to avoid pollutant spills to surface waters. See *SPPM* Activity Sheets A-2, A-3, A-7, and A-8.
   - Permanent canopy and paving requirements for permanent outdoor vehicle parking, maintenance and storage areas. See *SPPM* BMP Information Sheets #3 and #4 and Activity Sheets A-4, A-17, A-21, A-31, and A-47.

D.3.6 SMALL SITE CSWPP SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

A *Small Site CSWPP Plan* must be submitted for all projects that are eligible to use the Small Site CSWPP requirements in this section. For projects in Simplified Drainage Review that disturb soil on less than 1 acre of land, this plan is part of the Simplified Drainage Plan described in the *Simplified Drainage Requirements* (Appendix C of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual (SWDM)*). For other projects, including those that may not be subject to drainage review, this plan would be submitted as directed by DLS-Permitting. Directions for preparing a Small Site CSWPP Plan are provided below, and a sample plan is presented in Section D.3.6.3 (p. D-136).

D.3.6.1 SMALL SITE CSWPP PLAN MAP

The Small Site CSWPP Plan includes information that is routinely collected for a **single family residence site plan or a short plat plot plan** already required to be submitted with a permit application. One copy of the site plan or plot plan shall be used to show how ESC and SWPPS measures are to be applied to the site to comply with the Small Site CSWPP requirements. The approximate location and size of clearing limits, rock construction entrance, flow paths, silt fences, etc., should be indicated on the Small Site CSWPP Plan. Any plan must contain at a minimum the features listed in Section D.3.6.2.

Single family residential projects that qualify for Small Site CSWPP requirements should use the Residential Site Plan (see DLS-Permitting Bulletin No. 9, "Obtaining a Residential Building Permit") as the base map for the CSWPP plan.

Proposed short plats that qualify for Simplified Drainage Review should use the Simplified Drainage Plan (see *Simplified Drainage Requirements*, detached Appendix C of the *SWDM*) as a base plan for the CSWPP plan. All projects subject to Simplified Drainage Review are required to submit these plans and a drainage assessment. If engineering plans are required for a short plat application, they may be used as a base plan for Small Site CSWPP plans. How the ESC and SWPPS measures are to be applied on the site are added directly to the base map. For more complicated sites, an erosion control professional should be readily able to add Small Site CSWPP BMPs to the base map with minimal additional effort or expense.

The CSWPP plans for short plats usually apply only to the site development, since siting of homes on lots created by short plats is done after the short plat is approved (when the home applies for a residential building permit). It is the responsibility of the applicant for a proposed single family residence to show in detail how ESC and SWPPS requirements are met. The applicant is also required to comply with the King County *Stormwater Pollution Prevention Manual* and KCC 9.12.

D.3.6.2 FEATURES REQUIRED ON SMALL SITE CSWPP PLANS

The Small Site CSWPP Plan should be drawn on 8-1/2" x 11", 8-1/2" x 14", or 11" x 17" paper (see the sample plan in Figure D.3.6.A, p. D-138), and must include the following information.

Identification

1. Name, address, and phone number of the applicant
2. Scale—use a scale that clearly illustrates drainage features and flow controls (1"=20' is standard engineering scale; minimum acceptable scale is 1"=50')
3. Parcel number
4. North arrow
5. Dimension of all property lines, easements, and building setback lines
6. Street names and existing or proposed property address
7. Section, township, and range of proposal.

Topography

1. Corner elevations
2. Benchmark (a permanent mark indicating elevation and serving as a reference in the topographic survey)
3. Datum (assumed datum is acceptable in many cases, i.e., fire hydrant base = 100'; datum for projects in or near FEMA floodplains should be NGVD 1929 or NAVD 1988 per the appropriate FEMA flood mapping, as directed by the local authority)
4. If over 15% slope: 5-foot contours, top of slope, toe of slope, and any erosion or landslide areas.

Proposed ESC

1. Delineation of proposed clearing limits
2. Type and location of erosion control facilities
3. Location of any significant offsite drainage features within 200 feet of the discharge point(s) for the lot, including streams, lakes, roadside ditches.

Proposed SWPPS

1. Areas of designated contractor and equipment parking, fueling and equipment maintenance
2. Type and location of spill control, materials containment and concrete handling/washout facilities
3. Notes referencing BMPs in this appendix and/or in the *SPPM*.

Topography/Drainage Features

1. Outline of any stream, wetland, lake, closed depression, or other water feature (including any required buffer width)
2. Location of all steep slopes, landslide hazard areas, and coal mine hazard areas (including buffers)
3. Location of all existing and proposed drainage easements, ditches, swales, pipes, etc.
4. Location of all critical areas as shown on any recorded critical areas notice on title.

D.3.6.3 SAMPLE SMALL SITE CSWPP PLAN

Proposed ESC. All sites are required to control erosion and contain sediment. The planning and use of ESC measures will be illustrated for a single family residence. Although the specifics of any lot will differ from those shown here, the process will be similar. The first step in the process is to determine whether the site is eligible to use the Small Site ESC requirements. This evaluation and the following materials are usually included in the drainage assessment that accompanies the Small Site ESC Plan.

The proposed house is to be placed on an existing 1.69-acre lot (see Figure D.3.6.A). Impervious surfaces are the roof, a driveway, and a parking area. The total proposed impervious surface is 6,950 square feet as determined from the residential site plan layout of residence and driveway (the site plan provides the base map for the Small Site CSWPP Plan). The amount exceeds 2,000 square feet but falls below the 10,000-square-foot limit for Simplified Drainage Review. Therefore, a Small Site CSWPP Plan is applicable.

The proposal is not in a basin plan area or critical drainage area that might contain clearing limits. However, a portion of a wetland and an erosion hazard area have been identified on the site, and their approximate locations are shown in Figure D.3.6.A. While neither the wetland and its buffer, nor the erosion hazard area would be disturbed during construction, the locations of these critical areas must be verified.

Approximately the southern 2/3 of the site will be cleared. Trees and other native vegetation will be left intact along the northern edge, near the street. Buffers will be maintained around the wetland and erosion hazard areas, respectively. The site slopes towards the street.

In order to prevent erosion and to encourage sedimentation, the following ESC **BMPs** are used:

1. **Clearing will be minimized** to the extent possible, and **clearing limits will be marked** by fencing or other means on the ground.
2. Water will be **routed** around the erosion hazard area and around the steep section of the driveway by constructing an interceptor dike or ditch that will intersect and direct water away to the east of the site.
3. Water will be **filtered** before it reaches the wetland. Silt fencing or other perimeter protection will be placed along slope contours at the limits of clearing in the vicinity of both the wetland and the erosion hazard area.
4. A rocked construction entrance will be placed at the end of the driveway.
5. **Mulch** will be spread over all cleared areas of the site when they are not being worked. Mulch will consist of air-dried straw and chipped site vegetation. Other cover methods that prevent erosion may also be installed.

The BMPs shown in Figure D.3.6.A must be installed as clearing progresses. For example, the rock construction entrance must be installed as soon as the path for the driveway has been cleared. Additional ESC measures must be installed if the ones proposed above prove insufficient.

Proposed SWPPS. Although the footprints of the proposed house and driveway are not shown in this example, the project description suggests typical house building materials and concrete foundation/driveway construction. In order to prevent pollutants from entering water resources and groundwater, the following SWPPS **BMPs** would be used:

1. Designated parking for contractors and spill containment if equipment will be left onsite (reference SPPM Activity Sheet A-31 on the Small Site CSWPP plan).
2. Concrete handling/washout containment area (indicate location) and description/detail (reference BMPs D.2.2.1 "Concrete Handling" and D.2.2.2 "Concrete Washout Area" from this appendix on the Small Site CSWPP plan).

FIGURE D.3.6.A SAMPLE SMALL SITE CSWPP PLAN



D.4 REFERENCE SECTION

This reference section provides materials useful in developing erosion and sediment control plans and for effectively implementing erosion control measures in the field. In order to make the Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Standards a stand-alone booklet, several key components relating to erosion and sediment control and stormwater pollution prevention and spill control found in the King County *Surface Water Design Manual* are repeated in this section.

1. Standard ESC/SWPPS plan notes
2. Recommended construction sequence
3. ESC and SWPPS maintenance reports

D.4.1 STANDARD ESC AND SWPPS PLAN NOTES

The **standard ESC plan notes** must be included on all ESC plans. At the applicant's discretion, notes that in no way apply to the project may be omitted; however, the remaining notes must not be renumbered. For example, if ESC Note #3 were omitted, the remaining notes should be numbered 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, etc.

1. Approval of this erosion and sedimentation control (ESC) plan does not constitute an approval of permanent road or drainage design (e.g., size and location of roads, pipes, restrictors, channels, retention facilities, utilities, etc.).
2. The implementation of these ESC plans and the construction, maintenance, replacement, and upgrading of these ESC facilities is the responsibility of the applicant/ESC supervisor until all construction is approved.
3. The boundaries of the clearing limits shown on this plan shall be clearly flagged by survey tape or fencing, if required, prior to construction (*SWDM* Appendix D). During the construction period, no disturbance beyond the clearing limits shall be permitted. The clearing limits shall be maintained by the applicant/ESC supervisor for the duration of construction.
4. Stabilized construction entrances shall be installed at the beginning of construction and maintained for the duration of the project. Additional measures, such as constructed wheel wash systems or wash pads, may be required to ensure that all paved areas are kept clean and track out to road right of way does not occur for the duration of the project.
5. The ESC facilities shown on this plan must be constructed prior to or in conjunction with all clearing and grading so as to ensure that the transport of sediment to surface waters, drainage systems, flow control BMP locations (existing and proposed),and adjacent properties is minimized.
6. The ESC facilities shown on this plan are the minimum requirements for anticipated site conditions. During the construction period, these ESC facilities shall be upgraded as needed for unexpected storm events and modified to account for changing site conditions (e.g. additional cover measures, additional sump pumps, relocation of ditches and silt fences, perimeter protection etc.) as directed by King County.
7. The ESC facilities shall be inspected daily by the applicant/ESC supervisor and maintained to ensure continued proper functioning. Written records shall be kept of weekly reviews of the ESC facilities.
8. Any areas of exposed soils, including roadway embankments, that will not be disturbed for two consecutive days during the wet season or seven days during the dry season shall be immediately stabilized with the approved ESC methods (e.g., seeding, mulching, plastic covering, etc.).
9. Any area needing ESC measures that do not require immediate attention shall be addressed within seven (7) days.

10. The ESC facilities on inactive sites shall be inspected and maintained a minimum of once a month during the dry season, bi-monthly during the wet season, or within twenty four (24) hours following a storm event.
11. At no time shall more than one (1) foot of sediment be allowed to accumulate within a catch basin. All catch basins and conveyance lines shall be cleaned prior to paving. The cleaning operation shall not flush sediment-laden water into the downstream system.
12. Any permanent retention/detention facility used as a temporary settling basin shall be modified with the necessary erosion control measures and shall provide adequate storage capacity. If the facility is to function ultimately as an infiltration system, the temporary facility must be rough graded so that the bottom and sides are at least three feet above the final grade of the permanent facility. Flow control BMP areas (existing or proposed) shall not be used as temporary facilities and shall be protected from sedimentation and intrusion.
13. Cover measures will be applied in conformance with Appendix D of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*.
14. Prior to the beginning of the wet season (Oct. 1), all disturbed areas shall be reviewed to identify which ones can be seeded in preparation for the winter rains. Disturbed areas shall be seeded within one week of the beginning of the wet season. A sketch map of those areas to be seeded and those areas to remain uncovered shall be submitted to the DLS-Permitting inspector.

The **standard SWPPS plan notes** must be included on all CSWPP plans. They may be placed on the ESC plan if the SWPPS measures are simple and shown on the ESC plan. At the applicant's discretion, notes that in no way apply to the project may be omitted; however, the remaining notes must not be renumbered. For example, if SWPPS Note #3 were omitted, the remaining notes should be numbered 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, etc.

1. All pollutants, including waste materials, that occur onsite shall be handled and disposed of in a manner that does not cause contamination of stormwater.
2. Cover, containment, and protection from vandalism shall be provided for all chemicals, liquid products, petroleum products, and non-inert wastes present on the site (see Chapter 173-304 WAC for the definition of inert waste). Onsite fueling tanks shall include secondary containment.
3. Maintenance and repair of heavy equipment and vehicles involving oil changes, hydraulic system drain down, solvent and de-greasing cleaning operations, fuel tank drain down and removal, and other activities which may result in discharge or spillage of pollutants to the ground or into stormwater runoff must be conducted using spill prevention measures, such as drip pans. Contaminated surfaces shall be cleaned immediately following any discharge or spill incident. Emergency repairs may be performed onsite using temporary plastic placed beneath and, if raining, over the vehicle.
4. Application of agricultural chemicals, including fertilizers and pesticides, shall be conducted in a manner and at application rates that will not result in loss of chemical to stormwater runoff. Manufacturers' recommendations for application rates and procedures shall be followed.
5. Measures shall be used to prevent or treat contamination of stormwater runoff by pH modifying sources. These sources include, but are not limited to, bulk cement, cement kiln dust, fly ash, new concrete washing and curing waters, waste streams generated from concrete grinding and sawing, exposed aggregate processes, and concrete pumping and mixer washout waters. Stormwater discharges shall not cause or contribute to a violation of the water quality standard for pH in the receiving water.

D.4.2 RECOMMENDED CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE

A detailed construction sequence is needed to ensure that erosion and sediment control measures are applied at the appropriate times. A recommended construction sequence is provided below:

1. Hold the pre-construction meeting.
2. Post sign with name and phone number of CSWPP/ESC supervisor (may be consolidated with the required notice of construction sign).
3. Flag or fence clearing limits.
4. Install catch basin protection, if required. Install flow control BMP area protection, if required.
5. Grade and install construction entrance(s).
6. Install perimeter protection (silt fence, brush barrier, etc.).
7. Construct sediment ponds and traps.
8. Grade and stabilize construction roads.
9. Construct surface water controls (interceptor dikes, pipe slope drains, etc.) simultaneously with clearing and grading for project development. Construct SWPPS in anticipation of scheduled construction activity (e.g., concrete-related pH measures for utility, vault or roadway construction)
10. Maintain erosion control and SWPPS measures in accordance with King County standards and manufacturer's recommendations.
11. Relocate erosion control and SWPPS measures, or install new measures so that as site conditions change, the erosion and sediment control and pollutant protection is always in accordance with the *King County Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Standards*.
12. Cover all areas that will be unworked for more than seven days during the dry season or two days during the wet season with straw, wood fiber mulch, compost, plastic sheeting, or equivalent.
13. Stabilize all areas within seven days of reaching final grade.
14. Seed, sod, stabilize, or cover any areas to remain unworked for more than 30 days.
15. Upon completion of the project, stabilize all disturbed areas and remove BMPs if appropriate.

D.4.3 ESC AND SWPPS MAINTENANCE REPORTS

DLS-Permitting may require a written record of all maintenance activities to be kept to demonstrate compliance with the Maintenance Requirements (Section D.2.4.4). A standard ESC Maintenance Report is provided on the next page and typical SWPPS Maintenance Reports follow. Copies of the ESC and SWPPS Maintenance Reports must be kept on site throughout the duration of construction.

ESC MAINTENANCE REPORT

Performed By: _____
Date: _____
Project Name: _____
DLS-Permitting Permit #: _____

Clearing Limits

Damage	OK ____	Problem
Visible	OK ____	Problem
Intrusions	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Mulch

Rills/Gullies	OK ____	Problem
Thickness	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Nets/Blankets

Rills/Gullies	OK ____	Problem
Ground Contact	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Plastic

Tears/Gaps	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Seeding

Percent Cover	OK ____	Problem
Rills/Gullies	OK ____	Problem
Mulch	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Sodding

Grass Health	OK ____	Problem
Rills/Gullies	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Perimeter Protection including Silt Fence

Damage	OK ____	Problem
Sediment Build-up	OK ____	Problem
Concentrated Flow	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Flow Control BMP protection

Damage	OK ____	Problem
Sedimentation	OK ____	Problem
Concentrated Flow	OK ____	Problem
Rills/Gullies	OK ____	Problem
Intrusions	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Brush Barrier

Damage	OK ____	Problem
Sediment Build-up	OK ____	Problem
Concentrated Flow	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Vegetated Strip

Damage	OK ____	Problem
Sediment Build-up	OK ____	Problem
Concentrated Flow	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Construction Entrance

Dimensions	OK ____	Problem
Sediment Tracking	OK ____	Problem
Vehicle Avoidance	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Wheel Wash

Dimensions	OK ____	Problem
Sed buildup or tracking	OK ____.	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Construction Road

Stable Driving Surf.	OK ____	Problem
Vehicle Avoidance	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Sediment Trap/Pond

Sed. Accumulation	OK ____	Problem
Overtopping	OK ____	Problem
Inlet/Outlet Erosion	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Catch Basin/Inlet Protection

Sed. Accumulation	OK ____	Problem
Damage	OK ____	Problem
Clogged Filter	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Interceptor Dike/Swale

Damage	OK ____	Problem
Sed. Accumulation	OK ____	Problem
Overtopping	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Pipe Slope Drain

Damage	OK ____	Problem
Inlet/Outlet	OK ____	Problem
Secure Fittings	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Ditches

Damage	OK ____	Problem
Sed. Accumulation	OK ____	Problem
Overtopping	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Outlet Protection

Scour	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Level Spreader

Damage	OK ____	Problem
Concentrated Flow	OK ____	Problem
Rills/Gullies	OK ____	Problem
Sed. Accumulation	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Dewatering Controls

Sediment	OK ____	Problem

Dust Control

Palliative applied	OK ____	Problem

Miscellaneous

Wet Season Stockpile	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Comments:

Actions Taken:

Problems Unresolved:

Pollution Prevention Team	**Completed by:** _____ **Title:** _____ **Date:** _____
Responsible Official:_____ Team Leader:_____ Responsibilities: _____ _____ _____	Title:_____ Office Phone:_____ Cell Phone #:_____ Pager #:_____
(1)_____ Responsibilities: _____ _____ _____	Title:_____ Office Phone:_____ Pager #:_____ Cell Phone:_____
(2)_____ Responsibilities: _____ _____ _____	Title:_____ Office Phone:_____ Pager #:_____ Cell Phone #:_____

Employee Training	Completed by: Title: Date:

Describe the annual training of employees on the SWPPP, addressing spill response, good housekeeping, and material management practices.

Training Topics 1.) LINE WORKERS	Brief Description of Training Program/Materials (e.g., film, newsletter course)	Schedule for Training (list dates)	Attendees
Spill Prevention and Response			
Good Housekeeping			
Material Management Practices			
2.) P2 TEAM:			
SWPPP Implementation			
Monitoring Procedures			

List of Significant Spills and Leaks	Completed by:_____ Title:_____ Date:_____

List all spills and leaks of toxic or hazardous pollutants that were significant but are not limited to, release of oil or hazardous substances in excess of reportable quantities. Although not required, we suggest you list spills and leaks of non-hazardous materials.

Date (month/day/year)	Location (as indicated on site map)	Description				Response Procedure		Preventive Measure Taken
		Type of Material	Quantity	Source, If Known	Reason for Spill/Leak	Amount of Material Recovered	Material No longer exposed to Stormwater (Yes/No)	

Potential Pollutant Source Identification	**Completed by:** _____ **Title:** _____ **Date:** _____

List all potential stormwater pollutants from materials handled, treated, or stored on-site.

Potential Stormwater Pollutant	Stormwater Pollutant Source	Likelihood of pollutant being present in your stormwater discharge. If yes, explain

Material Inventory

Completed by: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

List materials handled, treated, stored, or disposed of at the project site that may potentially be exposed to precipitation or runoff.

Material	Purpose/Location	Quantity (Units) Used (indicate per/wk. or yr.)	Produced	Stored		Likelihood of contact with stormwater If Yes, describe reason		Past Spill or Leak Yes	No

(This page intentionally left blank)

REFERENCE

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

Note:

Although some of the materials in this Reference section may have been adopted by administrative rule or by ordinance, the administrative rule that adopted the Surface Water Design Manual has not formally adopted any of the materials in this section. All of the papers, forms, notes, equations, symbols, maps and other materials herein are for reference only.

King County assumes no responsibility for the completeness or current status of the materials contained in this section. It is the sole responsibility of each applicant to insure that the most current materials are used in preparing a permit application for their proposed project. Copies of these materials are available from DNRP or DLS-Permitting, or may be downloaded from the King County Water and Land Resources Division "Surface Water Design Manual Website."

1 KCC 9.04 – Surface Water Runoff Policy

2 Adopted Critical Drainage Areas

3 Other Adopted Area Specific Drainage Requirements

- A RA Zone Clearing Restrictions

4 Other Drainage Related Regulations and Guidelines

- A Grading Code Soil Amendment Standard
- B Clearing & Grading Seasonal Limitations
- C Landscape Management Plan Guidelines
- D Shared Facility Maintenance Responsibility Guidance

5 Wetland Hydrology Protection Guidelines

6 Hydrologic/Hydraulic Design Methods

- A Infiltration Rate Test Methods
- B Pond Geometry Equations
- C Introduction to Level Pool Routing
- D Supplemental Modeling Guidelines

7 Engineering Plan Support

- A King County Standard Map Symbols
- B Standard Plan Notes and Example Construction Sequence
- C Stormfilter Facility Access and Cartridge Configuration

8 Forms and Worksheets

- A Technical Information Report (TIR) Worksheet
- B Offsite Analysis Drainage System Table
- C Water Quality Facility Sizing Worksheets
- D Flow Control and Water Quality Facility Summary Sheet and Sketch
- E CSWPP Worksheet Forms
- F Adjustment Application Form and Process Guidelines
- G Dedication and Indemnification Clause - Final Recording
- H Bond Quantities Worksheet
- I Maintenance and Defect Agreement
- J Drainage Facility Covenant
- K Drainage Release Covenant
- L Drainage Easement
- M Flow Control BMP Covenant and BMP Maintenance Instructions (Recordable format)
- N Impervious Surface Limit Covenant
- O Clearing Limit Covenant
- P River Protection Easement
- Q Leachable Metals Covenant

9 Interim Changes to Requirements

- A Blanket Adjustments
- B Administrative Changes

10 King County-Identified Water Quality Problems

11 Materials

- A (VACANT)
- B (VACANT)
- C Bioretention Soil Media Standard Specifications
- D (VACANT)
- E Roofing Erodible or Leachable Materials

12 (VACANT)

13 (VACANT)

14 Supplemental Approved Facilities

- A Approved Proprietary Facilities
- B Approved Public Domain Facilities

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 1
SURFACE WATER RUNOFF POLICY

See King County Code, Section 9.04

Title 9 - SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT

REFERENCE 2
ADOPTED CRITICAL DRAINAGE AREAS

(None at this time)

REFERENCE 3
OTHER ADOPTED AREA SPECIFIC DRAINAGE REQUIREMENTS

3-A RA ZONE CLEARING STANDARDS

See King County Code, Sections 16.82.150, 16.82.152, 16.82.154
Title 16 - BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS

REFERENCE 4
OTHER DRAINAGE RELATED REGULATIONS AND GUIDELINES

4-A GRADING CODE SOIL AMENDMENT STANDARD

See King County Code, Section 16.82.100

Title 16 - BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS

4-B CLEARING AND GRADING SEASONAL LIMITATIONS

See King County Code, Section 16.82.095
Title 16 - BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS

4-C LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT PLAN GUIDELINES

4-D SHARED FACILITY MAINTENANCE RESPONSIBILITY GUIDANCE

REFERENCE 4-C

LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT PLAN GUIDELINES

Landscape management plans have the potential to significantly reduce the pollutant load washing off managed green spaces. For this reason, landscape management plans that incorporate key pollution prevention elements and which are consistently implemented can be used in lieu of water quality treatment facilities (see Section 1.2.8). These guidelines are intended to aid the preparation of comprehensive and effective landscape management plans. Submittal requirements for obtaining an approved landscape management plan are given in Chapter 2 and summarized below.

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

Studies of pollutant transport have consistently shown that forested lands consistently produce lower pollutant loads—of solids, phosphorus and metals—than do lands used for residential, industrial or agricultural purposes. "Loading" refers to the total weight of a pollutant leaving a particular area or site. It is measured by determining both the concentration of a pollutant and the amount of flow leaving a site. Since the Puget Sound area was largely forested before settlement, lakes and streams in the area have developed biotic regimes in response to this low pollutant loading—clear, cool waters supporting salmon and other aquatic life. When the input of pollutants increases, lakes and streams often shift to a more biologically productive mode, often with a concomitant loss of clear water and a shift or even a decline in fish species.

When forests are converted to cities, this increase in pollutant load needs to be managed in order to maintain the beneficial uses of lakes and streams. One way to manage pollutants is to treat stormwater before it enters a water body. Biofiltration swales, wetponds and sand filters, as well as other facilities, can be used to provide this treatment. Another approach to manage pollutant loads is to prevent the pollutants from entering stormwater in the first place.

Our best models on how to keep nutrients and pollutants from entering storm water are from the original, unaltered landscape—the forests. Forests have a soft, absorptive duff layer, as well as dense vegetative cover, especially near the ground surface. Nutrients are provided in the form of slow-release organic materials, or leaves, needles and woody material. Rainfall runoff is greatly reduced from the levels seen in developed landscapes. These factors help to keep the total load of nutrients and sediments transported to receiving waters low.

ELEMENTS OF A SUCCESSFUL LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Good planning, tailored to the specific conditions of the site, as well as good follow-through, are both essential in controlling the pollutants generated when forests are replaced with lawns, gardens or other landscape features. This section will focus on planning. Follow-through, or implementation, will be discussed in the next section.

I. PLAN CONTENTS

A landscape management plan for any particular site works best if developed with the specific site characteristics in mind. Soil type, slope, exposure, depth to groundwater as well as the particular suite of plants chosen for the site all should help direct the specific make-up of the plan. However, there are some basic principles that all sites should consider in order to be successful in controlling the export of soil or organic matter, fertilizers and pesticides in stormwater runoff. Landscape management plans should address each of the following general principles, tailoring them to fit the specific site situation:

1) Minimize bare soil areas

2) Reduce water demand

3) Reduce extent of turf area—manage remaining turf for low-impact
4) Choose plants with sustainability in mind
5) Manage fertilizer and pesticide use wisely

Each of the five basic principles is expanded upon in the following section. The recommendations discussed under each principle are intended as a framework for a variety of site situations, from individual homes to large parks and golf courses. Thus, not every landscape management plan may be able to apply each of the listed recommendations. In addition, landscapes are managed for different purposes, some more formal than others. It may be that some recommendations will not be appropriate for very formal sites and thus not adopted, in favor of other management practices that better fit the uses for which the site is intended. In the end, the extent to which a landscape management plan is successful depends on the ability of the practices chosen to retain soil, fertilizers and pesticides on the site and away from water resources throughout the entire year.

Principle 1 Minimize bare soil areas

Bare soil areas are one source of solids that can be mobilized and carried downstream by rainfall. Minimizing bare soil areas makes it less likely that solid particles will be dislodged by rainfall. Some pointers on how to manage landscapes to minimize bare soil are given below.

a) Establish dense plantings of pest-resistant groundcover to shade out weeds. Some easy-care recommendations are rock rose (*Cistus* sp.), snowberry (*Symphoricarpus alba*), salal (*Gaultheria shallon*) and kinnickinick (*Arctostaphylos uva-ursi*).

b) If bare soil areas are required, as in plant beds or ball diamonds, surround the bare area with an area of grass or groundcover to filter out solids that may be picked up by stormwater runoff.

- The denser the grass or groundcover, the better it works to capture solids in runoff.
- Try to make the filtering area as level as possible. Avoid low spots, where runoff can concentrate and create channels.
- In general, filter areas should be about one-fourth as long (along the flow path) as the area contributing low, assuming that slopes are gentle (less than about 10 percent). For flat, level areas without dips, this length can be reduced.

c) Repair promptly bare patches in lawns or groundcovers that could contribute solids to stormwater runoff.

d) Don't place bark or loose mulch on slopes where it can be carried to stormdrains.

Principle 2 Reduce water demand

Reducing the need for irrigation reduces the potential movement of pollutants, conserves water and saves money.

a) Use drought tolerant or native vegetation.

b) Install underground irrigation systems timed to water at night or drip irrigation systems.

c) Increase the organic content of soils to improve water-retention capability.

d) Allow for longer water retention by terracing sloped areas.

Principle 3 Reduce turf area and manage remaining turf for low-impact

Turf requires care to look good. In addition to mowing, turf areas typically require water, fertilizer and weed and disease control. However, some practices can reduce or minimize the amount of chemical controls needed.

a) Amend soil with organic matter to a depth of 8 -12 inches before the lawn is established. Till the organic matter into the native soil.

b) Decide if all lawn area needs the same level of upkeep: let some areas have a less formal look if possible, and reduce fertilizer and pesticide use in those areas.

c) Rely on irrigation and lawn aeration as the primary tools to maintain healthy turf.

d) Remove thatch each year to increase water penetration to grass roots and reduce runoff.

e) Plant groundcovers rather than grass in shady areas. Turf grasses usually need at least partial sun to remain vigorous.

Principle 4 Choose plants with sustainability in mind

Plants differ in their ability to cope with different soils, rainfall conditions, pest and diseases and microclimates. Choosing resilient plant species, plants with adaptations for particular environments or creating optimal microenvironments are all techniques that can be used to create landscapes that require less intervention. Less watering and less need for pesticide and fertilizer application means less potential for pollutants to leave the site.

a) Choose disease resistant plants.

b) Choose drought-resistant groundcovers, shrubs and trees in areas with poor soil or little shading.

c) Group plants in clusters with tree, shrub and groundcover layers to create a better micro-environment and to supply organic matter back to the soil.

d) Include plants in the landscape that are important for beneficial insects such as parasitic wasps. If beneficial insects have nothing to sustain them, they won't stick around to control pests when you need them.

e) Use dense plantings or close spacing to shade out weeds rather than herbicides.

f) Use plants with fibrous roots on steeper slopes or erosion-prone areas.[1] Some good choices include:

- *New Zealand flax (*Phormium penax*)
- Ornamental grasses, lawn grasses
- *Rock rose (*Cistus* sp.)
- Rosa rugosa
- Salmonberry (*Rubus spectabilis*) -- native
- Snowberry (*Symphoricarpus alba*)-- native

* not hardy in all areas of the County

g) Use wetland plants in areas with seeps or a high water table.

h) Attend to installation details. Write enforceable planting specifications that include details such as soil preparation, plant spacing, plant condition and size, planting depth, transplant handling

[1] Note that the County's Sensitive Areas Code (21a) defines and protects steep slopes and landslide hazard areas from encroachment. Generally, clearing of vegetation is prohibited in areas with slopes of 40% or more.

and irrigation. Inspect the job during planting to prevent short cuts such as blowing the soil mixture around root balls rather than digging the roots into amended native soils.

Principle 5 Manage fertilizer and pesticide use wisely

Many landscape plants and turf simply won't do well without fertilization and some amount of pest management. It's therefore important for landscape management plans to address when and how these actions will be taken.

a) Keep plants healthy by building healthy soil using composted organic material. Healthy plants can better resist diseases and insect pests.

b) Tailor fertilizer make-up to lawn needs. Adjust application rate and timing of fertilizer applications to avoid carry-off in storm runoff.

c) Reduce the phosphorus (P) concentration in fertilizers when possible by using a low phosphorous formulation or formulations containing only nitrogen or potassium. Added phosphorus is often not needed for health foliage growth, only for encouraging profuse blooms.

d) Use an integrated pest management approach to control pests. Keep current about non-chemical controls as a first-defense against pests.

e) Encourage a diverse insect community in your landscape: Beneficial insects can help control pests, especially pests of trees and shrubs.

f) Target pesticide application to the specific pest of concern. Avoid pesticide "mixes" targeting generic problems (such as weed and feed) unless you actually need each of the formulations for a current problem.

g) Only apply pesticides during the life-stage when the pest is vulnerable.

h) Use fungicides very sparingly—they disrupt the base of aquatic food webs. If you need to use fungicides, spray formulations with faster break-down times. Consult a golf course management text for information on the attributes of various fungicides (and other pesticides). Balough and Walker, 1992, *Golf course management and construction* published by CRC Press is one source of information.

i) Tolerate some weeds.

References

"Weed management for lawns and gardens." Washington Toxics Coalition Fact Sheet, 1989.

"Least toxic lawn management." The BioIntegral Resource Center (BIRC), P.O. Box 7414, Berkeley, CA 94707

Washington State Cooperative Extension publications on lawn care, Bulletin Office, Cooperative Extension, Cooper Publication Building, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164-5912

Selected tittles include: "Turf grass diseases" and supplement (EB0713 and EB0713S); "European crane fly"(EB0856); "Fertilizer guide: western Washington" (FG0041); "Disease control in home lawns" (EB0938); "Home lawns" (EB0482).

II PLAN IMPLEMENTATION

A landscape management plan, no matter how good, will not reduce pollutants in runoff if it is not implemented. And implementation often means that the plan needs to be modified over time, since as plants grow and as the cycle of pests change, the original plan may not fit the site. The following must be addressed before a landscape management plan can be approved.

1. Identify who will be responsible for assuring the management plan is carried out.

2. Identify how the applicant will assure that grounds crews or homeowners have the training and/or resources required to implement the plan and keep up to date on advances in landscape care practices and products.
3. Agree to keep records of fertilizer and pesticide application, including rate of application, area treated and disposal or storage of residue.
4. Agree to certify each year that the landscape management plan for the project in question has been carried out, and that needed amendments or updates have been made.
5. Provide the plan to County maintenance or inspection personnel on request
6. Agree to pay an annual fee (based on time expended) to allow the County to administer the certification process, including review of plans, tracking of information, periodic field inspections and sampling.

III SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS (see SWDM Section 2.3.1.5)

To evaluate a Landscape Management Plan submittal, the following elements are required:

1. Provide a ***site* vicinity map** with topography.
2. Provide a **site plan** with topography. Indicate areas with saturated soils or high water tables.
3. Provide a **plant list** (provide both common and scientific names) that includes the following information:
    a) Indicate any drought-tolerant plants, disease resistant varieties, species for attracting beneficial insects (if any) and native plants.
    b) For shrubs and groundcovers, indicate the proposed spacing.
    c) For turf areas, indicate the grass mix or mixes planned. Indicate sun/shade tolerance, disease susceptibility, drought tolerance and tolerance of wet soil conditions.
4. Provide a **landscape plan**. Indicate placement of landscape features, lawn areas, trees, and planting groups (forbes, herbs, groundcovers, etc.) on the ***site***.
5. Include information on **soil preparation** and fertility requirements.
6. Provide information on the design of the **irrigation method** (installed sprinkler system, drip irrigation system, manual, etc.)
7. Provide a **landscape maintenance plan**, including the following:
    a) Physical care methods, such as thatch removal or aeration, and mowing height and frequency
    b) Type of fertilizer (including N-P-K strength) and fertilization schedule or criteria
    c) Type of chemicals to be used for common pests such as crane fly larvae, and the criteria or schedule for application
    d) Any biocontrol methods.
8. Provide information about the **storage of pesticides or other chemicals**, and **disposal measures** that will be used.
    a) If applicable, indicate how the chemicals will be stored on the ***site*** between applications to prevent contact with stormwater or spills into the storm drainage system.
    b) Indicate how excess quantities of fertilizers or chemicals will be handled for individual applications.
9. Provide an **implementation plan** (see Section II, Plan Implementation, above) for guidance on preparing the implementation plan).

REFERENCE 4-D

SHARED DRAINAGE FACILITY MAINTENANCE RESPONSIBILITY GUIDELINES

Shared facilities are flow control, conveyance, and/or water quality facilities designed and constructed in accordance with the provisions contained in the 2005 Surface Water Design Manual (SWDM) or later and which serve more than one project. By this we mean that the facility serves more than one residential subdivision, or may serve a combination of residential, commercial and public projects.

Shared facility policies are contained in the SWDM and K.C.C. 9.04.050. Core Requirement #6 states that "King County may assume maintenance of such facilities serving any mix of developments as part of a shared facilities plan."

Shared facilities must have a plan or agreement which is reviewed and approved by King County. The plan may be developed through the Master Drainage Plan process, or through the plat screening or commercial permit process. WLRD assists in the review of shared facility proposals within the existing support framework in the Adjustment and MDP programs, particularly regarding maintenance issues.

Guidelines for assigning maintenance responsibility

1. Shared facilities that serve only single-family residential projects (more than one subdivision) will be maintained by King County.
2. Shared facilities that serve a combination of residential and commercial projects where more than two-thirds of the developed contributing area served is a mix of single or duplex residential units on individual lots and any public improvements will be maintained by KC.
3. Shared facilities that serve a mix of single family residential, public, and commercial projects where a single commercial project is more than one third of the developed contributing area served will be maintained by the property owner of the single commercial project. The facilities must be located on the commercial property responsible for maintenance or within a tract or easement dedicated to the commercial property responsible for maintenance.
4. If there are two commercial projects which individually are more than one third of the developed contributing area served, the shared facility will be jointly maintained by the two commercial property owners. The facility must be located on one or both of the commercial properties responsible for maintenance or within a tract or easement dedicated to the commercial properties responsible for maintenance.
5. Shared facilities that serve commercial subdivision projects in which no single contributing parcel area is more than one third of the total contributing area served may be maintained by KC. The actual situation should be reviewed by WLRD as part of the shared facility plan review process to determine whether the facility should be maintained by KC.
6. King County may elect to maintain shared facilities where there are unusual circumstances such as where shared facilities are located off and not adjacent to the sites they serve.

(This page intentionally left blank)

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 5

WETLAND HYDROLOGY PROTECTION GUIDELINES

WA State Department of Ecology Wetland Protection Requirements and Guidelines

- Appendix I-C.4 Wetland Hydroperiod Protection
- Appendix I-C.5 Wetland Hydroperiod Data Collection and Evaluation Procedures
- Appendix I-C.8 Wetland Protection Definitions

REFERENCE 5

WETLAND HYDROLOGY PROTECTION GUIDELINES

This Reference is excerpted from the Washington State Department of Ecology's 2019 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington (SMMWW), with modifications where necessary to refer to King County Surface Water Design Manual (SWDM) sections and terminology. "Flow Control BMPs" in the following text refer to what are termed "flow control facilities" in the SWDM.

I-C.4 Wetland Hydroperiod Protection

Protection of many wetland functions and values depends on maintaining the existing wetland's hydroperiod. This means maintaining the annual fluctuations in water depth and its timing as closely as possible. If a project or threshold discharge area within a project discharging to a wetland require a flow control facility per Core Requirement # 3 of the SWDM, the project must apply the following Wetland Hydroperiod Protection.

The Wetland Hydroperiod Protection is separated into two methods (Methods 1 and 2) that are dependent on the wetland category, and whether the project proponent has legal access to the wetland.

The first method requires a minimum one year of monitoring followed by continuous simulation modeling of the wetland stage (called Method 1). Method 1 shall be applied to the wetlands listed below.

- Category I or II depressional or riverine impounding (including special characteristics Category I or II) wetlands that the project proponent owns, or the project proponent has legal access to – for purposes of conducting monitoring in the wetland.

Method 1 takes into account wetland specific information and field data, therefore, it allows more detailed evaluation of effects of stormwater on wetland functions. In cases where the project proponent neither owns nor has legal access to the Category I or II wetlands receiving stormwater from a proposed project, Method 2 shall be used.

Method 2 uses a site discharge volume model to evaluate hydrologic changes in a wetland, with no additional wetland monitoring requirement. Method 2 shall be applied to the wetlands listed below.

- Category I or II wetlands that are off-site or the project proponent doesn't have legal access to conduct monitoring in the wetland,
- Category I or II riverine, slope or lake-fringe wetlands,
- Category III and IV wetlands with habitat score greater than 5,
- Category III or IV interdunal special characteristic wetlands,
- Category III and IV wetlands that provide habitat for rare, threatened, endangered or sensitive species,
- Category III and IV wetlands that contain a breeding population of any native amphibian species.

- If the wetland has permanent or seasonal ponding or inundation, assume that it has a breeding population of native amphibians.
- For seasonal ponding, if the wetland has surface ponding after May 1 of a normal water year or drier, assume that it has a breeding population of native amphibians.
- See the Wetland Rating System for guidance on identifying field indicators.
- Recent aerial images of surface water in the wetland during normal water year or drier year can also indicate presence of permanent or seasonal ponding.

Method 1: 1-yr Wetland Monitoring and Wetland Stage Modeling

Method 1 criteria and analysis is based on the presumption that a wetland has limited water level fluctuation and water holding capacity. The risk to the wetland will be minimal if the frequency and duration of water level fluctuation (WLF) in the wetland and the WLF timing post project remain as similar to pre-project levels as possible. Therefore, the criteria sets limits on the frequency and duration of stage excursions (greater WLF than the pre-project level), as well as on overall WLF after development. The criteria were developed based on studies in *Wetlands and Urbanization, Implications for the Future* (Azous and Horner, 1997)[i].

One water year of field monitoring will characterize the existing WLF and water holding capacity of the wetland, and it will be used to calculate the allowable WLF by the proposed development.

A hydrologic assessment to measure or estimate elements of the hydroperiod under pre-project and post-project conditions should be performed with the aid of a qualified scientist or wetland specialist.

Criteria for Method 1

The project proponent must meet the following six Method 1 criteria in order to comply with the Wetland Hydroperiod Protection requirements.

Criteria 1. Mean Monthly WLF Limit

- If the pre-project (monitored) mean monthly WLF for a given calendar month is < 15cm (0.49ft, 5.91inch), the post-project mean WLF of the wetland for that calendar month may increase to no more than 20 cm (0.66ft, 7.87inch).
- If the pre-project (monitored) mean monthly WLF for a given calendar month is ≥ 15 cm (0.49ft, 5.91inch), the post-project mean monthly WLF of the wetland for that calendar month may increase by up to, but no more than, 5 cm (0.16ft, 1.97inch).
- Without one year of monitoring data, assume the pre-project mean monthly WLF for any month is ≥ 15 cm (0.49ft, 5.91inch), and the post-project mean monthly WLF of the wetland for that calendar month may increase by up to, but no more than, 5 cm (0.16ft, 1.97inch).

Criteria 2. Mean Annual WLF Limit

- If the pre-project (monitored) mean annual WLF is < 15cm (0.49ft), the post-project mean annual WLF of the wetland may increase to no more than 20 cm (0.66ft, 7.87inch).

- If the pre-project (monitored) mean annual WLF is ≥ 15 cm (0.49ft, 5.91inch), the post-project mean annual WLF of the wetland may increase by up to, but no more than, 5 cm (0.16ft, 1.97inch).
- Without one year of monitoring data, assume the pre-project mean annual WLF is ≥ 15 cm (0.49ft, 5.91inch), and the post-project mean annual WLF of the wetland may increase by up to, but no more than, 5 cm (0.16ft, 1.97inch)

Criteria 3. Frequency of Stage Excursions

- The frequency of stage excursions of 15 cm (0.49ft, 5.91inch) above or below the pre-project stage must not exceed an annual average of six.

Criteria 4. Durations of Stage Excursions

- The duration of stage excursions of 15 cm (0.49ft, 5.91inch) above or below the pre-project stage must not exceed 3 days per excursion.

  AND

  - *For a Wetland that Provides Habitat for Native Amphibians:* The stage excursions above or below the pre-project stage must not exceed 8 cm (0.26ft, 3.15inch) for more than 1 day in any 30-day period between January 1 and May 31. The hydroperiod limits characterize wetlands inhabited by breeding native amphibians and apply to breeding zones during the period of January 1 through May 31. If these limits are exceeded, then amphibian breeding success is likely to decline.

    OR

  - *For a Peat Wetland:* The duration of stage excursions in the post-project scenario cannot be above the pre-project stage for more than 1 day in any year, and applies to all zones over the entire year. If this limit is exceeded, then characteristic bog or fen wetland vegetation is likely to decline.

Criteria 5. Total Dry Period Change

- The total dry period (when pools dry down to the soil surface everywhere in the wetland) must not increase or decrease by more than two weeks in any year between the pre-project and post-project scenarios.

Criteria 6. Perennial to Ephemeral or Seasonal Avoidance

- Alterations to watershed and wetland hydrology that may cause perennial wetlands to become ephemeral or seasonal post-project must be avoided.
- If modeled wetland stage indicates that the wetland is perennial, the dry period at the post-project scenario should not exceed 1 day in any year.

Additional guidance, as well as an Excel template to assist with the calculations to verify compliance with Method 1 is provided in **I-C.5 Wetland Hydroperiod Data Collection and Evaluation Procedures**.

Method 2: Site Discharge Modeling

An alternative way to predict the risk to the wetland hydroperiod from stormwater discharges is to assess the changes in total volume of flows into a wetland that result from the development

project. The size of the wetland and its capacity are not known or needed to utilize Method 2. The risk to wetland functions will be assumed to increase as the total discharge volumes from the site into the wetland diverge from the pre-project conditions. The risk will be decreased if the divergence is smaller.

As stormwater generated at the project site passes through the wetland buffer, total discharge volumes from the site to the wetland are to be calculated at the outflow of the wetland buffer. The existing or required length and area of wetland buffer per local and/or state regulations around the wetland should be included as an element in the model under both pre-project (existing) and post-project scenarios.

Criteria for Method 2

The project proponent must ensure they are meeting both of the following Method 2 criteria in order to comply with Wetland Hydroperiod Protection.

Criteria 1. Mean Daily Total Discharge Volumes from the Site

Total volume of water into a wetland on daily basis should not be more than 20% higher or lower than the pre-project volumes.

- Calculate the average of the total discharge volumes from the site for each day over the period of precipitation record in the approved model for pre- and post-project scenarios. There will be 365 (366 for a leap year) average daily values for the pre-project scenario and 365 (366 for a leap year) for the post-project. No day can exceed 20% change in volume.

Criteria 2. Mean Monthly Total Discharge Volumes from the Site

Total volume of water into a wetland on a monthly basis should not be more than 15% higher or lower than the pre-project volumes.

- Calculate the average of the monthly total discharge volumes from the site for each calendar month over the period of precipitation record in the approved model for pre- and post-project scenarios. No month can exceed 15% change in volume.

The guidance for implementing Method 2 and assessing the criteria above in the respective model is provided in section **I-C.5 Wetland Hydroperiod Data Collection and Evaluation Procedures.**

Limitations

Method 2 may not result in complete protection of wetland functions and values as these criteria are based on risk to the resource rather than an actual understanding of the impacts. When applicable, Ecology recommends application of the Wetland Hydroperiod Protection with wetland-specific monitoring as described in Method 1.

I-C.5 Wetland Hydroperiod Data Collection and Evaluation Procedures

Method 1

Field Monitoring and Data Collection

Field monitoring data of the wetland must be collected to determine the existing pre-project hydroperiod, which will then be compared to model outputs to verify compliance with the Hydroperiod Protection Criteria. Without one year of hydroperiod monitoring, the minimum allowable WLF change can be used (see **Criteria for Method 1** in **I-C.4 Wetland Hydroperiod Protection** and **Steps to Verify Compliance with the Method 1 Hydroperiod Protection Criteria** below).

An Ecology approved continuous simulation model will be needed for data analysis. Relevant historic monitoring information can also inform the pre-project condition of the wetland. The following lists describe the minimum required wetland specific information in order to implement the Method 1 Wetland Hydroperiod Protection guidance.

1. Contour Data or Water Storage Capacity

Bathymetry, or wetland contours, is indicative of the water storage capacity of the wetland that will be used in the model simulation.

If possible, the bathymetry of the wetland should be surveyed. LIDAR data or GIS analysis may also be used to provide approximate wetland contours.

In the absence of bathymetry data, approximate the bathymetry using the permanent ponding area and assume that the storage will occur on top of that area. This resulting storage area will be lower than the actual area, providing a more protective model.

2. Hydroperiod Monitoring

Collect at least one year of water levels (instantaneous water stage and crest stage) using a crest stage gage or continuous water level loggers in the wetland. Water levels should be collected at least monthly over a year.

Average base stage = (Instantaneous stage at the beginning of interval + Instantaneous stage at the end of interval)/2

3. Flow Monitoring

The goal of this monitoring is to construct a relationship in the model to simulate how flows will be released from the wetland for each given stage. A simplified monitoring approach may be appropriate for a simple wetland flow regime. For instance, where a well-defined outlet controls the outflows from a wetland, instantaneous monitoring of the outflow for the typical range of flows may be sufficient. In this simple case, a velocity and cross-section and stage monitoring at the outlet can be sufficient to create the relationship for the model. These measurements may be performed in conjunction with the hydroperiod monitoring described above. Additional field

visits timed with precipitation or dry periods may be necessary to ensure that the outflow relationship covers the range of modeled flows.

Ecology acknowledges that it can be challenging to determine the location(s) of flows to and from wetlands. In some cases, there will be a clear channel that is the source of the inflows and outflows, while in others, the water may disperse over a wide area. An alternative would be to gather nearly continuous (every 15 minute) rainfall data along with wetland stage data (hydroperiod monitoring) and adjust the storage and discharge rate within the model using these data. If the flow data or estimation in the model are not available, assume there is no surface outflow for the wetland (closed depression).

Chapter 8 of *Wetlands and Urbanization, Implications for the Future* (Azous and Horner, 1997)[i] indicates that a complete wetland water balance includes precipitation, evapotranspiration, surface inflow, surface outflow, groundwater exchange, and change in wetland storage using a tipping-bucket gage and continuous flow measurements. The wetland assessment as part of this Method 1 needs to consider the more protective approach to develop that relationship. A scientist (e.g. wetland scientist or hydrologist) may determine that the groundwater flow is a significant characteristic of the outflow of the system. In this case the project proponent may need to determine the groundwater regime of the system.

Model Construction and Simulation

The project proponent should develop a stage-storage-discharge (SSD) table that represents the volume of water that ponds in the wetland and the flow rate of water that discharges from the wetland at a given stage.

Having a reliable SSD table that represents the wetland is essential to evaluate the effects of development in the model. Wetland bathymetry and contour data by field measurement or using equations to represent the volume-area-depth relations of wetlands and wetland flow monitoring data are critical to develop the SSD table for the wetland.

In the absence of actual wetland flow monitoring data, it may be possible to develop a SSD table for the wetland by combining the model simulated flows with the field data obtained on the wetland WLF (hydroperiod monitoring) data. This would require an iterative modeling process. The modeling iterations would involve manually changing the discharge rates in the SSD table until the resulting simulated WLF approach WLF from the field monitoring data. The project proponent or modeler should provide the details of how this estimated in its hydrologic assessment report, so that it can be reviewed by the local jurisdiction.

With an SSD table, the following are necessary for the model simulation to evaluate the discharge of development in the model and determine compliance with the Method 1 Wetland Hydroperiod Protection criteria.

- Pre-project condition land uses and associated acreage for the entire contributing area that drains to the wetland.
- Post-project condition land uses and associated acreage for the entire contributing area that drains to the wetland.

- Percentage of developing project area compared to total acreage of contributing area that drains to the wetland.

Pre-Project Simulation

1. Identify existing impervious and pervious surfaces that discharge to the wetland and use the model elements to represent the land use and associated acreage for all hydrologically contributing areas to the wetland.
2. Add the wetland buffer using the lateral flow soil basin, or include it as part of the contributing area land use.
3. Connect the runoff from the contributing basin(s) including interflow and groundwater to the SSD table that represents the wetland.
4. Set the outflow of the wetland as the Point of Compliance (POC).

Post-Project Simulation

1. Identify anticipated impervious and pervious surfaces that discharge to the wetland and use the model elements to represent the land use and associated acreage for all hydrologically contributing areas to the wetland.
2. Identify any Flow Control BMPs in the contributing area draining to the wetland and use the appropriate model elements to represent these facilities.
3. Add the wetland buffer using the lateral flow soil basin, or include it as part of the contributing area land use.
4. Connect the runoff from the contributing basin(s) (including the buffer) including interflow and groundwater to the same SSD table that was used in the pre-project scenario.
5. Connect flows from any Flow Control BMP elements through the downstream element(s) to SSD table that represents the wetland.
6. Connect any infiltration from Flow Control BMP elements to groundwater of SSD table (if applicable).
7. Set the outflow of the wetland as the POC.

The order of the steps above depends on the type of elements and their intended function and could change to be more representative of the contributing flow pathways to the wetland.

Once the model simulations are done for post and pre-project scenarios, export the SSD table stage data for the full period of record: daily, monthly and yearly average, and Max and Min stage.

These model outputs, together with monitored WLF, are to be used to verify compliance with the Method 1 Hydroperiod Protection Criteria in **I-C.4 Wetland Hydroperiod Protection**.

Steps to Verify Compliance with the Method 1 Hydroperiod Protection Criteria

Ecology has provided an Excel template to assist with the calculations in the steps below. The Excel template may be downloaded from the interactive online version of the 2019 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington

1) Calculate the Existing WLF of Wetland using Monitored Water Levels

Using the measurements of crest and instantaneous stage during a series of time intervals over a year, calculate water level fluctuation (WLF) between measurements.

Calculate mean annual and mean monthly WLF as the arithmetic averages of a year and each month for which data are available.

Water level fluctuation (WLF) = Crest stage - Average base stage

2) Estimate the WLF by Continuous Simulation of Stages in the Model

Using modeled daily, monthly and yearly stages (average, max and min) for the full period of record, calculate daily, monthly or annual WLF as follows:

WLF = Max stage - average stage

3) Calculate Allowable WLF change

Allowable WLF change by the proposed project is determined by two factors: Monitored WLF of the wetland, and the size of the proposed project relative to the wetland's contributing basin area.

Allowable WLF change for the proposed project is calculated as follows:

- If monitored WLF is < 15 cm (0.49 ft, 5.91 inch),
    - Allowable WLF change for the wetland (A) = 20 cm (0.66 ft, 7.87 inch) – monitored WLF
    - **Allowable WLF change for the proposed project** = A / percentage of development by proposed project in the contributing basin area.
- If monitored WLF for a given calendar month is ≥ 15 cm (0.49 ft, 5.91 inch),
    - Allowable WLF of the wetland (A) for that calendar month may increase by up to, but no more than, 5 cm (0.1 6ft, 1.97 inch).
    - **Allowable WLF change for the proposed project** = 5 cm / percentage of development by proposed project in the contributing basin area.

For example, if the project develops 10 acres of a 100 acre basin (10 %), the project can cause no more than 10 % of total allowable WLF change in the wetland. If the total allowable WLF change for the wetland is 10 cm (0.32 ft, 3.94 inch), the allowable WLF change for the proposed site is 1.0 cm (0.032 ft, 0.394 inch).

4) Verify Compliance with the Criteria

Compare each modeled daily, monthly or annual WLF with the calculated allowable WLF (factored by percentage of development by proposed project in the contributing basin area). If any of the modeled WLF difference between pre-project and post-project scenarios exceeds the calculated allowable WLF change for the proposed project, it means the proposed project does not comply with Method 1 Wetland Hydroperiod Protection.

For criteria about durations and frequencies, assess individual modeled stage outputs to verify compliance.

Method 2

Model Construction and Simulation

When modeling, include the wetland buffer as the final element in both pre- and post-project scenarios, downstream of the project area including any Flow Control BMPs. The point of compliance (POC) should be assigned to capture the total (surface, interflow, and ground water) volume leaving the wetland buffer for both the pre-project and the post-project scenarios.

Pre-project simulation

1. Identify existing impervious and pervious surfaces that discharge to the wetland and use the model elements to represent these land areas.
2. Identify the wetland buffer area and use the lateral flow soil basin to represent the wetland buffer.
3. Connect the model elements to the wetland buffer ensuring that impervious land areas are connected to surface flows and that for any other model elements all flows (surface, interflow, and ground water) are connected.
4. Set the wetland buffer element as the most downstream element.
5. Set the POC at the outflow of the wetland buffer element including surface runoff, interflow, and ground water.

Post-project simulation

1. Identify anticipated post-project impervious and pervious surfaces that discharge to the wetland and use the model elements to represent these land areas.
2. Identify any Flow Control BMPs and use the appropriate the model elements to represent these facilities.
3. Identify the wetland buffer area and use the lateral flow soil basin to represent the wetland buffer.
4. Connect the model elements to the wetland buffer ensuring that impervious land areas are connected to surface flows and that for any other model elements all flows (surface, interflow, and ground water) are connected.
5. Connect any Flow Control BMP elements to the wetland buffer ensuring that surface flows are connected to surface water and any infiltration is connected to ground water.
6. Set the wetland buffer element as the most downstream element.
7. Set the POC at the outflow of the wetland buffer element including surface runoff, interflow, and ground water.

Once the model simulations are done for post and pre-project scenarios, verify compliance with the Method 2 Hydroperiod Protection Criteria.

Strategies to meet the Wetland Hydroperiod Protection Criteria

Consider the following strategies to minimize impacts on the wetland hydroperiod and to meet the criteria. The list is in order of preference:

- Increasing the retention of natural pervious cover.
- Reducing the level of development.
- Reducing the total amount of impervious surfaces.
- Increasing infiltration using on-site LID techniques.
- Increasing or maintaining larger wetland buffer zones.
- Increasing infiltration and/or storage capacity of Flow Control BMPs.

I-C.8 Wetland Protection Definitions

The following terms are applicable only to this Appendix.

Buffer

The area (either upland, open water, or another wetland) that surrounds a wetland or watercourse and that reduces adverse impacts to the ecosystem functions and values from adjacent development.

Hydroperiod

The seasonal occurrence of flooding and/or soil saturation; it encompasses the depth, frequency, duration, and seasonal pattern of inundation.

Peat Wetland

Unique, irreplaceable bogs and fens that can exhibit water pH in a wide range from highly acidic to alkaline, including fens typified by *Sphagnum* species, *Rhododendron groenlandicum* (Labrador tea), *Drosera rotundifolia* (sundew), and *Vaccinium oxycoccos* (bog cranberry); marl fens; estuarine peat deposits; and other moss peat systems with relatively diverse, undisturbed flora and fauna. Bog is the common name for peat systems having the *Sphagnum* association described, but this term applies strictly only to systems that receive water income from precipitation exclusively.

Perennial Wetland

Wetlands where at least a portion of their area has permanent surface water (i.e., flooded or inundated throughout the year), in a normal water year or wetter.

POC

Point of compliance

Riverine impounding wetland

Riverine impounded wetlands retain surface water significantly longer than the duration of the flood event. Riverine impounded wetlands tend to hold water for more than a week after a flood event. These wetlands are found in a topographic depression on the valley floor, or in areas where natural or human made barriers to downstream flow occur.

Seasonal wetland, Seasonal ponding

A wetland that has water above the soil surface for a period of time (usually between two months to less than one year) during and/or after the wettest season but in typical years dries to or below the soil surface in warmer, drier weather.

SSD

stage-storage-discharge

Stage excursion

A post-project departure, either higher or lower, from the water depth existing under a given set of conditions in the pre-development state.

Water Level Fluctuation (WLF)

This is a defining characteristic of a wetland. Water level fluctuation (WLF) during a monitoring interval is as follows:

Average base stage = (Instantaneous stage at beginning of interval + Instantaneous stage at end of interval)/2

Wetland functions

The ecological (physical, chemical, and biological) processes or attributes of a wetland. Functions are often defined in terms of the processes that provide value to society, but they can also be defined based on processes that are not value based. Wetland functions include food chain support, provision of ecosystem diversity and fish and wildlife habitat, flood flow alteration, ground water recharge and discharge, water quality improvement, and soil stabilization.

Wetlands

Those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas. Wetlands do not include those artificial wetlands intentionally created from nonwetland sites, including, but not limited to, irrigation and drainage ditches, grass-lined swales, canals, detention facilities, wastewater treatment facilities, farm ponds, and landscape amenities, or those wetlands created after July 1, 1990, that were unintentionally created as a result of the construction of a road, street, or highway. Wetlands may include those artificial wetlands intentionally created from nonwetland areas to mitigate the conversion of wetlands. (Waterbodies not included in the definition of wetlands as well as those mentioned in the definition are still waters of the state.)

WLF

See **Water Level Fluctuation** definition

References

[i] Amanda L. Azous and Richard R. Horner (eds.), Wetlands and Urbanization, Implications for the Future, Final Report of the Puget Sound Wetlands and Stormwater Management Research Program, 1997. (vi)

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 6

HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC DESIGN METHODS

6-A Infiltration Rate Test Methods

6-B Pond Geometry Equations

6-C Introduction to Level Pool Routing

6-D Supplemental Modeling Guidelines

REFERENCE 6-A

INFILTRATION RATE TEST METHODS

See SWDM Chapter 5 and Appendix C for applications and limitations for the use of the infiltration rate test methods below.

PILOT INFILTRATION TEST (PIT)

Source: Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington (SMMWW 2014)

In-situ infiltration measurements using the Pilot Infiltration Test (PIT) described below is the preferred method for estimating the measured (initial) saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) of the soil profile beneath the proposed infiltration facility. The larger PIT reduces some of the scale errors associated with relatively small-scale double ring infiltrometer or "stove-pipe" infiltration tests. It is not a standard test but rather a practical field procedure recommended by Ecology's Technical Advisory Committee.

LARGE-SCALE PILOT INFILTRATION TEST (PIT)

Infiltration Test

- Excavate the test pit to the estimated surface elevation of the proposed infiltration facility. Lay back the slopes sufficiently to avoid caving and erosion during the test. Alternatively, consider shoring the sides of the test pit.
- The horizontal surface area of the bottom of the test pit should be approximately 100 square feet. Accurately document the size and geometry of the test pit.
- Install a vertical measuring rod (minimum 5-ft. long) marked in half-inch increments in the center of the pit bottom.
- Use a rigid 6-inch diameter pipe with a splash plate on the bottom to convey water to the pit and reduce side-wall erosion or excessive disturbance of the pond bottom. Excessive erosion and bottom disturbance will result in clogging of the infiltration receptor and yield lower than actual infiltration rates.
- Add water to the pit at a rate that will maintain a water level between 6 and 12 inches above the bottom of the pit. A rotameter can be used to measure the flow rate into the pit.

  Note: The depth should not exceed the proposed maximum depth of water expected in the completed facility. For infiltration facilities serving large drainage areas, designs with multiple feet of standing water can have infiltration tests with greater than 1 foot of standing water.

  Every 15-30 min, record the cumulative volume and instantaneous flow rate in gallons per minute necessary to maintain the water level at the same point on the measuring rod.

  Keep adding water to the pit until one hour after the flow rate into the pit has stabilized (constant flow rate; a goal of 5% variation or less variation in the total flow) while maintaining the same pond water level. The total of the pre-soak time plus one hour after the flow rate has stabilized should be no less than 6 hours.
- After the flow rate has stabilized for at least one hour, turn off the water and record the rate of infiltration (the drop rate of the standing water) in inches per hour from the measuring rod data, until the pit is empty. Consider running this falling head phase of the test several times to estimate the dependency of infiltration rate with head.

- At the conclusion of testing, over-excavate the pit to see if the test water is mounded on shallow restrictive layers or if it has continued to flow deep into the subsurface. The depth of excavation varies depending on soil type and depth to hydraulic restricting layer, and is determined by the engineer or certified soils professional. Mounding is an indication that a mounding analysis is necessary.

Data Analysis

Calculate and record the saturated hydraulic conductivity rate in inches per hour in 30 minutes or one-hour increments until one hour after the flow has stabilized.

Note: Use statistical/trend analysis to obtain the hourly flow rate when the flow stabilizes. This would be the lowest hourly flow rate.

Apply appropriate correction factors to determine the site-specific design infiltration rate. See the discussion of correction factors for infiltration facilities in KCSWDM Section 5.2.1.

Example

The area of the bottom of the test pit is 8.5-ft. by 11.5-ft.

Water flow rate was measured and recorded at intervals ranging from 15 to 30 minutes throughout the test. Between 400 minutes and 1,000 minutes the flow rate stabilized between 10 and 12.5 gallons per minute or 600 to 750 gallons per hour, or an average of (9.8 + 12.3) / 2 = 11.1 inches per hour.

SMALL-SCALE PILOT INFILTRATION TEST (PIT)

A smaller-scale PIT can be substituted for the large-scale PIT in any of the following instances.

- The drainage area to the infiltration site is less than 1 acre.
- The testing is for LID BMP's that serve small drainage areas and /or are widely dispersed throughout a project site.
- The site has a high infiltration rate, making a large-scale PIT difficult, and the site geotechnical investigation suggests uniform subsurface characteristics.

Infiltration Test

- Excavate the test pit to the estimated surface elevation of the proposed infiltration facility. In the case of bioretention, excavate to the estimated elevation at which the imported soil mix will lie on top of the underlying native soil. For trenches, excavate to the proposed bottom of the trench. For permeable pavements, excavate to the elevation at which the imported subgrade materials, or the pavement itself, will contact the underlying native soil. If the native soils (road subgrade) will have to meet a minimum subgrade compaction requirement, compact the native soil to that requirement prior to testing. Note that the permeable pavement design guidance recommends compaction not exceed 90% - 92%. Finally, lay back the slopes sufficiently to avoid caving and erosion during the test. Alternatively, consider shoring the sides of the test pit.
- The horizontal surface area of the bottom of the test pit should be 12 to 32 square feet. It may be circular or rectangular, but accurately document the size and geometry of the test pit.
- Install a vertical measuring rod adequate to measure the ponded water depth and that is marked in half-inch increments in the center of the pit bottom.
- Use a rigid pipe with a splash plate on the bottom to convey water to the pit and reduce side-wall erosion or excessive disturbance of the pond bottom. Excessive erosion and bottom disturbance will result in clogging of the infiltration receptor and yield lower than actual infiltration rates. Use a 3-inch diameter pipe for pits on the smaller end of the recommended surface area, and a 4-inch pipe for pits on the larger end of the recommended surface area.

- Pre-soak period: Add water to the pit so that there is standing water for at least 6 hours. Maintain the pre-soak water level at least 12 inches above the bottom of the pit.
- At the end of the pre-soak period, add water to the pit at a rate that will maintain a 6-12 inch water level above the bottom of the pit over a full hour. The depth should not exceed the proposed maximum depth of water expected in the completed facility.
- Every 15 minutes, record the cumulative volume and instantaneous flow rate in gallons per minute necessary to maintain the water level at the same point (between 6 inches and 1 foot) on the measuring rod. The specific depth should be the same as the maximum designed ponding depth (usually 6 – 12 inches).
- After one hour, turn off the water and record the rate of infiltration (the drop rate of the standing water) in inches per hour from the measuring rod data, until the pit is empty.
- A self-logging pressure sensor may also be used to determine water depth and drain-down.
- At the conclusion of testing, over-excavate the pit to see if the test water is mounded on shallow restrictive layers or if it has continued to flow deep into the subsurface. The depth of excavation varies depending on soil type and depth to hydraulic restricting layer, and is determined by the engineer or certified soils professional. The soils professional should judge whether a mounding analysis is necessary.

Data Analysis

See the explanation above under the guidance for the large-scale pilot infiltration test.

SINGLE-RING PERCOLATION TEST PROCEDURE

(See SWDM Section 5.2 and Appendix C for limitations on the use of this procedure)

Preparation for Test

A single ring made of steel or other durable material a minimum of 3 feet in diameter and a minimum of 6 inches high and an adequate supply of clear water is needed. Tests must be performed in undisturbed native soil in suitable locations to determine soil percolation rates for the proposed infiltration facility. The surface of the soil where the test is to be run must be accurately leveled and the ring imbedded and sealed in the soil to prevent water from running under the ring and onto the surface.

Soaking Period

The ring shall be carefully filled with at least 6 inches of clear water. The depth of water should be maintained for at least 4 hours and preferably overnight if fine-grained soils are present. Automatic siphons or float valves may be employed to automatically maintain the water level during the soaking period. It is extremely important that the soil be allowed to soak for a sufficiently long period of time to allow the soil to swell if accurate results are to be obtained.

In sandy soils with little or no fines, soaking is not necessary. If, after filling the ring twice with 6 inches of water, the water seeps completely away in less than ten minutes, the test can proceed immediately.

Measurement of the Percolation Rate

Except for sandy soils, percolation rate measurements are made 15 hours but no more than 30 hours after the soaking period began. The water level is adjusted to 6 inches above the soil surface and successive measurements are taken to determine the percolation rate. At no time during the test is the water level allowed to rise more than 6 inches above the soil surface.

Immediately after adjustment, the water level is measured from a fixed reference point to the nearest 1/16th inch at 30-minute intervals. The test is continued until two successive water level drops do not vary by more than 1/16 inch within a 90-minute period. After each measurement, the water level is readjusted to the 6-inch level. The last water level drop is used to calculate the percolation rate.

In sandy soils or soils in which the first 6-inch of water added after the soaking period seeps away in less than 30 minutes, water level measurements are made at 10-minute intervals for a 1-hour period. The last water level drop is used to calculate the percolation rate.

Calculation of the Percolation Rate

The percolation rate is calculated for each test by dividing the time interval used between measurements by the magnitude of the last water level drop. This calculation results in a percolation rate in terms of minutes/inch. To determine the percolation rate for the area, the rates obtained from each hole are averaged.

Example: If the last measured drop in water level after 30 minutes is 5/8-inch, then:

Percolation rate = (30 minutes)/(5/8 inch) = 48 minutes/inch.

REFERENCE 6-B
POND GEOMETRY CALCULATIONS



<Known>

Volume	(V)
Pond Depth	(D)
Side Slope	(Ss)
Length-to-Width Ratio	(R)

<Find>

Bottom Area of Rectangular Pond, A_o

<Solution>

Y = depth of section measured from bottom, from zero to D
W_0= width at pond bottom

The pond width (W) at any depth, Y:

$$W_Y = W_0 + 2S_S Y \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

The pond length (L) at any depth, Y:

$$L_Y = RW_0 + 2S_S Y \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}$$

The pond area at any depth, Y:

$$A_Y = L_Y W_Y = \left(RW_0 + 2S_S Y\right)\left(W_0 + 2S_S Y\right) \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}$$

or,

$$A_Y = RW_0^2 + (R+1)2W_0 S_S Y + 4S_S^2 Y^2 \quad \text{(Eq. 4)}$$

The equation for the pond-full volume (V) is obtained by integrating between Y=0 and Y=D:

$$V = \int_0^D \left(RW_0^2 + (R+1)2W_0 S_S Y + 4S_S^2 Y^2\right) dY \quad \text{(Eq. 5)}$$

or,

$$V = \left[RW_0^2 Y + \left(R+1\right)W_0 S_S Y^2 + \frac{4}{3} S_S^2 Y^3 \right]\Bigg|_0^D \quad \text{(Eq. 6)}$$

or,

$$V = RDW_0^2 + S_S D^2 \left(R+1\right)W_0 + \frac{4}{3} S_S^2 D^3 \quad \text{(Eq. 7)}$$

Where

V = Volume of rectangular pond
D = Depth
W_0 = Bottom width
R = Length-to-width ratio
Ss = Side Slope

Rearrange equation to solve for W_0 using quadratic equation, $0 = ax^2 + bx + c$:

$$0 = RDW_0^2 + S_S D^2 (R+1) W_0 + \frac{4}{3} S_S^2 D^3 - V \qquad \text{(Eq. 8)}$$

Use Quadratic Equation to solve for positive solution of W_0, $x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$:

$$W_0 = \frac{-S_S D^2 (R+1) \pm \sqrt{\left[S_S D^2 (R+1)\right]^2 - 4RD\left(\frac{4}{3} S_S^2 D^3 - V\right)}}{2RD} \qquad \text{(Eq. 9)}$$

Use Equation 2 for Length of pond at Y=0:

$$L_0 = RW_0$$

Use Equation 3 for Area of pond at Y=0:

$$A_O = L_0 W_0 = RW_0^2$$

REFERENCE 6-C

STORAGE ROUTING/WATER LEVEL ANALYSIS METHODS

INTRODUCTION TO LEVEL POOL ROUTING

The level pool routing technique is one of the simplest and most commonly used routing methods. It is described in the *Handbook of Applied Hydrology* (Chow, Ven Te, 1964) and elsewhere, and it is based on the continuity equation:

Inflow - Outflow = Change in storage

$$\left[\left(\frac{I_1 + I_2}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{O_1 + O_2}{2}\right)\right] = \frac{\Delta S}{\Delta t} = S_2 - S_1 \qquad \text{(Ref 6C-1)}$$

where I = inflow at time 1 and time 2
O = outflow at time 1 and time 2
S = storage at time 1 and time 2
Δt = time interval, t_2 - t_1

The time interval, Δt, must be consistent with the time interval of the inflow hydrograph or time series. The Δt variable can be eliminated by dividing it into the storage variables to obtain the following rearranged equation:

$$I_1 + I_2 + 2S_1 - O_1 = O_2 + 2S_2 \qquad \text{(Ref 6C-2)}$$

If the time interval, Δt, is in minutes, the units of storage S are now [cf/min] which can be approximated to cfs by multiplying by 1 min/60 sec.

The terms on the left-hand side of the equation are known from the inflow time series and from the storage and outflow values of the previous time step. The unknowns O_2 and S_2 can be solved using the stage-storage and stage-discharge relationships for the storage facility being analyzed or sized. The level pool routing procedure calls for this calculation to be made for each time step of the inflow time series in order to generate the outflow time series for the facility. Because of the repetitive nature of this procedure, it is best performed using a computer.

Developing the Stage-Storage Relationship

The following methods and equations are used for determining the stage-storage relationships of various facility types:

Facilities with Vertical Sides

For vertical-sided facilities such as vaults, the stored volume is simply the bottom area times the height.

Ponds with 3:1 Side Slopes

For ponds with 3:1 side slopes, the stored volume can be approximated by averaging the pond surface area with the bottom area. The following equation was derived based on this assumption and for a square pond but provides a reasonable trial estimation for typical ponds of other shapes.

$$S(H) = 12H^3 + 6\sqrt{A_b}H^2 + A_bH \qquad \text{(Ref 6C-3)}$$

where H = stage height (ft) or water depth above pond bottom
A_b = area of pond bottom (sf)
$S(H)$ = storage (cf) at stage height H

Note: Actual pond volumes and surface areas should be computed based on the methods outlined in Reference Section 6-B, or the following equation:

$$V = \frac{h}{3}(A_t + A_b + \sqrt{A_t A_b}) \qquad \text{(Ref 6C-4)}$$

where h = *depth*
A_t = *area of top*
A_b = *area of the bottom*

Irregularly Shaped Storage Areas

The stage-storage relationship for irregularly shaped storage areas may be developed as follows:

1. Obtain topographic contours of an existing or proposed storage facility location and determine (with a planimeter or otherwise) the area enclosed by each contour. For example, in Figure A below, each contour represents a one-foot interval. Contour 71 is the lowest portion of the facility location and represents zero storage. Contour 76 represents a potential stage of 5 feet above the bottom the facility.
2. Calculate the average end area within each set of contours. For the example in Figure A, the average end area between contours 71 and 72 would be:

$$\frac{600 + 4400}{2} = 2500 \text{ sf}$$

3. Calculate the volume between each set of contours by multiplying the average end area within each set of contours by the difference in elevation. To illustrate, the volume between contours 71 and 72 would be:

(2500 sf)(1 ft) = 2500 cf

Similarly,

Area 72-73 = 6,550 cf
Area 73-74 = 10,050 cf
Area 74-75 = 12,950 cf
Area 75-76 = 16,750 cf

4. Define the total storage below each contour. This is just the sum of the volumes computed in the previous step up to the contour in question. For example, there is no storage below contour 71, 2500 cf below contour 72, and (6550 + 2500) = 9050 cf below contour 73.

In summary,

Contours	Stage	Sum of Volumes	Total Volume
Contours 71-72	1	0 + 2,500	= 2,500 cf
Contours 72-73	2	2,500 + 6,500	= 9,050 cf
Contours 73-74	3	9,050 + 10,050	= 19,100 cf
Contours 74-75	4	19,100 + 12,950	= 32,050 cf
Contours 75-76	5	32,050 + 16,750	= 48,800 cf

Figure B below is a plot of the stage-storage relationship for this example.

FIGURE A - STORAGE AREA CONTOURS AT ONE-FOOT INTERVALS



Area within each contour	
71	600 sq. ft.
72	4400 sq. ft.
73	8700 sq. ft.
74	11,400 sq. ft.
75	14,500 sq. ft.
76	19,000 sq. ft.

FIGURE B - STAGE-STORAGE RELATIONSHIP



Developing the Stage-Discharge Relationship

The stage-discharge relationship is determined by computing the peak discharge rate for each stage height used in the stage-storage relationship. Peak discharge rates are computed using the appropriate flow equation(s) or headwater data corresponding to the type of outlet present or proposed.

(This page intentionally left blank)

REFERENCE 6-D

SUPPLEMENTAL MODELING GUIDELINES

Following is a list of approved models and default parameters for use specifically with the King County *Surface Water Design Manual (SWDM) (2016 edition or later)*. For general use of the model(s), including default parameters, assumptions and limitations of the model(s), see the user's documentation provided with the software.

NOTE: Modification of the default modeling parameters shall only be considered through the adjustment process per Section 1.4.

APPROVED MODELS

*Note: **KCRTS** is no longer maintained by King County and is **not an approved model for use with the SWDM (2016) or later editions**.*

Stormwater runoff and water quality design

- MGS Flood http://mgsengr.com/mgsfloodhome.html (*Note: This model is not allowed for explicit modeling of bioretention*)
- WWHM2012
  The latest update distributed by Ecology is downloadable at:
  https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/Guidance-technical-assistance/Stormwater-permittee-guidance-resources/Stormwater-manuals/Western-Washington-Hydrology-Model
- WWHM 4
  http://www.clearcreeksolutions.info/ (*Note this model is not an approved model for use with the SWDM (2021) or later editions*).
- Hydrologic Simulation Program (Fortran) (HSPF)
  http://water.usgs.gov/software/HSPF/

Groundwater mounding evaluation

- MODRET ver. 6.1 or later (*Infiltration module ONLY*)
  https://www.modret.com/
- MODFLOW
  http://water.usgs.gov/ogw/modflow/

Backwater analysis

- KCBW
  kingcounty.gov/environment/waterandland/stormwater/documents/surface-water-design-manual/hydrologic-hydraulic-model-software
- Several others as accepted during the DLS-Permitting plan review process
- Spreadsheets often used, depending on conveyance network complexity

PARAMETERS USED IN MODELING

Follow the guidance in the software user's documentation except as indicated below. Revision of default or specific parameters requires an approved adjustment per Section 1.4

General Default Parameters

Pervious and Impervious Land Categories (PERLND and IMPLND parameter values)

- In WWHM, MGS Flood and HSPF, pervious land categories are represented by PERLNDs; impervious land categories by IMPLNDs.

  WWHM and MGS Flood provide over 20 unique PERLND parameters that describe various hydrologic factors that influence runoff and 4 parameters to represent IMPLND.

  These default values are based on regional parameter values developed by the U.S. Geological Survey for watersheds in western Washington (Dinicola, 1990), and for the WWHM model, additional HSPF modeling work conducted by AQUA TERRA Consultants. A complete description of the PERLND parameters can be found in the HSPF User Manual,8 ***The values are not to be revised unless approved through the adjustment process in Section 1.4.***

  The precipitation stations used to develop the values represent rainfall at elevations below 1500 feet. WWHM and MGS Flood do not include snowfall and snowmelt in their analyses.

- When sizing flow control facilities, the infiltration needs to be turned off for infiltrative BMPs to avoid double-counting the infiltration/credit benefit in the sizing.

Default Parameters and SWDM-specific Guidelines by Model (periodically updated)

MGS Flood:

http://mgsengr.com/mgsfloodhome.html

Applicability and Limitations to MGS Flood[1]
(See the full discussion of Applications and Limitations in the User's Documentation)

MGS Flood is intended for the analysis of stormwater detention facilities in the lowlands of western Washington. The program utilizes the HSPF routines for computing runoff from rainfall for pervious and impervious land areas. The program does not include routines for simulating the accumulation and melt of snow and its use should be limited to lowland areas where snowmelt is typically not a major contributor to floods or to the annual runoff volume. In general, these conditions correspond to an elevation below approximately 1500 feet.

The program is applicable for the analysis of stormwater facilities for small sites (several thousand square feet) to watersheds (10's of square miles). The program includes precipitation timeseries with a 15-minute time step for much of western Washington.

MGS Flood is not currently allowed for explicit modeling of bioretention. It will be allowed for such use when Ecology has approved it for the same.

[1] Source: *MGS Flood User's Manual, Proprietary Version,* with references to King County requirements added

Guidelines for use of MGS Flood with the SWDM:

1. Use of the Extended Precipitation Timeseries per the general model guidance is required.
2. Use the flow control exception threshold of 0.1 cfs with the 1-hr timestep. Where the 15-minute timestep is required in design (e.g., water quality facility sizing), multiply the 1-hr timestep peak value by 1.6 to approximate the 15-min timestep peak value (Reference: SMMWW 2014 BMP T9.10: Basic Biofiltration Swale, Stability Check SC-1).

Western Washington Hydrology Model (WWHM2012, WWHM4):

https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/Guidance-technical-assistance/Stormwater-permittee-guidance-resources/Stormwater-manuals/Western-Washington-Hydrology-Model

Applicability and Limitations to WWHM2012/WWHM4[2]

(See the full discussion of Applications and Limitations in the User's Documentation)

Ecology created WWHM for the specific purpose of sizing stormwater control facilities for new developments in western Washington. WWHM can be used for a range of conditions and developments; however, certain limitations are inherent in this software.

WWHM uses the EPA HSPF software program to do all of the rainfall-runoff and routing computations. Therefore, HSPF limitations are included in the approved model. For example, backwater or tailwater control situations are not explicitly modeled by HSPF. This is also true in the approved model.

Earlier versions of WWHM, WWHM1and WWHM2 had limited routing capabilities. The routing capabilities of WWHM2012 have improved and the user can input multiple stormwater control facilities and runoff is routed through them. If the proposed development site involves routing through a natural lake or wetland in addition to multiple stormwater control facilities, WWHM2012 can be used to do the routing computations and additional analysis.

Routing effects become more important as the drainage area increases. For this reason, Ecology recommends that WWHM not be used for drainage areas greater than one-half square mile (320 acres). WWHM can be used for small drainage areas less than an acre in size.

Guidelines for use of WWHM2012 or WWHM4 with the SWDM:

1. King County does not allow credit for water quality treatment for flows directed through the Ecology-approved bioretention soil mix. Assure the water quality design compliance evaluation accounts for this restriction with adequate pretreatment applications.
2. Water quality facility sizing: Per the restriction in (1), flow control BMPs serving pollution-generating surfaces may require water quality treatment located immediately upstream. These water quality facilities may be sized using the tributary area characterized by BMP flow control credits.
3. Water quality reporting: When using the water quality summary feature, assure the water quality design compliance evaluation accounts for the restriction in (1) above.
4. Submittals for permit review:

   Electronic files – include the following files from the model run(s):

   - WWHM2012 binary project file (.WHM file extension)
   - WWHM2012 ASCII project file (.WH2 file extension)

[2] Source: *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington (SMMWW), 2014 update*

- WWHM2012 WDM file (.WDM file extension)
- WWHM2012 report file (Word, Rich Text or PDF file)

➢ Note: When viewing or printing the project report in text mode, the water quality reporting specific to elements, as selected in the LID Report accessed from the LID icon to the right of the Tools icon, will not display properly unless landscape orientation and legal size paper are selected as viewing/printing options.

MODRET ver. 6.1 or later (Infiltration module ONLY)

https://www.modret.com/

Applicability and Limitations for MODRET ver. 6.1[3]

The use of MODRET for SWDM applications is limited to groundwater mounding analyses using the Infiltration module of the model.

MODRET (Computer **MOD**EL to Design **RET**ENTION Ponds) was originally developed in 1990, by Nicolas E. Andreyev, P.E. as a complement to a research and development project for the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD), Brooksville, Florida. Since 1990 there have been several revisions to the original model. The user is assumed to be a professional with a background in hydrology and/or hydrogeology, and has a good command in surface runoff and groundwater flow modeling. It is assumed that the user has read the "Stormwater Retention Pond Infiltration Analysis in Unconfined Aquifers" manual (Andreyev, Wiseman, 1989, available from the author or from DNRP) and understands the applicability and limitations of the MODRET program. It is also assumed that the user is familiar with the use of personal computers, Microsoft Windows operating system and its environment.

As a whole, MODRET 6.1 is not compliant with King County requirements and SWDM methodologies. The model is tailored around southwest Florida regulatory requirements and methodologies, and allows generation of runoff hydrographs with various methods, calculation of infiltration losses from a retention pond, discharge (overflow) through various types of weirs and orifices, and generation of graphical results. However, the model's methodology and graphic output closely follow southwest Florida requirements and are not applicable for use in King County. The model's use with the SWDM is limited to the infiltration module and to the tabular output produced by the module.

Guidelines for use of MODRET 6.1 with the SWDM:

MODRET is a stormwater model based on USGS's MODFLOW and is fashioned around Florida regulatory requirements for stormwater control and pollution abatement. It is single event-based, thus it is limited in its application to King County's continuous Runoff Files Method requirements. However, it is a popular tool for evaluating groundwater mounding in infiltration facilities and is mandated by Ecology for the purpose. The Infiltration module in MODRET is the only module to be used with the SWDM.

Due to the model's event-based limitation, the Infiltration module's graphics output screens do not provide useful information for mounding analyses conducted under this manual and are not to be used unless justified by the professional preparing the analysis and report. The Input screen is the main entry point for data input. The View screen states the maximum water surface results at the bottom of the screen (scroll down to view), and the time-based results in the View screen allow a check against the seasonal rainfall pattern in the hydrograph file.

[3] Source: *MODRET ver.6.1 Help files,* with references to King County requirements added.

Infiltration Module Input Screen

Unsaturated Analysis: Yes/No -- The unsaturated analysis in MODRET is an initial transitional stage where the available pore volume fills until the saturated condition is achieved. A conservative approach would not include the unsaturated analysis, ignoring the benefit of the filling of the pore volume.

Runoff Data – (selected when the inputs are completed and RUN is selected) Do not use the MANUAL option in the dropdown. Runoff data shall be prepared per Section 5.2.1, *Groundwater Mounding Analysis* and selected with the HYDROGRAPH option in the dropdown menu. The data is exported from the approved model and manipulated in a spreadsheet to the format described in the MODRET documentation, then saved as a Formatted Text space-delimited file (.PRN file extension). This file is then modified by manually changing its filename extension from .PRN to .SCS. The file is then placed in the MODRET working directory and will appear among the selections when the HYDROGRAPH option is selected.

Design Highwater Elevation, Area at Starting Water Level (area of pond bottom)*,* ***Elevation of Pond Bottom, Elevation of Starting Water Level*** (same as pond bottom), ***Pond Length to Width Ratio*** – values are taken or calculated from the design plans for the facility.

Volume Between Starting Water Level & Estimated High Water Level – enter the calculated **net** volume of storage, that is, the gross storage volume of the facility multiplied by the calculated Average Effective Storage Coefficient of Pond (1.0 for an open pond, <1 for gravel trenches or tanks bedded in washed rock). The model does not do this calculation.

Average Effective Storage Coefficient of Pond – calculate from facility design plan.(1.0 for an open pond, < 1.0 for gravel trenches or tanks bedded in washed rock); use 0.35 porosity for typical 2" washed drain rock, justify any other porosity value.

Elevation of Effective Aquifer Base, Elevation of Seasonal High Groundwater Table – values determined from subsurface exploration and documented/justified in the geotechnical summary provided with the analysis. Accurate aquifer thickness data (i.e., location of the aquifer base) can be beneficial to the analysis results, but the data is often incomplete, limiting the reportable aquifer thickness to the depth of the exploration.

Average Effective Storage Coefficient of Soil for UNSATURATED Analysis, Average Effective Storage Coefficient of Soil for SATURATED Analysis -- values determined from subsurface exploration and documented/justified in the geotechnical summary provided with the analysis. The two values are typically not the same and should reflect the specific yield characteristic of the soil (the moisture content of the unsaturated soil left due to capillary forces and surface tension after gravity draining of the saturated soil).

Unsaturated Vertical Hydraulic Conductivity, Saturated Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity -- The infiltration rate entered into the model should be the facility design infiltration rate, adjusted to exclude the geometry reduction factor, $f_{geometry}$. This infiltration rate is entered as the *Unsaturated Vertical Hydraulic Conductivity (Kvu)* and is derived from field or lab tests (field tests include a saturation period for the receptor soils, but the results are assumed to reflect the unsaturated condition unless otherwise justified). The *Saturated Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity* is the dominant mechanism behind mounding, being the lateral movement of the inflow volume through the soil when confined by the water table or impervious stratum below, once the pore volume in the vadose zone is filled. It is indirectly related to the Unsaturated Vertical Hydraulic Conductivity and can be approximated per the guidance in Section 5.2.1 or determined though lab tests or field pumping tests.

The effects of the geometry on groundwater mounding are captured by the model in lieu of applying the reduction factor, $f_{geometry}$, so accurate determination of the geometrical inputs is necessary for the

modeling results to be valid. Geometry influencing the analysis includes length to width ratio (L:W), design pond depth, net storage volume in the facility, separation of the facility bottom from the seasonal high groundwater table and/or impermeable layer, and location and thickness of the underlying aquifer

Factor of Safety for Kvu --A factor of safety of 1.0 for *Kvu* may be applied when following the guidance for determining the value for Unsaturated Vertical Hydraulic Conductivity above. The input screen for MODRET suggests a value of 2.0; software and supporting documentation indicate the suggestion accounts for plugging by sedimentation and variability of the receptor soil characteristics and field testing results. The reduction factors described in Section 5.2.1 for the Simplified Method achieve this purpose and are to be applied to determine the value for *Kvu* for MODRET input. Additional factor(s) of safety may be applied according to professional judgment.

Time Increment(s) During/After/Total for Storm Event – Use the program defaults unless otherwise justified by the professional preparing the analysis.

Additional guidance

- Allowable stress periods maximum 400 or so; time steps (aka data points) maximum count 9999 for hydrograph input files.
- The MODRET report printout will be very long (approximately 80 pages) when the water year hydrograph files described above under *Input Screen/Runoff Data* are applied. The additional pages are largely a printout of the View screen, where the progress of the model run is displayed and the maximum high water elevation information (i.e., the primary result of concern) appears at the end of the table Consult the review staff at DLS-Permitting to determine if the intermediate portion is necessary to be included in the review submittal.
- The hydrograph input file format is described in the model appendices. It is helpful to view one of the installed .SCS files as an example for preparing the files. In a spreadsheet (e.g., Microsoft Excel), manipulate the time series file produced by the approved model to the format described in the appendix using Courier font, save as a .PRN file (i.e., Space Delimited). After exiting the spreadsheet program, replace the saved file's extension with .SCS and move the file to the working folder for MODRET. The file will show in the Hydrograph selection process of the Infiltration module.
- If the Help module in MODRET does not function, open the document(s) directly from the program folder.

Guidance for use of MODRET 6.1 with other software

- MODRET and 64 bit Win 7/8 compatibility:

  MODRET is a 32 bit program that will work on 64 bit operating systems. By default, a 32 bit program will install to the "Program Files (x86)" directory on a 64 bit operating system, which causes problems for MODRET. To work around this, change the install directory to C:\MODRET. (DURING INSTALLATION)

- MODRET and Win 7/8 display compatibility:

  The menus in MODRET appear black in Win 7/8. To work around this, change the display theme to the Classic, High Contrast Black, or High Contrast White theme and the menu text will display properly.

- MODRET and Acrobat for Internet Explorer:

  During installation, you may receive an error related to AcroIEHelper.dll. Choose Ignore and continue with the installation. As long as you can continue viewing pdf's in your browser, this should not be an issue.

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 7
ENGINEERING PLAN SUPPORT

7-A KING COUNTY STANDARD MAP SYMBOLS

See the *King County Department of Transportation CADD Standards Manual (2014) at:* kingcounty.gov/depts/local-services/roads/cadd-standards

7-B STANDARD PLAN NOTES AND EXAMPLE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE

7-C STORMFILTER FACILITY ACCESS AND CARTRIDGE CONFIGURATION

REFERENCE 7-B
KING COUNTY STANDARD PLAN NOTES

The standard plan notes must be included on all engineering plans. Notes which in no way apply to the project may be omitted; however, the remaining notes must not be renumbered. For example, if General Note #3 were omitted, the remaining notes should remain numbered 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, etc.

GENERAL NOTES

(1) All design and construction shall be in accordance with permit conditions, the King County Code (KCC), the King County Road Design and Construction Standards (KCRDCS), Washington State DOT (WSDOT) Standard Specifications and the conditions of preliminary approval. It shall be the sole responsibility of the applicant and the professional civil engineer to correct any error, omission, or variation from the above requirements found in these plans. All corrections shall be at no additional cost or liability to King County.

(2) The design elements within these plans have been reviewed according to the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division(DLS-Permitting) Engineering Review checklist. Some elements may have been overlooked or missed by the DLS-PERMITTING plan reviewer. Any variance from adopted standards is not allowed unless specifically approved by King County prior to construction.

(3) Approval of this road, grading, parking and drainage plan does not constitute an approval of any other construction (e.g. domestic water conveyance, sewer conveyance, gas, electrical, etc.)

(4) Before any construction or development activity, a preconstruction meeting must be held between the DLS-PERMITTING's Development Inspector, the Applicant, and the Applicant's Construction Representative.

(5) A copy of these approved plans must be on the job site whenever construction is in progress.

(6) Grading activities (site alteration) are limited to the hours of 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Saturday and 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Sunday, unless otherwise approved with a written decision by the Reviewing Agency.

(7) It shall be the applicant's/contractor's responsibility to obtain all construction easements necessary before initiating off-site work. Easements require review and approval prior to construction.

(8) Franchised utilities or other installations that are not shown on these approved plans shall not be constructed unless an approved set of plans that meet all requirements of KCRDCS Chapter 8 are submitted to the DLS-PERMITTING's Development Inspector three days prior to construction.

(9) Datum shall be NAVD88 unless otherwise approved by DLS-PERMITTING.

(10) Dewatering system (underdrain) construction shall be within a right-of-way or appropriate drainage easement, but not underneath the roadway section. All underdrain systems must be constructed in accordance with WSDOT Standard Specifications.

(11) All utility trenches and roadway subgrade shall be backfilled and compacted to 95 percent maximum density per WSDOT Standard Specifications 2-03.3(14)D, Method C.

(12) Open cutting of existing roadways for non-franchised utility or storm work is not allowed unless specifically approved by DLS-PERMITTING and noted on these approved plans. Any open cut shall be restored in accordance with KCRDCS.

(13) The Contractor shall be responsible for providing adequate safeguards, safety devices, protective equipment, flaggers, and any other needed actions to protect the life, health, and safety of the public, and to protect property in connection with the performance of work covered by the contractor. Any work within the traveled right-of-way that may interrupt normal traffic flow shall require at least one flagger for each lane of traffic affected. Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) shall apply. Work in right-of-way is not authorized until a traffic control plan is approved by King County.

DRAINAGE NOTES

(1) Proof of liability insurance shall be submitted to DLS-PERMITTING prior to the construction of the drainage facilities, preferably at the preconstruction meeting.

(2) All pipe and appurtenances shall be laid on a properly prepared foundation in accordance with WSDOT specifications. This shall include leveling and compacting the trench bottom, the top of the foundation material, and any required pipe bedding, to a uniform grade so that the entire pipe is supported by a uniformly dense unyielding base.

(3) Steel pipe shall be aluminized, or galvanized with asphalt treatment #1 or better inside and outside.

(4) All drainage structures, such as catch basins and manholes, not located within a traveled roadway or sidewalk, shall have solid locking lids. All drainage structures associated with a permanent retention/detention facility shall have solid locking lids.

(5) All catch basin grates shall conform to KCRDCS, which includes the stamping "OUTFALL TO STREAM, DUMP NO POLLUTANTS" and "Property of King County", except that private drainage systems shall not have the words “Property of King County”.

(6) All driveway culverts located within King County right-of-way shall be of sufficient length to provide a minimum 3:1 slope from the edge of the driveway to the bottom of the ditch. Culverts shall have beveled end sections to match the side slope per KCRDCS.

(7) Rock for erosion protection of roadway ditches, where required, must be of sound quarry rock, placed to a depth of 1 foot, and must meet the following specifications: 4"-8"/40%-70% passing; 2"- 4" rock/30%-40% passing; and -2" rock/10%-20% passing. Installation shall be in accordance with KCRDCS.

(8) Drainage outlets (stub-outs) shall be provided for each individual lot, except for those lots approved for infiltration by King County. Stub-outs shall conform to the following:

a) Each outlet shall be suitably located at the lowest elevation on the lot, so as to service all future roof downspouts and footing drains, driveways, yard drains, and any other surface or subsurface drains necessary to render the lots suitable for their intended use. Each outlet shall have free-flowing, positive drainage to an approved stormwater conveyance system or to an approved outfall location.

b) Outlets on each lot shall be located with a five-foot-high, 2" x 4" stake marked "storm" or "drain". The stub-out shall extend above surface level, be visible, and be secured to the stake.

c) Pipe material shall conform to underdrain specifications described in KCRDCS and, if non-metallic, the pipe shall contain wire or other acceptable detection.

d) Drainage easements are required for drainage systems designed to convey flows through individual lots.

e) The applicant/contractor is responsible for coordinating the locations of all stub-out conveyance lines with respect to the utilities (e.g. power, gas, telephone, television).

f) All individual stub-outs shall be privately owned and maintained by the lot home owner.

(9) All disturbed pervious areas (compacted, graded, landscaped, etc.) of the development site must demonstrate one of the following, in accordance with KCC and the Low Impact Development (LID) components of the approved site plan: The existing duff layer shall be staged and redistributed to maintain the moisture capacity of the soil, OR; Amended soil shall be added to maintain the moisture capacity.

(10) Seasonal clearing is limited between October 1 and April 30 inclusive, unless otherwise approved with a written decision by the Reviewing Agency.

(11) Improvements and/or buildings shall not be installed until drainage facilities are “in operation”, (KCC 9.04).

EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL NOTES

(1) Approval of this erosion and sedimentation control (ESC) plan does not constitute an approval of permanent road or drainage design (e.g. size and location of roads, pipes, restrictors, channels, retention facilities, utilities, etc.)

(2) The implementation of these ESC plans and the construction, maintenance, replacement, and upgrading of these ESC facilities is the responsibility of the applicant/ESC supervisor until all construction is approved.

(3) The boundaries of the clearing limits shown on this plan shall be clearly flagged by survey tape or fencing, if required, prior to construction (King County SWDM Appendix D). During the construction period, no disturbance beyond the clearing limits shall be permitted. The clearing limits shall be maintained by the applicant/ESC supervisor for the duration of construction.

(4) Stabilized construction entrances shall be installed at the beginning of construction and maintained for the duration of the project. Additional measures, such as constructed wheel wash systems or wash pads, may be required to ensure that all paved areas are kept clean and track out to road right of way does not occur for the duration of the project.

(5) The ESC facilities shown on this plan must be constructed prior to or in conjunction with all clearing and grading so as to ensure that the transport of sediment to surface waters, drainage systems, flow control BMP locations (existing and proposed), and adjacent properties is minimized.

(6) The ESC facilities shown on this plan are the minimum requirements for anticipated site conditions. During the construction period, these ESC facilities shall be upgraded as needed for unexpected storm events and modified to account for changing site conditions (e.g. additional cover measures, additional sump pumps, relocation of ditches and silt fences, perimeter protection etc.).

(7) The ESC facilities shall be inspected daily by the applicant/ESC supervisor and maintained to ensure continued proper functioning. Written records shall be kept of weekly reviews of the ESC facilities.

(8) Any areas of exposed soils, including roadway embankments, that will not be disturbed for two days during the wet season or seven days during the dry season shall be immediately stabilized with the approved ESC cover methods (e.g., seeding, mulching, plastic covering, etc.).

(9) Any area needing ESC measures, not requiring immediate attention, shall be addressed within seven (7) days.

(10) The ESC facilities on *inactive* sites shall be inspected and maintained a minimum of once a month (more frequently as required by the DLS-PERMITTING site inspector) or within 24 hours following a storm event.

(11) At no time shall more than one (1) foot of sediment be allowed to accumulate within a catch basin. All catch basins and conveyance lines shall be cleaned prior to paving. The cleaning operation shall not flush sediment-laden water into the downstream system.

(12) Any permanent retention/detention facility used as a temporary settling basin shall be modified with the necessary erosion control measures and shall provide adequate storage capacity. If the permanent facility is to function ultimately as an infiltration system, the temporary facility must be rough graded so that the bottom and sides are at least three feet above the final grade of the permanent facility. Flow control BMP facility areas (existing or proposed) shall not be used as temporary facilities and shall be protected from sedimentation and intrusion.

(13) Cover measures will be applied in conformance with Appendix D of the King County Surface Water Design Manual.

(14) Prior to the beginning of the wet season (Oct. 1), all disturbed areas shall be reviewed to identify which ones can be seeded in preparation for the winter rains. Disturbed areas shall be seeded within one week of the beginning of the wet season. A sketch map of those areas to be seeded and those areas to remain uncovered shall be submitted to the DLS-PERMITTING inspector for review.

STRUCTURAL NOTES

(1) These plans are approved for standard road and drainage improvements only. Plans for structures such as bridges, vaults, and retaining walls require a separate review and approval by DLS-PERMITTING prior to construction (KCC 16.04. 16.70, 14.20).

(2) Rockeries are considered to be a method of bank stabilization and erosion control. Rockeries shall not be constructed to serve as retaining walls. All rockeries in County road right-of-way shall be constructed in accordance with KCRDCS. Rockeries outside of road right-of-way shall be constructed in accordance with the International Building Code.

EXAMPLE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE

CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION RECOMMENDED CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE

(1) Pre-construction meeting.

(2) Post sign with name and phone number of CSWPP/ESC supervisor (may be consolidated with the required notice of construction sign).

(3) Flag or fence clearing limits.

(4) Install catch basin protection and flow control BMP area protection as required.

(5) Grade and install construction entrance(s).

(6) Install perimeter protection (silt fence, brush barrier, etc.).

(7) Construct sediment ponds and traps.

(8) Grade and stabilize construction roads.

(9) Construct surface water controls (interceptor dikes, pipe slope drains, etc.) simultaneously with clearing and grading for project development. Construct SWPPS controls in anticipation of scheduled construction activity (e.g., concrete-related pH measures for utility, vault or roadway construction)

(10) Maintain erosion control and SWPPS measures in accordance with King County standards and manufacturer's recommendations.

(11) Relocate erosion control and SWPPS measures or install new measures so that as site conditions change the erosion and sediment control and pollutant protection is always in accordance with the *King County Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention Standards*.

(12) Cover all areas that will be unworked for more than seven days during the dry season or two days during the wet season with straw, wood fiber mulch, compost, or equivalent.

(13) Stabilize all areas that reach final grade within seven days.

(14) Seed or sod any areas to remain unworked for more than 30 days.

(15) Upon completion of the project, all disturbed areas must be stabilized and BMPs removed if appropriate.

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 7-C

STORMFILTER FACILITY ACCESS AND CARTRIDGE CONFIGURATION

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8

PLAN REVIEW FORMS AND WORKSHEETS

8-A Technical Information Report (TIR) Worksheet

8-B Offsite Analysis Drainage System Table

8-C Water Quality Facility Sizing Worksheets

8-D Flow Control and Water Quality Facility Summary Sheet and Sketch

8-E CSWPP Worksheet Forms

8-F Adjustment Application and Process Guidelines

8-G Dedication and Indemnification Clause - Final Recording

8-H Bond Quantities Worksheet

See the current version at:
kingcounty.gov/depts/local-services/permits/infosheets-forms/permit-application-forms-title.#S
Select "Site Improvement Bond Quantity Worksheet"

8-I Maintenance and Defect Agreement

For the current version, contact the Financial Guarantees Management Unit at the Department of Permitting and Environmental Services, (206) 296-6659

8-J Declaration of Covenant

8-K Drainage Release Covenant

8-L Drainage Easement

8-M Flow Control BMP Covenant and BMP Maintenance Instructions (Recordable format)

8-N Impervious Surface Limit Covenant

8-O Clearing Limit Covenant

8-P River Protection Easement

8-Q Leachable Metals Covenant

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-A

TECHNICAL INFORMATION REPORT (TIR) WORKSHEET

TECHNICAL INFORMATION REPORT (TIR) WORKSHEET

Part 1 PROJECT OWNER AND PROJECT ENGINEER

Project Owner _____

Phone _____

Address _____

_____

Project Engineer _____

Company _____

Phone _____

Part 2 PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

Project Name _____

DLS-Permitting

Permit # _____

Location Township _____

Range _____

Section _____

Site Address _____

_____

Part 3 TYPE OF PERMIT APPLICATION

- ❑ Land use (e.g.,Subdivision / Short Subd. / UPD)
- ❑ Building (e.g.,M/F / Commercial / SFR)
- ❑ Clearing and Grading
- ❑ Right-of-Way Use
- ❑ Other _____

Part 4 OTHER REVIEWS AND PERMITS[1]

- ❑ DFW HPA
- ❑ COE CWA 404
- ❑ ECY Dam Safety
- ❑ FEMA Floodplain
- ❑ COE Wetlands
- ❑ Other _____
- ❑ Shoreline Management
- ❑ Structural Rockery/Vault/_____
- ❑ ESA Section 7

Part 5 PLAN AND REPORT INFORMATION

Technical Information Report

Type of Drainage Review (check one):

- ❑ Full
- ❑ Targeted
- ❑ Simplified
- ❑ Large Project
- ❑ Directed

Date (include revision dates): _____

Date of Final: _____

Site Improvement Plan (Engr. Plans)

Plan Type (check one):

- ❑ Full
- ❑ Modified
- ❑ Simplified

Date (include revision dates): _____

Date of Final: _____

Part 6 SWDM ADJUSTMENT APPROVALS

Type (circle one): Standard / Experimental / Blanket

Description: (include conditions in TIR Section 2)

_____

_____

_____

Approved Adjustment No. _____ Date of Approval: _____

[1] DFW: WA State Dept. of Fish and Wildlife. HPA: hydraulic project approval. COE: (Army) Corps of Engineers. CWA: Clean Water Act. ECY: WA State Dept. of Ecology. FEMA: Federal Emergency Management Agency. ESA: Endangered Species Act.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION REPORT (TIR) WORKSHEET

Part 7 MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Monitoring Required: Yes / No

Start Date: _____

Completion Date: _____

Describe: _____

_____

_____

Re: KCSWDM Adjustment No. _____

Part 8 SITE COMMUNITY AND DRAINAGE BASIN

Community Plan : _____

Special District Overlays: _____

Drainage Basin: _____

Stormwater Requirements: _____

Part 9 ONSITE AND ADJACENT SENSITIVE AREAS

- ❑ River/Stream _____
- ❑ Lake _____
- ❑ Wetlands _____
- ❑ Closed Depression _____
- ❑ Floodplain _____
- ❑ Other _____
  _____
- ❑ Steep Slope _____
- ❑ Erosion Hazard _____
- ❑ Landslide Hazard _____
- ❑ Coal Mine Hazard _____
- ❑ Seismic Hazard _____
- ❑ Habitat Protection _____
- ❑ _____

Part 10 SOILS

Soil Type	Slopes	Erosion Potential
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

- ❑ High Groundwater Table (within 5 feet)
- ❑ Other _____
- ❑ Sole Source Aquifer
- ❑ Seeps/Springs

❑ Additional Sheets Attached

TECHNICAL INFORMATION REPORT (TIR) WORKSHEET

Part 11 DRAINAGE DESIGN LIMITATIONS	
REFERENCE	LIMITATION / SITE CONSTRAINT
❑ Core 2 – Offsite Analysis_____	_____
❑ Sensitive/Critical Areas_____	_____
❑ SEPA_____	_____
❑ LID Infeasibility_____	_____
❑ Other_____	_____
❑ _____	_____
❑ Additional Sheets Attached	

Part 12 TIR SUMMARY SHEET (provide one TIR Summary Sheet per Threshold Discharge Area)	
Threshold Discharge Area: (name or description)	
Core Requirements (all 8 apply):	
Discharge at Natural Location	Number of Natural Discharge Locations:
Offsite Analysis	Level: 1 / 2 / 3 dated:_____
Flow Control (include facility summary sheet)	Level: 1 / 2 / 3 or Exemption Number _____ Flow Control BMPs _____
Conveyance System	Spill containment located at: _____
Erosion and Sediment Control / Construction Stormwater Pollution Prevention	CSWPP/CESCL/ESC Site Supervisor: _____ Contact Phone: _____ After Hours Phone: _____
Maintenance and Operation	Responsibility (circle one): Private / Public If Private, Maintenance Log Required: Yes / No
Financial Guarantees and Liability	Provided: Yes / No
Water Quality (include facility summary sheet)	Type (circle one): Basic / Sens. Lake / Enhanced Basic / Bog or Exemption No. _____ Landscape Management Plan: Yes / No
For Entire Project: % of Target Impervious that had a feasible FCBMP implemented_____	Total Replaced Impervious surfaces on the site_____ Total New Pervious Surfaces on the site_____ Repl. Imp. on site mitigated w/flow control facility_____ Repl. Imp. on site mitigated w/water quality facility_____ Repl. Imp. on site mitigated with FCBMP _____

TECHNICAL INFORMATION REPORT (TIR) WORKSHEET

Part 12 TIR SUMMARY SHEET (provide one TIR Summary Sheet per Threshold Discharge Area)	
Special Requirements (as applicable):	
Area Specific Drainage Requirements	Type: CDA / SDO / MDP / BP / LMP / Shared Fac. / None Name: _____
Floodplain/Floodway Delineation	Type (circle one): Major / Minor / Exemption / None 100-year Base Flood Elevation (or range): _____ Datum:
Flood Protection Facilities	Describe:
Source Control (commercial / industrial land use)	Describe land use: Describe any structural controls:
Oil Control	High-use Site: Yes / No Treatment BMP: _____ Maintenance Agreement: Yes / No with whom? _____
Other Drainage Structures	
Describe:	

Part 13 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL REQUIREMENTS		
MINIMUM ESC REQUIREMENTS DURING CONSTRUCTION		MINIMUM ESC REQUIREMENTS AFTER CONSTRUCTION
❑ Clearing Limits ❑ Cover Measures ❑ Perimeter Protection ❑ Traffic Area Stabilization ❑ Sediment Retention ❑ Surface Water Collection ❑ Dewatering Control ❑ Dust Control ❑ Flow Control ❑ Protection of Flow Control BMP Facilities (existing and proposed) ❑ Maintain BMPs / Manage Project		❑ Stabilize exposed surfaces ❑ Remove and restore Temporary ESC Facilities ❑ Clean and remove all silt and debris, ensure operation of Permanent Facilities, restore operation of Flow Control BMP Facilities as necessary ❑ Flag limits of SAO and open space preservation areas ❑ Other _____

TECHNICAL INFORMATION REPORT (TIR) WORKSHEET

Part 14 STORMWATER FACILITY DESCRIPTIONS (Note: Include Facility Summary and Sketch)				
Flow Control	Type/Description		Water Quality	Type/Description
❑ Detention	_____		❑ Vegetated Flowpath	_____
❑ Infiltration	_____		❑ Wetpool	_____
❑ Regional Facility	_____		❑ Filtration	_____
❑ Shared Facility	_____		❑ Oil Control	_____
❑ Flow Control BMPs	_____		❑ Spill Control	_____
❑ Other	_____		❑ Flow Control BMPs	_____
			❑ Other	_____

Part 15 EASEMENTS/TRACTS		Part 16 STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS
❑ Drainage Easement		❑ Cast in Place Vault
❑ Covenant		❑ Retaining Wall
❑ Native Growth Protection Covenant		❑ Rockery > 4' High
❑ Tract		❑ Structural on Steep Slope
❑ Other _____		❑ Other _____

Part 17 SIGNATURE OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
I, or a civil engineer under my supervision, have visited the site. Actual site conditions as observed were incorporated into this worksheet and the attached Technical Information Report. To the best of my knowledge the information provided here is accurate.
_____
Signed/Date

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-B

OFFSITE ANALYSIS DRAINAGE SYSTEM TABLE

OFF-SITE ANALYSIS DRAINAGE SYSTEM TABLE
KING COUNTY SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL, CORE REQUIREMENT #2

Basin:		Subbasin Name:		Subbasin Number:		Date	

Symbol	Drainage Component Type, Name, and Size	Drainage Component Description	Slope	Distance from site discharge	Existing Problems	Potential Problems	Observations of field inspector, resource reviewer, or resident
see map	Type: sheet flow, swale, stream, channel, pipe, pond, flow control/wq BMP; Size: diameter, surface area	drainage basin, vegetation, cover, depth, type of sensitive area, volume	%	¼ ml = 1,320 ft.	constrictions, under capacity, ponding, overtopping, flooding, habitat or organism destruction, scouring, bank sloughing, sedimentation, incision, other erosion		tributary area, likelihood of problem, overflow pathways, potential impacts

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-C

WATER QUALITY FACILITY SIZING WORKSHEETS

WETPOND SIZING WORKSHEET

for Rectangular Wetponds

Project Name: _____

METHODS OF ANALYSIS (See SWDM Section 6.4.1)

Step 1) Determine volume factor, *f*

Basic size? f = 1 (unitless)
Large size? f = 1.5 (unitless)

Consult WQ requirements (Section 1.2.8) to determine if basic or large size needed

If using the 91% volume reported by the approved model, skip to *

See Section 6.2.1

Step 2) Determine weighted SCS curve number, *CN*

Weighted SCS curve number, CN _____ (unitless)

See Section 6.4.1.1

Step 3) Determine precipitation depth *P* for 6-mo 24-hr storm (SCS method)

Storm depth from isopluvial or local data, *or* _____ (in)
72% of 2-yr 24-hr storm depth (0.72 x 2-yr_____) = _____ (in)

See Section 6.2.1

Calculate runoff depth Q_d for developed tributary area

Potential detention of area, S = (1000/weighted CN) - 10 _____ (in)

CN from Step 2

$P \geq 0.2S$ => $Q_d = (P-0.2S)^2 / (P+0.8S)$
$P < 0.2S$ => $Q_d = 0$

Q_d = _____ (in)

P from Step 3

Step 4) Calculate Basic Volume V_b and required volume for developed tributary area

Total tributary area, A _____ (ac)

Areas used in Step 2

Basic Volume of the wetpool,
V_b = 3630 (cft/ac.in.) x Q_d (in) x A (ac) _____ (cf)

Q_d from Step 3

OR, if modeled,
* V_b = ***modeled*** 91% runoff volume _____ (cf)

From approved model

Required Volume of the wetpool = *f* x V_b _____ (cf)

Volume factor f from Step 1

Step 5) Determine wetpool dimensions (for rectangular pond)

See Section 6.4.1.2 for flowpath length considerations

a) *Determine geometry of first cell:*

Volume in first cell _____ (cf)

25-35% of total

Depth ***h*** of first cell (minus sediment storage) _____ (ft)

See Section 6.4.1.2

Determine first cell length at mid-depth:
Mid-depth surface area, $A_{mid} = V_{first\ cell} / h_{first\ cell}$ _____ (sf)
Side slope, Z: __(H):1(V) _____ (ft)

3:1 recommended

Mid-width = (Average) Bottom width + 2(h/2 x Z) _____ (ft)

Assumes single inlet, see Section 6.4.1.2;

Mid-length = A_{mid} / Mid-width = _____ (ft)

Used to check L:W

Determine area of first cell design maximum water surface, A_{top}: *(Rectangular pond)*

Side slope, Z: __(H):1(V) _____ (ft) *3:1 recommended*

Top width = (Average) Bottom width + 2(h x Z) _____ (ft)

Top length = Bottom length + 2(h x Z)

A_{top} = (Top width) x (Top Length) = _____ (sf)

b) *Determine geometry of second cell*

Volume in second cell _____ (cf) *Must be 65 - 75%*

Depth ***h*** of second cell _____ (ft) *See Section 6.4.1.2*

Determine cell length at mid-depth:

Mid-depth surface area, $A_{mid} = V_{second\ cell} / h_{second\ cell}$ _____ (sf)

Side slope, Z: __(H):1(V) _____ (ft) *3:1 recommended*

Mid-width = (Average) Bottom width + 2(h/2 x Z) _____ (ft)

Mid-length = A_{mid} / Mid-width = _____ (ft) *Used to check L:W*

Determine area of second cell design maximum water surface, A_{top} *(Rectangular pond)*

Side slope, Z: __(H):1(V) _____ (ft) *3:1 recommended*

Top width = (Average) Bottom width + 2(h x Z) _____ (ft)

Top length = Bottom length + 2(h x Z)

A_{top} = (Top width) x (Top Length) = _____ (sf)

c) *Geometry check:* overall pond L:W at mid-depth at least 3:1

Weighted Average pond width (mid-depth) _____ (ft)

[Σ(Cell A_{mid})(Cell Avg width) all cells]/[Σ(A_{mid} all cells)]

Cell 1 length (mid-depth) _____ (ft) *See Section 6.4.1.2 for flowpath length considerations*

Cell 2 length (mid-depth) _____ (ft)

Pond length (mid-depth) = cell 1 + 2 _____ (ft)

$L_{mid} : W_{mid}$ = _____

Step 6) Design rest of pond (see Criteria p. 6-73)

Internal berm
Inlet & Outlet
Primary overflow
Access

Other Design Details (Sections 6.2.2, 6.2.3, and 6.2.4)
- Sequence of Facilities
- Setbacks
- Sideslopes, fencing, embankment
- Liners

Total wetpond surface area estimate

Sum areas for design maximum water surface (all cells), internal berm, access ramp

= _____ (sf)

\+ _____ (sf)

\+ _____ (sf)

\+ _____ (sf)

= Total sf

Pond tract area will also include setbacks, access roads, 100-yr conveyance, etc.

WETVAULT SIZING WORKSHEET

Project Name: _____

METHODS OF ANALYSIS (See SWDM Section 6.4.2)

Step 1) Determine volume factor, *f*

Basic size? f = 1 (unitless)
Large size? f = 1.5 (unitless)

Consult WQ requirements (Section 1.2.8) to determine if basic or large size needed

If using the 91% volume reported by the approved model, skip to *

See Section 6.2.1

Step 2) Determine weighted SCS curve number, *CN*

Weighted SCS curve number, CN _____ (unitless)

See Section 6.4.1.1

Step 3) Determine precipitation depth *P* for 6-mo 24-hr storm (SCS method)

Storm depth from isopluvial or local data, *or* _____ (in)
72% of 2-yr 24-hr storm depth (0.72 x 2-yr_____) = _____ (in)

See Section 6.2.1

Calculate runoff depth Q_d for developed tributary area

Potential detention of area, S = (1000/weighted CN) - 10 _____ (in)

CN from Step 2

$P \geq 0.2S \Rightarrow Q_d = (P-0.2S)^2 / (P+0.8S)$

$P < 0.2S \Rightarrow Q_d = 0$

Q_d = _____ (in)

P from Step 3

Step 4) Calculate Basic Volume V_b and required volume for developed tributary area

Total tributary area, A _____ (ac)

Areas used in Step 2

Basic Volume of the wetpool,
V_b = 3630 (cft/ac.in.) x Q_d (in) x A (ac) _____ (cf)

Q_d from Step 3

OR, if modeled,

* V_b = ***modeled*** 91% runoff volume _____ (cf)

From approved model

Required Volume of the wetpool = *f* x V_b _____ (cf)

Volume factor f from Step 1

Step 5) Determine wetpool dimensions

a) *Determine geometry of first cell*

Volume in first cell _____ (cf)

Must be 25 - 35%

Depth ***h*** of first cell (minus sediment storage) _____ (ft)

See Section 6.4.1.2

Determine area at water surface = (Volume/***h***)

Atop = _____ (sf)

Find top dimensions by adjusting for shape geometrics

Dimensions of first cell: width= _____ (ft)
length = _____ (ft)

b) *Determine geometry of second cell*

Volume in second cell		_____ (cf)	*Must be 65 - 75%*
Depth ***h*** of second cell		_____ (ft)	*See Section 6.4.1.2*
Determine area at water surface = (Volume/***h***)			
	Atop =	_____ (sf)	
Dimensions of second cell:	width=	(ft)	*Same as Cell 1*
	length =	_____ (ft)	

c) *Geometry check:* overall pond L:W at mid depth at least 3:1

Cell 1 length (mid-depth)	_____ (ft)
Cell 2 length (mid-depth)	_____ (ft)
Vault length = cell 1 + 2	_____ (ft)
Vault width	_____ (ft)
At mid-depth, L_{mid} : W_{mid} =	_____

Step 6) Design rest of vault (Section 6.4.2.1)

Internal baffle

Inlet & Outlet

Access (note 5'X10" access, grating and corner vent holes)

Effective impervious area (EIA) _____ *See Section 3.2.2.1*

Other Design Details (Sections 6.2.2, 6.2.3, and 6.2.4)

Sequence of Facilities

Setbacks

Sideslopes, fencing, embankment

SIZE SUMMARY: Surface area, change in elevation

Vault width	_____ (ft)
Vault length	_____ (ft)
Surface area	_____ (sf)
Elevation change needed:	_____ (ft)

STORMWATER WETLAND SIZING WORKSHEET

Project Name: _____

METHODS OF ANALYSIS (See SWDM Section 6.4.3)

Step 1) Determine volume factor *f*.

Use Basic size f = 1 *See WQ requirements (Section 1.2.8) and Section 6.4.3.1*

If using the 91% volume reported by the approved model, skip to * *See Section 6.2.1*

Step 2) Determine weighted SCS curve number, *CN*

Weighted SCS curve number, CN _____ (unitless) *See Section 6.4.1.1*

Step 3) Determine precipitation depth *P* for 6-mo 24-hr storm (SCS method)

Storm depth from isopluvial or local data, *or* _____ (in) *See Section 6.2.1*

72% of 2-yr 24-hr storm depth (0.72 x 2-yr_____) = _____ (in)

Calculate runoff depth Q_d for developed tributary area

Potential detention of area, S = (1000/weighted CN) - 10 _____ (in) *CN from Step 2*

$P \geq 0.2S$ => $Q_d = (P-0.2S)^2 / (P+0.8S)$

$P < 0.2S$ => $Q_d = 0$ Q_d = _____ (in) *P from Step 3*

Step 4) Calculate Basic Volume V_b and required volume for developed tributary area

Total tributary area, A _____ (ac) *Areas used in Step 2*

Basic Volume of the wetpool,

V_b = 3630 (cft/ac.in.) x Q_d (in) x A (ac) _____ (cf) *Q_d from Step 3*

OR, if modeled,

* V_b = ***modeled*** 91% runoff volume _____ (cf) *From approved model*

Required Volume of the wetpool = *f* x V_b _____ (cf) *Volume factor f=1 from Step 1*

Step 5) Calculate required wetland surface area

$A_{top,total} = V_b / 3$ (total surface area of both cells) _____ (sf)

template V_b = _____ (cf) *From Step 4*

$A_{top, total}$ = _____ (sf) *"Sizing" depth is 3 ft*

Step 6) Determine wetland cell dimensions *(Same as Steps 6, 7 & 8, KCSWDM 6.4.3.1)*

a) *Determine geometry of first cell*

Set volume of first cell equal to V_r _____ (cf) *From Step 3*

Depth ***h*** 1st cell (minus sediment storage) _____ (ft) *Note actual cell depth may be from 4 to 8 ft*

Determine first cell surface area at mid-depth:

Mid-depth surface area, $A_{mid} = V_{first\ cell} / h_{first\ cell}$ _____ (sf)

Find mid-depth dimensions by adjusting for shape geometrics

Side slope, Z: __(H):1(V)	_____	(ft)	*3:1 recommended*
Mid-width = (Avg) Bottom width + 2(h/2 x Z)	_____	(ft)	*Assumes single inlet, see Section 6.4.1.2;*
Mid-length = A_{mid} / Mid-width =	_____	(ft)	*Used to check L:W*

Find top dimensions by adjusting for shape geometrics

Top width W_{top} = (Avg) mid-width + 2(h/2 x Z)	_____	(ft)	*(for Rectangular facility)*
Top length L_{top} = Mid-length + 2(h/2 x Z)	_____	(ft)	

Determine first cell surface area at design maximum water surface:

$A_{top1} = A_{mid} + (\boldsymbol{h}/2 \times Z \times perimeter_{mid})$ =	_____	(sf)	*General equation*
or, $A_{top1} = A_{mid} + (\boldsymbol{h} \times Z \times (L_{mid} + W_{mid}))$ =	_____	(sf)	*(for Rectangular facility)*
or, $A_{top1} = (L_{top} \times W_{top})$ =	_____	(sf)	

b) *Determine geometry of second cell*

Wetland surface area $A_{top,total}$	_____	(sf)	*From Step 5*
Surface area of second cell = $A_{top,total} - A_{top1}$	_____	(sf)	
Depth ***h*** of second cell	varies, 1.5' avg		*See Table 6.4.3.A and Criteria #8, p.6-90*

Step 7) Choose plants for wetland cell

See recommendations in Table 6.4.1.A, p. 6-75

Step 8) Design rest of pond (See p. 6.4.3.2 for Criteria)

Internal berm	Other Design Details (Sections 6.2.2, 6.2.3, and 6.2.4)
Inlet & Outlet	Sequence of Facilities
Primary overflow	Setbacks
Access	Sideslopes, fencing, embankment
	Liners

Total stormwater wetland surface area estimate

Sum areas for design maximum water surface (all cells), internal berm, access ramp

=	_____	(sf)	
+	_____	(sf)	*Pond tract area will also include setbacks, access roads, 100-yr conveyance, etc.*
+	_____	(sf)	
+	_____	(sf)	
=	_____	Total sf	

BIOSWALE WORKSHEET

Project Name:

METHODS OF ANALYSIS (See SWDM Section 6.3.1.1)

Step 1) Calculate design flows

- *Bioswales generally precede other water quality facilities (See menus in Section 6.1)*
- *Design flows depend on sequence with detention facility. (Section 6.2.1)*

Preceding detention Q_{wq} = modeled flow achieving 91% developed flow volume treated
Following detention Q_{wq} = 2-yr release rate from detention facility

If no high flow bypass provided:	$Q_{100\text{-}yr}$	_____	(cfs)	*See Section 6.3.1.1*
	$Q_{25\text{-}yr}$	_____	(cfs)	*See Section 3.2.2 Runoff Files Method*
	$Q_{2\text{-}yr}$	_____	(cfs)	*"*
Water quality design flow	Q_{wq}	_____	(cfs)	*Section 6.2.1 WQ Design Flows and Volumes*

Land Cover Areas and Soil Types — *See Tables 3.2.2 B and 3.2.2.C*

Forest	☐ till	☐ outwash	_____	(acres)	*Areas draining to swale (Section 3.2.2)*
Pasture	☐ till	☐ outwash	_____	(acres)	*"*
Grass	☐ till	☐ outwash	_____	(acres)	*"*
Wetland			_____	(acres)	*"*
Impervious			_____	(acres)	*"*

Time Step: 15-min — *15-min* — *Required "15 min" (Section 6.2.1)*

Step 2) Calculate swale bottom width

$$b = \frac{Q_{wq}\, n_{wq}}{1.49\, y^{1.67}\, s^{0.5}}$$ bottom width of swale _____ (ft) *Simplified Manning's formula*

Q_{wq} =	water quality design flow	_____	(cfs)	*Listed in Step 1*
n_{wq} =	Manning's roughness coefficient	*0.20*		*Required 0.20, shallow flow conditions*
y =	design flow depth	_____	(ft)	*Mowed 2 in. (0.17ft), Rural 4 in. (0.33ft)*
s =	longitudinal slope, along flow	_____	(feet/ft)	

- If the bottom width is calculated to be between 2 and 10 feet, proceed to Step 3.
- If bottom width is less than 2 feet, increase width to 2 feet and recalculate the design flow depth (y).
- If bottom width is more than 10 feet, increase longitudinal slope (s), increase design flow depth (y), install flow divider and flow spreader, or relocate swale after detention facility

Step 3) Determine design flow velocity

$V_{wq} = Q_{wq}/A_{wq}$	design flow velocity	_____	(fps)	*Flow Continuity Equation, Q_{wq} from Step 1*
$A_{wq} = by+Zy^2$		_____	(sf)	*Cross-sectional area at design depth*
Z =	side slope length per unit height	_____	(feet/ft)	*Select now*

- If the velocity exceeds 1.0 fps, go back to Step 2 and modify longitudinal slope, bottom width, or depth.
- If the velocity is less than 1.0 fps, proceed to Step 4.

Step 4) Calculate swale length

$L = 540V_{wq}$ = swale length _____ (ft)
540 = hydraulic residence time _____ (s)
V_{wq} = design flow velocity _____ (fps) *Calculated in Step 3*

- If the length is less than 100 feet, increase the length to 100 feet, leaving the bottom width unchanged.
- If the swale length can be accommodated on the site, proceed to Step 6.
- If the length is too long for the site, proceed to Step 5.

Step 5) Adjust swale layout to fit on site.

Increase initial bottom width and reduce initial swale length to provide an equivalent top area.

Required area $A_{top} = (b_i+b_{slope})L_i = (b_f+b_{slope})L_f$ _____ (sf) *Calculate top area at WQ design depth*
b_f = increased bottom width _____ (ft) *Select now; see Sections 6.3.1.2 and 6.3.2.2*
b_{slope} = 2Zy (ft) top width above sides _____ (ft) *for max. allowable width*
L_f = reduced length, $A_{top} / (b_f+b_{slope})$ _____ (ft) *Select now; Required minimum 100 ft*

- Go to Step 3 and recalculate design flow velocity (v) using b_f.
- **Recalculate to assure the 9 minute retention**

Step 6) Provide conveyance capacity for flows higher than Q_{wq}

Meet conveyance requirements of Section 1.2.4 and check conveyance and velocity of high flows.

A) Q_c = $(1.49/n_c) A_c R_c^{0.67} s^{0.5}$ _____ (cfs) *Manning's Eq.; 100-yr or 25-yr flow in Step 1*
n_c = Manning's roughness coefficient _____ *Manning's "n" from Table 4.4.1 B*
A_c = $by_c + Zy_c^2$ _____ (sf) *Cross sectional area (trapezoidal section)*
R_c = $A_c / (b+2y_c (Z^2+1)^{0.5})$ _____ (ft) *Hydraulic Radius (trapezoidal section)*
s = longitudinal slope, along flow _____ (ft/ft) *Selected in Step 2*
y_c = depth of 25-yr or 100-yr flows _____ (ft) *Calculate now*

- Check velocity of 100-yr peak flow...

B) $V_{100} = Q_{100} / A_{100}$ _____ (fps)

- If V_{100} exceeds 3.0 fps, return to Step 2 and increase the bottom width or flatten slope.

Size Summary (Plan Area)

- *Land area is needed for the channel (top width including freeboard and any low-flow drain width), access, setbacks, and, if necessary, area to convey high flows.*
- *Longitudinal cross section includes conveyance depth y_c, swale plan length L_{plan} (channel elevation drop / slope), and, if necessary, underdrain and high flows.*

L_{plan} (channel elevation drop (ft) / slope) = _____ (ft) *From Steps 3, 4 and 6*
WS plan area $A_{plan} = L_{plan} \times (b + 2Zy_c)$ = _____ (sf) *y_c from Step 6*

OTHER CRITERIA (Section 6.3.1.2)

Swale Geometry	Setbacks (Section 6.2.3)	Underdrains
Water Depth	Soil and plantings	Swale Divider

FILTER STRIP WORKSHEET

Project Name:

METHODS OF ANALYSIS (See SWDM Section 6.3.4)

Step 1) Calculate design flows

Filter strips usually precede other water quality facilities (See menus in Section 6.1)

Two-year flow	$Q_{2\text{-}yr}$	_____	(cfs)	*Section 6.2.1 WQ Design Flows*
Water quality design flow	Q_{wq}	_____	(cfs)	"

Step 2) Calculate design flow depth

Q_{wq} =	water quality design flow	_____	(cfs)	*Calculated in Step 1*
n_{wq} =	Manning's roughness coefficient	_____		*Use 0.35 or 0.45, see p. 6-62*
W =	width of strip along imperv.	_____	(ft)	*Determine now*
s =	longitudinal slope along path	_____	(feet/ft)	*Determine now*

$$d_f = \left| \frac{Q_{wq}\, n_{wq}}{1.49\, Ws^{0.5}} \right|^{0.6}$$

design flow depth, d_f = _____ (ft) *Manning's formula, re-arranged*

- If the design flow depth is greater than I inch (0.083 ft), the flow must reduced, the strip width must be increased, or a different WQ facility must be used.

CHECK: _____ (ft) *< 0.083 ft, OK*

Step 3) Calculate the design flow velocity through the strip

Q_{wq} =	_____	(cfs)	*From Step 1*
W =	_____	(ft)	*From Step 2*
d_f =	_____	(ft)	*From Step 2*
$V_{wq} = Q_{wq} / Wd_f$	_____	(fps)	*Flow Continuity Eq. w/ Wd_f for A*

- If V_{wq} exceeds 0.5 fps, a filter strip may not be used. Redesign site to use a gentler longitudinal slope, or use another WQ facility.

CHECK: _____ (fps) *< 0.5 fps, OK*

Step 4) Calculate length of filter strip

hydraulic residence time =	540	(s)	*Required 9 minutes*
design flow velocity, V_{wq} =	_____	(fps)	*Calculated in Step 3*
$L = 540\, V_{wq}$	_____	(ft)	

Size Summary

Land area is needed for the strip, access, & area outside the treatment area to convey high flows

Other Criteria

Flow spreading & energy dissipation
Access
Soil amendment
Planting requirements
Liners (Section 6.2.4)
Recommended design features (Section 6.3.4.2)

BAFFLE OIL/WATER SEPARATOR WORKSHEET

Project Name: _____

METHODS OF ANALYSIS (See SWDM Section 6.6.2.1)

Step 1) Calculate design flows (must be designed as an *off-line* facility)

Tributary area	_____	(sf)	*See Section 6.2.1 WQ Design*
Water quality **off-line** design flow, Q_{wq}	_____	(cfs)	*Flows and Volumes*

Step 2) Calculate the minimum vertical cross-sectional area

$A_c = Q_{wq} / V_H$ (Required: $V_H = 15V_T$)

Q_{wq} = water quality design flow	_____	(cfs)	*From Step 1*
V_T = oil droplet rise rate	0.033	(fpm)	*Use 0.033 fpm or justify alt. value*
V_H = design horizontal velocity	0.008	(fps)	*$V_H = 15V_T$; for V_T = .033 fpm, V_H = **0.008 fps***
A_c = minimum cross-sectional area	_____	(sf)	

Step 3) Calculate the width and depth of the vault

$D = A_c / W$

W = width of vault	_____	(ft)	*Required 6′ ≤ W ≤ 20′*
A_c = minimum cross-sectional area	_____	(sf)	*From Step 2*
D = maximum depth	_____	(ft)	*Required 3′ ≤ D ≤ 8′ per ECY*

The computed depth D must meet a depth-to-width ratio r of between 0.3 and 0.5

Note: $D = (rA_c)^{0.5}$

r = depth-to-width ratio

W = D/r *If 0.3 ≤ D/W ≤ 0.5, OK;*

CHECK: Design D/W = _____ *if not, revise D, W*

Step 4) Calculate the length of the vault

$L = FD (V_H/V_T)$

L =	Length of vault			
V_H =	horizontal velocity (fpm)			*Vh/Vt = 15, required*
V_T =	oil droplet rise rate (fpm)			
D =	depth	_____	(ft)	*From Step 3*
F=	turbulence and short-circuiting factor	1.64		*F = 1.64, required (Fig. 6.6.2.A, using V_H/V_T = 15)*

Therefore: L= 1.64 x 15 x D L = _____ (ft)

Step 5) Check the separator's length-to-width ratio and forebay length.

Vault L/W =	_____		*Vault L/W must be 5 or greater*
Length of forebay	_____	(ft)	
$L_{forebay} / L_{vault}$ =	_____		*$L_{forebay}$ must be approx. $L_{vault}/3$*

Step 6) Check that the minimum horizontal surface area A_H criterion is satisfied

$A_H = FQ_{wq} / V_t$; Required: $A_H \leq (L \times W)_{design}$

Q_{wq} =		_____	(cfs)	*From Step 1*
A_H =	(1.64 x Q_{wq} / 0.00055)	_____	(sf)	*From Steps 4 and 2, V_t in fps*
Design L x W =		_____	(sf)	*Required: $A_H \leq (L \times W)_{design}$*

Step 7) Compute and check the horizontal surface area of the vault forebay.

This area must be greater than 20 square feet per 10,000 square feet of tributary impervious area

L =	length of vault	_____	(ft)	*Step 4*
L_F =	length of forebay	_____	(ft)	*Step 5*
W =	width of vault	_____	(ft)	*Step 3*
$A_{F,\ design}$	forebay area = L_F x W	_____	(sf)	
A_{TI} =	tributary impervious area		(sf)	

Required: $A_F : A_{TI} > 1: 500$, *or*

Required min. area for A_F = (20 x A_{TI} / 10,000)	_____	(sf)	*If < Design forebay area, OK*
$A_{F,\ design} : A_{TI}$ =	_____	: 500	*If > 1:500, OK*

Step 8) Design the flow splitter and high-flow bypass.

See Section 6.2.5 for information on flow splitter design.

OTHER CRITERIA (Section 6.6.2.2)

General siting before other stormwater facilities
Baffle requirements
Inlet & outlet
Material requirements
Maintenance access (also see Section 5.3.3.1)

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-D

FLOW CONTROL AND WATER QUALITY FACILITY SUMMARY SHEET AND SKETCH

STORMWATER FACILITY SUMMARY SHEET

(provide one Stormwater Facility Summary Sheet per *Natural Discharge Location)*

DPER Permit No. _____
Date _____
NPDES Permit No. _____

OVERVIEW:

Project Name

Project Location

Parcel No. _____
Retired Parcel No. _____

Downstream Drainage Basins:
Major Basin Name _____
Immediate Basin Name _____

Project includes Landscape Management Plan? yes ☐ no ☐
(include copy with TIR as Appendix)

Declarations of Covenant	Recording No.
Leachable Metals	
Impervious Surface Limit	
Flow Control BMPs	
Clearing Limit	
Drainage Facility	
Landscape Management Plan	

GENERAL FACILITY INFORMATION:

Detention		Infiltration		Water Quality		Flow Control Performance Std
Type	***# of***	***Type***	***# of***	***Type***	***# of***	
Ponds	_____	Ponds	_____	Ponds	_____	☐ Basic
Vaults	_____	Tanks	_____	Vaults	_____	☐ Conservation
Tanks	_____	Trenches	_____	Tanks	_____	☐ Flood Problem

If no flow control facility, check one:

- ☐ Project qualifies for KCSWDM Exemption (KCSWDM 1.2.3):
  - ☐ Basic Exemption
  - ☐ Impervious Surface Exemption for Transportation Redevelopment projects
  - ☐ Cost Exemption for Parcel Redevelopment projects
  - ☐ Direct Discharge Exemption
  - ☐ Other _____
- ☐ Project qualifies for 0.1 cfs Exception per KCSWDM 1.2.3
- ☐ No flow control required per approved KCSWDM Adjustment No. _____
- ☐ Flow control provided in regional/shared facility per approved approved KCSWDM Adjustment No. _____
  Shared Facility Name/Location: _____
- ☐ No flow control required (other, provide justification):
  _____

TREATMENT SUMMARY FOR TOTAL IMPERVIOUS SURFACES

(Applies to Commercial parcels only)	Area	% of Total
Total Acreage **(ac)**		-----
Total Impervious Acreage **(ac)**		
Total impervious surface served by flow control facility(ies) **(sq ft)**		
Impervious surface served by flow control facility(ies) designed 1990 or later **(sq ft)**		
Impervious surface served by pervious surface absorption **(sq ft)**		
Impervious surface served by approved water quality facility(ies) **(sq ft)**		

PROVIDE FACILITY DETAILS AND FACILITY SKETCH FOR EACH FACILITY ON REVERSE. USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NEEDED FOR ADDITIONAL FACILITIES

STORMWATER FACILITY SUMMARY SHEET DPER Permit No. _____

(provide one Stormwater Facility Summary Sheet per *Natural Discharge Location)*

Project Name
Project Location

Downstream Drainage Basins:
Major Basin Name_____
Immediate Basin Name_____

FLOW CONTROL FACILITY: Basin:

Facility Name/Number_____ ☐ New Facility
Facility Location_____ ☐ Existing Facility

UIC? ☐ yes ☐ no **UIC Site ID:**

Live Storage Volume _____ ☐ cu.ft. ☐ ac.ft.
Live Storage Depth (ft) _____
Volume Factor of Safety _____

Project Impervious
Acres Served _____
% of Total Project Impervious
Acres Served _____
No. of Lots Served _____

Control Structure location:_____

Type of Control Structure:
☐ Riser in vault
☐ Riser in Type II CB
☐ Weir in Type II CB

No. of Orifices/Restrictions _____
Size of Orifice/Restriction (in.) (numbered starting with lowest orifice): (inches in decimal format)
No.1 _____
No.2 _____
No.3 _____
No.4 _____

Dam Safety Regulations (WA State Dept of Ecology):

Reservoir Volume above natural grade		☐ cu.ft. ☐ ac.ft.
Depth of Reservoir above natural grade		(ft)

WATER QUALITY FACILITIES

Indicate no. of water quality facilities/BMPs for each type:

_____ Flow dispersion
_____ Filter strip
_____ Biofiltration swale ☐ *regular,* ☐ *wet or* ☐ *continuous inflow*
_____ Wetvault ☐ *combined w/detention*
_____ Wetpond ☐ *basic* ☐ *large* ☐ *combined w/detention*
_____ Pre-settling pond
_____ Stormwater wetland
_____ Sand filter ☐ *basic* ☐ *large* ☐ *regular* ☐ *linear* ☐ *vault*
Sand bed depth (inches) _____
● Is facility lined? ☐ yes ☐ no If so, what marker is used above liner? What type of liner is used?_____

Design Information

Water Quality design flow (cfs)
Water Quality treated volume (sandfilter) (cu.ft.)
Water Quality storage volume (wetpool) (cu.ft.)
☐ **Landscape management plan** ☐ **Farm management plan**

_____ High flow bypass structure (e.g., flow-splitter catch basin)
_____ Oil/water separator ☐ *baffle* ☐ *coalescing plate*
_____ Storm filter
_____ Pre-settling structure (Manufacturer:_____)
_____ Catch basin inserts (Manufacturer:_____)
_____ Source controls_____

Facility Summary Sheet Sketch: All detention, infiltration and water quality facilities must include a detailed sketch (11"x17" reduced size plan sheets preferred).

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-E

CSWPP WORKSHEET FORMS

ESC MAINTENANCE REPORT

Performed By: _____
Date: _____
Project Name: _____
DPER Permit #: _____

Clearing Limits

Damage	OK ____	Problem
Visible	OK ____	Problem
Intrusions	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Mulch

Rills/Gullies	OK ____	Problem
Thickness	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Nets/Blankets

Rills/Gullies	OK ____	Problem
Ground Contact	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Plastic

Tears/Gaps	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Seeding

Percent Cover	OK ____	Problem
Rills/Gullies	OK ____	Problem
Mulch	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Sodding

Grass Health	OK ____	Problem
Rills/Gullies	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Perimeter Protection including Silt Fence

Damage	OK ____	Problem
Sediment Build-up	OK ____	Problem
Concentrated Flow	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Flow Control BMP protection

Damage	OK ____	Problem
Sedimentation	OK ____	Problem
Concentrated Flow	OK ____	Problem
Rills/Gullies	OK ____	Problem
Intrusions	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Brush Barrier

Damage	OK ____	Problem
Sediment Build-up	OK ____	Problem
Concentrated Flow	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Vegetated Strip

Damage	OK ____	Problem
Sediment Build-up	OK ____	Problem
Concentrated Flow	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Construction Entrance

Dimensions	OK ____	Problem
Sediment Tracking	OK ____	Problem
Vehicle Avoidance	OK ____	Problem
Other	OK ____	Problem

Wheel Wash
- Dimensions OK Problem
- Sed build up or tracking OK……. Problem
- Other OK Problem

Construction Road
- Stable Driving Surf. OK ____ Problem
- Vehicle Avoidance OK ____ Problem
- Other OK ____ Problem

Sediment Trap/Pond
- Sed. Accumulation OK ____ Problem
- Overtopping OK ____ Problem
- Inlet/Outlet Erosion OK ____ Problem
- Other OK ____ Problem

Catch Basin/Inlet Protection
- Sed. Accumulation OK ____ Problem
- Damage OK ____ Problem
- Clogged Filter OK ____ Problem
- Other OK ____ Problem

Interceptor Dike/Swale
- Damage OK ____ Problem
- Sed. Accumulation OK ____ Problem
- Overtopping OK ____ Problem
- Other OK ____ Problem

Pipe Slope Drain
- Damage OK ____ Problem
- Inlet/Outlet OK ____ Problem
- Secure Fittings OK ____ Problem
- Other OK ____ Problem

Ditches
- Damage OK ____ Problem
- Sed. Accumulation OK ____ Problem
- Overtopping OK ____ Problem
- Other OK ____ Problem

Outlet Protection
- Scour OK ____ Problem
- Other OK ____ Problem

Level Spreader
- Damage OK ____ Problem
- Concentrated Flow OK ____ Problem
- Rills/Gullies OK ____ Problem
- Sed. Accumulation OK ____ Problem
- Other OK ____ Problem

Dewatering Controls
- Sediment OK.. Problem

Dust Control
- Palliative applied OK Problem

Miscellaneous
- Wet Season Stockpile OK ____ Problem
- Other OK ____ Problem

Comments:

Actions Taken:

Problems Unresolved:

BMP Implementation	Completed by: _____ Title: _____ Date: _____

Develop a plan for implementing each BMP. Describe the steps necessary to implement the BMP (i.e., any construction or design), the schedule for completing those steps (list dates), and the person(s) responsible for implementation.

BMPs	Description of Action(s) Required for Implementation	Scheduled Milestone and Completion Date(s)	Person Responsible for Action
Good Housekeeping	1.		
	2.		
	3		
Preventive Maintenance	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
Spill Prevention and Emergency Cleanup	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
Inspections	1.		
	2.		
	3.		

BMPs	Description of Action(s) Required for Implementation	Schedule Milestone and Completion Date(s)	Person Responsible for Action
Source Control BMPs	**1.**		
	2.		
	3		
	4.		
	5.		
	6.		
	7.		
	8.		
Treatment BMPs	**1.**		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
Emerging technologies	**1.**		
	2.		
Flow Control BMPs	**3.**		
	4.		

Pollution Prevention Team	**Completed by:** _____ **Title:** _____ **Date:** _____
Responsible Official:_____	Title:_____
Team Leader:_____	Office Phone:_____
	Cell Phone #:_____
	Pager #:_____
Responsibilities: _____ _____ _____	
(1)_____	Title:_____
	Office Phone:_____
	Pager #:_____
	Cell Phone:_____
Responsibilities: _____ _____ _____	
(2)_____	Title:_____
	Office Phone:_____
	Pager #:_____
	Cell Phone #:_____
Responsibilities: _____ _____ _____	

Employee Training	Completed by: _____ Title: _____ Date: _____

Describe the annual training of employees on the SWPPP, addressing spill response, good housekeeping, and material management practices.

Training Topics 1.) LINE WORKERS	Brief Description of Training Program/Materials (e.g., film, newsletter course)	Schedule for Training (list dates)	Attendees
Spill Prevention and Response			
Good Housekeeping			
Material Management Practices			
2.) P2 TEAM:			
SWPPP Implementation			
Monitoring Procedures			

List of Significant Spills and Leaks	Completed by:_____ Title:_____ Date:_____

List all spills and leaks of toxic or hazardous pollutants that were significant but are not limited to, release of oil or hazardous substances in excess of reportable quantities. Although not required, we suggest you list spills and leaks of non-hazardous materials.

Date (month/day/year)	Location (as indicated on site map)	Description				Response Procedure		Preventive Measure Taken
		Type of Material	Quantity	Source, If Known	Reason for Spill/Leak	Amount of Material Recovered	Material No longer exposed to Stormwater (Yes/No)	

Potential Pollutant Source Identification	**Completed by:** _____ **Title:** _____ **Date:** _____

List all potential stormwater pollutants from materials handled, treated, or stored on-site.

Potential Stormwater Pollutant	Stormwater Pollutant Source	Likelihood of pollutant being present in your stormwater discharge. If yes, explain

Material Inventory	Completed by:_____ Title:_____ Date:_____

List materials handled, treated, stored, or disposed of at the project site that may potentially be exposed to precipitation or runoff.

Material	Purpose/Location	Quantity (Units) (indicate per/wk. or yr.)				Likelihood of contact with stormwater If Yes, describe reason		Past Spill or Leak	
		Used	Produced	Stored				Yes	No

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-F

ADJUSTMENT APPLICATION AND PROCESS GUIDELINES

REFERENCE 8-F

ADJUSTMENT PROCESS GUIDELINES AND REQUIREMENTS

1.0 PREAPPLICATION ADJUSTMENT PROCESS

SWDM 1.4 and 1.4.3. state that "Requests for standard adjustments will be accepted only for a permit pending approval or approved permits that have not yet expired." However, there are times where the feasibility of the project is dependent upon approval of a drainage adjustment. Therefore, the King County Department of Local Services (DLS) Permitting Division (Permitting) may accept a request for a drainage adjustment if it determines that a drainage adjustment decision is needed to determine the feasibility of the project.

Requests for a drainage adjustment accepted prior to application for a development permit. are processed in the same way as that described for a standard adjustment in SWDM 1.4.3, except that a preapplication meeting is required unless waived by DLS-Permitting. The preapplication fee will be charged along with the standard adjustment fee.

If approved the drainage adjustment will be filed by DLS-Permitting until application is received for the development permit. The drainage adjustment will then be attached to the development permit. Approval conditions of the drainage adjustment shall also apply to the development permit. The drainage adjustment shall expire along with the attached development permit. Unattached drainage adjustments shall expire one year from the date the drainage adjustment was approved.

Commented [DB1]: Preapplication Process wholesale change (deletion & insertion) from Scott Smith at DLS-Permitting. Email from SS to DB. attachment 12/16/2020.

Commented [DB2]: Note to MW:
Redundant. Can we delete it here since it's stated in 1.4.3?

2.0 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN ADJUSTMENT MONITORING

Water quality facilities other than those identified in Chapter 6, Reference 14-A, or Reference 14-B may be allowed on an experimental basis if it can be demonstrated that they are likely to meet the pollutant removal goal for the applicable receiving water. An experimental design adjustment is required, and monitoring is required for these.

Commented [DB3]: Essentially all in Section 1.4

Commented [DB4]: Application process is in Section 1.4

Monitoring Requirements

For all experimental design adjustments

- All data and reports are to be submitted to King County DNRP/WLRD

Monitoring requirements for flow control facilities

- There are no Ecology or King County protocols for monitoring flow control facilities. Operations and maintenance monitoring requirements are almost certain, and flow monitoring could be required. Requirement specifics are at WLRD's discretion.

Monitoring requirements for erosion and sediment control (ESC) facilities and BMPs

- Monitoring must at a minimum duplicate CTAPE[1] protocols. Monitoring may also include operation and maintenance aspects as deemed necessary by WLRD.
- CTAPE notwithstanding, Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) production, monitoring, and preparation of the Technical Evaluation Report must all be performed by an independent third party.
- CTAPE notwithstanding, the facility is to be monitored independently, i.e., results may not be pooled with results from another site to meet sample size necessary to meet statistical targets.

Monitoring requirements for facilities with WA Ecology GULD or CULD designation

Commented [DB5]: Moved to 1.4.2, Criteria for granting Adjustments

- Monitoring must at a minimum duplicate TAPE[1] protocols. Monitoring may also include operation and maintenance aspects as deemed necessary by WLRD.
- TAPE notwithstanding, Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) production, monitoring, and preparation of the Technical Evaluation Report must all be performed by an independent third party.
- TAPE notwithstanding, the facility is to be monitored independently, i.e., results may not be pooled with results from another site to meet sample size necessary to meet statistical targets.

Additional monitoring requirements specific to facilities with only CULD designation

- The Applicant must coordinate with WA Ecology as well as King County DNRP/WLRD.
- All data and reports are to be submitted to WA Ecology in addition to DNRP/WLRD.

3.0 FEE REDUCTION

This process is used for adjustments that are determined to meet the conditions identified below. The DLS-Permitting Director or designee shall be responsible for making the determination for a fee reduction.

[1] See https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/Guidance-technical-assistance/Stormwater-permittee-guidance-resources/Emerging-stormwater-treatment-technologies for both TAPE and CTAPE; the latter is now covered under Construction Treatment, and Approved Technologies – Construction. A WA Ecology contact is listed at the bottom of the web page.

Minor adjustment requests that are defined as issues requiring no engineering review to determine appropriateness. These include:

- New or revised standard specifications for engineering and construction which are cited in the Manual (e.g., APWA standard specifications for public works construction, WSDOT standard specifications),
- Minor design alternatives that meet the stated intent in the Manual,
- Identified errors in the Manual.

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-G

DEDICATION AND INDEMNIFICATION CLAUSE – FINAL RECORDING

Sample Dedication and Indemnification Clause - Subdivision

KNOW ALL PEOPLE BY THESE PRESENTS that we, the undersigned owners of interest in the land hereby subdivided, hereby declare this plat to be the graphic representation of the subdivision made hereby, and do hereby dedicate to the use of the public forever all streets and avenues not shown as private hereon and dedicate the use thereof for all public purposes not inconsistent with the use thereof for public highway purposes, and also the right to make all necessary slopes for cuts and fills upon the lots shown thereon in the original reasonable grading of said streets and avenues, and further dedicate to the use of the public all the easements and tracts shown on this plat for all public purposes as indicated thereon, including but not limited to parks, open space, utilities and drainage unless such easements or tracts are specifically identified on this plat as being dedicated or conveyed to a person or entity other than the public, in which case we do hereby dedicate such streets, easements, or tracts to the person or entity identified and for the purpose stated.

Further, the undersigned owners of the land hereby subdivided, waive for themselves, their heirs and assigns and any person or entity deriving title from the undersigned, any and all claims for damages against King County, its successors and assigns which may be occasioned by the establishment, construction, or maintenance of roads and/or drainage systems within this subdivision other than claims resulting from inadequate maintenance by King County.

Further, the undersigned owners of the land hereby subdivided, agree for themselves, their heirs and assigns to indemnify and hold King County, its successors and assigns, harmless from any damage, including any costs of defense, claimed by persons within or without this subdivision to have been caused by alterations of the ground surface, vegetation, drainage, or surface or sub-surface water flows within this subdivision or by establishment, construction or maintenance of the roads within this subdivision. Provided, this waiver and indemnification shall not be construed as releasing King County, its successors or assigns, from liability for damages, including the cost of defense, resulting in whole or in part from the negligence of King County, its successors, or assigns.

This subdivision, dedication, waiver of claims and agreement to hold harmless is made with the free consent and in accordance with the desires of said owners.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF we set our hands and seals.

_____	_____
Name	Name
_____	_____
Name	Name
_____	_____
Name	Name

State of Washington
County of _____

I certify that I know or have satisfactory evidence that

_____

Signed the instrument and acknowledged it to be (his/her) free and voluntary act for the uses and purposes mentioned in the instrument.

Sample Dedication and Indemnification Clause – Short Subdivision

KNOW ALL PEOPLE BY THESE PRESENTS that we, the undersigned owners of interest in the land hereby short subdivided, hereby declare this short plat to be the graphic representation of the short subdivision made hereby, and do hereby dedicate to the use of the public forever all streets and avenues not shown as private hereon and dedicate the use thereof for all public purposes not inconsistent with the use thereof for public highway purposes, and also the right to make all necessary slopes for cuts and fills upon the lots shown thereon in the original reasonable grading of said streets and avenues, and further dedicate to the use of the public all the easements and tracts shown on this plat for all public purposes as indicated thereon, including but not limited to parks, open space, utilities and drainage unless such easements or tracts are specifically identified on this plat as being dedicated or conveyed to a person or entity other than the public, in which case we do hereby dedicate such streets, easements, or tracts to the person or entity identified and for the purpose stated.

Further, the undersigned owners of the land hereby short subdivided, waive for themselves, their heirs and assigns and any person or entity deriving title from the undersigned, any and all claims for damages against King County, its successors and assigns which may be occasioned by the establishment, construction, or maintenance of roads and/or drainage systems within this short subdivision other than claims resulting from inadequate maintenance by King County.

Further, the undersigned owners of the land hereby short subdivided, agree for themselves, their heirs and assigns to indemnify and hold King County, its successors and assigns, harmless from any damage, including any costs of defense, claimed by persons within or without this short subdivision to have been caused by alterations of the ground surface, vegetation, drainage, or surface or sub-surface water flows within this short subdivision or by establishment, construction or maintenance of the roads within this short subdivision. Provided, this waiver and indemnification shall not be construed as releasing King County, its successors or assigns, from liability for damages, including the cost of defense, resulting in whole or in part from the negligence of King County, its successors, or assigns.

This subdivision, dedication, waiver of claims and agreement to hold harmless is made with the free consent and in accordance with the desires of said owners.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF we set our hands and seals.

_____	_____
Name	Name
_____	_____
Name	Name
_____	_____
Name	Name

State of Washington
County of _____

I certify that I know or have satisfactory evidence that

_____

Signed the instrument and acknowledged it to be (his/her) free and voluntary act for the uses and purposes mentioned in the instrument.

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-H
BOND QUANTITIES WORKSHEET

See the current version at:

DLS-Permitting (see kingcounty.gov/depts/local-services/permits/infosheets-forms/permit-application-forms-title.#S .

Select "Site Improvement Bond Quantity Worksheet"

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-I

MAINTENANCE AND DEFECT AGREEMENT

For the current version, contact the Financial Guarantees Management Unit at the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division at (206) 296-6600 and FGMU.DDES@kingcounty.gov.

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-J

DECLARATION OF COVENANT

RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND
WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

_____

_____

_____

_____

DECLARATION OF COVENANT

FOR INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE OF STORMWATER FACILITIES AND BMPS

Grantor: _____

Grantee: King County

Legal Description: _____

_____

_____

Additional Legal(s) on: _____

Assessor's Tax Parcel ID#: _____

IN CONSIDERATION of the approved King County _____ permit for application No. _____ relating to the real property ("Property") described above, the Grantor(s), the owner(s) in fee of that Property, hereby covenants(covenant) with King County, a political subdivision of the state of Washington and its municipal successors in interest and assigns ("King County" and "the County", or "its municipal successor"), that he/she(they) will observe, consent to, and abide by the conditions and obligations set forth and described in Paragraphs 1 through 10 below

with regard to the Property, and hereby grants(grant) an easement as described in Paragraphs 2 and 3. Grantor(s) hereby grants(grant), covenants(covenant), and agrees(agree) as follows:

1. The Grantor(s) or his/her(their) successors in interest and assigns ("Owners") shall at their own cost, operate, maintain, and keep in good repair, the Property's stormwater facilities and best management practices ("BMPs") identified in the plans and specifications submitted to King County for the review and approval of permit(s) #: _____ . Stormwater facilities include pipes, swales, tanks, vaults, ponds, and other engineered structures designed to manage stormwater on the Property. Stormwater BMPs include dispersion and infiltration devices, native vegetated areas, permeable pavements, vegetated roofs, rainwater harvesting systems, reduced impervious surface coverage, and other measures designed to reduce the amount of stormwater runoff on the Property.

2. King County shall have the right to ingress and egress over those portions of the Property necessary to perform inspections of the stormwater facilities and BMPs and conduct other activities specified in this Declaration of Covenant and in accordance with King County Code ("KCC") 9.04.120 or relevant municipal successor's codes as applicable. This right of ingress and egress, right to inspect, and right to perform required maintenance or repair as provided for in Section 3 below, shall not extend over those portions of the Property shown in Exhibit "A."

3. If King County determines that maintenance or repair work is required to be done to any of the stormwater facilities or BMPs, the Director of the Water and Land Resources Division or its municipal successor in interest ("WLR") shall give notice of the specific maintenance and/or repair work required pursuant to KCC 9.04.120 or relevant municipal successor's codes as applicable. The Director shall also set a reasonable time in which such work is to be completed by the Owners. If the above required maintenance or repair is not completed within the time set by the Director, the County may perform the required maintenance or repair, and hereby is given access to the Property, subject to the exclusion in Paragraph 2 above, for such purposes. Written notice will be sent to the Owners stating the County's intention to perform such work. This work will not commence until at least seven (7) days after such

notice is mailed. If, within the sole discretion of the WLR Director, there exists an imminent or present danger, the seven (7) day notice period will be waived and maintenance and/or repair work will begin immediately.

4. If at any time King County reasonably determines that a stormwater facility or BMP on the Property creates any of the hazardous conditions listed in KCC 9.04.130 or relevant municipal successor's codes as applicable and herein incorporated by reference, the WLR Director or equivalent municipal successors official may take measures specified therein.

5. The Owners shall assume all responsibility for the cost of any maintenance or repair work completed by the County as described in Paragraph 3 or any measures taken by the County to address hazardous conditions as described in Paragraph 4. Such responsibility shall include reimbursement to the County within thirty (30) days of the receipt of the invoice for any such work performed. Overdue payments will require payment of interest at the current legal rate as liquidated damages. If legal action ensues, the prevailing party is entitled to costs or fees.

6. The Owners are hereby required to obtain written approval from the King County WLR Director prior to filling, piping, cutting, or removing vegetation (except in routine landscape maintenance) in open vegetated stormwater facilities (such as swales, channels, ditches, ponds, etc.), or performing any alterations or modifications to the stormwater facilities and BMPs referenced in this Declaration of Covenant.

7. Any notice or consent required to be given or otherwise provided for by the provisions of this Agreement shall be effective upon personal delivery, or three (3) days after mailing by Certified Mail, return receipt requested.

8. With regard to the matters addressed herein, this agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties, and supersedes all prior discussions, negotiations, and all agreements whatsoever whether oral or written.

9. This Declaration of Covenant is intended to protect the value and desirability of the real property described above, and shall inure to the benefit of all the citizens of King County and its

municipal successors and assigns. This Declaration of Covenant shall run with the land and be binding upon Grantor(s), and Grantor's(s') successors in interest, and assigns.

10. This Declaration of Covenant may be terminated by execution of a written agreement by the Owners and King County or the municipal successor that is recorded by King County in its real property records.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Declaration of Covenant for the Inspection and Maintenance of Stormwater Facilities and BMPs is executed this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____
GRANTOR, owner of the Property

_____
GRANTOR, owner of the Property

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
COUNTY OF KING)ss.

On this day personally appeared before me:

_____, to me known to be the individual(s) described in and who executed the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged that they signed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed, for the uses and purposes therein stated.

Given under my hand and official seal this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____

_____
Printed name
Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at

_____

My appointment expires _____

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-K

DRAINAGE RELEASE COVENANT

RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND
WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

_____

_____

_____

_____

DECLARATION OF COVENANT

FOR DRAINAGE RELEASE

Grantor: _____

Grantee: King County

Legal Description: _____

_____

_____

Additional Legal(s) on: _____

Assessor's Tax Parcel ID#: _____

THIS DECLARATION OF COVENANT FOR DRAINAGE RELEASE is made by and between Grantor and Grantee.

WHEREAS, the Grantor(s) represents(represent) and warrants(warrant) that he/she(they) is(are) the owner(s) in fee of that certain parcel of land, described above, and

WHEREAS, King County, a political subdivision of the State of Washington, is implementing an approved drainage plan for the project known as _____, permit no. _____, on lands located at the above description, which said plan shall divert surface and storm waters from their natural course and cause them to flow (onto)/(away from) the lands of Grantor(s);

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of either Grantee approval of diversion by said plan and/or other valuable consideration, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Grantor hereby willfully acknowledges, agrees, and consents to the diversion of surface and storm waters (onto)/(away from) its lands and to hold and release Grantee harmless for any damage that may be caused by such diversion of flow. This release shall be a covenant running with the land and shall be binding upon the Grantee, its heirs, successors, and assigns forever.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Declaration of Covenant for Drainage Release is executed this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____
GRANTOR, owner of the Property

_____
GRANTOR, owner of the Property

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
COUNTY OF KING)ss.

On this day personally appeared before me:

_____, to me known to be the individual(s) described in and who executed the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged that they signed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed, for the uses and purposes therein stated.

Given under my hand and official seal this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____

_____
Printed name
Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at

_____

My appointment expires _____

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-L
DRAINAGE EASEMENT

RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND
WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

_____

_____

_____

_____

DRAINAGE EASEMENT

Grantor: _____

Grantee: King County

Legal Description: _____

_____

_____

Additional Legal(s) on: _____

Assessor's Tax Parcel ID#: _____

FOR A VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Grantor(s), the owner(s) in fee of that certain parcel of land, described above, hereby grant and convey a(an) [exclusive/non-exclusive] easement (attached and incorporated as Exhibit "A") to Grantee, King County, a political subdivision of the state of Washington and its municipal successors in interest and assigns ("King County" and "the County", or "its municipal successor"), for the purpose of conveying, storing, managing, and facilitating surface and storm water per an engineering plan approved by King County for the project known as: _____

_____,

together with the right for King County to enter said drainage easement at reasonable times for the purpose of inspecting, operating, maintaining, repairing, and improving the drainage facilities contained herein. Note that except for facilities which have been formally accepted for maintenance by King County, maintenance and repair of drainage facilities on private property is the responsibility of the property owner.

The Grantor(s) of said parcel is (are) required to obtain prior written approval from the Water and Land Resources Division of the King County Department of Natural Resources prior to filling, piping, cutting, or removing vegetation (except for routine landscape maintenance such as lawn mowing) in open vegetated drainage facilities (such as swales, channels, ditches, ponds, etc.), or performing any alterations or modifications to the drainage facilities, contained within said drainage easement.

This easement is intended to facilitate reasonable access to the drainage facilities. It is binding upon the Grantor(s), its heirs, successors, and assigns.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Drainage Easement is executed this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____
GRANTOR, owner of the Property

_____
GRANTOR, owner of the Property

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
COUNTY OF KING)ss.

On this day personally appeared before me:

_____, to me known to be the individual(s) described in and who executed the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged that they signed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed, for the uses and purposes therein stated.

Given under my hand and official seal this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____

_____
Printed name
Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at

_____

My appointment expires _____

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-M

FLOW CONTROL BMP DECLARATION OF COVENANT AND GRANT OF EASEMENT

BMP MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS (RECORDABLE FORMAT)

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR FULL DISPERSION

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called ***"full dispersion."***

Full dispersion is a strategy for minimizing the area disturbed by development (i.e., impervious or non-native pervious surfaces, such as concrete areas, roofs, and lawns) relative to native vegetated areas (e.g., forested surface) together with the application of dispersion techniques that utilize the natural capacity of the native vegetated areas to mitigate the stormwater runoff quantity and quality impacts of the developed surfaces.

This flow control BMP has two primary components that must be maintained:

(1) the devices that disperse runoff from the developed surfaces, and

(2) the native vegetated area and flowpath receiving the dispersed runoff.

Dispersion Devices

The **dispersion devices** used on your property include the following as indicated on the flow control BMP site plan (CHECK THE BOX(ES) THAT APPLY):

❑ splash blocks, ❑ rock pads, ❑ gravel filled trenches, ❑ sheet flow.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

The size, placement, composition, and downstream flowpaths of these devices as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

INSPECTION FREQUENCY AND MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES

- Dispersion devices must be inspected annually and after major storm events to identify and repair any physical defects.
- When native soil is exposed or erosion channels are present, the sources of the erosion or concentrated flow need to be identified and mitigated.
- Bare spots should be re-vegetated with native vegetation.
- Concentrated flow can be mitigated by leveling the edge of the pervious area and/or regrading or replenishing the rock in the dispersion device, such as in rock pads and gravel-filled trenches.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These full dispersion flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

TYPICAL FULL DISPERSION APPLICATIONS



SIDE VIEW
NTS



PLAN VIEW OF ROOF
NTS



PLAN VIEW
NTS

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR FULL INFILTRATION

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called ***"full infiltration,"*** which was installed to mitigate the stormwater quantity and quality impacts of some or all of the impervious surfaces on your property.

Full infiltration is a method of soaking runoff from impervious area (such as paved areas and roofs) into the ground. If properly installed and maintained, full infiltration can manage runoff so that a majority of precipitation events are absorbed. Infiltration devices, such as gravel filled trenches, drywells, and ground surface depressions, facilitate this process by putting runoff in direct contact with the soil and holding the runoff long enough to soak most of it into the ground. To be successful, the soil condition around the infiltration device must be reliably able to soak water into the ground for a reasonable number of years.

Infiltration Devices

The **infiltration devices** used on your property include the following as indicated on the flow control BMP site plan (CHECK THE BOX(ES) THAT APPLY):

❑ gravel filled trenches, ❑ drywells, ❑ ground surface depressions.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

The size, placement, and composition of these devices as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

INSPECTION FREQUENCY AND MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES

To be successful, the soil condition around the infiltration device must be reliably able to soak water into the ground for a reasonable number of years.

- Infiltration devices must be inspected annually and after major storm events to identify and repair any physical defects.
- Maintenance and operation of the system should focus on ensuring the system's viability by preventing sediment-laden flows from entering the device. Excessive sedimentation will result in a plugged or non-functioning facility.
- If the infiltration device has a catch basin, sediment accumulation must be removed on a yearly basis or more frequently if necessary.
- Prolonged ponding around or atop a device may indicate a plugged facility. If the device becomes plugged, it must be replaced.
- Keeping the areas that drain to infiltration devices well swept and clean will enhance the longevity of these devices.
- For roofs, frequent cleaning of gutters will reduce sediment loads to these devices.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These full infiltration flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

TYPICAL FULL INFILTRATION APPLICATIONS



PLAN VIEW
NTS



SECTION VIEW
NTS



SECTION A
NTS



SECTION
NTS



PLAN VIEW
NTS



X-SECTION
NTS



X-SECTION
NTS

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR A RAIN GARDEN

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called a ***"rain garden,"*** which was installed to mitigate the stormwater quantity and quality impacts of some or all of the impervious or non-native pervious surfaces on your property.

Rain gardens, often described as "bioretention," are vegetated closed depressions or ponds that retain and filter stormwater from an area of impervious surface or non-native pervious surface. The soil in the rain garden has been enhanced to encourage and support vigorous plant growth that serves to filter the water and sustain infiltration capacity. Depending on soil conditions, rain gardens may have water in them throughout the wet season and may overflow during major storm events.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

The size, placement, and design of the rain garden as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County. Plant materials may be changed to suit tastes, but chemical fertilizers and pesticides must not be used.

INSPECTION FREQUENCY AND MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES

- Rain gardens must be inspected annually for physical defects and sediment accumulation.
- After major storm events, the system should be checked to see that the overflow system is working properly and sedimentation is not occurring at the inlet. If erosion channels or bare spots are evident, they should be stabilized with soil, plant material, mulch, or landscape rock. Sediment deposits should be carefully removed and the sediment source eliminated.
- A supplemental watering program may be needed the first year to ensure the long-term survival of the rain garden's vegetation.
- Chemical fertilizers and pesticides must not be used.
- Mulch may be added and additional compost should be worked into the soil over time. Mulch must comply with Reference 11-C. Compost must comply with Reference 11-C Type 1 Compost.
- Plant materials may be changed to suit tastes.
- Vegetation should be maintained as follows:

  1) replace all dead vegetation as soon as possible;

  2) remove fallen leaves and debris as needed;

  3) remove all noxious vegetation when discovered;

  4) manually weed without herbicides or pesticides;

  5) to protect infiltration performance, do not compact soils in the bioretention cell with heavy maintenance equipment and/or excessive foot traffic;

  6) during drought conditions, use mulch to prevent excess solar damage and water loss.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These rain garden flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

TYPICAL RAIN GARDEN (SPILLWAY OR CATCHBASIN OUTLET)



PLAN VIEW
NTS



SECTION A-A
NTS



PLAN VIEW
NTS

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR A BIORETENTION CELL

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called ***"bioretention,"*** which was installed to mitigate the stormwater quantity and quality impacts of some or all of the impervious or non-native pervious surfaces on your property.

Bioretention cells, like rain gardens, are vegetated closed depressions or ponds that retain and filter stormwater from an area of impervious surface or non-native pervious surface. Bioretention cells rely on effective infiltration performance more so than rain gardens. The soil in the bioretention cell has been enhanced to encourage and support vigorous plant growth that serves to filter the water and sustain a minimum infiltration capacity. Depending on soil conditions, bioretention cells may have water in them throughout the wet season and may overflow during major storm events. However, standing water can also be an indicator that periodic maintenance is required to sustain infiltrative performance.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

The size, placement, and design of the rain garden as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County. Plant materials may be changed to suit tastes, but chemical fertilizers and pesticides must not be used.

INSPECTION FREQUENCY AND MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES

- Bioretention cells must be inspected annually for physical defects and sediment accumulation.
- After major storm events, the system should be checked to see that the overflow system is working properly, and sedimentation is not occurring at the inlet. If erosion channels or bare spots are evident, they should be stabilized with soil, plant material, mulch, or landscape rock. Sediment deposits should be carefully removed, and the sediment source eliminated.
- A supplemental watering program may be needed the first year to ensure the long-term survival of the bioretention cells' vegetation.
- Chemical fertilizers and pesticides must not be used.
- Mulch may be added, and additional compost should be worked into the soil over time. Mulch must comply with Reference 11-C. Compost must comply with Reference 11-C Type 1 Compost.
- Plant materials may be changed to suit tastes.
- Vegetation should be maintained as follows:

  1) replace all dead vegetation as soon as possible;

  2) remove fallen leaves and debris as needed;

  3) remove all noxious vegetation when discovered;

  4) manually weed without herbicides or pesticides;

  5) to protect infiltration performance, do not compact soils in the bioretention cell with heavy maintenance equipment and/or excessive foot traffic;

  6) during drought conditions, use mulch to prevent excess solar damage and water loss.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These bioretention flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

TYPICAL BIORETENTION CELL (SPILLWAY OR CATCHBASIN OUTLET)



PLAN VIEW
NTS



SECTION A-A
NTS



PLAN VIEW
NTS

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR VEGETATED PERMEABLE PAVEMENT (GRASSED MODULAR GRID PAVEMENT)

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called ***"grassed modular grid pavement,"*** which was installed to minimize the stormwater quantity and quality impacts of some or all of the paved surfaces on your property.

Grassed modular grid pavement has the runoff characteristics of a lawn while providing the weight-bearing capacity of concrete pavement. The grassed surface not only minimizes runoff quantity, it helps to filter pollutants generating by vehicular use of the surface.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

The composition and area of grassed modular grid pavement as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

INSPECTION FREQUENCY AND MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES

- Grassed modular grid pavement must be inspected after one major storm each year to make sure it is working properly. More frequent inspection is recommended.
- Prolonged ponding or standing water on the pavement surface is a sign that the system is defective and may need to be replaced. If this occurs, or if any modification, surface restoration or stabilization is planned (except for mowing and periodic maintenance), contact the pavement installer or the King County Water and Land Resources Division for further instructions.
- The grassed surface of the pavement must be regularly mowed and maintained in a good condition. Bare spots must be replanted in the spring or fall.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These vegetated permeable pavement flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Permitting and Environmental Services (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR PERMEABLE PAVEMENT (NON-VEGETATED)

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called ***"permeable pavement,"*** which was installed to minimize the stormwater quantity and quality impacts of some or all of the paved surfaces on your property.

Permeable pavements reduce the amount of rainfall that becomes runoff by allowing water to seep through the pavement into a free-draining gravel or sand bed, where it can be infiltrated into the ground.

Permeable Pavements

The type(s) of **permeable pavement** used on your property is (CHECK THE BOX(ES) THAT APPLY):

- ❑ porous concrete
- ❑ porous asphaltic concrete
- ❑ permeable pavers
- ❑ modular grid pavement.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

The area covered by permeable pavement as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained as permeable pavement and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

INSPECTION FREQUENCY AND MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES

- Permeable pavements must be inspected after one major storm each year to make sure it is working properly. More frequent inspection is recommended.
- Prolonged ponding or standing water on the pavement surface is a sign that the system is defective and may need to be replaced. If this occurs, contact the pavement installer or the King County Water and Land Resources Division for further instructions.
- A typical permeable pavement system has a life expectancy of approximately 25-years. To help extend the useful life of the system, the surface of the permeable pavement should be kept clean, stable and free of leaves, debris, and sediment through regular sweeping or vacuum sweeping. Aggregate fill in modular grid pavement may need periodic surface replenishment.
- The owner is responsible for the repair of all ruts, deformation, and/or broken paving units.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These permeable pavement flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR BASIC DISPERSION

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called ***"basic dispersion,"*** which was installed to mitigate the stormwater quantity and quality impacts of some or all of the impervious surfaces or non-native pervious surfaces on your property.

Basic dispersion is a strategy for utilizing any available capacity of onsite vegetated areas to retain, absorb, and filter the runoff from developed surfaces. This flow control BMP has two primary components that must be maintained:

(1) the devices that disperse runoff from the developed surfaces and

(2) the vegetated area over which runoff is dispersed.

Dispersion Devices

The **dispersion devices** used on your property include the following as indicated on the flow control BMP site plan (CHECK THE BOX(ES) THAT APPLY):

❑ splash blocks, ❑ rock pads, ❑ gravel filled trenches, ❑ sheet flow.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

The size, placement, composition, and downstream flow-paths of these devices as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

INSPECTION FREQUENCY AND MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES

This flow control BMP has two primary components that must be maintained:

(1) the devices that disperse runoff from the developed surfaces and

(2) the vegetated flowpath area over which runoff is dispersed.

Maintenance of Dispersion Devices

- Dispersion devices must be inspected annually and after major storm events to identify and repair any physical defects.
- When native soil is exposed or erosion channels are present, the sources of the erosion or concentrated flow need to be identified and mitigated.
- Concentrated flow can be mitigated by leveling the edge of the pervious area and/or realigning or replenishing the rocks in the dispersion device, such as in rock pads and gravel filled trenches.

Maintenance of Vegetated Flowpaths

- The vegetated area over which runoff is dispersed must be maintained in good condition free of bare spots and obstructions that would concentrate flows.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These basic dispersion flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

TYPICAL SPLASH BLOCK	TYPICAL 10-FOOT DISPERSION TRENCH CROSS-SECTION



SIDE VIEW
NTS



TRENCH X-SECTION
NTS

TYPICAL DRIVEWAY APPLICATION OF DISPERSION TRENCH AND ROCK PAD



PLAN VIEW OF DRIVEWAY

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR LIMITED INFILTRATION

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called ***"limited infiltration,"*** which was installed to mitigate the stormwater quantity and quality impacts of some or all of the impervious surfaces on your property.

Limited infiltration is a method of soaking runoff from impervious area (such as paved areas and roofs) into the ground. Infiltration devices, such as gravel filled trenches, drywells, and ground surface depressions, facilitate this process by putting runoff in direct contact with the soil and holding the runoff long enough to soak most of it into the ground. To be successful, the soil condition around the infiltration device must be able to soak water into the ground for a reasonable number of years.

Infiltration Devices

The **infiltration devices** used on your property include the following as indicated on the flow control BMP site plan (CHECK THE BOX(ES) THAT APPLY):

❑ gravel filled trenches, ❑ drywells.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

The size, placement, and composition of these devices as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

INSPECTION FREQUENCY AND MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES

To be successful, the soil condition around the infiltration device must be able to soak water into the ground for a reasonable number of years.

- Infiltration devices must be inspected annually and after major storm events to identify and repair any physical defects.
- Maintenance and operation of the system should focus on ensuring the system's viability by preventing sediment-laden flows from entering the device. Excessive sedimentation will result in a plugged or non-functioning facility.
- If the infiltration device has a catch basin, sediment accumulation must be removed on a yearly basis or more frequently if necessary.
- Prolonged ponding around or atop a device may indicate a plugged facility. If the device becomes plugged, it must be replaced.
- Keeping the areas that drain to infiltration devices well swept and clean will enhance the longevity of these devices.
- For roofs, frequent cleaning of gutters will reduce sediment loads to these devices.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These limited infiltration flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

TYPICAL LIMITED INFILTRATION APPLICATIONS



PLAN VIEW
NTS



SECTION VIEW
NTS



SECTION A
NTS



SECTION
NTS



PLAN VIEW
NTS



X-SECTION
NTS



NOTE:
SEE C.2.2.3 FOR TRENCH LENGTHS, TRENCH SPACING AND SITE LIMITATIONS

X-SECTION
NTS

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR RAINWATER HARVESTING

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called ***"rainwater harvesting,"*** which was installed to minimize the stormwater runoff impacts of impervious surface on your property.

Rainwater harvesting is a means for the collection and storage of roof runoff for domestic or irrigation use. **Rainwater harvesting systems** include a collection area, a filtering system, a storage device, and an outflow device.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

The size, components, and configuration of the rainwater system as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

INSPECTION FREQUENCY AND MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES

Rainwater harvesting systems include a *collection area*, a *filtering system*, a *storage device*, and an *outflow device*:

- The *collection area* (e.g., roof) should be routinely inspected for debris and other material that could impede the entrance and/or exit of surface flows.
- The *filtering system* should be periodically inspected for effectiveness and replaced or replenished as recommended by the manufacturer.
- The *storage device* must be drained completely during the dry season (May 1st - September 30th) in order to provide the needed capacity for an entire wet season.
- A maintenance log should be kept on site with the aforementioned information and dates of maintenance performance. King County inspection staff may request to view the maintenance log at any time.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These rainwater harvesting flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

RAINWATER HARVESTING SYSTEM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS:

- To assure the system functions as designed and provides the required stormwater management, system-specific maintenance and operation instructions must be submitted with the small project drainage plan and approved by DLS-Permitting. Such instructions should be prepared by the system's manufacturer or installer.
- A minimum 5-foot setback shall be maintained between any part of the rainwater harvesting system and any property line.

TYPICAL ABOVE GROUND RESERVOIR CONFIGURATION (STENSROD, 1978)



VARIOUS POSSIBLE RESERVOIR CONFIGURATIONS (TYPICAL) (STENSROD, 1978)



RESERVOIR ABOVE GROUND
(INSULATE IF NECESSARY)



PARTIALLY BURIED RESERVOIR



RESERVOIR BURIED OUTSIDE
BASEMENT



RESERVOIR IN BASEMENT

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR VEGETATED ROOFS

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called a ***"vegetated roof,"*** which was installed to minimize the stormwater runoff impacts of the impervious surfaces on your property.

Vegetated roofs (also called green roofs) consist of a pervious growing medium, plants, and a moisture barrier. The benefits of this device are a reduction in runoff peaks and volumes due to the storage capabilities of the soil and increased rate of evapotranspiration.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

- The composition and area of vegetated roof as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.
- Vegetated roofs must not be subject to any use that would significantly compact the soil.

INSPECTION FREQUENCY AND MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES

Vegetated roofs (also called green roofs) consist of a *pervious growing medium*, *plants*, and a *moisture barrier*:

- Vegetated roofs must be inspected annually for physical defects and to make sure the vegetation is in good condition.
- If erosion channels or bare spots are evident, they should be stabilized with additional soil similar to the original material.
- A supplemental watering program may be needed the first year to ensure the long-term survival of the roof's vegetation.
- Vegetation should be maintained as follows:

  (1) vegetated roofs must not be subject to any use that would significantly compact the soil;

  (2) replace all dead vegetation as soon as possible;

  (3) remove fallen leaves and debris;

  (4) remove all noxious vegetation when discovered;

  (5) manually weed without herbicides or pesticides.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These vegetated roof flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

TYPICAL VEGETATED ROOF CROSS-SECTION



Note:
This example shows a two-part prefabricated soil sheet drain and protection board.

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR REDUCED IMPERVIOUS SURFACE BMP: *RESTRICTED FOOTPRINT*

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) known as ***"restricted footprint,"*** the practice of *restricting the amount of impervious surface that may be added* to a property so as to minimize the stormwater runoff impacts caused by impervious surface.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

The **total impervious surface** on your property **may not exceed** _____ square feet without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These reduced impervious surface flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR REDUCED IMPERVIOUS SURFACE BMP: *WHEEL STRIP DRIVEWAY*

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called a ***"wheel strip driveway,"*** which was installed to minimize or mitigate for the stormwater runoff impacts of some or all of the impervious surfaces on your property.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

The placement and composition of the wheel strip driveway as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These reduced impervious surface flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

WHEEL STRIP DRIVEWAY DESIGN REQUIREMENTS for the typical 10-foot driveway width:

- The two **pavement strips** must be no more than 2.5-feet wide.
- At least 4 feet of the 10-foot driveway width must be **amended soil planted with grass**.
- The **amended soil** must consist of at least 4 inches of well-rotted compost tilled into the upper 8 inches of the soil between the impervious strips.

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR REDUCED IMPERVIOUS SURFACE BMP: *MINIMUM DISTURBANCE FOUNDATION*

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) known as a ***"minimum disturbance foundation,"*** which was installed to minimize or mitigate for the stormwater runoff impacts of some or all of the impervious surfaces on your property.

This means that all or a portion of the finished living space in your house is elevated over a pervious surface through the use of piers or piles. The pervious surface is intended to provide additional capacity to absorb and store the stormwater runoff from your roof and surrounding areas.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

- The design of this system as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.
- In addition, the pervious surface beneath the elevated portion of your house must not be used in manner that compacts the soil or provides an opportunity for pollutants to enter the soil or storm runoff.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These reduced impervious surface flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

MINIMUM DISTURBANCE FOUNDATION DESIGN REQUIREMENTS:

- The **pervious surface beneath** the elevated portion of the structure must be either undisturbed native soil or amended soil. Any amended soil must consist of at least 4 inches of well-rotted compost tilled into the upper 8 inches of the soil.
- **Runoff** from the structure must be discharged via downspouts or sheet flow onto a vegetated surface or into a 4 to 6-inch gravel bed within close proximity of the elevated structure. Runoff discharging from downspouts onto a vegetated surface must be via splash blocks.

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR REDUCED IMPERVIOUS SURFACE BMP: *OPEN GRID DECKING OVER PERVIOUS SURFACE*

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called ***"open grid decking over pervious surface,"*** which was installed to minimize or mitigate for the stormwater runoff impacts of some or all of the impervious surfaces on your property.

The decking has evenly spaced openings that allow rain water to reach the uncompacted soil below, where it has an opportunity to soak into the ground.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

- The area and openings of the decking as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.
- In addition, the pervious surface beneath the decking must not be used in manner that compacts the soil.

INSPECTION FREQUENCY AND MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES

- Check monthly or as needed (e.g., weekly during the autumn season) to assure openings in the decking are not blocked and are draining freely. Sweep and/or vacuum as needed.
- Avoid the use of chemicals or other pollutants on the deck where they have an opportunity to pass through the decking and soak into the ground.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These reduced impervious surface flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

OPEN GRID DECKING DESIGN REQUIREMENTS:

- The pervious surface beneath the decking must be either undisturbed native soil or amended soil.
- Any amended soil must consist of at least 4 inches of well-rotted compost tilled into the upper 8 inches of the soil.

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR NATIVE GROWTH RETENTION CREDIT

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) known as ***"native growth retention,"*** the practice of preserving a portion of a property in a native vegetated condition (e.g., forest) so as to minimize increases in stormwater runoff from clearing and to offset the stormwater runoff impacts caused by impervious surfaces on your property.

This native vegetated area on your property was *set aside by covenant* as "native growth retention area."

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

The **"native growth retention area"** is delineated on the flow control BMP site plan attached to the covenant. The trees, vegetation, ground cover, and soil conditions in this area may not be disturbed, except as allowed by the following provisions:

1. Trees may be harvested in accordance with a King County-approved forest management plan.
2. Individual trees that have a structural defect due to disease or other defects, and which threaten to damage a structure, road, parking area, utility, or place of employment or public assembly, or block emergency access, may be topped, pruned, or removed as needed to eliminate the threat.
3. Dead or fallen trees, tree limbs within ten feet of the ground, and branches overhanging a residence may be removed to reduce the danger of wildfire.
4. Noxious weeds (i.e., plant species listed on the State noxious weed list in Chapter 16-750 WAC) and invasive vegetation (i.e., plant species listed as obnoxious weeds on the noxious weed list adopted by the King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks) may be removed.
5. Passive recreation uses and related facilities, including pedestrian, equestrian community and bicycle trails, nature viewing areas, fishing and camping areas, and other similar uses that do not require permanent structures, are allowed if clearing and soil compaction associated with these uses and facilities does not exceed eight percent of the native growth retention area.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These native growth retention credit flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

MAINTENANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR A PERFORATED PIPE CONNECTION

Your property contains a stormwater management flow control BMP (best management practice) called a ***"perforated pipe connection,"*** which was installed to reduce the stormwater runoff impacts of some or all of the impervious surface on your property.

A perforated pipe connection is a length of drainage conveyance pipe with holes in the bottom, designed to "leak" runoff, conveyed by the pipe, into a gravel filled trench where it can be soaked into the surrounding soil. The connection is intended to provide opportunity for infiltration of any runoff that is being conveyed from an impervious surface (usually a roof) to a local drainage system such as a ditch or roadway pipe system.

MAINTENANCE RESTRICTIONS

- The size and composition of the perforated pipe connection as depicted by the flow control BMP site plan and design details must be maintained and may not be changed without written approval either from the King County Water and Land Resources Division or through a future development permit from King County.
- The soil overtop of the perforated portion of the system must not be compacted or covered with impervious materials.

RECORDING REQUIREMENT

These **perforated pipe connection** flow control BMP maintenance and operation instructions must be recorded as an attachment to the required **declaration of covenant and grant of easement** per Requirement 3 of Section C.1.3.4 of the King County *Surface Water Design Manual*. The intent of these instructions is to explain to future property owners, the purpose of the BMP and how it must be maintained and operated. These instructions are intended to be a minimum; the King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division (DLS-Permitting) may require additional instructions based on site-specific conditions. See King County's Surface Water Design Manual website for additional information and updates.

TYPICAL PERFORATED PIPE CONNECTION FOR A SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE



RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND
WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

_____

_____

_____

_____

DECLARATION OF COVENANT

FOR MAINTENANCE AND INSPECTION OF FLOW CONTROL BMPS

Grantor: _____

Grantee: King County

Legal Description: _____

_____

_____

Additional Legal(s) on: _____

Assessor's Tax Parcel ID#: _____

IN CONSIDERATION of the approved King County (check one of the following) ❑ residential building permit, ❑ commercial building permit, ❑ clearing and grading permit, ❑ subdivision permit, or ❑ short subdivision permit for Application No. _____ relating to the real property ("Property") described above, the Grantor(s), the owner(s) in fee of that Property, hereby covenants(covenant) with King County, a political subdivision of the state of Washington, and its municipal successors in interest and assigns ("King County" and "the County", or "its municipal successor"), that he/she(they) will observe, consent to, and abide by the conditions and obligations set forth and described in Paragraphs 1 through 8 below with regard to the Property. Grantor(s) hereby grants(grant), covenants(covenant), and agrees(agree) as follows:

1. Grantor(s) or his/her(their) successors in interest and assigns ("Owners") shall retain, uphold, and protect the stormwater management devices, features, pathways, limits, and restrictions, known as flow control best management practices ("BMPs"), shown on the approved Flow Control BMP Site Plan for the Property attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A.

2. The Owners shall at their own cost, operate, maintain, and keep in good repair, the Property's BMPs as described in the approved Design and Maintenance Details for each BMP attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit B.

3. King County shall provide at least 30 days written notice to the Owners that entry on the Property is planned for the inspection of the BMPs. After the 30 days, the Owners shall allow King County to enter for the sole purpose of inspecting the BMPs. In lieu of inspection by the County, the Owners may elect to engage a licensed civil engineer registered in the state of Washington who has expertise in drainage to inspect the BMPs and provide a written report describing their condition. If the engineer option is chosen, the Owners shall provide written notice to the Director of the Water and Land Resources Division or its municipal successor in interest ("WLR") within fifteen days of receiving the County's notice of inspection. Within 30 days of giving this notice, the Owners, or the engineer on behalf of the Owners, shall provide the engineer's report to WLR. If the report is not provided in a timely manner as specified above, the County may inspect the BMPs without further notice.

4. If King County determines from its inspection, or from an engineer's report provided in accordance with Paragraph 3, that maintenance, repair, restoration, and/or mitigation work is required for the BMPs, WLR shall notify the Owners of the specific maintenance, repair, restoration, and/or mitigation work (Work) required under Title 9 of the King County Code ("KCC"). WLR shall also set a reasonable deadline for completing the Work or providing an engineer's report that verifies completion of the Work. After the deadline has passed, the Owners shall allow the County access to re-inspect the BMPs unless an engineer's report has been provided verifying completion of the Work. If the work is not completed properly within the time frame set by WLR, King County may initiate an enforcement action. Failure to

properly maintain the BMPs is a violation of KCC Chapter 9.04 and may subject the Owners to enforcement under the KCC, including fines and penalties.

5. Apart from performing routine landscape maintenance, the Owners are hereby required to obtain written approval from WLR before performing any alterations or modifications to the BMPs.

6. Any notice or approval required to be given by one party to the other under the provisions of this Declaration of Covenant shall be effective upon personal delivery to the other party, or after three (3) days from the date that the notice or approval is mailed with delivery confirmation to the current address on record with each Party. The parties shall notify each other of any change to their addresses.

7. This Declaration of Covenant is intended to promote the efficient and effective management of surface water drainage on the Property, and it shall inure to the benefit of all the citizens of King County and its municipal successors and assigns. This Declaration of Covenant shall run with the land and be binding upon Grantor(s), and Grantor's(s') successors in interest and assigns.

8. This Declaration of Covenant may be terminated by execution of a written agreement by the Owners and King County that is recorded by King County in its real property records.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Declaration of Covenant for the Maintenance and Inspection of Flow Control BMPs is executed this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____
GRANTOR, owner of the Property

_____
GRANTOR, owner of the Property

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
COUNTY OF KING)ss.

On this day personally appeared before me:

_____, to me known to be the individual(s) described in and who executed the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged that they signed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed, for the uses and purposes therein stated.

Given under my hand and official seal this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____

_____
Printed name
Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at

_____

My appointment expires _____

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-N

IMPERVIOUS SURFACE LIMIT COVENANT

RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND
WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

_____

_____

_____

_____

DECLARATION OF COVENANT
FOR IMPERVIOUS SURFACE LIMIT

Grantor: _____

Grantee: King County

Legal Description: _____

_____

_____

Additional Legal(s) on: _____

Assessor's Tax Parcel ID#: _____

IN CONSIDERATION of the approved King County _____ permit for application No. _____ relating to real property legally described above, the undersigned as Grantor(s), declares(declare) that the above described property is hereby established as having a limit to the amount of impervious surface allowed on the property for the purpose of limiting stormwater flows and is subject to the following restrictions.

The Grantor(s) hereby covenants(covenant) and agrees(agree) as follows: no more than _____ square feet of impervious surface coverage is allowed on the property. Impervious surface means a hard surface area that either prevents or retards the entry of water into the soil mantle as under natural conditions before development; or that causes water to run off the surface in greater quantities or at an increased rate of flow from the flow present under natural conditions prior to

development. Common impervious surfaces include, but are not limited to, roof, walkways, patios, driveways, parking lots, or storage areas, areas that are paved, graveled or made of packed or oiled earthen materials, or other surfaces that similarly impede the natural infiltration of surface and storm water. King County or its municipal successors shall have a nonexclusive perpetual access easement on the Property in order to ingress and egress over the Property for the sole purposes of inspecting and monitoring the Property's impervious surface coverage.

This easement/restriction is binding upon the Grantor(s), its heirs, successors, and assigns unless or until a new drainage or site plan is reviewed and approved by the Department of Development and Environmental Services or its successor.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Declaration of Covenant is executed this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____
GRANTOR, owner of the Property

_____
GRANTOR, owner of the Property

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
COUNTY OF KING)ss.

On this day personally appeared before me:

_____, to me known to be the individual(s) described in and who executed the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged that they signed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed, for the uses and purposes therein stated.

Given under my hand and official seal this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____

_____
Printed name
Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at

_____

My appointment expires _____

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-O
CLEARING LIMIT COVENANT

RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND
WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

_____

_____

_____

_____

DECLARATION OF COVENANT

FOR CLEARING LIMIT

Grantor: _____

Grantee: King County

Legal Description: _____

_____

_____

Additional Legal(s) on: _____

Assessor's Tax Parcel ID#: _____

IN CONSIDERATION of the approved King County _____ permit for application No. _____ relating to the real property ("Property") described above, the Grantor(s), the owner(s) in fee of that Property, hereby declares (declare) that the Property is established as having a native growth retention area for the purpose of dispersing and treating stormwater flows and is subject to restrictions applying to vegetation removal in all designated areas shown in Exhibit A attached hereto, and hereby covenants (covenant) and agrees (agree) as follows:

1. Any alterations to critical areas, their buffers, and native growth retention areas shall be pursuant to applicable King County Code.

2. The property within the native growth protection area (shown in Attachment A) shall be maintained in a forested condition, with the exception of open water and existing non-forested native wetland plant communities. The following activities are allowed and must be done in a manner that maintains forested hydrologic conditions and soil stability:

a. Removal of noxious weeds and non-native vegetation using hand equipment, provided that those areas are replanted with appropriate native vegetation.

b. Removal of dangerous and diseased trees.

c. Passive recreation and related activities including trails, nature viewing, fishing, camping areas, and other similar activities that do not require permanent structures, provided that cleared areas and areas of compacted soil associated with these areas and facilities do not exceed eight percent of the native growth retention area.

d. The native growth retention area may contain utilities and utility easements including flow control BMPs, but not including septic systems.

e. Limited trimming and pruning of vegetation for the creation and maintenance of views per applicable King County Code.

f. Timber harvest in accordance with a King County-approved forest management plan and appropriate permits.

3. King County shall have a nonexclusive perpetual access easement on the Property in order to ingress and egress over the Property for the sole purposes of inspecting and monitoring the Property's native growth retention area.

4. This easement/restriction is binding upon the Grantor(s), his/her (their) heirs, successors and assigns unless or until a new drainage or site plan is reviewed and approved by the Department of Development and Environmental Services or its successor.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Declaration of Covenant is executed this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____

GRANTOR, owner of the Property

_____

GRANTOR, owner of the Property

STATE OF WASHINGTON)

COUNTY OF KING)ss.

On this day personally appeared before me:

_____, to me known to be the individual(s) described in and who executed the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged that they signed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed, for the uses and purposes therein stated.

Given under my hand and official seal this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____

_____

Printed name

Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at

_____

My appointment expires _____

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-P
RIVER PROTECTION EASEMENT

AFTER RECORDING RETURN TO:

King County Property Services Division
500A King County Administration Building
500 Fourth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104

Document Title: River Protection Easement
Reference Number of Related Document:
Grantor(s):
Grantee(s): King County
Legal Description:
Assessor's Tax Parcel Number:

RIVER PROTECTION EASEMENT

For valuable consideration, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the GRANTOR(S),

_____
owner(s) in fee of that certain parcel of land (the "Property"), legally described as follows:
_____
_____
_____
_____

hereby grant(s) to KING COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of Washington , its successors and assigns, agents and licensees (GRANTEE), a perpetual easement for the purposes of accessing and constructing, inspecting, monitoring, reconstructing, maintaining, repairing, modifying, and removing river bank protection and/or other flood related works, including installing, inspecting, maintaining and removing all vegetation and any other appurtenances

thereto across, in, under, on, over and upon the following portions of the above described Property:

> All portions of the above described parcel that are riverward of a line that is parallel to and thirty (30) feet landward of the stable top of the river bank on the _____ River ("Easement Area"), as constructed or reconstructed, together with reasonable ingress and egress upon the Property to access the Easement Area.

Grantee shall have the right at such time as may be necessary and at the Grantee's sole discretion, to enter upon the Property and to have unimpeded access to, in and through the Easement Area for the purposes of exercising the Grantee's rights as described herein.

Grantor agrees not to plant non-native vegetation within the Easement Area and not to remove or otherwise alter any improvements installed by Grantee, including any native vegetation that may be planted and any flood protection works that may be constructed, within the Easement Area, without the prior approval of Grantee. Grantor further agrees not to use herbicides within the Easement Area without the prior approval of Grantee. Nothing contained herein shall be construed as granting any license, permit or right, otherwise required by law, to Grantor with respect to the Property and the Easement Area.

For the purposes of this river protection easement, the term "native vegetation" shall mean vegetation comprised of plant species, other than noxious weeds (as identified on the State of Washington noxious weed list found at Washington Administrative Code Chapter 16-750, as amended from time to time), which are indigenous to the coastal region of the Pacific Northwest and which reasonably could have been expected to naturally occur at the site.

Neither Grantor nor Grantee is hereby obligated to future maintenance, repair or other action related to the above-described exercise of easement rights. This river protection easement and/or any flood related works constructed or to be constructed within the Easement Area shall not be construed as granting any rights to any third person or entity, or as a guarantee of any protection from flooding or flood damage, and nothing contained herein shall be construed as waiving any immunity to liability granted to Grantee by any state statute, including Chapter 86.12 of the Revised Code of Washington, or as otherwise granted or provided for by law.

The rights, conditions, and provisions of this easement shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators, and successors in interest and assigns of Grantor and Grantee.

_____	_____
GRANTOR	GRANTOR

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
) ss.
COUNTY OF KING)

On this _____ day of _____, 20___, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, duly commissioned and sworn, personally appeared _____, to me known to be the individual described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that he/she signed and sealed the said instrument as _____ free and voluntary act and deed for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

Given under my hand and official seal the _____ day of _____, 20___.

_____
NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the
State of Washington, residing
at _____
My commission expires _____

J\mi20-easement

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 8-Q
LEACHABLE METALS COVENANT

RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND
WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

_____

_____

_____

_____

DECLARATION OF COVENANT
PROHIBITING USE OF LEACHABLE METALS

Grantor: _____

Grantee: King County

Legal Description: _____

_____

_____

Additional Legal(s) on: _____

Assessor's Tax Parcel ID#: _____

IN CONSIDERATION of the approved King County _____ permit for application No. _____ relating to real property legally described above, the undersigned as Grantor(s), declares(declare) that the above described property is hereby established as having a prohibition on the use of leachable metals on those portions of the property exposed to the weather for the purpose of limiting metals in stormwater flows and is subject to the following restrictions.

The Grantor(s) hereby covenants(covenant) and agrees(agree) as follows: no leachable metal surfaces exposed to the weather will be allowed on the property. Leachable metal surfaces means a surface area that consists of or is coated with a non-ferrous metal that is soluble in water. Common leachable metal surfaces include, but are not limited to, galvanized steel roofing, gutters, flashing, downspouts, guardrails, light posts, and copper roofing. King County or its municipal successors shall

have a nonexclusive perpetual access easement on the Property in order to ingress and egress over the Property for the sole purposes of inspecting and monitoring that no leachable metal is present on the Property.

This easement/restriction is binding upon the Grantor(s), its heirs, successors, and assigns unless or until a new drainage or site plan is reviewed and approved by the Department of Development and Environmental Services or its successor.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Declaration of Covenant is executed this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____
GRANTOR, owner of the Property

_____
GRANTOR, owner of the Property

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
COUNTY OF KING)ss.

On this day personally appeared before me:

_____, to me known to be the individual(s) described in and who executed the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged that they signed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed, for the uses and purposes therein stated.

Given under my hand and official seal this _____ day of _____, 20_____.

_____

_____
Printed name
Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at

_____

My appointment expires _____

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 9

INTERIM CHANGES TO REQUIREMENTS

9-A Blanket Adjustments

9-B Administrative Changes

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 9-A
BLANKET ADJUSTMENTS

None at this time

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 9-B
ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES

None at this time

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 10

KING COUNTY-IDENTIFIED WATER QUALITY PROBLEMS

None at this time

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 11
MATERIALS

11-A (VACANT)

11-B (VACANT)

11-C Bioretention Soil Media Standard Specifications

11-D (VACANT)

11-E Roofing Erodible or Leachable Materials

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 11-C

MEDIA STANDARDS FOR BIORETENTION AND OTHER PUBLIC DOMAIN FACILITIES AND BMPS

This page intentionally left blank

Table of Contents

1 Introduction 1
2 Media Specifications 2
2.1 Compost 2
2.1.1 Specification 1 Compost 2
2.1.2 Specification 2 Compost 3
2.1.3 Compost Screening Size Gradations: Applies to both Specification 1 and 2 Compost 4
2.2 Mulch 4
2.2.1 Arborist's Wood Chip Mulch 4
2.2.2 Compost Mulch 5
2.3 High Performance Bioretention Designs Media 6
2.4 High Performance Bioretention Media Component Specifications 7
2.4.1 Coconut Coir 7
2.4.2 High Carbon Wood Ash (HCWA) 10
2.4.3 High Performance Bioretention Designs Aggregates 12
2.5 Activated Alumina 15
2.6 Iron Aggregate 16
2.6.1 60:40 Bioretention Soil Media (BSM) Aggregate: For Flow Control BMP applications only 17
3 Bioretention Media Assembly 17
3.1 60:40 Bioretention: For flow control BMP (FCBMP) applications only 17
3.2 High Performance Bioretention Designs (HPBD) Assembly 18
3.2.1 Primary Layer 18
3.2.2 Polishing Layer 18
3.2.3 2 Inch Compost Layer 18
3.2.4 Mulch 18
4 Sampling Frequency 19
4.1 Small applications: single family residence flow control BMPs 19
4.1.1 For Testing Responsibility - Manufacturer 19
4.1.2 For Testing Responsibility - Proponent 19
4.2 Large applications: water quality treatment facilities, and high density residential, commercial, and industrial flow control BMPs 19
4.2.1 For Testing Responsibility – Manufacturer 19
4.2.2 For Testing Responsibility - Proponent 19

5 Reporting 20
6 Required for an Adjustment for alternative media: 21
7 References 22
8 Basis and Other Explanatory Notes 26

Figures

Figure 1. Coconut coir loose fibers 7

Tables

Table 2-1 Fine Compost Gradation 4
Table 2-2 Coarse Compost Gradation 4
Table 2-3 Arborist Wood Mulch Gradation 5
Table 2-4 Coir Test Specifications 8
Table 2-5 High Carbon Wood Ash (HCWA) Test Specifications 11
Table 2-6 High Performance Bioretention Aggregate Quality Test Specifications 13
Table 2-7 High Performance Bioretention Design Primary Layer Sand Gradation 14
Table 2-8 High Performance Bioretention Design Polishing Layer Sand Gradation 14
Table 2-9 Activated Alumina Specifications 15
Table 2-10 Iron Aggregate Specifications 16
Table 2-11 60:40 Bioretention Soil Mix Mineral Aggregate Gradation 17

1 Introduction

Historically the Surface Water Design Manual's (SWDM) bioretention design has had a single media mixture at its core, commonly referred to as 60:40 bioretention 'soil' mix; and bioretention has been limited to a non-underdrained infiltrative flow control best management practice (FCBMP). With the introduction of 'high performance bioretention' media, there are now several different bioretention designs; expanding use to include bioretention as a water quality treatment facility, and FCBMPs that may underdrain to phosphorus sensitive waterbodies. The new media do not suffer 60:40's known net discharge of phosphorus, nitrate, and copper. Because of dual function – FCBMP and water quality treatment facility, and varying treatment goals, these designs vary in complexity, with varying number of media layers, each of different composition. These are (noting % values are by volume):

- **'60:40' Bioretention:** For flow control BMP (FCBMP) applications only, and not allowed within ¼ mile of a sensitive lake or any other phosphorus sensitive waterbody unless underlying soil suitability criteria are met.
  - 'Bioretention soil mix' (BSM)
    - 60% sand
    - 40% compost
  - Mulch surface layer

- **'High Performance Bioretention' Designs** (HPBD) for water quality treatment facilities and as an FCBMP option. Depending on use, i.e., *basic*, *enhanced basic*, or *sensitive lake* (phosphorus control) **water quality treatments**, or as an FCBMP, these designs are composed of one to three layers, two of which are media mixes. The **Layer** types are nominally[1]

  - **18 inch Primary Layer**. By volume:
    - 70% sand
    - 20% coconut coir fiber (sometimes referred to commercially as 'coco peat'
    - 10% high carbon wood ash

  - **12 inch Polishing Layer**. By volume:
    - 90 % sand
    - 7.5% activated alumina
    - 2.5% iron aggregate

  - **2 inch Compost Surface Layer**
    - Specification 1 compost in Section 2.1.1 of this Reference.

    or

  - **3 inch Arborist's wood chip mulch layer**

Besides bioretention, there are other landscape facilities and BMPs that may require or may be optionally amended with compost or mulch. These same media specifications are applicable to those, and are referred to in those facility and BMP designs.

[1] Detailed specifications with allowed volume variability are given in section 3.2, High Performance Bioretention Designs (HPBD) Assembly

This Reference section is limited to facility and BMP treatment and flow control media, and does not include underdrain aggregate specifications, which are given within those designs in the SWDM.

Detailed media specifications and reporting requirements are given in following sections.

2 Media Specifications

2.1 Compost

Compost products shall be the result of the biological degradation and transformation of uncontaminated biological organic materials under controlled conditions designed to promote aerobic decomposition. Compost shall be stable with regard to oxygen consumption, carbon dioxide generation, and seed germination and seedling vigor. Compost shall be mature with regard to its suitability for use in stormwater facilities and BMPs, post-construction soil amendment, general landscaping, or an erosion control BMP as defined below.

Compost shall be tested at a minimum in accordance with the U.S. Composting Council "Testing Methods for the Examination of Compost and Composting" (TMECC), as established in the Composting Council's "Seal of Testing Assurance" (STA) program. Most Washington compost facilities now use these tests. All tests must be done on compost screened to specification for its intended use. More specific details follow.

There are two fundamental compost specifications.

- **Specification 1 compost** is for bioretention facilities and BMPs, and for water quality treatment facilities other than bioretention that require compost amendment as a specified part of design or involve infiltration; those facilities call out Specification 1 compost.
- **Specification 2 compost** may be used for erosion control, and for stormwater facility adjacent landscaping where compost is not incorporated into the facilities themselves. Where this is allowed is noted in facility design specifications. Specification 1 compost may always be used in lieu of Specification 2; the reverse is not allowed.

2.1.1 Specification 1 Compost

1. Compost must be produced at a facility that is permitted by the jurisdictional health authority. Permitted compost facilities in Washington are included on a list under **Find a compost facility in Washington** posted at https://ecology.wa.gov/waste-toxics/reducing-recycling-waste/waste-reduction-programs/organic-materials/managing-organics-compost.
2. Compost must meet the definition of "composted material" in WAC 173-350-100, and must comply with testing parameters and other standards including not exceeding contaminant limits identified in Table 220-B. Testing Parameters, in WAC 173-350-220; and "Physical contaminants" (as defined in WAC 173-350-100) content less than 1% by weight (TMECC 03.08-A) total, not to exceed 0.25 percent film plastic by dry weight.
3. The compost product must originate a minimum of 65 percent by volume from recycled plant waste comprised of "yard debris," "crop residues," and "bulking agents" as those terms are defined in WAC 173-350-100. A maximum of 35 percent by volume of "post-consumer food waste" as defined in WAC 173-350-100 may be substituted for recycled

plant waste. Biosolids, manure, and/or bedding straw or wood chips or shavings containing animal excreta are not allowed.

4. Wood waste from chemically treated lumber and manufactured wood products containing adhesives or any other chemical is not allowed; painted and stained wood are not allowed; and only sawdust from virgin lumber allowed. No other toxic or otherwise harmful materials are allowed.
5. For *high-density residential subdivision development, multi-family, commercial, and industrial projects,* and *road projects considered high ADT projects,*[2] the Manufacturer or Vendor shall provide to the end buyer a list of feedstock sources by percentage by volume in the final compost product.
6. Compost shall have a moisture content that has no visible free water or dust produced when handling the material.
7. Compost shall have an organic matter content of 40 percent to 65 percent by dry weight as determined by loss of ignition test method ASTM D 2974, or by U.S. Composting Council TMECC 05.07A "Loss-On-Ignition Organic Matter Method (LOI)".
8. Compost shall have a carbon to nitrogen ratio below 25:1, although the carbon to nitrogen ratio may be as high as 35:1 for plantings composed entirely of plants native to the Puget Sound Lowlands region. The carbon to nitrogen ratio shall be calculated on a dry weight basis using TMECC 5.02A ("Carbon to Nitrogen Ratio"), which uses TMECC 04.01A, "Organic Carbon" divided by the dry weight of "Total N" (TMECC 04.02D).
9. Compost pH shall be between 6.0 and 8.5 when tested in accordance with U.S. Composting Council TMECC 04.11-A, "1:5 Slurry pH"
10. Soluble salt content shall be less than 4.0 dS/m (mmhos/cm) when tested in accordance with U.S. Composting Council TMECC 04.10 "Electrical Conductivity, 1:5 Slurry Method, Mass Basis".
11. Compost maturity indicators from a cucumber bioassay (TMECC 05.05-A “Germination Seedling Emergence and Relative Growth) must be greater than 80%for both emergence and vigor”).
12. Stability shall be 7-mg CO_2 – C/g OM/day or below in as determined by U.S. Composting Council TMECC 05.08-B "Carbon Dioxide Evolution Rate", to establish low oxygen use and low CO_2 generation rates.

    Compost shall be screened to the Fine Compost size gradation specification in Section 2.1.3 of this Reference.

2.1.2 Specification 2 Compost

1. Specification 2 Compost manufacturing, feedstocks, and testing are all identical to Specification 1 Compost except that:
    a. A maximum of 35 percent by volume of biosolids or manure may be substituted for recycled plant waste.

[2] Land uses as described in Bullets 1, 2, and 3, SWDM Section 1.2.8.1, Subsection A “Basic WQ Treatment Areas, Required Treatment Menu”.

b. Compost may be fine or coarse gradation depending on use and need to meet other screened material quality criteria.

c. Carbon to Nitrogen ratio may be up to 40:1 for coarse compost to be used as a surface mulch (not in a soil mix).

2.1.3 Compost Screening Size Gradations: Applies to both Specification 1 and 2 Compost

Where compost gradation is specified, it must meet the following size gradations when tested in accordance with the U.S. Composting Council "Test Methods for the Examination of Compost and Composting" (TMECC) Test Method 02.02-B.

Table 2-1 Fine Compost Gradation[a]

Sieve Size	Percent Passing	
	Min	Max
1"	100	
5/8"	90	100
1/4"	75	100

Note: Maximum particle length of 4 inches

Table 2-2 Coarse Compost Gradation[b]

Sieve Size	Percent Passing	
	Min	Max
2"	100	
1"	90	100
3/4"	70	100
1/4"	40	60

Note: Maximum particle length of 6 inches

2.2 Mulch

Mulch may only be composed of either chipped or shredded wood as defined in Section 11-C 2.2.1, or compost as defined in Section 11-C 2.2.2. Mulch may not be made of any synthetic materials including but not limited to recycled tire material, virgin rubber material, plastics; and may not be made of any pre-or post-consumer cardboard[c], or paper[d].

2.2.1 Arborist's Wood Chip Mulch

2.2.1.1 Content

Arborist Wood Chip Mulch shall be wood chips or shreds (approximately ½" for chips to 6" for shreds along the longest dimension) derived from the mechanical chipping or shredding of the above-ground portions of native forestry trees[e] and raw lumbermill tree waste. It may contain only wood, wood fiber, bark (see limitation below), branches, and leaves.

2.2.1.2 May not be chipped or shredded from or contain:

- Roots or visible amounts of soil.
- More than 50% bark.

- Fruit trees[f]
- Any ornamentals that may be subject pesticide[3] treatment any time of the year.
- Invasive plants of which any portions are capable of re-sprouting, including but not limited to English Holly[g], English ivy[h], horsetail[i], clematis, knotweed, etc.
- Weeds and weed seeds including but not limited to plants on the King County Noxious Weed list available at: https://kingcounty.gov/en/legacy/services/environment/animals-and-plants/noxious-weeds.aspx.
- Construction material including lumber of any kind; and as such may not contain any manufactured material (plastic, concrete, ceramics, metal, paint, stain, pressure treatment, etc.), and may not contain any sharps[4].

2.2.1.3 Gradation

Arborist Wood Chip Mulch, when tested, shall meet the following loose volume gradation:

Table 2-3 Arborist Wood Mulch Gradation[j]

Sieve Size	Percent Passing
2”	95 – 100
1”	70 – 100
5/8	0 – 50
No. 4	0 – 30

2.2.2 Compost Mulch

2.2.2.1 Compost Mulch for Bioretention and other Landscape Facilities and BMPs

Compost Mulch for the following facilities and BMPs must meet the **Specification 1** compost requirements of **Section 2.1.1**, except that the **gradation** must be **Coarse Compost** per **Section 2.1.3.**

- Bioretention
- Bioswales
- Vegetated Filter Strips
- Treatment Wetlands
- Infiltration facilities and BMPs other than Bioretention[5]

2.2.2.2 Compost Mulch for other facilities and BMPs

Compost Mulch for other facilities and BMPs must meet either Specification 1 or Specification 2 compost of Section 2.1.1 or 2.1.2 respectively, except that the gradation must be Coarse Compost per **Section 2.1.3**.

[3] E.g., but not limited to insecticide and fungicide.

[4] "Sharps": a contaminant category; means any articles that are able to purposely or accidentally puncture or cut the skin or mucosa; Including, but not limited to, needles, scalpel blades, syringes (with needles); pen needles, lancets; razor blades; tattoo pens and needles, broken and unbroken glassware of any kind, bottes (even intact – may break), window glass, metal shards or fragments, staples, and toothpicks.

[5] e.g., SWDM 5.2.1 flow control infiltration; and while the SWDM does not designate a BMP named Rain Garden, that's analogous to Appendix C Bioretention, and as such has the same media requirements and restrictions.

2.3 High Performance Bioretention Designs Media

From the laboratory experiments that were relied upon by WA Ecology to publish its 'high performance bioretention soil mixes' (HPBSM), according to Herrera (2020), "Treatment 4 was the only media blend that met all TAPE[6] objectives for water quality treatment". To be clear, treatment 4 was not a single media blend, or 'mix' – the term Ecology uses; it was two different media-blend treatment layers; a primary layer that achieved basic and enhanced basic (metals) treatments, and a supplementary polishing sub-layer that added phosphorus treatment; the layers were each composed of different media mixes.

Required Media

Only specifically sourced media that achieved required treatments experimentally qualify for use in KC SWDM High Performance Bioretention water quality treatment facilities and flow control BMPs.

Alternative Media

Any proposal to use alternative media requires a KC SWDM Adjustment (see Section 1.4). An adjustment will require that any alternative-source media blend proposed for water quality treatment facilities (SWDM Core #8) must undergo the same testing and pass the same treatment efficiency requirements and hydraulics performance as did the specified media, and must meet content and Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (SPLP) criteria in following Reference 11-C sections. Testing alternative media sources to meet basic, enhanced basic, and/or phosphorus treatment must follow a sampling and analysis plan or quality assurance project plan (QAPP) equivalent to Herrera (2018)[7]. While there is currently no WA Ecology or King County regulatory requirement for where 6PPD-quinone[8] mitigation is required, should a proponent want to apply that mitigation, or if required by another agency, e.g., USFW[9] or WADFW[10], then testing alternative media sources to meet 6PPD-quinone mitigation must follow a sampling and analysis plan or QAPP equivalent to King County (2023)[11].

For use solely in FC BMPs taking runoff solely from NPGIS[12] and/or NPGPS[13], alternative media blends do not have to undergo pollutant removal testing; but an SWDM Adjustment is still required; and the media must meet characteristics described in the following sections, and the same media content and SPLP testing requirements apply; documentation for all aspects is required.

An Adjustment is not guaranteed just from application; approval depends on media meeting requirements stated above, and documented per Reference 11-C section **5 Reporting**.

[6] Technology Assessment Protocol – Ecology (Howie 2018a, 2018b). The objectives are: for basic treatment, 80% TSS removal; for enhanced basic treatment, 80% TSS, 30% dissolved copper, and 60% dissolved zinc removal; and for phosphorus (SWDM 'sensitive lake protection'), 50% total phosphorus removal. All percent removal values must meet TAPE-defined statistical confidence levels.

[7] https://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/2018/kcr3867/kcr3867.pdf

[8] https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/6PPD-quinone

[9] US Fish and Wildlife

[10] Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

[11] https://your.kingcounty.gov/dnrp/library/2023/kcr3556/kcr3556.pdf

[12] Non-pollution generating pollution surface(s)

[13] Non-pollution generating pervious surface(s)

2.4 High Performance Bioretention Media Component Specifications

2.4.1 Coconut Coir

2.4.1.1 Coconut Coir Application

- Coconut coir is a component of the high performance bioretention primary layer, which is also composed of sand and high carbon wood ash.

2.4.1.2 Coir Sourcing

- To date the only primary layer that has been demonstrated meet all treatment goals has used **Botanicare CocoGro coir**[14,k]. As such, this is the only coir qualified for use in KC SWDM bioretention at this time. Any proposal to use alternative media will require a KC SWDM Adjustment (see SWDM Section 1.4). An adjustment will require that any alternative-source media blend proposed for water quality treatment facilities (SWDM Core #8) must undergo the same performance testing and pass the same treatment efficiency requirements and hydraulics performance as did the specified media. Both WQ treatment and FC BMP media for both the allowed coir and for any adjustment-allowed test coir must meet both content and Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (SPLP) criteria.

2.4.1.3 Coir Characteristics

- Botanicare CocoGro coir has the following characteristics which are applicable to any proposed alternative coir:
  - Fibers about 1 to 2 inches long and that are loose and easy to separate[l]. Appearance should approximate Figure 1[m].
  - 'Buffered'[n] with calcium salts, and double-rinsed with fresh water.[15]
  - Aged at the country of origin from 30 to 120 days, outdoors, uncovered, piles managed to a degree to assist and accelerate the removal of the Tannins.
  - Current country of origin specifications: either Sri Lanka or India.

Figure 1. Coconut coir loose fibers[16]

[14] https://www.botanicare.com/products/cocogro/
[15] The double-rinsing requirement is from the Department of Ecology.
[16] Cropped from Wikimedia (2024)

- As noted under heading 2.4.1.2 above, any alternative coir must be performance and quality tested.
    - Any proposed alternative primary layer coir must:
        - In combination with the other primary layer components, meet the relevant TAPE pollutant percent removal specifications.
        - Meet **Table 2-4 Coir Test Specifications.**
        - Be characterized as described under this section's second main bullet (fibers, buffered, etc.), with the exception of named country of origin (may be sourced from other countries).
        - Coir must be certified as not having ever been treated with any kind of pesticide in the growth, manufacturing, transportation, or storage of the product.

2.4.1.4 Coconut Coir Test Specifications

Note regarding synthetic precipitation leaching protocol (SPLP)

If specified SPLP[17] leachate concentration exceeds the Maximum Value, the solid material may be recovered from the test and subject sequential (serial) SPLP up to a maximum of three times[18]. If the Maximum Value is exceeded in the third SPLP, then the material is not qualified to be used in HPBSM.

Table 2-4 Coir Test Specifications

Parameter	Preparation and Analytical Method	Maximum Value	Testing Responsibility
Physical contaminants[19]	Only limited accidental film plastic debris from packaging is allowed. No other contaminants are allowed.	≤ 0.1 percent by dry weight[o]	Manufacturer
Sharps[20]	Visual observation	0	Manufacturer, vendor, and installer observation[21]

[17] The specified extraction fluid pH is representative of Puget Sound area precipitation pH. Whether runoff is buffered by conveyance material contact in transit to a treatment facility is unknown. To be maximally protective, the method pH is assumed to be representative of facility influent, and is not to be substituted with neutral pH extraction fluid.

[18] Allowing 3x sequential (serial) SPLP runs is representative of sequential storm flushing. If Maximum Value is exceeded at the third SPLP, that indicates potential risk of long-term net discharge of the pollutant.

[19] "Contaminant" means any material besides coconut coir.

[20] "Sharps": a specific category of contaminant; means any articles that are able to purposely or accidentally puncture or cut the skin or mucosa; Including, but not limited to, needles, scalpel blades, syringes (with needles); pen needles, lancets; razor blades; tattoo pens and needles, broken and unbroken glassware of any kind, bottes (even intact – may break), window glass, metal shards or fragments, and toothpicks.

[21] When receiving and processing material, if the installer observes any sharps the material may not be used and should be returned to the vendor with cause.

Table 2-4 Coir Test Specifications			
Parameter	Preparation and Analytical Method	Maximum Value	Testing Responsibility
Pathogens[p]	Ether Fecal coliform Most Probable Number (MPN)	< 1,000 MPN per gram of total solids (dry weight)[q]	Manufacturer, vendor, or proponent
	Or Salmonella Most Probable Number (MPN)	< 3 MPN per 4 grams of total solids (dry weight)[r]	
Nitrate+Nitrite[s]	SPLP (EPA Method 1312)[22] and analysis by EPA Method 353.2, rev. 2.0	0.15 mg N /L[t,u]	Proponent
Total Phosphorus	SPLP (EPA Method 1312)[22] and analysis by NEMI[23] Method SM 4500-P E-99	0.10 mg P /L[24,v] 0.50 mg P /L[25,w]	Proponent
Orthophosphate[x]		0.10 mg P /L[24,v] 0.50 mg P /L[25,w]	Proponent
Copper (dissolved)	Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (EPA Method 1312)[22] and EPA Method 200.8 UCT-KED	3.5 µg/L[y]	Proponent
Arsenic[z] (total)	Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (EPA Method 1312 – use extraction Fluid #2 per Section 5.4.2) and EPA Method 200.8 UCT-KED.	0.1 µg/L[26,aa]	Proponent
Tannins[bb], Lignins, and Phenols	TBD[27,cc] For the time being not required for stipulated allowed coir. Permit Adjustment[28] applications to use alternatives will require consultation with and approval by KC WLRD for method and maximum value(s)	? mg/L[29,dd] TBD	Manufacturer, vendor, or proponent
Electrical Conductivity[ee]	Test Methods for the Examination of Compost and Composting (TMECC) Method 04.10-A	1.0 mmhos/cm	Manufacturer or vendor

[22] Use extraction Fluid #2 per Section 5.4.2.
[23] National Environmental Methods Index
[24] For bioretention as a Sensitive Lake Menu water quality treatment facility.
[25] For bioretention in any application – facility or FCBMP – that is not in a sensitive lake basin or discharging within one quarter (¼) mile of s phosphorus sensitive waterbody; regardless of whether by ground or surface discharge.
[26] EPA 200.8 documentation says the selective ion MDL is 0.02 μg/L. Report all of the lab MDL, RL, and all analytical results ≥ MDL.
[27] At time of this HPBSM publication (November, 2024), and for the time being, use of BotaniCare coir will not require testing. The rationale is that establishing a test method is proving challenging, and this specific product has been used in live sensitive organism testing without ill effect. If applying for an Adjustment to use alternative coir, testing will be required; consult with WLRD Stormwater Services staff to establish test method at that time.
[28] Adjustment per SWDM section 1.4
[29] See footnote 27. As with test method uncertainty, acceptable tannin concentration has not yet been established. If applying for an Adjustment to use alternative coir, testing will be required; consult with WLRD Stormwater Services staff regarding acceptable concentration.

Table 2-4 Coir Test Specifications			
Parameter	Preparation and Analytical Method	Maximum Value	Testing Responsibility
All metals are total	Method	Dry weight[ff]	
Arsenic	EPA 6020	0.5 mg/kg	
Cadmium	EPA 6020	0.05 mg/kg	
Chromium	EPA 6010	12 mg/kg	
Cobalt	EPA 6010	2 mg/kg	
Copper	EPA 6010	10.5 mg/kg	
Mercury	EPA 7471	0.01 mg/kg	
Molybdenum	EPA 6010	3.82 mg/kg	
Nickel	EPA 6010	6.1 mg/kg	
Lead	EPA 6020	1.2 mg/kg	
Selenium	EPA 6020	0.6 mg/kg	
Zinc	EPA 6010	26 mg/kg	

2.4.2 High Carbon Wood Ash (HCWA)

2.4.2.1 HCWA is a component of the high performance bioretention primary layer, which is also composed of sand and high coconut coir.

2.4.2.2 HCWA Sourcing

- To date the only high carbon wood ash (HCWA) product that has been demonstrated to meet all treatment goals is **Biological Carbon HPG (High Performance Grade) Stormwater Char**[30,gg]. As such, this is the only HCWA qualified for use in KC SWDM bioretention at this time. Any proposal to use alternative media will require a KC SWDM Adjustment (see SWDM Section 1.4). An adjustment will require that any alternative-source media blend proposed for water quality treatment facilities (SWDM Core #8) must undergo the same performance testing and pass the same treatment efficiency requirements and hydraulics performance as did the specified media. Both WQ treatment and FC BMP media for both the allowed HCWA and for any adjustment-allowed test HCWA must meet both content and Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (SPLP) criteria.

2.4.2.3 HCWA Characteristics

- To qualify for consideration for performance-testing alternative HCWA under a Permit Adjustment, the following specifications must be met[hh]: These specifications may be amended pending further information from the supplier of media used in experiments.

[30] Specific product used for experiments was obtained from Walrath Landscape Supply (Tacoma, Gig Harbor). Until such time as performance-qualified HCWA is fully characterized with regard to feedstock, pre-production, production, post-production processes, and finished product characteristics for equivalent alternative sources, only Biological Carbon HPG (High Performance Grade) Stormwater Char qualifies for HPBSM content.

- The HCWA shall be sourced from a producer with at least 5-years of experience producing HCWA for soil amendments and/or water filtration.[ii]
- The HCWA shall be classified as a "Class 1" Biochar following the International Biochar Initiative (IBI) guidelines (IBI 2015).[jj]
- HCWA feedstocks shall be limited to clean cellulosic material from:
  - The woody by-products of pacific northwest forestry operations (including cut residues left after a timber harvest, cut trees that are not marketable as lumber)[kk], and/or
  - Chipped trees and brush from biomass reduction operations[ll] (i.e. commercial tree trimming[ll]; except for orchard prunings which are not allowed[mm]).
- The biomass feedstocks shall not include any post-consumer or post-industrial sourced woody biomass (e.g., but not limited to construction or demolition waste, wood contaminated with paints, stains, sealers, or preservatives or any other deleterious substances, and shall not include any non-wood materials, e.g., but not limited to metal, plastic, rubber, glass, concrete, or asphalt).
- The biomass feedstocks shall not include any manure, animal bedding, or biosolids, and shall not contain any orchard wood or trimmings[mm].
- The High Carbon Wood Ash (HCWA) shall consist of screened and processed organic and inorganic residue remaining after the thermal processing of biomass in an oxygen-controlled environment. Processing consists of:
- HCWA must meet the following requirements for quality and grading:

HCWA Test Specifications

Note regarding synthetic precipitation leaching protocol (SPLP)

If specified SPLP[31] leachate concentration exceeds the Maximum Value, the solid material may be recovered from the test and subject to sequential (serial) SPLP up to a maximum of three times[32]. If the Maximum Value is exceeded in the third SPLP, then the material is not qualified to be used in HPBSM.

Table 2-5 High Carbon Wood Ash (HCWA) Test Specifications

Parameter	Preparation and Analytical Method	Value Max unless stated otherwise	Testing Responsibility
Nitrate+Nitrite	Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (EPA Method 1312 – use extraction Fluid #2 per Section 5.4.2) and EPA Method 353.2	0.15 mg N /L[t,u]	Proponent

[31] The specified extraction fluid pH is representative of Puget Sound area precipitation pH. Whether runoff is buffered by conveyance material contact in transit to a treatment facility is unknown. To be maximally protective, the method pH is assumed to be representative of facility influent, and is not to be substituted with neutral pH extraction fluid.

[32] Allowing 3x sequential (serial) SPLP runs is representative of sequential storm flushing. If Maximum Value is exceeded at the third SPLP, that indicates potential risk of long-term net discharge of the pollutant.

Total Phosphorus	Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (EPA Method 1312 – use extraction Fluid #2 per Section 5.4.2) and NEMI Method SM 4500-P E-99	0.10 mg P /L[33] 0.50 mg P /L[34]	Proponent
Orthophosphate[x]		0.10 mg P /L[33] 0.50 mg P /L[34]	Proponent
Copper	Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (EPA Method 1312 – use extraction Fluid #2 per Section 5.4.2) and EPA Method 200.8 UCT-KED	3.5 µg/L[y]	Proponent
Arsenic[z] (total)	Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (EPA Method 1312 – use extraction Fluid #2 per Section 5.4.2) and EPA Method 200.8 UCT-KED	0.1 µg/L[35,26]	Proponent
Organic Carbon (C_{org})	Total C and H analysis by dry combustion-elemental analyzer (EPA Method 440.0). Inorganic C analysis by determination of CO 2 -C content with 1N HCl, as outlined in ASTM D4373 Standard Test Method for Rapid Determination of Carbonate Content of Soils. Organic C calculated as Total C – Inorganic C.	60% (Min)[nn]	Manufacturer
H: (C_{org})		0.7 (Max)[nn]	
Volatile Matter	Proximate Analysis (ASTM D1762)	20%[nn]	Manufacturer
Ash		40%[nn]	
Electrical Conductivity	Test Methods for the Examination of Compost and Composting (TMECC) Method 04.10-A	1.0 mmhos/cm[nn]	Proponent
Heavy Metals Same list as given in coir test spec. Table 2-4	EPA 6010, 6020, 7471; depending on analyte	mg/kg for each TBD pending analytical data from media used in experiments	Manufacturer, vendor, or proponent
Cation Exchange Capacity)	USEPA Method 9081 Report only	milliequivalents CEC/100 g dry soil	Manufacturer

2.4.3 High Performance Bioretention Designs Aggregates

2.4.3.1 HPBSM Primary Layer Sand

- The sand must be natural; manufactured sand is not allowed.
- Volcanic sand is not allowed[oo].

[33] For bioretention as a Sensitive Lake Menu water quality treatment facility.
[34] For bioretention in any application – facility or FCBMP – that is not in a sensitive lake basin.
[35] EPA 200.8 documentation says the selective ion MDL is 0.02 μg/L. Report all of the lab MDL, RL, and all analytical results ≥ MDL.

- Where underdrained to or infiltrating within ¼ mile of a sphagnum bog wetland, the sand must be silica-based sand rather than an alternative, e.g., aragonite sand.
- Until such time as the experimental sand used to develop the HPBSM has been sufficiently characterized for specifying equivalency, the sand shall be material obtained from ICON Materials Auburn Quarry[36].
- The sand shall be thoroughly cleaned and free of foreign dirt, clay, silt, asphalt, organic material, and any other foreign matter and all aggregate passing the No. 200 sieve size shall be non-plastic. The filter sand shall meet the following requirements for quality:

Table 2-6 High Performance Bioretention Aggregate Quality Test Specifications

Parameter	Preparation and Analytical Method	Maximum Value	Testing Responsibility
Nitrate+Nitrite	Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (EPA Method 1312 – use extraction Fluid #2 per Section 5.4.2) and EPA Method 353.2	0.15 mg N /L[t,u]	Proponent
Total Phosphorus	Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (EPA Method 1312 – use extraction Fluid #2 per Section 5.4.2) and NEMI Method SM 4500-P E-99	0.10 mg P /L[37,v] 0.50 mg P /L[38]	Proponent
Orthophosphate		0.10 mg P /L[37,v] 0.50 mg P /L38	Proponent
Copper (dissolved)	Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (EPA Method 1312 – use extraction Fluid #2 per Section 5.4.2) and EPA Method 200.8 UCT-KED	3.5 µg/L[y]	Proponent
Arsenic[z]	Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (EPA Method 1312 – use extraction Fluid #2 per Section 5.4.2) and EPA Method 200.8 UCT-KED	0.1 µg/L[39,26]	Proponent

[36] Listed as 'state sand' Herrera (2020), this and 'volcanic sand' are the only two qualifying types. Because the report's description of volcanic sand leaves open the possibility of some asbestos content (in relation to potential olivine content), until such time as this has been tested for and unless found not to be present, volcanic sand is not allowed (see endnote **oo**). The alternative sand that met some TAPE criteria was "state sand", found in the report to be from the ICON Auburn Quarry. Until such time as this is characterized with regard to mineral content for equivalent alternative sources, it is to be single-sourced.

[37] For bioretention as a Sensitive Lake Menu water quality treatment facility.

[38] For bioretention in any application – facility or FCBMP – that is not in a sensitive lake basin.

[39] EPA 200.8 documentation says the selective ion MDL is 0.02 μg/L. Report all of the lab MDL, RL, and all analytical results ≥ MDL.

2.4.3.2 HPBSD Primary Layer Sand Gradation

The aggregate shall be sand meeting the gradation below and the requirements of Section 9-03.1(2)B (Class 1) of the Washington State Department of Transportation Standard Specifications, and shall have a Coefficient of Uniformity of four (minimum).

Table 2-7 High Performance Bioretention Design Primary Layer Sand Gradation[pp]

Sieve Size	Percent Passing Min.	Percent Passing Max.
3/8 "	99	100
# 4	95	100
# 8	68	86
# 16	47	65
# 30	27	42
# 50	9	20
# 100	0	7
# 200	0	2.5

2.4.3.3 HPBSD Polishing Layer Sand Gradation

The aggregate shall be sand meeting the gradation below and the requirements of Section 9-03.1(2)B (Class 1) of the Washington State Department of Transportation Standard Specifications, and shall have a Coefficient of Uniformity of four (minimum).

Table 2-8 High Performance Bioretention Design Polishing Layer Sand Gradation[qq]

Sieve Size	Percent Passing Min.	Percent Passing Max.
3/8 "	99	100
# 4	95	100
# 8	68	86
# 16	47	65
# 30	27	42
# 50	9	20
# 100	0	7
# 200	0	2.5

2.5 Activated Alumina

$Al_2O_3 \bullet H_2O$ CAS Number 1344-28-1

Activated Alumina shall meet the following requirements for quality and grading:

Table 2-9 Activated Alumina Specifications

Parameter	Test / Method	Value	Testing Responsibility[40]
Al_2O_3 Content	n/a	92.7 % (Min)	Manufacturer
Copper[rr] (dissolved)	Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (EPA Method 1312 – use extraction Fluid #2 per Section 5.4.2) and EPA Method 200.8 UCT-KED	1 µg/L (Max.)	Proponent
SiO_2	n/a	0.02 % (Max)	Manufacturer
Fe_2O_3	n/a	0.02 % (Max)	Manufacturer
Na_2O	n/a	0.30 % (Max)	Manufacturer
H_2O	n/a	7% (balance of content)	Manufacturer
Any other content	n/a	Less than 0.02%	Manufacturer
Bulk density[ss,41]	n/a	760 Kg/m^3 (Min.)	Manufacturer
Surface area[tt,42]	n/a	300 m^2/g (Min.)	Manufacturer
Grain size	Gradation (ASTM D422)	Taylor 14x28 mesh[uu] 0.6 mm to ? mm[vv]	Manufacturer

[40] Where noted as Manufacturer or Proponent, depends on volume. Though the manufacturer will provide many of the tests indicated in this table, manufacturer tests are only run periodically on the source material not on the exact material supplied for the project. For projects below ### - TBD, manufacturer's tests are acceptable. For projects above ###, the volume of material used requires testing specific to the project.

[41] Subject to change pending findings from vendor/manufacturer of media used in experiments.

[42] Subject to change pending findings from vendor/manufacturer of media used in experiments.

2.6 Iron Aggregate

The Iron Aggregate should be ground Iron meeting the following requirements for quality and grading:

Table 2-10 Iron Aggregate Specifications[ww]

Test / Method	Criterion	Requirement	Testing Responsibility[43]
Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (EPA Method 1312) and EPA Method 353.2	NO_3+NO_2[s]	0.15 mg/L (Max.)	Proponent
Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (EPA Method 1312) and NEMI Method SM 4500-P E-99	Total Phosphorus	0.15 mg/L (Max.)	Proponent
	Ortho phosphorus	0.15 mg/L (Max.)	Proponent
Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Protocol (EPA Method 1312) and EPA Method 200.8 UCT-KED	Copper	3.5 µg/L[xx]	Proponent
Producer Analysis	Iron Content by weight	80% - 97%	Manufacturer
Gradation (ASTM D422) or Producer Analysis[yy]	#4	100% passing	Manufacturer
	#8	95 -100% passing	Manufacturer
	#16	75-90% passing	Manufacturer
	#30	25-45% passing	Manufacturer
	#50	0-10% passing	Manufacturer
	#100	0-5% passing	Manufacturer
	#200	0-2.5% passing	Manufacturer

[43] Where noted as Manufacturer or Proponent, depends on volume. Though the manufacturer will provide many of the tests indicated in this table, manufacturer tests are only run periodically on the source material not on the exact material supplied for the project. For projects below ### - TBD, manufacturer's tests are acceptable. For projects above ###, the volume of material used requires testing specific to the project.

2.6.1 60:40 Bioretention Soil Media (BSM) Aggregate: For Flow Control BMP applications only

2.6.1.1 60:40 BSM Aggregate Material, i.e., Sand

- The sand must be natural; manufactured sand is not allowed.
- Where underdrained to or infiltrating within ¼ mile of a sphagnum bog wetland, the sand must be silica-based sand rather than an alternative, e.g., aragonite sand.

2.6.1.2 60:40 BSM Aggregate Gradation

The following table provides a gradation guideline for the aggregate component of a 60:40 Bioretention Soil Mix specification in western Washington. This sand gradation is often supplied as a well-graded utility or screened. With compost, this blend provides enough fines for adequate water retention, hydraulic conductivity within recommended range (see below), pollutant removal capability, and plant growth characteristics for meeting design guidelines and objectives.

Table 2-11 60:40 Bioretention Soil Mix Mineral Aggregate Gradation

Sieve Size	Percent Passing
3/8”	100
#4	95-100
#10	75-90
#40	25-40
#100	4-10
#200	2-4

Where existing soils meet the above aggregate gradation, those soils may be amended rather than importing mineral aggregate.

3 Bioretention Media Assembly

All percent values listed below are by volume

3.1 60:40 Bioretention: For flow control BMP (FCBMP) applications only

- 60% (+/- 3%) sand meeting specification 11-C 2.6.1.
- 40% (+/- 3%) compost meeting specification Reference 11-C 2.1.1
- Mulch for 60:40 bioretention meeting specification Reference 11-C 2.6

3.2 High Performance Bioretention Designs (HPBD) Assembly

'High Performance Bioretention' Designs (HPBD) for water quality treatment facilities and as an FCBMP option. Depending on use, i.e., *basic*, *enhanced basic*, or *sensitive lake* (phosphorus control) **water quality treatments**, or as an FCBMP, these designs are composed of one to three layers, two of which are media mixes. The **Layer** types are:

3.2.1 Primary Layer

- 70% (+/- 3%) sand meeting specification Reference 11-C sections **2.4.3.1** and **2.4.3.2**
- 20% (+/- 2%) coconut coir fiber meeting specification Reference 11-C 2.4.1
- 10% (+/- 1%) high carbon wood ash meeting specification Reference 11-C 2.4.2.1 and 0

3.2.2 Polishing Layer

- 90 % (+/- 1%) sand meeting specification Reference 11-C sections **2.4.3.1** and **2.4.3.3**.
- 7.5% (+/- 0%) activated alumina meeting specification Reference 11-C **2.5**.
- 2.5% (+/- 0%) iron aggregate meeting specification Reference 11-C **2.6**.

3.2.3 2 Inch Compost Layer

Use Reference 11-C 2.1.1 specifications.

3.2.4 Mulch

Use 11-C **2.2 specifications**.

4 Sampling Frequency

4.1 Small applications: single family residence flow control BMPs

4.1.1 For Testing Responsibility - Manufacturer

4.1.1.1 Bagged / packaged materials

- Analysis on each bag or package label (copies of all labels), or
- Separate analysis sheet or sheets provide by vendor for each bag or package

4.1.1.2 Bulk

- Analysis sheet or sheets provided by vendor at a rate of no less than one analysis for each individual truck bed load delivered.

4.1.2 For Testing Responsibility - Proponent

4.1.2.1 Bagged / packaged materials

- For a bioretention cell, equal size subsamples to be taken from each bag and mixed thoroughly for a single composite sample for analysis for the cell.

4.1.2.2 Bulk

- For a bioretention cell, five equal size subsamples to be taken from random representative locations and depths from the bulk delivery and mixed thoroughly for a single composite sample for analysis for the cell.

4.2 Large applications: water quality treatment facilities, and high density residential, commercial, and industrial flow control BMPs

4.2.1 For Testing Responsibility – Manufacturer

4.2.1.1 Bagged / packaged materials

- Analysis on each bag or package label (copies of all labels), or
- Separate analysis sheet or sheets provide by vendor for each bag or package

4.2.1.2 Bulk

- Analysis sheet or sheets provided by vendor at a rate of no less than one analysis for each individual truck bed load delivered.

4.2.2 For Testing Responsibility - Proponent

4.2.2.1 Bagged / packaged materials

- For each bioretention cell, equal size subsamples to be taken from one out of every three bags and mixed thoroughly for a single composite sample for analysis per cell.

4.2.2.2 Bulk

- For each 15 yards or less of material, five equal size subsamples to be taken from random representative locations and depths from the bulk delivery and mixed thoroughly for a single composite sample for analysis for that volume.

5 Reporting

Qualified Source Material

PDF files are required for submitting all reports except laboratory-provided EDD[44] files which should be in Excel format. Sent to: Department of Natural Resources and Parks Water and Land Resources Division email address:

WLRD.SWS.SWDM.Data.Submittals@kingcounty.gov

Include the Permit number in the email Subject line for identification. Each subsection that follows lists what is to be reported.

Compost

- DLS[45] permit number, volume purchased and applied, purchase date, and date material applied.
- Manufacturer name and compost batch number(s).
- Gradation per ranges in Reference 11-C **Table 2-1** or **Table 2-2** as applicable.
- For each batch, laboratory analysis of all parameters in WAC 173-350-220 Table 220-B.

Arborist's Wood Mulch

- DLS[45] permit number, volume purchased and applied, purchase date, and date material applied.
- Gradation per ranges in Reference 11-C **Table 2-3**.

Aggregate

- DLS[45] permit number, volume purchased and applied, purchase date, and date material applied.
- Gradation per ranges in Reference 11-C **Table 2-7** or **Table 2-8** as applicable.

All HPBSM Media: sand, coir, high carbon wood ash (HCWA), and iron and activated alumina aggregates

All testing parameter results required in Reference 11-C section **2.4, High Performance Bioretention Media Component Specifications** plus laboratory QA/QC and any laboratory cover letters and/or narratives. Each laboratory report should include all of:

- Laboratory cover letter
- Chain of custody form/report
- Analytical machine printouts
- Analytical results narrative
- QA/QC narrative
- Excel EDD[44] file

Alternative HPBSM Media for which an Adjustment for Use is Requested

An alternative HPBSM media request requires performance testing to evaluate whether the proposed mix achieves the required pollutant removal criteria and acceptable hydraulics.

- Include the Permit number in any communications for identification.
- See section **6** following for required reporting content.

[44] Electronic Data Deliverable
[45] King County Department of Local Services – Permitting Division

- Reports are to be sent in duplicate to:
  - Department of Local Services Permitting Division staff in charge of the Permit under which any facility and/or BMP is being installed, and
  - Department of Natural Resources and Parks Water and Land Resources Division email address: WLRD.SWS.SWDM.Data.Submittals@kingcounty.gov

6 Required for an Adjustment for alternative media:

- Application for a SWDM Adjustment through King County Department of Local Services, Permitting Division.
- A quality assurance project plan (QAPP) as described in Reference 11-C section: **2.3**
- The QAPP is subject to review and approval by King County WLRD staff. The QAPP must cover:
  - Listing which media are already qualified, and which are alternatives.
  - Testing all media for parameters listed in section **5** above for **Qualified source material**, plus **for each proposed alternative media**:
    - Manufacturer's name and contact information.
    - Vendor's name and contact information.
    - Geolocation where material or its feedstock was harvested; for aggregate, where mined, and narrative description of primary source if sand is wash or a blown deposit from another location.
    - Physical and chemical descriptions at least as complete as qualified media characteristics described in individual media sections above; with information garnered from manufacturer and vendor published media specifications, e.g., but not limited to spec. sheets and safety data sheets (SDS); and where necessary characterization by qualified consultants. Specific source citations are required. Examples of additional information including but not limited to:
      - Coir: country of origin (noting ability to geolocate any more specifically will be unlikely) , average fiber length, range of fiber lengths, any pre- or post-processing information, bulk density at specified % moisture, etc.
      - HCWA: feedstock wood type (softwood, hardwood; species if available), harvest (e.g., logging, trimming), feedstock nature (chipped, shredded, or?), bulk density at specified % moisture, m^2 surface area/ m^3, porosity, any manufacturing processing notes (e.g., temperature/duration regimen, washing, etc.), etc.
      - Aggregate: mineral characterization; e.g., predominantly silica, silicon dioxide, etc.
- Once the QAPP is approved, testing may commence.
- Testing must be done by a qualified environmental or engineering consulting firm.
- A Technical Information Report must be submitted, reporting on the testing results. See **5 Reporting** above for where to submit.

7 References

Alvarez, M. Fernanda, Hernán H. Benítez, J. Camilo Villegas Cortés, Néstor A. Gabellone, and M. Cristina Claps. 2016. Effect of tannins on survival and development of Daphnia menucoensis and the abundances of bacteria. *Chemistry and Ecology* 32 (8):742-755.

Amorim, Elba LC, João E Nascimento, Júlio M Monteiro, TJS Peixoto Sobrinho, Thiago AS Araújo, and Ulysses P Albuquerque. 2008. A simple and accurate procedure for the determination of tannin and flavonoid levels and some applications in ethnobotany and ethnopharmacology. *Functional Ecosystems and Communities* 2 (1):88-94.

Berry, T. A., E. Belluso, R. Vigliaturo, R. Gieré, E. A. Emmett, J. R. Testa, G. Steinhorn, and S. L. Wallis. 2022. Asbestos and Other Hazardous Fibrous Minerals: Potential Exposure Pathways and Associated Health Risks. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 19 (7).

Buist, A Sonia. 1982. Are volcanoes hazardous to your health? What have we learned from Mount St Helens? The Oregon Health Sciences University (Specialty Conference). *Western Journal of Medicine* 137:294-301.

Coker, Craig. 2008a. OPERATOR INSIGHTS-MANAGING STORM WATER-Is it stormwater? Is it wastewater? What is the best method to calculate flows at the site? These questions, and more, are answered in BioCycle's new Operator Insights feature. Part I. *Biocycle* 49 (2):28.

Coker, Craig. 2008b. Operator Insights: Storm Water Treatment. *Biocycle* 49 (4):p 29.

Cowlitz County. 2023. Equisetum arvense -- Field Horsetail. Kelso, Cowlitz County: Cowlitz County Noxious Weed Control Board.

Davis, Jay, and Jenifer McIntyre. 2016. Testing the effectiveness of bioretention at reducing the toxicity of urban stormwater to coho salmon: USFS, NOAA-Fisheries, Washington State University, and Suquamish Tribe.

EPA, US. 2016. EPA's Partial Approval/Partial Disapproval of Washington's Human Health Water Quality Criteria and Implementation Tools: Office of Water and Watersheds. Daniel D. Opalski.

EWG. *Pouring it On. Nitrate Contamination of Drinking Water* 1996 [cited. Available from https://www.ewg.org/research/pouring-it.

Fakhreddine, Sarah, Jessica Dittmar, Don Phipps, Jason Dadakis, and Scott Fendorf. 2015. Geochemical Triggers of Arsenic Mobilization during Managed Aquifer Recharge. *Environmental Science & Technology* 49 (13):7802-7809.

Fendorf, Scott, Holly A. Michael, and Alexander van Geen. 2010. Spatial and Temporal Variations of Groundwater Arsenic in South and Southeast Asia. *Science* 328 (5982):1123-1127.

Hendrix, D. A., J. A. Hurowitz, T. D. Glotch, and M. A. A. Schoonen. 2021. Olivine Dissolution in Simulated Lung and Gastric Fluid as an Analog to the Behavior of Lunar Particulate Matter Inside the Human Respiratory and Gastrointestinal Systems. *Geohealth* 5 (11):e2021GH000491.

Herrera. 2018. Quality Assurance Project Plan. Bioretention Media Blends to Improve Stormwater Treatment: Final Phase of Study to Develop New Specifications: Prepared for King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks by Herrera Environmental Consultants, Inc.

Herrera. 2020. Bioretention Media Blends to Improve Stormwater Treatment: Final Phase Of Study To Develop New Specifications. Final Report: Prepared for King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks by Herrera Environmental Consultants, Inc.

Hinman, Curtis. 2023. E-mail discussion re: experimental media specifications. Bellingham, WA, June 28.

Howie, Doug. 2018a. Technical Guidance Manual for Evaluating Emerging Stormwater Treatment Technologies: Technology Assessment Protocol – Ecology (TAPE). In *Publication No. 18-10-038*. Lacey, WA: Washington State Department of Ecology.

Howie, Doug. 2018b. Technology Assessment Protocol-Ecology (TAPE) Process Overview. In *Publication No. 18-10-039*. Lacey, WA: Washington State Department of Ecology.

Howie, Douglas, and Brandi Lubliner. 2021. Guidance on using new high performance bioretention soil mixes. In *Publication No. 21-10-023*. Lacey, WA: Washington State Department of Ecology,.

Howie, Douglas, and Brandi Lubliner. 2024. Guidance on using new high performance bioretention soil mixes. In *Publication No. 21-10-023*. Lacey, WA: Washington State Department of Ecology,.

Kakavandi, N. R., A. Hasanvand, M. Ghazi-Khansari, A. H. Sezavar, H. Nabizadeh, and M. Parohan. 2018. Maternal dietary nitrate intake and risk of neural tube defects: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. *Food Chem Toxicol* 118:287-293.

King County. 2023. Testing Removal of 6PPD-Q and Coho Salmon Lethality by High Performance Bioretention Media Blends: Final Quality Assurance Project Plan. Seattle, WA: King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks. Submitted by Jennifer White and Jenée Colton (KC WLRD), Fran Sweeney (KCEL), and Curtis Hinman (Hinman and Associates, Bellingham, WA).

Lewandowski, Tera. 2024. Botanicare CocoGro chemical analysis. Personal communication - email with attachments, January 16, 2024.

Libralato, Giovanni, Francesco Avezzù, and Annamaria Volpi Ghirardini. 2011. Lignin and tannin toxicity to Phaeodactylum tricornutum (Bohlin). *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 194:435-439.

Malpas, J. 1992. Serpentine and the geology of serpentinized rocks. In *The Ecology of Areas with Serpentinized Rocks: A World View*, edited by B. A. Roberts and J. Proctor. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.

Marzini, Lorenzo, Marco Iannini, Giovanna Giorgetti, Filippo Bonciani, Paolo Conti, Riccardo Salvini, and Cecilia Viti. 2024. Asbestos Hazard in Serpentinite Rocks: Influence of Mineralogical and Structural Characteristics on Fiber Potential Release. *Geosciences* 14 (8):210.

McIntyre, Jenifer, Jay Davis, and Thorsten Knappenberger. 2020. Plant and Fungi Amendments to Bioretention for Pollutant Reduction over Time: Final Report to Washington State Department of Ecology Stormwater Action Monitoring [Program].

MDH. 2018. Nitrate and Methemoglobinemia. https://www.health.state.mn.us/communities/environment/water/docs/contaminants/nitratmethemog.pdf; posted at https://www.health.state.mn.us/communities/environment/water/contaminants/nitrate.html#HealthEffects: Minnesota Department of Health.

Mutinda, Elizabeth Syowai, Dong-Juan Zhang, Felix Wambua Muema, Elijah Mbandi Mkala, Emmanuel Nyongesa Waswa, Wyclif Ochieng Odago, Clintone Onyango Ochieng, Moses Kirega Gichua, John Kamau Muchuku, Elizabeth Kamande, and Guang-Wan Hu. 2024. The genus Balanophora J. R.

Forst. & G. Forst. – Its use in traditional medicine, phytochemistry, and pharmacology: A review. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 319:117276.

NC DEQ DWM. 2005. Asbestos Minerals Sites – Initial Screening. Site Information NC-5. Balsam Bap Olivine Deposit. https://epi.dph.ncdhhs.gov/oee/noa/docs/NC-5%20Balsam%20Gap%20Olivine%20Deposit%20Report.pdf: North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality. Waste Management Division.

NJ Health. 2002 (rev 2010). Hazardous Substance Fact Sheet - Silica, Cristobalite. https://www.nj.gov/health/eoh/rtkweb/documents/fs/1657.pdf: New Jersey Department of Health.

OSU Extension. *Ivy removal in a home landscape* 2008 (rev. 2024) [cited. Available from https://extension.oregonstate.edu/es/node/97001/printable/print.

OSU Extension. *Knowledgebase - Is Common Horsetail (Equisetum arvense) a problem species? #754499* 2021 [cited. Available from https://ask2.extension.org/kb/faq.php?id=754499.

Pascoli Cereda, Marney. 2024. Chapter 15 - Starch glues and adhesives. In *Starch Industries: Processes and Innovative Products in Food and Non-Food Uses*, edited by M. P. Cereda and O. F. Vilpoux: Academic Press.

Patterson, Kaisa H. 2020. Arsenic Release From Surface Soils Induced by Stormwater Bioretention. All Graduate Theses and Dissertations. 7763, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Utah State University, Logan, UT.

Pokorny, Kym. *Get a leg up on fruit tree problems with dormant oils*. Oregon State Univerity Extension Service 2023 [cited.

Rosenfield, AB, and Roberta Huston. 1950. Infant methemoglobinemia in Minnesota due to nitrates in well water. *Minnesota medicine* 33 (8):789-796.

Samanek, Jan. *How to Get Rid of Ivy*. Oregon State University 2023 [cited. Available from https://solvepestproblems.oregonstate.edu/weeds/ivy.

Sharma, Kartik, Vikas Kumar, Jaspreet Kaur, Beenu Tanwar, Ankit Goyal, Rakesh Sharma, Yogesh Gat, and Ashwani Kumar. 2021. Health effects, sources, utilization and safety of tannins: a critical review. *Toxin Reviews* 40 (4):432-444.

Sirisangsawang, Rinlada, and Natacha Phetyim. 2023. Optimization of tannin extraction from coconut coir through response surface methodology. *Heliyon* 9 (2):e13377.

Solie, Diana N., and Jennifer E. Athey. 2015. Preliminary Evaluation of Bedrock Potential for Naturally Occurring Asbestos in Alaska: State of Alaska, Department of Natural Resources. Alaska Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys. Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys.

Svensson, Henric. 2014. Characterization, toxicity and treatment of wood leachate generated outdoors by the wood-based industry. Doctoral thesis, comprehensive summary, Linnaeus University Dissertations, Linnaeus University Press, Växjö.

Taylor, Alex, Jill Wetzel, Emma Mudrock, Kennith King, James Cameron, Jay Davis, and Jenifer McIntyre. 2018. Engineering Analysis of Plant and Fungal Contributions to Bioretention Performance. *Water* 10 (9):1226.

US EPA. 1974. Identification and Assessment of Asbestos Emissions from Incidental Sources of Asbestos - Report EPA-650/2-74-087. In *Environmental Protection Technology Series*: United States Environmental Protection Agency.

US EPA. 2019. Memorandum to Ms. Maia Bellon, Director, Department of Ecology, Re: The EPA ' s Reversal of the November 15, 2016 Clean Water Act Section 303(c) Partial Disapproval of Washington's Human Health Water Quality Criteria and Decision to Approve Washington's Criteria. Seattle, WA: Office of the Regional Administrator, Region 10. Chris Hladick.

US EPA. 2020. Withdrawal of Certain Federal Water Quality Criteria Applicable to Washington: Federal Register 40 CFR 131 Document Citation 85 FR 28494.

US EPA. 2022. 40 CFR Part 131. Restoring Protective Human Health Criteria in Washington. Final Rule. In *Vol. 87, No. 222 / Friday, November 18, 2022*, edited by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Virta, Robert L. 2002 (?). U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey. Asbestos: Geology, Mineralogy, Mining, and Uses: Prepared in cooperation with Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, Online Edition, Wylie-Interscience, a division of John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, NY.

WA DOH. 2022. Nitrate in Drinking Water. Fact Sheet 331-214. https://doh.wa.gov/sites/default/files/legacy/Documents/Pubs/331-214.pdf; posted at https://doh.wa.gov/community-and-environment/drinking-water/contaminants/nitrate.

Walton, G. 1951. Survey of literature relating to infant methemoglobinemia due to nitrate-contaminated water. *Am J Public Health Nations Health* 41 (8 Pt 1):986-96.

Ward, Mary H, Rena R Jones, Jean D Brender, Theo M de Kok, Peter J Weyer, Bernard T Nolan, Cristina M Villanueva, and Simone G van Breda. 2018. Drinking Water Nitrate and Human Health: An Updated Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 15 (7).

Wikimedia. *File:Coir fibery.jpg* [Digital image file]. Wikipedia - Wikimedia 2024 [cited January 19, 2024. Available from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Coir_fibery.jpg; licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3.0 Unported license.

WSDOT. 2025. Standard Specifications for Road, Bridge, and Municipal Construction Olympia, WA: Washington State Department of Transportation.

Xie, Zhifeng, Miaoling Wang, Yusong Deng, Jining Li, Jiantao Li, Wending Pang, Liujun Xie, Daihua Jiang, Zhigang Huang, Tieguang He, and Gairen Yang. 2022. Acute toxicity of eucalyptus leachate tannins to zebrafish and the mitigation effect of Fe(3+) on tannin toxicity. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 229:113077.

8 Basis and Other Explanatory Notes

[a] From WSDOT (2025) Section 9-14.5(8) Compost.

[b] From WSDOT (2025) Section 9-14.5(8) Compost.

[c] The vast majority of web-accessible information on cardboard safety with respect to mulching and composting is anecdotal, i.e., from gardening and composting commercial and forum websites. Most of the sites' content center on recycling packaging, i.e., corrugated cardboard boxes. Some sites opine that corrugated cardboard is OK because the adhesives used in manufacture are starch based. However, those opinions don't factor that the starches may be chemically modified (Pascoli Cereda 2024); whether those modifications render any of the adhesives less biodegradable and/or make them toxic is an open question. Some sites do recognize potential risk from other glues used in forming and sealing boxes, and some acknowledge contamination from plastic tape; we also note risk from stick-on documentation 'windows', and labels and their adhesives. Then there are the issues of coatings, i.e., plastic and wax; and cardboard and/or coatings may in some cases may be treated with pesticide (insecticide or fungicide); and print, which may contain heavy metals and/or toxic organic compounds. last, staples are used to close some packaging, posing sharps hazard. At best, non-corrugated cardboard sheets, e.g., those used to separate layers of goods on warehouse pallets may contain no harmful substances, but mulch made of shreds of that material will fall apart rapidly exposed to the elements; it will in no way emulate the physicochemical characteristics of wood chip mulch; the same can be said of any other cardboard mulch, toxicity questions notwithstanding.

[d] Same arguments against use as presented in endnote **c**, re: cardboard, with the exception of corrugated material, which is not applicable to paper products.

[e] This falls between Ecology's liberal "Shredded wood mulch is made from shredded tree trimmings, usually from trees cleared on site" and WSDOT's more constrained " Bark or wood chip mulch shall be derived from fir, pine, or hemlock species". Noting that Ecology's 2019 SWMMWW is inconsistent and sometimes refers to wood mulch as shredded as just noted, sometimes, as chipped ("chipped on-site vegetation"), and sometimes both ("Shredded or chipped hardwood or softwood"). Also applying middle ground between - in this case WSDOT's more liberal " Bark or wood chip mulch", ostensibly allowing 100% bark, and Ecology's SWMMWW more constrained, "Mulch shall not be . . . . Pure bark (bark is essentially sterile and inhibits plant establishment)". That all said, neither Ecology nor WSDOT provide research citations to support their takes on acceptable woods or percent bark. Literature search and review, and consultation with certified PNW arborists is warranted for future manual updates.

[f] Fruit trees, even those grown organically, are subject to at least dormant oil spray containing "horticultural oil, copper and sulfur" (Pokorny 2023). Non-organic tree culture may be subject to other toxic applications.

[g] No scientific research literature found. From gardening and composting commercial and forum websites, it sounds like – but is not certain – chipped limbs and leaves are unlikely to root and propagate; but it appears certain that berries present may root.

[h] Not itself a tree, but trees may harbor ivy, hence the prohibition included in feedstock restrictions. Oregon State University staff and OSU Extension give conflicting information on fragmented ivy rooting, and it's about composting, but should be equally applicable to mulch. On one web page they say, "is not recommended for all invasive species, since many can re-sprout from even the tiniest fragment. But for ivy, it is probably OK" (OSU Extension 2008 (rev. 2024)). On another web page they say, "Root and stem fragments lying on the soil surface will make new plants" (Samanek 2023).

[i] Common name: Field horsetail. Taxonomic name: *Equisetum arvense*. Also called scouringrush, mare's tail, and snakegrass. "Although Field Horsetail is a native plant in the Pacific Northwest, it is considered a nuisance weed to gardeners and farmers. It forms dense colonies along irrigation banks, crop fields, and pastures, which can interfere with crop yield. Field Horsetail reproduces from spores, spreading rhizomes, and tubers. It is toxic to

livestock." (Cowlitz County 2023) https://www.co.cowlitz.wa.us/DocumentCenter/View/146/Field-Horsetail?bidId=

Common name: Giant horsetail. Taxonomic name *Equisetum telmateia*: "Pacific Northwest Invasive Plant Council does list giant horsetail, *Equisetum telmateia*, as invasive in Oregon. Horsetail is of concern because of its aggressive root system, which consists of rhizomes and tubers, that can spread 2-3 feet wide and 6 feet down into the soil. It also produces spores which are carried in the air. For these reasons, horsetail is one of the most difficult plants to get rid of and should be prevented from becoming established.

Although native, it is native to most of North America and Europe. When found in pastures, it is toxic to livestock and other animals, and when it finds its way to waterways, it can impede waterflow." (OSU Extension 2021).

[j] Percent passing 2 in. and No. 4 confirmed from *WSDOT 2025 Standard Specifications 9-14.5(3) Bark or Wood Chip Mulch* (WSDOT 2025). That spec. lists only those two gradations. 5/8 in. gradation found in "Redmond BSM WSDOT Special Provision (unpublished draft Word file; pers com email from Andy Rheaume, City of Redmond; dated 5/29/2014); but other gradations differ from WSDOT's 2025 spec. Further research needed to find where 11-C 5/8 in. gradation came from. In the long run, need to research further for consensus and basis, since there are no research citations for any of the noted specifications.

[k] Hinman (2023)

[l] Hinman (2023)

[m] (Hinman 2023)

[n] Intent is cation exchange, not pH buffering per se; in particular, replacement of sodium ions by calcium. Coconut coir production includes soaking the coconuts in sea water; resulting in raw coir saturation with sodium chloride. The provider of the coir used in experiments indicated the process they use is a calcium replacement of the seawater sodium and potassium. The vendor's product process specifics (calcium salt used, concentration, soak duration, follow-up rinsing, etc.) have not been ascertained at this point in time; a number of coir vendor websites suggest that calcium nitrate use is common. Hinman (2023) suggests that repeated freshwater rinses may achieve the same result; the coir supplier has indicated that as well, even though their process is calcium substitution first, followed by thorough rinsing (thoroughness not defined). The electrical conductivity test in **Table 2-4** is to ensure sufficiently low level of residual salt.

[o] Similar to compost per 173-350-220 WAC. In that case, Table 220-B allows up to dry weight 1% overall and limits 'film plastic' to 0.25%, but footnotes that with a.) a label requirement when film plastic exceeds 0.1%, and that footnote references 173-350-220 (6)(f)(iii)(D)(I), which in turn references labeling requirement (6)(f)(iii)(D)(II); the label to say, "This compost does not meet Department of Ecology standards for film plastic content for unrestricted use. This compost may only be used in locations where a means of removing or containing the film plastic on-site is put in place promptly after use. Acceptable controls include removal from the site, incorporation, planting, covering with soil or another media, or containment in a compost sock or similar device. This product may not be used adjacent to regulated waters of the state (e.g., wetlands, streams, lakes) or in environmentally sensitive areas." Substituting *coir* for *compost* it is clear that media exceeding 0.1% dry wt. film plastic is unsuitable for use as stormwater filter media. 173-350-220 provides no basis for allowing up to 1% contaminants excluding film plastic; we can only guess that the allowance is because of the nature of compost feedstocks, for which there is a large degree of lack of control. Coir on the other hand should be a single-material product with a higher degree of certainty. Hence, the only contaminant allowed for coir is the stated limit of film plastic related to packaging.

[p] Same limit as compost per 173-350-220 WAC.

[q] Same limit as compost per 173-350-220 WAC.

[r] Same limit as compost per 173-350-220 WAC.

[s] Parameter required by WA Ecology's HPBSM Guidance. KC WLRD SWS rationale supplement: Noted by a coir vendor: "To buffer coco coir, you must expose it to higher concentrations of a solution that you want in the media like calcium nitrate and magnesium nitrate." (Shogun Fertilizers

https://www.shogunfertilisers.com/en/growing-information/blogs/what-is-coco-coir-washing-vs-buffering/). SPLP is to verify that any potential buffering with calcium nitrate has been followed by rinsing sufficiently to decrease nitrate sufficiently.

[t] For the time being 0.15 mg/L nitrate-nitrite as N appears to be indicative of adequate human health and direct aquatic biota health, but bears a deeper dive into past, ongoing, and future research literature. Reasoning follows:

There are no 173-201A WAC surface water quality criteria for nitrate or nitrite; that is not an assurance of safety – it is simply failure to establish and publish criteria. The 173-200 WAC groundwater quality standard (GWQS) is 10 mg/L nitrate (as N); 173-200 makes a connection between groundwater and drinking water, and refers to the Federal 'Safe Drinking Water Act' (SDWA), from which the maximum contaminant level (MCL) for nitrate is then ostensibly the basis for the 173-200 value. The SWDA is somewhat misleadingly named in that not all of the regulatory maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) are safe; see endnote 26.

Re: surface water quality, there may be nitrogen-limiting TMDLs present or future, and they may set maximum concentrations.

Re: both surface and groundwater and human health with respect to drinking water; whether 10 mg/L as N is a safe drinking water level for infants is debatable. WA DOH (2022) provides no basis for that – one suspects they're just passing on the EPA and 173-200 WAC standard. MDH (2018) does provide a basis claiming 10 mg/L as N is safe, but their claim is based on cited 73-74 year old (at the time of this writing) data encompassing an extremely small sample size relative to the U.S. population, and a single toxicity assessment, i.e., methemoglobinemia in infants. MDH-cited data publications, reported cases dates and sample sizes were Rosenfield and Huston (1950) (n=6:); and Walton (1951) (n=278, for which MDH (2018) notes, "of which 214 included information about drinking water sources"). Walton (1951) further noted 39 deaths out of the 278 reported cases. US EPA's value of 10 mg/L as N is likewise based on the 1951 data; EWG (1996) offer a critique of using those data as a basis.

WA DOH (2022) does caution re: miscarriage or birth defects, but only cautions against nitrate consumption above 10 mg/L as N, and provides no basis citations. Ward et al. (2018) point out that "other health effects including cancer and adverse reproductive outcomes were not considered" in establishing the current nitrate drinking water quality standard; followed by, " . . . nitrate is a precursor in the formation of N-nitroso compounds (NOC); most NOC are carcinogens and teratogens. Thus, exposure to NOC formed after ingestion of nitrate from drinking water and dietary sources may result in cancer, birth defects, or other adverse health effects." They provide a table of cancer studies (January 2004–March 2018). Some of the studies found increased risk of various cancers at < 10 mg/L NO_3 as N as well as at ≥ 10.

[u] Kakavandi et al. (2018) albeit with stated study limitations and caveats, find a specific teratogenic effects, stated as, " Findings from this dose-response meta-analysis indicate that maternal nitrate intake higher than ~3 mg/day is positively associated with NTDs [neural tube defects] risk".

[v] Per Herrera (2020), all results were < 0.09 mg/L. However, there is some uncertainty as to how the contract lab performed the SPLP, including some conjecture that they may have run serial SPLP runs. Reference 11-C allowance for up to 3x SPLP with serial transfer of solids from one to the next allows for that possibility. 0.10 mg/L is the lower end of Ecology's TAPE testing protocol 'typical' stormwater influent treatment range of 0.10 to 0.5 mg/L. Given that the treatment requirement is 50% total P removal, at the high end effluent discharging to a P-sensitive water body is allowed to be as high as 0.25 mg/L. However, inasmuch as we expect treatment itself not to contribute pollutants, 'untreated' lower end of range seems like a reasonable SPLP maximum. Herrera (2020) got a maximum of 0.09 mg/L from SPLP; although there is some uncertainty about how that was carried out, our allowance for sequential SPLP up the 3x should make finding media that meet this value feasible.

[w] 0.5 mg/L is the TAPE influent high end of 'typical' stormwater runoff. Sensitive lakes and phosphorus-sensitive freshwater waterbodies notwithstanding, considering nutrient loading to Puget Sound, treatment media should not discharge more P than typical runoff where treatment is otherwise not required.

[x] Orthophosphate (OP) is a subset of total phosphorus (TP). Seeking both values to inform us with regard to phosphorus loading to freshwater surface waterbodies and to Puget sound. The requirement to measure both might be cut back to requiring one or the other if a statistically robust ratio with low variability is found between the two species over time and with a sufficiently large sample size.

[y] This represents 30% removal from the TAPE influent lower range value of 5 µg//L. Media should not discharge at a concentration that's representative of a land use requiring enhanced basic (metals) treatment.

[z] Both geogenic and plant-based media can contain arsenic; geogenic inherently, and plant-based as a consequence of growth in arsenic-containing soils, (e.g., past use of lead arsenate in WA state apple orchards, soils previously covered by CCA-treated wood decking, adjacent to CCA-treated fence posts and wood fencing, etc.) or industrial contamination (e.g., soils in the Tacoma WA Asarco plume area), and/or irrigation with high-arsenic-bearing groundwater. At least some of the geographical areas documented as having high groundwater arsenic concentrations, e.g., Fendorf et al. (2010), are also coconut coir producing areas; whether that results in translocation of arsenic to coir is an open question. While compost is a limited-depth mulch layer as a component of HPBSM Type 3, that compost-based bioretention can be a net source of arsenic in leachate (Davis and McIntyre 2016; McIntyre et al. 2020; Taylor et al. 2018) is another indicator of need to be mindful that plant material can contain and leach arsenic. Depending on source, bioretention media can leach arsenic for prolonged periods at levels exceeding to greatly exceeding groundwater quality criteria and surface water criteria for human health (consumption). To whatever extent arsenic leachate from compost, coir, or aggregate is arsenate, arsenate chemistry is similar to phosphate. In theory then, the HPBSM polishing layer may sequester whatever leaches as arsenate. This is worth investigating further, as is the question of oxidation state speciation in immediate media leachate, and nature of oxidation state transformation during saturated periods if/when anaerobic; the point being that only arsenate may be sequestered similarly to phosphate. There is also the issue that eventually the polishing layer will become saturated by phosphorus and/or arsenic, and breakthrough will occur.

That arsenic mobilization from native or contaminated soils to groundwater can occur (Fakhreddine et al. 2015; Patterson 2020) is a whole other needed realm of investigation with regard criteria for placing infiltrative bioretention. Prudence dictates at least criteria and assessment of arsenic leachability from media to be used in bioretention, to be sure the installations will not themselves be net sources of arsenic, whether discharging to ground or surface water. Bioretention should explicitly be disallowed in areas where soils are contaminated with arsenic.

[aa] The WAC 173-200 state groundwater quality standards (GWQS) criterion for total arsenic is 0.05 μg/L. The surface water quality standards (SWQS) criteria with Clean Water Act (CWA) applicability for human health are inorganic arsenic 0.018 μg/L for consumption of water and organisms, and 0.14 μg/L for consumption of water only; based on the National Toxics Rule, as documented in EPA memos to Ecology (EPA 2016; US EPA 2019), in the Federal Register (US EPA 2020, 2022), and presently (November, 2024) in WA Ecology's proposed update of WAC 173-201A human health criteria. We are applying 0.10 to bioretention assuming intent is to infiltrate to ground as much as possible, hence to groundwater, although infiltration near or underdrainage to a surface waterbody can result in surface water discharge. and allowing for 2:1 dilution, although this is pure conjecture, and could be greater or less. Whether infiltrative soils provide any sequestration or barrier will vary from one extreme – clay, to the other extreme – gravel outwash, with variable outcomes between those. Surface water affords greater opportunity for flushing and dilution compared to groundwater which flows much more slowly; further, the SWQS is for inorganic arsenic only, not total. While a survey of current literature suggests general agreement that organic arsenic is less toxic than inorganic, opinions vary from little concern to need to take a closer look – especially depending on the organic moiety.

Except for a brief period in the last decade, and up to the time of this publication revision, WAC 173-201A human health criteria for arsenic were set at 10 μg/L; ostensibly from the federal SDWA ('safe' drinking water act) limit of 10 μg/L. The SWDA value however was established politically for what was considered to achievable treatment-wise at affordable cost at the time the value was set; but it is not maximally protective; it does not meet the <1/10^6 cancers per year criterion for most other substances. Also noting that while the SWDA MCL (maximum contaminant level) for arsenic is 10 μg/L, the MCLG (maximum contaminant level goal) is zero – a tacit

acknowledgement that no level is safe. It is an unfortunate consequence of the word Safe in the SWDA title that 10 μg/L is considered by many to be a safe level.

[bb] Information on the coconut coir used in experiments which is to date the basis for these specifications, is garnered from Hinman (2023) and the coir supplier. Conveying information from the source country provider, the coir is described by the U.S. vendor as being composted aerobically from 30 to 90 days to remove tannins, and may be aged for up to 120 days. A follow-up query to the vendor indicated that 'composted aerobically' means 'done out in the open', with piles managed to a degree to assist and accelerate the removal of the tannins, but no indication as to specifics regarding 'managed to a degree', and no indication of temperature management. As such there is no suggestion that the material would meet 173-350-220 WAC composting methodology, hence, questioning use of the term 'composted'; that by itself is not disqualifying, but it obscures exactly what's involved in material processing. Regarding need to mitigate leachable tannins, other than the supplier's indication of that as a concern, Svensson (2014) indicates, "Tannins and lignin . . . are considered a toxic group of compounds". That author mentions leachate phenol toxicity as well. These beg the question, 'toxic to what?' and at what concentrations. Sharma et al. (2021) note that tannins are present in a wide variety of foods, offering these numbers:

> "*In India, the daily intake of tannin varies from 1500 – 2500 mg as per the diet analysis whereas in USA, it is 1 g per day (may vary from region to region). Much of the content of tannin in diet is contributed by spices. Daily intake of tannin below the range of 1.5 – 2.5 g is safe for consumption and do not cause any side effects but the consumption beyond this range is responsible for low absorption of iron from diet [citing] (Rao and Prabhavathi 1982*)"

Given no suggestion of associated toxicity at those levels suggests that a drinking water level in the range of ≤ 100 mg/L, even at the maximum poses low risk even at consumption of 10 L/day, with the caveat that that depends on concurrent tannin consumption from food. What about aquatic biota?

Coker (2008a) and (2008b) likewise indicates tannins and phenols as toxic, and are causal BOD agents; and according to Xie et al. (2022), "various types of tannins derived from different plants have different toxicities to fish". Coconut coir is a source of tannins, and tannin is noted as a polyphenolic compound (Sirisangsawang and Phetyim 2023).

Work is needed to establish a most-sensitive aquatic species protective concentration, and how to measure tannin, lignin, and phenol concentrations.

Literature research to date: Re: species sensitivity:

Libralato et al. (2011) report for marine alga *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* (Bohlin); " Lignin and tannin showed an E_rC_{50} of 113.84 (100.90–128.45) mg/L and 26.04 (20.10–33.95) mg/L, respectively. NOEC and LOEC values were together <0.1 mg/L and 0.1 mg/L, in that order. Moreover, it was observed a morphological change of the algae fusiform shape occurring only at tannin concentrations ≥75 mg/L and <185 mg/L."

Alvarez et al. (2016) report "tannins diminish *D[aphnia]. menucoensis's* survival, locomotion, and the capacity to respond to stimuli". At four tannin concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 7.5 mg/L, designated control, low, medium, and high concentrations, up to 8 days there appear to be no significant organism abundance differences between the tannin levels; at day 16 abundance at 5.0 and 7.5 mg/L is substantially lower than at 0.5 and 2.5 mg/L; at day 16 abundance at 2.5 mg/L is substantially lower than the 0.5 mg/L control, and abundance is yet further substantially lower at 5.0 and 7.5 mg/L. Calculated 48 hour LC50 was 36.4 mg/L, and 100% mortality occurred at 45 mg/L.

Per Amorim et al. (2008), "The tannins are classified, according to their biosynthetic origin, into two groups: the hydrolysable tannins, found in woody and herbaceous dicotyledons, which are characterized by an esterified glycosidic nucleus with gallic acids (gallotannins), and ellagic acids (ellagitannins), formed from the shikimate metabolic route and the condensed tannins or proanthocyanidins, that occur mostly in gymnosperms and angiosperms and are polymers of the flavan-3-ol and/or flavan-3,4-diol, derived from phenylpropanoid metabolism [citing] (Bruneton 1991; Santos and Mello 2004)." Per Mutinda et al. (2024), "Tannins are classified into four types: gallotannins, which contain galloyl units or derivatives bound to various polyol-, catechin-, or triterpenoid units;

ellagitanins, which contain at least two galloyl units C–C coupled to each other but do not contain a glycosidically linked catechin unit; complex tannins, which contain a catechin unit glycosidically bound to either a gallotannin or ellagitannin and condensed tannins, all of which are oligomeric and polymeric proanthocyanidins [citing] (Khanbabaee and Ree 2001)."

Whether these differences in tannin categorization result from 'lumping' vs 'splitting', or the biochemical characterization has improved over time is not being assessed here; the point is that it's clear that there's considerable variability within tannins, hence, it's possible that no single analytical method will be reliable for all plant matter. These factors and concerns bear further looking into, both as to coir constituent content, and whether the 'composting' as described decreases tannins, lignins, and/or phenols to acceptable content and leachate levels. Along the same lines, we will need to revisit test methods and endpoint criteria as more information is generated and maintained.

[cc] Time-limited search unearthed a single test that may be applicable to the matrix, i.e. Hach Method 8193. However, it's limited to a range of 1 to 9 mg/L. Either the Maximum Value criterion is higher than it should be, or a different test needs to be found that is capable of the Max Value.

[dd] Value pending further research. Very little tannin data found in a time-limited search, both with regard to toxicities which are reported in molarity, and limited reporting on molecular wt of tannins, albeit clearly with a substantial range. Nothing specific to coir tannin mol. wt. average or range, and no research done yet on lignins and phenols.

[ee] Verification of low salt content.

[ff] Basis for these concentrations is 95% UCL calculations from laboratory analytical results for three batch samples of Botanicare CocoGro. Lab reports obtained from Lewandowski (2024). For any given analyte, if all three results were non-detects, the limit maximum allowed here is the reporting limit for those analyses. In all detectable cases, the 95% UCL is higher than the maximum value.

[gg] Specific product identified by personal communication with the product manufacturer.

[hh] These specifications are derived from WA Ecology's HPBSM guidance (Howie and Lubliner 2021, 2024), communications with the supplier, and feedstock limitations that are common to green stormwater infrastructure media in order to prevent GSI media from itself being a source of contamination.

[ii] Parameter and value required by WA Ecology's HPBSM Guidance (Howie and Lubliner 2024).

[jj] Parameter and value required by WA Ecology's HPBSM Guidance (Howie and Lubliner 2024).

[kk] Per Howie and Lubliner (2024). However, personal communication with the HCWA producer for the media used in experiments suggests that product may have been produced from specific tree species, as yet unidentified. We do not know if the broad HCWA allowance from Ecology will result in the same performance.

[ll] Per Howie and Lubliner (2024). Same caveats as immediately prior endnote.

[mm] Orchards are subject to dormant oil spray, which may contain among other substances, copper.

[nn] Parameter and value required by WA Ecology's HPBSM Guidance (Howie and Lubliner 2024).

[oo] Herrera (2020) indicates the most successful media blend used volcanic sand, specifically, "All volcanic sand used was a Walrath 4120 product which was dredged from the Toutle and Cowlitz rivers after those channels were filled with sand from the Mt Saint Helens eruption"; report Table A-1, under Material Composition says, "Varies: olivine, pyroxene and magnetite. Usually Fe rich". This information is attributed by footnote to "Sand Atlas. Http://www.sandatlas.org"; the citation does not represent empirical testing of the specific sand that was used in the experiments; the Atlas descriptors are attributed to "most volcanic sand deposits" globally, so we do not know the actual composition of the volcanic sand used in the experiments, hence the report's description is speculative.

The Atlas description of volcanic sand is generic, indicating potential olivine content, and that in turn leaves open the possibility of some asbestos content. The few web-found descriptors do not include asbestos in the

definition of pure olivine; but numerous sources report asbestos in association with olivine deposits, as a consequence of mineral transformation from olivine (Berry et al. 2022; Hendrix et al. 2021; Malpas 1992; Marzini et al. 2024; NC DEQ DWM 2005; Solie and Athey 2015; US EPA 1974; Virta 2002 (?)).

Volcanic ejecta may contain other crystalline media which pose inhalation risk. E.g., Mt St Helens ash contains at least some cristobalite (Buist 1982), which if present in the sand presents silicosis risk and is a carcinogen (NJ Health 2002 (rev 2010)). So if present, that presents at least human health risk from material handling. Until such time as the specific sand has been tested for and found to not contain asbestos or any other hazardous crystalline mineral content, volcanic sand is not allowed.

[pp] Subject to change. This is verbatim from Ecology's guidance, which doesn't specify the exact sand that was used in bioretention experiments that yielded TAPE pollutant removal goals. Herrera noted one type of sand for the polishing layer, with an aggregate gradation that differed from that used in the polishing layer. Hence, separate aggregate sections Reference 11-C for these two – as placeholders, as both mirror the HPBSM single gradation table for the time being.

[qq] Subject to change. This is verbatim from Ecology's guidance, which doesn't specify the exact sand that was used in bioretention experiments that yielded TAPE pollutant removal goals. Herrera noted one type of sand for the polishing layer, with an aggregate gradation that differed from that used in the polishing layer. Hence, separate aggregate sections Reference 11-C for these two – as placeholders, as both mirror the HPBSM single gradation table for the time being.

[rr] Parameter and value required by WA Ecology's HPBSM Guidance (Howie and Lubliner 2024).

[ss] Parameter and value required by WA Ecology's HPBSM Guidance (Howie and Lubliner 2024).

[tt] Parameter and value required by WA Ecology's HPBSM Guidance (Howie and Lubliner 2024).

[uu] Per Herrera (2020)

[vv] Minimum 0.595 (0.6) mm. Maximum somewhere between 1.19 and 1.5 mm. There is some ambiguity regarding Taylor 14x28 mesh screening resultant particle size range. To be clear to start with, 14x28 mesh is inherently a Taylor listing because US Sieve Size does not include 28; Taylor does.

- Two corporate websites lists indicate 14x28 as passing 0.19 mm and not passing 0.0595 mm; i.e., a range of 0.6 to 1.2 mm with rounding
  - https://www.azom.com/article.aspx?ArticleID=1417
  - https://www.agmcontainer.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Mesh_Equivalents.pdf.
- Dissimilar to the sites above, https://www.qclabequipment.com/sieve_conversion_chart.pdf says that 14 Taylor is the same is US Sieve, and gives a value of 1.41 mm as the larger screen size.
- NSF/ANSI/CAN 61. says "0.5-1.5mm (14x28 mesh)" https://info.nsf.org/Certified/PwsComponents/Listings.asp?StandardExt=PMA&MaterialType=.
- The manufacturer's spec. sheet for the activated alumina used in HPBSM experiments (ActiGuard® F) says 14x28 mesh is "0.6-1.5 mm.

[ww] All required constituents and concentrations per WA Ecology's HPBSM Guidane (Howie and Lubliner 2024), with the exception of the maximum dissolved copper concentration per endnote **xx**.

[xx] This represents 30% removal from the TAPE influent lower range value of 5 µg//L. Media should not discharge at a concentration that's representative of a land use requiring enhanced basic (metals) treatment.

[yy] ECY modification of vendor's specification https://connellygpm.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Connelly-GPM-ZVI-Specifications.pdf.

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 11-E

ROOFING ERODIBLE OR LEACHABLE MATERIALS

(This page intentionally left blank)

REFERENCE 11-E

ROOFING ERODIBLE OR LEACHABLE MATERIALS

Metal roofing coating: Inert, non-leachable material

Metal roofs are considered to be pollution generating impervious surface unless they are coated with PVDF (Polyvinylidene Fluoride) with a manufacturer's 25-year or better guarantee of no metals leaching, and are not subject to venting significant amounts of dusts, mists, or fumes from manufacturing, commercial, or other indoor activities.

Non-metal roofing types that may pose risk but are not currently regulated

The following roof types are currently not regulated as pollution generating surfaces, but there is some evidence that they may pose risks to water quality. This information is provided to assist the public in making more informed choices.

These roof types include any roofing manufactured or treated with biocides for moss, algae, rot, or plant control; i.e. those containing any heavy metal such as copper, lead, zinc, silver, or arsenic, or organic biocides such as (R,S)-mecoprop bi-ester[1] and terbutryn, carbendazim, and Irgarol 1051[2].

Other roof types that may pose risk include synthetic roofing materials that use zinc or any other leachable heavy metal as a manufacturing catalyst or for any other purpose, any roofing material containing any heavy metal as a UV stabilizer or for pigmentation[3]. Phthalates have also been noted as leaching from some synthetic roofing.

❑ SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF NON-METAL AND COATED METAL ROOFS FOR WHICH THERE IS DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE OF SOME RUNOFF RISK

Roofs with potential risk based on regional monitoring of regionally supplied materials[4,5]

- Asphalt shingles with algae resistance (AR)
- EPDM (ethylene propylene diene monomer)
- Manufacturer-painted galvanized steel, painted with silicone-modified polyester paint[6~~2~~, 4~~3~~]
- PVC (polyvinyl chloride)
- Treated wood shakes

[1] Bucheli, Thomas D., Stephan R. Müller, Andreas Voegelin, and René P. Schwarzenbach. 1998. Bituminous Roof Sealing Membranes as Major Sources of the Herbicide (R,S)-Mecoprop in Roof Runoff Waters: Potential Contamination of Groundwater and Surface Waters. Environmental Science & Technology 32 (22):3465-3471.

[2] Background literature review in support of the regional study by Ecology. Winters, Nancy. 2013. Quality Assurance Project Plan. Roofing Materials Assessment: Investigation of Toxic Chemicals in Roof Runoff. Publication No. 13-03-105. Lacey, WA: Washington State Department of Ecology.

[3] Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDE) or other fire retardants may be an issue in Central and Eastern Washington, but according to manufacturers on Ecology's Roofing Task Force, these are not applied in Western Washington.

[4] Materials provided by Western Washington manufacturers and/or vendors. First year of study. Winters, Nancy, and Kyle Graunke. 2014. Roofing Materials Assessment - Investigation of Toxic Chemicals in Roof Runoff. Lacey, WA. https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/SummaryPages/1403003.html

[5] Materials provided by Western Washington manufacturers and/or vendors. Winters, Nancy, Melissa McCall, and Allison Kingfisher. 2014. Roofing Materials Assessment - Investigation of Toxic Chemicals in Roof Runoff from Constructed Panels in 2013 and 2014. Publication No. 14-03-033. Lacey, WA.

Roofs with potential risk based on other Studies[6]

- Asphalt shingles
- Asphalt fiberglass shingles
- Asphalt (residential)
- Asphalt impregnated with copper
- Asphalt roofs with moss-control zinc strips
- Bituminous roof sealing membrane for green roof, treated to inhibit root penetration
- Built-up commercial
- Built-up with coal tar
- Cedar shakes
- Ceramic tile
- Clay tile
- Concrete tile
- Ethylene propylene diene monomer (EPDM or rubber roofing)
- Galfan (aluminum-coated)
- Gravel
- Impregnated wood
- Ondura
- Painted steel
- Pressure treated / water sealed wood
- Polyester
- Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC)
- Synthetic roofing materials, e.g. thermoplastic olefin (TPO)
- Rubber
- Treated roofing materials (non-specific as cited)
- Vegetated roof
- Wood shingle

[6] Background literature review in support of the regional study by Ecology. Winters, Nancy. 2013. Quality Assurance Project Plan. Roofing Materials Assessment: Investigation of Toxic Chemicals in Roof Runoff. Publication No. 13-03-105. Lacey, WA: Washington State Department of Ecology.

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 14

SUPPLEMENTAL APPROVED FACILITIES

14-A King County Approved Proprietary Facilities

14-B King County Approved Public Domain Facilities

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 14-A

KING COUNTY APPROVED PROPRIETARY FACILITIES

(This page intentionally left blank)

REFERENCE 14-A
KING COUNTY APPROVED PROPRIETARY FACILITIES

1. STORMFILTER

The Stormwater Management StormFilter® (StormFilter) is a flow-through stormwater filtration system comprised of a vault that houses media-filled cartridges. As stormwater fills the treatment chamber, stormwater filters through the media to the center of the cartridge, and treated stormwater is collected and discharged through underdrain collection pipes. Figure 14-A.1.A shows a schematic representation of a StormFilter.

Applications and Limitations

A StormFilter with ZPG™ (zeolite/perlite/granulated activated carbon) media may be used as a single facility (with pretreatment) to meet the Basic treatment requirement. In addition, the StormFilter with ZPG may be used as the first (Basic) facility in a treatment train to meet Enhanced Basic, Sensitive Lake Protection, or as the first or second facility in a treatment train to meet Sphagnum Bog Protection. Use of the StormFilter with ZPG as the first facility in a treatment train will require pretreatment.

A StormFilter with CSF™ (leaf compost) media is not approved by Ecology and therefore may not be used as a second water quality facility in an Enhanced Basic treatment train. However, A StormFilter with CSF™ media may be used in a treatment train for sphagnum bog protection, or to mitigate a downstream high pH problem[1].

The StormFilter is capable of being filled with several types of filter media. Until additional performance data is collected for additional types, **only the ZPG media is acceptable to meet Basic water quality requirements, and CSF is only acceptable as part of a treatment train for Sphagnum Bog Protection**. Other types of media may be allowed with an Experimental Design Adjustment after the media has been approved through the Washington State Department of Ecology's TAPE[2] program (see SWDM Section 1.4.2 and Reference 8-F). An adjustment without presettling may be considered on an experimental basis for drainage basins less than ½ acre of impervious area. The StormFilter with ZPG media does not meet the Lake Protection Standard as a stand-alone facility.

Consult the water quality menus in SWDM Section 6.1 for specific information on how a StormFilter may be used to meet Core Requirement # 8.

A. METHODS OF ANALYSIS

StormFilter sizing is based on the water quality design flow and a mass loading method. Since the process and the compost are patented, CONTECH Engineered Solutions (CES; or their successor) personnel will configure a StormFilter based on the design flow provided and specific ***site*** characteristics. The WQ design flow should be based on the modeled flows, described in Chapter 6 and determined using the guidance per the approved model's user reference, rather than on other flow-estimation methods. An accurate description of land use and potential sediment and pollutant loading sources shall also be provided to CES personnel, who will consider these factors in sizing. The specific sizing methodologies are described below.

[1] See SWDM Sections 1.2.2.1: Downstream Analysis, High pH Problem (Type 7), and 1.2.2.2: Drainage Problem Impact Mitigation
[2] Technology Assessment Protocol - Ecology

❑ STORMFILTER WITH ZPG MEDIA FOR BASIC WATER QUALITY TREATMENT

1. The maximum flow rate (gpm/cartridge) is based on the effective cartridge height. The **maximum flow rate per cartridge** shall be per Table14-A.1.A ~~Table14-A.1.A~~ (below). The maximum specific flow rate is 1 gpm/ft^2 of media surface area.
2. The **water quality design flow** shall be as follows, whichever is applicable:
   - **Preceding detention (or no detention):** the ***on-line*** or ***off-line*** (as applicable) WQ flow rate, as determined using the Approved Model with 15-minute time steps calibrated to ***site*** conditions.[3] *The flow rate reported by the model is to be used directly for this application and is* ***not*** *to be modified per SWDM Section 6.2.1*
   - **Downstream of flow control:** The full 2-year release rate from the detention facility.
3. The StormFilter shall be **sized using both the flow-based and mass-based methods** as described in the *Product Design Manual Version 4.1 (April 2006)*, or the most current version, and the designer shall select the result yielding the larger number of cartridges.
4. **Presettling** shall be provided per SWDM Section 6.5.1, "General Requirements for Filtration Facilities".
5. StormFilter systems shall be installed in such a manner that the **flows exceeding the design flow rates** are bypassed or will not suspend captured sediments.
6. **ZPG media shall conform to the following specifications**. Verification that these specifications are met shall be required.
   a) Each cartridge contains a total of approximately 2.6 cubic feet of media. The **ZPG cartridge** consists of an outer layer of perlite that is approximately 1.3 cubic feet in volume and an inner layer, consisting of a mixture of 90% zeolite and 10% granular activated carbon, which is approximately 1.3 cubic feet in volume.
   b) **Zeolite Media:** Zeolite media shall be made of naturally occurring clinoptilolite. The zeolite media shall have a bulk density ranging from 44 to 50 lbs per cubic foot and particle sizes ranging from 0.13" (#6 mesh) to 0.19" (#4 mesh). Additionally, the cation exchange capacity (CEC) of zeolite shall range from approximately 1.0 to 2.2 meq/g.
   c) **Perlite Media:** Perlite media shall be made of natural siliceous volcanic rock free of any debris or foreign matter, The expanded perlite shall have a bulk density ranging from 6.5 to 8.5 lbs per cubic foot and particle size ranging from 0.09" (#8 mesh) to 0.38" (3/8" mesh).
   d) **Granular Activated Carbon:** Granular activated carbon (GAC) shall be made of lignite coal that has been steam-activated. The GAC media shall have a bulk density ranging from 28 to 31 lbs per cubic foot and particle sizes ranging from a 0.09" (#8 mesh) to 0.19" (#4 mesh).

TABLE14-A.1.A MAXIMUM STORMFILTER DESIGN FLOW RATES PER CARTRIDGE FOR BASIC TREATMENT WITH ZPG MEDIA

Effective Cartridge Height (inches)	12	18	27
Cartridge Flow Rate (gpm/cartridge)	5	7.5	11.25

[3] The StormFilter with ZPG has general use level designation (GULD) approval for Basic treatment (80% TSS removal) through WA Ecology's TAPE (Technology Assessment Protocol – Ecology), based on water quality design flow specified in the GULD approval document.
https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/ezshare/wq/tape/use_designations/STORMFILTERzpg1GPMCONTECHguld.pdf

❑ STORMFILTER WITH CSF LEAF MEDIA FOR SPHAGNUM BOG PROTECTION TREATMENT.

1. The **water quality design flow** shall be as follows, whichever is applicable:
   - **Preceding detention (or no detention):** the ***on-line*** or ***off-line*** (as applicable) WQ flow rate, as determined using the Approved Model with 15-minute time steps calibrated to ***site*** conditions. *The flow rate reported by the model is to be used directly for this application and is* ***not*** *to be modified per SWDM Section 6.2.1*
   - **Downstream of flow control:** The full 2-year release rate from the detention facility.
2. The **maximum specific flow rate** shall be 2 gpm/ft^2 of media surface area.
3. The StormFilter shall be **sized using both the flow-based and mass-based methods** as described in the *Product Design Manual Version 4.1 (April 2006)*, or the most current version, and the designer shall select the result yielding the larger number of cartridges.

TABLE 14-A.1.B MAXIMUM STORMFILTER DESIGN FLOW RATES PER CARTRIDGE FOR SPHAGNUM BOG PROTECTION WITH CSF MEDIA

Effective Cartridge Height (inches)	12	18	27
Cartridge Flow Rate (gpm/cartridge)	10	15	22.5

B. DESIGN CRITERIA

Figure 14-A.1.A~~Figure 14-A.1.A~~ (p. Ref 14A-5) illustrates the general configuration of a typical StormFilter unit using standard precast concrete vaults.

General

1. Vaults used for a StormFilter shall conform to the **"Materials"** and **"Structural Stability"** requirements specified for detention vaults (see SWDM Section 5.1.3).
2. Several vault sizes are available for the StormFilter. The details of cartridge configuration and maximum number of cartridges allowed in each size vault are available in Reference Section 7C, StormFilter Access and Cartridge Configuration.

Presettling

Presettling is required as described in SWDM Section 6.5.1, "General Requirements for Filtration Facilities".

Access Requirements

1. **Access must be provided** by either removable panels or other King County approved accesses to allow for removal and replacement of the filter cartridges. Approved access details are available in Reference Section 7C, StormFilter Access and Cartridge Configuration. Removable panels, if used, shall be at grade, have stainless steel lifting eyes, and weight no more than 5 tons per panel.
2. Access to the **inflow and outlet cells** must also be provided.
3. **Ladder access** is required. A minimum 2-foot diameter lateral clear access shall be provided between the entry ladder and any other obstacle, e.g. the nearest cartridge extremity.
4. **Locking lids** shall be provided as specified for detention (see SWDM Section 5.1.3).

5. If removable panels or the Reference Section 7C access configurations are not used, corner **ventilation pipes** shall be provided, and the **minimum internal height and width** and **maximum depth** shall be met (see SWDM Section 5.1.3).

Access Roads, Right of Way, and Setbacks

Same as for detention vaults (see SWDM Section 5.1.3).

Construction Considerations

Installation of a StormFilter cartridge filter facility shall follow the manufacturer's recommended procedures.

Maintenance Requirements

Maintenance needs vary from ***site*** to ***site*** based on the type of land use activity, implementation of source controls, and weather conditions. The StormFilter shall be inspected quarterly or at a frequency recommended by the supplier. Inspection and maintenance shall include the following:

1. The operation and maintenance instructions from the manufacturer shall be kept along with an inspection and maintenance log. The **maintenance log** shall be available for review by County inspectors.
2. **Routine maintenance** shall include inspecting for debris, vegetation, and sediment accumulation, flushing the underdrain, and removing or replacing media.
3. **Maintenance is required when** $^1/_4$ inch of sediment has accumulated on top of the cartridge hood, or 2 inches of sediment have accumulated on the floor. If the cartridges are in standing water more than 12 hours after rainfall has occurred, maintenance is required. The inspector should make sure that the cartridges are not submerged due to backwater conditions caused by high groundwater, plugged pipes, or high hydraulic grade lines, according to the manufacturer's recommendations.
4. **CSF (leaf compost) media** should be replaced according to the sediment recommendations above and at a minimum of every two years.
5. Media shall be disposed of in accordance with applicable regulations, including the Seattle-King County Department of Public Health solid waste regulations (Title 10) and state dangerous waste regulations (WAC 173-303). In most cases, the media may be disposed of as solid waste.

FIGURE 14-A.1.A STORMFILTER SCHEMATIC



KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
SURFACE WATER DESIGN MANUAL

REFERENCE 14-B
KING COUNTY APPROVED PUBLIC DOMAIN FACILITIES

(This page intentionally left blank)

Table of Contents

1. Bioretention Water Quality Facility 4
1.1 Overview 4
1.2 Methods of Analysis 5
1.3 Design Criteria 7
1.4 Modifications for combining with an Infiltration Pond 20

1. Bioretention Water Quality Facility

1.1 Overview

A Bioretention Water Quality Facility treats stormwater via percolation through one or more layers of specified media. Treated stormwater is infiltrated to native soil or is collected and discharged via an underdrain system. Approved media will support plant growth for desired aesthetics and erosion control.

1.1.1 Configurations

Allowed configurations include depression (cell, pond), swale, and planter. Planter here refers to cells constructed with vertical wall (s). Section 6.2.3 of the SWDM contains applicable requirements re: walls, fencing, etc. Swale designs mean a narrow, linear cell that doubles as conveyance (e.g. online system) where overflows go through the facility rather than bypass it. **Swale designs must be designed so that the required design storm volume is completely infiltrated** through media. Swale geometry and slope should be adjusted to maintain velocities for overflow storm so as not to damage channel /media.

1.1.2 Applications and Limitations

A bioretention water quality facility (BWQF) may be used in most residential, commercial, and industrial developments where ***site*** topography and drainage provide adequate hydraulic head.

Bioretention water quality facilities are designed to prevent water from backing up into the filter/media layer(s) (the underdrain system must drain freely). Therefore, a BWQF is more **difficult to install, and may not be suitable, in areas with high water tables** where groundwater could potentially flood the underdrain system.

Bioretention water quality facilities discharge must be by gravity, and must not rely on a pump system. If the pump fails, the media will become saturated, create anoxic conditions, and release pollutants. Pumped inflow is only allowed for privately maintained systems meeting the criteria in Section 4.2.3 of the SWDM.

A bioretention water quality facility should not be used during construction to control sediments unless the filter media/layers are replaced periodically during construction and after the ***project site*** is stabilized.

BWQF should comply with applicable requirements for sand filters in Section 6.2 of the SWDM "General Requirements for WQ Facilities".

1.1.3 Approved Media Designs

Currently, 3 high performance soil media (HPBSM) BWQF designs are approved for use to meet requirements of Core Requirement 8 of the SWDM. These designs are referred to as Type 1, Type 2, and Type 3. Detailed descriptions for each are found under "Filter Composition" in the Design Criteria section of this reference.

1.1.4 Applications to SWDM WQ Treatment Menus

For meeting **basic treatment requirements**: Any of Type 1, Type 2, and Type 3 may be used.

For meeting **enhanced basic treatment** requirements, Any of Type 1, Type 2, and Type 3 may be used.

For meeting **sensitive lake treatment** requirements: Either Type 2 or Type 3 may be used.

1.2 Methods of Analysis

1.2.1 Overview

A bioretention water quality facility is designed with two parts: (1) a **temporary storage reservoir** to store runoff, and (2) a **filter bed** through which the stored runoff must percolate. Usually, the storage reservoir is simply placed directly above the filter, and the floor of the reservoir pond is the top of the filter bed. For this case, the storage volume also determines the hydraulic head over the filter surface, which increases the rate of flow through the filter media.

Bioretention water quality facilities shall be sized using an approved continuous runoff model such that a minimum of 91% of the average annual runoff volume will pass through the filter. Ponding depth of the storage reservoir shall be no greater than 1 foot.

Corrected (design) filtration rate for use in the approved model is set at 6 inches/hour for each of the 3 approved (Type 1, 2, and 3) HPBSM designs where presettling requirements per Section 6.5.1 of the SWDM are met. Where presettling is not feasible as determined by DLS-PD, a design filtration rate of 3 inches/hour shall be used.

1.2.2 Background

See Chapter 6, Section 6.5.2.1 "Methods of Analysis" for detailed background in filter design. Note that the filtration rate for use in approved model for BWQF designs is derived from an initial 12"/hour rate which is then modified by a factor of safety (FS) of 2 or 4 per "Guidance on using new high performance bioretention soil mixes" by Howie and Lubliner (ECY) dated May 2021/Publication 21-10-023. For systems that meet presettling requirements per Section 6.5.1, "General Requirements for Filtration Facilities, Presettling Requirement", an FS of 2 is applied resulting in a design filtration rate of 6"/hour. For systems where compliance with presettling requirements is determined infeasible by DLS-PD, an FS of 4 is applied resulting in a design filtration rate of 3"/hour. BWQF designs used to comply with Core Requirement 8 must provide presettling per Section 6.5.1, unless determined infeasible by DLS-PD.

1.2.3 Modeled Routing Method

The modeled routing method allows the designer to optimize filter geometry and sizing to meet specific ***site*** conditions. The modeled method requires a trial-and-error solution using the approved model to route the developed inflow runoff time series through various filter configurations until the amount of runoff that passes through the filter media and is treated meets or exceeds the treatment objective defined for the facility. Refer to the approved model's computer software reference manual for specific instructions on using the program. The general design process is described below.

Step 1: Determine treatment volume requirement. BWQF using HPBSM designs Type 1, 2, and 3 are each to be sized so that a minimum 91% of the average annual runoff volume will pass through the filter.

Step 2: Prepare the inflow time series. The developed inflow time series is prepared using the approved model as generally described in Chapter 3. Detailed instructions for preparing the time series can be found in the approved model's computer software reference manual. If the BWQF is upstream of detention, the time series is that of the developed ***site***. If the BWQF is downstream of detention, the time series is the outflow time series leaving the detention facility. *Note:* BWQF *located downstream from detention facilities are smaller than those treating runoff before it is detained. Likewise,* BWQF *receiving flows from Level 2 detention facilities are smaller than those below Level 1 facilities.*

Step 3: Determine whether the BWQF will be on-line or off-line. For most WQ facilities, the designer may choose to design the facility as either on-line (all flow goes through the facility) or off-line (flows above the WQ design flow bypass the facility). An off-line BWQF has a high-flow bypass with an upstream flow splitter designed to bypass flows above the WQ design flow (see Section 6.2.5, p. 6-32, for more information on flow splitter design).

Note that the WQ design flow rate for the flow splitter is the rate required to pass the required WQ volume. For the BWQF, the rate is reported directly by the approved model (i.e., not modified in the manner for bioswales in Section 6.2.1). The BWQF uses the 91% runoff volume as the water quality design volume, corresponding to a 2-year return interval peak flow from the approved continuous model.

Step 4: Define BWQF filter modeling parameters. BWQF can be sized in WWHM using the sand filter element, or in MGS Flood using the infiltration pond element with the Sand Filter Data tab. Follow the guidance in the approved model's reference manual and apply the additional guidance below for the parameters required for the analysis:

a) The surface area of the filter computed by the approved model using inputs of the bottom length and width of the infiltration pond (ft).

b) Maximum water depth over filter: depth at which runoff begins to overflow the **BWQF** filter (1 foot maximum allowed)

c) Permeable surfaces: bottom only.

d) Riser and orifice information:

- Riser head: same as the maximum water depth.
- Number of orifices: zero. All runoff will either percolate through filter media or overflow the riser.
- Top of riser: flat.

e) Vertical infiltration: Assume a ***design filtration rate*** of 6 inches (presettling requirements met for facility) or 3 inches per hour (meeting presetting requirements for facility is determined infeasible by DLS-PD). Though the media will initially infiltrate at a much higher rate, that rate will slow as the filter accumulates sediment. When the filtration rate falls to design filtration rate, removal of sediment is necessary to maintain rates above the rate assumed for sizing purposes.

Step 5: Size the BWQF filter. Follow the facility sizing guidance in the approved model's reference manual to input the preliminary design configuration of the **BWQF** filter.

Step 6: Route the inflow time series through the BWQF filter and compare volumes. Compare the volume percentage passing through the filter with the percentage required for the

treatment volume (91%). The approved model calculates the routed volume percentage for the comparison.

- If the volume percentage of water passing through the filter exceeds the design treatment volume percentage, decrease the bottom area of the facility. Repeat this step until the desired performance is achieved.
- If the volume percentage of water passing through the filter is less than the design treatment volume percentage, increase the bottom area until the desired performance is achieved.

Step 7: Size the underdrain system. The underdrain system is sized to convey the peak filtered flows to the outlet. The **central collector pipe(s)** shall be sized to convey, at a minimum, the 2-year return frequency flow into the facility using the KCBW program's backwater analysis techniques described in Chapter 4.

To simplify the analysis, all flows for **BWQF** filters may be assumed to enter the collector pipe at the upstream end. Typically, the collector pipe will not be inlet controlled, so a simple square inlet type may be assumed. The full head of the facility may be utilized to convey flows through the pipe.

Feeder pipes may be sized using the design criteria in "Underdrain Systems" (p. 10) of the SWDM instead of analyzing the conveyance capacity as described above.

Strip drains must be analyzed for conveyance per manufacturer's specifications.

Intent: The underdrain must be able to remove standing water from beneath the filter media. If standing water remains, the media will remain saturated. This could cause reducing conditions in the media, allowing some pollutants to become mobile and be released from the filter to downstream receiving waters.

1.3 Design Criteria

1.3.1 General

BWQF should comply with applicable requirements for Sand Filters in Section 6.2 of the SWDM “General Requirements for WQ Facilities”.

Allowed configurations include depression (cell, pond), swale, and planter. Planter here refers to cells constructed with vertical wall (s). Section 6.2.3 of the SWDM contains applicable requirements re: walls, fencing, etc. Swale designs mean a narrow, linear cell that doubles as conveyance (e.g. online system) where overflows go through the facility rather than bypass it.

Swale designs must be designed so that the required design storm volume is completely infiltrated through media. Swale geometry and slope should be adjusted to maintain velocities for overflow storm so as not to damage channel /media.

Applicable to all configurations: Non-under drained designs that receive runoff from impervious surfaces totaling 10,000 square feet or more; or, new pervious surfaces totaling ¾ acre or more, or a combination of impervious and pervious surfaces that results in a 0.15 cfs increase (using 15 minute time steps) or 0.1 cfs (using 1 hour time steps) in the 100 year peak flow when comparing predeveloped (historic) and developed conditions, shall also meet applicable requirements for infiltration facilities in Section 5.2, see additional guidance at the end of this section.

Additional requirements for swale designs include:

SWDM Section 5.2: 100 year overflow conveyance (if applicable); Protection from Upstream Erosion; Facility Construction Guidelines.

SWDM Section 6.3: Vegetated Flowpath Facility Designs: Access; Construction Considerations; Flow Velocity, Energy Dissipation, and Flow Spreading (#2, 3, 4 and 5)

Swale designs proposed for use along roads should follow applicable requirements from Section C.2.6.2:

The longitudinal slope of the BWQF swale shall be consistent with KCRDCS 7.02.A standards for grass-lined ditches which allow a maximum slope of 6%. Grades between 3% and 6% may require check dams to reduce potential erosion.

The BWQF swale shall meet the conveyance requirements described in Section 1.2.4.1 of the SWDM.

Flow entrance techniques for energy dissipation shall be utilized and may include where applicable: flow spreaders described in Section 6.2.6 of SWDM, gravel flow spreaders described in Section 6.3.4.2 of SWDM, rock pads for pipe flow entrances, and/or catch basins preceding bioretention where high sediment loads are anticipated. Other equivalent options may be considered. Consideration should be given as to whether the design details specified in Section 4.2.2 (Outfalls) are applicable to a given design.

Side slopes shall be per KCRDCS standards for roadside ditches.

Vegetation design for BWQFs in KC right of way shall be as approved by the King County Road Engineer.

1.3.2 BWQF Filter Geometry

1. **Any shape** filter bed may be used, including circular or free-form designs. *Note: The treatment process is governed by **vertical** flow, so short-circuiting is not a concern as it is in wetponds.*
2. **Filter depth** and **composition** (**See Section 1.3.5, "Filter Composition", below**).
3. **Depth of storage** over the filter media shall be 1 foot maximum.

1.3.3 Pretreatment, Flow Spreading, and Energy Dissipation

1. See general presettling and pretreatment requirements for filtration facilities in Section 6.5.1 (p. 6-105). BWQF shall be considered sand filters for purposes of compliance with Section 6.5.1.

2. A **flow spreader** shall be installed at the inlet along one side of the filter to evenly distribute incoming runoff across the filter and prevent erosion of the filter surface. See Section 6.2.6 (p. 6-36) for details on flow spreaders.

   a) **If the** BWQF filter area **is curved or an irregular shape**, a flow spreader shall be provided for a minimum of 20 percent of the filter perimeter.

   b) If the **length-to-width ratio** of the filter is 2:1 or greater, a flow spreader must be located on the longer side and for a **minimum length** of 20 percent of the facility perimeter.

   c) In other situations, use good engineering judgment in positioning the spreader.

3. Erosion protection shall be provided along the first foot of the BWQF adjacent to the flow spreader by placing geotextile and covering with quarry spalls. The geotextile shall meet the specifications in WSDOT Standard Specifications, 9-33.2(1) Geotextile Properties / Table 1 / Moderate Survivability / Woven, and Table 2, Class A.

1.3.4 Overflow and Bypass Structures

1. **On-line filters**[1] shall be equipped with **overflows** (primary, secondary, and emergency) in accordance with the design criteria for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1.1, criteria for "Overflow" and "Emergency Overflow Spillway"). *Note: The primary overflow may be incorporated into the emergency spillway in cases where the spillway discharges into a downstream detention facility, or where overflows can be safely controlled and redirected into the downstream conveyance system.*

2. For off-line filters, the outlet structure for the BWQF filter must be designed to pass the 2-yr peak inflow rate, as determined using the approved model with 15-minute time steps calibrated to specific site conditions.

Intent: Overflow capacity is required for low-flow, high-volume storms which may exceed the storage capacity of the filter.

3. To the extent base flow conditions can be identified, **base flow** must be bypassed around the filter to keep the media from remaining saturated for extended periods of time.

1.3.5 Filter Composition

Type 1 HPBSM

18" thickness of HPBSM Primary Layer consisting of 70% sand, 20% coir, and 10% high carbon wood ash (biochar) by volume. 3" of Arborist's Woodchip Mulch (per Reference 11-C) shall be placed over the primary layer in the ponding area of the facility.

Type 2 HPBSM

18" thickness of HPBSM Primary layer plus 12" thickness of HPBSM Polishing Layer below it. HPBSM Polishing layer consists of 90% sand, 7.5% activated alumina, and 2.5% iron aggregate by volume. 3" of Arborist's Woodchip Mulch (per Reference 11-C) shall be placed over the primary layer in the ponding area of the facility.

Type 3 HPBSM

HPBSM Primary Layer plus 12" thickness of HPBSM Polishing Layer below it. 2" Compost Surface Layer is placed over the primary layer in the ponding area of the facility

1.3.6 Filter Specifications

Detailed specifications for the component media layers shall be per Reference 11-C. If the design includes compost (Type 3), the compost shall meet Specification 1 described in Reference 11-C.

When using the high performance bioretention designs with component media specifications described in Reference 11-C, pre-placement laboratory analysis for saturated hydraulic

[1] Whether a WQ facility is designed as on-line (all flow going through the facility) or off-line (high flows bypassing the facility) is a choice made by the designer. Section 6.2.5 (p. 6-29) contains information on flow splitters for WQ facilities.

conductivity is not required. Verification of the mineral aggregate gradation is required, and media mix component ratios as placed must be certified and provided by the supplier or contractor. All primary layer and polishing layer materials must meet Reference 11-C test specifications, and the test results must be provided to the inspector.

1.3.7 Underdrain Systems

1. The following **underdrain systems** are acceptable:
   - A central collector pipe with lateral feeder pipes in an 8-inch drain rock bed.
   - A central collector pipe with a geotextile drain strip in an 8-inch drain rock bed.
   - Longitudinal pipes in an 8-inch drain rock bed, with a collector pipe at the outlet end.

   In smaller installations a single perforated pipe in 8 inches of drain rock may be adequate.
2. The **maximum perpendicular distance** between any two feeder pipes, or the edge of the filter and a feeder pipe, shall be 15 feet.

Intent: This spacing is required to prevent the underdrain system from backing up into the filter during the early life of the filter when high filtration rates exist.

3. All pipe shall be placed with a **minimum slope** of 0.5 %.
4. The **invert of the underdrain outlet** shall be above the seasonal high groundwater level. The *seasonal high groundwater level* is the highest elevation of groundwater observed.

Intent: The underdrain must be able to remove standing water from beneath the filter. If standing water remains, the filter will remain saturated. This could cause depletion of dissolved oxygen and reducing conditions in the filter, allowing some pollutants to become mobile and be released from the filter to downstream receiving waters.

5. **Cleanout** wyes with caps or junction boxes shall be provided at both ends of all collector pipes. Cleanouts shall extend to the surface of the filter.
   a. A valve box must be provided for access to the cleanouts.
   b. The cleanout assembly must be water-tight to prevent short circuiting of the filter.

      Intent: Caps are required on cleanout wyes to prevent short-circuiting of water into the underdrain system when the pond fills with water.
6. If a **drain strip** is used for lateral drainage, the strip must be placed at the slope specified by the manufacturer but at least at 0.5%. All drain strip must extend to the central collector pipe. Drain strips installations must be analyzed for conveyance because manufactured products vary in the amount of flow they are designed to handle.
7. At least 8 inches of drain rock must be maintained over all underdrain piping or drain strip, and 6 inches must be maintained on either side to prevent damage by heavy equipment during maintenance.

   Note: If drain strip is used, it may be easier to install the central collector pipe in an 8-inch ***trench*** *filled with drain rock, making the cover over the drain strip and the collector pipe the same thickness. In this case the pipe shall be wrapped with geotextile to prevent clogging. Use the same geotextile specification as given in WSDOT Standard Specifications, 9-33.2(1) Geotextile Properties / Table 1 / Moderate Survivability / Woven, and Table 2, Class A.*

8. A **geotextile fabric** shall be used between the media/filter layer and the drain rock and be placed so that one inch of drain rock is above the fabric.

   Intent: The position of the geotextile fabric provides a **transition layer** of media and drain rock.

9. BWQF filters shall not be used in combination with a downstream pump system.

   Intent: BWQF filters are designed to prevent water from backing up into the filter layer; the underdrain system must drain freely. If the pump fails, the filter will become saturated, create anoxic conditions, and release pollutants.

1.3.8 Underdrain Materials

1. Underdrain **pipe** shall be minimum 6-inch diameter perforated PVC, SDR 35. One acceptable specification for perforations is as follows: 2 rows of holes ($^1/_2$-inch diameter) spaced 6 inches apart longitudinally (max), with rows 120 degrees apart (laid with holes downward). Other drain pipe may be used if it adequately drains the filter.
2. **Drain rock** shall be $1^1/_2$ to $^3/_4$ -inch rock, washed and free from clay or organic material.
3. If a geotextile drain strip system is used, the attached **geotextile fabric** should not be used, or the fabric side should be positioned away from the media. Geotextile is already required between the media and drain rock layers, and must meet the specifications in WSDOT Standard Specifications, 9-33.2(1) Geotextile Properties / Table 1 / Moderate Survivability / Woven, and Table 2, Class A, to avoid clogging the filter prematurely.

1.3.9 Access Roads & Setbacks

1. An access road shall be provided to the inlet and outlet of a BWQF filter for inspection and maintenance purposes. Requirements for access roads are the same as for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1.1, "Design of Access Roads" and "Construction of Access Roads").
2. The location of the facility relative to ***site*** constraints (e.g., buildings, property lines, etc.) shall be the same as for detention ponds (see Section 5.1.1) except as noted in 3, below. See Section 6.2.3 (p. 6-23) for typical setback requirements for WQ facilities.
3. For a BWQF filter that infiltrates to ground, setbacks shall be same as those for infiltration ponds, (see Section 5.2.2).

1.3.10 Side Slopes, Fencing, and Embankments

See SWDM Section 6.2.3 for applicable requirements.

1.3.11 Planting

1. **No top soil** shall be added to the BWQF.

` **Growing and maintaining vegetation** is required and necessitates selecting species that can tolerate the demanding environment of the BWQF. BWQF experience long periods of saturation during the winter wet season, followed by extended dry periods during the summer. Vegetation must be capable of surviving drought as well as wetness. Appropriate plants should be selected for sun exposure, soil moisture, and adjacent plant communities. Native species or hardy cultivars are recommended that can flourish with no nutrient or pesticide inputs and only 2-3 years watering for establishment. Invasive species control may be necessary. See the **approved planting list** below for allowed vegetation types and density, grouped by applicability to various moisture, sun exposure, etc. applications.

2. To prevent any use that could compact and potentially damage the filter surface, both **permanent and temporary structures** (e.g., playground equipment or bleachers) are not permitted.

3. If the BWQF is located in a Sensitive Lake Protection Area, or discharges to a stream that is listed as a Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Problem (Type 2) under "Downstream Water Quality Problems Requiring Special Attention" (Section 1.2.2.1.2) and the problem cause has been identified as nutrient loading, then low phosphorus fertilizers (such as formulations in the proportion 3:1:3 N-P-K or less) or slow-release phosphorus formulations should be used, and at no more than the minimum agronomic rate. Regardless of location, the fertilizer must meet the requirements of Chapter 15.54.500 RCW limiting the use of fertilizer containing phosphorus.

4. Vegetation design for BWQFs in KC right of way shall be as approved by the King County Road Engineer.

(This page intentionally left blank)

Scientific Name	Common Name	Exposure			Height	Zone				PNW Native
		Shade	Partial Shade	Sun		1a	1b	2	3	
Trees and Shrubs										
Amelanchier alnifolia	Serviceberry		X	X	6-12'				X	Yes
Arbutus unedo 'compacta'	Dwarf strawberry tree		X	X	6-10'				X	No
Ceanothus thyrsiflorus	California lilac		X	X	8-12'				X	No
Ceanothus velutinus	Snowbrush		X	X	2-10'				X	Yes
Cornus sericea 'Kelseyi'	Red twig dogwood		X	X	3-9'	X		X	X	Yes
Garrya elliptica	Coast silk tassel			X	8'				X	Yes
Holodiscus discolor	Ocean spray		X	X	4-15'			X	X	Yes
Mahonia aquifolium	Tall Oregon grape	X	X	X	3-10'			X	X	Yes
Myrica californica	Pacific wax myrtle		X	X	15-25'				X	Yes
Oemleria cerasiformis	Osoberry (Indian plum)		X		5-15'			X	X	Yes
Philadelphus lewisii	Mock orange		X	X	5-10'				X	Yes
Physocarpus capitatus	Pacific ninebark		X	X	6-12'			X	X	Yes
Ribes sanguineum	Red flowering currant		X	X	5-10'			X	X	Yes
Rosa nutkana	Nootka rose		X	X	4-10'			X	X	Yes
Rosa woodsii	Wood rose		X	X	3-5'			X	X	Yes
Symphoricarpos albus	Snowberry		X	X	2-6'			X	X	Yes
Vaccinium ovatum	Evergreen huckleberry		X	X	3-10'				X	Yes

Zone 1a: surface of media layers closest to inlet or flow spreader
Zone 1b: surface of media layers
Zone 2: side slopes in ponding area
Zone 3: area above ponding area

Scientific Name	Common Name	Exposure			Height	Zone				PNW Native
		Shade	Partial Shade	Sun		1a	1b	2	3	
Groundcover, Perennials, and Ferns										
Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	Kinnikinnick		X	X	0.5- 1'			X	X	Yes
Armeria maritima	Sea thrift			X	1-1.5'				X	Yes
Athyrium filix-femina	Lady fern	X	X		3'			X	X	Yes
Blechnum spicant	Deer fern	X	X		1-2'			X	X	Yes
Cistus purpureus	Orchid rock rose			X	2-4'				X	No
Dasiphora fruticosa	Shrubby cinquefoil			X	2-4'				X	Yes
Epimedium x perralchicum	Yellow epimedium		X		1'				X	No
Equisetum hyemale	Scouring rush		X	X	3'	X	X			Yes
Fragaria chiloensis	Beach strawberry		X	X	0.5'				X	Yes
Gaultheria shallon	Salal	X	X		3-6'			X	X	Yes
Geranium macrorrhizum	Hardy cranesbill	X	X	X	1-1.5'			X	X	No
Grindelia integrifolia	Puget Sound gumweed		X	X	1-2'	X	X	X	X	Yes
Helianthemum nummularium	Sunrose			X	2'				X	No
Lavandula angustifolia	Lavender			X	2'				X	No
Lupinus latifolius	Broadleaf lupine		X	X	1-4'				X	Yes
Mahonia repens	Creeping Oregon grape		X	X	3'			X	X	Yes
Achillea millefolium	Western yarrow		X	X	3'				X	Yes
Sedum spatulifolium	Broadleaf stonecrop			X	0.5'				X	Yes
Sidalcea hendersonii	Henderson's Checkermallow		X	X	2-5'	X				Yes
Solidago canadensis	Goldenrod		X	X	2-4'			X	X	Yes
Symphyotrichum subspicatum	Douglas aster		X	X	1-3'	X	X	X	X	Yes
Vancouveria hexandra	Inside-out flower	X	X		1-1.5'			X	X	Yes

Zone 1a: surface of media layers closest to inlet or flow spreader
Zone 1b: surface of media layers
Zone 2: side slopes in ponding area
Zone 3: area above ponding area

Scientific Name	Common Name	Exposure			Height	Zone				PNW Native
		Shade	Partial Shade	Sun		1a	1b	2	3	
Sedges, Rushes, and Grasses										
Bouteloua gracilis	Blue gamma			X	1-2'				X	No
Carex macrocephala	Big-head sedge			X	1'	X	X			Yes
Carex obnupta	Slough sedge		X	X	1-4'	X				Yes
Carex pachystachya	Chamisso sedge		X	X	2-3'	X	X			Yes
Carex pansa	Sand sedge	X	X		1'	X	X			Yes
Festuca californica	California fescue		X	X	1-3'				X	Yes
Festuca glauca	Blue fescue			X	1-2'				X	Yes
Festuca rubra	Red fescue		X	X	1.5'	X	X			Yes
Helictotrichon sempervirens	Blue oat grass		X		2-3'				X	No
Juncus effusus	Common rush			X	1-2'	X				Yes
Juncus patens	Spreading rush			X	1-2'	X				Yes
Juncus tenuis	Slender rush			X	1-2'	X				Yes
Leymus mollis	American dune grass			X	3'	X	X			Yes
Muhlenbergia rigens	Deer grass		X	X	3-5'				X	No
Muhlenberia capillaris	Pink muhly grass			X	3'				X	No
Pennisetum orientale	Oriental fountain grass			X	2'				X	No

Zone 1a: surface of media layers closest to inlet or flow spreader
Zone 1b: surface of media layers
Zone 2: side slopes in ponding area
Zone 3: area above ponding area

1.3.12 Recommended Design Features

The following design features should be incorporated into BWQF designs where ***site*** conditions allow:

1. A **horticultural specialist** should be consulted for advice on planting.
2. A BWQF can add landscape interest and may be incorporated into the project **landscape design.** Interior side slopes may be stepped with flat areas for planting, Perennial beds may be planted above the overflow water surface elevation. However, large shrubs and trees are not allowed in the filter area because falling leaves and needles can clog the filter surface, requiring more frequent maintenance, and roots may damage the structure and/or function of the filter. *Note: Examples of areas with stepped side slopes can be found at the Ballard Locks in Seattle and at Luther Burbank Park on Mercer Island.*
3. Recreational use of the BWQF filter surface is not allowed as activity can disrupt the structure and function of the filter media. Signage discouraging recreation is required. Signage shall be placed for maximum visibility from adjacent streets, sidewalks, and paths. More than one sign may be required to be sure the advisory will be noted by anyone approaching the facility.

1.3.13 Construction Considerations

1.3.13.1 If BWQF are put into service before construction

If BWQF are put into service before construction of all parcels within the catchment is complete and all disturbed soil in the BWQF catchment has been stabilized, the filter will very likely clog prematurely. If individual lots are not stabilized, the options for **protection from upstream erosion** given in Section 5.2.1 for infiltration ponds may be used.

An **alternative** is to install the BWQF including full excavation for the filter media and underdrain layers, delaying placement of the media and underdrains until the ***project site*** is stabilized. The partially complete BWQF will then function like a small wetpond. Later, the accumulated sediment must be removed and the underdrain with gravel, geotextile separator, and media layers placed. A second alternative is to place only the gravel underdrain during the construction phase. Then clean the gravel and place the geotextile separator and media layer(s) after the ***project site*** is stabilized.

The County will not assume maintenance responsibility or release financial guarantees unless the BWQF is installed per design and functioning properly. **Careful placement of the media layers** is necessary to avoid formation of voids that could lead to short-circuiting, particularly around penetrations for underdrain cleanouts, as well as to prevent damage to the underlying underdrain system. Voids between the trench wall and geotextile fabric should also be avoided.

1.3.13.2 Blending, Delivery, Protection, and Placement

The blending, handling, and placement of the HPBSM Primary and Polishing Layers needs to be done carefully to ensure a successful installation.

a. The contractor should prepare a Blending, Delivery, Protection, and Placement plan and submit it to the designer for review. The HPBSM Primary and Polishing HPBSM Layer media shall be mechanically blended to produce a homogeneous mix by a blending

vendor/contractor with soil blending experience. The blending should occur on an impervious (asphalt or concrete) surface pad that has been thoroughly washed clean (e.g., pressure washed) prior to blending or in purpose-built soil blending equipment that has been washed. The blending pad shall be large enough to be able to turn and mix the media without introducing contamination. The blending pad shall be free of standing water before blending and shall be protected from stormwater run-on from areas off of/adjacent to the pad. The measurement of the components to be blended shall be by measuring in full vessels of a known volume. Estimating the volumes of materials of partially full buckets or vessels shall not be used. Prior to blending, the coconut coir fiber shall be loose and hydrated such that its density is 4-5 pounds per cubic foot. The materials shall be blended until they are in a homogenous mixed state and then protected from contamination or saturation during storage, delivery, stockpiling, and placement.

b. The HPBSM layers should not be placed if the area is frozen, has standing water, is excessively wet or saturated, or has been subjected to more than 1/2 inch of precipitation within 48 hours before placement, unless approved otherwise by the Engineer. Do not place the HPBSM layers if adequate temporary erosion and sediment control measures are not in place to protect the media from contamination by silt laden run-off. Place HPBSM layers loosely and evenly, no deeper than these specifications unless otherwise approved by the Engineer, on a properly prepared subgrade. After each lift, rake the surface to a uniform grade. Consolidate the entire surface area of each lift by boot compaction or a lawn roller and rake again to scarify before placing subsequent lifts or planting.

1.3.14 Maintenance Considerations

BWQF are subject to clogging by fine sediment, oil and grease, and other debris (e.g., trash and organic matter such as leaves). Filters and pretreatment facilities should be inspected every 6 months during the first year of operation. Inspections should also occur immediately following a storm event to assess the filtration capacity of the filter. Once the filter is performing as designed, the frequency of inspection may be reduced to once per year. In addition to the following, applicable requirements from Appendix A for bioretention (No. 31), sand filter ponds (No. 19), and infiltration facilities (No. 2), should be adhered to.

During an inspection the following features should be evaluated and maintained as needed:

1. Remove debris and sediment from the pretreatment facility when depth exceeds 12 inches.
2. Remove debris and sediment from the surface of the filter when accumulations exceed 0.5 inches.
3. Observe operation of the overflow and drawdown time in the filter. Frequent overflow through the grated "birdcage" or "jailhouse" window into the outlet structure or slow drawdown are indicators of plugging problems. Under normal operating conditions, a BWQF should completely empty within 0.5 to 4 hours following a storm event (i.e., after the inflow of runoff to the filter ceases), depending on pond depth (limited to maximum of 1 foot storage depth). Generally, if drawdown times exceed this range, corrective maintenance is needed. Recommendations for improving filter performance are summarized below:
   a) Remove debris and sediment from the surface of the filter.
   b) Ensure that underdrain (if present) is not clogged. If necessary, clear underdrain.
   c) Check for other water inputs (e.g., groundwater, illicit connections).

d) Aerate the filter surface to improve permeability.

e) Till the filter surface. Two separate passes following a criss-cross pattern (i.e., second pass at right angles to the first) are recommended.

f) Remove and replace upper level (4-6") of media.

Rapid drawdown in the filter (i.e., greater than 12 inches per hour) indicates short-circuiting of the filter media. Inspect the cleanouts on the underdrain pipes and along the base of the embankment for leakage.

4. Formation of rills and gullies on the surface of the BWQF filter may indicate improper function of the inlet flow spreader or poor compaction. Check for accumulation of debris on or in the flow spreader, and refill rills and gullies with media per the design.

1.3.15 Other maintenance practices to ensure proper operation

Other maintenance practices that should be employed to ensure proper operation of the BWQF filter are summarized below:

1. Avoid use of fertilizers along the bottom or sides of a BWQF. Any fertilizer used must meet the requirements of Chapter 15.54.500 RCW limiting the use of fertilizer containing phosphorus[2].

2. Avoid driving heavy machinery or equipment on the BWQF to minimize compaction of the filter media, prevent the formation of ruts in the surface of the filter that could concentrate or channelize flow, and prevent damage to the underdrain system. Use only low ground pressure tracked equipment (4.6 pounds per square inch or less ground pressure). The number of passes over BWQF should be minimized to the greatest extent possible.

3. Clip grass as needed, and remove the cut grass from the BWQF.

4. Water designed vegetation periodically when needed, especially during the summer dry season.

5. Discourage use of the BWQF by pets by installing signs reminding residents of scoop laws, providing scoop stations near the facilities, planting barriers such as barberry, and/or providing other measures as appropriate.

1.4 Modifications for combining with an Infiltration Pond

Where an infiltration pond is proposed for flow control, a BWQF may be combined with the infiltration pond by making the following modifications in design criteria:

1. The **"100-year Overflow Conveyance"** requirements for infiltration ponds (see Section 5.2.1) shall apply in place of the **"Overflow and Bypass"** requirements for BWQF.
2. The **"Filter Composition"** criteria are changed to eliminate the requirement for an underdrain system.

[2] http://apps.leg.wa.gov/billinfo/summary.aspx?bill=1489&year=2011

3. The **"Underdrain System"** and **"Underdrain Materials"** criteria for BWQF filters are not applied. Water infiltrating through the BWQF need not be collected but may simply continue infiltrating downward into native soils.
4. The sides of the infiltration pond must be provided with a **treatment liner** up to the WQ design water surface elevation, at a minimum. In a groundwater protection area, the liner must extend up to the overflow water surface elevation of the pond. See Section 6.2.4 (Facility Liners) for information on liners.

End Bioretention Water Quality Facility